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Preface

This treatise is the outgrowth of a course which has been given by one or the other of the authors for the past sixteen years. The book itself has been in the process of production for more than half this time, though with numerous interruptions, major and minor. Not the least difficult problem in its development has been to arrive at a general philosophy concerning the subject matter to be included and its order of presentation.

Theoretical physics today covers a vast area; to expound it fully would overflow a five-foot shelf and would be far beyond the authors' ability and interest. But not all of this area has recently been subjected to intensive exploration; the portions in which the most noticeable advances have been made in the past twenty years are mostly concerned with fields rather than with particles, with wave functions, fields of force, electromagnetic and acoustic potentials, all of which are solutions of partial differential equations and are specified by boundary conditions. The present treatise concentrates its attention on this general area. Fifty years ago it might have been entitled "Partial Differential Equations of Physics" or "Boundary Value Problems." Today, because of the spread of the field concept and techniques, it is perhaps not inappropriate to use a more general title.

Even this restricted field cannot be covered in two volumes of course. A discussion of the physical concepts and experimental procedures in all the branches of physics which use fields for their description would itself result in an overlong shelf, duplicating the subject matter of many excellent texts and, by its prolixity, disguising the fundamental unity of the subject. For the unity of field theory lies in its techniques of analysis, the mathematical tools it uses to obtain answers. These techniques are essentially the same, whether the field under study corresponds to a neutral meson, a radar signal, a sound wave, or a cloud of diffusing neutrons. The present treatise, therefore, is primarily concerned with an exposition of the mathematical tools which have proved most useful in the study of the many field constructs in physics, together with
a series of examples, showing how the tools are used to solve various physical problems. Only enough of the underlying physics is given to make the examples understandable.

This is not to say that the work is a text on mathematics, however. The physicist, using mathematics as a tool, can also use his physical knowledge to supplement equations in a way in which pure mathematicians dare not (and should not) proceed. He can freely use the construct of the point charge, for example; the mathematician must struggle to clarify the analytic vagaries of the Dirac delta function. The physicist often starts with the solution of the partial differential equation already described and measured; the mathematician often must develop a very specialized network of theorems and lemmas to show exactly when a given equation has a unique solution. The derivations given in the present work will, we hope, be understandable and satisfactory to physicists and engineers, for whom the work is written; they will not often seem rigorous to the mathematician.

Within these twofold limits, on the amount of physics and the rigor of the mathematics, however, it is hoped that the treatise is reasonably complete and self-contained. The knowledge of physics assumed is that expected of a first-year graduate student in physics, and the mathematical background assumed is that attained by one who has taken a first course in differential equations or advanced calculus. The further mathematics needed, those parts of vector and tensor analysis, of the theory of linear differential equations and of integral equations, which are germane to the major subject, are all treated in the text.

The material is built up in a fairly self-contained manner, so that only seldom is it necessary to use the phrase "it can be shown," so frustrating to the reader. Even in the earlier discussion of the basic mathematical techniques an attempt is made to relate the equations and the procedures to the physical properties of the fields, which are the central subject of study. In many cases derivations are given twice, once in a semi-intuitive manner, to bring out the physical concepts, a second time with all the symbols and equations, to provide as much rigor as seems necessary. Often part of the derivation is repeated in a later chapter, from a different point of view, to obviate excessive back reference; this was considered desirable, though it has increased the size of the treatise.

An effort has been made to avoid trivial and special-case examples of solutions. As a result, of course, the examples included often require long and complicated explanations to bring out all the items of interest, but this treatise is supposed to explain how difficult problems can be solved; it cannot be illustrated by easy ones. The variational technique applied to diffraction problems, the iteration methods used in calculating the scattering of waves from irregular boundaries, the computation of convergent series for eigenstates perturbed by strong interaction poten-
Introduction to the Subject of the Text
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Another general principle has also tended to lengthen the discussions: The authors have tried, as often as possible, to attack problems "head on," rather than by "backing into them." They have tried to show how to find the solution of a new and strange equation rather than to set down a list of results which someone has found to be solutions of interesting problems. A certain number of "backing-up" examples, where one pulls a solution out of the air, so to speak, and then proceeds to show it is indeed the solution, could not be avoided. Usually such examples have saved space and typographic complications; very many of them would have produced a state of frustration or of fatalism in the student.

It is hoped that the work will also prove to be reasonably self-contained in regard to numerical tables and lists of useful formulas. The tables at the end of each chapter summarize the major results of that chapter and collect, in an easily accessible spot, the properties of the functions most often used. Rather than scattering the references among the text, these also are collected at the end of each chapter in order to make them somewhat easier to find again. These only include titles of the books and articles which the authors feel will be useful to the reader in supplementing the material discussed in the chapter; they are not intended to indicate priority or the high points in historical development. The development of the subject matter of this book has been extensive and has involved the contributions of many famous persons. Techniques have been rediscovered and renamed nearly every time a new branch of physics has been opened up. A thorough treatment of the bibliography would require hundreds of pages, much of it dull reading. We have chosen our references to help the reader understand the subject, not to give each contributor in the field his "due credit." Frankly, we have put down those references we are familiar with and which we have found useful.

An attempt has been made to coordinate the choice of symbols for the various functions defined and used. Where usage is fairly consistent in the literature, as with Bessel functions, this has been followed. Where there have been several alternate symbols extant, the one which seemed to fit most logically with the rest and resulted in least duplication was chosen, as has been done with the Mathieu functions. In a few cases functions were renormalized to make them less awkward to use; these were given new symbols, as was done with the Gegenbauer polynomials. The relation between the notation used and any alternate notation appearing with reasonable frequency in physics literature is given in the Appendix, together with a general glossary of symbols used.

The numerical table in the Appendix should be adequate for the majority of the calculations related to the subject matter. It was con-
Preface

Considered preferable to include a number of tables of limited range and accuracy rather than to have a few tables each with a large number of entries and significant figures. Most of the functions used in actual physical problems are tabulated, though many of the auxiliary functions, such as the gamma and the elliptic functions, and some functions with too many independent parameters, such as the hypergeometric functions, are not represented. A few functions, such as the parabolic and the spheroidal wave functions, should have been included, but complete basic tables have not yet been published.

Several of the figures in this work, which have to do with three dimensions, are drawn for stereoscopic viewing. They may be viewed by any of the usual stereoscopic viewers or, without any paraphernalia, by relaxing one’s eye-focusing muscles and allowing one eye to look at one drawing, the other at the other. Those who have neither equipment nor sufficient ocular decoupling may consider these figures as ordinary perspective drawings unnecessarily duplicated. If not benefited, they will be at least not worse off by the duplication.

The authors have been helped in their task by many persons. The hundreds of graduate students who have taken the related course since 1935 have, wittingly or unwittingly, helped to fix the order of presentation and the choice of appropriate examples. They have removed nearly all the proof errors from the offset edition of class notes on which this treatise is based; they have not yet had time to remove the inevitable errors from this edition. Any reader can assist in this by notifying the authors when such errors are discovered.

Assistance has also been more specific. The proof of Cauchy’s theorem, given on page 361, was suggested by R. Boas. Parts of the manuscript and proof have been read by Professors J. A. Stratton and N. H. Frank; Doctors Harold Levine, K. U. Ingard, Walter Hauser, Robert and Jane Pease, S. Rubinow; and F. M. Young, M. C. Newstein, L. Sartori, J. Little, E. Lomon, and F. J. Corbató. They are to be thanked for numerous improvements and corrections; they should not be blamed for the errors and awkward phrasings which undoubtedly remain. We are also indebted to Professor Julian Schwinger for many stimulating discussions and suggestions.

May, 1953

Philip M. Morse
Herman Feshbach
Contents

PART I

CHAPTER 1 Types of Fields

1.1 Scalar Fields
Isostatic Surfaces. The Laplacian.

1.2 Vector Fields

1.3 Curvilinear Coordinates

1.4 The Differential Operator ∇

1.5 Vector and Tensor Formalism

1.6 Dyadics and Other Vector Operators
Contents


1.7 The Lorentz Transformation, Four-vectors, Spinors 93

Problems 107
Table of Useful Vector and Dyadic Equations 114
Table of Properties of Curvilinear Coordinates 115
Bibliography 117

Chapter 2 Equations Governing Fields 119

2.1 The Flexible String 120

2.2 Waves in an Elastic Medium 142

2.3 Motion of Fluids 151

2.4 Diffusion and Other Percolative Fluid Motion 171

2.5 The Electromagnetic Field 200
Contents


2.6 Quantum Mechanics

222


Problems

267

Standard Forms for Some of the Partial Differential Equations of Theoretical Physics

271

Bibliography

273

CHAPTER 3 Fields and the Variational Principle

275

3.1 The Variational Integral and the Euler Equations

276

The Euler Equations. Auxiliary Conditions.

3.2 Hamilton's Principle and Classical Dynamics

280


3.3 Scalar Fields

301


3.4 Vector Fields

318


Problems

337

Tabulation of Variational Method

341


Bibliography

347
## Contents

### CHAPTER 4  Functions of a Complex Variable  348

#### 1.1 Complex Numbers and Variables  349

#### 1.2 Analytic Functions  356

#### 1.3 Derivatives of Analytic Functions, Taylor and Laurent Series  374

#### 1.4 Multivalued Functions  398
   Branch Points and Branch Lines. Riemann Surfaces. An Illustrative Example.

#### 1.5 Calculus of Residues; Gamma and Elliptic Functions  106

#### 1.6 Asymptotic Series: Method of Steepest Descent  134

#### 1.7 Conformal Mapping  113

#### 1.8 Fourier Integrals  453

### Problems  171

#### Tabulation of Properties of Functions of Complex Variable  180
Table of Separable Coordinates in Three Dimensions 655
Contents

Second-order Differential Equations and Their Solutions 667


Bibliography 674

CHAPTER 6 Boundary Conditions and Eigenfunctions 676

6.1 Types of Equations and of Boundary Conditions 676


6.2 Difference Equations and Boundary Conditions 692


6.3 Eigenfunctions and Their Use 709


Problems 778

Table of Useful Eigenfunctions and Their Properties 781


Eigenfunctions by the Factorization Method 788

Bibliography 790

CHAPTER 7 Green’s Functions 791

7.1 Source Points and Boundary Points 793

### Contents

#### 7.2 Green’s Functions for Steady Waves

#### 7.3 Green’s Function for the Scalar Wave Equation

#### 7.4 Green’s Function for Diffusion

#### 7.5 Green’s Function in Abstract Vector Form

### Problems

### Table of Green’s Functions

#### 8.1 Integral Equations of Physics, Their Classification

### Bibliography

#### 8.2 General Properties of Integral Equations

#### 8.3 Solution of Fredholm Equations of the First Kind
Contents


8.4 Solution of Integral Equations of the Second Kind 949

8.5 Fourier Transforms and Integral Equations 960

Tables of Integral Equations and Their Solutions 992

Bibliography 996

Index

PART II

CHAPTER 9 Approximate Methods 999

9.1 Perturbation Methods 1001

9.2 Boundary Perturbations 1038

9.3 Perturbation Methods for Scattering and Diffraction 1064
Boundary Conditions for Scattering. Scattering Cross Section. Scatter-
Contents

9.4 Variational Methods


Problems

Tabulation of Approximate Methods


Bibliography

CHAPTER 10 Solutions of Laplace's and Poisson's Equations

10.1 Solutions in Two Dimensions

Contents

10.2 Complex Variables and the Two-dimensional Laplace Equation 1215

10.3 Solutions for Three Dimensions 1259

Problems 1309

Trigonometric and Hyperbolic Functions 1320

Bessel Functions 1321
General Formulas Relating Bessel Functions. Series Relations. Hyperbolic Bessel Functions. Definite Integrals Involving Bessel Functions.

Legendre Functions 1325

Bibliography 1330

CHAPTER 11 The Wave Equation 1331

11.1 Wave Motion on One Space Dimension 1332

11.2 Waves in Two Dimensions 1360
Contents

Plane Wave from Knife Edge. Fresnel Diffraction from Knife Edge.
Scattering from a Cylinder with Slit. Slotted Cylinder, Neumann Conditions.
Waves in Parabolic Coordinates. Eigenfunctions for Interior Problems.
Waves outside Parabolic Boundaries. Green's Function and Plane Wave
Approximations for Small Values of k and m. Approximations for k Small
and m Large. Expansions for k Large. Waves inside an Elliptic Boundary.
Green's Functions and Plane Wave Expansions.
Radiation from a Vibrating Strip. Radiation from a Strip Carrying Current.
Scattering of Waves from Strips. Diffraction through a Slit, Babinet's Principle.

11.3 Waves in Three Space Dimensions

Green's Function for Free Space. Rectangular Enclosure. Distortion of
Standing Wave by Strips. Computing the Eigenvalue. Transmission
through Ducts. Acoustic Transients in a Rectangular Duct. Constriction
in Rectangular Duct. Wave Transmission around Corner. Membrane
in Circular Pipe. Radiation from Tube Termination. Transmission
in Elastic Tubing. Spherical Coordinates. Spherical Bessel Functions.
Green's Function and Plane Wave Expansion. Waves inside a
Sphere. Vibrations of a Hollow, Flexible Sphere. Vibrating String in
Sphere. Resonance Frequencies of the System. Radiation from Sphere.
Dipole Source. Radiation from Collection of Sources. Radiation from
Piston in Sphere. Scattering of Plane Wave from Sphere. Scattering
from Sphere with Complex Index of Refraction. Scattering from Helmholtz
Resonator. Scattering from Ensemble of Scatterers. Scattering of
Sound from Air Bubbles in Water. Spherical Coordinates. The Radial
Functions. Green's Function and Other Expansions. Oblate Spheroidal
Coordinates.

11.4 Integral and Variational Techniques

Factoring the Transformed Equation. Radiation from the End of a Circular Pipe. Formulas for
Applying the Boundary Conditions. Scattering of Waves, Variational Principle. Angle-Distribution
Function and Total Cross Section. Scattering from Spheres. Scattering from a Strip. Scattering of Short Waves.

Problems

1555

Cylindrical Bessel Functions

1563

Amplitudes and Phase Angles. Asymptotic Values, Roots.

Weber Functions

1565

Addition Theorems.

Mathieu Functions

1568

Spherical Bessel Functions 1573

Spheroidal Functions 1576

Short Table of Laplace Transforms 1579
Bibliography 1582

CHAPTER 12 Diffusion, Wave Mechanics 1584

12.1 Solutions of the Diffusion Equation 1584

12.2 Distribution Functions for Diffusion Problems 1606

12.3 Solutions of Schroedinger's Equation 1638
Contents

Problems 1745
Jacobi Polynomials 1754
General Relationships. Special Cases. 1756
Semi-cylindrical Functions 1756
Bibliography 1757

Chapter 13 Vector Fields 1759

13.1 Vector Boundary Conditions, Eigenfunctions and Green’s Functions 1762


13.2 Static and Steady-state Solutions 1791


13.3 Vector Wave Solutions 1812


Problems 1891

Table of Spherical Vector Harmonics 1898

Special Cases. Zonal Vector Harmonics. Integral and Differential Relations.

Bibliography 1901

Appendix 1903

Glossary of Symbols Used 1904
TABLES

1. Trigonometric and Hyperbolic Functions.

2. Trigonometric and Hyperbolic Functions.

3. Hyperbolic Tangent of Complex Quantity.

4. Inverse Hyperbolic Tangent of Complex Quantity.

5. Logarithmic and Inverse Hyperbolic Functions.


7. Legendre Functions for Large Arguments.

8. Legendre Functions for Imaginary Arguments.

9. Legendre Functions of Half-integral Degree.

10. Bessel Functions for Cylindrical Coordinates.

11. Hyperbolic Bessel Functions.

12. Bessel Functions for Spherical Coordinates.

13. Legendre Functions for Spherical Coordinates.


15. Amplitudes and Phases for Spherical Bessel Functions.


17. Normalizing Constants for Periodic Mathieu Functions and Limiting Values of Radial Mathieu Functions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Index
CHAPTER 1

Types of Fields

Our task in this book is to discuss the mathematical techniques which are useful in the calculation and analysis of the various types of fields occurring in modern physical theory. Emphasis will be given primarily to the exposition of the interrelation between the equations and the physical properties of the fields, and at times details of mathematical rigor will be sacrificed when it might interfere with the clarification of the physical background. Mathematical rigor is important and cannot be neglected, but the theoretical physicist must first gain a thorough understanding of the physical implications of the symbolic tools he is using before formal rigor can be of help. Other volumes are available which provide the rigor; this book will have fulfilled its purpose if it provides physical insight into the manifold field equations which occur in modern theory, together with a comprehension of the physical meaning behind the various mathematical techniques employed for their solution.

This first chapter will discuss the general properties of various fields and how these fields can be expressed in terms of various coordinate systems. The second chapter discusses the various types of partial differential equations which govern fields, and the third chapter treats of the relation between these equations and the fundamental variational principles developed by Hamilton and others for classical dynamics. The following few chapters will discuss the general mathematical tools which are needed to solve these equations, and the remainder of the work will be concerned with the detailed solution of individual equations.

Practically all of modern physics deals with fields: potential fields, probability fields, electromagnetic fields, tensor fields, and spinor fields.

Mathematically speaking, a field is a set of functions of the coordinates of a point in space. From the point of view of this book a field is some convenient mathematical idealization of a physical situation in which extension is an essential element, i.e., which cannot be analyzed in terms of the positions of a finite number of particles. The transverse displacement from equilibrium of a string under static forces is a very simple example of a one-dimensional field; the displacement $y$ is different for
different parts of the string, so that \( y \) can be considered as a function of the distance \( x \) along the string. The density, temperature, and pressure in a fluid transmitting sound waves can be considered as functions of the three coordinates and of time. Fields of this sort are obviously only approximate idealizations of the physical situation, for they take no account of the atomic properties of matter. We might call them material fields.

Other fields are constructs to enable us to analyze the problem of action at a distance, in which the relative motion and position of one body affects that of another. Potential and force fields, electromagnetic and gravitational fields are examples of this type. They are considered as being "caused" by some piece of matter, and their value at some point in space is a measure of the effect of this piece of matter on some test body at the point in question. It has recently become apparent that many of these fields are also only approximate idealizations of the actual physical situation, since they take no account of various quantum rules associated with matter. In some cases the theory can be modified so as to take the quantum rules into account in a more or less satisfactory way.

Finally, fields can be constructed to "explain" the quantum rules. Examples of these are the Schroedinger wave function and the spinor fields associated with the Dirac electron. In many cases the value of such a field at a point is closely related to a probability. For instance the square of the Schroedinger wave function is a measure of the probability that the elementary particle is present. Present quantum field theories suffer from many fundamental difficulties and so constitute one of the frontiers of theoretical physics.

In most cases considered in this book fields are solutions of partial differential equations, usually second-order, linear equations, either homogeneous or inhomogeneous. The actual physical situation has often to be simplified for this to be so, and the simplification can be justified on various pragmatic grounds. For instance, only the "smoothed-out density" of a gas is a solution of the wave equation, but this is usually sufficient for a study of sound waves, and the much more tedious calculation of the actual motions of the gas molecules would not add much to our knowledge of sound.

This Procrustean tendency to force the physical situation to fit the requirements of a partial differential equation results in a field which is both more regular and more irregular than the "actual" conditions. A solution of a differential equation is more smoothly continuous over most of space and time than is the corresponding physical situation, but it usually is also provided with a finite number of mathematical discontinuities which are considerably more "sharp" than the "actual" conditions exhibit. If the simplification has not been too drastic, most
of the quantities which can be computed from the field will correspond fairly closely to the measured values. In each case, however, certain discrepancies between calculated and measured values will turn up, due either to the "oversmooth" behavior of the field over most of its extent or to the presence of mathematical discontinuities and infinities in the computed field, which are not present in "real life." Sometimes these discrepancies are trivial, in that the inclusion of additional complexities in the computation of the field to obtain a better correlation with experiment will involve no conceptual modification in the theory; sometimes the discrepancies are far from trivial, and a modification of the theory to improve the correlation involves fundamental changes in concept and definitions. An important task of the theoretical physicist lies in distinguishing between trivial and nontrivial discrepancies between theory and experiment.

One indication that fields are often simplifications of physical reality is that fields often can be defined in terms of a limiting ratio of some sort. The density field of a fluid which is transmitting a sound wave is defined in terms of the "density at a given point," which is really the limiting ratio between the mass of fluid in a volume surrounding the given point and the magnitude of the volume, as this volume is reduced to "zero." The electric intensity "at a point" is the limiting ratio between the force on a test charge at the point and the magnitude of the test charge as this magnitude goes to "zero." The value of the square of the Schrödinger wave function is the limiting ratio between the probability that the particle is in a given volume surrounding a point and the magnitude of the volume as the volume is shrunk to "zero," and so on. A careful definition of the displacement of a "point" of a vibrating string would also utilize the notion of limiting ratio.

These mathematical platitudes are stressed here because the technique of the limiting ratio must be used with caution when defining and calculating fields. In other words, the terms "zero" in the previous paragraph must be carefully defined in order to achieve results which correspond to "reality." For instance the volume which serves to define the density field for a fluid must be reduced several orders of magnitude smaller than the cube of the shortest wavelength of transmitted sound in order to arrive at a ratio which is a reasonably accurate solution of the wave equation. On the other hand, this volume must not be reduced to a size commensurate with atomic dimensions, or the resulting ratio will lose its desirable properties of smooth continuity and would not be a useful construct. As soon as this limitation is realized, it is not difficult to understand that the description of a sound wave in terms of a field which is a solution of the wave equation is likely to become inadequate if the "wavelength" becomes shorter than interatomic distances.

In a similar manner we define the electric field in terms of a test
charge which is made small enough so that it will not affect the distribution of the charges "causing" the field. But if the magnitude of the test charge is reduced until it is the same order of magnitude as the electronic charge, we might expect the essential atomicity of charge to involve us in difficulties (although this is not necessarily so).

In some cases the limiting ratio can be carried to magnitudes as small as we wish. The probability fields of wave mechanics are as "fine-grained" as we can imagine at present.

1.1 Scalar Fields

When the field under consideration turns out to be a simple number, a single function of space and time, we call it a scalar field. The displacement of a string or a membrane from equilibrium is a scalar field. The density, pressure, and temperature of a fluid, given in terms of the sort of limiting ratio discussed previously, are scalar fields. As mentioned earlier, the limiting volume cannot be allowed to become as small as atomic dimensions in computing the ratio, for the concepts of density, pressure, etc., have little meaning for individual molecules. The ratios which define these fields must approach a "macroscopic limit" when the volume is small compared with the gross dimensions of the fluid but is still large compared with atomic size; otherwise there can be no physical meaning to the concept of scalar field here.

All these scalar fields have the property of invariance under a transformation of space coordinates (we shall discuss invariance under a space-time transformation later in this chapter). The numerical value of the field at a point is the same no matter how the coordinates of the point are expressed. The form of mathematical expression for the field may vary with the coordinates. For instance, a field expressed in rectangular coordinates may have the form \( \psi = y \); in spherical coordinates it has the different form \( \psi = r \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \); but in either coordinate system, at the point \( x = 10, y = 10, z = 0 \) \( (r = \sqrt{200}, \vartheta = 45^\circ, \varphi = 0) \) it has the value \( \psi = 10 \). This is to be contrasted to the behavior of the \( x \) component of the velocity of a fluid, where the direction of the \( x \) axis may change as the coordinates are changed. Therefore, the numerical value of the \( x \) component at a given point will change as the direction of the \( x \) axis is rotated.

This property of invariance of a scalar will be important in later discussions and is to be contrasted alternatively to the invariance of form of certain equations under certain coordinate transformations. For some of the scalar fields mentioned here, such as density or temperature or electric potential, the definition of the field has been such as to make the property of invariance almost tautological. This is not always the
case with less familiar fields, however. In some cases, in fact, the property of invariance must be used as a touchstone to find the proper expression for the field.

**Isotomic Surfaces.** The surfaces defined by the equation \( \psi = \text{constant} \), where \( \psi \) is the scalar field, may be called *isotomic surfaces* (from Greek *isotimos*, of equal worth). Isotomic surfaces are the obvious generalizations of the contour lines on a topographic map. In potential theory they are referred to as equipotentials; in heat conduction they are isothermals, etc. They form a family of nonintersecting surfaces,

![Fig. 1.1 Examples of isotomic surfaces, \( \mu = \text{constant} \), where](image)

\[
\begin{align*}
\cosh \mu &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(r + c)^2 + z^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(r - c)^2 + z^2}, \\
\cos \theta &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(r + c)^2 + z^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(r - c)^2 + z^2}, \\
\text{and of } \phi &= C, \text{ where } \tan \phi = y/x.
\end{align*}
\]

which are often useful in forming part of a system of coordinates naturally suitable for the problem. For instance if the field is the well-known potential \( \psi = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-1} \), the isotomic surfaces (in this case surfaces of constant potential) are concentric spheres of radius \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} = \text{constant} \); and the natural coordinates for the problem are the spherical ones, \( r, \theta, \phi \). Another set of surfaces is shown in Fig. 1.1, together with the corresponding coordinate system. The surfaces \( \mu = \text{constant} \) correspond to the equipotential surfaces about a circular charged disk of radius \( c \), lying in the \( x, y \) plane (\( \mu = 0 \)).

The derivatives of the scalar \( \psi \) with respect to the rectangular coordinates \( x, y, z \) measure the rapidity with which the field changes as we change position. For instance the change in \( \psi \) from the point \((x, y, z)\) to the point \((x + dx, y + dy, z + dz)\) is

\[
d\psi = \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) dx + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right) dy + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) dz \quad (1.1.1)
\]

If the two points are in the same isotomic surface \( d\psi = 0 \); in fact the
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The differential equation for these surfaces is

\[
\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right) dx + \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}\right) dy + \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right) dz = 0 \tag{1.1.2}
\]

The displacement \((dx, dy, dz)\) is perpendicular to the surface if the component displacements satisfy the equation.

\[
\frac{dx}{\partial \psi/\partial x} = \frac{dy}{\partial \psi/\partial y} = \frac{dz}{\partial \psi/\partial z} \tag{1.1.3}
\]

These relations are the differential equations for a family of lines, called the **normal lines**, which are everywhere perpendicular to the isotimic surfaces. Together with the isotimic surfaces they can be used to define the natural coordinate system for the field. For instance, for the field \(\psi = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\) the surfaces are spheres (as we noted before) and the normal lines are the radii, suggesting (but not completely defining) the spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\).

The normal lines are pointed along the direction of most rapid change of \(\psi\). A little manipulation of Eqs. (1.1.1) and (1.1.3) will show that the change of \(\psi\) as one goes a distance \(ds\) along a normal line is

\[
\sqrt{(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x})^2 + (\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y})^2 + (\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z})^2} \, ds
\]

The square-root factor is called the **magnitude of the gradient** of \(\psi\). Its properties will be discussed in more detail later in the chapter.

**The Laplacian.** An extremely important property of a scalar field is expressed in terms of its second derivatives. In the simple one-dimensional case where \(\psi\) is the transverse displacement of a string from its straight-line equilibrium position, the second derivative \(d^2\psi/dx^2\) is closely related to the difference between the value of \(\psi\) at \(x\) and its average values at neighboring points. Using the fundamental definition of the derivative

\[
\lim \{\psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}[\psi(x - dx) + \psi(x + dx)]\} = -\frac{1}{2} \lim \{[\psi(x + dx) - \psi(x)] - [\psi(x) - \psi(x - dx)]\} = -\frac{1}{2} (\frac{d\psi}{dx})(dx)^2
\]

Consequently if the second derivative is negative, \(\psi\) at \(x\) is larger than the average of \(\psi\) at \(x + dx\) and \(x - dx\) and the plot of \(\psi\) against \(x\) will have a downward curvature at that point. If the second derivative is zero, \(\psi\) will have no curvature.

It is not difficult to see that the equation for the shape of a stretched, flexible string acted on by a transverse force \(F(x)\) per unit length of string, distributed along the string, must be expressed in terms of this second derivative. For the greater the transverse force at a point, the greater must be the curvature of the string there, in order that the tension \(T\) along the string may have greater transverse components to equalize
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the force. The equation turns out to be

$$T(x, y, z) = F(x, y, z)$$

as a detailed analysis of the problem will show later.

We now seek a three-dimensional counterpart to this measure of curvature of $\psi$. The limiting value of the difference between $\psi$ at $x$ and the average value of $\psi$ at neighboring points is

$$-\frac{1}{2} (dx \, dy \, dz) \nabla^2 \psi,$$

where

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2}$$

(1.1.4)

is the obvious generalization of the one-dimensional second-derivative operator. The mathematical operation given in Eq. (1.1.4) is labeled by the symbol $\nabla^2$ (read del squared), which is called the Laplace operator. The result of the operation on the function $\psi$ is called the Laplacian of $\psi$. If $\nabla^2 \psi$ is negative at some point there is a tendency for $\psi$ to concentrate at that point.

One immediate consequence of this definition is that a scalar function $\psi(x, y, z)$ can have no maxima or minima in a region where $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$. This is a result of considerable importance.

The equation $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$, called Laplace's equation, occurs in so many parts of physical theory that it is well to have a clear picture of its meaning. Accordingly we shall quote a number of facts concerning the solutions of Laplace's equation which will not be proved till later in the chapter.

Suppose a perfectly elastic membrane to be in equilibrium under a uniform tension applied around its boundary edge. If the edge lies entirely in a plane, then the membrane will lie entirely in the plane. If the boundary support is distorted so that it no longer lies all in a plane, the membrane will be distorted also. This distortion can be represented by $\psi(x, y)$, the displacement, normal to the plane, of the point $(x, y)$ on the membrane. It turns out that this displacement satisfies Laplace's equation in two dimensions, $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$. The equation simply corresponds to the statement that the tension straightens out all the "bulges" in the membrane, that the displacement at any point equals the average value of the displacement for neighboring points. It also corresponds (as we shall prove later) to the statement that the membrane has arranged itself so that its average slope is as small as it can be. Since the total stretching of the membrane is proportional to the average square of the slope, we see that the Laplace equation for the membrane corresponds to the requirement that the membrane assumes a shape involving the least stretching possible.

An additional loading force on the membrane, perpendicular to the equilibrium plane $\psi = 0$, produces a "bulging" of the membrane. As will be proved later, the Laplacian of $\psi$ at a point on a loaded membrane
is proportional to the load per unit area at that point. One can say that
the two-dimensional Laplacian operator measures the "bulpiness" of the
shape function $\psi$.

The generalization of this discussion to three dimensions is somewhat
harder to picture but not more complicated in principle. We might
picture the scalar function $\psi$ as corresponding to the concentration of a
solute in a solvent. The three-dimensional analogue of "bulginess"
might be termed "lumpiness"; if there is a tendency for the solute to
"lump together" at any point, the Laplacian of the concentration will be

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 1.2** Shape of circular membrane loaded uniformly ($\nabla^2 \psi = \text{constant}$) from $r = 0$ to $r = \frac{1}{2}$, unloaded ($\nabla^2 \psi = 0$) from $r = \frac{1}{2}$ to $r = 1$, where it is
damped. negative there. In a case where $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$, the solute has no "lumpiness"
at all, its density arranging itself so as to average out as smoothly as
possible the differences imposed by the boundary conditions. As in the
two-dimensional case, the Laplace equation corresponds to the require-
ment that $\psi$ at every point be equal to the average value of $\psi$ at neighboring
points.

The presence of electric charge density $\rho$ causes a (negative) concen-
tration of the electric potential $\psi$, so that $\nabla^2 \psi = -\rho/\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a
constant. The presence of a distributed source of heat $Q$ in a solid causes
a concentration of temperature $T$, so that $\nabla^2 T = KQ$, where $K$ is a
constant. In a great many cases the scalar field is affected by a source
function $q(x,y,z)$ (which is itself another scalar field, obeying some other
equations) according to the equation

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = -q$$

(1.1.5)

This equation is called *Poisson's equation*. We shall discuss it in more
detail later in this chapter and shall devote a considerable amount of
space later in the book to its solution.

1.2 Vector Fields

We have discussed in a preliminary sort of way a number of fields
which were characterized by a single magnitude at each point. These
were called scalar fields. Many other fields require a magnitude and direction to be given at each point for complete characterization. These will be called vector fields. These fields also can often be defined in terms of limiting ratios, though the definitions are usually more complex than those for scalar fields. The force on a portion of fluid in a gravitational or electric field is a vector, having magnitude and direction. The limit of the ratio between this force and the volume occupied by the portion of fluid, as this volume is decreased in size, defines a vector at each point in space, which is the force field. As with scalar fields it is sometimes important not to let the volume decrease to atomic dimensions.

Sometimes the vector field is most easily defined by a scalar ratio, which has a direction inherent in it. For instance, for an electric conductor carrying current we can imagine an instrument which would measure the amount of current crossing an element of area $dA$ centered at some point in the conductor. We should find that the amount of current measured would depend not only on the size of $dA$ but also on the orientation of the element $dA$. In fact the measurement would turn out to correspond to the expression $J dA \cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the normal to $dA$ and some direction which is characteristic of the current distribution. The magnitude of the vector field at the point measured would then be $J$, and the direction would be that which defines the angle $\theta$.

Vector fields in three dimensions are specified by giving three quantities at each point, the magnitude and two angles giving the direction, or three components of the vector along the three coordinate axes. Four-vectors will be considered later.

Boldface Latin capital letters (A,F,X) will be used in this book to denote vectors; the corresponding normal-weight letters (A,F,X) will denote the corresponding magnitudes (normal-weight letters will usually denote scalar quantities). The components of A along the three coordinate axes will be denoted $A_x$, $A_y$, and $A_z$. A vector of unit length in the direction of A is denoted by a; and in conformity with usual practice the unit vectors along the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions will be labeled i, j, and k, respectively. Unit vectors along curvilinear coordinate axes will usually be labeled a, with a subscript indicating the axis in question (for instance, in polar coordinates, the unit vector along r is $a_r$, that along $\theta$ is $a_\theta$, etc.). Unless otherwise noted the coordinate systems used will be right-handed ones: if a right-hand screw is placed along the z axis, then a rotation from x into y will move the screw in the positive z direction; or if the x, y plane is on a blackboard which is faced by the observer, the x direction can point to the observer's right, the $y$ axis can point upward, and the z axis will then point toward the observer.

In the notation given above vectors A and B obey the following general equations:
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\[ \mathbf{A} = A_x \mathbf{i} + A_y \mathbf{j} + A_z \mathbf{k} \]
\[ \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = (A_x + B_x) \mathbf{i} + (A_y + B_y) \mathbf{j} + (A_z + B_z) \mathbf{k} \]

(1.2.1)

which constitute a definition of vector components and of vector addition.

Vectors are not invariant to change of coordinates in the same sense as scalars are; for their components change as the coordinate directions change. The transformation properties of vectors will be discussed later.

**Multiplication of Vectors.** Two vectors can be multiplied together in two different ways: one resulting in a scalar and the other in vector. The **scalar or dot product** of two vectors \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) is equal to the product of the magnitude of one by the component of the other in the direction of the first:

\[ \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = AB \cos \vartheta = A_x B_x + A_y B_y + A_z B_z \]

(1.2.2)

where \( \vartheta \) is the angle between \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \). The expression \( AB \cos \vartheta \) is independent of the coordinate system used to compute the components \( A_x, \) etc., so that the value of the dot product is independent of the coordinate system chosen. The dot product is therefore a true scalar, the simplest invariant which can be formed from two vectors.

The dot product is a useful form for expressing many physical quantities: The work done in moving a body equals the dot product of the force and the displacement; the electrical energy density in space is proportional to the dot product of the electric intensity and the electric displacement; and so on. The dot product of two unit vectors is equal to the direction cosine relating the two directions. The maximum value of the dot product of two vectors is obtained when the two vectors are parallel; it is zero when the two are perpendicular. In a sense the dot product is a measure of the coalignment of the two vectors.

The **vector or cross product** \( \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \) of two vectors is a vector with magnitude equal to the area of the parallelogram defined by the two vectors and with direction perpendicular to this parallelogram. The choice as to which end of the perpendicular to put the arrowhead is decided arbitrarily by making the trio \( \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \) a right-handed system: if a right-hand screw is placed perpendicular to \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \), a rotation of \( \mathbf{A} \) into \( \mathbf{B} \) will move the screw in the direction of \( \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \).

In terms of right-hand rectangular coordinates

\[ \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = (A_y B_z - B_y A_z) \mathbf{i} + (A_x B_z - B_x A_z) \mathbf{j} + (A_x B_y - B_x A_y) \mathbf{k} \]

(1.2.3)

Magnitude of \( \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = AB \sin \vartheta \)

We note that vector multiplication is not commutative, for \( \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A} \).

**Axial Vectors.** Although the cross product of two vectors is a vector with most of the transformation properties of a "true" vector (as we shall see later), there is one difference which is of importance. The cross product, as defined in Eq. (1.2.3), changes sign if we change from a right-
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handed to a left-handed system of coordinates. This is another aspect of the fact that the cross product has the directional properties of an element of area rather than of an arrow. The direction connected with an area element is uniquely determined, the direction normal to the element, except that there is no unequivocal rule as to which side of the element is the positive direction of the vector. The area fixes the shank of the arrow, so to speak, but does not say which end should bear the point. Which end does carry the point must be decided by some purely arbitrary rule such as the right-hand rule, mentioned above, which we shall use in this book.

Arealike vectors, with a fixed shank but interchangeable head, are called axial vectors (they are also sometimes called pseudovectors). We shall see later that the three components of an axial vector are actually the three components of a second-order antisymmetric tensor in three dimensions. Indeed only in three dimensions is it possible to represent an antisymmetric tensor by an axial vector.

As indicated above, the axial vector associated with an element of area $dA$ can be written:

$$dA = n \, dA = dx \times dy$$

where $n$ is the unit normal to the element and where $dx$ and $dy$ are the vectors associated with the component elements $dx$ and $dy$. If the first two notations are used, it is necessary in addition to indicate which side of the element is positive; if the last notation is used, our arbitrary right-hand rule will automatically decide the question.

Other axial vectors can be represented in terms of cross products: the angular momentum of a particle about some point is equal to the cross product of the vector representing the particle’s momentum and the radius vector from the origin to the particle; the torque equals the cross product of the force vector and the vector representing the lever arm; and so on. Rotation fixes a plane and an axis normal to the plane, the characteristics of an axial vector. According to our convention the direction of a rotational vector follows the motion of a right-handed screw turned by the rotation.

A useful example of a product of three vectors is the scalar triple product

$$A \cdot (B \times C) = B \cdot (C \times A) = C \cdot (A \times B) = \begin{vmatrix} A_x & A_y & A_z \\ B_x & B_y & B_z \\ C_x & C_y & C_z \end{vmatrix} \quad (1.2.4)$$

The magnitude of this quantity is equal to the volume (or to minus the volume) enclosed by the parallelepiped defined by the vectors $A$, $B$, and $C$. Such a scalar, a dot product of an axial vector and a true vector, which changes sign if we change from left- to right-handed coordinates or if we interchange the order of the vectors, is sometimes called a pseudoscalar.
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We note that the dot product of two axial vectors (or of two true vectors) is a "true" scalar, with no uncertainty in sign.

Incidentally, the rules governing the products of the unit vectors are

\[ i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = 1; \quad i \cdot j = j \cdot k = k \cdot i = 0 \]
\[ i \times j = \cdots = 0; \quad i \times k = j; \quad j \times k = i; \quad k \times i = j \] (1.2.5)

Lines of Flow. As we have said earlier, a vector field is defined by specifying a vector at each point in space, in other words, by specifying a vector which is a function of \( x, y, \) and \( z: \mathbf{F}(x,y,z) \). In most cases of interest this vector is a continuous function of \( x, y, \) and \( z, \) except at isolated points, or singularities, or along isolated lines, or singular lines. Where the vector is continuous, we can define lines of flow of the field, which are lines at every point tangent to the vector at that point. The differential equation for the lines is obtained by requiring that the components \( dx, dy, dz \) of displacement along the line be proportional to the components \( F_x, F_y, \) and \( F_z \) of the vector field at that point:

\[ \frac{dx}{F_x} = \frac{dy}{F_y} = \frac{dz}{F_z} \] (1.2.6)

Compare this with Eq. (1.1.3).

In certain simple cases these equations can be integrated to obtain the algebraic equations for the family of lines of flow. For instance, if

\[ F_x = -ay, \quad F_y = ax, \quad F_z = b(x^2 + y^2) \]

the lines of flow are helical. The equation \( dx/F_x = dy/F_y \) becomes \( x dx = -y dy \), which integrates to the equation for the circular cylinder, \( x^2 + y^2 = \varphi^2 \), with \( \varphi \) an arbitrary constant, denoting the particular line of flow chosen. The equation \( dy/F_y = dz/F_z \) becomes \( \varphi^2 dy/\sqrt{\varphi^2 - y^2} = (a/h) dx \), after substitution for \( x \) from the equation relating \( x \) and \( y \). This integrates to

\[ z = \left( b\varphi^2/a \right) \sin^{-1} \left( y/\varphi \right) + \theta = \left( b/a \right) \left( x^2 + y^2 \right) \tan^{-1} \left( y/x \right) + \theta \]
where \( \varphi \) is the other constant of integration which is needed to specify completely a particular line of flow. The two equations

\[
\varphi = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \theta = z - \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)(x^2 + y^2) \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)
\]

define a doubly infinite family of flow lines, one line for each pair of values chosen for \( \varphi \) and \( \theta \).

Another example is the case \( F_x = x/r^3, \ F_y = y/r^2, \ F_z = z/r^2 \), where \( r^2 = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \). The equations for the flow lines reduce to \( dz/x = dy/y = dz/z \). The first equation gives \( \ln(x) = \ln(y) + \text{constant} \), or \( x/y = \text{constant} \). Similarly we have either \( x/z = \text{constant} \) or, combining the two, \( (x^2 + y^2)/z^2 = \text{constant} \). The most suitable forms for the constants of integration, analogous to the forms given in the previous paragraph, are

\[
\varphi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right); \quad \theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/z\right)
\]

Again, a choice of values for \( \varphi \) and \( \theta \) picks out a particular flow line; in this case a straight line radiating from the origin.

From another point of view \( \varphi \) and \( \theta \) can be considered as functions of \( x, y, \) and \( z \) and are called flow functions. The values of \( \varphi \) and \( \theta \) at some point label the flow lines at that point. From still another point of view the two families of surfaces \( \varphi = \text{constant} \) and \( \theta = \text{constant} \) can be considered as two families of coordinate surfaces for a generalized system of coordinates. The intersection of two such surfaces, \( \varphi = \varphi_0 \) and \( \theta = \theta_0 \), is the flow line corresponding to the pair \( (\varphi_0, \theta_0) \) and is a coordinate line in the new system.

**Potential Surfaces.** The lines of flow may also determine another family of surfaces, perpendicular to the lines (unless the lines are so
“curled” that no such set of surfaces can exist). By analogy with Eq. (1.1.2) the equation for such a surface is

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{ds} = F_x \, dx + F_y \, dy + F_z \, dz = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2.7)$$
corresponding to the fact that any displacement vector in the surface must be perpendicular to $\mathbf{F}$.

In certain cases this equation is integrable. If there is a function $\psi$ such that $\mu(\partial \psi / \partial x) = F_x$, $\mu(\partial \psi / \partial y) = F_y$, $\mu(\partial \psi / \partial z) = F_z$, then the equation for the family of surfaces becomes $\psi = \text{constant}$. The quantity $\mu$ may be a function of $x, y, \text{ and } z$ and is called an integrating factor. The criterion for whether an equation for the surfaces exists in integral form is developed as follows: Assuming that there is a $\psi$ as defined above, we substitute in the expression

$$F_x \left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \right) + F_y \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} \right) + F_z \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$= \mu \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \mu \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \left( \mu \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \left( \mu \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right) + \mu \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \mu \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2.8)$$

If there is a function $\psi$, this last expression is zero. Therefore, if the expression involving the components of $\mathbf{F}$ turns out to be zero, then it is possible to integrate the differential equation for the surfaces perpendicular to the flow lines. In other words, if the vector whose $x, y,$ and $z$ components are $\left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \right), \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} \right), \text{ and } \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right)$ is perpendicular to the vector $\mathbf{F}$ at every point, then it is possible to obtain the equation for the normal surfaces in integral form, as $\psi(x, y, z) = \text{constant}$. More will be said concerning this vector later. The function $\psi$ is called a pseudopotential function.

In certain special cases $\mu$ is a constant and can be set equal to $(-1)$, so that $F_x = - (\partial \psi / \partial x), F_y = - (\partial \psi / \partial y), F_z = - (\partial \psi / \partial z)$. The excuse for the negative sign will appear later. In these cases the scalar function is called the potential function for the vector field $\mathbf{F}$, and the surfaces $\psi = \text{constant}$ are called equipotential surfaces. For this to be true, the three quantities $\left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \right), \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} \right), \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right)$ must each be zero, as can be seen by substituting the expressions assumed for the components of $\mathbf{F}$ in terms of $\psi$.

In other cases the equation for the surface is not integrable, either with or without the use of the integrating factor; then it is impossible to find a sensibly behaved family of surfaces everywhere perpendicular to the lines of flow. We shall return to this discussion on page 18.
In the first example given in the previous section, where the lines of flow are helices, the vector whose components are
\[
\left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} \right) = 2by; \quad \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} \right) = -2bx; \quad \left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right) = 2a
\]
is perpendicular to the vector \( \mathbf{F} \). Therefore, the differential equation (1.2.7) for the family of surfaces is integrable. Dividing the equation by the integrating factor \( \mu = x^2 + y^2 \) results in a perfect differential. Setting \( \psi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) + \left( \frac{b}{a} \right) \xi \), we find that

\[
F_x = (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}; \quad F_y = (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}; \quad F_z = (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}
\]
so that the integrated equation corresponding to (1.2.7) for this case is \( \psi = \text{constant} \). The system of surfaces \( \psi = \text{constant}, \ \vartheta = \text{constant}, \ \text{and} \ \varphi = \text{constant} \) form a set of generalized coordinate surfaces (in this case not mutually perpendicular) which are the natural ones for the vector field considered. The values of \( \varphi \) and \( \vartheta \) for a point determine which flow line goes through the point, and the value of \( \psi \) determines the position of the point along the flow line.

In the second example considered above, the quantities\( \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} \right), \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} \right), \) and \( \left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right) \) are all zero, so that Eq. (1.2.7) is integrable directly, without need of an integrating factor. The function

\[
\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}
\]
is, therefore, a potential function, and the spherical surfaces \( \psi = \text{constant} \) are equipotential surfaces. The components of \( \mathbf{F} \) are related to \( \psi \) by the required equations:

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = -\frac{x}{r^2} = -F_x; \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = -\frac{y}{r^2} = -F_y; \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} = -\frac{z}{r^2} = -F_z;
\]

\[
r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2
\]
The coordinate system corresponding to the flow lines and the potential surfaces is the spherical system

\[
\varphi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right); \quad \theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{z} \right); \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}
\]
In this case the coordinate surfaces are mutually perpendicular.

When a family of equipotential surfaces is possible, the vector field \( \mathbf{F} \) can then be represented in terms of the scalar potential field \( \psi \), and it is usually much easier to compute the scalar field first, obtaining the vector field by differentiation.
Surface Integrals. There are a number of general properties of vector fields and their accompanying lines of flow which are important in our work. One is the “spreading out” or “net outflow” of lines of flow in a given region, whether lines originate or are lost in the region or simply pass through the region from one side to the other. Another interesting property is the amount by which the lines of force have “curled up” on themselves, whether the vector field is one with “whirlpools” in it or not.

The amount of divergence of flow lines out from a region can be measured by means of the surface integral. Suppose that we consider an element of surface area as being represented by the infinitesimal axial vector \( \mathbf{dA} \), of magnitude equal to the area of the surface element and having direction perpendicular to the surface. The scalar product \( \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{dA} \) then equals the product of the surface area with the component of the vector \( \mathbf{F} \) normal to the surface. If the vector field \( \mathbf{F}(x,y,z) \) represents the velocity vector for fluid motion, then \( \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{dA} \) will equal the volume flow of fluid across the surface element and the integral \( \int \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{dA} \) will equal the total volume flow over the surface covered by the integration. Its sign depends on the choice of direction of the axial vectors \( \mathbf{dA} \), that is, on whether they point away from one side of the surface or the other. Its magnitude is sometimes called the number of flow lines of \( \mathbf{F} \) crossing the surface in question, a phrase which, in a sense, defines what we mean by “number of flow lines.”

If the surface integrated over is a closed one, and if the vectors \( \mathbf{dA} \) are chosen to point away from the region enclosed by the surface, then the integral will be written as \( \oint \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{dA} \) and will be called the net outflow integral of the vector \( \mathbf{F} \) for the region enclosed by the surface. When \( \mathbf{F} \) is the fluid velocity, then this integral equals the net volume outflow of fluid from the region in question. The enclosing surface need not be a single one, enclosing a simply connected region; it may be two surfaces, an inner and outer one; or it may be more than two surfaces. For that matter, the outer surface may be at infinity, so the region “enclosed” is all of space outside the inner surface or surfaces. In this case the vectors \( \mathbf{dA} \) at the inner surface must point inward, away from the “enclosed” region.

The integral \( \oint \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{dA} \) is a measure of the number of flow lines originating within the enclosed region. If no flow lines originate there, all lines passing through from one side to the other, then the net outflow integral is zero.

Source Point. One simple case of vector field is particularly important in our later work and illustrates some interesting properties of net outflow integrals. This is the case where all flow lines originate at one point \( O \), when the vector \( \mathbf{F} \) at \( P \) has the magnitude \( Q/r^2 \) and is pointed outward along \( r \). The quantity \( r \) is the distance from \( O \) to \( P \), as shown
in Fig. 1.5, the point O is called a simple source of flow lines, and the constant Q is called the strength of the source. The element of the surface integral for such a case is $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{A} = \left(\frac{Q}{r^2}\right) \cos \theta \, \mathrm{d}A$. However, $\mathrm{d}A = \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{r^2}\right)$ is equal to $\mathrm{d}\Omega$, the element of solid angle subtended by the element of area $\mathrm{d}A$ when its normal is inclined at an angle $\theta$ to the radius. The net outflow integral thus reduces to $Q \int \mathrm{d}\Omega$, which is zero when $O$ is outside the region enclosed by the integral and is equal to $4\pi Q$ when $O$ is inside this region. Detailed analysis similar to the above shows that this is true for all shapes and varieties of enclosing surfaces.

The foregoing paragraph is a rather backhanded way of defining a simple source. A more straightforward way would be to say that a simple source of strength $Q$ is a point singularity in a vector field such that a net outflow integral of the field over any surface enclosing the singularity is equal to $4\pi Q$.

The theorem which we have obtained can be stated by means of an equation

$$\int \left(\frac{Q}{r^2}\right) \mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{A} = \begin{cases} 
0; & \text{source outside enclosed region} \\
4\pi Q; & \text{source inside enclosed region}
\end{cases} \quad (1.2.9)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_r$ is the unit vector pointing outward along $\mathbf{r}$.

Sometimes the vector field is a combination due to several simple sources, one at $O_1$ with strength $Q_1$, one at $O_2$ with strength $Q_2$, one at $O_n$ with strength $Q_n$, etc. In other words

$$\mathbf{F} = \Sigma \left(\frac{Q_n}{r_n^2}\right) \mathbf{a}_{rn}$$

where $r_n$ is the distance from the point $O_n$ to $P$ and $\mathbf{a}_{rn}$ is the unit vector pointing along $r_n$. In this case the net outflow integral becomes

$$\int \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{A} = \Sigma \int \left(\frac{Q_n}{r_n^2}\right) \mathbf{a}_{rn} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{A} = \Sigma' A_n Q_n \quad (1.2.10)$$

where the unprimed summation is over all the sources but the primed summation is over only those sources inside the enclosed region.

**Line Integrals.** Instead of integrating the normal component of a vector over a surface, one can also integrate its component along a line. If $\mathbf{ds}$ is the vector element of length along some path, the integral $\int \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{ds}$,
taken along this path, is called the line integral of \( \mathbf{F} \) along the path. When \( \mathbf{F} \) is a force vector, its line integral is the work done along the path; when \( \mathbf{F} \) is the electric intensity, the line integral is the emf between the ends of the path; and so on.

In general the value of the line integral between two points depends on the choice of path between the points. In some cases, however, it depends only on the position of the end points. This is the case discussed on page 14, where the components of \( \mathbf{F} \) are derivatives of a potential function \( \psi \). In such a case the line integral from a point \( O \) to another point \( P \), along path \( A \), is equal in magnitude and opposite in sign to the line integral in the reverse direction from \( P \) to \( O \) along another path \( B \). Therefore, the integral around the closed path from \( O \) along \( A \) to \( P \), then along \( B \) back to \( O \), is zero for such a field. In general, however, a line integral of a vector field around a closed path is not zero.

The line integral around a closed path will be designated by the expression

\[
\oint \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{ds}
\]

and will be called the net circulation integral for \( \mathbf{F} \) around the path chosen. This integral is a measure of the tendency of the field's flow lines to "curl up." For instance if the flow lines are closed loops (as are the lines of magnetic intensity around a wire carrying current), a line integral of \( \mathbf{F} \) around one of the loops will, of course, not be zero. The expression is called a circulation integral, because if \( \mathbf{F} \) represents fluid velocity, then the integral \( \oint \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{ds} \) is a measure of the circulation of fluid around the path chosen.

We have seen above that, whenever the vector field has a potential function, the net circulation integral is zero. Therefore, we designate all fields having potential functions as circulation-free or irrotational fields. Fields having pseudopotentials do not necessarily have zero net circulation integrals. Indeed this will be true only if the gradient of \( \mu \) (discussed on page 14) is everywhere parallel to \( \mathbf{F} \).

There are cases of vector fields which are irrotational everywhere except inside a limited region of space; in other words all the net circulation integrals enclosing this region differ from zero and all those not enclosing the region are zero. By analogy with the fluid-flow problem we call the region which "produces" the circulation a vortex region. Vortex regions must be of tubular shape, and the tube can never begin or end. It must either extend to infinity at both ends or close on itself to form a doughnut-shaped region. The only way for a vortex region to end above \( V \) (see Fig. 1.6) would be to have the circulation integral about path \( A \) different from zero, and to have the integrals about paths \( B, C \), and \( D \) all equal to zero. However, a little close reasoning will show that, when the integral around \( A \) is not zero and, for instance, those
around $B$ and $C$ are zero, then the integral around $D$ cannot be zero. For by pairing off adjacent segments of the different paths one can show that the sum of the four line integrals around $A$, $B$, $C$, and $D$ must be zero, since each pair consists of segments integrated over in opposite directions, which must cancel each other exactly. Therefore, if the integrals over $B$ and $C$ are zero, the integral over $D$ must equal minus that over $A$, which by definition is not zero. Therefore, the vortex region cannot stop at $V$ but must continue on in some such manner as is shown by the dotted region in Fig. 1.6. (Of course this reasoning tacitly assumes that the field is continuous at the vortex boundaries; anything may happen if discontinuities are present.)
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**Fig. 1.6** Paths of net circulation integrals about vortex regions.

A vortex region may, of course, "fray out" into several tubes, some of which may return on themselves and others may go to infinity. It is possible to extend the theorem given above to include these more general cases; a differential form of the generalized theorem will be given on page 43.

**Vortex Line.** The simplest sort of vortex region is the *simple vortex line*, whose field is given by the equation

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{2}{r} (\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{a}_r) = \frac{2Q}{r} \mathbf{a}_r$$

(1.2.11)

where $\mathbf{Q}$, sometimes called the *vorticity vector*, is a vector of arbitrary length pointed along the vortex line $L$ and $\mathbf{q}$ is a unit vector in the same direction. The vector $\mathbf{r}$ represents the line perpendicular to $\mathbf{Q}$ between $L$ and the point $P$ where the field is measured, $\mathbf{a}_r$ is the unit vector in the same direction, and $\mathbf{a}_\varphi = \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{a}_r$ is a unit vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{a}_r$ and $\mathbf{Q}$. For a curve in a plane perpendicular to $L$, the line integral for this field is

$$\oint \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 2Q \oint \cos \frac{\alpha}{r} \, ds$$

where $\alpha$ is the angle between $d\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{a}_\varphi$. 
The second part of Fig. 17 shows that \( ds \cos \alpha/r = d\varphi \) is the element of angle of rotation of \( r \) about \( L \). Therefore, the net circulation integral reduces to \( 2Q \int d\varphi \) which can be integrated directly. For path \( B \), enclosing \( L \), it equals \( 4\pi Q \); for path \( A \), not enclosing \( L \), it equals zero.

This argument can be extended to paths not in a plane perpendicular to \( L \), and the final result for the field of the field of the simple vortex line is

\[
\oint \left( \frac{2Q}{r} \right) a_\varphi \cdot ds = \begin{cases} 
0; & L \text{ not enclosed by path} \\
\pm 4\pi Q; & L \text{ enclosed by path}
\end{cases} \quad (1.2.12)
\]

for all possible paths. The plus sign is used when the integration is clockwise about \( L \) when viewed in the direction of positive \( Q \); the minus sign when the integration is in the opposite sense.

**Singualarities of Fields.** It is interesting to notice the parallelism between the properties of the net outflow integral near a simple source field, discussed on page 17, and the net circulation integral near a vortex line, discussed immediately above. The source and the line are the simplest examples of singularities in vector fields. As our discussion progresses, we shall find that singularities like these two are usually the most important aspects of scalar and vector fields. The physical peculiarities of the problem at hand are usually closely related to the sort of singularities the field has. Likewise the mathematical properties of the solutions of differential equations are determined by the sorts of singularities which the equations and its solutions have. Much of our time will be spent in discussing the physical and mathematical properties of singularities in fields.

The field due to a simple source radiates out from a point, whereas that due to a vortex line rotates around the line. It is possible to spread sources out into lines or surfaces or even volume distributions, and it is possible to extend vortices into surfaces or volume distributions, but it is not possible to crowd a vortex into a point. This property is related to the fact that a rotation requires an axis, a line, to rotate about.

An interesting property which net circulation integrals and net outflow integrals, for any sort of field, have in common is their “additive-
For instance, in Fig. 1.8, the net circulation integral about path $C$ equals the sum of the integrals for paths $A$ and $B$, because of the fact that the integration over the internal portion $D$ is covered in opposite directions in paths $A$ and $B$ and, therefore, cancels out in the sum, leaving only the integral over path $C$. Similarly the net outflow integral for any region is equal to the sum of the net outflow integrals for all the subregions which together make up the original region. This again is due to the fact that the integrals over the surfaces internal to the original surface always occur in pairs, which cancel each other out in the sum, leaving only the integral over the outer surface of the original region.

1.3 Curvilinear Coordinates

Up to this point we have been tacitly assuming that the fields we have been discussing could always be expressed in terms of the three rectangular coordinates $x, y, z$ (the fourth, or time, coordinate will be discussed later). Vector and scalar fields can in general be so expressed, but it often is much more convenient to express them in terms of other coordinate systems. We have already seen that it is sometimes possible to set up a system of coordinates "natural" to a vector field, using the lines of flow and the potential surfaces. In many cases the nature of the field is determined by specification of its behavior at a boundary surface or by specifying the nature and position of its singularities (or both), and the "natural" coordinate system for the field will bear some simple relationship to the boundary surface or to the distribution of singularities (or both). In many cases the expression for the field has a simple and tractable form in terms of these "natural" coordinates, whereas in terms of $x, y, z$ the expression is complex and the necessary calculations are intractable.

For all these reasons, and for others which will become apparent as we delve into the subject, we find it expedient to learn how to express our fields and the differential and integral operators which act on them in terms of generalized three-dimensional coordinates. We shall restrict ourselves to orthogonal coordinates, where the three families of coordinate surfaces are mutually perpendicular, because problems which require non-
orthogonal coordinates practically never can be solved exactly. Approximate techniques for solving such problems usually involve the use of solutions for orthogonal coordinate systems.

A generalized coordinate system consists of a threefold family of surfaces whose equations in terms of the rectangular coordinates are \( \xi_1(x, y, z) = \text{constant} \), \( \xi_2(x, y, z) = \text{constant} \), \( \xi_3(x, y, z) = \text{constant} \) (we assume that the reader is sufficiently acquainted with the properties of the rectangular coordinates \( x, y, z \) so that several pages of boring and obvious remarks can be omitted here). These equations give the functions \( \xi_1 \), \( \xi_2 \), and \( \xi_3 \) as functions of \( x, y, \) and \( z \). In many cases it is more convenient to invert the equations and express \( x, y, \) and \( z \) in terms of \( \xi_1 \), \( \xi_2 \), and \( \xi_3 \).

![Fig. 1.9 Element of curvilinear coordinate system with unit vectors \( \mathbf{a}_n \) and direction cosines \( \gamma_{nm} \).](image)

The lines of intersection of these surfaces constitute three families of lines, in general curved. At the point \((x, y, z) \) or \((\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \) we place three unit vectors \( \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \) and \( \mathbf{a}_3 \), each tangent to the corresponding coordinate line of the curvilinear system which goes through the point. These will be the new trio of unit vectors, in terms of which we can express the vector field \( \mathbf{F} \). We note that the vectors \( \mathbf{a} \) are of unit length, i.e., 1 cm, or whatever the unit used, the same length as the vectors \( \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \). For orthogonal systems the vectors \( \mathbf{a} \) at a point are mutually perpendicular.

**Direction Cosines.** The direction cosines of the unit vector \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) with respect to the old axes are called \( \alpha_1 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{i}, \beta_1 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{j}, \gamma_1 = \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}; \) those of \( \mathbf{a}_2 \) are \( \alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2; \) and so on. In general these direction cosines will vary from point to point in space; i.e., the \( \alpha' \)'s, \( \beta' \)'s, and \( \gamma' \)'s will be functions of \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \) and \( \xi_3 \). From the properties of direction cosines we see that

\[
\alpha_n^2 + \beta_n^2 + \gamma_n^2 = 1; \quad n = 1, 2, 3
\]

for all values of the coordinates.

If the new unit vectors \( \mathbf{a} \) are mutually perpendicular everywhere, the new coordinate system is **orthogonal.** In this case \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) are the
three direction cosines of \( i \) with respect to the \( a \)'s, and the nine direction
cosines are symmetrical with respect to the two systems. To emphasize
this symmetry we can relabel these quantities:

\[
\alpha_n = \gamma_n^1; \quad \beta_n = \gamma_n^2; \quad \gamma_n = \gamma_n^3,
\]
so that \( a_n = \gamma_n^1 i + \gamma_n^2 j + \gamma_n^3 k; \quad i = \sum_n \gamma_n^3 a_n; \quad \text{etc.} \)

Since \( i \cdot i = 1, \ i \cdot j = 0, \ a_1 \cdot a_1 = 1, \ a_1 \cdot a_2 = 0, \ \text{etc.} \), the above relations between the direction cosines and the unit vectors result in a series of equations interrelating the values of the \( \gamma \)'s:

\[
\sum_n \gamma_{mx} \gamma_{nx} = \sum_n \gamma_{my} \gamma_{ny} = \delta_{mn} \quad (1.3.1)
\]

where \( \delta_{mn} \) is the Kronecker delta function, which is zero when \( m \) is not equal to \( n \), unity when \( m \) equals \( n \).

Referring to Eq. (1.2.4) we note that, if the \( \xi \) coordinate system is
right-handed (the \( x, y, z \) system is assumed to be right-handed), the
determinant of the \( \gamma \)'s, \( |\gamma_{mn}| \), is equal to \( +1 \); if the \( \xi \)'s are left-handed,
then the determinant is equal to \(-1 \). Utilizing the second line of Eqs.
(1.2.5) or solving Eq. (1.3.1) for one of the \( \gamma \)'s in terms of the others, we obtain

\[
\gamma_{mn} = \pm M_{mn} \quad (1.3.2)
\]

where the plus sign is used if the \( \xi \)'s form a right-handed system and the
minus sign is used if the \( \xi \)'s are left-handed. The quantity \( M_{mn} \) is the
minor of \( \gamma_{mn} \) in the determinant \( |\gamma_{mn}| \):

\[
M_{11} = \gamma_{12} \gamma_{22} - \gamma_{12} \gamma_{22} \\
M_{12} = \gamma_{12} \gamma_{31} - \gamma_{12} \gamma_{31} \\
M_{31} = \gamma_{12} \gamma_{23} - \gamma_{12} \gamma_{23}; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

It should, of course, be noted that the results expressed in Eqs. (1.3.1)
and (1.3.2) hold, not only between any orthogonal system and the
cartesian system, but also between any two orthogonal systems. The
plus sign in Eq. (1.3.2) is used if both systems are right-handed or both
left-handed; the minus sign if one system is right-handed and the other
left-handed.

Since we have assumed that the curvilinear coordinate system is
orthogonal, any vector \( \mathbf{F} \) at \((\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)\) can be expressed in terms of its
components along the new unit vectors:

\[
\mathbf{F} = \sum_m F_m a_m; \quad \text{where} \quad F_m = \mathbf{F} \cdot a_m
\]
Utilizing the direction cosines \( \gamma_{mn} \) we can easily show that the relation between these components and the cartesian components of \( \mathbf{F} \) are

\[
F_m = \gamma_{m1}F_x + \gamma_{m2}F_y + \gamma_{m3}F_z = \alpha_mF_x + \beta_mF_y + \gamma_mF_z
\]

\[
F_x = \sum_m \gamma_{m1}F_m = \sum_m \alpha_mF_m; \quad \text{etc.}
\] (1.3.3)

Any set of three quantities defined with relation to a system of coordinates, so that choice of \((x,y,z)\) gives a trio of functions of \((x,y,z)\), a choice of \((\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)\) gives another trio of functions of \((\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)\), etc., can be considered as the components of a vector if, and only if, the two trios are related in the manner specified in Eq. (1.3.3).

**Scale Factors.** The above discussion does not help us much, however, unless we know the values of the direction cosines \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) for each point in space. Ordinarily we are given the equations for the new coordinate surfaces; we must translate these into expressions for the cosines. For instance, the usual definition for spherical coordinates

\[ z = \xi_1 \cos \xi_2, \quad x = \xi_1 \sin \xi_2 \cos \xi_3, \quad y = \xi_1 \sin \xi_2 \sin \xi_3 \]

does not give us directly the \( \alpha \)'s, \( \beta \)'s, and \( \gamma \)'s in terms of \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \) and \( \xi_3 \) (\( \xi_1 = \text{spherical coordinate } \varphi, \xi_2 = \text{spherical coordinate } \theta, \xi_3 = \text{spherical coordinate } \varphi \)).

The needed connection is usually made by means of the line element. The length of the infinitesimal vector \( ds \) is given as

\[
ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 = \sum_n h_n^2 d\xi_n^2
\]

if the new coordinate system is an orthogonal one. Simple substitution shows that the relation between the \( h \)'s and \( \xi \)'s is

\[
h_n^2 = \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_n} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_n} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_n} \right)^2 = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]^{-1}
\] (1.3.4)

The quantity \( h_n \) is a *scale factor* for the coordinate \( \xi_n \). A change \( d\xi_n \) in this coordinate produces a displacement \( h_n d\xi_n \) cm along the coordinate line. In general \( h_n \) varies from point to point in space.

We notice that, in order to obtain the \( h \)'s in terms of the \( \xi \)'s, it is necessary to express the old coordinates \( x, y, z \) in terms of the new ones, \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \), as was done for the spherical coordinates in the previous paragraph. This mode of writing the relation between the two coordinate systems is usually the most satisfactory.

Since \( h_n d\xi_n \) is the length of the displacement corresponding to \( d\xi_n \), the rate of displacement along \( \xi_n \) due to a displacement along the \( x \) axis will be \( h_n (\partial \xi_n / \partial x) \). This quantity is, therefore, the direction cosine \( \alpha_n = \gamma_{n1} \). Similarly, if \( x \) is expressed in terms of the \( \xi \)'s, the rate of change of \( x \) due to the displacement \( h_n d\xi_n \) will be \((1/h_n)(\partial x / \partial \xi_n)\), which is also equal to \( \gamma_{x1} = \alpha_n \). Thus the direction cosines for the \( \xi_n \) axis w.
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respect to the $x, y, z$ axes can be written in terms of the derivatives relating $x, y, z$, and the $\xi$'s in either of two ways:

$$
\gamma_{n1} = \alpha_n = \frac{1}{h_n} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_n} = h_n \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_n}, \quad \gamma_{n2} = \beta_n = \frac{1}{h_n} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_n} = h_n \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_n},
$$

$$
\gamma_{n3} = \gamma_n = \frac{1}{h_n} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_n} = h_n \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_n}
$$

(1.3.5)

depending on whether $x, y, z$ are given in terms of the $\xi$'s, or the $\xi$'s in terms of $x, y, z$.

Equations (1.3.5) are useful but are not needed so often as might be expected. It is interesting to note here that all the differential expressions we shall develop later in this chapter and use extensively later in the book need only the $h_i$'s, and not the $\gamma$'s, in order to be expressed in generalized coordinates. Evidently the scale of a new coordinate and the variation of scale from point to point determine the important properties of the coordinate. The direction of the coordinate with respect to $x, y, z$ at a point is a property of relatively minor importance.

Curvature of Coordinate Lines. For instance, even the change in direction of the unit vectors $a$ can be expressed in terms of the $h_i$'s. The expressions for these changes are suggested in Fig. 1.11. In drawing (a), we see that the change in $a_1$ due to change in $\xi_1$ is $a_2 \alpha$, where

$$
\alpha = \frac{d\xi_1}{h_1} \frac{dh_2}{d\xi_2} \frac{d\xi_2}{dh_1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \xi_2} = \frac{a_2}{h_1} \frac{dh_2}{d\xi_1}
$$
Similarly, in drawing (b), the change in \( a_1 \) due to change in \( \xi_1 \) has a \( \xi_2 \) component equal to

\[
-\beta = -\frac{d\xi_1 \, d\xi_2 \, \partial h_1}{h_2 \, d\xi_1 \, \partial \xi_2}
\]

and a similar \( \xi_3 \) component. Therefore, the following formulas are suggested:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial a_1 &= -a_2 \, \partial h_1 - a_3 \, \partial h_1, \\
\partial a_2 &= a_1 \, \partial h_2 - a_3 \, \partial h_2, \\
\partial a_3 &= a_1 \, \partial h_3 - a_2 \, \partial h_3, \\
\partial \xi_1 &= h_2 \, \partial \xi_2 - h_3 \, \partial \xi_2, \\
\partial \xi_2 &= h_1 \, \partial \xi_1 - h_3 \, \partial \xi_1, \\
\partial \xi_3 &= h_1 \, \partial \xi_1 - h_2 \, \partial \xi_1.
\end{align*}
\]

We can prove that these are the correct expressions by expressing

\[ a_1 \text{'s in terms of } i, j \text{ and } k, \text{ performing the differentiation, and utilizing the definitions of the } k's \text{ given in Eqs. (1.3.4). } \]

For instance,

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial a_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \left[ \frac{i}{h_1} \partial x + \frac{j}{h_1} \partial y + \frac{k}{h_1} \partial z \right] \\
&= h_2 a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \left( \frac{1}{h_1} \right) + \frac{1}{h_1} \left[ i \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} + j \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} + k \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \right] \\
&= -a_1 \frac{\partial \ln h_1}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{1}{h_1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \left( a_1 \partial x + a_2 \partial x + a_3 \partial x \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \left( a_1 \partial y + a_2 \partial y + a_3 \partial y \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \left( a_1 \partial z + a_2 \partial z + a_3 \partial z \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \left( \frac{a_1}{h_1} \partial z + \frac{a_2}{h_2} \partial z + \frac{a_3}{h_3} \partial z \right) + \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \left( \frac{a_1}{h_1} \partial y + \frac{a_2}{h_2} \partial y + \frac{a_3}{h_3} \partial y \right) \\
\end{align*}
\]

or

\[
\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \xi_2} = -a_1 \frac{\partial \ln h_1}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{a_1}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_1^2}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{a_2}{h_2} \frac{\partial h_2^2}{\partial \xi_2} = a_3 \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial \xi_2}
\]

which coincides with the expression given above.
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Curvature and torsion of the coordinate surface $\xi_1 = \text{constant}$ can easily be computed from Eqs. (1.3.6). The unit vector $\mathbf{a}_1$, perpendicular to the surface, changes in direction by an amount $(\partial a_1/h_1 \partial \xi_1) ds$ for a displacement $ds$ in the $\xi_2$ direction. This change is in the $\xi_2$ direction and is a measure of the curvature of the $\xi_1$ surface in the direction of the $\xi_2$ coordinate line. In fact, the magnitude $a_2 \cdot (\partial a_1/h_1 \partial \xi_2)$ is just equal to the reciprocal of the radius of curvature of the $\xi_1$ coordinate line at the point $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$; if the quantity is positive, it means that the curvature is convex in the direction of positive $\xi_1$; and if negative, the curve is concave in the positive $\xi_1$ direction.

It is not difficult to show that the total curvature of the surface $\xi_1 = \text{constant}$, at the point $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, is

$$C = -a_2 \cdot \left( \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \xi_2} \right) = \frac{1}{h_1 h_3 (\partial \xi_1/h_3)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} (h_j h_k)$$

where now the sign indicates the direction of the concavity of the curvature. This formula and the corresponding ones for the $\xi_2$ and $\xi_3$ surfaces will be of use later in calculating the restoring force produced by curved surfaces under tension.

As a simple example, for the spherical coordinates $r, \theta, \phi$, the curvature of the $r$ surface is $-1/r$, the spherical surface being concave inward and being curved in both $\theta$ and $\phi$ directions (which accounts for the 2). The curvature of the conical $\theta = \text{constant}$ surface is $-1/r \cot \theta$, and the plane $\phi = \text{constant}$ surface has zero curvature.

In any case, once we know the equations giving $x, y,$ and $z$ in terms of the new coordinates, Eqs. (1.3.4) to (1.3.6) enable us to compute the scale of the new system, the components of a vector along these axes, their variation, and many other important expressions which will be discussed later.

The Volume Element and Other Formulas. Another quantity which will be of importance later will be the expression for the volume element in the new coordinate system. Since the elements $d\xi_1, d\xi_2, d\xi_3$ correspond to displacements $h_1 d\xi_1, h_2 d\xi_2, h_3 d\xi_3$ along mutually perpendicular axes, the volume of the rectangular parallelepiped defined by these differentials is

$$dv = h_1 h_2 h_3 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3$$  (1.3.7)

This is the volume element in the new coordinate system. It is, of course, always positive in sign.

As an example of these properties of the scale factors, we consider the spherical coordinate system mentioned above: $x = \xi_1 \sin \xi_2 \cos \xi_3, y = \xi_1 \sin \xi_2 \sin \xi_3, z = \xi_3 \cos \xi_3$. We find that the scale factors are

$$h_1 = 1; \quad h_2 = \xi_1; \quad h_3 = \xi_1 \sin \xi_2$$
The direction cosines of the unit vectors along the spherical axes are therefore
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= \sin \xi_2 \cos \xi_3; \quad \alpha_2 = \cos \xi_2 \cos \xi_3; \quad \alpha_3 = -\sin \xi_3 \\
\beta_1 &= \sin \xi_2 \sin \xi_3; \quad \beta_2 = \cos \xi_2 \sin \xi_3; \quad \beta_3 = \cos \xi_3 \\
\gamma_1 &= \cos \xi_2; \quad \gamma_2 = -\sin \xi_2; \quad \gamma_3 = 0
\end{align*}
\]

These obey all the relations for orthogonality, etc., given on page 23.

The volume element in the new coordinate system is \(dV = \xi_1 \sin \xi_2 \, d\xi_1 \, d\xi_2 \, d\xi_3\), and the components of a vector along the new coordinates are
\[
\begin{align*}
F_x &= F_x \sin \xi_1 \cos \xi_2 + F_y \sin \xi_1 \sin \xi_2 + F_z \cos \xi_2, \\
F_y &= F_y \cos \xi_1 \cos \xi_2 + F_y \cos \xi_1 \sin \xi_2 - F_z \sin \xi_2, \\
F_z &= -F_y \sin \xi_1 + F_y \cos \xi_2.
\end{align*}
\]

If the functions \(F_x, F_y,\) and \(F_z\) are expressed in terms of \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\), then the new components will be expressed in terms of the new coordinates and the transformation will be complete.

**Rotation of Axes.** Another example of coordinate transformation is the case where the new axes are also rectangular, being rotated with

![Fig. 1.12 Rotation of axes, showing Euler angles.](image)

respect to the old set by the Euler angles \(\theta, \Phi, \psi\), as shown in Fig. 1.12. The equations of transformation are
\[
\begin{align*}
x &= (\sin \psi \sin \Phi + \cos \psi \cos \Phi \cos \theta) \xi_1 + (\cos \psi \sin \Phi) \xi_2 + \sin \theta \cos \xi_3, \\
y &= (\sin \psi \cos \Phi - \cos \psi \sin \Phi \cos \theta) \xi_1 + (\cos \psi \cos \Phi) \xi_2 + \sin \theta \sin \xi_3, \\
z &= -\cos \psi \sin \theta \xi_1 + \sin \psi \sin \theta \xi_2 + \cos \theta \xi_3.
\end{align*}
\]

The scale factors \(h\), for this case are all unity, as was to be expected, since the transformation does not involve a scale change. The direction cosines for the transformation are the coefficients of the linear equations given above:
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= \sin \psi \sin \Phi + \cos \psi \cos \Phi \cos \theta; \quad \text{etc.} \\
\beta_1 &= \sin \psi \cos \Phi - \cos \psi \sin \Phi \cos \theta; \quad \text{etc.}
\end{align*}
\]
From these direction cosines one can obtain the vector transformation formulas.

**Law of Transformation of Vectors.** We have seen that, in order for three functions of position to be considered as the three components of a vector, they must transform according to the rule given by Eqs. (1.3.3) and (1.3.5). If we transform the components from one curvilinear coordinate system \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) with scale factors \( h_1, h_2, h_3 \) to another system \( \xi'_1, \xi'_2, \xi'_3 \) with scale factors \( h'_1, h'_2, h'_3 \), the components in the new system must be related to the components in the old system by the equations

\[
P'_n = \sum_m \gamma_{mn} P_m
\]

where

\[
(h_m/h'_m)(\partial \xi_m/\partial \xi'_n) = \gamma_{mn} = (h'_n/h_n)(\partial \xi'_n/\partial \xi_m)
\]

Since \( h_m \, d\xi_m \) and \( h'_m \, d\xi'_m \) are distances in centimeters, the new components \( P'_n \) have the same dimensions as the old. In developing a new theory, if we find three quantities which transform in a manner given by Eq. (1.3.9), we can be fairly sure we have found the components of a vector.

It is interesting at this point to investigate the transformation properties of the cross product \( (A \times B) \). We recall that on page 11 it was pointed out that \( (A \times B) \) is not a true vector. The present discussion will show why. Using Eq. (1.3.9), the component of the cross product of \( A \) and \( B \) along \( \xi'_1 \) is

\[
(A' \times B')_1 = A'_1B'_2 - A'_2B'_1 = \sum_{m,n} (\gamma_{m2}\gamma_{n3} - \gamma_{m3}\gamma_{n2}) A_mB_n
\]

\[
= \sum_{m,n} (\gamma_{m2}\gamma_{n3} - \gamma_{m3}\gamma_{n2})(A_mB_n - A_nB_m) \tag{1.3.10}
\]

Using the relations given in Eq. (1.3.2) (it is easily seen that these relations hold for the more general transformation discussed here as long as both systems are orthogonal) we finally obtain

\[
(A' \times B')_1 = \pm \sum_n (A \times B)_n \gamma_{1n} \tag{1.3.11}
\]

where the plus sign holds if the coordinate systems are both right-handed or both left-handed, the negative sign holds if one is right-handed and one left-handed [moreover, Eq. (1.3.11) holds only if both systems are orthogonal].

It follows that \( A \times B \) is an axial vector.

The equation also gives us a hint for a simple method of distinguishing true and axial vectors, for they will behave differently under a transformation which takes us from a right-handed to a left-handed system. A simple example of such a transformation is the inversion \( \xi_1 = -x \), \( \xi_2 = -y \), \( \xi_3 = -z \). If the components of a true vector were \( A_x, A_y, A_z \),
then \( A_1 = -A_y, A_2 = -A_x \); i.e., the components of a true vector change sign upon an inversion. On the other hand for an axial vector \( \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \) there will be no change in sign, so that the components of an axial vector do not change sign upon an inversion.

Similarly, a true scalar or invariant, an example of which is \( \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \), will not change sign upon an inversion. On the other hand a pseudo-scalar, for example, \( \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}) \), does change sign upon an inversion.

The detailed use of transformation equation (1.3.9) for the purpose of discovering whether three quantities form the components a vector may be very tedious. Another method makes use of the knowledge of the invariants involving these quantities. For example, if \( \Sigma A_iB_i \) form an invariant and \( B_i \) are the components of a true vector, then \( A_i \) must form the components of a true vector. Several examples of this method will occur later in this chapter.

**Contravariant and Covariant Vectors.** There are two other methods of writing vector components which have occasional use, obtained by using different “unit vectors” for the decomposition of \( \mathbf{F} \) into components. Suppose the “unit vectors” are made to change scale with the coordinate system, so that the new vector \( \hat{a}_n = h_n a_n \) corresponds to the size of a unit change of \( \xi_n \) rather than a unit length in centimeters (as does \( a_n \)). The vector \( \mathbf{F} \) can be written in terms of these new “unit vectors”:

\[
\mathbf{F} = \Sigma_n f^n \hat{a}_n; \quad f^n = F_n/h_n
\]

In this case the transformation properties of the new “components” are

\[
(f^n)' = \sum_m f^m \frac{\partial \xi'_m}{\partial \xi_n} = \sum_m f^m \left( \frac{h_m}{h_n} \right)^2 \frac{\partial \xi'_m}{\partial \xi'_n}
\]  

(1.3.12)

The quantities \( f^n \) are called the contravariant components of a vector in the coordinate system \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \). They differ from the “actual” components by being divided by the scale factor; and they give an actual vector only when the components are multiplied by the “unit vector” \( \hat{a}_n = h_n a_n \).

If the unit vectors along the coordinate lines have a scale inverse to the coordinate, \( \hat{a}^n = a_n/h_n \), then the corresponding “components” are

\[
\mathbf{F} = \sum_n f_n \hat{a}^n; \quad f_n = h_n F_n
\]

in which case the transformation properties for the \( f^n \) are

\[
(f_n)' = \sum_m f_m \frac{\partial \xi'_m}{\partial \xi_n} = \sum_m f_m \left( \frac{h'_m}{h_n} \right)^2 \frac{\partial \xi'_m}{\partial \xi_m}
\]  

(1.3.13)

The quantities \( f_n \) are called the covariant components of a vector in the coordinate system \( (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \).
These transformations have a somewhat greater formal symmetry than does that given in Eqs. (1.3.9) for the usual vector components, for the $h$'s have disappeared from the summation in at least one of the expressions for each case. This dubious advantage is often offset by the fact that the new components do not necessarily maintain their dimensionality when transforming from coordinate to coordinate. For instance, in spherical coordinates, if $\mathbf{F}$ has the dimensions of length, $F^n, F^\theta,$ and $F^\varphi$ still have the dimensions of length after being transformed whereas $f^n$ and $f^\varphi$ are dimensionless and $f^\theta$ and $f^\varphi$ have the dimensions of area.

We shall return to this notation later, when we come to talk about tensors. There we shall find that the $f$'s are useful in the preliminary exploration of a problem, where the formal symmetry of their transformation equations may aid in the manipulations. When it comes to the detailed calculations, however, we shall usually find it easier to use the “actual” components $F^n,$ which always have the same dimensions as $\mathbf{F},$ and the unit vectors $\mathbf{a}_n$ which are all of unit length.

1.4 The Differential Operator $\nabla$

Now that we have discussed the fundamental principles of coordinate transformations and have indicated how we can recognize scalars and vectors by noting their transformation properties, it is time to study the general differential properties of vectors. In an earlier section we studied the gross, macroscopic properties of vector fields by use of surface and line integrals. Now we wish to study their detailed microscopic properties. By analogy to the differential operator $d/dx$ which operates on a scalar function $\varphi$ of $x,$ changing it into a different function, the slope of $\varphi,$ we have a differential operator, involving all three coordinates, which operates on scalar and vector fields, changing them into other fields. The resulting fields are measures of the rate at which the original fields change from point to point.

The Gradient. The rate of change of a scalar $\psi(x,y,z)$ is expressed in terms of a vector, the direction being that of the greatest rate of increase of $\psi$ and the magnitude being the value of this maximum rate of increase. We have already indicated, in Eq. (1.1.1), that the change in $\psi$ as we go from the point indicated by the vector $\mathbf{A} = xi + yj + zk$ to the neighboring point $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{ds}$ by the elementary displacement $\mathbf{ds} = i\,dx + j\,dy + k\,dz$ is $d\psi = \mathbf{ds} \cdot \nabla \psi,$ where

$$\nabla \psi = \nabla \psi = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \mathbf{k} \quad (1.4.1)$$

If $\mathbf{ds}$ is in the isotimic surface $\psi = \text{constant},$ $d\psi$ must be zero, so that the
vector grad $\psi$ must be perpendicular to the isotomic surface. The maximum value of $d\psi$ occurs when ds is also perpendicular to this surface, and in this case, as we have mentioned earlier,

$$d\psi = \sqrt{(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x})^2 + (\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y})^2 + (\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z})^2} \, ds$$

The quantity labeled by the symbols grad $\psi$ or $\nabla \psi$ (read del $\psi$) is, therefore, a measure of the rate of change of the scalar field $\psi$ at the point $(x, y, z)$. To show that it is definitely a vector, we must show that its transformation properties correspond to Eq. (1.3.9). This is not difficult, for it is obvious that the expression for the gradient in the curvilinear coordinates $\xi_1$, $\xi_2$, $\xi_3$ is

$$\text{grad } \psi = \nabla \psi = \frac{a_1}{h_1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{a_2}{h_2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{a_3}{h_3} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_3}$$

(1.4.2)

When we now transform this expression to another set of coordinates $\xi'_1$, $\xi'_2$, $\xi'_3$, as though it were a vector, it ends up having the same form in the new coordinates as it had in the old. Using Eq. (1.3.9) and the identities

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} = \sum_m \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_m} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \xi_m}{\partial \xi'_n} \right)$$

we obtain

$$\nabla \psi = \sum_m a_m \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_m} = \sum_n a'_n \sum_m \left( \frac{1}{h_m} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_m} \right) \left( \frac{h_n}{h'_n} \frac{\partial \xi_m}{\partial \xi'_n} \right) = \sum_n a'_n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi'_n}$$

as, of course, we must if grad $\psi$ is to be a vector and have the form given in Eq. (1.4.2) for any orthogonal curvilinear coordinates.

Note that grad $\psi$ is a true vector and not an axial vector. This is demonstrated by the fact that $df = \text{grad } (\psi) \cdot ds$ is a true scalar invariant. Since ds is a true vector, grad $\psi$ must also be a true vector. Note also that the circulation integral for grad $\psi$, $\oint \text{grad } (\psi) \cdot ds = 0$. The fact that the circulation integral of a gradient is always zero has already been pointed out on page 18.

This short discussion serves to emphasize that, if we express "physical" quantities in terms of the scale factors $h$ for the coordinate $\xi_n$, then they must turn out to be expressible by the same form of expression in terms of the scale factors $h'$ for another set of coordinates $\xi'_n$.

**Directional Derivative.** The quantity $\mathbf{B} \cdot \text{grad } \psi$ will sometimes occur in our equations. When $\mathbf{B}$ is a unit vector, the quantity is called the **directional derivative** of $\psi$ in the direction of the unit vector $\mathbf{B}$, and equals the rate of change of $\psi$ in the direction of $\mathbf{B}$. Whether or not $\mathbf{B}$ is a unit vector, the value of $\mathbf{B} \cdot \text{grad } \psi$ is
\[(B \cdot \nabla)\psi = B \cdot \text{grad} \psi = B_x \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + B_y \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + B_z \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} = \frac{B_1}{h_1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{B_2}{h_2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{B_3}{h_3} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_3}\]

The scalar operator \((B \cdot \text{grad})\) can also be applied to a vector, giving
\[(B \cdot \nabla)A = (B \cdot \text{grad})A = i(B \cdot \text{grad} \ A_x) + j(B \cdot \text{grad} \ A_y) + k(B \cdot \text{grad} \ A_z)\]

In curvilinear coordinates this becomes somewhat more complicated, because the unit vectors \(\mathbf{a}\) also change with position, as given in Eqs. (1.3.6). Using these equations, we can show that the \(\xi_1\) component of the vector is
\[
[(B \cdot \text{grad})A]_1 = \frac{B_1}{h_1} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{B_2}{h_2} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{B_3}{h_3} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \xi_3} + \frac{A_2}{h_1 h_2} \left[ B_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_2} - B_2 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_1} \right] + \frac{A_3}{h_1 h_3} \left[ B_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_3} - B_3 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_1} \right] (1.4.3)
\]

and the other components can be obtained by cyclic permutations of the subscripts. The first three terms in this expression are just \(B \cdot \text{grad} \ A_1\); the other terms are corrections due to the fact that the directions of the coordinate axes are not necessarily constant.

**Infinitesimal Rotation.** One type of coordinate transformation which will have special interest later is that caused by an infinitely small rotation of the rectangular coordinates about an axis through the origin. Suppose that the vector \(d\omega\) has magnitude equal to the angle of rotation in radians and has the direction of the axis of rotation such that a righthand screw would move in the direction \(d\omega\) if rotated with the coordinates.

The point designated by the vector \(\mathbf{r}\) (in distance and direction from the origin) would, if it were fixed to the rotating coordinate system, be displaced by an amount represented by the vector \(d\omega \times \mathbf{r} = -\mathbf{r} \times d\omega\).

If the point is, instead, fixed in space, then its coordinates in the rotated system (which we shall label with a prime) will be related to its coordinates in the unrotated system by the equation \(\mathbf{r'} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \times d\omega\), or
\[
\begin{align*}
x' &= x + (y \, d\omega_z - z \, d\omega_y) \\
y' &= y + (z \, d\omega_x - x \, d\omega_z) \\
z' &= z + (x \, d\omega_y - y \, d\omega_x)
\end{align*}
\]

We could also write \(\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r'} - \mathbf{r'} \times d\omega\). [These equations only hold for very small rotations; otherwise we use the more general forms of Eq. (1.3.8), which reduce to (1.4.3) in the limit of \(\theta\) and \((\Phi + \psi)\) small.]

Now suppose that a scalar field \(\psi\) is made to rotate slightly (the field could be the density of a solid which is rotated slightly). Because of this
rotation, the value of the field \( \psi' \) at the point \((x,y,z)\), fixed in space, after the rotation, is related to its value \( \psi \) before the rotation by the relation
\[
\psi'(x,y,z) = \psi(x,y,z) + (r \times \omega) \cdot \nabla \psi = \psi(x,y,z) - (r \times \nabla \psi) \cdot \omega \tag{1.4.1}
\]
for \((A \times B) \cdot C = -(A \times C) \cdot B\) for any vector trio. Therefore, the vector \((r \times \nabla \psi)\) is a measure of the effect of any sort of infinitesimal rotation on \( \psi \); to measure the effect of a particular rotation \( \omega \) we take the dot product of the two. If the rotation axis is perpendicular to \((r \times \nabla \psi)\), then a small rotation does not change \( \psi \); if the axis is parallel to \((r \times \nabla \psi)\), the effect of the rotation is the largest possible. Since any \( f(r) \) is invariant against a rotation, then \[ |r \times \nabla[f(r)g(\theta,\phi)]| = f(r) \cdot (r \times \nabla g(\theta,\phi))].

The Divergence. There are two useful differential operations which can act on a vector field. One results in a scalar indicating the rate of increase of lines of flow, and the other in a vector indicating the rate of twisting of the lines of flow. One can be obtained from the limiting behavior of the net outflow integral for a vanishingly small enclosed

![Fig. 1.13 Net outflow integral and divergence of vector field.](image)

volume, and the other can be obtained by a similar limiting process on the net circulation integral.

To obtain the scalar we first compute the net outflow integral of a vector field \( \mathbf{F} \) over the volume element \( dx \, dy \, dz \) at the point \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\). By an extension of Taylor's theorem, the \(x\) component of \( \mathbf{F} \) near \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\) is
\[
F_x = F_x(x_0, y_0, z_0) + \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial x} \right)(x - x_0) + \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right)(y - y_0) + \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} \right)(z - z_0) + \ldots
\]
If the point \((x_0, y_0, z_0)\) is taken at the center of the volume element, then the surface integral of the normal component of \( \mathbf{F} \) over surface 1, shown in Fig. 1.13, is
\[
\iint S_1 \, dA = dy \, dz \left[ F_z(x_0, y_0, z_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial F_z/\partial x) \, dx \right] \\
+ \text{higher orders of differentials}
\]

The surface integral over surface 2 is
\[
\iint S_2 \, dA = -dy \, dz \left[ F_z(x_0, y_0, z_0) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial F_z/\partial x) \, dx \right] + \text{higher orders}
\]

the negative sign outside the bracket coming in because the outward pointing component of \( F \) is being integrated, and the outward component of \( F \) for face 2 is \( -F_z \). The sum of the surface integrals over these two faces is, therefore, simply \((\partial F_z/\partial x) \, dx \, dy \, dz\), to the order of approximation considered here. The contributions due to the other faces depend on \( F_y \) and \( F_z \) and can be computed in a similar manner. The net outflow integral for the volume element is therefore
\[
\oint \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} \right) \, dx \, dy \, dz
\]

This is the three-dimensional vector analogue of the differential \( du \) of the scalar function \( u(x) \) of one variable. The analogue of the derivative is the net outflow integral per unit volume at the point \((x, y, z)\). This is a scalar quantity called the divergence of the vector \( \mathbf{F} \) at the point \((x, y, z)\) and is written
\[
\text{div } \mathbf{F} = \lim_{\text{vol} \to 0} \left[ \iiint \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{A} \right] = \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \quad (1.4.5)
\]

The divergence is equal to the rate of increase of lines of flow per volume.

According to the fundamental definition given in the last paragraph, the divergence of \( \mathbf{F} \) at a point \( P \) is a property of the behavior of \( \mathbf{F} \) in the neighborhood of \( P \), and its value should not depend on the coordinate system we have chosen. It is to be expected that the expression for the divergence operator should have a different mathematical form for generalized curvilinear coordinates from what it has for rectangular coordinates; nevertheless the numerical value of \( \text{div } \mathbf{F} \) at \( P \) should be the same for any sort of coordinate system. If the transformation of coordinates involves only a rotation and not a stretching (i.e., if the \( h \)’s are all equal unity), then both form and value should be unchanged by the transformation. This is, after all, what we mean by a scalar invariant.

To find the expression for divergence in the generalized coordinates discussed on page 24, we return to the fundamental definition of \( \text{div } \mathbf{F} \) and compute the net outflow integral for the volume element defined by the elementary displacements \( h_\alpha \, d\xi_\alpha \) in the new system. The net outflow
over face 1 in Fig. 1.14 is \( d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \{ F_i h_i h_3 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial / \partial \xi_1) (F_i h_i h_3) \} d\xi_1 \). We have had to include the factors \( h_i h_3 \) inside the derivative in the second term for the following reason: The net outflow integral over face 1 differs from that over face 3, at the center of the element, both because \( F_1 \) changes as \( \xi_1 \) changes and because, in curvilinear coordinates, the area of face 1 differs from that of face 3; that is, \( h_i h_3 \) also depends on \( \xi_1 \). Therefore, both factors must be included in the differential term.
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**Fig. 1.14** Net outflow and divergence in curvilinear coordinates.

The outflow over face 2 is \( d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \{ -F_2 h_2 h_3 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial / \partial \xi_1) (F_i h_i h_3) \} d\xi_1 \), and the net outflow over the two faces is, therefore, \( d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \) (\( \partial / \partial \xi_1 \)) \((F_i h_i h_3)\). The divergence of \( \mathbf{F} \) in generalized coordinates is, therefore,

\[
\text{div } \mathbf{F} = \frac{\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{A}}{dV} = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} (F_i h_i h_3) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} (h_2 F_i h_3) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} (h_3 F_i h_2) \right|
\]

(1.4.6)

where \( dV = h_1 h_2 h_3 \) \( d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \) is the volume of the elementary parallelepiped.

This expression has certainly a different mathematical form from the one in Eq. (1.4.5) for rectangular coordinates. In order to show that the two have the same value at a given point, we resort to direct transformation from one to the other, using the equations of transformation given on pages 23 to 25. Starting with the expression in rectangular coordinates, we express \( F_x, F_y, \) and \( F_z \) in terms of \( F_1, F_2, \) and \( F_3 \), using Eqs. (1.3.5). After expanding some of the differentials and rearranging terms, we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial F_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{h_3} \left[ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z} \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{h_2} \left[ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_2} \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_2} \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_2} \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z} \right] + \frac{1}{h_1} \left[ \cdot \cdot \cdot \right] \\
+ F_1 \left[ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial (1/h_1)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial (1/h_1)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial (1/h_1)}{\partial z} \right] + F_2 \left[ \cdot \cdot \cdot \right] + F_3 \left[ \cdot \cdot \cdot \right] \\
+ F_3 \left[ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_3} \frac{\partial (1/h_3)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_3} \frac{\partial (1/h_3)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_3} \frac{\partial (1/h_3)}{\partial z} \right] + F_2 \left[ \cdot \cdot \cdot \right] + F_3 \left[ \cdot \cdot \cdot \right]
\]
The first and fourth brackets can be simplified by using the relation
\[
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1},
\]
so that they become
\[
\frac{1}{h_1} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \xi_1} + F_1 \frac{\partial (1/h_1)}{\partial \xi_1}
\]
The seventh bracket must be expanded further, by the use of
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{\partial \xi_3}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}
\]
and other similar expressions. Using also \( \partial \xi_i / \partial x = (1/h_i^2) (\partial x / \partial \xi_i) \), and similar equations obtained from Eqs. (1.3.5) and rearranging terms, this seventh bracket becomes
\[
\frac{F_1}{h_1} \left[ \frac{1}{h_1} \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_1} \right) + \frac{1}{h_2^2} (\cdot \cdot \cdot) + \frac{1}{h_3^2} (\cdot \cdot \cdot) \right]
\]
But from Eqs. (1.3.4) defining the \( h \)'s, we see that the first parenthesis in this bracket is \( \frac{1}{2} (\partial h_1^2 / \partial \xi_1) \), so that the whole bracket becomes
\[
\frac{F_1}{2h_1} \left[ \frac{1}{h_1^2} + \frac{1}{h_2^2} + \frac{1}{h_3^2} \right] \frac{\partial (h_1 h_2 h_3)}{\partial \xi_1}
\]
which is the first term in the expression for \( \text{div } F \) given in Eq. (1.4.6).

The other six brackets likewise combine to give the other two terms, showing that \( \text{div } F \) in rectangular coordinates is equal numerically to \( \text{div } F \) at the same point expressed in any other orthogonal system of coordinates. We therefore can call \( \text{div } F \) an invariant to transformation of coordinates.

Incidentally, we have shown by this rather roundabout way that the net outflow integral for an infinitesimal surface depends only on the volume enclosed by the surface, and not on the shape of the surface, i.e., not on whether the volume element is for rectangular or for curvilinear coordinates. If we had wished, we could have proved the invariance of \( \text{div } F \) by proving this last statement directly, instead of going through the tedious algebra of the previous page.

**Gauss' Theorem.** It is possible to combine our knowledge of the additive property of net outflow integrals and our definition of \( \text{div } F \) to obtain a very important and useful method of calculating the net outflow integral for any region of space. Because of the additive property, the net outflow integral for the whole region must equal the sum of the outflow integrals for all the elements of volume included in the region. According to Eq. (1.4.5) the integrals over the element of volume \( dv \) can be written \( \text{div } F \, dv \), thus giving us the very important divergence theorem
\[
\oint \mathbf{F} \cdot dA = \iint \text{div } F \, dv
\]
where the volume integral is taken over the whole region bounded by the surface used for the surface integral. This is called Gauss’ theorem.

This divergence theorem emphasizes the close relationship there must be between the behavior of a vector field along a closed surface and the field’s behavior everywhere in the region inside this surface. It corresponds to the rather obvious property of flow lines; i.e., their net flow out over a closed surface must equal the net number “created” inside the surface.

A Solution of Poisson’s Equation. Furthermore, a juxtaposition of Gauss’ theorem with the facts we have mentioned earlier concerning vector fields and source points [see Eq. (1.2.9)] enables us to work out a useful solution to Poisson’s equation \( \nabla^2 \varphi = -q(x,y,z) \), [Eq. (1.1.5)], whenever \( q \) is a finite function of \( x, y, z \) which goes to zero at infinity and whenever the only requirement on the solution \( \varphi \) is that it also vanishes at infinity. The suggestive connection comes when we derive a vector field \( \mathbf{F} = \nabla \varphi \) from the scalar-field solution \( \varphi \). For \( \mathbf{F} \) must satisfy Gauss’ theorem so that

\[
\oint_c (\nabla \varphi) \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \iiint (\nabla^2 \varphi) \, dv
\]

for any region \( \mathcal{C} \) bounded by a closed surface \( \mathcal{S} \).

Another suggestion comes from the fact that the vector field \( (Q/r^3)a_r \) for a simple source turns out to be the gradient of the potential function \( \varphi = -Q/r \).

Collecting all these hints we can guess that a solution of Poisson’s equation \( \nabla^2 \varphi = -q(x,y,z) \) is the integral

\[
\varphi(x,y,z) = \iiint \frac{q(x',y',z')}{4\pi R^2} \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'
\]

where \( R = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2} \) is the distance from the point \( x, y, z \) to the point \( x', y', z' \). The quantity \( \varphi \) goes to zero at infinity because \( q \) does.

To prove that \( \varphi \) is a solution, we form the vector field

\[
\mathbf{F}(x,y,z) = \nabla \varphi = -\iiint \frac{q(x',y',z')}{4\pi R^2} \, a_r \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'
\]

where \( a_r \) is the unit vector pointed in the direction from point \( x', y', z' \) to point \( x, y, z \), that is, along \( R \) in the direction from the primed to the unprimed end. Next we form the net outflow integral for \( \mathbf{F} \) over a closed surface \( \mathcal{S} \) which bounds some region \( \mathcal{C} \) of space. Using Eq. (1.4.7), we obtain

\[
\oint_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = \iiint (\nabla^2 \varphi) \, dx \, dy \, dz = -\oint_{\mathcal{S}} dA \iiint \frac{q(x',y',z')}{4\pi R^2} \, a_r \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'
\]

where, of course, \( \nabla^2 \varphi = \text{div} (\nabla \varphi) \). The last integral is taken over all values of \( x', y', z' \) and over those values of \( x, y, z \) which are on the sur-
The order of integration may be reversed, and we shall consider first the integration over \( S \). The integrand is \( a_{6\pi} \frac{q(x', y', z')}{4\pi R^2} \text{ } dx' \text{ } dy' \text{ } dz' \). Referring to Eq. (1.2.9), we see that the net outflow integral over \( S \) for this integrand is just \( q(x', y', z') \text{ } dx' \text{ } dy' \text{ } dz' \) if the point \( x', y', z' \) is inside \( S \) and is zero if the point is outside \( S \). Therefore the integral over \( dx' \text{ } dy' \text{ } dz' \) is equal to the integral of \( q \) inside the region \( c \), and the final result is

\[
\int \int \int (\nabla^2 \varphi) \text{ } dx \text{ } dy \text{ } dz = - \int \int \int q(x', y', z') \text{ } dx' \text{ } dy' \text{ } dz'
\]

What has been shown is that the integral of \( \nabla^2 \varphi \) over any region \( c \) equals the integral of \(-q\) over the same region, no matter what shape or size the region has.

It is not difficult to conclude from this that, if \( \varphi \) is the integral defined in Eq. (1.4.8), then \( \varphi \) is a solution of Poisson's equation \( \nabla^2 \varphi = -q \), when \( q \) is a reasonable sort of function going to zero at infinity. It is not the only solution, for we can add any amount of any solution of Laplace's equation \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \) to \( \varphi \) and still have a solution of \( \nabla^2 \varphi = -q \) for the same \( q \).

What amount and kind of solution \( \psi \) we add depends on the boundary conditions of the individual problem. If \( \varphi \) is to go to zero at infinity, no \( \psi \) needs to be added, for the \( \varphi \) given in Eq. (1.4.8) already goes to zero at infinity if \( q \) does. Of course, we might try to find a solution of Laplace's equation which is zero at infinity and not zero somewhere else, but we should be disappointed in our attempt. This is because no solution of Laplace's equation can have a maximum or minimum (see page 7), and a function which is zero at infinity and has no maximum or minimum anywhere must be zero everywhere. Consequently, the \( \varphi \) of Eq. (1.4.8) is the unique solution if the boundary condition requires vanishing at infinity.

For other boundary conditions the correct solution is \( \varphi \) plus some solution \( \psi \) of \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \) such that \( \varphi + \psi \) satisfy the conditions. This whole question will be discussed in much greater detail in Chap. 7.

The Curl. There now remains to discuss the differential operator which changes a vector into another vector. This operator is a measure of the "vorticity" of a vector field and is related to the net circulation integral discussed on page 18, just as the divergence operator is related to the net outflow integral. To find the vorticity of a vector field at a point \( P \) we compute the net circulation integral around an element of area at \( P \) and divide the result by the area of the element. However, it soon becomes apparent that the present limiting process is more complicated than that used to define the divergence, for the results obtained depend on the orientation of the element of area.

For instance, if the element is perpendicular to the \( x \) axis, the circulation integral for the path shown in Fig. 1.15 is
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\[ \oint \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \int_{s_1} F_y \, dy + \int_{s_2} F_z \, dz \]

\[ = F_y(x_0, y_0, z_0) \, dy - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{2} \, dy \]

\[ + F_z(x_0, y_0, z_0) \, dz + \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{2} \, dz \]

\[ - F_y(x_0, y_0, z_0) \, dy - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{2} \, dy \]

\[ - F_z(x_0, y_0, z_0) \, dz - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{2} \, dz \]

where we use the first-order terms in the Taylor's series expansion for both \( F_y \) and \( F_z \).

\[ \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} \right) \bigg|_{(x, y, z)} \, dy \, dz \]

On the other hand, the circulation integral for the element perpendicular to the \( y \) axis is \[ \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} \right) \bigg|_{(x, y, z)} \, dx \, dz \], and so on. If the element is parallel to the \( z \) axis but at an angle \( \theta \) to the \( x \) axis, as shown in Fig. 1.10, the computation is somewhat more complicated. For instance, the displacement \( ds \) along path 1 corresponds to a change \( -ds \cos \theta \) along \( x \) and a change \( ds \sin \theta \) along \( y \). Similarly, at the mid-point of path 2, \( F_z \) has the value \( F_z(x_0, y_0, z_0) - (dy/2) \cos \theta \cos \theta + (dy/2) \cdot \sin \theta \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} \right) \). Taking all these aspects into account, we find for the circulation integral in this case

\[ (F_y \, ds \sin \theta - F_z \, ds \cos \theta) - \frac{dz}{2} \left( ds \sin \theta \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} - ds \cos \theta \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} \right) \]

\[ + F_z \, dz + \frac{ds}{2} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} - \cos \theta \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} \right) \]

\[ - (F_y \, ds \sin \theta - F_z \, ds \cos \theta) - \frac{dz}{2} \left( ds \sin \theta \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} - ds \cos \theta \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \right) \]

\[ - F_z \, dz + \frac{ds}{2} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} - \cos \theta \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} \right) \]

\[ = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} \right) \sin \theta + \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} \right) \cos \theta \right] \, dz \, ds \]
The circulation integral for an element having an arbitrary orientation in space is a still more complicated matter.

However, the complication vanishes if we consider the three quantities

\[
\left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \right), \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} \right), \left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right)
\]
to be the \(x\), \(y\), and \(z\) components, respectively, of a vector. For it turns out that the circulation integral for any surface element \(dA\) is simply the component of this vector in the direction perpendicular to the surface element, multiplied by \(dA\). For instance, the direction cosines for a line perpendicular to the element shown in Fig. 1.16 are \((\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0)\), and the component in this direction of the vector under discussion, multiplied by \(ds \cdot d\xi\), is just the result we have found.

The vector so defined is called the curl of \(\mathbf{F}\);

\[
\text{curl } \mathbf{F} = i \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} \right) + j \left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} \right) + k \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} \right) = \nabla \times \mathbf{F}
\] (1.4.9)

The net circulation integral around a surface element \(dA\) is, therefore \(d\mathbf{A} \cdot \text{curl } \mathbf{F}\), where \(d\mathbf{A}\) is the axial vector corresponding to the surface element. The vector \(\text{curl } \mathbf{F}\) is a measure of the "vorticity" of the field at the point \((x_1, y_1, z_1)\). When \(\mathbf{F}\) represents a fluid velocity, the direction of \(\text{curl } \mathbf{F}\) at point \(P\) is along the axis of rotation of the fluid close to \(P\) (pointed according to the right-hand rule) and the magnitude of \(\text{curl } \mathbf{F}\) is equal to twice the angular velocity of this portion of the fluid.

The curl is an operator analogous to the vector product, just as the divergence is analogous to the scalar product. Note that \(\text{curl } \mathbf{F}\) is an axial vector if \(\mathbf{F}\) is a true vector, for the net circulation integral is a scalar and \(d\mathbf{A}\) is an axial vector, so that \(\text{curl } \mathbf{F}\) must be axial also.

To complete our discussion, we must now show that \(\text{curl } \mathbf{F}\) acts like a vector, \(i.e.,\) transforms like one. In generalized coordinates the element of area perpendicular to the \(\xi_3\) axis is shown in Fig. 1.17. By arguments similar to those used in obtaining Eq. (1.4.7), we see that the net circulation integral for the path is

\[
\oint \mathbf{F} \cdot ds = \left[ h_3 F_3 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} (h_3 F_3) \right] d\xi_3 + \left[ h_3 F_3 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} (h_3 F_3) \right] d\xi_3
\]
Reducing this and dividing by the area $h_2h_3\,d\xi_2\,d\xi_3$ give us the $\xi_1$ component of the curl. Further calculation shows that the expression for the curl in generalized coordinates is

$$\text{curl } F = \frac{a_1}{h_2h_3} \left[ \frac{\partial(h_1F_z)}{\partial\xi_2} - \frac{\partial(h_1F_2)}{\partial\xi_3} \right] + \frac{a_2}{h_2h_3} \left[ \frac{\partial(h_1F_2)}{\partial\xi_2} - \frac{\partial(h_1F_3)}{\partial\xi_1} \right] + \frac{a_3}{h_1h_3} \left[ \frac{\partial(h_1F_3)}{\partial\xi_1} - \frac{\partial(h_1F_1)}{\partial\xi_2} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4.10)

To show that this is the same vector as that given in Eq. (1.4.9) we consider the component of curl $F = C$ along $\xi_1$. By Eqs. (1.3.3) this equals

$$C_1 = \alpha_1 C_x + \beta_1 C_y + \gamma_1 C_z,$$

$$= h_1 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F_x}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 F_y}{\partial z \partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} \right].$$

But from Eq. (1.4.10), by the use of Eqs. (1.3.2) and (1.3.5), we obtain

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{h_2h_3} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial z \partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} \right],$$

which is identical with the previous equation and shows that the expressions given in Eqs. (1.4.9) and (1.4.10) are the same vector. To show that curl $F$ is an axial vector when $F$ is a true vector, note that we were arbitrary in our choice of the direction of the line integral around the element of area. If we had reversed the direction, we should have changed the sign of the curl.

**Vorticity Lines.** The vector curl $F$ defines another vector field, with new lines of flow. These lines might be called the *vorticity lines* for the field $F$. For instance, for the field $F_x = -ay$, $F_y = -ax$, $F_z = 0$, the vector curl $F$ is directed along the positive $z$ axis and has magnitude $2a$ everywhere. The flow lines of $F$ are circles in planes perpendicular to the $z$ axis. For the field $F_x = ay$, $F_y = ax$, $F_z = b(x^2 + y^2)$, discussed on page 12, the vector curl $F$ has components of $2by, -2bx, 2a$. We have shown that the helical flow lines of this case are defined by the families of surfaces $\phi = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, $\delta = z - (b/a)(x^2 + y^2) \tan^{-1} (y/x)$. 


By methods discussed on page 14, we find that the lines of vorticity are defined by the surfaces \( \varphi = \sqrt{x^2 + y^3}, \varphi = z + (a/b) \tan^{-1}(y/x). \)

In both of these examples the vorticity lines are everywhere perpendicular to the lines of flow. This is not always true, however. For instance, for the field \( F_x = az, F_y = ax, F_z = ay, \) the vector \( \nabla \times F = ai + aj + ak \) is not perpendicular to \( F. \)

One very interesting property is exhibited by vorticity lines for any field: \textit{They never begin or end}. This is in line with the argument on page 18 about vortex tubes and can easily be shown from the properties of the curl operator. To say that a flow line never begins or ends is to say that the divergence of the corresponding vector is everywhere zero, for then by the divergence theorem, Eq. (1.4.8), every net outflow integral is zero. However, the divergence of every curl is zero, by its very definition, for

\[
\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times F) = \frac{\partial^2 F_x}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 F_y}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 F_z}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 F_z}{\partial y \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 F_y}{\partial z \partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 F_x}{\partial z \partial y} = 0
\]

In fact the easiest way of obtaining a divergenceless vector field is to use the curl of some other vector field, a dodge which is often useful in electromagnetic theory.

\textbf{Stokes' Theorem.} There is a curl theorem similar to the divergence theorem expressed in Eq. (1.4.7), which can be obtained from the fundamental definition of the curl and from the additive properties of the net circulation integral mentioned on page 21. We consider any surface \( S, \) whose boundary is the closed line (or lines) \( L, \) divide it into its elements of area \( dA, \) and add all the net circulation integrals for the elements. By our definition of the curl, this sum can be written \( \int \nabla \times F \cdot dA, \) where \( dA \) is the vector corresponding to \( dA \) and where the integration is over the whole of \( S. \) According to the discussion on page 16 this defines the number of vorticity lines which cut surface \( S. \) However, because of the additive property of the circulation integral, the integral \( \int \nabla \times F \cdot dA \) must equal the net circulation integral around the boundary line (or lines) \( L: \)

\[
\int \nabla \times F \cdot dA = \oint F \cdot ds \quad (1.4.11)
\]

This curl theorem is called \textit{Stokes' theorem}; it enables one to compute the net circulation integral for any path. It is one other relation between the properties of a vector field at the boundary of a region and the behavior of the field in the region inside the boundary, this one corresponding to the requirement that the net circulation integral around any closed path must equal the number of vorticity lines enclosed by the path.
The Vector Operator $\mathbf{\nabla}$. Just as the divergence operator is an analogue of the dot product of vectors, so the curl operator is an analogue of the cross product. To make the analogy more complete, we can define a vector operator, called del and written $\mathbf{\nabla}$, with components given by the equation

$$\mathbf{\nabla} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + j \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + k \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad \text{(1.4.12)}$$

In terms of this operator the three differential operators discussed in this section can be written symbolically:

$$\text{grad } \psi = \mathbf{\nabla} \psi; \quad \text{div } \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{F}; \quad \text{curl } \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{F}$$

Some of the formulas involving the vector operator $\mathbf{\nabla}$ acting on a product of two quantities can be simplified. The formulas

$$\begin{align*}
\text{grad } (\psi \Phi) &= \psi \text{ grad } \Phi + \Phi \text{ grad } \psi \\
\text{div } (a \mathbf{F}) &= a \text{ div } \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \text{ grad } a \\
\text{div } (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) &= \mathbf{B} \cdot \text{ curl } \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \text{ curl } \mathbf{B} \\
\text{curl } (a \mathbf{B}) &= a \text{ curl } \mathbf{B} + (\text{ grad } a) \times \mathbf{B} \\
\text{curl } (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) &= \mathbf{A} \text{ div } \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \text{ div } \mathbf{A} + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \text{ grad }) \mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{A} \cdot \text{ grad }) \mathbf{B}
\end{align*} \quad \text{(1.4.13)}$$

can all be obtained directly from the definitions of grad, div, and curl.

1.5 Vector and Tensor Formalism

The analogy between $\mathbf{\nabla}$ and a vector is only a symbolic one, however, for we cannot give the operator del a magnitude or direction and say that $\mathbf{\nabla}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{F}$ if div $\mathbf{F} = 0$ or that curl $\mathbf{F}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{\nabla}$ or even necessarily perpendicular to $\mathbf{F}$, as we could if $\mathbf{\nabla}$ were an actual vector. In fact the analogy is weaker still when we try to express $\mathbf{\nabla}$ in generalized coordinates, for the vector operator must have different forms for its different uses:

$$\mathbf{\nabla} = a_1 \frac{1}{h_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + a_2 \frac{1}{h_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} + a_3 \frac{1}{h_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}$$

for the gradient

$$\begin{align*}
&= \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \left[ a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} (h_2 h_3) + a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} (h_1 h_3) \\
&\quad \quad \quad + a_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} (h_1 h_2) \right]
\end{align*}$$

for the divergence

and no form which can be written down for the curl. In order to understand how these operators transform and to be able to set up more complex forms easily, we must delve into the formalism of tensor calculus.

Covariant and Contravariant Vectors. Tensor calculus is a formalism developed to handle efficiently problems in differential geometry, which
has turned out to be decidedly useful in the study of general relativity. We shall touch on the subject briefly in this book, covering only enough to clarify the methods of calculating differential vector operators in curvilinear coordinates. We still consider only orthogonal coordinates in three dimensions, though tensor calculus in its full power can handle nonorthogonal coordinates of any number of dimensions.

In Eqs. (1.3.12) and (1.3.13) we defined the components of contravariant and covariant vectors and their law of transformation. If \( F_n \) are the components of an ordinary vector in a three-dimensional orthogonal system of coordinates with scale factors \( h_n \), then the quantities \( f_n = h_n F_n \) are said to be the covariant components of a vector in the same coordinate system and the quantities \( f^n = F_n / h_n \) are called the contravariant components of a vector in the same system. Therefore, if \( f_n \) are the components of a covariant vector, then \( f^m = f_n h^n \) are the corresponding components of the contravariant vector in the same coordinate system.

As we have shown in Eqs. (1.3.12) and (1.3.13) the rules for transformation of these vectors from the coordinate system \( \xi \) to the system \( \xi' \) are

\[
(f_n)' = \sum_n f_n \frac{\partial \xi'}{\partial \xi_n}, \quad (f^m)' = \sum_n f^n \frac{\partial \xi^m}{\partial \xi_n} \tag{1.5.1}
\]

As we have pointed out earlier, these transformations have the mathematical advantage of formal symmetry, but the new "vectors" have several disadvantages for the physicist. For one thing, the different components do not have the same dimensionality; if an ordinary vector has the dimensions of length, then the components of the corresponding contravariant vector have dimensions equal to the dimensions of the associated coordinate and the dimensions of the covariant components have still other dimensions.

The indices labeling the different components are written as superscripts for contravariant vectors in order to distinguish them from the covariant components. There is, of course, a chance of confusion between the components of real vectors and those of covariant vectors, for we use subscripts for both. The difficulty will not be great in the present book, for we shall not discuss covariant vectors very much and will mention it specifically whenever we do discuss them. A component \( F_n \) without such specific mention can be assumed to be for a real vector.

The quantities \( \partial \psi / \partial \xi_1, \partial \psi / \partial \xi_2, \partial \psi / \partial \xi_3 \) are the components of a covariant vector; they must be divided by \( h_1, h_2, h_3 \), respectively, to be components of a real vector, the gradient of \( \psi \).

The quantities \( b_{ij}, b^i, b_i \) are called the components of a covariant or
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contravariant or mixed tensor, respectively, of the second order in the coordinate system \( \xi \), if they transform according to the formulas:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{b}_{ii} &= \sum_{m,n} b_{m,n} \frac{\partial \xi_m}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial \xi_i}, \\
\hat{b}^{ii} &= \sum_{m,n} b_{m,n} \frac{\partial \xi^m}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial \xi^n}{\partial \xi_i}, \\
\hat{b}_i &= \sum_{m,n} b_{m,n} \frac{\partial \xi^m}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial \xi^m}{\partial \xi_i}
\end{align*}
\]

(1.5.2)

The products of the components of two covariant vectors, taken in pairs, form the components of a covariant tensor. If the vectors are contravariant, the resulting tensor is contravariant. If \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) are the components of two ordinary vectors, then \( (h_i/h_n) A_i B_i = c_i \) are the components of a mixed tensor.

The quantity \( \sum_{m} b_{m} \), called a contracted tensor, formed from a mixed tensor, does not change its value when transformed, since

\[
\sum_{n} b_{n} = \sum_{m,n} b_{m,n} \frac{\partial \xi n}{\partial \xi m} \frac{\partial \xi n}{\partial \xi m} = \sum_{k,m} b_{k} \frac{\partial \xi k}{\partial \xi m} - \sum_{m} b_{m}
\]

Such a quantity we have called a scalar; it is often called an invariant.

The scalar product of two vectors is a contracted tensor, \( \sum_{n} A_{n} B_{n} = \sum_{n} (h_n/h_n) A_{n} B_{n} \), and is, therefore, an invariant.

**Axial Vectors.** To discuss the properties of the cross product we must take into account the orthogonality and right-handedness of our generalized coordinates. An extension of the formulas derived on page 23 from the equations \( a_1 \times a_2 = a_3 \) etc., analogous to Eqs. (1.2,5), shows that, for a transformation from one orthogonal system to another,

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \xi k} & \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \xi \lambda} & \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \xi \mu}\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \xi k}{\partial \xi \lambda} & \frac{\partial \xi k}{\partial \xi \mu} & \frac{\partial \xi k}{\partial \xi \nu}\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
h_{i}^{j}h_{k}^{\lambda} & h_{i}^{\lambda}h_{k}^{\mu} & h_{i}^{\lambda}h_{k}^{\nu}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \xi k} & \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \xi \lambda} & \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \xi \mu}\end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

where if both systems are right-handed, the trios \( (i,j,k) \) and \( (\lambda,\mu,\nu) \) must be one or another of the trios \( (1,2,3), (2,3,1), \) or \( (3,1,2). \) By the use of this formula, we find that, for any tensor \( f_{ij} \), the quantities

\[
c^i = \frac{1}{h_{i}h_{j}h_{k}} (f_{ik} - f_{ik}); \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \text{ or } 2, 3, 1 \text{ or } 3, 1, 2
\]

are the components of a contravariant vector, for by the equations for tensor transformation

\[
\frac{f_{12} - f_{22}}{h_{1}h_{2}h_{3}} = \sum_{m,n} \frac{f_{mn}}{h_{i}^{j}h_{k}^{\lambda}} \left[ \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial \xi_i} - \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial \xi_i} \right] = \sum_{n} c^{n} \left( \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial \xi m} \right) = (c^3)'; \quad \text{etc.}
\]
Similarly, \( h_i^j h_j^k (f^k - f^j) = c_i \) are components of a covariant vector for orthogonal coordinates. We note that these vectors are axial in nature, as is shown by the arbitrary way we have to choose the order of the subscripts (1,2,3), etc. We also should mention that these definitions hold only in three dimensions.

Therefore, if \( A_m, B_n, C_k \) are components of ordinary vectors and \( a_m, b_n, c_k \) are components of the corresponding covariant vectors, the \( i \)th component of the cross product of \( A \) and \( B \),

\[
C_i = A_i B_k - A_k B_i = \frac{1}{h_i h_j} (a_i b_k - a_k b_i) = h_i^d \; ; \\
i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \text{ or } 2, 3, 1 \text{ or } 3, 1, 2
\]  

(1.5.3)

is an ordinary vector. We note again that this holds only for three dimensions.

**Christoffel Symbols.** In order to discuss the properties of the divergence and curl we must define a set of useful quantities, called the Christoffel symbols, and discuss their properties. These symbols are defined as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
i\\i\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial \xi} \; ; \; \begin{bmatrix}i & i\\j\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{h_i} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial \xi_j} \; ; \; \begin{bmatrix}i & j\\i\end{bmatrix} = \frac{h_i}{(h_j)^2} \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial \xi_j} \; ; \; \begin{bmatrix}i & j\\k\end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ for } i, j, k \text{ all different}
\]  

(1.5.4)

for orthogonal coordinates. These symbols are measures of the curvature of the coordinate axes. Referring to Eqs. (1.3.6), we see that the change in direction of the unit vectors \( a_i \) can be expressed in terms of the Christoffel symbols by the following simple expressions:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} (h_i a_i) = \sum_n h_n a_n \begin{bmatrix}n & i\\j\end{bmatrix} ; \; \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} (a_k) = - \sum_n (a_n) h_n \begin{bmatrix}n & i\\j\end{bmatrix} \; ; \; \begin{bmatrix}i & j\\n\end{bmatrix} \; \begin{bmatrix}n & i\\j\end{bmatrix} \; (1.5.5)
\]

Now the unit vector \( a_i \) gives the direction of the \( \xi_i \) axis at the point \( P \), \((\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)\); and \( h_i \) gives the scale of this coordinate, that is, \( h_i \) equals the actual distance between the points \((\xi, \xi_2, \xi_3)\) and \((\xi + d\xi, \xi_2, \xi_3)\), divided by the change of coordinate \( d\xi \). Therefore, the vector \( h_i a_i \) gives both direction and scale of the coordinate \( \xi_i \) at point \( P \). The rate of change of this vector with respect to a change in coordinate \( \xi_j \) is also a vector, the \( ij \)th component giving the change of scale and the components perpendicular to \( a_i \) giving the change in direction as \( \xi_j \) changes. The \( ij \)th component of this rate of change vector is \( h_i \) times the Christoffel symbol \( \begin{bmatrix}i & j\\n\end{bmatrix} \).

We note that these symbols are symmetric in the two lower indices (\( i \) and \( j \) in the symbol just above). In other words, the vector represent-
ing the change of $h_a a_i$ with respect to $\xi_i$ is equal in magnitude and direction to the vector representing the change of $h_a a_j$ with respect to $\xi_j$. This corresponds to the fact that, if coordinate $\xi_i$ changes in scale as $\xi_j$ is varied, then $\xi_i$ will change in direction as $\xi_i$ is varied, and vice versa. A study of Fig. 1.11 will show that this is true.

The Christoffel symbols are not tensors. It can be shown that their rule of transformation is

$$
\sum_{m,n} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i \\ m \\ n \end{array} \right\} \frac{\partial \xi_m'}{\partial \xi_k} \frac{\partial \xi_n'}{\partial \xi_s} = - \frac{\partial \xi_i'}{\partial \xi_k} \frac{\partial \xi_i'}{\partial \xi_s} + \sum_{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n \\ k \\ s \end{array} \right\} \frac{\partial \xi_n'}{\partial \xi_s} \tag{1.5.6}
$$

where a prime indicates quantities in terms of the new coordinates $\xi'$. Although Christoffel symbols are not tensors, they can be of great use in the formation of derivatives of vectors which have tensor form. The ordinary derivative $\partial f_i/\partial \xi_j$ is not a tensor, primarily because the coordinates are curvilinear, and the change in direction of the coordinate axes affects the vector components, adding a spurious variation to the real variation due to change in the vector itself. In other words, the components of the derivative of a vector are not just the derivative of the vector’s components in curvilinear coordinates. To find the correct expression for the components of the derivative, we differentiate the whole vector at once and take components after differentiation.

**Covariant Derivative.** For instance, if $f^i$ are the components of a contravariant vector, then the corresponding real vector is $\mathbf{F} = \sum_n a_n h_n f^i$.

The derivative of this vector with respect to $\xi_i$ can be reduced to the form

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \xi_i} = \sum_n a_n h_n \frac{\partial f^m}{\partial \xi_i} + \sum_m f^m \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} (a_n h_n) = \sum_n a_n h_n \left[ \frac{\partial f^m}{\partial \xi_i} + \sum_m f^m \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n \\ m \\ j \end{array} \right\} \right]
$$

Therefore, the components of the contravariant vector which corresponds to the rate of change of the ordinary vector $\mathbf{F}$ with respect to $\xi_i$ are the quantities

$$
f^i_{,j} = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial \xi_j} + \sum_m f^m \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i \\ \cdot \cdot \cdot \\ j \end{array} \right\} \tag{1.5.7}
$$

where $f^i$ are the components of the contravariant vector corresponding to $\mathbf{F}$. This derivative component has been corrected for the spurious effects of coordinate curvature, and the vector components correspond to the actual change in the vector with $\xi_i$. The comma before the subscript indicates the differentiation.

As a matter of fact, $f^i_{,j}$ are the components of a tensor, being covariant.
with respect to index \( j \) as well as contravariant with respect to index \( i \). This can be shown by utilizing Eqs. (1.5.1) and (1.5.6):

\[
(f'_{i})' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i}} \sum_{k} f_{k} \left( \frac{\partial \xi'_{k}}{\partial \xi_{i}} \right) + \sum_{mn} f_{n} \frac{\partial \xi'_{m}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ \text{n} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} j \\ \text{m} \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{nk} \frac{\partial \xi'_{k}}{\partial \xi_{i}} \left( \frac{\partial \xi'_{n}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \right) + \sum_{mn} \frac{\partial \xi'_{m}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \frac{\partial \xi'_{n}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ \text{n} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} j \\ \text{m} \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{nk} \frac{\partial f'_{k}}{\partial \xi_{i}} \frac{\partial \xi'_{n}}{\partial \xi_{k}} + \sum_{mn} \frac{\partial \xi'_{m}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \frac{\partial \xi'_{n}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \left( \begin{array}{c} i \\ \text{n} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} j \\ \text{m} \end{array} \right)
\]

Therefore, \( f'_{ij} \) are the components of a mixed tensor of the second order. The tensor is called the covariant derivative of the contravariant vector whose components are \( f'_{i} \).

Similarly, if \( f_{i} \) are the components of a covariant vector, the quantity

\[
F = \sum_{n} \left( \frac{a_{n}}{h_{n}} \right) f_{n}
\]

is an ordinary vector, and

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{i}} = \sum_{n} \left( \frac{a_{n}}{h_{n}} \right) \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial \xi_{i}} - \sum_{m} f_{m} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ \text{i} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{j} \end{array} \right)
\]

is also an ordinary vector. Therefore, the quantities

\[
f_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial \xi_{j}} - \sum_{m} f_{m} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ \text{i} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{j} \end{array} \right)
\]

are components of the covariant tensor corresponding to the rate of change of \( F \) with respect to \( \xi_{i} \). These quantities are the components of a covariant tensor of the second order which is called the covariant derivative of the covariant vector \( f_{i} \).

The definition of covariant differentiation can be extended to tensors also:

\[
f_{ij,k} = \left( \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \right) - \sum_{mn} f_{mn} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ \text{i} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{j} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ \text{l} \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
f_{i,j,k} = \left( \frac{\partial f_{i,j}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \right) + \sum_{mn} f_{mn} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ \text{j} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{k} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ \text{l} \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
f_{i,j,k} = \left( \frac{\partial f_{i,j}}{\partial \xi_{k}} \right) - \sum_{mn} f_{mn} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ \text{j} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \text{k} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} k \\ \text{l} \end{array} \right)
\]

and so on. These quantities are the components of tensors of the third order, which transform according to obvious generalizations of Eqs.
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(3.11). From these formulas it is possible to see that covariant differentiation has the same rules of operation as ordinary differentiation. For instance, for the differential of a product, \((ab)_k = a_ib_{j,k} + a_{i,j}b_k\), and so on.

Tensor Notation for Divergence and Curl. With these definitions made we can now express the differential operators div and curl in a symmetric form. The contracted tensor

\[
\sum_n f^n_{n,m} = \sum_n \frac{\partial f^n}{\partial \xi_n} + \sum_{n,m} f^n \left\{ m \atop n \ m \right\} = \sum_n \frac{\partial f^n}{\partial \xi_n} + \sum_{m,n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \ln h_m = \sum_n \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} (f^n h_1 h_2 h_3)
\]

is a scalar invariant, according to the discussion above. If now \(f^n = F_n/h_n\), where \(F_n\) are the components of an ordinary vector, then the contracted tensor is the divergence of \(F\):

\[
\sum_n \left( \frac{F_n}{h_n} \right)_{,n} = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left( \frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{h_n} F_n \right) = \text{div } F \quad (1.5.10)
\]

From this, the invariance of the divergence follows directly, from the general tensor rules.

Similarly, we have shown above that for orthogonal coordinates the quantities

\[
e^i = -\frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} (f_{i,k} - f_{k,i}); \quad \epsilon_{ijk} = 123, 231, 312
\]

are components of a contravariant vector. If now \(f_n = h_n F_n\), where \(F_n\) are the components of an ordinary vector, then the quantities \(h_n e^i\) are components of an ordinary vector. Choosing one component and using the definitions of the Christoffel symbols, we see that

\[
h_{21} = \frac{1}{h_1 h_3} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} (F_1 h_3) - (F_2 h_3) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \ln h_3 - (F_3 h_3) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \ln h_2 \right\}
\]

is, according to Eq. (1.4.10), the \(\xi_1\) component of curl \(F\), an ordinary vector.

Other Differential Operators. Once we have become familiar with the technique of tensor calculus and with the definitions for covariant differentiation, we can set up the correct form for vector or scalar combinations of vectors, scalars, and operators with very few of the tedious complications we have met with earlier in this chapter while setting up such combinations. The formalism of tensor calculus has taken care of the complications once for all.
For instance, we can be sure that the combination \( \sum_n b^n a_{e,n} \) is the \( i \)th component of a covariant vector, \( h_i \) times the corresponding component of the ordinary vector. Substituting \( b^n = B_n/h_n \) and \( a_e = h_e A_e \), where \( A \) and \( B \) are ordinary vectors, and dividing by \( h_n \) we obtain the components of an ordinary vector, whose \( \xi_1 \) component is

\[
F_1 = \frac{1}{h_1} \sum_n \frac{B_n}{h_n} (h_1 A_1)_n = \frac{1}{h_1} \sum_n \frac{B_n}{h_n} \left[ \partial_{\xi_n} (h_1 A_1) - \sum_{m} h_m A_m \left\{ \frac{m}{n} \right\} \right]
\]

\[
= \left[ \sum_n \frac{B_n}{h_n} \partial_{\xi_n} (F_1) + \frac{A_2}{h_1 h_3} \left( B_1 \frac{\partial h_3}{\partial \xi_2} - B_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \xi_1} \right) \right]
\]

and which is the vector \((B \text{ grad} A)\), as reference to Eq. (1.4.3) shows. Thus the formalism of tensor calculus again enables us to obtain the components of a vector operator in any orthogonal system of coordinates.

The shorthand symbolism of tensor calculus enables one to express an equation in the same form in any coordinate system. Once one has set up a tensor equality and has made sure that the subscripts and superscripts on each side of the equality sign match, then one can be sure that the equation will hold in any coordinate system. This corresponds to the general aim of theoretical physics, which seeks to express laws in a form which will be independent of the coordinate system.

The Laplacian defined in Eq. (1.1.4) can also be obtained in its generalized form by means of the tensor formalism

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = \text{div} (\text{grad} \psi) = \sum_n \left( \frac{1}{h_n^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} \right)_n = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ \frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{h_n^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} \right]
\]

(1.5.11)

As we have mentioned on page 8, the Laplacian of \( \psi \) is a measure of the "lumpiness" of \( \psi \).

The Laplacian operator can also be applied to a vector field \( \mathbf{F} \), resulting in a vector which can be considered as a measure of the lumpiness of either the direction or magnitude of the vector \( \mathbf{F} \). The \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) components of this vector are obtained by taking the Laplacian of the \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) components of \( \mathbf{F} \). To obtain components along general coordinates we use the relations

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{F} = \text{grad} (\text{div} \mathbf{F}) - \text{curl} (\text{curl} \mathbf{F})
\]

\[
(\nabla^2 F)_i = h_i \sum_n \left[ \frac{1}{h_n^2} \left( \frac{F_i}{h_n} \right)_n \right]_n = \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_n \left[ \frac{1}{h_n^2} \left( h_i F_i \right)_n \right]_n
\]
The first equation can readily be obtained in rectangular coordinates; it is, of course, true for any system. The second equation shows that the vector $\nabla \mathbf{F}$ is related to the contra- and covariant vectors formed by the double covariant differentiation of either $F_i / h_i$ or $h_i F_i$, and the contraction of the resulting third order tensor. The resulting general form for $\nabla \mathbf{F}$, when written out, is a complicated one, but this form simplifies considerably for most of the systems we shall use.

The first equation in Eqs. (1.5.12) is of interest in itself, for it shows that $\nabla \mathbf{F}$ is related to the vorticity of $\mathbf{F}$ because of the last term, curl (curl $\mathbf{F}$). If $\mathbf{F}$ represents the velocity of an incompressible fluid, then $\text{div } \mathbf{F} = 0$ and $\nabla \mathbf{F} = \text{curl } (\text{curl } \mathbf{F})$. Therefore, in order that a divergenceless vector have a Laplacian which is not zero, not only must it have a vorticity, but its vorticity lines must themselves exhibit vorticity.

**Other Second-order Operators.** The other combinations of two operators $\nabla$ are less important than the Laplacian $\nabla^2$; however, they will sometimes enter into our equations, and it is well to discuss them briefly.

Several of these operators are zero. The relation

$$\text{curl } (\text{grad } \psi) = \nabla \times (\nabla \psi) = 0 \quad (1.5.13)$$

has already been used in Sec. 1.2, where we showed that, if a vector was the gradient of a potential function, its curl had to be zero. The relation

$$\text{div } (\text{curl } \mathbf{F}) = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = 0 \quad (1.5.14)$$

has already been discussed on page 18, where we showed that lines of vorticity can neither begin nor end.

Equation (1.5.13) indicates a property of fields which was discussed on page 14, that if a field has zero curl everywhere, it can be represented as the gradient of a scalar, called the potential function. Equation (1.5.14) indicates a parallel property, which can be verified without much trouble, that if a field has a zero divergence, it can be represented as the curl of a vector, which may be called the vector potential for the zero-divergence field.

The operator $\text{grad } (\text{div } \mathbf{F}) = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F})$ operates on a vector and results in a vector. It measures the possible change in the divergence of a vector field, and differs from the Laplacian of the same field, $\mathbf{F}$, by the quantity $\text{curl } (\text{curl } \mathbf{F})$, as given by Eq. (1.5.12). The operator curl (curl $\mathbf{F}$) = $\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{F})$, the last of the second order operators, is thus defined in terms of two others. All these expressions can be given in tensor form.

**Vector as a Sum of Gradient and Curl.** We have now reached a degree of dexterity in our use of vector formulas to enable us to analyze further the statement made in the next to last paragraph. To be more specific, we shall prove that any vector field $\mathbf{F}$, which is finite, uniform, and continuous and which vanishes at infinity, may also be expressed
as the sum of a gradient of a scalar $\varphi$ and a curl of a zero-divergence vector $\mathbf{A}$,
\[ \mathbf{F} = \text{grad } \varphi + \text{curl } \mathbf{A}: \quad \text{div } \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad (1.5.15) \]
The function $\varphi$ is called the scalar potential of $\mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{A}$ is called its vector potential, and the theorem is called Helmholtz's theorem.

In order to prove this statement we need to show how $\varphi$ and $\mathbf{A}$ can be computed from $\mathbf{F}$, and in order to compute $\varphi$ and $\mathbf{A}$ we shall have to use the solution of Poisson's equation $\nabla^2 \varphi = -q$ which was given in Eq. (1.4.8),
\[ \varphi = \iiint \frac{q(x',y',z')}{4\pi R} \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'; \quad R^2 = (x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2 \]
By considering each of the three vector components in turn we can also show that the solution of the vector Poisson equation $\nabla^2 \mathbf{F} = -q$ has the same form as the scalar solution, with $F$ and $q$ changed to boldface letters. We shall show in Chap. 7 that both of these solutions are unique ones as long as the integral of $\mathbf{F}$ over all space is finite. If it is not, if, for example, $F = ax$, we can take $F$ to be zero within a sphere of very large but finite radius and have it be zero outside the sphere. After the calculation is all finished, we could then let $R \to \infty$. If we are dealing with a field inside a finite volume, we can choose $F$ to be the field inside the volume and be zero outside it. In all cases that $F$ does not specifically go infinite, we can make $\int F \, dv$ finite.

To compute $\varphi$ and $\mathbf{A}$ we compute first the vector function
\[ \mathbf{W} = \iiint \frac{\mathbf{F}(x',y',z')}{4\pi R} \, dx' \, dy' \, dz' \quad (1.5.16) \]
which is a solution of the vector Poisson equation $\nabla^2 \mathbf{W} = -\mathbf{F}$. From this we can see that $\text{div } \mathbf{W}$ may be set equal to $-\varphi$ and $\text{curl } \mathbf{W}$ may be set equal to $\mathbf{A}$, for then [using the vector formula (1.5.12)]
\[ \mathbf{F} = -\nabla \varphi = -\text{grad } \varphi + \text{curl } \mathbf{A} \]
which is Eq. (1.5.15). Since $\mathbf{W}$ is determined uniquely by Eq. (1.5.16), $\varphi$ and $\mathbf{A}$ are determined uniquely as long as the integral of $\mathbf{F}$ is finite (which can always be arranged by use of the trick mentioned above as long as $\mathbf{F}$ is not infinite somewhere a finite distance from the origin).

We can express $\varphi$ and $\mathbf{A}$ in somewhat simpler terms if we use the symmetry of function $1/R$ with respect to $(x,y,z)$ and $(x',y',z')$, for the gradient of $1/R$ with respect to $(x,y,z)$ (written $\text{grad } 1/R$) is the negative of the gradient of $1/R$ with respect to $(x',y',z')$ (written $\text{grad}' 1/R$). Then using Gauss' theorem (1.4.7), we have
\[ -\text{div } \mathbf{W} = \oint \mathbf{F} \cdot \text{grad}' \left( \frac{1}{4\pi R} \right) \, dv' = \oint \frac{\mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{A}'}{4\pi R} = -\int \text{div}' \mathbf{F} \, dv' \]
or
\[ \varphi = -\iiint [\text{div}' \mathbf{F}(x',y',z')]/4\pi R] \, dx' \, dy' \, dz' \]
where the normal outflow integral of $\mathbf{F}/4\pi R$ is taken over a large, enclosing surface entirely in the region where $\mathbf{F}$ is (or has been made) zero.

Similarly, by using an equation related to Gauss' theorem,

$$\int \text{curl} \mathbf{B} \, d\mathbf{v} = -\oint \mathbf{F} \times d\mathbf{A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5.17)

we can transform the expression for $\mathbf{A}$ to the simpler one

$$\text{curl} \mathbf{W} = \int \mathbf{F} \times \text{grad}' \left( \frac{1}{3\pi R} \right) \, d\mathbf{v}' = \oint \frac{\mathbf{F} \times d\mathbf{A}}{4\pi R} + \int \frac{\text{curl}' \mathbf{F}}{4\pi R} \, d\mathbf{v}'$$

or

$$\mathbf{A} = \iiint \left[ \text{curl}' \mathbf{F}(x',y',z')/4\pi R \right] \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'$$

Consequently $\varphi$ and $\mathbf{A}$ can be obtained directly from the divergence and the curl of $\mathbf{F}$, with $\mathbf{F}$ subject to the conditions outlined above.

This property of any vector field, of being uniquely separable into a divergenceless part, curl $\mathbf{A}$, and a curlless part, grad $\varphi$, is called Helmholtz’ theorem. It will be of considerable use to us in this book, particularly in Chap. 13. It will be discussed from a different point of view in Sec. 2.3.

1.6 Dyadics and Other Vector Operators

We have already discussed the properties of vector fields and their correspondence to various physical phenomena in order to get a “physical feeling” for the concept of a vector field. We next must become familiar with the physical counterparts of the tensor forms defined in Eqs. (1.5.2). These forms, in three dimensions, have nine components, as contrasted to the three components of a vector. In a tensor field these nine components may vary from point to point; they transform under change of coordinate system in the manner given in Eqs. (1.5.2).

**Dyadics.** Just as we defined “real” vectors as distinguished from their contravariant and covariant forms, so here we shall define a dyadic as a set of nine components $A_{ij}$, each of them functions of the three coordinates, which transform from one coordinate system to the other according to the rule

$$(A_{ij})' = \sum_{m,n} \frac{h_m h_n}{k'_i k'_j} \frac{\partial x_m}{\partial x'_i} \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial x'_j} A_{mn}$$

$$= \sum_{m,n} \frac{k_i k_j}{h_i h_j} \frac{\partial x'_i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial x'_j}{\partial x_n} A_{mn}$$

$$= \sum_{m,n} \frac{h_i h_j}{k_i k_j} \frac{\partial x_m}{\partial x'_i} \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial x'_j} A_{mn}$$

$$- \sum_{m,n} \gamma_{im} \gamma_{jn} A_{mn}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6.1)
The dyadic as a whole whose components obey Eq. (1.6.1) is represented by the German capital letter $\mathbb{A}$. The relation between the dyadic components and the corresponding contravariant, covariant, and mixed tensor components can be derived from Eqs. (1.5.2):

$$a^{\alpha \mu} = A_{\alpha \mu}/h_{\alpha}h_{\mu}; \quad a_{\alpha \mu} = h_{\alpha}h_{\mu}A_{\alpha \mu}; \quad a_{\mu}^{\alpha} = h_{\alpha}A_{\alpha \mu}/h_{\mu} \quad (1.6.2)$$

Two general properties of a dyadic $\mathbb{A}$ can be written down immediately; the contracted form

$$|\mathbb{A}| = \sum_{\alpha \mu} A_{\alpha \mu} = \sum_{\alpha} a^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \quad (1.6.3)$$

is a scalar invariant whose value at a point is independent of change of coordinates; and according to Eq. (1.5.3), the quantity

$$\langle \mathbb{A} \rangle = a_1[A_{23} - A_{32}] + a_3[A_{31} - A_{13}] + a_2[A_{12} - A_{21}] \quad (1.6.4)$$

is an axial vector, having the transformation properties of a vector. (The quantities $a_\alpha$ are, as before, unit vectors along the three directions of a right-handed system of coordinates.) The invariant $|\mathbb{A}|$ can be called the $Spur$ or the expansion factor of the dyadic, and the vector $\langle \mathbb{A} \rangle$ will be called the rotation vector of $\mathbb{A}$ for reasons which will be apparent shortly.

A dyadic can be combined with a vector to form a vector by contraction:

$$\mathbb{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \sum_{\alpha \mu} a_{\alpha}A_{\alpha \mu}B_{\mu}; \quad \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbb{A} = \sum_{\alpha \mu} B_{\mu}A_{\alpha \mu}a_{\alpha} \quad (1.6.5)$$

Use of the definitions of transformation of vectors and dyadics will show that these quantities transform like a vector (a "true" vector). This result suggests that a formal mode of writing a dyadic in terms of its nine components along the axes $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ is

$$\mathbb{A} = a_1A_{11}a_1 + a_1A_{12}a_2 + a_1A_{13}a_3 + a_2A_{21}a_1 + a_2A_{22}a_2 + a_2A_{23}a_3 + a_3A_{31}a_1 + a_3A_{32}a_2 + a_3A_{33}a_3 \quad (1.6.6)$$

The quantities $a_\alpha a_{\alpha}$ are neither scalar nor vector products of the unit vectors but are operators such that the scalar product $(a_{\alpha}a_{\alpha}) \cdot \mathbf{B} = B_\alpha a_{\alpha}$ is a vector pointed along $\xi_\alpha$ of magnitude equal to the component of $\mathbf{B}$ along $\xi_\alpha$, etc.

We note that the vector $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbb{A}$ is not usually equal to the vector $\mathbb{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$. The dyadic $\mathbb{A}^*$ formed by reversing the order of the subscripts of the components $(A_{\alpha \mu}^* = A_{\mu \alpha})$ is called the conjugate of $\mathbb{A}$. It is not difficult to see that $\mathbb{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbb{A}^*$ and $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^* \cdot \mathbf{B}$.

**Dyadics as Vector Operators.** The last two paragraphs have suggested one of the most useful properties of dyadics: They are operators which change a vector into another vector. The new vector is related to the
old one by a set of rules, represented by the nine components $A_{ij}$. The values of these components determine how the new vector differs from the old in magnitude and direction. The amount of difference, of course, also depends on the direction of the original vector. The vector operator represented by a dyadic is not the most general type of vector operator (we shall discuss others later), but it corresponds to so many physical phenomena that it merits detailed study.

![Fig. 1.18 Transformation of vector field by dyadic](image)

$$i(1.5i + 0.2j) + j(j - 0.4k) + k(0.5j + 0.6k)$$

Black vectors are initial field; outlined vectors are transformed field.

Examples of phenomena which can be represented in terms of vector operators are found in many branches of physics. For instance, the relation between $\mathbf{M}$, the angular momentum of a rigid body, and its angular velocity $\omega$ is $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} \cdot \omega$, where $\mathbf{I}$ is the moment of inertia dyadic. Also, in a nonisotropic porous medium through which fluid is being forced, the fluid velocity vector $\mathbf{v}$ is not in general in the same direction as the pressure gradient vector, but the two are related by a dyadic relationship, $\text{grad } p = \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, where $\mathbf{R}$ is the dyadic resistance. Similarly the relation between the electric field and the electric polarization in a nonisotropic dielectric is a dyadic relationship. The most familiar example of dyadics is in the distortion of elastic bodies, which will be discussed shortly.

The concept of a dyadic as a vector operator, as well as consideration of the foregoing equations, leads us to the following rules of dyadic algebra:

$$\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \sum_{m,n} a_m [A_{mn} + B_{mn}] a_n = \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \sum_{m,n} a_m \left[ \sum_i A_{mi} B_{in} \right] a_n = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A}$$

$$c\mathbf{A} = \sum_{m,n} a_m (cA_{mn}) a_n = c\mathbf{A}$$
Dyads and Other Operators

The first equation shows us that addition of dyads is commutative and that a dyadic of general form can be built up as a sum of dyads of simple form. The second equation shows that a dyadic times a dyadic is a dyadic and that dyadic multiplication is not commutative. The third equation defines multiplication by a scalar. Multiplication by a vector has already been defined. The scalar "double-dot" product
\[ \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{B} = \sum_{m,n} A_{m,n} B_{n,m} = [\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}] \]
is, of course, the completely contracted form.

There is, of course, a zero dyadic \( \mathbf{O} \) and a unity dyadic \( \mathbf{I} \) called the idenfactor:
\[ \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}; \quad \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}; \quad \mathbf{I} = \alpha_1^3 + \alpha_2^3 + \alpha_3^3 \]
where \( \mathbf{F} \) is any vector.

One can also define \( \mathbf{I}^{-1} \), the reciprocal dyadic to \( \mathbf{I} \), as the dyadic, which, when multiplied by \( \mathbf{I} \), results in the idenfactor
\[ (\mathbf{I}^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I} \cdot (\mathbf{I}^{-1}) = \mathbf{I} \]
The reciprocal of the zero dyadic is, of course, undefined. In terms of the nine components of \( \mathbf{I} \), as defined in Eq. (1.6.6), the components of the reciprocal are
\[ (\mathbf{I}^{-1})_{mn} = A_{mn}' / \Delta_{a} \]
where \( A_{mn}' \) is the minor of \( A_{mn} \) in the determinant
\[ \Delta_{a} = \begin{vmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{vmatrix} \]

The definition of the multiplication of dyads requires that the conjugate to the product \( (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \) is given in terms of the conjugates of \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) by the equation
\[ (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})^* = (\mathbf{B}^* \cdot \mathbf{A}^*) \]

involving an inversion in the order of multiplication. Similarly the reciprocal of the product \( (\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{I})^{-1} = (\mathbf{I}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{I}^{-1}) \).

Since a dyadic at any point in space is determined by its nine components along orthogonal axes [which components change with coordinate rotation according to Eq. (1.6.1)], it can be built up by a proper combination of vectors having at least nine independently chosen constants. For instance, since a vector at a point is determined by three quantities, a dyadic can be formed in terms of three arbitrarily chosen vectors \( A_{m} \):
\[ \mathbf{A} = a_{1} A_{1} + a_{2} A_{2} + a_{3} A_{3} = A_{1} a_{1} + A_{2} a_{2} + A_{3} a_{3} \quad \text{(1.6.7)} \]
where the \( a \)'s are unit vectors along three orthogonal, right-handed coordinate axes. The conjugate dyadic is
\[ \mathbf{A}^* = A_{1} a_{1} + A_{2} a_{2} + A_{3} a_{3} = a_{1} A_{1}^* + a_{2} A_{2}^* + a_{3} A_{3}^* \]
These equations define the vectors $A_n$ and $A_n^*$. Their interrelation is given below. The vector $A_m$ can be called the component vector with respect to the $\xi_m$ axis. For an arbitrary dyadic this may be in any arbitrary direction and have any magnitude. A vector $\mathbf{B}$ pointing in the $\xi_m$ direction is transformed by the operation $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ into a vector pointing in the direction of $A_m$ and is transformed by the operation $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ into one pointing in the direction of $A_m^*$.

It is not difficult to see that the component vectors are related to the nine components $A_{mn}$ of $\mathbf{A}$ in the $\xi_1$, $\xi_2$, $\xi_3$ axes [given in Eq. (1.6.6)] by the relations

$$A_m = \sum_n A_{mn} a_n; \quad A_m^* = \sum_n a_n A_{mn}$$

In the rectangular coordinates $x$, $y$, $z$ the dyadic is represented as

$$\mathbf{A} = iA_x + jA_y + kA_z = A_x^*i + A_y^*j + A_z^*k$$

$$A_x = \alpha_1 A_1 + \alpha_2 A_2 + \alpha_3 A_3; \quad \alpha_m = i \cdot a_m$$

$$A_y = \beta_1 A_1 + \beta_2 A_2 + \beta_3 A_3; \quad \beta_m = j \cdot a_m$$

$$A_z = \gamma_1 A_1 + \gamma_2 A_2 + \gamma_3 A_3; \quad \gamma_m = k \cdot a_m$$

More generally, a dyadic can be expressed in terms of a series of combinations of vectors

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_m A_m \mathbf{B}_m$$

where there must be at least three terms in the sum in order to represent any arbitrary dyadic.

**Symmetric and Antisymmetric Dyadics.** The dyadic $A_a a_i$ is a particularly simple vector operator; it converts any vector $\mathbf{F}$ into a vector of length $A (a_i \cdot \mathbf{F})$ pointed along $a_i$. It is a symmetric dyadic, for its components in any rectangular system of coordinates are symmetric with respect to exchange of subscripts. For instance, in the rectangular coordinates $x$, $y$, $z$, the components are

$$A_x = A_0 \alpha_1^2; \quad A_y = A_0 \beta_1^2; \quad A_z = A_0 \gamma_1^2$$

$$A_{xy} = A_{yx} = A_0 \alpha \beta_1; \quad A_{xz} = A_{zx} = A_0 \alpha \gamma_1; \quad A_{yz} = A_{zy} = A_0 \beta \gamma_1$$

where $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ are the direction cosines of $a_i$ with respect to $x$, $y$, $z$, etc.

The most general symmetric dyadic can be expressed in terms of three orthogonal unit vectors $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$;

$$\mathbf{A} = a_1 A_1 a_1 + a_2 A_2 a_2 + a_3 A_3 a_3$$

(1.6.8)

Since a symmetric dyadic is specified by only six independent constants (three pairs of components are equal), specifying a definite symmetric dyadic uniquely specifies the three constants $A_1$, $A_2$, $A_3$ and uniquely...
specifies the directions in space of the three orthogonal unit vectors \( \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3 \) [which are given by the Eulerian angles \( \psi, \varphi, \vartheta \), see Eq. (1.3.8)]. Referring to Eq. (1.6.7) we see that for a dyadic to be symmetric it must be possible to find a trio of unit vectors \( \mathbf{a} \) such that the component vector \( A_1 \) is parallel to \( \mathbf{a}_1 \), and so on.

Conversely, any symmetric dyadic can be expressed in the form of Eq. (1.6.8), and the values of the \( A \)'s and the directions of the \( \mathbf{a} \)'s can be found, for Eq. (1.6.8) indicates that the symmetric operator \( \mathbf{\Xi} \) operates on a vector in either of the three orthogonal directions \( \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \text{or} \mathbf{a}_3 \) by changing its length and not its direction whereas operating on a vector in any other direction, direction is changed as well as length. Those special directions in which the operation does not change the direction of the vector are called the principal axes of the dyadic.

The direction cosines of a principal axis \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) of the dyadic

\[
\mathbf{\Xi}_1 = A_{1i} \mathbf{i} + B_{1j} \mathbf{j} + C_{1k} \mathbf{k} + B_{1i} \mathbf{j} + D_{1j} \mathbf{k} + D_{1k} \mathbf{i} + D_{1k} \mathbf{i} + A_{1k} \mathbf{k}
\]

may be found by solving the equation

\[
\mathbf{\Xi}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 = A_1 \mathbf{a}_1
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1.6.9)

which is the mathematical statement of the definition of principal axis given above. Equation (1.6.9) is the first case of an eigenvalue equation that we have encountered, but it will not be long before we turn up other ones, in our discussions of "vector space" in quantum mechanics and wave theory and in many other aspects of field theory. The directions of the principal axes \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) are called eigenvectors, while the constants \( A_1, A_2, A_3 \) are known as the eigenvalues.

To solve Eq. (1.6.9) let \( \mathbf{a}_1 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{i} + \beta_1 \mathbf{j} + \gamma_1 \mathbf{k} \). Introducing this on both sides of the equation we obtain three linear homogeneous equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
(A_x - A_1) \alpha_1 + B_x \beta_1 + B_y \gamma_1 &= 0 \\
B_x \alpha_1 + (A_y - A_1) \beta_1 + B_z \gamma_1 &= 0 \\
B_y \alpha_1 + B_z \beta_1 + (A_z - A_1) \gamma_1 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

This set of equations can be solved only if the determinant of the coefficients of \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) is zero:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
A_x - A_1 & B_x & B_y \\
B_x & A_y - A_1 & B_z \\
B_y & B_z & A_z - A_1
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

Solving this equation (a third-degree one) for \( A_1 \) yields three roots corresponding to the three numbers \( A_1, A_2, A_3 \). The determinant is known as a secular determinant. It turns up whenever one goes about solving an eigenvalue equation by means of a linear combination of vectors such as that used for \( \mathbf{a}_1 \).
Types of Fields

Corresponding to the three numbers \( A_i \) there will be three sets of values for \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \), which are the direction cosines of the three principal axes. These axes are perpendicular, as shown by the following argument:

\[
\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_i = A_i \mathbf{a}_i; \quad \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = A_2 \mathbf{a}_2
\]

Because of the symmetry of \( \mathbf{a}_i \)

\[
0 = a_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 - a_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = (A_1 - A_2) a_1 \cdot a_2
\]

Since \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) usually differ in value, this equation can hold only if \( a_1 \cdot a_2 = 0 \).

It may also be shown that the Spur or expansion factor is invariant,

\[
A_x^2 + A_y^2 + A_z^2 = A_1 + A_2 + A_3
\]

as, of course, it must, since this expression is the scalar invariant \( |\mathbf{r}| \) of the dyadic. We can now see why this scalar is called the expansion factor of \( \mathbf{a}_i \), for it is three times the average fractional increase in length caused by dyadic \( \mathbf{a}_i \) on vectors pointed along its three principal axes. The other term "Spur" is the German word for trace or "identifying trail" (equivalent to the "spoor" of big game), a picturesque but understandable description.

We notice that the vector \( \mathbf{a}_i \) formed from a symmetric dyadic is zero, and that for a symmetric dyadic \( \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i \) for any vector \( \mathbf{F} \). In other words, any symmetric dyadic is equal to its own conjugate.

An antisymmetric dyadic has its diagonal components \( A_{nn} \) zero, and its nondiagonal components change sign on interchange of subscripts; \( A_{mn} = -A_{nm} \). The most general antisymmetric dyadic is specified by only three independent constants. It can always be expressed in the form

\[
\mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{F} = -ijR_u + ikR_v + jkR_u - k\mathbf{F} + kjR_v + ikR_u \tag{1.6.10}
\]

where \( \mathbf{F} = (ii + jj + kk) \) is the idemfactor. Choice of the antisymmetric dyadic uniquely specifies the vector \( \mathbf{R} \), which is equal to half the rotation vector \( (\mathbf{a}_i) \) of the antisymmetric dyadic \( \mathbf{a}_i \). We note that the expansion factor of an antisymmetric dyadic is zero. We also note that, for any vector \( \mathbf{F} \), the operation \( \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_i) \times \mathbf{F} \) produces a vector which is perpendicular to \( \mathbf{F} \) and also perpendicular to the rotation vector of \( \mathbf{a}_i \).

We note that we may also set up an eigenvalue equation for an antisymmetric dyadic,

\[
\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{a} = \lambda \mathbf{a}
\]

which may be formally solved to obtain the "principal axes" of \( \mathbf{a}_i \). Setting up the secular determinant shows that the three roots for \( \lambda \) are \( A_1 = 0, A_2 = iR, \) and \( A_3 = -iR \), two of the roots being imaginary.
The unit vector along the principal axis corresponding to \( \lambda = A_1 = 0 \) is \( a_0 \), parallel to \( \mathbf{R} \); the other two unit vectors are not real. The sum \( A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = 0 \), as it should be, since the expansion factor for an antisymmetric dyadic is zero.

It is easy to see that any dyadic can be expressed in terms of a sum of a symmetric and an antisymmetric dyadic:

\[
\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_s + \mathbb{A}_a
\]

\[
(\mathbb{A}_s)_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{mn} + A_{nm}) \quad (\mathbb{A}_a)_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{mn} - A_{nm})
\]

By transforming to its principal axes (which are always real) we can always express the symmetric part \( \mathbb{A}_s \) in the form given in Eq. (1.6.8), and by proper choice of the vector \( \mathbf{R} \) we can always express the antisymmetric part \( \mathbb{A}_a \) in the form given in Eq. (1.6.10).

Of course we can choose to find the principal axes of \( \mathbb{A} \) itself, by solving the eigenvalue equation

\[
\mathbb{A} \cdot \mathbf{e} = \lambda \mathbf{e}
\]

directly (before separating into symmetric and antisymmetric parts).

This results in a secular determinant equation

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
A_{xx} - \lambda & A_{xy} & A_{xz} \\
A_{yx} & A_{yy} - \lambda & A_{yz} \\
A_{zx} & A_{zy} & A_{zz} - \lambda
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

The roots of this cubic equation may be labeled \( \lambda = A_1, A_2, A_3 \), and the corresponding eigenvectors, along the principal axes, will be labeled

\[
\mathbf{e}_1 = \alpha_1 \mathbf{i} + \beta_1 \mathbf{j} + \gamma_1 \mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{e}_2 = \alpha_2 \mathbf{i} + \beta_2 \mathbf{j} + \gamma_2 \mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{e}_3 = \alpha_3 \mathbf{i} + \beta_3 \mathbf{j} + \gamma_3 \mathbf{k}
\]

Theory of equations indicates that minus the coefficient of the \( \lambda^2 \) term \((A_{11} + A_{22} + A_{33})\), is equal to the sum of the roots \((A_1 + A_2 + A_3)\). It also indicates that the roots are either all three real or else one is real and the other two are complex, one being the complex conjugate of the other (as long as all nine components \( A_{mn} \) of \( \mathbb{A} \) are real). When all the roots are real, the three eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}_n \) are real and mutually perpendicular, but when two of the roots are complex, two of the eigenvectors are correspondingly complex. It is to avoid this complication that we usually first separate off the antisymmetric part of \( \mathbb{A} \) and find the principal axes of \( \mathbb{A}_s \), for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a symmetric dyadic with real components are all real.

Rotation of Axes and Unitary Dyadics. A special type of vector operator corresponds to what might be called rigid rotation. Considering a number of vectors \( \mathbf{F} \) as a sort of coordinate framework, the operation of rigid rotation is to rotate all the vectors \( \mathbf{F} \) as a rigid framework, main-
taining the magnitudes of all the \( F \)'s and the relative angles between them. If \( F \), for instance, were the set of vectors giving the location of various parts of a rigid body with respect to some origin, then the rotation of the rigid body about this origin would correspond to the operation under consideration.

Suppose that we consider such a vector operation to be represented by the specialized dyadic \( \Theta \), with components \( \gamma_{mn} \), etc. In order that the transformed vector \( \Theta \cdot F \) will have the same magnitude as \( F \) for any \( F \), we must have the following condition:

\[
(\Theta \cdot F) \cdot (\Theta \cdot F) = \sum_{l,n} \left[ \sum_{m} \gamma_{mn} \gamma_{nl} \right] F_{m}F_{l} = F \cdot F = \sum_{n} F^{2}_{n}; \quad l, m, n = x, y, z
\]

or, in other words,

\[
\sum_{m} \gamma_{mn} \gamma_{nl} = \delta_{nl} = \begin{cases} 1; & l = n \\ 0; & l \neq n \end{cases} \quad (1.6.11)
\]

Dyadics with components satisfying this requirement are called unitary dyadics, for reasons shortly to be clear. Incidentally, when this requirement on the \( \gamma \)'s is satisfied, it can easily be shown that, for any pair of vectors \( A \) and \( B \), the dot product \( A \cdot B \) is unaltered by a rotation corresponding to \( \Theta \),

\[
(\Theta \cdot A) \cdot (\Theta \cdot B) = A \cdot B
\]

and consequently (since magnitudes are unaltered by the rotation) angles between vectors are unaltered by the operator \( \Theta \). It is also easy to demonstrate that, if the dyadics \( \Theta \) and \( \Theta' \) both represent rigid rotation operations, then the dyadic \((\Theta \cdot \Theta')\) has components which also satisfy Eq. (1.6.11) and it consequently also represents a rotation. Products of unitary dyadics are unitary.

Incidentally, all the possible real values of components \( \gamma \), corresponding to all real rotations about a center, may be obtained by referring to the Euler angle rotation of axes given in Eq. (1.3.8). If the dyadic components of \( \Theta \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{xx} &= \sin \psi \sin \Phi + \cos \psi \cos \Phi \cos \theta; \\
\gamma_{xy} &= \cos \psi \sin \Phi - \sin \psi \cos \Phi \cos \theta; \\
\gamma_{xz} &= \sin \theta \cos \Phi \\
\gamma_{yx} &= \sin \psi \cos \Phi - \cos \psi \sin \Phi \cos \theta; \\
\gamma_{yy} &= \cos \psi \cos \Phi + \sin \psi \sin \Phi \cos \theta; \\
\gamma_{yz} &= -\sin \theta \sin \Phi \\
\gamma_{zx} &= -\cos \psi \sin \theta; \\
\gamma_{zy} &= \sin \psi \sin \theta; \\
\gamma_{zz} &= \cos \theta
\end{align*}
\]

then reference to Fig. 1.12 shows that this transformation corresponds to the rotation of the rigid vector framework by an angle \( \psi \) about the \( z \) axis, a subsequent rotation by an angle \( \theta \) about the \( y \) axis, and then a final rotation of the (already rotated) system once more about the \( z \) axis by an angle \( \phi \).
A certain amount of algebra will suffice to show that the operation \( \mathcal{O} \), with components \( \gamma \) given above, satisfying Eq. (1.6.11), has all the properties of a rotation of axes. For one thing, the dyadic corresponding to a product \( \mathfrak{A} \cdot \mathfrak{B} \), where \( \mathfrak{A} \) and \( \mathfrak{B} \) are both unitary, is also unitary and represents the rotation which results when the axes are first turned through the angles given by \( \mathfrak{B} \) and then turned through the angles represented by \( \mathfrak{A} \). As a matter of fact the statements made in the preceding paragraph correspond to the fact that \( \mathcal{O} \), with its components as given, is equal to the product \( \mathfrak{C} \cdot \mathfrak{B} \cdot \mathfrak{A} \), where the three unitary dyadic factors are

\[
\mathfrak{A} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \psi & -\sin \psi & 0 \\
\sin \psi & \cos \psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathfrak{B} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathfrak{C} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \Phi & \sin \Phi & 0 \\
-\sin \Phi & \cos \Phi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

representing the elementary rotations by the three Euler angles. The elements of the product are computed using Eq. (1.6.6).

The reason these rotation dyadics are called unitary is that the determinant of their elements is equal to unity, as may be deduced from Eq. (1.6.11). But an even more useful property may be displayed by using Eq. (1.6.8), defining the reciprocal dyadic, and the definition of the conjugate dyadic combining these with Eq. (1.6.11). The result is that, if \( \mathcal{O} \) is a unitary matrix [satisfying (1.6.11)], \( \mathcal{O}^{-1} \) is its inverse and, if \( \mathcal{O}^* \) is its conjugate, then

\[
\mathcal{O}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}^* \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{O}^* \cdot \mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{I}; \quad \mathcal{O} \text{ unitary} \quad (1.6.12)
\]

Vice versa, if \( \mathcal{O} \) satisfies Eq. (1.6.12), then it is unitary and its components satisfy Eq. (1.6.11). Since \( \mathcal{O}^* \cdot \mathcal{O} \) is vaguely analogous to the magnitude of a vector, we can say that the "amplitude" of a unitary dyadic is "unity."

Referring to Eq. (1.3.8) for the Euler-angle rotation, we see that, if the components of vector \( \mathbf{F} \) are its components in the coordinate system \( x, y, z \), then the components of \( \mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbf{F} \) are the components of \( \mathbf{F} \) in the coordinate system \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \). Thus the unitary dyadic \( \mathcal{O} \) might be considered to represent the change of components of a vector caused by the rotation of the coordinate system. Instead of considering the coordinate system fixed and the vector changing, we can, in this case, consider the vector as unchanged in amplitude and direction and the coordinate axes as rotated, with the new components of vector \( \mathbf{F} \) given by \( \mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbf{F} \). We note the correspondence of Eqs. (1.3.9) and (1.6.5) for a unitary dyadic.

If a unitary dyadic can represent the change in vector components caused by a rotation of axes, we might ask whether the change in com-
ponents of a general dyadic $\mathbb{A}$ caused by the same rotation may not be expressed in terms of the same unitary dyadic. This is answered in the affirmative by appeal to the last of Eqs. (1.6.1) or to the following: If $\mathcal{O}$ is the unitary dyadic representing the rotation of axes and if $\mathbb{A}$ is any dyadic, transforming vector $\mathbf{A}$ into vector $\mathbf{B}$ by the equation $\mathbb{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$, then $\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbb{A}$ and $\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbb{B}$ give the components of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, respectively, in the new coordinates; and by juggling the equation relating $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, we have

$$\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbb{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} = (\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbb{A} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{-1}) \cdot (\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbf{A}) = (\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbb{A} \cdot \mathcal{O}^*) \cdot (\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbf{A})$$

Consequently the dyadic $\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbb{A} \cdot \mathcal{O}^*$, operating on the transform of $\mathbf{A}$, gives the transform of $\mathbf{B}$, which is, of course, the definition of the transform of the dyadic $\mathbb{A}$. In other words the components of the dyadic $\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbb{A} \cdot \mathcal{O}^*$ are the components of $\mathbb{A}$ in the new coordinates produced by the rotation represented by the unitary dyadic $\mathcal{O}$.

In particular, if $\mathcal{O}_A$ represents the rotation from the axes $x, y, z$ to the principal axes of a symmetric dyadic $\mathbb{A}_s$, then the transformed dyadic $(\mathcal{O}_A \cdot \mathbb{A}_s \cdot \mathcal{O}_A^*)$ has the simple, diagonal form

$$(\mathcal{O}_A \cdot \mathbb{A}_s \cdot \mathcal{O}_A^*) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

No matter what sort of rotation is represented by $\mathcal{O}$, as long as $\mathcal{O}$ is unitary and its components are real, the transform $(\mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbb{A} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{-1})$ of the dyadic $\mathbb{A}$ is symmetric if $\mathbb{A}$ is symmetric or is antisymmetric if $\mathbb{A}$ is antisymmetric.

**Dyadic Fields.** So far we have been discussing the properties of a dyadic at a single point in space. A dyadic field is a collection of nine quantities, transforming in the manner given in Eq. (1.6.1), which are functions of $x, y, z$ or $\xi, \eta, \zeta$. At each point in space the dyadic represents an operator which changes a vector at that point into another vector, the amount of change varying from point to point. From still another point of view the expansion factor, the principal axes, and the rotation vector of the dyadic are all functions of position.

A dyadic field $\mathbb{A}$ with components $A_{mn}$ can be obtained from the covariant derivative of a vector field $\mathbf{F}$ [see Eq. (1.5.8)]

$$A_{mn} = \left( \frac{1}{h_mh_n} \right) f_{m,n} = \left( \frac{1}{h_mh_n} \right) (h_mF_m)_{,n}$$

$$A_{mn} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_m} \left( \frac{F_n}{h_m} \right) + \frac{1}{h_m} \sum_r h_r \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial \xi_n}$$

$$A_{mn} = \frac{1}{h_n} \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial \xi_n} - \frac{F_m}{h_mh_n} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \xi_m}, \quad m \neq n$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6.13)
As shown in Eq. (1.5.10) et seq., the expansion factor \( \mathfrak{M} \) for this dyadic is the divergence of \( \mathbf{F} \), and the rotation vector \( \mathfrak{N} \) is the curl of \( \mathbf{F} \). This dyadic is, therefore, symmetric only when the vector \( \mathbf{F} \) has zero curl.

The dyadic defined in Eq. (1.6.13) can be written symbolically as \( \mathbf{\nabla F} \), with components along the \( x, y, z \) axes given by the formula

\[
\mathfrak{N} = i \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial x} + j \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial y} + k \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial z} = A_x^* i + A_y^* j + A_z^* k
\]

\[
A_x^* = \text{grad } F_x; \quad A_y^* = \text{grad } F_y; \quad A_z^* = \text{grad } F_z
\]

The conjugate dyadic is, of course,

\[
\mathfrak{N}^* = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{\nabla}^* = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial x} i + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial y} j + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial z} k = iA_x^* + jA_y^* + kA_z^*
\]

The change in the vector \( \mathbf{F} \) in a distance represented by the elementary vector \( d\mathbf{r} = i \, dx + j \, dy + k \, dz \) is obtained by operating on \( d\mathbf{r} \) by \( \mathbf{\nabla F} \).

\[
d\mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{\nabla F}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial x} \, dx + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial y} \, dy + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial z} \, dz - d\mathbf{F}
\]

The symmetric dyadic corresponding to \( \mathbf{\nabla F} \) is, of course, \( \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\nabla F} + \mathbf{F} \mathbf{\nabla}^*) \), having zero rotation vector.

The variation of a dyadic field from point to point can be computed by means of the differential operator \( \mathbf{\nabla} \). For instance, \( \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathfrak{M} = i \cdot (\partial A_x/\partial x) + j \cdot (\partial A_y/\partial y) + k \cdot (\partial A_z/\partial z) \) is a vector formed by covariant differentiation of the corresponding mixed tensor and contracting the resulting third-order tensor.

\[
\left( \frac{1}{h_n} \right) \sum_m a_{nm} = \left( \frac{1}{h_n} \right) \sum_m \left( \frac{h_n A_{nm}}{h_m} \right) = (\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathfrak{M})
\]

In terms of the expansion in component vectors, given in Eq. (1.6.7), this vector is \( (\partial A_x/\partial x) + (\partial A_y/\partial y) + (\partial A_z/\partial z) \); whereas the conjugate vector

\[
\mathfrak{M} \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} - \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathfrak{M}^* - i(\text{div } A_x) + j(\text{div } A_y) + k(\text{div } A_z)
\]

The physical interpretation of this quantity will be discussed later.

There is also the dyadic formed by using the curl operator,

\[
\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathfrak{M} = i \times \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}}{\partial x} + j \times \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}}{\partial y} + k \times \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}}{\partial z}
\]

\[
- i \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} \right) + j \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) + k \left( \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} \right)
\]

\[
= (\text{curl } A_x^*) i + (\text{curl } A_y^*) j + (\text{curl } A_z^*) k
\]

Related to these differential properties there are integral properties of dyadics analogous to Gauss’ theorem [Eq. (1.4.8)] and to Stokes’
For a surface integral over a closed surface, for any dyadic $\mathcal{B}$

$$\mathcal{F}dA \cdot \mathcal{B} = \int \nabla \cdot \mathcal{B} \, dv$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6.18)

where the volume integral is over the volume "inside" the surface and where the area element $dA$ points outward, away from the "inside."

The integrals, of course, result in vectors. For a line integral around some closed contour, for any dyadic $\mathcal{B}$

$$\mathcal{F}ds \cdot \mathcal{B} = \int dA \cdot (\nabla \times \mathcal{B})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6.19)

where the area integral is over a surface founded by the contour.

**Deformation of Elastic Bodies.** An important application of dyadic algebra is in the representation of the deformation of elastic bodies. A rigid body moves and rotates as a whole, but an elastic body can in addition change the relative position of its internal parts. For such a body the displacement of the part of the body originally at $(x,y,z)$ is expressed in terms of three vectors:

$$\mathbf{D}(x,y,z) = \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{P}(x,y,z) + \mathbf{s}(x,y,z)$$

Here $\mathbf{T}$ is the constant vector representing the average translation of the body, $\mathbf{P}$ the part of the displacement due to the average rotation about the center of gravity, and $\mathbf{s}$ is the additional displacement due to the distortion of the body. By definition, therefore, $\mathbf{s}$ is zero at the center of gravity of the body and is zero everywhere if the body is perfectly rigid.

**Fig. 1.19** Strain in elastic medium, producing displacement $\mathbf{s}$ and deformation represented by dyadic $\mathcal{D} = \nabla \mathbf{s}$.

In general $\mathbf{s}$ is much smaller than either $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ may happen to be. In the present action we shall forget $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ and concentrate on $\mathbf{s}$, for we are not interested in the gross motions of the body, only its internal warps and strains.

Even the relative displacement $\mathbf{s}$ is not a good measure of the local strain in an elastic medium, for $\mathbf{s}$ is the total relative displacement of the point $(x,y,z)$, which may be larger the further $(x,y,z)$ is from the center of gravity, even though the strain in any element of the medium is about the same everywhere. What is needed is a differential quantity which measures the strain at the point $(x,y,z)$. This is obtained by computing
the change in the vector $\mathbf{dr} = \mathbf{i} \, dx + \mathbf{j} \, dy + \mathbf{k} \, dz$ connecting the points $(x,y,z)$ and $(x+dx, y+dy, z+dz)$ when the body is distorted. The point $(x,y,z)$ is displaced by the amount given by the vector $\mathbf{s}(x,y,z)$, and the displacement of point $(x+dx, y+dy, z+dz)$ is given by the vector $\mathbf{s}(x+dx, y+dy, z+dz)$. The change in $\mathbf{dr}$ due to the strain is, to the first order,

$$\frac{dx}{dx} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} + \frac{dy}{dy} \frac{\partial s}{\partial y} + \frac{dz}{dz} \frac{\partial s}{\partial z} = \mathbf{dr} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{s}$$

according to Eq. (1.6.15). Therefore, the vector $\mathbf{dr}$ representing the relative position of the points $(x,y,z)$ and $(x+dx, y+dy, z+dz)$ is changed by the strain into the vector $\mathbf{dr}'$ representing the new relative position, where

$$\mathbf{dr}' = \mathbf{dr} \cdot (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}); \quad \mathcal{D} = \nabla \mathbf{s} \quad (1.6.20)$$

The dyadic $\mathcal{D}$ is a derivative operator, measuring the amount of strain at the point $(x,y,z)$. As indicated on page 58, it may be divided into a symmetric and antisymmetric part;

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{E}; \quad \mathcal{S} = -\frac{1}{2}(\text{curl } \mathbf{s}) \times \mathbf{y}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = e_{11} \mathbf{i} + e_{22} \mathbf{j} + e_{33} \mathbf{k} + e_{12}(\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{j} \mathbf{i}) + e_{13}(\mathbf{k} \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k}) + e_{23}(\mathbf{j} \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k} \mathbf{j})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{s} + s \nabla)$$

$$\epsilon_{11} = \frac{\partial s_x}{\partial x}, \text{ etc.}; \quad \epsilon_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial s_y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial s_x}{\partial y} \right), \text{ etc.} \quad (1.6.21)$$

The dyadic $\mathcal{S}$ corresponds to the rotation of the element of volume around $(x,y,z)$ due to the distortion of the medium. The axis of rotation is in the direction of curl $\mathbf{s}$, and the angle of rotation in radians is equal to the magnitude of curl $\mathbf{s}$. Note that this term is not due to the rotation of the body as a whole (for this part of the motion has been specifically excluded from our present study); it is due to the twisting of the material as it is being strained. This type of rotation is zero when curl $\mathbf{s}$ is zero.

The symmetric dyadic $\mathcal{E}$ is called the pure strain dyadic for the point $(x,y,z)$. When it is equal to zero, there is no true strain at this point.

As pointed out earlier, it is always possible to find three mutually perpendicular directions, the principal axes labeled by the unit vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3$, in terms of which the symmetric tensor $\mathcal{S}$ becomes

$$\epsilon_{11} \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \epsilon_{22} \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{a}_2 + \epsilon_{33} \mathbf{a}_3 \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathcal{E} \quad (1.6.22)$$

The three quantities $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ are called the principal extensions of the medium at $(x,y,z)$. A rectangular parallelepiped of sides $d\xi_1, d\xi_2, d\xi_3$ oriented parallel to the principal axes is still a rectangular parallelepiped after the medium is strained (this would not be true if it were not parallel to the principal axes), but the length of its sides is now $(1 + \epsilon_i) \, d\xi_i$.
(1 + \varepsilon_1) \, d\xi_1, \quad (1 + \varepsilon_2) \, d\xi_2, \quad (1 + \varepsilon_3) \, d\xi_3. \quad \text{Therefore, the percentage increase in volume of the parallelepiped is:}

\[
\theta = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3) = e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33} = \text{div}(\mathbf{s}) = |\mathbf{D}| \quad (1.6.23)
\]

The quantity \( \theta \), which is the expansion factor of the dyadic \( \mathbf{D} \) (in any coordinate system), is called the dilation of the medium at the point \((x, y, z)\). It also equals the percentage decrease in density (to the first order in the small quantities \( \varepsilon \)) of the medium at \((x, y, z)\).

**Types of Strain.** The simplest type of strain corresponds to a strain dyadic which is independent of position; this is called homogeneous strain. The simplest type of homogeneous strain is a simple expansion, corresponding to a distribution of displacement \( \mathbf{s} \) and of strain dyadic \( \mathbf{D} \) as follows:

\[
\mathbf{s} = \varepsilon(x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}); \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E} = \varepsilon \mathbf{I} \quad (1.6.24)
\]

This type of strain is isotropic; any axes are principal axes; there is no rotation due to the strain. The dilation is \( \theta = 3\varepsilon \).

Another type of homogeneous strain, called simple shear, is obtained when the extension along one principal axis is equal and opposite to that along another, that along the third being zero:

\[
\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon (y\mathbf{j} - z\mathbf{k}); \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon (\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j})
\]

The dilation is zero, the extension in the \( x \) direction being just canceled by the contraction in the \( y \) direction. If the axes are rotated \( 45^\circ \) about the \( z \) axis (\( \sqrt{2} \, x = x' + y' \); \( \sqrt{2} \, y = x' - y' \)), the displacement and
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strain dyadic take on the form

\[ s = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(x'y' + y'x'); \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(i'y' + j'y') \]

This type of strain is called pure shear. If it is combined with a rigid rotation of the medium by \( \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \) radians, corresponding to \( \mathcal{R} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(i'y' - j'y') \), the resulting displacement and strain dyadic,

\[ s = e y' \mathcal{Y}; \quad \mathcal{D} = e j'y' \]

(1.6.25)
correspond to what is usually called shear in the \( y' \) direction. The displacement is entirely in the \( x' \) direction, the parts of the medium sliding over each other as a pack of cards can be made to do.

Another type of homogeneous strain with zero dilation corresponds to a stretch in the \( x \) direction and a proportional shrinking in both \( y \) and \( z \) directions:

\[ s = e(x - \frac{1}{2}y'i - \frac{1}{2}zi'k); \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S} = e(2i'k - i'i'k - j'j'k) \]

(1.6.26)

This is the sort of strain set up in a material such as rubber when it is stretched in the \( x \) direction. It can be called a dilationless stretch.

![Fig. 1.22 Change of element of elastic medium in simple shear, as given in dyadic \( eji \).](image)

The most general homogeneous strain, referred to its principal axes, can be made up by combining various amounts of simple expansion and of shear and dilationless stretch in the three directions. Rotation of axes can then give the most general form to the expressions for \( s \) and \( \mathcal{S} \).

A simple type of nonhomogeneous strain is a helical twist along the \( x \) axis:

\[ s = e(x(yk - zj)) \]

\[ \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S} + e(2i'k - i'k - j'i) \]

\[ \mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{4} e[2x(jk - ki) + y(ik - ki) + z(ji - ji)] \]

(1.6.27)

\[ = -e[xi - \frac{1}{2}yj - \frac{1}{2}zki] \times \mathcal{S} \]

\[ \mathcal{S} = e[y(ki + ik) + z(ij + ji)] \]

This corresponds to a rotation of the element at \( (x', y', z') \) by an amount \( ex \) radians about the \( x \) axis [the term \( eijk - ezkj \) in \( \mathcal{D} \), see Eq. (1.6.10)]
and a shear in the $x$ direction of an amount proportional to the length of the vector $r = y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}$ joining $(x,y,z)$ with the $x$ axis [the term $\epsilon_{yij} - \epsilon_{xij}$ in $\mathbf{D}$, see Eq. (1.6.25)].

![Fig. 1.23](image)

*Fig. 1.23* Change of element of elastic medium in torsion, as given in Eqs. (1.6.27).

**Stresses in an Elastic Medium.** The forces inside an elastic medium which produce the strains are called stresses. They also are best represented by dyadics. The force across the element $dy\,dz$, perpendicular to the $x$ axis is $F_x\,dy\,dz$, for instance, where $F_x$ is not necessarily parallel to the $x$ axis. Similarly the forces across unit areas normal to the $y$ and $z$ axes can be called $F_y$ and $F_z$. It can easily be shown that the force across an area represented by the axial vector $d\mathbf{A}$ is $\mathbf{T} \cdot d\mathbf{A}$, where

$$\mathbf{T} = F_x\hat{i} + F_y\hat{j} + F_z\hat{k}$$

More detailed consideration of the relation between the forces $\mathbf{F}$ and the areas $d\mathbf{A}$ will confirm that $\mathbf{T}$ is a dyadic and transforms like any other dyadic.

![Fig. 1.24](image)

*Fig. 1.24* Forces on faces of element of elastic medium corresponding to stress dyadic $F_x\hat{i} + F_y\hat{j} + F_z\hat{k}$.

For static equilibrium these forces should not cause a rotation of any part of the medium. A consideration of the torques on an element of volume of the medium shows that

$$(F_x)_y - (F_y)_x; \quad \text{etc.}$$
Consequently the dyadic $\mathbf{\Xi}$ is symmetric and is equal to its conjugate $\mathbf{\Xi}^* = iF_x + jF_y + kF_z$. In terms of its principal axes and related orthogonal unit vectors $a_n$, this can be written as

$$\mathbf{\Xi} = T_1a_1a_1 + T_2a_2a_2 + T_3a_3a_3$$

where the constant $T_n$ is the principal stress along the $n$th principal axis. Various simple types of stress can be set up analogous to the strains given previously. For instance, where $T_1 = T_3 = 0$, the stress is called a tension in the $a_1$ direction; when $T_2 = -T_1$ and $T_3 = 0$, it is called a shearing stress; and so on. The scalar $|\mathbf{\Xi}|$ is minus three times the pressure at the point.

**Static Stress–Strain Relations for an Isotropic Elastic Body.** When the elastic properties of a medium are independent of direction, it is said to be isotropic. If the medium can remain in equilibrium under a shearing stress, it is said to be elastic. When both of these requirements are satisfied, it turns out that the principal axes of strain are identical with the principal axes of stress everywhere and the stresses due to the three principal stresses are independent and additive. For instance, the effect due to the principal stress $T_1$ will be a simple dilation plus an extension in the $a_1$ direction. In other words the equations relating the principal strains and the principal stresses are

$$T_n = \lambda(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3) + 2\mu\varepsilon_n \quad n = 1, 2, 3,$$

where the constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are determined by the elastic properties of the medium.

Referred to the usual axes, $x, y, z$, both stress and strain dyadics take on a more general symmetrical form:

$$\mathbf{\Xi} = T_{xx}ii + \cdots + T_{xy}(ij + ji) + \cdots$$
$$\mathbf{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_{xx}ii + \cdots + \varepsilon_{xy}(ij + ji) + \cdots$$

The equations relating $\mathbf{\Xi}$ and $\mathbf{\varepsilon}$ and their components can be found by transformation from the principal axes:

$$\mathbf{\Xi} = \lambda|\mathbf{\varepsilon}|\mathbf{3} + 2\mu|\mathbf{\varepsilon}|,$$
$$T_{xx} = \lambda(\varepsilon_{xx} + \varepsilon_{yy} + \varepsilon_{zz}) + 2\mu\varepsilon_{xx}; \text{ etc.} \quad (1.6.28)$$
$$T_{xy} = 2\mu\varepsilon_{xy}; \quad \text{etc.}$$

When the stress is an isotropic pressure, $\mathbf{\Xi} = -P\mathbf{3}$, the strain is a simple compression, $\mathbf{\varepsilon} = -[P/(3\lambda + 2\mu)]\mathbf{3}$. The constant $\frac{1}{3}(3\lambda + 2\mu) = P/\theta$ is, therefore, the compression or bulk modulus of the isotropic elastic medium. When the stress is a simple shearing stress $\mathbf{\Xi} = S(ii - jj)$, the strain is a simple shear $\frac{1}{2}(S/\mu)(ii - jj)$, so that the shear modulus of the medium is $\mu$. When the stress is a simple tension in the $x$ direction, $\mathbf{\Xi} = Tii$, the strain is $\mathbf{\varepsilon} = [T/(2\mu(3\lambda + 2\mu))][2\lambda + \mu]ii - \lambda(jj + kk)]$, representing a stretch in the $x$ direction and a shrinking...
in the \( y \) and \( z \) directions. The quantity \( \frac{\mu(3\lambda + 2\mu)}{\lambda + \mu} \) is the ordinary tension or Young's modulus of the material. The ratio of lateral shrink to longitudinal stretch \( \frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda + \mu)} \) is called Poisson's ratio.

**Dyadic Operators.** In order to discuss the relation between stress and strain dyadics for nonisotropic solids, we must introduce quantities which transform dyadics in a manner similar to the way dyadics transform vectors. The components must have four subscripts and should transform in a manner analogous to Eq. (1.6.1):

\[
(G_{ijkl})' = \sum_{rs} \gamma_{ir} \gamma_{jn} \gamma_{ks} \gamma_{tl} G_{mrs}
\]

These operators may be called *tetradics* and may be represented by the Hebrew characters in order to distinguish them from other entities. For instance the symbol representing the 81 components \( G_{ijkl} \) is \( \mathcal{I} \) (gimel), and the equation giving the nature of the transformation performed on a dyadic is

\[
\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{A} \quad \text{or} \quad B_{mn} = \sum_{rs} G_{mrsA_{rs}} \quad (1.6.20)
\]

A tetradic may be represented by juxtaposing two dyadics, just as a dyadic may be represented by juxtaposing two vectors. For instance a particularly simple tetradic is \( \mathcal{Y} \) (ayin) = \( \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \), which changes every dyadic into a constant times the idemfactor

\[
\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{S} = |\mathcal{S}| \mathcal{I} \quad \text{or} \quad Y_{mrs} = \delta_{mr} \delta_{rs}
\]

There is, of course, the unity tetradic, \( \mathcal{I} \) (yod), which reproduces every dyadic, and its conjugate,

\[
\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{T}^* : \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^* \quad \text{or} \quad I_{mrs} = \delta_{mr} \delta_{rs} \quad I^*_{mrs} = \delta_{ms} \delta_{rs}
\]

In this notation the stress dyadic is related to the strain dyadic, for isotropic solids, by the equation

\[
\mathcal{T} = [\mathcal{I} \mathcal{Y} + \mu^* + \mu^*_*] : \mathcal{S}
\]

where the tetradic in the square brackets is of particularly simple form due to the isotropy of the medium. For nonisotropic media the relation is more complicated, and the elements of the tetradic \( \mathcal{T} \) (daleth)

\[
\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} : \mathcal{S} \quad \text{or} \quad T_{mn} = \sum_{rs} D_{mrs} S_{rs}
\]

are not mostly zero. Since both \( \mathcal{T} \) and \( \mathcal{S} \) are symmetric, we must have \( D_{mrs} = D_{mrs} \) and \( D_{mrs} = D_{mrs} \), we also have \( D_{mrs} = D_{mrs} \). With all of these symmetries, the number of independent components of \( \mathcal{T} \) is
§1.6] Dyadics and Other Operators 73

reduced from 81 to 21. These components are called the elastic components of the nonisotropic solid.

One could carry out an analysis of tetradics, their "principal axes," and other properties in a manner quite analogous to the way we have analyzed the properties of dyadics. Lack of space and of importance for our work, however, forbids.

**Complex Numbers and Quaternions as Operators.** Before we go on to less familiar fields, it is well to review an example of vector operators which is so familiar that it is often overlooked. The use of complex numbers to represent vectors in two dimensions is commonplace; it is not as well realized that a complex number can also represent a linear vector operator for two dimensions.

The subject of complex numbers and of functions of a complex variable will be treated in considerable detail in Chap. 4, for we shall be using complex numbers as solutions to our problems all through this book. All that needs to be pointed out here is that the real unit 1 can be considered to represent a unit vector along the x axis and the imaginary unit \(i = \sqrt{-1}\) can be considered to represent a unit vector along the y axis; then a vector in two dimensions with components \(x\) and \(y\) can be represented by the complex number \(z = x + iy\). Such a quantity satisfies the usual rules for addition of vectors (that is, we add components) and of multiplication by a scalar (that is, \(az = ax + iay\)).

The vector which is the mirror image of \(z\) in the \(x\) axis is called the complex conjugate of \(z\), \(\bar{z} = x - iy\). The angle between \(z\) and the \(x\) axis is \(\tan^{-1} (y/x)\), and the square of the length of \(z\) is \(|z|^2 = z\bar{z} = x^2 + y^2 = \bar{z}z\). We note that multiplication of complex numbers does not correspond to the rules for multiplication of three-vectors. If \(z = x + iy\) and \(w = u + iv\), then \(zw = \bar{wz} = (ux - vy) + i(uy + vx)\) is another vector in the \(x, y\) plane. It is not the scalar product of the two vectors (the scalar product \(ux + vy\) is the real part of \(w\bar{z}\), nor is it the vector product (the vector product would have an amplitude equal to the imaginary part of \(w\bar{z}\), but its direction would be perpendicular to both \(w\) and \(z\), which requires the third dimension). Actually the product \(wz\) of two complex numbers corresponds more closely to the operation of a particular kind of dyadic \(w\) on a vector \(z\).

The operation of multiplying by \(w\) changes the direction and magnitude of \(z\). To put this in usual vector and dyadic form, the vector \(z\) would be \(ax + yj\) and the dyadic \(w\) would be \(vij - vji + ujj\), a combination of the antisymmetric dyadic \(i(ji - ij)\) and the symmetric dyadic \(u(ii + jj)\), with principal axes along the \(x\) and \(y\) axes. This dyadic is certainly not the most general two-dimensional linear operator we can devise, for it has only two independent constants rather than four. It represents the particularly simple type of operation which changes the direction of any vector by a constant angle and changes
the magnitude of any vector by a constant factor, as we shall see in a moment.

The complex number represented symbolically by the exponential \( e^{i\theta} \), where \( \theta \) is a real number, is, by Euler's equation,

\[
e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta
\]

Viewed as an operator, this quantity rotates any vector \( \mathbf{z} \) by an angle \( \theta \) radians (counterclockwise) and does not change its length. Consequently, the operator \( w = Ce^{i\theta} \) \([C^2 = u^2 + v^2; \theta = \tan^{-1}(v/u)]\), when it multiplies any vector \( \mathbf{z} = x + iy \), rotates \( \mathbf{z} \) by an angle \( \theta \) and increases its length by a factor \( C \). Many of our solutions will be representable as a complex number \( \psi \) multiplied by the time factor \( e^{-i\omega t} \). This factor rotates the vector \( \psi \) with constant angular velocity \( \omega \), and if (as is often the case) the physical solution is the real part of the result, the solution will oscillate sinusoidally with time, with a frequency \( \omega = \omega/2\pi \).

An extension of this same type of representation to three dimensions is not possible, which is why we have had to use the more complicated formalism of vectors and dyadics. It was shown by Hamilton, however, that vectors and operators in four dimensions can be represented by a rather obvious generalization of complex numbers, called quaternions.

We let the unit number 1 represent the unit vector in the fourth dimension and the unit vectors in the three space dimensions be three quantities \( i, j, k \), with rules of multiplication analogous to those for \( \sqrt{-1} \):

\[
i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1; \quad ij = -ji = k; \quad jk = -kj = i; \quad ki = -ik = j
\]

Then a space vector could be represented by the quantity \( ix + jy + kz \), and a general quaternion \( q = a + ib + jc + kd \) would represent a four-vector. The conjugate four-vector is \( q^* = a - ib - jc - kd \), so that the square of the magnitude of \( f \) is \( |q|^2 = q^*q = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 \), a simple extension of the rule for ordinary complex numbers.

As with complex numbers, the multiplication of quaternions \( pq \) can be considered as the operation which changes a four-vector represented by \( q \) into the four-vector represented by \( pq \). If \( q = a + ib + jc + kd \) and \( p = \alpha + \beta i + \gamma j + \delta k \), then

\[
pq = (aa - \beta b - \gamma c - \delta d) + i(ab + \beta a + \gamma d - \delta c) + j(ac - \beta d + \gamma a + \delta b) + k(ad + \beta c - \gamma b + \delta a)
\]

is another quaternion, which can represent another four-vector. We note that quaternion multiplication is not commutative, that \( pq \neq qp \). We cannot pursue this general discussion further (beyond pointing out that \( p \) cannot represent the most general four-dimensional dyadic), though
the relationship between quaternions and space-time vectors in relativity will be mentioned later.

However, it is useful to consider a particular quaternion which is an interesting and suggestive generalization of the complex exponential. Utilizing the rules for multiplication of $i, j, k$ and expanding the exponential, we can show that, when $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1,$

$$e^{\theta(i\alpha + j\beta + k\gamma)} = \cos \theta + \sin \theta (i\alpha + j\beta + k\gamma)$$

which is the analogue of Euler's equation for the imaginary exponential.

The exponent represents a space vector of length $\theta$ and of direction given by the direction cosines $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, whereas the exponential is of unit length.

We note that any quaternion can be represented in the form $Q e^{\theta(i\alpha + j\beta + k\gamma)},$ where the number $Q$ is the length of the four-vector and where the angle $\theta$ and the direction cosines fix the direction of the vector in four-space.

We might expect, by analogy with the complex exponential, that this exponential operator (with $Q = 1$) is somehow related to a rotation operator, though the relationship cannot be so simple as for the complex plane.

The interesting and suggestive relationship is as follows: If $f$ is a vector $ix + jy + kz,$ in quaternion notation, then the quaternion

$$f' = x'i + y'j + z'k = e^{(\theta/2)(i\alpha + j\beta + k\gamma)} f e^{-(\theta/2)(i\alpha + j\beta + k\gamma)};$$

where $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$

represents a three-vector which is obtained by rotating the vector $f$ by an angle $\theta$ about an axis having the direction cosines $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$. Note that the angle of rotation about the axis is $\theta$, not $\theta/2$. We can show this in general, but the algebra will be less cumbersome if we take the special case of rotation about the $x$ axis. We have

$$f' = e^{(\theta/2)(ix + jy + kz)} e^{-(\theta/2)i}$$

$$= ix + j(y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta) + k(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta)$$

which corresponds to the rotation of the $y$ and $z$ components of $f$ by an angle $\theta$ in the $y, z$ plane, i.e., a rotation of the vector by an angle $\theta$ about the $x$ axis. The proof of the general case only involves more algebra.

We can generalize this as follows: Suppose that we have a quaternion $q$ expressed in terms of its magnitude $Q$ and "direction operator" $e^{\theta(i\alpha + j\beta + k\gamma)},$ we can set up the formal "square-root quaternions" $i = \sqrt{Q} e^{\theta/2(1/2 i\alpha + 1/2 j\beta + 1/2 k\gamma)}$ and $i^* = \sqrt{Q} e^{-(\theta/2)(i\alpha + j\beta + k\gamma)}$; then the vector $f = ix + jy + kz$ is transformed into the vector $f'$, with direction obtained from $f$ by rotation by an angle $\theta$ about the axis with direction cosines $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and with magnitude equal to the magnitude $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ of $f$ multiplied by the scalar factor $Q$, by means of the equation

$$f' = Q f^*$$
A subsequent operation, involving another rotation and change of magnitude, represented by the quaternions \( \eta, \eta^* \) is given by

\[
f'' = \eta f' \eta^* = \eta f \xi^* \eta^* \]

The geometric fact that two successive rotations give different end results depending on the order in which they are carried out is reflected in the fact that the quaternions \( \eta \) and \( \xi \) (and, of course, \( \xi^* \) and \( \eta^* \)) do not commute. The quantity \( Q \) is called the tensor (Latin for "stretcher") and the exponential is called the versor (Latin for "turner") of the operator \( \xi \).

The most general rotation of a four-vector represented by \( q = w + ix + jy + kz \) is given by the equation

\[
q' = e^{\frac{i(\alpha + i\beta + j\gamma + k\lambda)}{2}} q e^{-\frac{i(\alpha + i\beta + j\gamma + k\lambda)}{2}}
\]

where both \( \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \) and \( \lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 \) equal unity. When both \( \theta \) and \( \varphi \) are imaginary angles, the transformation corresponds to the Lorentz transformation discussed in the next section.

In later portions of this chapter and in Sec. 2.6, we shall have occasion to use generalizations of the versor \( \mathbb{O} = e^{a} \), where \( \mathbb{O} \) is a general dyadic operator. This function is always related to the rotation of the vector \( \mathbf{F} \) on which the operator \( \mathbb{O} \) acts, and in many cases the rotation transformation will be represented by the formula

\[
\mathbf{F}' = \mathbb{O} \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbb{O}^*
\]

as was the case with quaternions.

**Abstract Vector Spaces.** The three-dimensional concepts of vectors and dyadic operators discussed in the preceding sections may be generalized by considering vectors and dyadic operators in abstract spaces which may have many dimensions, often a denumerably infinite number of dimensions. This generalization has become one of the most powerful of modern mathematical tools, particularly because it permits a synthesis and a clearer understanding of a great many results taken from widely varying fields. We shall discuss this generalization briefly here, illustrating with some examples drawn from physics.

One of the simplest examples of the use of an abstract vector space occurs when normal coordinates are used to describe the motion of coupled oscillators. The number of normal coordinates, i.e., the number of dimensions of the corresponding space, equals the number of degrees of freedom of the oscillator. The configuration or state of the system is described by a vector in this space. The principal axes of the space refer to particularly "elementary" states of motion, the most general motion being just a linear superposition of these "elementary" states.

These motions can be clarified by consideration of the system illustrated in Fig. 1.25. The "elementary" motions are two in number:

1. The masses oscillate in the same direction, i.e., move together.
(2) The masses oscillate in opposite directions, i.e., move toward each other and then away from each other. These motions are called elementary because there is a definite single frequency for each type of motion. The most general motion of the system is a linear superposition of the "elementary" states of motion and, as a result, has no definite frequency.

Let us now set up the two-dimensional space we require for the description of this system. We may plot, for example, \( x_1 \), the displacement of one of the masses along one of the axes, and \( x_2 \), the displacement of the other along a perpendicular axis. If we let \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) be a unit vector in the one direction and \( \mathbf{e}_2 \) a unit vector in the two direction, then a general vector in this space is \( \mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 x_2 = \mathbf{r} \).

The equations of motion are

\[
\begin{align*}
    m\frac{d^2x_1}{dt^2} &= -(k_1 + k_2)x_1 + k_2x_2; \\
    m\frac{d^2x_2}{dt^2} &= -(k_1 + k_2)x_2 + k_2x_1
\end{align*}
\]

or vectorwise

\[
m\frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{dt^2} = -\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{r}
\]

where \( \mathbf{A} \) is the dyadic: \( \mathbf{e}_1 A_{11} \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 A_{21} \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 A_{22} \mathbf{e}_2 \), and

\[
A_{11} = (k_1 + k_2); \quad A_{12} = A_{21}; \quad A_{22} = (k_1 + k_2)
\]

The "elementary" modes of motion \( \mathbf{R} \) have a definite angular frequency \( \omega \); therefore they satisfy the relation \( \frac{d^2 \mathbf{R}}{dt^2} = -\omega^2 \mathbf{R} \), and the equation of motion for \( \mathbf{R} \) becomes

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
    A_{11} - m\omega^2 & A_{21} \\
    A_{12} & A_{22} - m\omega^2
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

Again, quoting the results given earlier, there are two elementary solutions \( \mathbf{R}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{R}_2 \) which are orthogonal to each other. Moreover, it is again clear that one may use these directions as new coordinate axes and that any vector in this two-dimensional space (i.e., any possible motion) may be expressed as a linear superposition of the two elementary states of motion. The square of the cosine of the angle between a vector \( \mathbf{F} \) and the \( \mathbf{R}_1 \) axis gives the relative fraction of the state designated by \( \mathbf{F} \) which is of the \( \mathbf{R}_1 \) type, the remainder being of the \( \mathbf{R}_2 \) type, since the sum of the cosine square terms is unity. In other words, the
square of this cosine gives the fraction of the total energy of the system which is of the \( R_1 \) type.

Returning to the equations of motion, we now see that one may consider the motion of the system as a series of successive infinitesimal rotations produced by the operator \( \mathcal{A} \), the time scale being determined by the equation. The elementary solutions \( R_1 \) have the important property of not rotating with time, for the operator \( \mathcal{A} \) operating on \( R_1 \) just gives \( R_1 \) back again. The \( R_1 \)'s thus are stationary states of motion.

It is now possible, of course, to generalize the above discussion for the case of \( N \) masses connected by a series of springs. Indeed such a system would serve as a one-dimensional model of a crystal. For this system, we require a space of \( N \) dimensions. There would be \( N \) elementary states of motion which define a set of fixed mutually orthogonal directions in abstract vector space.

Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues. The geometric character of these abstract spaces is completely determined by the operator \( \mathcal{A} \). The principal axes of the operator in the direction \( e_n \) (we shall use the symbol \( e_n \) to represent unit vectors in an abstract space as opposed to \( a_n \), for the unit vector in ordinary three-dimensional space) are determined by the eigenvalue equation

\[
\mathcal{A} \cdot e_n = \lambda_n e_n
\]  

(1.6.31)

where \( \lambda_n \) is a number called an eigenvalue of \( \mathcal{A} \). The vectors \( e_n \) called eigenvectors of \( \mathcal{A} \), are mutually orthogonal and serve to determine the coordinate axes of the space. Any vector in this space is then a linear combination of the eigenvectors. This suggests rather naturally that it might be worth while to classify the various types of operators which occur in physics and discuss the character of the corresponding abstract vector spaces. A fairly good coverage is obtained if we limit ourselves to the operators which occur in quantum mechanics.

Operators in Quantum Theory. The abstract formulation of quantum mechanics as given by Dirac and by von Neumann leans rather heavily on the concepts which arose in the discussion of coupled oscillators above. The state of a system is described by means of a vector in an abstract space, usually of an infinite number of dimensions. It is somewhat difficult to define the term state as used here; it connotes a relationship between the kind of system we are discussing (numbers of particles, kinds of forces, etc.), the initial conditions of position or velocity, etc., and the methods used to observe the system, which will become clear as the discussion progresses. It is one of the fundamental postulates of quantum theory that observation of a system disturbs (i.e., changes) the state of the system. In abstract vector space this would mean that the vector representing the state of the system would be rotated by an observation of the position of a particle or its energy for instance. Since
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A rotation may be accomplished by means of a dyadic operator in abstract space, we are led to the conclusion that an *observation is to be represented by an operator*. Thus, the mechanical quantities energy, position, momentum, etc., are to be represented by operators. (One should say that observation of these quantities is to be represented by operators, but it is more convenient to use the more concise way of describing the operators used in the preceding sentence.)

Since the measurement of energy, etc., changes the state of a system, how are these mechanical quantities to be accurately determined? Our previous discussion suggests that only for certain special states will this be possible, *i.e.*, only in those states corresponding to the eigenvectors (principal axes in the ordinary space notation) of the operators in question. For example, the eigenvectors of the energy operator $\mathbf{E}$ satisfy the equation

$$\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = E_n \mathbf{e}_n$$

This equation states that for the eigenvectors $\mathbf{e}_n$ (*i.e.*, for certain particular states represented by directions $\mathbf{e}_n$ in the vector space) a measurement of the energy does *not* change the state of the system. Only then can one be certain that the observation results in an accurate value of the energy.

What then is the meaning of the constant $E_n$ in this equation? It is usually assumed that it is possible to normalize operator $\mathbf{E}$ in such a way that the eigenvalue $E_n$ is actually the energy of the state represented by $\mathbf{e}_n$. This, of course, automatic in ordinary three-dimensional cases discussed earlier. For example, the eigenvalues of the moment of inertia dyadic are just the three principal moments of inertia, and the eigenvalues of the stress dyadic are the principal stresses.

It is immediately clear that two quantities, such as energy and momentum, will be simultaneously measurable (or *observable as Dirac puts it*) if the eigenvectors for the energy operator are also the eigenvectors for the momentum operator. *The necessary and sufficient condition that two quantities be simultaneously measurable is that their corresponding operators commute.* The necessity follows from the fact that, if a vector $\mathbf{e}_n$ is an eigenvector for both $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{p}$, then $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{p} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{e}_n$. Since any vector is a linear superposition (another assumption) of $\mathbf{e}_n$'s, we find that $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{p} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{e}$ where $\mathbf{e}$ is any state vector.

The sufficiency of our statement in italics is somewhat more difficult to prove. Consider an eigenvector $\mathbf{e}_n$ of $\mathbf{E}$. Assume commutability $\mathbf{E} (\mathbf{p} \mathbf{e}_n) = \mathbf{p} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{e}_n$. From this, it follows that $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{e}_n$ is an eigenvector for $\mathbf{E}$ with eigenvalue $E_n$. If there is only one eigenvector with eigenvalue $E_n$, it immediately follows that $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}_n$ must be proportional to $\mathbf{e}_n$, yielding the required theorem. If on the other hand there are several eigenvectors $\mathbf{e}_{nm}$ with eigenvalue $E_n$ (referred to as a degeneracy), it follows that
\[ p \cdot e_{n} = \sum_{m} p_{m} e_{nm}. \]

We may now, in this subspace for which all the eigenvectors have eigenvalue \( E_\alpha \), find the principal axes, \textit{i.e.}, eigenvectors, of the operator \( p \) and thus find states in which both \( p \) and \( E \) are simultaneously measurable.

It is an obvious but important corollary that, if two operators do not commute, they are not simultaneously measurable.

An important example of operators which do not all commute are the operators representing position \((x, y, z)\) and the corresponding components of the momentum \((p_x, p_y, p_z)\), that is,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{r} &= x, \text{ etc.} ; \\
p_x p_y &= p_y p_x, \text{ etc.}
\end{align*}
\]

(1.6.32)

where \( h \) is Planck's constant \( h \) divided by \( 2\pi \). These are the fundamental equations of quantum theory. They will be discussed in more detail in Chap. 2.

\textbf{Direction Cosines and Probabilities.} What can be said about the state \( e \) which is not an eigenvector of the energy operator \( \mathcal{E} \) but rather is a linear combination of the \( e_\alpha \) eigenvectors? Using the analogy with the abstract space of the coupled oscillator, one takes the square of the cosine of the angle between the state vector \( e \) and an eigenvector \( e_\alpha \) as being that relative fraction of \( e \) which is "in the state \( e_\alpha \)." To say it in more physical language, if one measures the energy of a number of identical systems in state \( e \), the fractional number of measurements in which the energy will be \( E_\alpha \) is equal to the square of the cosine of the angle between \( e \) and \( e_\alpha \) in the abstract vector space for the system. For many quantum mechanical operators this cosine is a complex number (thus permitting interference between eigenvectors with arbitrary phases). In such a case the square of the absolute value of the cosine is used. The changes in the mathematics of abstract vector space which accompany the introduction of complex cosines will be discussed below.

\textbf{Probabilities and Uncertainties.} Referring the results of our discussion to a single measurement, we may interpret the square of the absolute value of the cosine as the probability that a state \( e \) will have an energy \( E_\alpha \). The average value of the energy for state \( e \) is then

\[ \sum_{\alpha} E_\alpha (e_{\alpha} \cdot e)^2 \text{ or } E_{av} = (e \mathcal{E} e). \]

This is correct only if the cosines are real; the proper generalizations for complex cosines will be given below.

These results enable us to discuss the results of measurements of two quantities which are not simultaneously measurable. For example, suppose that \( e(x) \) were a state vector for which the position \( x \) was known precisely. What is the probability that this system will have a momentum \( p_x \), the eigenvector for this momentum being \( f(p_x) \)? This is given in the present formalism by \( (e(x) \cdot f(p_x))^2 \). The average value of the
momentum is \( \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e} \). One may then also express the mean-square deviation of a measurement of \( p_x \) from its average:

\[
(\Delta p_x)_x^2 = \mathbf{e} (p_x - \bar{p}_x)^4 \mathbf{e}
\]

Only when \( \mathbf{e} \) is an eigenvector for \( p_x \), will \( p_x \) be known precisely for state \( \mathbf{e} \), for then \( \Delta p_x = 0 \). The quantity \( \Delta p_x \) is known as the uncertainty in the measurement of \( p_x \).

**Complex Vector Space.** We need now to generalize our notions of vector space so as to include the possibility of complex cosines. In such a case the length of a vector can no longer be specified by the sum of the squares of its components over the coordinate axes, for this square is no longer positive definite as is required by our usual understanding of a length. It is clear that the only way positive definite quantities can be obtained is by using the sum of the squares of the absolute values of the components for the definition of length. A simple way to make this result part of our formalism is to introduce a second space which is "complex conjugate" to the first and of the same number of dimensions. If the unit vectors in the first space are \( \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \), the corresponding unit vectors in the complex conjugate space will be labeled \( \mathbf{e}_1^*, \mathbf{e}_2^* \).

To every vector \( \mathbf{e} = \sum_i A_i \mathbf{e}_i \) having the components \( A_i \) in space 1, there will be a corresponding vector in the complex conjugate space \( \mathbf{e}^* = \sum_i A_i^* \mathbf{e}_i^* \) having \( A_i^* \) as components (where \( A_i^* \) is the complex conjugate of \( A_i \)). The scalar product (the essential operation in defining a length) is now redefined as follows:

\[
(\mathbf{e}_i^* \cdot \mathbf{e}_j) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0; & i \neq j \\
1; & i = j 
\end{cases}
\]

The dot product between \( \mathbf{e}_i \) and \( \mathbf{e}_j \) will not be defined, nor will it be needed. It is now clear that the length of vector \( \mathbf{e} \) will now be taken as

\[
(\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}) = \sum_i |A_i|^2 > 0
\]

a positive definite quantity. The "length" of \( \mathbf{e} \) (written \( |\mathbf{e}| \)) will be taken as \( \sqrt{\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}} \).

Once we have made these definitions, a number of important consequences may be derived. It follows, for example, from them that, if \( \mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{e} = 0 \), then \( |\mathbf{e}| = 0 \). It may be easily verified that, if \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) are two vectors,

\[
\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{f} = \overline{\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}}
\]

Vectors in ordinary space have the property that their dot product is never larger than the product of their amplitudes:

\[
|\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}| \leq |\mathbf{A}| \cdot |\mathbf{B}|
\]
This is called the *Schwarz inequality*; it must also be true in abstract vector space, in generalized form, since it follows from the fact that the square of the "length" of a vector is never less than zero, i.e., is positive definite. For instance, if \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) are two vectors and \( a \) and \( b \) two complex numbers,

\[
(\bar{a}\mathbf{e}^* - \bar{b}\mathbf{f}^*) \cdot (a\mathbf{e} - b\mathbf{f}) \geq 0
\]

By setting \( a = \sqrt{(\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathbf{f})} \sqrt{(\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{e})} \) and \( b = \sqrt{(\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{e})} \sqrt{(\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathbf{f})} \) this can be reduced to

\[
\sqrt{(\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{e})} \sqrt{(\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathbf{f})} \geq \sqrt{(\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{f})} \sqrt{(\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathbf{e})} \quad \text{or} \quad |\mathbf{e}| \cdot |\mathbf{f}| \geq |\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}| \quad (1.6.33)
\]

which is the generalized form of the Schwarz inequality we have been seeking. This inequality will be used later in deriving the Heisenberg uncertainty principle.

Another inequality which has its analogue in ordinary vector space is the *Bessel inequality*, which states in essence that the sum of the lengths of two sides of any triangle is never less than the length of the third side:

\[
|\mathbf{e}| + |\mathbf{f}| \geq |\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{f}| \quad (1.6.34)
\]

**Generalized Dyadics.** We now turn to the discussion of operators in complex vector spaces. We consider first the linear transformation which rotates the axes of the space into another set of orthogonal axes:

\[
\mathbf{e}'_i = \sum_m \mathbf{e}_m \gamma_{mi}
\]

where \( \mathbf{e}'_i \) is a unit vector along the \( i \)th axis of the new coordinate system and \( \mathbf{e}_m \) are the unit vectors in the original system. The relation between \( \mathbf{e}'_i \) and \( (\mathbf{e}'_i)^* \) must be the same as that for any two vectors in the unprimed system so that

\[
(\mathbf{e}'_i)^* = \sum_n \tilde{\gamma}_{ni} \mathbf{e}^*_n
\]

We can devise a dyadic operator which when operating on the vector \( \mathbf{e}_n \) converts it into the new vector \( \mathbf{e}'_i \). This dyadic is

\[
\mathcal{O} = \sum_{n,i} \mathbf{e}_n \cdot \gamma_{ni} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \quad (1.6.35)
\]

so that

\[
\mathcal{O} \mathbf{c}_i = \sum_n c_n \gamma_{ni} - \mathbf{c}_i'
\]

The form of the dyadic implies the convention that we shall always place unstarred vectors to the right of an operator and starred vectors to the left. A useful property of the dyadic operator is that

\[
(\mathbf{e}^* \mathcal{O}) \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{e}^* (\mathcal{O} \mathbf{f})
\]
so that no parentheses are actually needed and we shall usually write it \( e^\ast \Theta f \).

Our generalizations have been carried out so that, as with ordinary dyadics, products of operators are also operators:

\[
(\Theta \cdot \Psi) = \left( \sum_{n,i} e_n \gamma_{ni} e_i^\ast \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j,k} e_j \gamma_{jk} e_k^\ast \right) = \sum_{n,k} e_n \left( \sum_j \gamma_{nj} \gamma_{jk} \right) e_k^\ast
\]

so that the \((n,k)\) component of the operator \((\Theta \cdot \Psi)\) is

\[
(\Theta \Psi)_{nk} = \left( \sum_j \gamma_{nj} \gamma_{jk} \right)
\]

Returning now to the rotation operator \( \Theta \) defined in Eq. (1.6.33), we note that \( e_i^\ast \Theta \) does not give \((e_i^\ast)^\ast\). The proper operator to rotate \( e_i^\ast \) shall be written \( \Theta^\ast \) and defined so that

\[
e_i^\ast \Theta^\ast = (e_i^\ast)^\ast
\]

The operator \( \Theta^\ast \) is therefore related to the operator \( \Theta \) by the equation

\[
(\Theta e_i)^\ast = e_i^\ast \Theta^\ast
\]

An operator \( \Theta^\ast \) which is related to an operator \( \Theta \) according to this equation is called the Hermitian adjoint of \( \Theta \). Writing out both sides of the equation we obtain

\[
\sum_n e_n^\ast \gamma_{ni} = \sum_n (\gamma^\ast)_n e_n^\ast
\]

so that

\[
\gamma^\ast_{ni} = (\gamma^\ast)_n \quad (1.6.36)
\]

This equation states that the \((i,n)\) component of the Hermitian adjoint dyadic \( \Theta^\ast \) is obtained by taking the complex conjugate of the \((n,i)\) component of \( \Theta \). The notion of adjoint is the generalization of the conjugate dyadic defined on page 55. The Hermitian adjoint of a product of two operators \( \Theta \Psi \) is \((\Theta \Psi)^\ast = \Psi^\ast \Theta^\ast \). The Hermitian adjoint of a complex number times an operator is the complex conjugate of the number times the adjoint of the operator.

**Hermitian Operators.** An operator which is self-adjoint, so that

\[
\Theta = \Theta^\ast \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_{nm} = \gamma_{mn}
\]

is called a *Hermitian* operator. All classical symmetric dyadic operators are Hermitian, since their components are all real numbers. The operators in quantum mechanics which correspond to measurable quantities must also be Hermitian, for their eigenvalues must be real (after all, the results of actual measurements are real numbers). To prove this we note that, if the eigenvalues \( a_n \) of an operator \( \mathfrak{A} \) are real, then \( e^\ast \mathfrak{A} e \) is real for any \( e \). For \( e \) can be expanded in terms of the eigenvectors
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$e_n$, giving a series of real numbers for $e^* f$. Let $e = f + bg$; then $b^*(g^*ef) + b(f^*eg)$ is real. But this is possible only if $(g^*ef)$ is the complex conjugate of $(f^*eg)$, that is, $(g^*ef)$. Consequently $g^*(u - v^*)f = 0$ for any $f$ or $g$; therefore $u = v^*$.

The rotation operator $\mathfrak{G}$ defined in Eq. (1.6.35) is still more narrowly specialized. The components $\gamma_{mn}$ are direction cosines, so that one would expect the operator to have a “unit amplitude” somehow. Since a rotation of coordinates in vector space should not change the value of a scalar product, we should have

$$(e^* f) \cdot (\mathfrak{G}g) = e^* \cdot f$$

for $e$ and $f$ arbitrary state vectors. Therefore, it must be that

$$\mathfrak{G}^* \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{I}$$

where $\mathfrak{I}$ is the idemfactor $\sum_n e_n e_n^*$. This implies that the adjoint of $\mathfrak{G}$ is also its inverse:

$$\mathfrak{G}^* = \mathfrak{G}^{-1}$$

Such an operator, having “unit amplitude” as defined by these equations, is called a unitary operator. The rotation operator defined in Eq. (1.6.35) is a unitary operator [see also Eq. (1.6.12)].

Most of the operators encountered in quantum mechanics are Hermitian; their eigenvalues are real, but their effect on state vectors is both to rotate and to change in size. There are in addition several useful operators which are unitary; their eigenvalues will not all be real, but their effect on state vectors is to rotate without change of size.

Examples of Unitary Operators. Important examples of unitary operators occur in wave propagation, quantum mechanics, and kinetic theory. For example, the description of the manner in which a junction between two wave guides (say of different cross section) reflects waves traveling in the ducts may be made by using a reflectance dyadic. This operator rotates, in the related abstract space, the eigenvector corresponding to the incident wave into a vector corresponding to the reflected wave. The unitary condition corresponds essentially to the requirement that the process be a conservative one. The equation $\mathfrak{G}^* = \mathfrak{G}^{-1}$ may be related to the reciprocity theorem, i.e., to the reversibility between source and detector. We shall, of course, go into these matters in greater detail in later chapters.

A unitary operator may be constructed from an ordinary Hermitian operator $\mathfrak{E}$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{G} = (1 + i\mathfrak{E})/(1 - i\mathfrak{E})$$

The adjoint of $\mathfrak{G}$ is $(1 - i\mathfrak{G})/(1 + i\mathfrak{G})$ so that $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{G}^*$ is $\mathfrak{I}$ and $\mathfrak{G}$ is unitary. If $\mathfrak{G}$ is the reflectance dyadic, then the above equation yields the relation
which exists between the reflection coefficients constituting \( \mathfrak{R} \) and the impedance coefficients constituting the impedance dyad \( \mathcal{B} \).

Another construction using a Hermitian operator \( \mathfrak{B} \) to obtain a unitary operator \( \mathfrak{U} \) is

\[
\mathfrak{U} = e^{i \mathfrak{B}}
\]

(1.6.37)

where \( e^{i \mathfrak{B}} \) is defined by its power series

\[
1 + i \mathfrak{B} + \frac{1}{2} (i \mathfrak{B})^2 + \cdot
\]

For example, for \( \mathfrak{U} = (1 + i \mathfrak{B})/(1 - i \mathfrak{B}) \), we have \( \mathfrak{U} = e^{i \mathfrak{B}} \), where \( \mathfrak{B} = 2 \tan^{-1} \mathcal{A} \). In terms of the physical example above, this last transformation corresponds to using the shift in phase upon reflection to describe the reflected wave rather than the reflection coefficient itself.

Often a vector is a function of a parameter (we shall take time \( t \) as the typical parameter), the vector rotating as the parameter changes its value. The unitary operator corresponding to this rotation is useful in many problems. Its general form is not difficult to derive. We can call it \( \mathfrak{D}(t) \). Then by our definition

\[
\mathfrak{D}(t)e(t_0) = e(t_1 + t_0)
\]

where \( t_1 \) and \( t_0 \) are specific values of the parameter \( t \). Moreover,

\[
\mathfrak{D}(t_2)\mathfrak{D}(t_1)e(t_0) = e(t_3),
\]

so that

\[
\mathfrak{D}(t_2)\mathfrak{D}(t_1) = \mathfrak{D}(t_3)
\]

For this equation to hold for all \( t_3 \) and \( t_1 \), \( \mathfrak{D} \) must be an exponential function of \( t \). Since it must be unitary, it must be of the form

\[
\mathfrak{D} = e^{i \mathfrak{Q} t}
\]

where \( \mathfrak{Q} \) is some unknown Hermitian operator.

To determine the equation of rotational motion for vector \( e \) we apply \( \mathfrak{D} \) to \( e(t) \), changing \( t \) by an infinitesimal amount \( dt \). Then \( \mathfrak{D} = 1 + i \mathfrak{Q} dt \) so that

\[
(1 + i \mathfrak{Q} dt)e(t) = e(t + dt) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{Q} e(t) = \frac{1}{i} \frac{de(t)}{dt} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{Q} e(t) = \frac{1}{i} \frac{de(t)}{dt}
\]

(1.6.38)

We must, of course, find \( \mathfrak{Q} \) from the physics of the problem. For example, in quantum mechanics and where \( t \) is the time, \( \mathfrak{Q} \) is proportional to the Hamiltonian function with the usual classical variables of momentum and position replaced by their corresponding operators.

Transformation of Operators. In the preceding discussion we have dealt with the rotation of a vector as a parameter changes upon which it depends. It is also possible to simulate the effects of the changes in the parameter by keeping the vector fixed and rotate "space," i.e., to ascribe the appropriate parametric dependence to all the operators which occur. In other words, we change the meaning of the operators as the parameter changes, keeping the vectors fixed. We must find how this is to be done so as to give results equivalent to the first picture in which the vectors
rotated but the operators were fixed. Let the rotation of the vectors be given by the unitary operator \( \mathcal{O} \):

\[
\mathcal{O} \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}' \; ; \; \mathcal{O} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}' \; ; \; \text{etc.}
\]

The appropriate equivalent change in \( \mathcal{L} \) to \( \mathcal{L}' \) is found by requiring that the relation between \( \mathcal{L} \mathbf{e}' \) and \( \mathbf{f}' \) (first picture \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) change, \( \mathcal{L} \) does not) be the same as the relation between \( \mathcal{L} \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) (second picture \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) do not change, \( \mathcal{L} \) transforms to \( \mathcal{L}' \)). Analytically we write

\[
\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathbf{e}' = \mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathcal{L}' \cdot \mathbf{e}
\]

Inserting the relation between \( \mathbf{f}' \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) and recalling that \( \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{f}^{-1} \), we find

\[
\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathbf{e}' = \mathbf{f}^* \cdot (\mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{f}) \cdot \mathbf{e} \; \text{or} \; \mathcal{L}' = \mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{f} \quad (1.6.39)
\]

We now investigate the effects of this unitary (sometimes called \textit{canonical}) transformation on the properties of \( \mathcal{L} \). For example, we shall first show that the eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{L}' \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) are the same. Let

\[
\mathcal{L}' \mathbf{e} = L' \mathbf{e}
\]

Hence

\[
(\mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{f}) \cdot \mathbf{e} = L' \mathbf{e}
\]

Multiplying through by \( \mathcal{O} \) we obtain

\[
\mathcal{O} (\mathcal{L} \mathbf{e}) = L' (\mathcal{O} \mathbf{e})
\]

In words if \( \mathbf{e} \) is an eigenvector of \( \mathcal{L}' \) with eigenvalue \( L' \), then \( \mathcal{O} \mathbf{e} \) is an eigenvalue of \( \mathcal{L} \) with the same eigenvalue. This preservation of eigenvalue is sometimes a very useful property, for if \( \mathcal{L} \) is a difficult operator to manipulate, it may be possible to find a transformation \( \mathcal{O} \) which yields a simpler operator \( \mathcal{L}' \) whose eigenvalues are the same as those of \( \mathcal{L} \).

Because of the relation between an operator and its Hermitian adjoint, we can write

\[
(\mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{f})^* = \mathbf{f}^* \mathcal{L}^* (\mathbf{f}^{-1})^* = \mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathcal{L}^* \mathbf{f}
\]

Hence a Hermitian operator remains Hermitian after a unitary transformation.

The relationship between operators is not changed by a unitary transformation. For example, if

\[
\mathcal{L} \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}
\]

then

\[
\mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathcal{N} \mathbf{f} \; \text{or} \; \mathcal{L}' \mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{N}'
\]

Two unitary transformations applied, one after the other, correspond to a transformation which is also unitary. If the two unitary operators are \( \mathcal{O} \), then

\[
(\mathcal{O}^* \mathcal{O}^*) \mathcal{O} = (\mathbf{f}^* \mathbf{f}^*) \mathbf{f} = \mathcal{I}
\]

proving the point in question.
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Finally we may consider the changes in an operator \( \mathfrak{g} \) under transformation by the unitary operator \( \mathfrak{D}(t) \) defined in Eq. (1.6.38). This will enable us to calculate the change in an operator due to a change in a parameter \( t \). The transformed operator is

\[
e^{-i\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{S}t} = \mathfrak{g}'
\]

It is clearly convenient to introduce the notation \( \mathfrak{g}(t) \) for \( \mathfrak{g}' \), calling \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(0) \), so that

\[
\mathfrak{g}(t) = e^{-i\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{S}t} = e^{-i\mathfrak{g}'\mathfrak{S}t}
\]

The rate of change of \( \mathfrak{g} \) with \( t \) can be obtained by considering the difference \( \mathfrak{g}(t + dt) - \mathfrak{g}(t) = e^{-i\mathfrak{g}'\mathfrak{S}dt} \) so that

\[
\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{g}}{\partial t} = \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{S} - \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{g}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1.6.40)

Of course \( \mathfrak{S} \) depends on the physics of the problem. For example, in many quantum mechanical problems \( \mathfrak{S} \) is the Hamiltonian operator and \( t \) is time; the resulting equation is called the Heisenberg equation of motion. The equation has wide application; for an operator \( \mathfrak{S} \) which is related to a rotation parameter \( t \) for state vectors of the form given in Eq. (1.6.38), the rate of change of any other operator \( \mathfrak{g} \) related to the same system is proportional to the commutator \( \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{g} - \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{S} \).

Quantum Mechanical Operators. Let us now apply some of these results to the operators occurring in quantum mechanics. We recall that the average result of measurements of a quantity (energy, momentum, etc.) represented by the operator \( \mathfrak{p} \) on a system in a state represented by the state vector \( \mathbf{e} \) is \( \rho_{\mathbf{e}} = (\mathbf{e}^* \mathbf{p} \mathbf{e}) \). Likewise, we point out again that the probability that a system in a state represented by the state vector \( \mathbf{e} \) (in state \( \mathbf{e} \), for short) turns out to be also in state \( \mathbf{e}' \) is given by the square of the absolute magnitude of the cosine between the state vectors, \( |(\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}')|^2 \).

Last section's discussion makes it possible to restate this last sentence in terms of unitary operators. Suppose that we find a unitary operator \( \mathfrak{g} \) which transforms an eigenvector \( \mathbf{e}(a_n) \) for operator \( \mathfrak{A} \) with eigenvalue \( a_n \) to an eigenvector \( \mathbf{e}'(b_n) \) for operator \( \mathfrak{B} \) with eigenvalue \( b_n \):

\[
\mathfrak{g}(a,b)\mathbf{e}(a_n) = \mathbf{e}'(b_n)
\]

Then, using Eq. (1.6.35), we see that the probability that a measurement of \( \mathfrak{B} \) gives the value \( b_n \) when \( \mathfrak{A} \) has the value \( a_n \) is

\[
|\mathbf{e}^*(a_n) \cdot \mathbf{e}'(b_n)|^2 = |\mathbf{e}^*(a_n) \mathfrak{g}(a,b)\mathbf{e}(a_n)|^2 = |\gamma_{ab}|^2
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1.6.41)

At this point we can utilize the Schwarz inequality [Eq. (1.6.33)] to show the relation between the quantum equations (1.6.32) and the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. We have already shown that, if two
operators do not commute, they cannot be simultaneously measurable; if one is measured accurately, the other cannot also be known accurately. From the physical point of view there is something inherent in the measurement of one which destroys our simultaneous accurate knowledge of the other. From the mathematical point of view, there is a reciprocal relationship between their uncertainties. As mentioned before, the uncertainty in measurement \( \Delta A \) of an operator \( \hat{A} \) is the root-mean-square deviation defined by the equations

\[
(\Delta A)^2 = \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a = \langle \hat{A} \rangle = \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle^{1/2}
\]

for the state denoted by the vector \( \textbf{e} \).

To apply these general statements to the quantum relations (1.6.32), we define the operators

\[
\Delta p_x = p_x - \langle p_x \rangle; \quad \Delta x = x - \langle x \rangle = (\textbf{e}^* \textbf{e})
\]

so that the rms value of the operator \( \Delta x \) is the uncertainty in measurement of \( x \) in state \( \textbf{e} \). By use of some of Eqs. (1.6.32), we can show that

\[
\Delta p_x \Delta x - \Delta x \Delta p = \hbar/i
\]

Taking the average value of this for state \( \textbf{e} \), we have

\[
(\Delta p_x \textbf{e})^* \cdot (\Delta x \textbf{e}) - (\Delta x \textbf{e})^* \cdot (\Delta p_x \textbf{e}) = \hbar/i
\]

The right-hand side of this equation is just twice the imaginary part of \( (\Delta p_x \textbf{e})^* \cdot (\Delta x \textbf{e}) \), so that we can conclude that

\[
| (\Delta p_x \textbf{e})^* \cdot (\Delta x \textbf{e}) | \geq \hbar/2
\]

But the Schwarz inequality (1.6.33) says that the product of the amplitudes is never smaller than the amplitude of the dot product. Therefore, we finally obtain

\[
(\Delta p_x) (\Delta x) \geq \hbar/2
\]

which is the famous Heisenberg uncertainty principle. It states that simultaneous accurate measurement of position and momentum (in the same coordinate) is not possible, and that if simultaneous measurement is attempted, the resultant uncertainties in the results are limited by the Heisenberg principle.

**Spin Operators.** The statements made in the previous section were exceedingly general, and there is need for an example to clarify some of the concepts. An example which will be useful in later discussions is that of electron spin. It is experimentally observed that there are only two allowed values of the component of magnetic moment of the electron in any given direction, which leads us to expect that the angular momentum of the electron is similarly limited in allowed values. The angular
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momentum \( \mathbb{M} \) of a particle about the origin of coordinates is given in terms of its position from the origin and its momentum \( \mathbb{P} \) as follows:

\[
\mathbb{M}_x = x\mathbb{P}_z - y\mathbb{P}_y; \quad \mathbb{M}_y = y\mathbb{P}_z - x\mathbb{P}_x; \quad \mathbb{M}_z = r\mathbb{P}_r - \eta \mathbb{P}_z.
\]

We next compute the commutator for the components of \( \mathbb{M} \), utilizing the quantum equations (1.6.32):

\[
\begin{align*}
\{\mathbb{M}_x, \mathbb{M}_y\} & = (\mathbb{P}_x - \eta \mathbb{P}_z)(\mathbb{P}_x - \eta \mathbb{P}_z) = i\hbar \mathbb{P}_z; \\
\{\mathbb{M}_y, \mathbb{M}_z\} & = i\hbar \mathbb{P}_z; \\
\{\mathbb{M}_z, \mathbb{M}_x\} & = i\hbar \mathbb{P}_y.
\end{align*}
\]

These equations indicate that we cannot know accurately all three components of the electron spin, in fact if we know the value of \( M_z \) accurately, we cannot know the values of \( M_x \) and \( M_y \).

By utilizing these equations in pairs we can show that

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{M}_x(\mathbb{M}_x \pm i\mathbb{M}_y) & = (\mathbb{M}_x \pm i\mathbb{M}_y)(\mathbb{M}_x \pm i\mathbb{M}_y) = \pm \hbar (\mathbb{M}_x \pm i\mathbb{M}_y) \\
or \quad \mathbb{M}_x(\mathbb{M}_x + i\mathbb{M}_y) & = (\mathbb{M}_x + i\mathbb{M}_y)(\mathbb{M}_x + \hbar) \\
and \quad \mathbb{M}_x(\mathbb{M}_x - i\mathbb{M}_y) & = (\mathbb{M}_x - i\mathbb{M}_y)(\mathbb{M}_x - \hbar)
\end{align*}
\]

(1.6.43)

Starting with a state vector \( a_m \) corresponding to a knowledge that the value of \( \mathbb{M}_z \) is \( m\hbar \) (that is, \( a_m \) is an eigenvector for \( \mathbb{M}_z \) with eigenvalue \( m\hbar \)), we see that the vector \( (\mathbb{M}_x + i\mathbb{M}_y)a_m \) is an eigenvector for \( \mathbb{M}_z \) with eigenvalue \((m + 1)\hbar \) unless \( (\mathbb{M}_x + i\mathbb{M}_y)a_m \) is zero and that \( (\mathbb{M}_x - i\mathbb{M}_y)a_m \) is an eigenvector for \( \mathbb{M}_z \) with eigenvalue \((m - 1)\hbar \) unless \( (\mathbb{M}_x - i\mathbb{M}_y)a_m \) is zero, for from Eqs. (1.6.43),

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{M}_z(\mathbb{M}_x + i\mathbb{M}_y)a_m & = (\mathbb{M}_x + i\mathbb{M}_y)[(\mathbb{M}_x + \hbar)a_m] \\
& = (m + 1)\hbar[(\mathbb{M}_x + i\mathbb{M}_y)a_m]; \quad etc.
\end{align*}
\]

In the special case of electron spin, we will call the angular momentum \( \mathbf{S} \) instead of \( \mathbb{M} \). In order to have only two allowed values of \( m \), differing by \( \hbar \) (as the above equations require) and to have these values symmetric with respect to direction along \( x \), we must have the allowed values of \( S_z \) be \( +\frac{1}{2}\hbar \) (with eigenvector \( a_+ \)) and \( -\frac{1}{2}\hbar \) (with eigenvector \( a_- \)), and that

\[
(S_x + iS_y)a_+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (S_x - iS_y)a_- = 0
\]

Consequently the rules of operation of the spin operators on the two eigenvectors and the rules of multiplication of the spin operators are

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{S}_z a_+ & = (\hbar/2)a_+; \quad \mathbf{S}_z a_- = (\hbar/2)a_-; \quad \mathbf{S}_z a_+ = (\hbar/2)a_+; \\
\mathbf{S}_z a_- & = (\hbar/2)a_-; \quad \mathbf{S}_z a_+ = -(\hbar/2)a_+; \quad \mathbf{S}_z a_- = -(\hbar/2)a_+ \\
\mathbf{S}_x a_+ & = (i\hbar/2)a_+; \quad \mathbf{S}_x a_- = -(i\hbar/2)a_-; \quad \mathbf{S}_x a_+ = -(i\hbar/2)a_+; \quad \mathbf{S}_x a_- = -(i\hbar/2)a_- \\
\mathbf{S}_y a_+ & = -i\hbar/2\mathbf{S}_y a_+; \quad \mathbf{S}_y a_- = i\hbar/2\mathbf{S}_y a_-; \quad \mathbf{S}_y a_+ = -i\hbar/2\mathbf{S}_y a_+; \quad \mathbf{S}_y a_- = i\hbar/2\mathbf{S}_y a_- \\
\mathbf{S}_z \mathbf{S}_x & = (\hbar/2)(\mathbf{S}_y \mathbf{S}_x - \mathbf{S}_x \mathbf{S}_y); \quad (\mathbf{S}_z)^2 = (\mathbf{S}_y)^2 = (\mathbf{S}_x)^2 = (\hbar/2)^2
\end{align*}
\]

(1.6.44)

We have here a fairly simple generalization of the concept of operators. The "spin space" is a two-dimensional space, one direction corresponding
to a state in which the $z$ component of spin is certainly $h/2$ and the perpendicular direction corresponding to a state where $\mathcal{S}_z$ is certainly $-(h/2)$ (it should be clear by now that state space is a useful mathematical fiction which has nothing to do with "real" space). A unit state vector in an intermediate direction corresponds to a state where we will find $\mathcal{S}_z$ sometimes positive and sometimes negative, the relative frequency of the two results depending on the square of the cosine of the angle between the unit vector and the two principal axes for $\mathcal{S}_z$.

The operator $2\mathcal{S}_y/h$ reflects any state vector in the $45^\circ$ line, i.e., interchanges plus and minus components. Therefore, the eigenvectors for $\mathcal{S}_y$ would be $(1/\sqrt{2})(a_+ \pm ia_-)$, corresponding to a rotation by $45^\circ$ of principal axes in spin space for a rotation of $90^\circ$ of the quantizing direction in actual space (corresponding to changing the direction of the magnetic field which orients the electron from the $z$ to the $x$ axis, for instance). Therefore, if we know that $\mathcal{S}_y$ is $h/2$, there is an even chance that $\mathcal{S}_y$ will have the value $h/2$ or $-(h/2)$.

On the other hand, the two eigenvectors for $\mathcal{S}_x$ are $(1/\sqrt{2})(a_+ \pm ia_-)$, corresponding to an imaginary rotation in spin space (the square of the magnitude of a complex vector of this sort, we remember, is the scalar product of the complex conjugate of the vector with the vector itself).

**Quaternions.** Viewed in the abstract, the operators $i = 2\mathcal{S}_y/ih$, $j = 2\mathcal{S}_x/ih$, $k = 2\mathcal{S}_z/ih$, together with the unity operator $\mathfrak{a} = a$ and a zero operator, exhibit a close formal relation to dyadic operators. They act on a vector (in this case a vector in two-dimensional spin space) to produce another vector. They can be added and multiplied to produce other operators of the same class. The multiplication table for these new operators is

$$
i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1; \quad ij = k = -ji; \quad if = i = -fi; \quad fi = j = -if$$

(1.6.45)

Curiously enough, operators with just these properties were studied by Hamilton, long before the development of quantum mechanics, in his efforts to generalize complex numbers. As was discussed on page 73, the quantity $i = \sqrt{-1}$ can be considered to correspond to the operation of rotation by $90^\circ$ in the complex plane. For this simple operator, the only multiplication table is $i^2 = -1$, where 1 is the unity operator. Corresponding to any point $(x,y)$ on the complex plane is a complex number $z = x + iy$, where the square of the distance from the origin is $|z|^2 = z\bar{z} = (x - iy)(x + iy) = x^2 + y^2$. In order to reach the next comparable formal generalization one must use the three quantities $i, j,$ and $k$ defined in Eqs. (1.6.45) to produce a quaternion (see page 74) $p = a + bi + cj + dk$.
The square of the magnitude of this quantity is obtained by multiplying \( p \) by its conjugate

\[
p^* = a - bi - ci - di; \quad |p|^2 = pp^* = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2
\]

Therefore, the only quaternion with zero magnitude is one where \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \) are all zero. In addition, the reciprocal to any quaternion is quickly found.

\[
1/p = p^*/|p|^2
\]

A quaternion can be related to the operation of rotation about an axis in three-dimensional space; the direction cosines of the axis are proportional to the constants \( b, c, \) and \( d, \) and the amount of the rotation is determined by the ratio of \( a^2 \) to \( b^2 + c^2 + d^2. \) Little further needs to be said about these quantities beyond what has been given on page 74, \( \textit{et seq.}, \) for their interest is now chiefly historical. The spin operators are, however, closely related to them.

**Rotation Operators.** Unitary operators of the type defined in Eq. (1.6.37) are very closely related to the general angular momentum operators (indeed, they are proportional). Discussing them will enable us to use some more of the technique developed in the section on abstract spaces and at the same time shed some more light on the properties of angular momentum in quantum mechanics.

Suppose that a vector \( e \) in abstract space depends parametrically upon the orientation of a vector \( r \) in ordinary space. If we should now rotate \( r \) about the \( x \) axis through an angle \( \theta_x, \) then \( e \) should rotate in abstract space. The operator which must be applied to \( e \) to yield the resultant vector is of the form given in Eq. (1.6.37). In the present case we write

\[
D_x = e^{i(\mathbf{M}_x/h)\theta_x}
\]

where now \( \mathbf{M}_x \) is an operator. Similarly, one may define a \( D_y \) and a \( D_z \) in terms of \( \theta_y, \) and \( \theta_z. \) For most cases, since a rotation about the \( x \) axis of the vector \( r \) does not commute with a rotation about the \( y \) axis of this vector, \( D_x D_y \neq D_y D_x. \)

However, for an infinitesimal rotation of amounts \( (d\theta)_x, \) \( (d\theta)_y, \) \( (d\theta)_z, \) it is easy to see that the rotations do commute and that the corresponding operator in abstract space is

\[
1 + \left( i/h \right) (\mathbf{M}_x d\theta_x + \mathbf{M}_y d\theta_y + \mathbf{M}_z d\theta_z).
\]

Since the effect of this infinitesimal operator on vector \( e \) cannot depend upon the orientation of the \( x, y, z \) axes it follows that \( \mathbf{M}_x d\theta_x + \mathbf{M}_y d\theta_y + \mathbf{M}_z d\theta_z \) must be invariant under a rotation. Since \( (d\theta_x, d\theta_y, d\theta_z) \) form the three components of a space vector, it follows that \( (\mathbf{M}_x, \mathbf{M}_y, \mathbf{M}_z) \) must also form the three components of a vector \( \mathbf{M} \) and must transform, for example, as \( x, y, z \) in Eq. (1.3.8), for then the operator can be a simple scalar product, which is invariant under a rotation.

Since \( \mathbf{M} \) is a vector, it must transform like a vector. Specifically,
if the space axes are rotated by an angle $d\theta$, about the $z$ axis, the relation between the unprimed and the primed components are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}'_x &= \mathbf{M}_x; & \mathbf{M}'_y &= d\theta \mathbf{M}_x + \mathbf{M}_y; & \mathbf{M}'_z &= \mathbf{M}_z - d\theta \mathbf{M}_y
\end{align*}
$$

(1.6.46)

However, $\mathbf{M}_z$ is an operator related to a rotation in vector space, related to the parameter $\theta$ in a manner analogous to $\mathbf{S}$ and $t$ in Eq. (1.6.38). To be specific, it is related to the rate of change of a state vector $\mathbf{e}$ by the equation

$$
\frac{1}{i} \mathbf{M}_z \mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}}{\partial \theta}
$$

Therefore, the rate of change of any other operator, related to the system, with respect to $\theta$, must be given by an equation of the form of (1.6.40).

For instance, the rate of change of the operator $\mathbf{M}_x$ with respect to the parameter $\theta$ is given by the equation

$$
\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_x}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{i} \left( \mathbf{M}_y \mathbf{M}_x - \mathbf{M}_x \mathbf{M}_y \right)
$$

But from Eq. (1.6.46), $\partial \mathbf{M}_x / \partial \theta = -\mathbf{M}_y$, we have

$$
\theta \mathbf{M}_y = \mathbf{M}_y \mathbf{M}_x - \mathbf{M}_x \mathbf{M}_y
$$

which is identical with the last of Eqs. (1.6.42) for the angular momentum operators.

The present derivation, however, has considered the operator vector $\mathbf{M}$ to be the one related to an infinitesimal rotation of axes in ordinary space. The results show that, as far as abstract vector space is concerned, this operator is identical with the angular momentum operator defined in Eqs. (1.6.42). The reason for the identity is, of course, that a measurement of the angular momentum of a system usually results in a space rotation of the system (unless the state corresponds to an eigenvector for $\mathbf{M}$) just as a measurement of linear momentum $p$ usually results in a change in position of the system.

In terms of the formalism of abstract vector space the operator

$$
\mathbf{g} = e^{i(\mathbf{M}_z \phi) \mathbf{e}}
$$

performs the necessary reorientation of state vectors corresponding to a rotation of ordinary space by an amount $\theta$ about the $z$ axis. Since, by Eqs. (1.6.43) the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{M}$ are $m, h$, where $m$ is either an integer or a half integer (depending on whether ordinary angular momentum or spin is under study), when the operator acts on an eigenvector of $\mathbf{M}_z$ with eigenvalue $m, h$, it changes neither its direction nor its magnitude

$$
e^{i(\mathbf{M}_z \phi) \mathbf{e}}(m) = e^{i\theta \mathbf{e}}(m)
$$

This whole subject of quantum mechanics and operator calculus will be discussed in more detail in the next chapter.
1.7 The Lorentz Transformation, Four-vectors, Spinors

Up to this point we have discussed vectors and other quantities in three dimensions, and some of the formulas and statements we have made regarding axial vectors, the curl operator, and vector multiplication are only correct for three dimensions. Since a great deal of the material in this volume deals only with the three space dimensions, such specialization is allowable, and the results are of considerable value. In many cases, however, a fourth dimension intrudes, the time dimension. In classical mechanics this added no further complication, for it was assumed that no physically possible operation could rotate the time axis into the space axis or vice versa, so that the time direction was supposed to be the same for all observers. If this had turned out to be true, the only realizable transformations would be in the three dimensions, time would be added as an afterthought, and the three-dimensional analyses discussed hitherto would be the only ones applicable to physics.

Proper Time. Modern electrodynamics has indicated, however, and the theory of relativity has demonstrated that there are physically possible transformations which involve the time dimension and that, when the relative velocity of two observers is comparable to the speed of light, their time directions are measurably not parallel. This does not mean that time is just another space dimension, for, in the formulas, it differs from the three space dimensions by the imaginary factor \( i = \sqrt{-1} \). It is found that, when an object is moved in space by amounts \( dx, dy, dz \) in a time \( dt \), with respect to observer \( A \), the time as measured by observer \( B \), moving with the object, is \( d\tau_B \), where

\[
(d\tau_B)^2 = dt^2 - \left(1/c^2\right)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)
\]  

(1.7.1)

where \( c \) is the velocity of light and \( d\tau_B \) is called the proper time for observer \( B \) \((dt = d\tau_A \) is, of course, the proper time for observer \( A \)). As long as the velocities \( dx/dt \), etc., are small compared with the velocity \( c \), the proper times \( d\tau_A, d\tau_B \) differ little in value; but if the relative velocity nearly equals \( c \), the time intervals may differ considerably.

Equation (1.7.1) is analogous to the three-dimensional equation for the total differential of distance along a path \( ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \), and the analogy may be made more obvious if Eq. (1.7.1) is written in the form

\[
(ic \; d\tau_B)^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 + (ic \; dt)^2
\]

The path followed by an object in space-time is called its world line, and the distance along it measures its proper time. The equation suggests that the proper times for two observers moving with respect to each other are related by an imaginary rotation in space-time, the amount of rotation being related to the relative velocity of the observers.
The Lorentz Transformation. In order to begin the discussion as simply as possible, we shall assume that the relative velocity of the two observers is parallel to the \( x \) axis, which allows their \( y \) and \( z \) axes to remain parallel. We shall also assume that their relative velocity \( u \) is constant, so that their world lines are at a constant angle with respect to each other and the transformation from one space-time system to another is a simple (imaginary) rotation. Consideration of observers accelerating with respect to each other would involve us in the intricacies of general relativity, which will not be needed in the present book.

The transformation corresponding to a rotation in the \((x,ict)\) plane by an imaginary angle \(i\alpha\) is

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= x' \cosh \alpha + ct' \sinh \alpha \\
y &= y' \\
z &= z' \\
c t &= x' \sinh \alpha + ct' \cosh \alpha
\end{align*}
\]

(1.7.2)

where \((x,y,z,t)\) are the space-time coordinates relative to observer \( A \) and \((x',y',z',t')\) those relative to \( B \), moving at a relative velocity \( u \), parallel to the \( x \) axis. In order that the time axis for \( B \) moves with relative velocity \( u \) with respect to \( A \) (or vice versa), we must have

\[
u = c \tanh \alpha; \quad \sinh \alpha = \frac{u/c}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}}; \quad \cosh \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}}
\]

Consequently, we can write the transformation in the more usual form:

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \frac{x' + u t'}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}} \\
y &= y' \\
z &= z' \\
t &= \frac{(u x'/c^2) + t'}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}}
\end{align*}
\]

(1.7.3)

Incidentally this transformation also requires that, if observer \( B \) has velocity \( v = c \tanh \beta \) with respect to \( A \) (in the same direction as \( u \)), then \( C \) has velocity \( c \tanh (\alpha + \beta) = (u + v)/(1 + (uv/c^2)) \) with respect to \( A \).

This set of equations, relating the space-time coordinates of two observers moving with constant relative velocity, is called a Lorentz transformation. It is a symmetrical transformation, in that the equations for \( x', t' \) in terms of \( x, t \) can be obtained from Eqs. (1.7.3) by interchange of primed and unprimed quantities and reversal of the sign of \( u \). This can be seen by solving for \( x' \) and \( t' \) in terms of \( x \) and \( t \). The Lorentz transformation is a very specialized sort of a change of coordinates, for it is a simple (imaginary) rotation in a space-time plane, but it will suffice for most of our investigations into space-time in the volume.

The equations for the general Lorentz transformation corresponding
to relative velocity \(u - c\) tanh \(\alpha\) in a direction given by the spherical angles \(\vartheta, \varphi\) with respect to the \(x\) axis are

\[
x = [1 + \cos^2 \varphi \sin^2 \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1)]x' + \cos \varphi \sin \varphi \sin^2 \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1) y' + \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1)z' + \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta (\sinh \alpha)ct' \\
y = \cos \varphi \sin \varphi \sin^2 \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1)x' + [1 + \sin^2 \varphi \sin^2 \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1)] y' + \sin \varphi \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1)z' + \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta (\sinh \alpha)ct' \\
z = \cos \varphi \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1)x' + \sin \varphi \cosec \vartheta \sin \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1) y' + [1 + \cos^2 \vartheta (\cosh \alpha - 1)]z' + \cos \vartheta (\sinh \alpha)ct' \\
t' = \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta (\sinh \alpha)x' + \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta (\sinh \alpha)y' + \cos \vartheta (\sinh \alpha)z' + (\cosh \alpha)ct'
\]

When \(\varphi = 0^\circ\) and \(\vartheta = 90^\circ\), this reduces to the simple form given in Eqs. (1.7.2).

The scale factors \(h\) are all unity for this transformation, since it is a rotation without change of scale. Of course, the scale factors here involve the four dimensions

\[
(h_1)^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{4} \left( \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial x_m} \right)^2; \quad x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 = x, y, z, ct
\]

Since the \(h\)'s are all unity, there is no need to differentiate between contravariant, covariant, and "true" vector components.

**Four-dimensional Invariants.** Just as with three dimensions, we shall find it remunerative to search for quantities which do not change when a Lorentz transformation is applied, i.e., which give the same result when measured by any observer traveling with any uniform velocity (less than that of light). These are analogous to the scalar quantities which we discussed earlier and should, in many cases, correspond to measurable quantities, for according to the theory of relativity many physical quantities should give the same result when measured by different observers traveling at different velocities. Such quantities are said to be *Lorentz invariant*.

The space-time length of a given portion of the world line of a non-accelerated particle is a four-dimensional invariant. If its displacement in space to observer \(B\) is \(x'\) and its duration in time to the same observer is \(t'\), then the square of the *proper lengths* of its world line is

\[
s^2 = (ct')^2 - (x')^2
\]

To observer \(A\), according to Eqs. (1.6.3), the square of the proper length is

\[
s^2 = (ct)^2 - x^2 = \frac{1}{1 - (u/c)^2} \left[ (ct')^2 + 2ux't' + \left( \frac{ux'}{c} \right)^2 - (x')^2 - 2ux't' - (ut')^2 \right] = (ct')^2 - (x')^2
\]
which is the same value. The square of the length of the same world line to an observer traveling with the particle would be his proper time, squared, multiplied by \( c^2 \), which would also have the same value.

Therefore, in relativity, the space-length of a line is not an invariant, nor is a time duration. The space-length of a line to observer \( A \), moving with the line, is the distance between points on two world lines measured at the same time for observer \( A \) \((x_i - x_i')\). To observe \( B \) moving at velocity \( u \) the space length of the same line is determined by measuring the distance between points on the world lines at the same time for \( B \), that is, for \( t' - t \). By Eqs. (1.7.3) we have \( x_3 - x_3' = (x_3' - x_3)/\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2} \), or the distance measured by observer \( B \) moving with respect to the line, is \( x_3' - x_3 = (x_3 - x_3)/\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2} \), shorter than the length measured by \( A \) by the factor \( \sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2} \). Since the apparent size of objects changes with the relative velocity of the observer, the apparent density of matter is also not an invariant under a Lorentz transformation.

Many other quantities which were scalars in three dimensions (i.e., for very small relative velocities) turn out not to be invariants in space-time. The mass of a body, for instance, is not a four-dimensional invariant; the correct invariant is a combination of the mass and the kinetic energy of the body, corresponding to the relativistic correspondence between mass and energy. This will be proved after we have discussed vectors in four dimensions.

Four-vectors. As might be expected from the foregoing discussion, we also encounter a few surprises when we expand our concept of a vector to four dimensions. As with a three-vector, a four-vector must have an invariant length, only now this length must be a proper length in space and time. The two points \((x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2)\) and \((x_2', y_2', z_2', t_2')\) as measured by observer \( B \) define a four-vector \( F_i' = x_i' - x_i \), \( F_i' = c(t_i' - t_i) \). To observer \( A \), traveling with velocity \( u \) (in the \( x \) direction) with respect to \( B \), the components of this vector are

\[
F_1 = \frac{F_1'}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}}, \quad F_2 = F_2', \quad F_3 = F_3', \quad F_4 = \frac{(uF_1'c) + F_4'}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}}
\]

so that for this Lorentz transformation, the direction cosines are

\[
\gamma_{11} = \cosh \alpha; \quad \gamma_{22} = \gamma_{33} = 1; \quad \gamma_{44} = \cosh \alpha; \quad \gamma_{41} = \gamma_{42} = \sinh \alpha
\]

\[
\gamma_{12} = \gamma_{21} = \gamma_{23} = \gamma_{32} = \gamma_{24} = \gamma_{42} = \gamma_{34} = \gamma_{43} = 0 \quad (1.7.4)
\]

This transformation of components is typical of four-vectors. We note that the "sum" of the squares of the four components \( F^2 = F_1^2 + F_2^2 + F_3^2 + F_4^2 \) is invariant, as it must be.

A very important vector is the four-dimensional generalization of
the momentum of a particle which is traveling at a constant velocity \( u \) in the \( z \) direction with respect to observer \( A \). To an observer \( B \), traveling with the particle, the mass of the particle is \( m_0 \) and the proper time is \( \tau \). With respect to observer \( A \), the particle travels a distance \( dx \) in time \( dt \), where \((dx)^2 = (dt)^2 - (dz/c)^2\). The space component of the vector momentum cannot be \( m_0(dx/dt) \), for it would be difficult to find a corresponding time component such that the square of the resulting magnitude would be invariant. On the other hand, if we choose it to be \( m_0(dx/dt) \), which transforms like \( dx \), so that

\[
p_x = m_0 \frac{dx}{dt} \frac{m_0u}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2}}; \quad p_t = m_0 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2}} = \frac{m_0c}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2}}; \quad u = \frac{dx}{dt}
\]

where \( \tau \) is the proper time for the particle under study (and, therefore, for observer \( B \)), then the square of the magnitude of \( p \) is \( p_x^2 - p_t^2 = -(m_0c)^2 \), which is invariant.

With respect to observer \( C \), traveling with velocity \( v = c \tanh \beta \) in the \( z \) direction compared with \( A \), the vector momentum transforms according to Eq. (1.7.4),

\[
p_x' = p_x \cosh \beta + p_t \sinh \beta = m_0c \sinh(\alpha + \beta) = m_0u'/\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u'}{c}\right)^2}
\]

\[
p_t' = p_x \sinh \beta + p_t \cosh \beta = m_0c \cosh(\alpha + \beta) = m_0c/\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u'}{c}\right)^2}
\]

where \( u = c \tanh \alpha \) is the velocity of the particle with respect to \( A \) and \( u' = c \tanh(\alpha + \beta) \) is its velocity with respect to observer \( C \). Thus the definition of the momentum four-vector is consistent with the rule of composition of velocities given earlier.

Therefore, the four-vector corresponding to the momentum as measured by observer \( A \), for a particle moving with speed \( u \) with respect to \( A \), is

\[
p_x = \frac{m_0(dx/dt)}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2}}; \quad p_y = \frac{m_0(dy/dt)}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2}}; \quad p_z = \frac{m_0(dz/dt)}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2}}; \quad p_t = \frac{m_0c}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2}} \quad (1.7.5)
\]

\[
w^2 = \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dz}{dt}\right)^2
\]

where \( x, y, z, t \) are the coordinates of the particle according to observer \( A \).

The time component of the momentum is proportional to the total energy of the particle with respect to observer \( A \),

\[
E = cp_t = \frac{m_0c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2}} = m_0c^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0u^2 + \cdots
\]

which is not invariant under a Lorentz transformation. This equation also shows that the total energy can be separated into a rest energy and a kinetic energy only when \( u \) is small compared with \( c \).
Another four-vector is the space-time gradient of some scalar function of \((x,y,z,t)\), \(\Box \psi\) (which may be called \(\text{quad} \psi\)), where
\[
(\Box \psi)_1 = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \text{ etc.} \quad (\Box \psi)_4 = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}
\]

Since the scale factors \(h\) are all unity and the Christoffel symbols therefore are all zero, these are also the components of the four-dimensional covariant derivative of \(\psi\). Consequently, the contracted second-order derivative
\[
\Box^2 \psi = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}
\]
(1.7.6)
is Lorentz invariant. The operator \(\Box^2\) is called the \textit{d'Alembertian}. It is analogous, in a formal sense, to the three-dimensional Laplacian operator \(\nabla^2\). However, because of the presence of the negative sign before the time term, we shall see later that solutions of the Laplace equation \(\nabla^2 \psi = 0\) differ markedly from solutions of the equation \(\Box^2 \psi = 0\), which is the wave equation for waves traveling with the velocity of light, \(c\).

\textbf{Stress-Energy Tensor.} By analogy with the three-dimensional case, dyadics or tensors may be set up in four dimensions, having transformation properties with respect to a Lorentz transformation which can easily be determined by extending the discussion of the previous section. An interesting and useful example of such a tensor is obtained by extending the stress dyadic defined in Eq. (1.6.28) for an elastic medium to four dimensions. The dimensions of the nine stress components \(T_{ij}\) are dynes per square centimeter or grams per centimeter per second per second, and they transform according to the rules for three dimensions. By analogy from the previous discussion of the momentum vector for a particle, we should expect that the time component of the four tensor might be proportional to the energy density of the medium. In other words, this fourth component should be related to the total energy term \(\rho c^2\) (where \(\rho\) is the mass density of the medium), which has dimensions grams per centimeter per second per second.

Therefore, we assume that the stress-energy tensor \(T_{ij}\) at a point \((x,y,z,t)\) in a medium has the following components for an observer \(\Lambda\) at rest with that part of the medium which is at \((x,y,z,t)\):
\[
P_{11} = T_{xx}, \text{ etc.} \quad P_{12} = T_{xy} = P_{21} = T_{yx}, \text{ etc.} \\
P_{14} = P_{24} = P_{41} = P_{42} = P_{44} = 0
\]
(1.7.7)
where \(\rho_0\) is the density of the medium at \((x,y,z,t)\) as measured by observer \(\Lambda\).

If these quantities are to be components of a true four-tensor, then an observer \(B\) traveling with velocity \(u\) in the \(x\) direction with respect
to the medium at \((x', y', z', ct')\) will measure the components of \(P'\) to be those given by the general transformation rules:

\[
P'_{mn} = \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial x'_m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial x'_n}{\partial x_j} P_{ij}, \quad \xi'_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha} \cosh \alpha + \xi_{\beta} \sinh \alpha
\]

\[
\xi'_2 = \xi_2; \quad \xi'_3 = \xi_3; \quad \xi'_4 = \xi_4 \sinh \alpha + \xi_4 \cosh \alpha; \quad c \tanh \alpha = u
\]

The results of the transformation are:

\[
\begin{align*}
P'_{11} &= T_{xx} \cosh^2 \alpha + \rho \cosh \alpha \sinh \alpha; \quad P'_{12} = P'_{21} = T_{xy} \cosh \alpha \\
P'_{13} &= P'_{31} = T_{xz} \cosh \alpha; \quad P'_{23} = T_{yz}; \quad P'_{33} = T_{zz} \\
P'_{22} &= P'_{33} = T_{zz}; \quad P'_{44} = (T_{xx} + \rho \cosh^2 \alpha) \sinh \alpha \sinh \alpha \quad (1.7.8) \\
P'_{24} &= P'_{34} = T_{xy} \sinh \alpha; \quad P'_{44} = P'_{43} = T_{zz} \sinh \alpha \\
P'_{44} &= T_{xx} \sinh^2 \alpha + \rho \cosh \alpha \cosh^2 \alpha
\end{align*}
\]

The space components \((P'_{13}, P'_{33}, \text{etc.})\) turn out to be the components of stress which observer \(B\) would measure in the medium if we take into account the finite velocity of light, and the component \(P'_{44}\) turns out to be \(c^2\) times the effective density as measured by observer \(B\). An examination of component \(P'_{14}\) shows that it can be considered to be proportional to the momentum flow density of the medium parallel to the \(z\) axis as measured by observer \(B\). Correspondingly the components \(P'_{24}, P'_{34}\) must be proportional to momentum flows in the \(y\) and \(z\) directions as measured by observer \(B\).

We therefore arrive at an interesting and important result, which can be verified by further analysis or by experiment, that relative motion transforms a stress into a momentum flow and vice versa. Moreover, since we can verify, in the system at rest with respect to the medium (observer \(A\)), that the contracted tensor equations

\[
\sum_{n} \left( \frac{\partial P'_{mn}}{\partial \xi_n} \right) = 0
\]

or, in terms of the \(T'_{ij}\),

\[
\frac{\partial T'_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T'_{xy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T'_{xz}}{\partial z} = 0, \text{ etc.; } \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} (\rho u) = 0
\]

are true, then these equations should also be true for observers moving relative to the medium (or, what is the same thing, for a medium moving with respect to the observer). For instance, if we define the momentum vector \(M\) with respect to observer \(B\) as being the vector with space components

\[
M_x = (1/c)(\rho \cosh^2 + T_{xx}) \cosh \alpha \sinh \alpha = P'_{41}/c \\
M_y = P'_{42}/c; \quad M_z = P'_{43}/c
\]
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and the density with respect to observer B as being

$$\rho = \rho_B \cosh^2 \alpha + (T_{\text{ex}}/c^2) \sinh^2 \alpha = P'_{\text{ex}}/c^2$$

then one of the transformed equations would become

$$\sum_n \left( \frac{\partial P'_{A,n}}{\partial \tilde{c}_{n'}} \right) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial \tilde{x'}} + \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial \tilde{y'}} + \frac{\partial M_z}{\partial \tilde{z'}} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \tilde{t'}} = 0$$

where the primed coordinates are those suitable for observer B. This equation is, of course, the equation of continuity for the medium, relating momentum density (or mass flow) to change in mass density $\rho$. The other three transformed equations turn out to be the equations of motion for the medium.

Spin Space and Space-Time. One of the most interesting developments in modern theoretical physics is the demonstration that there is a close connection between the two-dimensional "state space" connected with the spin of the electron and the four-dimensional space-time describing the motion of the electron. In the previous section we have initiated the discussion of a spin space corresponding to the two possible states of spin of the electron and there pointed out that a change of direction of the spin in ordinary space by 180° (reversal of spin) corresponded to a change of the state vector in spin space by 90°. This is somewhat analogous to the relation between the graphical representation of $(-1)$ and $\sqrt{-1}$ on the complex plane, and if one wished to become fanciful, one might consider that the relation between ordinary space and spin space was some sort of a "square-root" relation. Actually, it can be shown that the two-dimensional spin space is a "square-root space," not of three-dimensional space, but of four-dimensional space-time. More specifically, we will find that the four components of a dyadic in spin space could be identified with the components of a four-vector in space-time.

In order to show this we must consider the components of vectors in spin space, and even the unit vectors themselves, as complex quantities with complex conjugates (and $\hat{a}$ for the components, which are numbers, and $e$ and $e^*$ for state vectors), so that $a \hat{a}$ and $e \cdot e^*$ are real positive numbers. We start out with the two mutually perpendicular state vectors $e_1$ and $e_2$ (with their complex conjugates $e_1^*$ and $e_2^*$) representing states where the electron spin is known to be pointed in a certain direction or known to be pointed in the opposite direction. For two complex vectors to be called unit, orthogonal vectors, we must have that $e_1 \cdot e_1^* = e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2 \cdot e_2^* = e_2 \cdot e_2 = 1$ and that $e_1 \cdot e_2^* = e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_1^* = e_2 \cdot e_2 = 0$. The values of the complex quantities $e_1 \cdot e_1$, $e_1 \cdot e_2$, $e_1^* \cdot e_1^*$, etc., are not required.
Any vector in spin space can be expressed in terms of these two unit vectors:

\[ s = a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2; \quad s^* = \bar{a}_1 e_1^* + \bar{a}_2 e_2^* \]

and any dyadic in spin space can be expressed in the form

\[ \Xi = c_{11} e_1 e_1^* + c_{12} e_1 e_2^* + c_{21} e_2 e_1^* + c_{22} e_2 e_2^* \]  

(1.7.9)

A dyadic in spin space is called a spinor of second order. It has the usual properties of dyadics in that it can operate on a state vector in spin space to produce another state vector:

\[ \Xi \cdot s = (c_{11} + c_{12}) a_1 e_1 + (c_{12} + c_{22}) a_2 e_2; \]

\[ s^* \cdot \Xi = \bar{a}_1 (c_{11} + c_{12}) e_1^* + \bar{a}_2 (c_{21} + c_{22}) e_2^* \]

To give physical content to these definitions, we must relate the behavior of the spinor components \( a_i \) and the dyadic components \( c_{ij} \) under a Lorentz transformation, with their behavior under a rotation of axes in spin space. For example, a Lorentz invariant quantity should also be an invariant to rotation in spin space. Following our preceding remarks we shall require that the four second-order spinor components \( c_{ij} \) transform like the components of a four-vector in ordinary space-time. A dyadic in spin space is a vector in space-time; this is the consummation of our desire to have spin space be a “square-root space.”

**Spinors and Four-vectors.** We still have not worked out the specific rules of transformation for spin space which will enable the \( c_{ij} \) components of the spinor to transform like a four-vector. The most general transformation is given as follows:

\[ e_1' = a_{11} e_1 + a_{12} e_2; \quad e_1'' = \bar{a}_{11} e_1^* + \bar{a}_{12} e_2^* \]

\[ e_2' = a_{21} e_1 + a_{22} e_2; \quad e_2'' = \bar{a}_{21} e_1^* + \bar{a}_{22} e_2^* \]

\[ e_1 = \alpha_{22} e_1' - \alpha_{11} e_2'; \quad e_1'' = \bar{\alpha}_{22} e_1^* - \bar{\alpha}_{11} e_2^* \]

\[ e_2 = -\alpha_{22} e_1' + \alpha_{11} e_2'; \quad e_2'' = -\bar{\alpha}_{22} e_1^* + \bar{\alpha}_{11} e_2^* \]

(1.7.10)

where, in order that the scale be the same in the new coordinates as in the old, \( \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12} \alpha_{21} = 1, \bar{\alpha}_{11} \bar{\alpha}_{22} - \bar{\alpha}_{12} \bar{\alpha}_{21} = 1 \).

Under this transformation in spin space the general spinor components undergo the following transformations:

\[ c_{mn} = \sum_i \bar{c}_i' \alpha_{im} \bar{\alpha}_{jm} \]

(1.7.11)

The safest way to carry on from here is to find a function of the \( c_i \)'s which is invariant under transformation of the \( \alpha_i \)'s in spin space, which can then be made invariant under a Lorentz transformation. Utilizing the multiplication properties of the \( \alpha_i \)'s, we can soon show that one invariant is the quantity \( c_{11} c_{22} - c_{12} c_{21} \) (it can be shown by substituting and multiplying out, utilizing the fact that \( \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12} \alpha_{21} = 1 \), etc.). This
quantity can also be made a Lorentz invariant if the $c'$s are related to components $F'_s$ of a four-vector in such a way that $c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}c_{21} = c^2F'_2 - F'_1 F'_3 - F'_2 F'_3$, for such a combination of the $F'$s is invariant. There are a number of ways in which this can be accomplished, but the most straightforward way is as follows:

$$c_{11} = cF_4 + F_1; \quad F_4 = (1/2c)(c_{11} + c_{22})$$
$$c_{22} = cF_4 - F_1; \quad F_3 = (i/2)(c_{12} - c_{21})$$
$$c_{12} = F_2 - iF_3; \quad F_2 = (1/2)(c_{12} + c_{21})$$
$$c_{21} = F_2 + iF_3; \quad F_1 = (1/2)(c_{11} - c_{22})$$ (1.7.12)

**Lorentz Transformation of Spinors.** For instance, for an observer $B$ (primed coordinate) moving with velocity $u$ in the $x$ direction with respect to the electron, at rest with respect to $A$ (unprimed coordinates), the transformation for the $F'$s is $cF'_4 = cF_4 \cosh \alpha + F'_1 \sinh \alpha, F'_1 = F_1 \cosh \alpha + cF'_4 \sinh \alpha, F'_2 = F'_3, F'_3 = F_2, u = c \tanh \alpha$. The transformation for the spinor components $c$ is, therefore,

$$c_{11} = c_{11}' e^{\alpha}; \quad c_{12} = c_{12}' e^{\alpha}; \quad c_{21} = c_{21}' e^{\alpha};$$
$$c_{22} = c_{22}' e^{\alpha}; \quad e^\alpha = \sqrt{(c + u)/(c - u)}$$ (1.7.13)

and that for the corresponding direction cosines for rotation of the unit vectors in spin space is

$$\alpha_{11} = e^{\alpha/2}; \quad \alpha_{22} = e^{-\alpha/2}; \quad \alpha_{12} = \alpha_{21} = 0$$ (1.7.14)

Therefore, any state vector in spin space, with respect to observer $A$, $s = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2$, becomes $s' = a_1 e^{-\alpha} e_1' + a_2 e^{-\alpha} e_2'$ with respect to observer $B$, moving with velocity $u = c \tanh \alpha$ with respect to $A$.

The transformation equations for the $c$'s and $a$'s for a more general Lorentz transformation will naturally be more complicated than those given here, but they can be worked out by the same methods. Any pair of complex quantities which satisfy the transformation requirements for the components of a state vector in spin space is called a *spinor of first order*; a quartet of quantities which satisfy the transformation requirements for the $c$'s of Eqs. (1.7.9) and (1.7.11) is called a *spinor of the second order*; and so on. Equations (1.7.12) give the relationship between the spinor components and the components of a four-vector for this simple Lorentz transformation.

**Space Rotation of Spinors.** As a further example of the “square-root” relationship between spinor components and vector components, we consider the case where the time coordinate is not altered but the space coordinates are rotated in accordance with the Eulerian angles discussed on page 28. Under this transformation the time component of any four-vector will remain constant and, therefore, by Eq. (1.7.12), $c_{11} + c_{22}$ will stay constant. Transforming $c_{11} + c_{22}$ in terms of the
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\(c_1 + c_2 = c'_1 + c'_2\); we must have

\[\bar{a}_{1n}a_{1m} + \bar{a}_{2n}a_{2m} = \bar{a}_{n1}a_{m1} + \bar{a}_{n2}a_{m2} = \delta_{mn} = \begin{cases} 0; & n \neq m \\ 1; & n = m \end{cases}\]

This result is not unexpected, for a consequence is that \(e'_n \cdot e''_m = 1\), and we should expect that the “length” of a state-vector would be unchanged by a space rotation. Adding together all the limitations on the \(a_i\)'s, we see that

\[\bar{a}_{11} = a_{22}; \quad \bar{a}_{12} = -a_{21}; \quad \text{etc.} \quad (1.7.15)\]

We write down the expressions for the transformation for the \(F_i\)'s for a space rotation [modifying Eq. (1.3.8) and letting \(F_1 = F_2\), \(F_2 = F_3\), and \(F_3 = F_4\)].

\[F'_1 = [\cos \Phi \cos \theta \cos \psi - \sin \Phi \sin \psi]F_1 + [\sin \Phi \cos \theta \cos \psi + \cos \Phi \sin \psi]F_2 - \sin \theta \cos \Phi F_3 + \sin \phi \sin \theta \cos \psi F_4; \quad F'_2 = [\cos \Phi \cos \theta \cos \psi + \sin \Phi \sin \psi]F_1 - [\sin \Phi \cos \theta \cos \psi - \cos \Phi \sin \psi]F_2 + \sin \theta \cos \Phi F_3 + \sin \phi \sin \theta \cos \psi F_4; \quad F'_3 = \sin \theta \sin \psi F_1 - \cos \phi \sin \theta \cos \psi F_4; \quad F'_4 = F_4;
\]

and we insert these into Eq. (1.7.12) both for the primed and unprimed components to determine the transformation equations for the \(c_i\)'s:

\[c'_{12} = -\sin(\theta/2)\cos(\phi/2)e^{i\theta/2}(c_{11} - c_{22}) + \cos^2(\theta/2)e^{i(\phi+\theta)/2}c_{12} - \sin^2(\theta/2)e^{i(\phi-\theta)/2}c_{11}; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

In terms of the direction cosines \(a\) this component is related to the unprimed \(c_i\)'s by the equation

\[c'_{12} = a_{22}a_{21}c_{11} + a_{22}^2c_{12} - a_{21}^2c_{22} - a_{21}a_{22}c_{21}; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

where we have inverted Eq. (1.7.11) and used Eq. (1.7.15).

Comparing these last two equations, we find that the direction cosines \(a\) for spin-space rotation corresponding to a space rotation given by the Euler angles \(\Phi, \theta, \psi\), [see Eq. (1.3.8) and Fig. 1.6] are

\[a_{11} = \cos(\theta/2)e^{-i(\phi+\theta)/2}; \quad a_{12} = -\sin(\theta/2)e^{i(\phi-\theta)/2}; \quad a_{12} = \sin(\theta/2)e^{i(\phi+\phi)/2}; \quad a_{22} = \cos(\theta/2)e^{i(\phi-\phi)/2} \quad (1.7.16)
\]

where we have again used Eq. (1.7.15) to help untangle the equations. Therefore, under this rotation of the space coordinate system a state vector in spin space \(s = a_1e'_1 + a_2e'_2\) becomes

\[s = [a_1 \cos(\theta/2)e^{-i\phi/2} + a_2 \sin(\theta/2)e^{i\phi/2}e_1 + [-a_1 \sin(\theta/2)e^{-i\phi/2} + a_2 \cos(\theta/2)e^{i\phi/2}]e^{i\phi}e_2
\]

This last equation shows that rotation in spin space is governed by one-half the angles of rotation in ordinary space. A rotation of \(180^\circ\)
(θ = π, Φ = ψ = 0) changes \( s = a_1 e'_1 + a_2 e'_2 \) into \( s = a_2 e_1 - a_1 e_2 \), which is a rotation of 90° in spin space.

The transformations given by Eqs. (1.7.14) and (1.7.16) are the cases of usual interest. Any other case can be handled in the same manner, by use of Eqs. (1.7.12). Although we began this discussion with a rather vague requirement to satisfy, we have developed the theory of a quantity which has definite transformation properties under a general rotation of coordinates (including a Lorentz rotation) and yet is not a tensor, according to our earlier discussions. This came as quite a surprise when spinors were first studied.

**Spin Vectors and Tensors.** A quartet of simple dyadics in spin space can be found which behave like four unit vectors in space-time:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_4 &= e_1 e_1^* + e_2 e_2^* = \mathbf{J} \\
\sigma_1 &= e_1 e_2^* + e_2 e_1^* \\
\sigma_2 &= i(e_1 e_1^* - e_2 e_2^*) \\
\sigma_3 &= e_1 e_2^* - e_2 e_1^*
\end{align*}
\]  
(1.7.17)

These quantities operate on the spin vectors \( e \) as follows:

\[
\sigma_4 \cdot e_n = e_n; \quad \sigma_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2; \quad \sigma_2 \cdot e_1 = ie_2; \quad \sigma_3 \cdot e_1 = e_1; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

Comparison with Eqs. (1.6.44) shows that the quantities \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \) are \( 2/\hbar \) times the spin operators for the electron. They are called the *Pauli spin operators*. The quantity \( \sigma_4 \) is, of course, the unity dyadic. We see also that \( i\sigma_1, i\sigma_3, -i\sigma_2 \) are just the Hamilton quaternion operators.

We now can rewrite the spinor dyadic given in Eq. (1.7.9) as a four-vector, by using Eqs. (1.7.12) and (1.7.17):

\[
\Xi = cF_4 \sigma_4 + F_1 \sigma_1 + F_2 \sigma_2 + F_3 \sigma_3
\]  
(1.7.18)

where the “unit vectors” \( \sigma \) are operators, operating on state vectors in spin space, but the components \( F \) are ordinary numbers transforming like components of an ordinary four-vector. Thus we finally see how operators in spin space can act like vectors in space-time. The extension of this discussion to the inversion transformation \( \mathbf{r}' \rightarrow -\mathbf{r} \) and its correlation with spin space requires that we consider \( e^* \) and \( e \) as independent quantities, so that transformations between them are possible. We shall not go into this further, however, except to say that the vector \( \delta \) transforms like an *axial* vector. (See Prob. 1.34.)

One can go on to form spinor forms which transform like dyadics in space-time. For instance a spinor of fourth order,

\[
\sum_{\nu, \mu} \sigma_\nu \sigma_\mu F_{\nu \mu} = \sigma_1(F_{11} + F_{22} + F_{33} + F_{44}) + \sigma_2(F_{14} + F_{41} + iF_{25} - iF_{52}) \\
+ \sigma_3(F_{24} + F_{42} + iF_{15} - iF_{51}) + \sigma_4(F_{25} + F_{52} + iF_{13} - iF_{31})
\]

has components \( F_{\nu \mu} \) which behave like components of a dyadic in space-
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A particularly important form in the contracted tensor formed by multiplying one spinor vector by its conjugate:

\[
(\delta_{i} F_{4} + \delta_{4} F_{i} + \delta_{2} F_{2} + \delta_{3} F_{3}) (\delta_{i} F_{4} - \delta_{4} F_{i} - \delta_{2} F_{2} - \delta_{3} F_{3}) = \delta_{4} (\gamma^{2} F_{4}^{2} - F_{1}^{2} - F_{2}^{2} - F_{3}^{2})
\]

(1.7.19)

giving the square of the magnitude of the four-vector. This relation will be of use when we come to discuss the Dirac theory of the electron.

**Rotation Operator in Spinor Form.** Reference to Hamilton’s researches on quaternions (see page 75) has suggested a most interesting and useful spinor operator using the spin-vector direction cosines \( \alpha \) as components:

\[
\mathcal{R} = \alpha_{11} e_{1}^{*} + \alpha_{21} e_{2}^{*} + \alpha_{31} e_{3}^{*} + \alpha_{41} e_{4}^{*}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n} R_{n} \alpha_{n}; \quad R_{1} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{11});
\]

\[
R_{2} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{12}); \quad R_{3} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{13}); \quad R_{4} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{14} + \alpha_{14})
\]

(1.7.20)

The \( \alpha \)'s, according to Eq. (1.7.10), are the direction cosines relating the primed and unprimed unit vectors \( e \) in spin space. If they have the values given in Eq. (1.7.16), they correspond to a rotation of space axes by the Euler angles \( \theta, \psi, \Phi \). As we have shown above, a spinor operator of the form of \( \mathcal{R} \) has the transformation properties of a vector, and this is emphasized by writing it in terms of its components \( R \) “along” the unit spin vectors \( \mathbf{e} \).

However, vector (or spinor operator, whichever point of view you wish to emphasize) \( \mathcal{R} \) is a peculiar one in that its components

\[
R_{1} = i \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{\Phi - \psi}{2} \right); \quad R_{2} = i \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{\Phi + \psi}{2} \right)
\]

\[
R_{3} = i \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{\Phi + \psi}{2} \right); \quad R_{4} = \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{\Phi + \psi}{2} \right)
\]

are themselves related to a particular transformation specified by the angles \( \theta, \psi, \Phi \). (This does not mean that the vector \( \mathcal{R} \) cannot be expressed in terms of any rotated coordinates for any angles; it just means that it is especially related to one particular rotation for the angles \( \theta, \psi, \Phi \).)

As might be expected, the vector has a particular symmetry for this rotation, for if the unit vectors \( e' \) are related to the vectors \( e \) through the same angles [see Eqs. (1.7.10) and (1.7.16)], then it turns out that \( \mathcal{R} \) has the same form in terms of the primed vectors as it does for the unprimed:

\[
\mathcal{R} = \alpha_{11} e_{1}^{*} + \alpha_{21} e_{2}^{*} + \alpha_{31} e_{3}^{*} + \alpha_{41} e_{4}^{*}
\]

\[
= \alpha_{11} e_{1}^{*} + \alpha_{21} e_{2}^{*} + \alpha_{31} e_{3}^{*} + \alpha_{41} e_{4}^{*}
\]

\[
= \alpha_{11} e_{1}^{*} + \alpha_{21} e_{2}^{*} + \alpha_{31} e_{3}^{*} + \alpha_{41} e_{4}^{*}
\]

\[
= \alpha_{11} e_{1}^{*} + \alpha_{21} e_{2}^{*} + \alpha_{31} e_{3}^{*} + \alpha_{41} e_{4}^{*}
\]

as one can prove by utilizing the multiplicative properties of the \( \alpha \)'s.
However, $\mathcal{R}$ is also a spin-vector operator. As a matter of fact it operates on any spin vector to rotate it by just the amount which the transformation $\mathbf{e} \to \mathbf{e}'$ produces. According to Eqs. (1.7.10),

$$\mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{e}'_n; \quad \mathcal{R}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}''_n = \mathbf{e}''_n$$

(1.7.21)

where

$$\mathcal{R}^* = \bar{\alpha}_{11} e_1^* e_1 + \bar{\alpha}_{12} e_1^* e_2 + \bar{\alpha}_{21} e_2^* e_1 + \bar{\alpha}_{22} e_2^* e_2$$

Another operator $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ performs the inverse operation $\mathbf{e}' \to \mathbf{e}$. Reference to Eqs. (1.7.10) indicates that the vector is

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \alpha_{21} e_1 e_2^* - \alpha_{22} e_1 e_2 - \alpha_{11} e_1 e_2^* + \alpha_{12} e_1 e_2$$

$$= \alpha_{21} e_1 e_2^* - \alpha_{22} e_1 e_2 - \alpha_{11} e_1 e_2^* + \alpha_{12} e_1 e_2$$

so that

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{e}'_n = \mathbf{e}_n^*; \quad (\mathcal{R}^{-1})^* \cdot \mathbf{e}_n^* = \mathbf{e}_n$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{e}'_n = \mathbf{e}_n^* \quad \mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{e}_n^*$$

(1.7.22)

But since $\bar{\alpha}_{22} = -\alpha_{22}$, $\bar{\alpha}_{12} = -\alpha_{12}$, etc., we also can show that

$$\mathbf{e}_n \cdot (\mathcal{R}^{-1})^* = \mathbf{e}_n^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_n^* \cdot \mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{e}_n^*$$

and that

$$\mathbf{e}_n \cdot \mathcal{R}^* = \mathbf{e}_n \quad \mathbf{e}_n^* \cdot \mathcal{R} = \mathbf{e}_n^*$$

(1.7.22)

which shows the close interrelation between the operator $\mathcal{R}$ and its inverse, $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$.

The particularly important property of the operators $\mathcal{R}$ is that, in addition to causing a rotation of vectors in spin space, they can also cause a related rotation of four-vectors in ordinary space. For instance, the spinor

$$\Xi = c_{11} e_1^* e_1 + c_{12} e_1^* e_2 + c_{21} e_2^* e_1 + c_{22} e_2^* e_2$$

(where the $c'$s have any values) has the transformation properties of a four-vector [see Eq. (1.7.18)], with components $F_n$ [see Eqs. (1.7.12)].

The vector formed by operating "fore-and-aft" on $\Xi$ by $\mathcal{R}:

$$\mathcal{R} \cdot \Xi \cdot \mathcal{R}^{-1} = c_{11} e_1 e_1'' + c_{12} e_1 e_2'' + c_{21} e_2 e_1'' + c_{22} e_2 e_2''$$

$$= F_1 \delta_1' + F_2 \delta_2' + F_3 \delta_3' + F_4 \delta_4'$$

(1.7.23)

is one with the same components $F_n$, but these components are now with respect to the primed unit vectors, rotated with respect to the unprimed ones. Therefore, the fore-and-aft operation by $\mathcal{R}$ has effectively rotated the vector $\Xi$ by an amount given by the angles $\theta$, $\psi$ and $\Phi$. In keeping with the "square-root" relation between spin space and space-time, we operate on a spin vector once with $\mathcal{R}$ to produce a rotation, but we must operate twice on a four vector in order to rotate it by the related amount.

We note that we have here been dealing with rotations by finite-sized angles. If the rotation is an infinitesimal one, the Euler angles $\theta$ and $(\Phi + \psi)$ become small and the rotation can be represented by the infinitesimal vector $\Delta \mathbf{e}$, its direction giving the axis of rotation and its magnitude giving the angle of rotation in radians. Consideration of the properties of the cross product shows that the operation of changing an
ordinary three-dimensional vector $\mathbf{A}$ into another $\mathbf{A}'$ by infinitesimal rotation is given by the equation

$$\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \Delta \omega \times \mathbf{A}$$  \hfill (1.7.24)

Inspection of the equations on page 103 for rotation in terms of the Euler angle shows that, when $\theta$ and $(\Phi + \psi)$ are small,

$$(\Delta \omega)_1 \rightarrow -(\Phi + \psi); \quad (\Delta \omega)_2 \rightarrow -\theta \sin \psi; \quad (\Delta \omega)_3 \rightarrow -\theta \cos \psi$$

Inspection of the equations for the components of $\mathfrak{R}$ results in a related set of equations:

$$R_4 = 1; \quad R_1 = -(i/2)(\Phi + \psi); \quad R_2 = -(i/2)\theta \sin \psi; \quad R_3 = -(i/2)\theta \cos \psi$$

when $\theta$ and $(\Phi + \psi)$ are small.

Consequently, for an infinitesimal rotation represented by the vector $\Delta \omega$, the rotation spinor operator is

$$\mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \phi_4 + (i/2)[(\Delta \omega)_1 \phi_1 + (\Delta \omega)_2 \phi_2 + (\Delta \omega)_3 \phi_3]$$  \hfill (1.7.25)

These equations are sometimes useful in testing an unknown operator to see whether its components satisfy the transformation rules for four-vectors.

**Problems for Chapter 1**

1.1 The surfaces given by the equation

$$(x^2 + y^2) \cos^2 \psi + z^2 \cot^2 \psi = a^2; \quad 0 < \psi < \pi$$

for $\psi$ constant are equipotential surfaces. Express $\psi$ in terms of $x, y, z$ and compute the direction cosines of the normal to the $\psi$ surface at the point $x, y, z$. Show that $\psi$ is a solution of Laplace's equation. What is the shape of the surface $\psi = \text{constant}$? $\psi = 0$? $\psi = \pi$?

1.2 The surfaces given by the equation

$$[\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - \psi]^2 + z^2 = \psi^2 - a^2; \quad a < \psi < \infty$$

for $\psi$ constant, define a family of surfaces. What is the shape of the surface? What is the shape for the limiting cases $\psi = 0$, $\psi = \infty$? Express $\psi$ in terms of $x, y, z$ and compute the direction cosines of the normal to the $\psi$ surface at $x, y, z$. Is $\psi$ a solution of Laplace's equation?

1.3 The three components of a vector field are

$$F_x = 2xz; \quad F_y = 2y; \quad F_z = a^2 + z^2 - x^2 - y^2$$
Types of Fields

Show that the equations for the flow lines may be integrated to obtain the flow functions $\varphi$ and $\mu$, where

$$\frac{y}{x} = \tan \varphi, \quad \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + \alpha^2}{2\alpha \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} = \text{coth} \mu$$

Show that a pseudopotential exists and is given by $\psi$, where

$$\frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha z} = \cot \psi$$

Show that the surfaces $\varphi, \mu, \psi$ constant are mutually orthogonal.

1.4 The three components of a vector field are

$$F_x = 3xz; \quad F_y = 3yz; \quad F_z = 2z^2 - x^2 - y^2$$

Integrate the equations for the flow lines to obtain the flow functions

$$\varphi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) \quad \theta = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)i}$$

and show that the pseudopotential is

$$\psi = \frac{z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

Is $\psi$ a solution of Laplace's equation?

1.5 Compute the net outflow integral, for the force fields of Probs. 1.3 and 1.4, over a sphere of radius $\tau$ with center at the origin and also over the two hemispheres, one for $z < 0$, the other for $z > 0$ (plus the plane surface at $z = 0$). Compute the net outflow integral, over the same three surfaces, for the vector field

$$F_x = \frac{x}{(x^2 + y^2 + (x - \alpha)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}; \quad F_y = \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2 + (x - \alpha)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}; \quad F_z = \frac{z - \alpha}{(x^2 + y^2 + (x - \alpha)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

1.6 Compute the net circulation integral around the circle, in the $x, y$ plane, of radius $\tau$, centered at the origin, for the field

$$F_x = \frac{(x - \alpha)}{(x - \alpha)^2 + y^2} - \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}; \quad F_y = \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{(x - \alpha)}{(x - \alpha)^2 + y^2}$$

Compute the net circulation integral for the field of Prob. 1.3 for the circle defined by the equations $\phi = 0$, $\mu$ constant.

1.7 Parabolic coordinates are defined by the following equations:

$$\lambda = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} + z; \quad \mu = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} - z; \quad \phi = \tan^{-1} (y/x)$$
Describe (or sketch) the coordinate surfaces. Calculate the scale factors and the direction cosines for the system in terms of \((x, y, z)\). Express \(x, y, z\) in terms of \(\lambda, \mu, \phi\), and thence obtain the scale factors and direction cosines in terms of \(\lambda, \mu, \phi\). Write out expressions for curl \(\mathbf{F}\), \(\nabla \psi\). Calculate, in terms of \(\lambda, \mu, \phi\), the \(\lambda, \mu, \phi\) components of the following vector field:

\[
\mathbf{F}_x = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}; \quad \mathbf{F}_y = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}; \quad \mathbf{F}_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}
\]

In terms of \(\lambda, \mu, \phi\), calculate the divergence of \(\mathbf{F}\).

1.8 The flow functions \(\phi, \mu, \psi\) and the pseudopotential \(\psi\), given in Prob. 1.3, form the toroidal coordinate system. Describe (or sketch) the surfaces. Calculate the scale factors as functions of \(x, y, z\) and also of \(\mu, \psi, \phi\). Write out the expressions for curl \(\mathbf{F}\), div \(\mathbf{F}\), and \(\nabla^2 U\). Express the vector \(\mathbf{F}\) given in this problem in terms of components along the toroidal coordinates, and calculate the direction of its velocity lines.

1.9 One family of coordinate surfaces, which may be used for a family of coordinates, is

\[
\ln (x^2 + y^2) - z = \xi
\]

for \(\xi\) constant. Show that an appropriate additional pair of families, to make a three-dimensional system, is

\[
v = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) + z; \quad \phi = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)
\]

i.e., show that they are mutually orthogonal. These may be termed exponential coordinates. Why? Compute the scale factors and direction cosines for transformation of vector components.

1.10 The bispherical coordinate system is defined by the equations

\[
x = \frac{a \sin \vartheta \cos \phi}{\cosh \mu - \cos \vartheta}; \quad y = \frac{a \sin \vartheta \sin \phi}{\cosh \mu - \cos \vartheta}; \quad z = \frac{a \sinh \mu}{\cosh \mu - \cos \vartheta}
\]

Describe (or sketch) the surfaces, and give the effective range of \(\mu, \vartheta, \phi\). Calculate the scale factors and direction cosines. Write out the expressions for the Laplacian and the gradient. Show that the curvature of the \(\mu\) surfaces is a constant; i.e., show that \((1/\mu)(\partial^2/\partial \mu^2) = (1/\mu^2) \cdot (\partial^2/\partial \vartheta^2)\) is independent of \(\vartheta\) and \(\phi\) and that therefore these surfaces are spheres.

1.11 Write out the expressions for the components of directional derivatives \((\mathbf{a}_\phi \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A}\) and \((\mathbf{a}_\phi \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}\) in spherical coordinates and in the spheroidal coordinates

\[
x = a \cosh \mu \cos \vartheta \cos \phi; \quad y = a \cosh \mu \cos \vartheta \sin \phi; \quad z = a \sinh \mu \sin \vartheta
\]
1.12 A scalar function \( \psi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \) in an orthogonal, curvilinear coordinate system \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) may be made into a vector by multiplication by the unit vector \( \alpha_n \) normal to the \( \xi_1 \) coordinate surfaces. Another vector may be obtained by taking the curl \( \mathbf{A} = \text{curl} \ (a_1 \psi) \). Show that \( \mathbf{A} \) is tangential to the \( \xi_1 \) surfaces. What equation must \( \psi \) satisfy, and what are the limitations on the scale factors \( h_n \) in order that \( \mathbf{A} \) satisfy the equation

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + k^2 \mathbf{A} = 0
\]

1.13 By the use of the tensor notation, find the expression for \( \nabla \times (u \nabla e) \) in general orthogonal curvilinear coordinates.

1.14 We can define the curvature of the \( \xi_n \) coordinate surfaces in the \( \xi_m \) direction as the component along \( a_m \) of the rate of change of \( a_n \) with respect to distance in the \( a_n \) direction. Express the two curvatures of the \( \xi_n \) surface in terms of the Christoffel symbols.

1.15 Work out the expressions for the Christoffel symbols and for the covariant derivative of the components \( f_i = h_i f^i \) for the bispHERICAL coordinates given in Prob. 1.10 and the parabolic coordinates given by

\[
x = \lambda \mu \cos \phi; \quad y = \lambda \mu \sin \phi; \quad z = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda^2 - \mu^2)
\]

1.16 Give explicit expressions for the components of the symmetric dyadic \( \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \nabla) \) for the spherical coordinates given in Prob. 1.11 and for the elliptic cylinder coordinates given by

\[
x = a \cosh \lambda \cos \phi; \quad y = a \sinh \lambda \sin \phi; \quad z = z
\]

Also give expressions for the Laplacian of a vector in both of these systems.

1.17 Find the principal axis for the strain dyadic

\[
\mathbf{\Sigma} = -i(\frac{1}{2} + ay^2)i - j(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}ay^2)j + kk - axy(ij + ji)
\]

at the point \((x, y, z)\). What are the elongations along each of these axes (principal extensions)?

1.18 Separate the dyadic

\[
\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{i} - 2\mathbf{j} + kk + \sqrt{2a^2 - 4} \mathbf{j} + \sqrt{2} \alpha \mathbf{k} + \beta(\mathbf{j}k - \mathbf{k}j)
\]

into its symmetric and antisymmetric parts. Compute the rotation vector of the antisymmetric dyadic and the direction of the principal axes of the symmetric dyadic. What is the form of the dyadic after transformation to these principal axes?

1.19 Transform the dyadic

\[
\mathbf{\Sigma} = x(ij - ji) - yki + xkj
\]

into components in the cylindrical coordinates \( x = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \psi = \tan^{-1}(y/x), \quad z \). Then separate the dyadic into symmetric and anti-
symmetric parts, and determine the rotation vector for the antisymmetric and the principal axes of the symmetric parts.

1.20 The vector displacement \( \mathbf{s} \) can be represented by a combination of the gradient of a scalar potential \( \phi \) plus the curl of a vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \). Set up the expression, in general orthogonal coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \), of the strain dyadic

\[
\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s} \nabla); \quad \mathbf{s} = \text{grad } \phi + \text{curl } \mathbf{A}
\]

in terms of \( \phi \) and the components of \( \mathbf{A} \) along \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \). Write out these expressions explicitly for cylindrical coordinates \( r, \phi, z \) and for spherical coordinates \( r, \theta, \phi \).

1.21 The displacement vector \( \mathbf{s} \) for an elastic medium may, for some cases, be represented by the expression \( \mathbf{s} = \text{curl } (a_\phi \phi) \), where \( \psi \) is some function of the cylindrical coordinates \( r, \phi, z \). Show that, when \( \psi = r f(\phi, z) + g(r, z) \), the diagonal terms in the dyadic are all three equal to zero. Compute the strain dyadic and calculate the principal extensions for the case \( \psi = x^2 \cos \phi \).

1.22 Show that possible displacements of an elastic medium giving zero diagonal terms in the strain tensor \( \mathcal{E} \) expressed in the spherical coordinates \( r, \theta, \phi \), may be expressed by a sum of two vectors, \( \text{curl } [a_\phi \sin \theta g(\phi)] \) and \( \text{curl } [a_\theta g(\phi)] \). Calculate the strain dyadic, the principal axes and extensions for the two cases \( \mathbf{s} = \text{curl } [a_\phi \sin \theta \cos \phi] \) and \( \mathbf{s} = \text{curl } [a_\theta g(\phi)] \).

1.23 Three coupled oscillators satisfy the simultaneous equations

\[
\frac{d^2 y_1}{dt^2} + \omega^2 y_1 = \kappa^2 y_2; \quad \frac{d^2 y_2}{dt^2} + \omega^2 y_2 = \kappa^2 y_3; \quad \frac{d^2 y_3}{dt^2} + \omega^2 y_3 = \kappa^2 y_2
\]

Express these equations in terms of abstract vector space and vector operators; find the principal axes of the operator and the natural frequencies of the system.

1.24 A system of \( N - 1 \) coupled oscillators has the following equation of motion, in abstract vector representation:

\[
(d^2/dt^2)\mathbf{R} + \omega^2 \mathbf{R} = \kappa^2 \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{R}
\]

where \( \mathbf{R} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} y_n(t) \mathbf{e}_n \), with the boundary requirement that \( y_0 = y_N = 0 \), and where the operator \( \mathbf{l} \), operating on the unit vectors \( \mathbf{e}_n \) (corresponding to the amplitude of vibration \( y_n \) of the \( n \)th oscillator), satisfies the following equation:

\[
\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{n+1}
\]

Show that the principal axes for \( \mathbf{l} \) are in the direction of the following eigenvectors:

\[
\mathbf{a}_n = C_n \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sin \left( \frac{\pi nm}{N} \right) \mathbf{e}_n; \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N - 1
\]
in other words, that $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{a}_m = u_m \mathbf{a}_m$. Find the values of the eigenvalues $u_m$ and thus the allowed frequencies of the system. Find the values of the constants $C_m$ so that the new vectors $\mathbf{a}_m$ are unit vectors. Show that the vectors $\mathbf{a}_m$ are mutually orthogonal.

1.25 Prove the Bessel inequality $|e| + |f| \geq |e + f|$.

1.26 A Hermitian operator $\mathcal{R}$ which satisfies the inequality $(\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{e}) \geq 0$ for all vectors $\mathbf{e}$ is called positive-definite. Show that, if $\mathcal{R}$ is positive-definite,

$$|\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{f}| \leq \sqrt{(\mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{e})(\mathbf{f}^* \cdot \mathcal{R} \cdot \mathbf{f})}$$

1.27 a. Show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (e^{\lambda} a^{\dagger} e^{-\lambda} a) = i [\mathcal{E} e^{\lambda} e^{-\lambda} a]$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} (e^{\lambda} a^{\dagger} e^{-\lambda} a) = (i)^2 [\mathcal{E} e^{\lambda} e^{-\lambda} a]$$

where $[\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}] = [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}]$ and $[\mathcal{E}, [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}]] = \mathcal{E} [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}] - [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}] \mathcal{F}$

b. From (a) derive the expansion

$$e^{\lambda} a^{\dagger} e^{-\lambda} a = \mathcal{I} + \lambda [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}] + \frac{(\lambda)^2}{2!} [\mathcal{E}, [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}]] + \frac{(\lambda)^3}{3!} [\mathcal{E}, [\mathcal{E}, [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}]]] + \cdots$$

c. If $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are two operators such that $[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}] = i$, show that

$$e^{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{Q}} e^{-\mathcal{P} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{Q}} = e^{-i\mathcal{P} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{Q}}$$

1.28 If $e_n$ and $f_n$ are two sets of orthogonal vectors (that is, $e^*_n \cdot f_p = 0$), then the projection operator $\mathcal{P}$ on set $e_n$ is defined by the equations $\mathcal{P} e_n = e_n$; $\mathcal{P} f_p = 0$. Show that

a. $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}$

b. $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{P}$

c. If $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{P}$, and there exists a set of vectors $e_n$ such that $\mathcal{P} e_n = e_n$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is the projection operator on this set.

d. If $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ are projection operators on two different sets of vectors the necessary and sufficient condition that $\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2$ be a projection operator is that $\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 - \mathcal{P}_2 \mathcal{P}_1 = 0$.

1.29 A four-dimensional coordinate system analogous to spherical coordinates is $(\tau, \alpha, \theta, \phi)$, where

$$x_4 = i \tau = \sigma \cosh \alpha; \quad x = i \tau \sinh \alpha \cos \phi$$

$$y = i \tau \sinh \alpha \sin \theta \cos \phi; \quad z = i \tau \sinh \alpha \sin \theta \sin \phi$$

where a Lorentz transformation is any transformation which leaves the scale of $\tau$ invariant. Calculate the scale factors and the direction cosines, and show that the equation $\Box \varphi = 0$ becomes
\[
\frac{1}{c^2 \tau^2 \sinh^2 \alpha} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( \sinh^2 \alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left( \sin \beta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \beta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \beta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} \right) - \frac{1}{c^2 \tau^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( \tau \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right) \right] = 0
\]

Show that a solution of this equation is \( \psi = (1, \tau^3) \cosh \alpha \). Give the \( x, y, z, \tau \) components of the four-vector formed by taking the four-gradient of \( \psi \). Show that this is a true four-vector.

1.30 A particle of rest mass \( m_0 \), traveling with velocity \( v \) in the \( x \) direction, strikes another particle of equal rest mass originally at rest (with respect to observer \( A \)). The two rebound, with no change in total energy or momentum, the striking particle going off at an angle \( \theta \) with respect to the \( x \) axis (with respect to the observer). Calculate the momentum energy four-vectors for both particles, before and after collision, both with respect to observer \( A \) (at rest with the struck particle before it is struck) and to observer \( B \), at rest with respect to the center of gravity of the pair, and explain the differences.

1.31 A fluid is under a uniform isotropic pressure \( p \) according to observer \( A \) at rest with respect to it. Calculate the density, momentum density, and stress in the fluid, with respect to an observer \( B \), moving at 0.8 the velocity of light with respect to the fluid.

1.32 Give the direction cosines \( \alpha \) for the transformation of spin vectors for a combined Lorentz transformation (along the \( x \) axis) plus a space rotation.

1.33 An electron certainly has a spin in the positive \( x \) direction with respect to an observer at rest with respect to the electron. What are the probabilities of spin in plus and minus \( x \) directions for an observer \( B \) moving with velocity \( u \) in the \( x \) direction with respect to the electron? What is the probability that the electron has a spin in a direction at \( 15^\circ \) with respect to the positive \( x \) axis, with respect to observer \( B \)?

1.34 Let \( \sigma \) be a three-component vector-spin operator with components \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \).

a. Show that, if \( A \) is a vector,

\[
\begin{align*}
(d \cdot A)\sigma &= A + i(d \times A) \\
(d(d \cdot A)) &= A - i(d \times A) \\
(d \times d) &= 2i \sigma \\
d \times (d \times A) &= i(d \times A) - 2A
\end{align*}
\]

b. Show that, if \( a \) is a unit vector and \( \lambda \) is a constant,

\[
\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \exp (i \lambda d \cdot a) = -\lambda^2 \exp (i \lambda d \cdot a)
\]

and therefore

\[
\exp (i \lambda d \cdot a) = \cos \lambda + i(d \cdot a) \sin \lambda
\]
Table of Useful Vector and Dyadic Equations

\[
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = A_x B_x + A_y B_y + A_z B_z; \quad \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = i(A_y B_z - A_z B_y) + j(A_z B_x - A_x B_z) + k(A_x B_y - A_y B_x)
\]

\[
(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C})\mathbf{B} - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C})\mathbf{A}
\]

\[
\mathbf{A} \times (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}) = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C})\mathbf{B} - (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})\mathbf{C}
\]

\[
\mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}) = (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})
\]

\[
(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D}) - (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{D})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C})
\]

\[
(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \times (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) = [\mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{D})]\mathbf{C} - [\mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})]\mathbf{B} - [\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})]\mathbf{A}
\]

\[
\nabla u = \text{grad } u; \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \text{div } \mathbf{F}; \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \text{curl } \mathbf{F}
\]

\[
\nabla (uv) = u\nabla v + v\nabla u; \quad \nabla \cdot (u\mathbf{A}) = (\nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{A} + u\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}
\]

\[
\nabla \times (u\mathbf{A}) = (\nabla u) \times \mathbf{A} + u\nabla \times \mathbf{A}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{A} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = 0; \quad \nabla \times (\nabla u) = 0; \quad \nabla \cdot (\nabla u) = \nabla^2 u
\]

\[
\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{F}
\]

\[
\nabla^2 (v \phi) = \nabla \cdot (v \nabla \phi) = v \nabla^2 \phi + \nabla v \cdot \nabla \phi
\]

where the multiple integrals are over all the volume inside the closed surface \(A\) and the double integrals are over the surface of \(A\) (\(dA\) pointing outward).

\[
f \int (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot d\mathbf{A} = f \int \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}
\]

where the double integral is over an area bounded by a closed contour \(C\), and the single integral is along \(C\) in a clockwise direction when looking in the direction of \(d\mathbf{A}\).

A vector field \(\mathbf{F}(x, y, z)\) can be expressed in terms of a scalar potential \(\psi\) and a vector potential \(\mathbf{A}\),

\[
\mathbf{F} = \text{grad } \psi + \text{curl } \mathbf{A}; \quad \text{div } \mathbf{A} = 0
\]

When \(\mathbf{F}\) goes to zero at infinity, the expressions for \(\psi\) and \(\mathbf{A}\) in terms of \(\mathbf{F}\) are

\[
\psi = -\iiint \frac{\text{div } \mathbf{F}(x', y', z')}{4\pi R} \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'; \quad \mathbf{A} = \iiint \frac{\text{curl } \mathbf{F}(x', y', z')}{4\pi R} \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'
\]

where \(R^2 = (x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2\).

\[
\ii = i\mathbf{A}_x + j\mathbf{A}_y + k\mathbf{A}_z; \quad \mathbb{I}^* = i\mathbf{A}_x^* + j\mathbf{A}_y^* + k\mathbf{A}_z^* = \mathbf{A}_x + \mathbf{A}_y + \mathbf{A}_z; \quad \langle \mathbb{I} \rangle = i \times \mathbf{A}_x + j \times \mathbf{A}_y + k \times \mathbf{A}_z
\]

\[
\mathbb{I} \cdot \mathbf{B} = A_x^2 B_x + A_y^2 B_y + A_z^2 B_z = i(\mathbf{A}_x \cdot \mathbf{B}) + j(\mathbf{A}_y \cdot \mathbf{B}) + k(\mathbf{A}_z \cdot \mathbf{B})
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A}_x + \mathbf{A}_y^*) B_z + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A}_y + \mathbf{A}_z^*) B_x + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A}_z + \mathbf{A}_x^*) B_y - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{B}
\]
Table of Properties of Curvilinear Coordinates

For orthogonal, curvilinear coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) with unit vectors \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \), line element
\( ds^2 = \sum h_n^2 (d\xi_n)^2 \), and scale factors \( h_n \), where
\[
h_n^2 = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_n} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_n} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_n} \right)^2 \right] = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \xi_n}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]^{-1}
\]
the differential operators become

\[\operatorname{grad} \psi = \nabla \psi = \sum_n a_n \frac{1}{h_n} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n}\]
\[\operatorname{div} A = \nabla \cdot A = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left( h_1 h_2 h_3 A_n \right)\]
\[\operatorname{curl} A = \nabla \times A = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \sum_{l,m,n} h_l a_m \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} (h_n A_m) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_m} (h_m A_n) \right]; \]
\[l, m, n = 1, 2, 3 \text{ or } 2, 3, 1 \text{ or } 3, 1, 2\]
\[\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} \psi = \nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ \frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{h_n^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} \right]\]
\[\nabla A = (\nabla A)_e + (\nabla A)_\perp; \quad (\nabla A)_e = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla A) \times \mathbf{\hat{3}}\]
\[ (\nabla A)_e = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla A + A \nabla) = \sum_n \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} A_n \right] a_n \mathbf{\hat{a}_n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m < n} \left[ \frac{h_m}{h_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} A_m + \frac{h_n}{h_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_m} A_n \right] (a_n \mathbf{\hat{a}_n} + a_m \mathbf{\hat{a}_m})\]
and the volume element is
\[h_1 h_2 h_3 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 = dV.\]
For cylindrical coordinates $\xi_1 = r$, $\xi_2 = \phi$, $\xi_3 = z$, we have $h_1 = 1$, $h_2 = r$, $h_3 = 1$, $dV = r \, dr \, d\phi \, dz$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{grad } \psi &= a_r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + a_\phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi} + a_z \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \\
\text{div } \mathbf{A} &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r A_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_\phi}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} \\
\text{curl } \mathbf{A} &= a_r \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial A_\phi}{\partial z} \right) + a_\phi \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) + a_z \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial x} \right) \\
\nabla^2 \psi &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} \\
\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} &= a_r \left[ \nabla^2 A_r - \frac{A_r}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial A_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right] + a_\phi \left[ \nabla^2 A_\phi - \frac{A_\phi}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \phi} \right] + a_z \nabla^2 A_z \\
\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \nabla) &= a_r \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial r} a_r + a_\phi \frac{\partial A_\phi}{\partial \phi} + a_z \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{A_r}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right] (a_r a_r + a_\phi a_\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} \right] (a_r a_r + a_\phi a_\phi) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial A_\phi}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} \right] (a_r a_r + a_\phi a_\phi)
\end{align*}
\]

For spherical coordinates $\xi_1 = r$, $\xi_2 = \theta$, $\xi_3 = \varphi$, we have $h_1 = 1$, $h_2 = r$, $h_3 = r \sin \theta$, $dV = r^2 \sin \theta \, dr \, d\theta \, d\varphi$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{grad } \psi &= a_r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + a_\theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{a_\varphi \partial \psi}{r \sin \theta} \\
\text{div } \mathbf{A} &= \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 A_r) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta A_\theta) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} \\
\text{curl } \mathbf{A} &= a_r \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\sin \theta \partial A_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} \right) - a_\theta \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{a_\varphi}{r} \left( \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r A_r) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{a_\theta}{r} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r A_\theta) - \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \theta} \right) \\
\nabla^2 \psi &= r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi^2} \\
\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} &= a_r \left[ \nabla^2 A_r - \frac{2}{r^2} A_r - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta A_\theta) - \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} \right] \\
&\quad + a_\theta \left[ \nabla^2 A_\theta - \frac{A_\theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} + \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{2 \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial \varphi} \right] \\
&\quad + a_\varphi \left[ \nabla^2 A_\varphi - \frac{A_\varphi}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} + \frac{2}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{2 \cos \varphi}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A_\theta}{\partial \varphi} \right]
\end{align*}
\]
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CHAPTER 2

Equations Governing Fields

The physical phenomena which can be represented by fields are related from point to point and from time to time in ways which can usually be expressed in terms of partial differential equations. A change in the field at one point usually affects its value at nearby points, and these changes affect the values still farther away, and so on, a stepwise interrelation which is most naturally expressed in terms of space or time derivatives. The field which corresponds to a particular physical situation is, therefore, usually a solution of some partial differential equation, that particular solution which satisfies the particular set of "boundary conditions" appropriate to the situation.

The greater part of this book will concern itself with the finding of particular solutions of specific partial differential equations which correspond to given boundary and initial conditions. This chapter and the next one, however, will be devoted to a discussion of the ways in which differential equations are chosen to correspond to given physical situations. This process, of abstracting the most important interrelations from the phenomena under study and expressing them in differential form, is one of the more difficult tasks of the theoretical physicist.

We shall not try to discuss all the partial differential equations which have been found useful in physics; to do this would require a complete review of physics. Even with the equations which are here derived, we shall assume that the physical situation is fairly familiar and that the quantities mentioned do not need detailed explanation. Such explanations can be found in other texts. What is of interest here is the process of obtaining the differential equation from the physics.

We shall find that certain types of partial differential equations turn up again and again in a wide variety of situations and that therefore a detailed knowledge of the solutions of these relatively few equations will enable us to solve problems in an astonishingly large number of situations of physical interest.
2.1 The Flexible String

Before we take up more complicated situations, it is well to examine a one-dimensional example in some detail so as to bring out some of the procedures and concepts in their simplest form. The flexible string under tension is a good choice, since it is easy to picture and is familiar to most readers.

The physical prototype is a lower register piano string, which is a more or less uniformly loaded wire stretched between two fairly rigid supports. Such a string has stiffness, but experiment can show that the resistance to displacement of the string from its equilibrium shape is in the main due to the tension in the string rather than to its stiffness. Therefore, one simplification usually made in obtaining the equation governing the string's shape is that stiffness can be neglected (several books on vibration and sound analyze the effects of stiffness and show when it can safely be neglected). Other simplifying assumptions are that the mass of the string is uniformly distributed along its length, that the tension is likewise uniform, and that the displacement of a point of the string from equilibrium is always small compared with the distance of this point from the closer end support. These last two assumptions are not independent of each other.

The shape of such a string at any instant can be expressed in terms of its displacement from equilibrium. More specifically, each point of the string is labeled by its distance $x$ from some origin point, measured when the string has its equilibrium shape (a straight line between the supports). The displacement $\psi(x)$ of point $x$ from its equilibrium position is a function of $x$ (and sometimes of time). If we consider only motion in one plane, the appropriate field for this example, $\psi$, is a scalar, one-dimensional field.

**Forces on an Element of String.** Study of Fig. 2.1 shows that, as long as the slope of the string $\partial \psi / \partial x$ is small, the net force $F_T(x) \, dx$ acting on that portion of the string between $x$ and $x + dx$ due to the tension $T$ in the string is

$$F_T(x) \, dx - T(\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2)_{x+dx} = T(\partial \psi / \partial x)_x \quad \text{or} \quad F_T(x) = T(\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2)$$

(2.1.1)

having a direction approximately perpendicular to the equilibrium line. This net force due to tension on an element of the string at $x$, which tends to restore the string to equilibrium, is proportional to the rate of change of the string's slope at $x$. It tends to straighten each portion of the string; if the curvature is positive, it is upward; wherever the curvature is negative, it is downward. The force, therefore, depends only on the shape of that part of the string immediately adjacent to $x$, and not on the shape of the string as a whole. If the string is allowed to yield to this
force, however, it will only come to equilibrium when every portion of the string is straight. Thus the differential equation for the string, though it deals only with the shape of each elementary portion of the string in turn, places in the end a definite restriction on the over-all shape.

Other forces also act on an actual piano string—the force due to the stiffness of the string (which we have already said we can ignore in many cases) and the frictional reaction of the air through which it moves among others.

The frictional force is also relatively small when the string moves in air; and when we are interested in the motion over a short space of time or when we are interested in the shape when the string is not moving, this force may also be neglected. Other forces which may enter are the force of gravity on each portion of the string (if it is stretched horizontally) and the force due to a piano hammer or to a harpist's finger or to a violin bow. Which of these forces are important enough to include in the equation for the shape of the string depends on the particular case under study.

**Poisson's Equation.** For instance, the string may be subjected to steady, transverse forces distributed along its length, and we may be interested in calculating the resulting equilibrium shape of the string under the combined effect of this external force and that due to the tension. In this case, the time dependence does not enter and the differential equation for the shape is

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} = -f(x); \quad f = \frac{F(x)}{T}$$

(2.1.2)

where the transverse force applied to the element of string between $x$ and $x + dx$ is $F(x) \, dx$. Here this applied transverse force is balanced at every point by the net transverse force due to the tension $T$. Equation (2.1.2) is a one-dimensional case of Poisson's equation.

As an example of the cases which this equation represents, we can consider the case of a horizontal string acted on by the force of gravity due to its own weight. If each centimeter length of the string weighs $\rho \, \text{gm}$, the force $F(x)$ is just $-\rho g$, where $g$ is the acceleration of gravity. The general solution of the resulting equation $d^2\psi/dx^2 = \rho g/T$ is then
\[ \psi = a + bx + (\rho g/2T)x^2, \] where \( a \) and \( b \) are determined by "boundary conditions." When the string supports at the two ends are rigid (i.e., when their displacement can be neglected) and a distance \( L \) apart, these boundary conditions are that \( \psi = 0 \) when \( x = 0 \) and \( x = L \). It is not difficult to see that the quadratic expression in \( x \), which has a second-order term \((\rho g/2T)x^2\) and which goes to zero at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = L \), is
\[ \psi = (\rho g/2T)x(x - L). \] This, therefore, is the solution of the problem: The shape is parabolic with constant curvature \( \rho g/T \) and with greatest displacement at the center of the string.

Several interesting general properties of solutions of Eq. (2.1.2) may be deduced from the fact that \( \psi \) enters into the equation to the first power. For instance, if \( \psi \) is a solution of Eq. (2.1.2) for a specified function \( f(x) \), then \( a \psi \) is a solution of the equation \( d^2 \psi / dx^2 = -af(x) \). This new solution often also satisfies the same boundary conditions as \( \psi \) (it does for the string between fixed supports, for instance). Similarly if \( \psi_1 \) is a solution of \( d^2 \psi / dx^2 = -f_1 \) and \( \psi_2 \) is a solution of \( d^2 \psi / dx^2 = -f_2 \), then \( \psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2 \) is a solution of
\[ d^2 \psi / dx^2 = -f_1 - f_2 \] (2.1.3)

Both of these properties will be utilized many times in this volume.

**Concentrated Force, Delta Function.** In many cases of practical interest the transverse force is applied to only a small portion of the string. This suggests a rather obvious idealization, a force applied "at a point" on the string. Mathematically speaking this idealization corresponds to a consideration of the limiting case of a force
\[ F(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x < \xi - (\Delta/2) \\ F/\Delta; & \xi - (\Delta/2) < x < \xi + (\Delta/2) \\ 0; & x > \xi + (\Delta/2) \end{cases} \]

when the length \( \Delta \) of the portion of string acted on by the force is allowed to go to zero.

Similar idealizations of concentrated forces, electric charges, etc., will be of great utility in our subsequent discussions. They can all be expressed in terms of a "pathological function" called the delta function,
\[ \delta(x) = \lim_{\Delta \to 0} \begin{cases} 0; & x < -\Delta/2 \\ 1/\Delta; & -(\Delta/2) < x < \Delta/2 \\ 0; & x > \Delta/2 \end{cases} \] (2.1.4)

It is called a "pathological function" because it does not have the "physically normal" properties of continuity and differentiability at
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$x = 0$. If we do not expect too much of the function, however, it will turn out to be of considerable aid in later analysis of many problems. Remembering the usual definition of integration as a limiting sum, one can derive the following integral rules for the delta function:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) \delta(\xi - x) \, d\xi = f(x) \quad (2.1.5)$$

A closely related function, one which illustrates the integral properties of the delta function, is the *unit step function*:

$$u(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \delta(\xi) \, d\xi = \begin{cases} 0; & x < 0 \\ 1; & x > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.1.6)$$

This function is also pathological in that differentiation should be attempted only with considerable caution.

Returning now to the problem of solving Eq. (2.1.2) for a force concentrated at the point $x = \xi$, we first work out the solution of

$$d^2\psi/dx^2 = -\delta(x - \xi)$$

The solution $\psi$ satisfies the homogeneous equation $d^2\psi/dx^2 = 0$ at all points for which $x \neq \xi$. To obtain its behavior at $x = \xi$, we integrate both sides from $x = \xi - \epsilon$ to $x = \xi + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is a vanishing small quantity. Using Eq. (2.1.6) we see that the solution must have a unit change of slope at $x = \xi$. If the supports are rigid, the shape of the string of length $L$ for a force $F = T$ concentrated at $x = \xi$ must be

$$\psi = G(x|\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{x(L - \xi)}{L}; & 0 < x < \xi \\ \frac{L(\xi - x)}{L}; & \xi < x < L \end{cases} \quad (2.1.7)$$

This function is called the *Green's function* for Eq. (2.1.2) for the point $x = \xi$. We see that the solution for a string with force $F$ concentrated at $x = \xi_1$ is, therefore, $(F/T)G(x|\xi)$ and that the solution for forces $F_1$ concentrated at $\xi_1$ and $F_2$ at $\xi_2$ is $(F_1/T)G(x|\xi_1) + (F_2/T)G(x|\xi_2)$.

Going from sum to integral and using Eq. (2.1.5) we see that the steady-state shape of a string under tension $T$ between rigid supports a distance $L$ apart, under the action of a steady transverse force $F(x)$, is

$$\psi = \int_{0}^{L} \frac{F(\xi)}{T} G(x|\xi) \, d\xi \quad (2.1.8)$$

Thus the Green's function, which is a solution for a concentrated force at $x = \xi$, can be used to obtain a solution of Poisson's equation for a force of arbitrary form, distributed along the string.

This technique of obtaining a solution for the general equation in
terms of an integral involving the Green's function, the solution of a particularly simple form of the equation, will be discussed in considerable detail later in the book.

The Wave Equation. In connection with other problems we may be less interested in the steady-state shape of the string under the influence of applied transverse forces than we are in the motion or the string after applied forces have been removed. The simplest case, and the one of greatest interest, occurs when all forces can be neglected except that due to the tension $T$ of the string. When the mass of the string is uniformly distributed, being $\rho$ gm per unit length, the equation for transverse motion is obtained by equating the mass times acceleration of each element of length of the string, $\rho \, dx (\partial^2 \psi / \partial t^2)$, to the transverse force on that element due to the tension, $T \, dx (\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2)$, as given in Eq. (2.1.1). The resulting equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \left(1/c^2\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}\right); \quad c^2 = \frac{T}{\rho} \quad (2.1.9)$$

is called the wave equation for reasons which will shortly become apparent. It states that the transverse acceleration of any part of the string is proportional to the curvature of that part.

A wave may be roughly described as a configuration of the medium (transverse shape of string, distribution of density of fluid, etc.) which moves through the medium with a definite velocity. The velocity of propagation of the wave is not necessarily related to the velocity of any portion of the medium. In fact, for waves governed by the simple equation (2.1.9) the wave velocity is completely independent of the velocity of parts of the medium; or, in other words, as long as Eq. (2.1.9) is valid, the velocity of any wave on the string is the same, no matter what shape the wave has. The wave shape moves along the string with velocity $c$, whereas a point on the string moves back and forth with a velocity $\partial \psi / \partial t$ determined by the shape of the wave as it goes by.

A wave of this sort can be represented by stating that the displacement of the string from equilibrium is a function of $(x - ct)$, for a wave in the positive $x$ direction, or of $(x + ct)$ for a wave in the negative $x$ direction. To show that Eq. (2.1.9) requires such motion, we can transform coordinates from $x$ and $t$ to $\xi = x - ct$ and $\eta = x + ct$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{2 \partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}$$

Therefore, Eq. (2.1.9) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} = 0$$
A solution of this equation is \( \psi = f(\xi) + F(\eta) \), where \( f \) and \( F \) are any functions which satisfy the requirements of continuity and small amplitude that were assumed in the derivation of Eq. (2.1.9). As we shall show later, this is the most general solution of Eq. (2.1.9), so that the most general motion of the string always turns out to be a superposition of a wave traveling to the right and another to the left, each traveling with constant velocity and unchanged shape.

It is to be noted that, if \( c \) were the velocity of light, the wave equation would be invariant to a Lorentz transformation, for then the expression \((\partial^2\psi/\partial x^2) - (1/c^2)(\partial^2\psi/\partial t^2)\) is the scalar obtained by contraction of the second-order tensor \( \partial^2\psi/\partial x_s \partial x_m \) and therefore is invariant to space-time rotations of the sort discussed in the first chapter. The lines \( \xi = x - ct \) and \( \eta = x + ct \) represent the world lines of zero proper length \((c^2dt^2 - dx^2 = 0)\) which represent rays of light.

**Simple Harmonic Motion, Helmholtz Equation.** In some cases the wave motion is sinusoidal in its time dependence, so that one can factor out ("separate off" is the phrase often used) a term depending only on the time of the form \( e^{-i\omega t} \). Since we have agreed to use only the real part of a complex solution, this factor ensures sinusoidal dependence on time. The constant \( \omega \) is called the angular velocity of the oscillation, and the quantity \( v = \omega/2\pi \) is called the frequency of oscillation of the wave.

Inserting the expression \( \psi = \gamma(x) e^{-i\omega t} \) into the wave equation (2.1.9) results in an equation for the space part of \( \psi \)

\[
\left(\frac{d^2\gamma}{dx^2}\right) + (\omega/c)^2\gamma = 0
\]  

(2.1.10)

which is called the **Helmholtz equation**.

We shall show later in this book (but it would be a useful exercise for the reader to show for himself now) that the Green's function [see discussion of Eq. (2.1.7)] for this equation for a string of infinite length, corresponding to a force \( Te^{-i\omega t} \) concentrated at the point \( x = 0 \), is

\[
G(x|0) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{i}{2\omega} e^{i\omega|x|}; & x < 0 \\
\frac{i}{2\omega} e^{i\omega|x|}; & x > 0 
\end{cases}
\]
The general equation for any applied force having sinusoidal dependence on time, with frequency \( \omega / 2\pi \), is obtained by an integral analogous to Eq. (2.1.8).

**Wave Energy.** Since the waves in both directions are propagated with constant velocity and unvarying shape, it is reasonable to expect that the wave energy, once it is given to the string, is propagated without loss. The total energy of the string is made up of the sum of the kinetic energy of each element of the string,

\[
KE = \frac{1}{2} \rho \int (\partial \psi / \partial t)^2 \, dx
\]

integrated over the length of the string, plus the total potential energy of the string. If the shape of the string at any time \( t \) is \( \psi(x,t) \), the potential energy may be obtained by imagining this shape being produced by applying a transverse distributed force of the proper amount so as to move the string slowly from its equilibrium shape \( \psi = 0 \) to its final shape \( \psi(x,t) \). The intermediate shape can be taken to be \( \beta \psi \), where \( \beta \) varies between zero and unity as the string is moved. The applied force on an element \( dx \) of string in order to have reached the intermediate shape \( \beta \psi \) is \( -T \beta (\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2) \, dx \), and the work done by this force to move this element from \( \beta \psi \) to \( (\beta + d\beta) \psi \) is \( -T \beta (\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2) \, dx \, d\beta \). The total work done in moving the string from equilibrium \( (\beta = 0) \) to its final shape \( \psi(\beta = 1) \) is, therefore,

\[
PE = -T \int \psi (\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2) \, dx \int_0^1 \beta \, d\beta = -\frac{1}{2} T \int \psi (\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2) \, dx
\]

The total energy possessed by that part of the string from \( x = a \) to \( x = b \) is, therefore,

\[
W(a,b) = KE + PE = \frac{1}{2} \rho \int_a^b \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - c^2 \psi \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 \right] \, dx
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \rho \int_a^b \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 + c^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 \right] \, dx - \frac{1}{2} T \int_a^b \psi \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \, dx
\]

where the final, symmetric form is obtained by integrating the potential energy term by parts.

When \( a \) and \( b \) represent the two ends of the string, which are held rigid, \( \psi \) is zero at \( a \) and \( b \) and the last term in the symmetric form is zero. The energy of the whole string is, therefore, proportional to the square of the velocity of each part plus \( c^2 \) times the square of the slope of each part, integrated over the length of the string.

This expression for the energy of only a portion of a string is not unique, for the question of the energy of the “ends” of the chosen portion cannot be uniquely determined. The only unique quantity is the energy of the entire string, including the supports, for only this energy is conserved. This fact can be most vividly illustrated by computing the
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potential energy of part of the string by another method and showing that this yields another answer. For example, because of the difference in configuration \( \psi(x) \) from the equilibrium configuration, the string will be stretched. The corresponding stored energy due to stretching is just the potential energy of the string, for it is the work done by the principal component (the horizontal one) of the tension \( T \). The length of a section \( dx \) of the string becomes \( \sqrt{1 + (\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x})^2} \) \( dx \) because of the stretch. The potential energy due to the constant force \( T \) is, therefore,

\[
PE = + T \int_a^b \left( \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2} - 1 \right) \, dx
\]

This to the second order, is

\[
PE = \frac{1}{2} T \int_a^b \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \, dx
\]

so that the energy \( W(a,b) \) is

\[
W(a,b) = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \left( \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 + c^2 \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right) \, dx \tag{2.1.11}
\]

Comparing this with our previous answer we see that the two differ by the amount \(- \frac{1}{2} T \int_a^b \psi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} dx \), which involves only values at the two ends \( a \) and \( b \). Each answer is equally good for the complete string, for when \( a \) and \( b \) are the ends of the string (which are rigidly or freely supported so that no energy is given to the supports), both results are the same. This is the only case which should give a unique answer. Since expression (2.1.11) is simpler, we shall use it in our subsequent discussions.

Energy Flow. The rate of change of the energy of the portion of string between \( a \) and \( b \) is obtained by differentiating \( W(a,b) \) with respect to the time:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} W(a,b) = \rho \int_a^b \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{T}{\rho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right] \, dx
\]

\[
= T \int_a^b \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right] \, dx = T \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right] \, dx
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} W(a,b) = T \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right]_a^b = T \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)_{x=b} - T \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)_{x=a} \tag{2.1.12}
\]

These two terms represent energy flow into or out of the length of string, across the two ends. If \(- T(\partial \psi/\partial t)(\partial \psi/\partial x) \) represents the average energy flow in the positive \( x \) direction across the point \( x \), then the first term on the right-hand side represents energy flow into the string from the far end of the portion \( (b > a) \) and the second term represents flow into the portion from the left-hand end.
It is not difficult to verify that \(-T(\partial \psi/\partial t)(\partial \psi/\partial x)\) equals the flow of energy along the string in the positive \(x\) direction, for \(-T(\partial \psi/\partial x)\) equals the transverse force which the part of the string to the left of \(x\) exerts on the part of the string to the right to make it move and \(\partial \psi/\partial t\) is the transverse velocity of the point \(x\) of the string. Force times velocity, of course, equals power or rate of energy flow.

In this respect the term \(-T(\partial \psi/\partial x)\) is analogous to a voltage across a transmission line at some point, and \(\partial \psi/\partial t\) is analogous to the current past the same point: the product of the two equals the power transmitted.

**Power and Wave Impedance.** Moreover the analogy to a transmission line can be carried further. For alternating currents, the complex ratio of voltage to current is called the *impedance* of the line. Often this impedance\(^1\) is a function of the a-c frequency, but sometimes, when the impedance is a pure resistance, it can be independent of frequency.

The analogue to the electrical impedance is the complex ratio of the transverse driving force to the transverse velocity, which can be called mechanical impedance. For the simple string, long enough so that waves are not reflected back from the far end (which is taken to be at \(x = \infty\)), the displacement of point \(x\) due to an alternating wave going in the direction of increasing \(x\) can be represented by the expression \(\psi = A_+ e^{i(kx - \omega t)}\). The force and velocity at point \(x\) are

\[-T(\partial \psi/\partial x) = -i T(\omega/c) \psi; \quad \partial \psi / \partial t = -i \omega \psi\]

Therefore, the power flow across \(x\), the average product of the real parts of these two terms, is

\[
\text{Power} = \frac{1}{2} \rho c \omega^2 |A_+|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \rho c |U_+|^2; \quad T = \rho c^2
\]

for a sinusoidal wave in the positive \(x\) direction. The quantity \(U_+ = -i \omega A_+\) can be called the *velocity amplitude* of the string (not the wave) for a sinusoidal wave.

The impedance at point \(x\) for this simple wave is

\[Z_u = \left[-T(\partial \psi/\partial x)\right]/[\partial \psi/\partial t] = \rho c\]

(2.1.13)

This is called the *wave impedance* for the string. It is a constant, independent of \(x\) and of frequency for the simple string for waves in one direction. Indeed, we need not have dealt with so specialized a one-directional wave as we did to obtain this value for wave impedance; for any wave in the positive \(x\) direction, \(f(x - ct)\), the transverse force is

\(^1\) Since we shall be using the negative exponential for the time variation \(e^{-at}\), the signs of the reactance terms (imaginary parts of the impedances) will have the opposite sign from that encountered in the usual electrical engineering notation. This is most easily done by making the minus sign explicit before the \(i\). For instance, if \(Z = R - iX\), then \(X\) will be the same reactance term as encountered in the electrical engineering notation. In fact, the impedance formulas in this book can be changed to the engineering notation simply by changing every \((-i)\) in the formulas to \((+j)\).
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\(-T'(x - ct)\) (where the prime indicates the derivative), the corresponding transverse velocity is \(-cf'(x - ct)\), and the ratio of force to velocity is, therefore, \(T/c = \rho c\), independent of \(x\) and \(t\) and of the shape of the wave.

Of course, if we have to deal with waves in both directions, the impedance does depend on frequency and position. If \(\psi = |A_+ e^{i\omega x/\lambda} + A_- e^{-i\omega x/\lambda}|e^{-i\omega t}\), then the average rate of flow of energy obtained by averaging the expression (2.1.11) over a cycle is

\[
\text{Power} = \frac{1}{2} \rho c \omega \left[ |A_+|^2 - |A_-|^2 \right]
\]

and the impedance is

\[
Z(x) = \rho c \frac{A_+ e^{i\omega x/\lambda} - A_- e^{-i\omega x/\lambda}}{A_+ e^{i\omega x/\lambda} + A_- e^{-i\omega x/\lambda}}
\]

There will be many cases encountered in this chapter where the analogy with voltage, current, power, and impedance can be usefully applied and generalized. In wave motion of all sorts, for instance, one can usually find two quantities derivable from the wave function such that their product equals the rate of flow of energy in the wave and their ratio can be taken as a generalized impedance. In most of these cases when the wave is in only one direction, the impedance is a real constant, independent of position and of frequency, in which case this constant value can be called the wave impedance for the wave under study. The more complicated expressions for the impedance, for more complicated forms of waves, are most easily given in terms of this wave impedance as a scale factor. For instance, for the string, the constant \(\rho c = T/c = \sqrt{\rho T}\) is the scale factor in the general expression for the impedance.

**Forced Motion of the String.** As an example of the utility of the generalized concept of impedance, we can consider the motion of a string of length \(l\) held at \(x = -l\) under a tension \(T\) by a support which is not completely rigid and driven at \(x = 0\) by a transverse force. The ratio between a sinusoidal transverse force on the support at \(x = l\), represented by the real part of \(F_0 e^{-i\omega t}\) and the transverse velocity of the support \(U_0 e^{-i\omega t}\) which is produced by the force, is called the transverse mechanical impedance of the support, \(Z_t = F_0/U_0\). This quantity usually depends on the frequency \(\omega/2\pi\) but is independent of the amplitude of \(F_0\) or \(U_0\) within certain limits.

The shape of the string must be representable by a combination of a sinusoidal wave \(A_+ e^{i(\omega x/c) - i\omega t}\) going from source \((x = 0)\) to support \((x = l)\) and another wave \(A_- e^{-i(\omega x/c) - i\omega t}\) reflected from the support back to the source:

\[
\psi = |A_+ e^{i(\omega x/c)} + A_- e^{-i(\omega x/c)}|e^{-i\omega t} = A \cosh \left[ i(\omega x/c) + \pi \alpha_0 \right] e^{-i\omega t}
\]

where

\[
A_+ = A e^{\pi(\alpha - \beta_0)}; \quad A_- = A e^{-\pi(\alpha + \beta_0)}
\]
The transverse force exerted by the string on the support is, therefore,

\[ F(x,t) = -T (\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}) \text{ at } x = l \]
\[ = -i\omega p c [A_+ e^{i\omega t} - A_- e^{-i\omega t}] e^{-i\omega t} \]
\[ = -i\omega p c A \sinh [i(\omega t)/c] + \pi \alpha_0 - i\pi \beta_0] e^{-i\omega t} \]
\[ = Z_0 Z_i [A_+ e^{i\omega t} + A_- e^{-i\omega t}] e^{-i\omega t} \]
\[ = -i\omega Z_0 A \cosh [i(\omega t)/c] + \pi \alpha_0 - i\pi \beta_0] e^{-i\omega t} \]

where we have used the definition of the transverse mechanical impedance of the support to obtain the last four forms.

From these equations, we can obtain the complex ratio between the wave amplitudes \( A_- / A_+ \), and also the constants \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \beta_0 \) in terms of the impedance \( Z_0 \):

\[ \frac{A_-}{A_+} = \frac{pc - Z_0 e^{i\omega c t}}{pc + Z_0} \]  
\[ \alpha_0 - i\beta_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{Z_0}{pc} \right) - i \frac{2t}{\lambda} \quad (2.1.14) \]

where \( \lambda = c/\nu = 2\pi c/\omega \) is the wavelength of the waves on the string. The ratio \( A_- / A_+ \) is called the standing-wave ratio or, alternately, the reflection coefficient. If \( Z_0 \) is a pure imaginary, i.e., just reactive, \( |A_- / A_+| = 1 \), so that the amplitudes of the reflected and incident waves are equal, as they should be, though, of course, the phase of the reflected wave will be different from that of the incident one. The relation between the reflection coefficient and \( Z_0 \) given in (2.1.14) is an example of the relation between the unitary reflection operator and the impedance operator discussed in the section on abstract vector spaces. From (2.1.14) we see that the boundary condition at \( x = l \) fixes the relative phases and amplitudes of the incident and reflected waves. Once this is known, the ratio \( Z_0 \) between the applied force and the velocity of the driving point \( (x = 0) \), which is the driving-point impedance for the string, can be obtained at once:

\[ Z_0 = pc \frac{1 - (A_- / A_+)}{1 + (A_- / A_+)} = pc \tanh [\pi (\alpha_0 - i\beta_0)] \quad (2.1.15) \]

In other words, if the force is known, the string velocity at \( x = 0 \) can be calculated and also the expression \( A_+ / A_0 \), \( q \), and \( \psi \). For instance, if the driving force is \( f(\omega)e^{-i\omega t} \), the expression for the wave is

\[ \psi(\omega,x,t) = \frac{f(\omega)e^{-i\omega t} \cosh [i(\omega t)/c] + \pi \alpha_0 - i\pi \beta_0]}{-i\omega Z_0} \cosh [\pi \alpha_0 - i\pi \beta_0] \]
\[ = \frac{f(\omega)e^{-i\omega t}}{-i\omega pc} \left[ \cosh (\pi \alpha_0 - i\pi \beta_0) \cos \left( \frac{\omega t}{c} \right) + i \sin \left( \frac{\omega t}{c} \right) \right] \quad (2.1.16) \]

\(^1 \) See the footnote on page 128.
Transient Response, Fourier Integral. Just as with the Poisson equation discussed on page 121, a solution for several different forces acting simultaneously is the sum of the solutions for the forces acting separately. For instance, if forces of all frequencies are acting, as would be the case when we could express the total transverse force acting on the $x = 0$ end of the string in the form of an integral

$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\omega)e^{-i\omega t} d\omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1.17)

then the expression for the shape of the string as a function of $x$ and $t$ would be

$$\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(\omega, x, t) d\omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1.18)

where $\psi(\omega, x, t)$ is given in Eq. (2.1.16).

In Chap. 1 we shall show that a very wide variety of functions of $t$ can be expressed in terms of an integral of the type given in Eq. (2.1.17) (which is called a Fourier integral), and we shall show there how to compute $f(\omega)$ if $F(t)$ is known. Therefore, the integral of Eq. (2.1.18) is a general solution for the motion of the string under the action of nearly any sort of physically realizable force applied transversely to its end. This technique of solution is analogous to the Green's function technique touched on in the discussion of Eq. (2.1.18) and will also suggest similar methods for solving other equations discussed later in this chapter. One finds a solution for a particularly simple form of "force," which involves a parameter (point of application for the Poisson equation, frequency for the wave equation). A very general form of force can then be built up by expressing it as an integral of the simple force over this parameter; the resulting solution is a similar integral of the simple solutions with respect to the same parameter. This is the general principle of the Green's function technique to be discussed in Chap. 7 and elsewhere in this book.

Operator Equations for the String. Before leaving the problem of wave motion in a simple string, it will be of interest to outline an alternative approach to the problem which is related to the discussions of operators in abstract vector space given in Chap. 1 and later in this chapter. We start out by considering the string to be an assemblage of equal mass points connected by equal lengths of weightless string. At first we consider that there are only a finite number $N$ of these masses (obviously a poor approximation for a uniform string), and then we approach the actual string by letting $N$ go to infinity. Thus we can show the relation between the coupled oscillators discussed on page 77 and the flexible string.
Equations Governing Fields

We approximate the string of uniform density stretched under tension $T$ between rigid supports a distance $l$ apart by $N$ equally spaced mass points, each of mass $\rho l/N$ a distance $l/(N + 1)$ apart. A glance at Fig. 2.5 shows that, if the displacement from equilibrium of the $n$th mass is $y_n$, the transverse force on this mass due to the displacements of its neighbors is

$$(N + 1)T[(y_{n+1} - y_n)/l] + [(y_{n-1} - y_n)/l] = (N + 1)(T/l)(y_{n+1} - y_{n-1} - 2y_n)$$

(The last expression in parentheses is the analogue, for finite differences, of the second derivative.) Therefore, our set of simultaneous equations of motion for the $N$ particles is

$$
\frac{d^2 y_1}{dt^2} + 2\omega_0^2 y_1 = \omega_0^2 y_2 \\
\frac{d^2 y_2}{dt^2} + 2\omega_0^2 y_2 = \omega_0^2(y_1 + y_3) \\
\cdots \\
\frac{d^2 y_n}{dt^2} + 2\omega_0^2 y_n = \omega_0^2(y_{n-1} + y_{n+1}) \\
\cdots \\
\frac{d^2 y_N}{dt^2} + 2\omega_0^2 y_N = \omega_0^2 y_{N-1}
$$

(2.1.19)

where $\omega_0^2 = N(N + 1)(T/l)^2$.

We now consider the displacements $y_n$ to be the components of a vector $\mathbf{y}$ in an abstract vector space of $N$ dimensions with unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_n$ along the coordinate axes. The parts of the equations on the right-hand side represent the operation of a dyadic $\omega_0^2 \mathbf{n}$ which transforms the vector $\mathbf{e}_n$ into a vector with components along $\mathbf{e}_{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$. The dyadic $\mathbf{n}$ can be called the unit shift operator, for it shifts the index $n$ by a unit up or down. It can be written in terms of the $\mathbf{e}_n$'s in the form

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{e}_1\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3) + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_n(\mathbf{e}_{n-1} + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_N\mathbf{e}_1$$

(2.1.20)
Therefore, the equation for the vector $\mathbf{y}$ which represents the displacements of all the particles,

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n \mathbf{e}_n$$

can be written in the form

$$(d^2\mathbf{y}/dt^2) + 2\omega_0^2 \mathbf{y} = \omega_0^2 \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{y}$$

**Eigenvectors for the Unit Shift Operator.** The solution of the differential equation for $\gamma$ can be most easily effected by using the eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_n$ of the operator $\mathbf{l}$.

$$\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{u}_n = \eta_n \mathbf{u}_n$$

where $\mathbf{u}_n$ is a unit vector along a principal axis of the operator $\mathbf{l}$. Introducing $\mathbf{u}_n$ for $\mathbf{y}$ into the equation for $\mathbf{y}$ we obtain the equation determining the time dependence of $\mathbf{u}_n$:

$$(d^2\mathbf{u}_n/dt^2) + \omega_0^2 (2 - \eta_n) \mathbf{u}_n = 0$$

so that the time dependence of $\mathbf{u}_n$ is $e^{-i\omega\sqrt{2-\eta_n}t}$. The space ("vector space") dependence of $\mathbf{u}_n$ may be determined by solving the eigenvalue equation above.

Let $\mathbf{u}_n$ be expressed in terms of $\mathbf{e}_m$ by the expansion

$$\mathbf{u}_n = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_{nm} \mathbf{e}_m$$

the $\gamma$'s being the direction cosines of the transformation. Then the $\gamma$'s satisfy the equation

$$\gamma_{n,m-1} - \eta_n \gamma_{n,m} + \gamma_{n,m+1} = 0 \quad (2.1.21)$$

except for the first and last equations, for $m = 1$ and $m = N$, where the quantities $\gamma_{n1}$ and $\gamma_{n,N+1}$ are naturally omitted. Even these two equations can be given this same form, however, if we just assume that the quantities $\gamma_{n1}$ and $\gamma_{n,N+1}$ are always zero.

The solution of Eqs. (2.1.21) is obtained by the use of the trigonometric formula

$$\cos \alpha \sin (m\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \sin [(m - 1)\alpha] + \frac{1}{2} \sin [(m + 1)\alpha]$$

for if we set $\gamma_{nm} = A \sin [m\alpha_n]$ (the time dependence being understood) and $\gamma_n = 2 \cos \alpha_n$, all of the equations are satisfied. One of the additional requirements, that $\gamma_{n0} = 0$, is likewise complied with, and the remaining requirement, that $\gamma_{n,N+1} = 0$, can be satisfied if we allow $\alpha_n$ to equal $[n\pi/(N + 1)]$.

Since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sin \left(\frac{mn\pi}{N+1}\right) \sin \left(\frac{mn'\pi}{N+1}\right) = \begin{cases} 0; & n' \neq n \\ \frac{1}{2}(N + 1); & n' = n \end{cases}$$
we can choose the value of the constant $A$ so that the $\gamma$'s are properly normalized direction cosines, and the $u_i$'s will be unit vectors if the $e_i$'s are. The final results are

$$
\mathbf{u}_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} e_m \sin \left( \frac{mn\pi}{N+1} \right) \exp \left[ -2i\omega_d \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2(N+1)} \right) \right]
$$

$$
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_n = 2 \cos \left[ n\pi/(N + 1) \right] u_n
$$

(2.1.22)

Thus we have discovered an alternative set of $N$ mutually orthogonal unit vectors in abstract vector space which point along the principal axes of the operator $\mathbf{u}$ (i.e., which are eigenvectors for $\mathbf{u}$).

In terms of this new coordinate system the solution of the equation of motion for the vector $\mathbf{y}$ representing the $N$ particles is straightforward:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n e_n = \mathbf{y} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} U_n \mathbf{u}_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} U_n e_n \sin \left( \frac{mn\pi}{N+1} \right) \exp \left[ -2i\omega_d \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2(N+1)} \right) \right]
$$

Therefore,

$$
y_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} U_n \sin \left( \frac{mn\pi}{N+1} \right) \exp \left[ -2i\omega_d \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{2(N+1)} \right) \right]
$$

(2.1.23)

The allowed frequencies are $\omega_n/2\pi$, where $\omega_n = 2\omega_0 \sin [n\pi/2(N+1)]$. The component motions $u_n$ are called normal modes of the motion.

If the particles are initially displaced by the amounts $y_n$ and initially all have zero velocities, then the values of the $U_n$'s can be obtained by use of the last equation on page 133:

$$
(y \cdot u_n)_{t=0} = U_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} y_m \sin \left( \frac{mn\pi}{N+1} \right)
$$

(2.1.24)

Thus the coefficients of the series for the $y$'s can be obtained in terms of the initial values of the $y$'s and the direction cosines of the transformation.

Limiting Case of Continuous String. To go from a collection of $M$ particles to a continuous string we increase $N$ to infinity, so that each "point" on the string is labeled by a different $n$. If the string were actually continuous, this would require $N$ to be nondenumerably infinite, which would mean that the corresponding abstract vector space would have a nondenumerable infinity of mutually perpendicular directions. Such a vector space is rather difficult to imagine, though we may console ourselves that such niceties in distinguishing of types of infinities are rather academic here, since any actual string is only approximately
continuous, and our present solutions are not valid for details of atomic size or smaller. We can also reassure ourselves that only a small subspace of the "supervector" space corresponds to physics, for as the distance between successive points goes to zero, continuity requires that $y_n$ approach $y_{n+1}$ in value.

At any rate, for the continuous string we can discard the nonenumerable set of indices $m$ and use the distance $x$ of the point from one end as the label, i.e., set $x = ml/(N + 1)$. Moreover since $N$ is so large, the difference between $N$ and $N + 1$ is negligible. The index $n$ labeling the different allowed modes of motion need not become infinite or continuous, however, since we are usually interested in the lowest few (the first hundred or so) allowed frequencies. Therefore, $n$ will be retained as an integer and $n/N$ will be a small quantity. To be specific, the transition is as follows:

$$\omega_n \to n\pi c/l; \quad c = \sqrt{T/\rho}; \quad e_m \to e(x); \quad y = \sum_x y(x)e(x)$$

$$y \to \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_n Y_n u_n = \sum_{n,x} Y_n e(x) \sin \left(\frac{n\pi x}{l}\right) e^{-i\omega x}$$

$$y(x) = \sum_n Y_n \sin \left(\frac{n\pi x}{l}\right) e^{-i\omega x}$$

The last equation is the usual Fourier series for the free oscillations of the uniform string between rigid supports. The function $\sin (n\pi x/l) e^{-i\omega x}$, giving the shape of the $n$th normal mode, is the transformation function, changing the denumerably infinite set of eigenvectors $u_n$ for the operator $\mathcal{U}$ to the nonenumerably infinite set of unit vectors $e(x)$, each of which corresponds to a different point along the continuous string. The summation over all the points is symbolized by the summation sign $\sum_x$, though it could also be expressed in terms of an integral over $x$. The limiting case of Eq. (2.1.24) is best expressed in terms of an integral, for instance. We have let $Y_n$ be the limiting value of $U_n \sqrt{2/N + 1}$, so that the equation for $y_n$ in terms of the initial values of displacement $y_n^0$ (when the initial velocity is zero) is

$$Y_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[ \frac{2}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} y_m^0 \sin \left(\frac{m\pi x}{N}\right) \right]; \quad \text{where } m \to \frac{xN}{l}$$

The number of terms in the sum over $m$ between $x$ and $x + dx$ is, therefore, $(N/l) dx$. Therefore, in the limit the sum for $Y_n$ becomes the integral

$$Y_n = \frac{2}{l} \int_0^l y^0(x) \sin \left(\frac{n\pi x}{l}\right) dx$$
which is the usual integral for the Fourier series coefficients giving the amplitudes of the various normal modes.

Finally, if the distance between supports is increased without limit (we place the origin at the mid-point of the string), another series of limiting calculations, which will be explained in detail in Chap. 4, brings us to the general solution for the wave motion on an infinite string originally held at a displacement \( y^0(x) \) and released at \( t = 0 \):

\[
y(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int e^{i(x-x_0)\xi} dx_0 \int \int e^{-i\omega t} \xi d\xi \quad (2.1.25)
\]

where the real part of this expression gives the actual displacement of point \( x \) at time \( t \).

Finally it is of interest to see what limiting form of the operator \( \Omega \) takes on for the continuous string. To fit in with the equation for the vector \( y \), we ask for the limiting expression for the operator \( \omega_n^2[1 - 2] \) on the vector \( y = \sum y_m e_m \rightarrow \sum y(x)e(x) \). Before going to the limit, the operator has the following effect on the vector components \( y_m \):

\[
\omega_n^2[1 - 2] \cdot y = \frac{N(N + 1)}{l^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [(y_{n+1} - y_n) - (y_n - y_{n-1})] e_n
\]

As the distance between particles gets smaller and smaller, the difference \( (y_{n+1} - y_n) \) approaches the differential \( dy(x) \), the distance between particles, \( l/(N + 1) \), being \( dx \). Therefore, \( (N/l)(y_{n+1} - y_n) \) goes in the limit to \( dy/\delta x \) and the expression above becomes

\[
\omega_n^2[1 - 2] \cdot y \rightarrow c^2 \sum_x \frac{\partial^2 y(x)}{\partial x^2} e(x)
\]

so the equation of motion for \( y \) becomes in the limit

\[
\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} = \sum_x \frac{\partial^2 y (x)}{\partial t^2} e(x) = c^2 \sum_x \frac{\partial^2 y (x)}{\partial x^2} e(x)
\]

and therefore the equation for the transformation functions \( y(x) \) is

\[
\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} = c^2 (\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2})
\]

which is just the wave equation (2.1.9).

Thus we have come back again to the partial differential equation for waves on the simple string via the roundabout route of abstract vector space. This excursion has been taken because similar ones will have to be taken later in this chapter for cases where alternative routes are not quite so direct or so simple as is the case of the string.
The Effect of Friction. So far we have neglected the reaction of the surrounding medium (air or water) on the motion of the string. For small-amplitude motions this reaction is opposed to the motion of each element of length and is proportional to its velocity. The force on the element between \( x \) and \( x + dx \) is proportional to the velocity \( \partial \psi / \partial t \) of this element and is opposed to this velocity. The proportionality constant \( R \) is usually dependent on the frequency of oscillation of the string, but when the viscosity of the medium is great enough, it is independent of frequency. This last case is the simplest and will be taken up first.

The equation of motion, when we take into account tension and friction of the medium but not stiffness or internal friction, is

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} + 2k \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = 0; \quad k = \frac{R}{2\rho}; \quad c^2 = \frac{T}{\rho}
\]

The effect of friction is, of course, to damp out the free vibrations. If the string is held between two rigid supports a distance \( l \) apart, the shapes of the normal modes are not affected by friction, being still \( \sin (n \pi x/l) \). However, the individual oscillations are damped out in time, for a solution of this equation is

\[
\psi = \sum_n A_n \sin \left( \frac{n \pi x}{l} \right) e^{-\omega_n t}; \quad \omega_n = \frac{n \pi c}{l} - k^2
\]

If \( k \) depends on frequency, it will have the value corresponding to \( \omega_n \) for the \( n \)th normal mode, so that the different modes will damp out at different rates.

On the other hand if the string is very long and is driven at one end by a sinusoidal force \( F e^{-\omega t} \), then the waves will be damped in space rather than in time. A solution is

\[
\psi = \Lambda e^{\omega x - \omega t}; \quad \omega^2 = (\rho \omega^2 / l) + \omega (R \omega / T)
\]

Therefore, \( \omega \) has a positive imaginary part, which produces damping in the direction of wave motion.

Diffusion Equation. In one limiting case, the viscous forces may completely predominate over the inertial effects, so that the equation becomes

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \kappa^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}; \quad \kappa^2 = \frac{R}{T}
\]  

(2.1.26)

This equation will be encountered many times in this book. Since it also represents the behavior of some solute diffusing through a solvent (where \( \psi \) is the density of the solute), it is usually called the diffusion equation.

As with the wave equation (2.1.9) the tendency is to straighten out the curvature; however, here the velocity of any part of the string is proportional to but opposite in sign to the curvature of the part, whereas
in the wave equation it is the acceleration that is proportional and opposite in sign to the curvature. In short, we are essentially dealing with an equilibrium condition. In the wave equation a curved portion continuously increases in velocity until it is straightened out and only then starts slowing down, thus ensuring oscillatory motion. But with the diffusion equation the velocity of any portion comes to zero when this portion is finally straightened out, so there is no oscillatory motion.

One would expect this behavior of a string of no mass in a viscous fluid, for the damping is more than critical.

In the case of the wave equation the general solution could be expressed as a superposition of two waves in opposite direction, \( f(x + ct) + F(x - ct) \), due to the symmetrical relation between \( x \) and \( t \) in the equation. In the diffusion equation this symmetry is not present, and there is no simple form for the general solution. Here also there is a difference between the positive and negative time direction, due to the fact that the time derivative is a first derivative whereas there is a second derivative in the wave equation.

For instance, if the string has a sinusoidal shape \( A \cos \omega t \), then for the wave equation the time dependence is also sinusoidal, \( e^{-\omega t} \). But for the diffusion equation the time dependent term is \( e^{-\omega t} \), which is not symmetrical with respect to time. For positive time the sinusoidal shape damps out exponentially, but, looking backward in time, we see that the wave amplitude increases without limit as \( t \) is made more and more negative. The smaller the wavelength of the fluctuations (i.e., the larger \( \omega \) is), the more rapidly are the functions damped out in positive time and the more rapidly do they increase in negative time. As we shall show in detail later, with the wave equation we can both predict future motion and reconstruct past motion from present conditions. With the diffusion equation prediction only is possible; attempts at reconstructing the past result only in divergent expressions.

**Klein-Gordon Equation.** A type of equation of some interest in quantum mechanics (it is used to describe a "scalar" meson) can also be exemplified by the flexible string with additional stiffness forces provided by the medium surrounding the string. If the string is embedded in a thin sheet of rubber, for instance (or if it is along the axis of a cylinder of
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rubber whose outside surface is held fixed), then in addition to the restoring force due to tension there will be a restoring force due to the rubber on each portion of string. If the displacement of the element \( dx \) of string at \( x \) is \( \psi(x) \), this restoring force will be \(-K\psi\,dx\), where \( K \) is a constant depending on the elastic properties and the geometrical distribution of the rubber.

Therefore, the equation of motion for the string is

\[
\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \mu^2 \psi; \quad c^2 = \frac{T}{\rho}; \quad \mu^2 = \frac{K}{T}
\]

(2.1.27)

where \( \rho \) is the linear density and \( T \) is the tension of the string. This equation is called the Klein-Gordon equation when it occurs in quantum mechanical problems. We note that, if \( c \) is the velocity of light, this equation is also invariant in form under a Lorentz transformation, as is the wave equation, so that solutions of the equation behave properly with regard to the space-time rotations of special relativity.

The reaction of this type of string to a unit, steady, transverse force, applied at point \( x = \xi \), differs from the reaction of a string with only tension acting. The shape of the elastically braced string of infinite length which corresponds to Eq. (2.1.7) is

\[
G(x|\xi) = \begin{cases} 
(1/2\mu)\phi(t-\xi); & x < \xi \\
(1/2\mu)\phi(t-x); & x > \xi
\end{cases}
\]

(2.1.28)

In the case of the usual string, with only tension, we had to consider the string to be a finite length, for the end supports were the only "anchors" to prevent the force from pushing the string by an indefinitely large amount. In the present case, however, the elastic medium in which the string is embedded absorbs nearly all of the thrust, and except for distances small compared with \( 1/\mu \) from either end, the exact position of the end supports are not important. Consequently we can write a Green's function which is independent of end points (i.e., for an infinite string) for this case. The formula shows that a portion of the medium, spread out a distance of about \( 1/\mu \) from the point of application, supports the majority of the force, and the displacement of the string beyond this distance becomes quite small.

For applied transverse forces of arbitrary type distributed along the string, the corresponding shape of the string is obtained in the form of an integral over the Green's function of Eq. (2.1.28) of the general form given in Eq. (2.1.8).

If an elastically braced string is held under tension between rigid supports a distance \( L \) apart, it can vibrate with a sequence of normal modes of motion similar in shape but differing in frequency from the normal modes of the string without elastic support.
The Fourier series for the general free vibration is

$$\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sin \left( \frac{n\pi x}{L} \right) e^{-\omega_n^2 t}; \quad \omega_n^2 - c^2 \left[ \left( \frac{n\pi}{L} \right)^2 - \mu^2 \right]$$

The allowed frequencies are all larger than those for the usual string because of the quantity $\mu^2$ which is proportional to the elastic constant $K$ of the medium surrounding the string. This result is not surprising, for the added stiffness of the medium should increase the natural frequencies.

**Forced Motion of the Elastically Braced String.** A string embedded in rubber driven from one end by a transverse alternating force also exhibits certain characteristic differences of behavior compared with the ordinary string.

The solution for a wave traveling to the right only, suitable for the case of an infinitely long string, is

$$\psi = \begin{cases} A \exp \left[ -x \sqrt{\mu^2 - \left( \omega/c \right)^2} - i\omega t \right]; & \omega^2 < \mu^2 c^2 = K/\rho \\ A \exp \left[ i\left( \omega/c \right) x \sqrt{1 - (\mu/\omega)^2} - \epsilon t \right]; & \omega^2 > K/\rho \end{cases}$$

(2.1.29)

At very high driving frequencies the wave motion is very similar to

**Simple String**

**String With Friction**

(\( \epsilon \neq 0 \))

**String With Elastic Support**

(\( \mu = 0 \))
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Fig. 2.7 Three sequences showing successive shapes of different strings when struck laterally at one end at \( t = 0 \).

the ordinary string, except that the wave velocity is always somewhat larger than \( c \), by a factor \( 1/\sqrt{1 - (\mu/\omega)^2} \). Here again the additional elastic forces tend to "speed up" the waves. The wave number \( \lambda^{-1} = \sqrt{(\omega/c)^2 - \mu^2} \) is no longer a linear function of the frequency. Thus the string plus bracing will behave like a dispersive medium. A general
wave shape composed of waves having different values of \( \omega \) will no longer hold together but will diffuse from its original shape into a succession of shapes more and more dispersed over the entire length of the string. This behavior is, of course, strikingly different from the behavior of a wave form on an ordinary string which is not braced, for then the medium is not dispersive and the form will not change during propagation.

At low frequencies the effect of the elastic medium predominates over the inertial effect of the mass of the string, and for frequencies less than \( (1/2\pi) \sqrt{K/\mu} \) there is no true wave motion at all, the string moving back and forth all in phase by an amount which is largest near the driving force and which decreases rapidly farther from the driving end.

The wave impedance for this type of string is obtained in a manner similar to that which obtained Eq. (2.1.13):

\[
Z_\omega = \begin{cases} 
\frac{i(\rho/\omega)}{pc} \frac{\sqrt{\mu^3} - (\omega/\rho)^2}{\sqrt{1 - (\mu c/\omega)^2}}; & \omega < \mu c \\
\rho c \sqrt{1 - (\mu c/\omega)^2}; & \omega > \mu c 
\end{cases} \tag{2.1.30}
\]

At high frequencies the wave impedance is real and nearly equal to the value \( \rho c \) for the simple string. As the frequency is diminished, however, the wave impedance decreases and the wave velocity increases until, at \( \omega = \mu c = \sqrt{K/\rho} \), the wave impedance is zero and the wave velocity is infinite. This is the resonance frequency for the mass of the string and the elasticity of the medium. Below this frequency the impedance is imaginary, similar to a stiffness reactance, and there is no true wave motion.

Recapitulation. We have discussed the motions of a flexible string in detail for several reasons. In the first place our procedure in studying the string is a simple example of what will be our discussion of other equations for fields. We shall in each case discuss the various equations which result when one force after another is allowed to become predominant; thus by the study of the various limiting cases we shall arrive at a fairly complete understanding of the most general case. Second, the motions of different sorts of strings are easily picturable representations, in the simplest terms, of the solutions of a number of important partial differential equations, which turn up in many contexts and which represent many physical phenomena. Many of the other manifestations of the same equations correspond to physical situations which are much harder to visualize. In the third place, the techniques of solution which have been touched upon here and related to the physical problem have useful application in many other cases, and reference to the simple picture of the string will help our understanding of the others.

We shall now broaden the scope of our discussions and study a number of typical physical phenomena of considerable interest and importance in order to show what types of fields can be used for their picturization and what partial differential equations they must satisfy.
2.2 Waves in an Elastic Medium

For our first three-dimensional analysis of the interrelation between physical phenomena and differential equations for fields, we shall return to the problem of the behavior of an elastic medium (which was begun in Sec. 1.6) to take up the problem of wave motion in the medium. As in the case of the string, we assume that the displacements of the medium are small and that we are not concerned with translation or rotation of the medium as a whole. The displacement \( s(x, y, z, t) \) of an element \( dx \, dy \, dz \) of the medium at \( x, y, z \) and at time \( t \) is small, and its rotation due to the strain is also small. The inertial reaction of the element to an acceleration of \( s \) is \( (\partial^2 s / \partial t^2) \rho \, dx \, dy \, dz \), where \( \rho \) is the density of the medium.

In Sec. 1.6 we defined the stress dyadic \( \Sigma = F_x \mathbf{i} + F_y \mathbf{j} + F_z \mathbf{k} \) by saying that the force across a surface element \( d\mathbf{A} \) of the medium is \( \Sigma \cdot d\mathbf{A} \). For instance, the force across the face \( dy \, dz \) of the element, perpendicular to the \( x \) axis, is \( F_x \, dy \, dz \). Therefore, the net force on the element \( dx \, dy \, dz \) due to the difference in \( F_x \) from one face \( dy \, dz \) to the opposite face of the element is \( dx \, (\partial F_x / \partial x) \, dy \, dz \), and the net force due to forces acting on all faces of the element is thus \( \nabla \cdot \Sigma \, dx \, dy \, dz \).

But in Eq. (1.6.28) we showed that the stress dyadic is related to the strain dyadic \( \Sigma \) by the relation \( \Sigma = \lambda \varepsilon + 2\mu \varepsilon \), where \( \mu \) is the shear modulus of the medium and \( (\lambda + 2\mu) \) is its compression modulus (ratio of isotropic pressure to fractional rate of decrease of volume). From Eq. (1.6.21) we have the relation between \( \Sigma \) and the displacement \( s \) given symbolically by \( \Sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla s + s \nabla \right) \). Putting all these equations together we finally arrive at the equation of motion for the medium under the influence of its own elastic restoring forces:

\[
\rho \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t^2} = \nabla \cdot \left( \lambda \varepsilon \nabla s + \mu \nabla s + s \nabla \right) = (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \cdot \nabla s + \mu \nabla \cdot \nabla s
= (\lambda + 2\mu) \nabla \cdot \nabla s - \mu \text{curl curl } s
\]

(2.2.1)

where we have used Eq. (1.5.6) to rearrange the vector operators.

**Longitudinal Waves.** The form of the equation of motion suggests that at least part of the vector \( s \) may be expressed in terms of the gradient of a scalar potential \( \psi \), since the equation then simplifies considerably: When \( s = \nabla \psi \), the equation for \( \psi \),

\[
\text{div grad } \psi = \nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{c_s^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}; \quad c_s^2 = \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho}
\]

(2.2.2)

is just the wave equation for the scalar wave potential \( \psi \), the three-dimensional generalization of Eq. (2.1.9). The wave velocity \( c_s \) is greater
the larger are the moduli $\lambda$ and $\mu$ (i.e., the stiffer is the medium) and is smaller the larger $\rho$ is (i.e. the more dense is the medium).

When the solution of Eq. (2.2.1) is a gradient of a scalar, the dyadic operator $\mathbf{\Xi} = \nabla(\nabla \psi)$ is symmetric, rotation dyadic $\mathbf{\Theta}$ is zero and $\mathbf{\Xi} = \mathbf{\Theta}$, the pure strain dyadic. For such a solution there is no twisting of the medium, only stretching and squeezing. Waves of this sort are called \textit{longitudinal} or compressional waves. They can be propagated even in liquid and gaseous media, where the shear modulus $\mu$ is zero.

But a gradient of a scalar potential is certainly not the most general vector field possible for the strain displacement $\mathbf{s}$, as was shown on page 53. The most general vector field requires three scalar functions of position to specify, one for each component, whereas the gradient of a scalar is specified by a single function, the potential. Consequently, two more scalar functions of position are needed to specify the most general solution of Eq. (2.2.1).

Of course, we could set up equations for each of the rectangular components of $\mathbf{s}$, but this would result in three equations, each containing the three components, which would have to be solved simultaneously—a cumbersome procedure.

\textbf{Transverse Waves.} It would be much better to utilize some of the properties of the vector operator $\nabla$ to obtain the other solutions, as we did for the gradient of the potential: since the curl of a gradient is zero, one term in the equation dropped out and the wave equation for the scalar potential resulted. This result [plus the results of Eq. (1.3.15)] suggests that we try the curl of some vector, for the divergence of a curl is zero and therefore the divergence term would drop out. Accordingly we let another solution for $\mathbf{s}$ be curl $\mathbf{A}$, and the resulting equation for $\mathbf{A}$ is

\begin{equation}
- \text{curl curl } \mathbf{A} = c_s^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} \right); \quad c_s^2 = \frac{\mu}{\rho}
\end{equation}

which is also a wave equation, as we shall later demonstrate. The wave velocity $c_s$ for this wave is smaller than the velocity for longitudinal waves, being proportional to the square root of the shear modulus $\mu$ instead of the combination $\lambda + 2\mu$. It suggests that this part of the solution is a shear wave, which indeed turns out to be the case. For with this type of displacement the dilatation $\theta = \text{div} \mathbf{s}$ [see Eq. (1.6.23)] is zero, so there is no expansion or contraction, and therefore the strain must be a type of shear. We shall usually call this type of wave the \textit{transverse wave}.

This separation of the general solution into a longitudinal part, which is the gradient of a scalar potential $\psi$, plus a transverse part, which is the curl of a vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ as suggested on page 53, is a neat one, for these two waves travel at different speeds and any other separation of the solution would result in waves of both velocities being part of both solutions, certainly a more clumsy procedure.
But at first sight the two solutions we have obtained appear to be redundant. We mentioned earlier that only three independent functions of position are needed to give the most general solution for the vector \( \mathbf{s} \), but here we appear to have four: one for the scalar potential and three for the components of the vector potential. This redundancy is only apparent, however, for we do not use all the degrees of freedom of the vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \). The process of taking the curl of \( \mathbf{A} \) to obtain \( \mathbf{s} \) discards a part of \( \mathbf{A} \), namely, the part which can be expressed as a gradient of a scalar, and uses only that part which has zero divergence. Therefore, the part of \( \mathbf{A} \) which is used to contribute to the general solution for \( \mathbf{s} \), involves only two independent functions of position, and these, with the scalar potential, make up the requisite three.

To put the whole argument in another form, any vector solution of Eq. (2.2.1) can be split into two parts: a longitudinal part having zero curl, which can always (see page 53) be represented as the gradient of a scalar potential, and a transverse part having zero divergence, which can always (see page 54) be represented as the curl of a vector potential. Equation (2.2.2) shows that, if the solution starts out as a longitudinal one, it will continue to be longitudinal or, if it starts out transverse, it will remain transverse as long as the quantities \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) have everywhere the same values. If \( \lambda \) or \( \mu \) or both change abruptly at a boundary surface or change continuously in a region of space, then wave reflection will occur and the longitudinal and transverse waves may become intermingled.

In the present case the longitudinal part corresponds to wave motion of one velocity and the transverse part corresponds to wave motion of another, lesser velocity. Actually there are two independent transverse parts. One of these may be taken to be the curl of some solution \( \mathbf{A} \) of Eq. (2.2.3) [incidentally the curl of a solution of Eq. (2.2.3) is also a solution of Eq. (2.2.3), as may be quickly verified]; this will be called the first transverse solution. The other transverse part may be taken to be the curl of the first solution (which is proportional to the zero-divergence part of \( \mathbf{A} \) itself, as may be quickly verified); this will be called the second transverse solution of Eq. (2.2.1).

Wave Motion in Three Dimensions. Waves on a simple string are only of two general types: ones which travel to the right, represented by the general function \( F(x - ct) \), and ones which travel to the left, represented by \( F(x + ct) \). In three dimensions many more types of wave motion are possible. Confining ourselves for the moment to the scalar wave potential \( \phi \), we, of course, can have a simple generalization of the one-dimensional wave,

\[
\phi = f(a \cdot r - c, t)
\]

where \( r = xi + yj + zk \) and \( \mathbf{a} \) is a unit vector in some arbitrary direction given by the spherical angles \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) (see Fig. 2.8). The wave motion here is all in one direction, and the comments made in Sec. 2.1 concerning
one-dimensional waves need no modification to apply here. Such waves are called plane waves for reasons which will shortly become apparent.

Inherent in our thinking of three-dimensional waves is the motion of a wave front. Crests and troughs of a wave often maintain their identity as they move along, which can be represented by surfaces everywhere perpendicular to the direction of wave motion and which move with the wave velocity $c$. These surfaces are called surfaces of constant phase or simply phase surfaces. For the simple plane wave form $f(a \cdot r - ct)$
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the surfaces are the planes $a \cdot r = constant$, perpendicular to the unit vector $a$, which gives the direction of wave motion. If the wave is a sinusoidal one, represented by the complex exponential term $\psi = A e^{i\omega t} e^{i(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{r} - ct)}$ for all points on one of the surfaces, the wave function has the same value of the phase angle of the complex exponential (which is why the surfaces are called phase surfaces).

We can ask whether there are other types of waves in three dimensions having crests and troughs which maintain their identity as the wave moves along. A bit of investigation will suffice to convince one that only plane waves of the form given in Eq. (2.2.4) maintain their shape and size completely unchanged as they travel. It is possible to have waves, other than plane, which keep their shape but not their size; these have the form

$$\psi = A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\left[ f(\varphi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) - ct) \right] \quad (2.2.5)$$

The function $f$ provides for the motion of the wave, and the surfaces $\varphi = constant$ are the surfaces of constant phase; the factor $A$ provides for the change in size of the wave from point to point.

Substituting this form into the wave equation $\nabla^2 \psi - \left(1/c^2\right)(\partial^2 \psi / \partial t^2)$ results in the equation

$$f\nabla^2 \psi + \left(f' - i \omega \right) \text{div} \left[ A^2 \text{grad} \varphi \right] + A f'' \left[ \text{grad}^2 \varphi - 1 \right] = 0$$

where the primes indicate differentiation of $f$ with respect to its argument. If $f$ is to be any arbitrary function of its argument $(\varphi - ct)$, the coefficients of $f$, $f'$, and $f''$ must each be equal to zero:

$$\nabla^2 \psi = 0; \quad \text{grad}^2 \varphi = 1; \quad \text{div} \left[ A^2 \text{grad} \varphi \right] = 0 \quad (2.2.6)$$

The last equation is equivalent to stating that the vector $A^2 \text{grad} \varphi$ is
equal to the curl of some vector, and the second equation states that 
\text{grad} \ \varphi \ \text{is a unit vector. These are stringent limitations on } \lambda \ \text{and } \varphi,
and not many solutions can be found. In other words, not many types of 
waves maintain their shape as they move through space.

One solution of these equations has spherical wave fronts, \( \varphi = r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \), and has an amplitude \( A = B/r \) which varies inversely with the distance \( r \) from the center of the wave (\( B \) is a constant). The solution

\[
\psi = (B/r)j(r - ct)
\]

represents a spherical wave radiating out from the center \( r = 0 \). Naturally there can also be an ingoing wave \((B/r)j(r + ct)\).

Another way of analyzing the same general problem consists in determining what curvilinear coordinate surfaces can be wave fronts. If the wave equation in some coordinate system has solutions which are functions of only one of the three coordinates, then a traveling-wave solution can be formed from these solutions which will have one set of the coordinate surfaces as its surfaces of constant phase.

Suppose that we choose an orthogonal, curvilinear coordinate system \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \), with scale factors \( h_1, h_2, h_3 \) and unit vectors \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \). According to Eq. (1.5.11) the wave equation for \( \psi \) in terms of these coordinates is

\[
\frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \sum_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left( \frac{h_n h_m}{h_3} \frac{\partial \psi}{a_n} \right) = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}
\]  

(2.2.7)

To simplify matters we separate off the time dependence in the exponential factor \( e^{i\omega t} \). If, in addition, we can separate the space part of \( \psi \) into three factors, each dependent on only one coordinate, the equation is said to be separable and one or another of the three families of coordinate surfaces can be the family of phase surfaces of a wave. In other words, if the equation

\[
\frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \left( \frac{h_2 h_3}{h_1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_1} \right) + \left( \frac{\omega}{c} \right)^2 \psi - 0
\]

will yield solutions which are functions of \( \xi_1 \) alone, then the wave equation is separable for the coordinate \( \xi_1 \).

If one solution of this equation can be found, two independent solutions \( y(\xi_1) \) and \( Y(\xi_1) \) can be obtained (this will be proved in Chap. 5), and the combination

\[
y + iY = \lambda y(\xi_1) e^{i(\omega/c)\psi(\xi_1)}
\]

will serve to give us an expression for a simple harmonic wave having the coordinate surfaces \( \xi_1 = \text{constant} \) as the surfaces of constant phase of the wave:

\[
\psi = (y + iY)e^{-i\omega t} = A(\xi_1) e^{i(\omega/c)\psi(\xi_1 - ct)}
\]  

(2.2.8)
This form of wave is more specialized than that given in Eq. (2.2.5), since we have contented ourselves here with sinusoidal dependence on time. In exchange for the simplification the limiting requirements on \( A \) and \( \phi \) are not so stringent as in Eq. (2.2.6). For instance, \( \text{grad } \phi \) need not be a unit vector, which corresponds to the statement that the surfaces of constant phase do not travel with the velocity \( c \) everywhere. The functions \( A \) and \( \phi \) may depend on \( \omega \), so that the shape of the wave may differ for differing frequencies. Nevertheless we again find that a form of wave front which allows wave propagation with reasonable permanence of wave form is not at all common; as we shall see in Chap. 5, only a few coordinate systems have a separable equation. The wave equation, it turns out, is rather particular about the shape of the wave fronts it allows.

Further discussion of these points is not profitable here. It has been sufficient to point out that there is a close connection between the property of a coordinate system of allowing separable solutions of the wave equation (solutions consisting of factors, each functions of only one coordinate) and the possibility for the corresponding coordinate surfaces to be surfaces of constant phase for some wave. In Chap. 5 we shall deal with the problem of separability in more detail.

**Vector Waves.** We must now return to the shear waves which cannot be represented by a scalar wave function but which can be represented by a divergenceless vector potential, satisfying the equation

\[
\text{curl curl } A + (1/c^2)(\partial^2 A/\partial t^2) = 0
\]

These also can have plane wave solutions:

\[
A = (B \times a)f(a \cdot r - ct) \quad (2.2.9)
\]

where \( B \) is any constant vector and, therefore, \((B \times a)\) is a constant vector perpendicular to the unit vector \( a \), which determines the direction of propagation of the wave. Since the magnitude of \( A \) is independent of position along a line in the direction of \( A \) (i.e., since the gradient of \( f \) is perpendicular to \( B \times a \)), the divergence of \( A \) is zero, as was required. The curl of \( A \) is a vector perpendicular both to \( A \) and to \( a \),

\[
\text{curl } A = (\text{grad } f) \times (B \times a) = [B - a(a \cdot B)]f'
\]

and the curl of this vector is again parallel to \( A \),

\[
\text{curl curl } A = -(B \times a)f'' = -(1/c^2)(\partial^2 A/\partial t^2)
\]

as, of course, it must be in order to satisfy the vector wave equation (2.2.3). The directions of \( A \) and \( \text{curl } A \) are both perpendicular to the direction \( a \) of propagation of the wave, which is the reason for calling these waves transverse.
Equations Governing Fields

There is also a vector potential representing a spherical vector wave, analogous to the scalar spherical wave \( (B/r)(r - ct) \) mentioned earlier. If \( \mathbf{a}_r \) is a unit vector pointed along the radius \( r \), \( \mathbf{a}_\varphi \) a unit vector perpendicular to \( \mathbf{a}_r \) and to the axis of the spherical coordinates, and \( \mathbf{a}_\theta = \mathbf{a}_r \times \mathbf{a}_\varphi \) another unit vector perpendicular to both, then the vector \( \mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{a}_\varphi/r)f(r \pm ct) \) is a solution of the vector wave equation which is satisfactory except along the spherical axis \( \theta = 0 \). For the outgoing wave, for instance,

\[
curl \mathbf{A} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) f'(r - ct)
\]

and

\[
curl \, curl \, \mathbf{A} = -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) f''(r - ct) = -\left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \mathbf{A} \cdot \partial \mathbf{r} \right)
\]

It is obvious that the vector \( \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{A} \) is also a solution of the vector wave equation, so that the most general outgoing spherical vector wave is

\[
(\mathbf{a}_\varphi/r)f(r - ct) + (\mathbf{a}_\varphi/r)f(r - ct)
\]

The more complex problem of the separability of the vector wave equation will be discussed later.

Integral Representations. More general types of waves can be constructed by adding up plane waves in different directions. As shown in Fig. 2.8 the vector \( \mathbf{r}(\theta, \phi) \) is the unit propagation vector pointed in the direction defined by the spherical angles \( \theta, \phi \) and \( \mathbf{r} \) is the radius vector of length \( r \), with direction defined by the angles \( \theta \) and \( \phi \). The most general sort of scalar wave can be represented by the integral

\[
\psi = \int d\phi \int \sin \theta \, d\theta \int \mathbf{f}(\phi, \theta; r \cdot \mathbf{a}(\theta, \phi) - ct)
\]

where \( f \) is a traveling wave of shape depending on the angles \( \theta \) and \( \phi \). The limits of integration are usually from 0 to \( 2\pi \) for \( \phi \) and from 0 to \( \pi \) for \( \theta \), but they may extend to imaginary or complex values [such as from 0 to \( (\pi/2) + i\infty \) for \( \theta \)].

The most general vector wave function can be formed in a similar manner:

\[
\mathbf{A} = \int d\phi \int \sin \theta \, d\theta \int \mathbf{F}(\phi, \theta; r \cdot \mathbf{a}(\theta, \phi) - ct)
\]

where \( \mathbf{F}(\phi, \theta; z) \) is a vector function of \( \phi \) and \( \theta \) and \( z \) which is pointed in a direction perpendicular to \( \mathbf{a}(\theta, \phi) \). Since every element in the integrand is a transverse wave, the result must have zero divergence.

One can also express more specialized waves in this same manner. In the very important case of simple harmonic waves, for instance, with time factor \( e^{-i\omega t} \), the expression for the scalar wave becomes

\[
\psi = \int d\phi \int Y(\phi, \theta) e^{i(\omega t - \omega r \cos \Omega)} \sin \theta \, d\theta
\]

where \( \alpha \cos \Omega = \tau [\cos \theta \cos \delta + \sin \theta \sin \delta \cos (\phi - \varphi)] = r \cdot \mathbf{a}(\theta, \phi) \) and \( Y(\phi, \theta) \) is some function of the spherical angles. For the vector solution \( \mathbf{Y} \) is a vector perpendicular to \( \mathbf{a} \) for every value of \( \theta \) and \( \phi \). In future
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chapters we shall find it extremely valuable to express all the solutions of the wave equation in such an integral form.

**Stress and Strain.** To return to physics after this incursion into mathematics, it will be well to compute the stresses in the medium corresponding to the various types of wave motion. For a compressional (longitudinal) wave traveling to the right along the \( x \) axis, the scalar potential is \( \psi = f(x - c dt) \) and the displacement of the medium at point \( x, y, z \) at time \( t \) is

\[
s = \nabla \psi = f'(x - c dt); \quad f'(\xi) = (d'/d\xi)f(\xi) \tag{2.2.13}
\]

The strain dyadic is \( \mathfrak{S} = \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla s + s \nabla)] = if''(x - c dt) \), and the stress dyadic is

\[
\mathfrak{T} = \lambda \mathfrak{S} + \mu(\nabla s + s \nabla) = [(\lambda + 2\mu)\nabla + \lambda(\nabla + k k)]f''(x - c dt); \quad f''(\xi) = (d''/d\xi^2)f(\xi) \tag{2.2.14}
\]

In other words the force across a unit area perpendicular to the \( x \) axis is in the \( z \) direction and of magnitude \( (\lambda + 2\mu)f'' \), whereas the force across a unit area parallel to the \( x \) axis is perpendicular to the area and equal to \( \lambda f'' \). The motion is entirely in the \( x \) direction, and the tractile forces are all normal; no shear is present.

For a shear (transverse) wave traveling to the right along the \( x \) axis, with motion parallel to the \( z \) axis, the vector potential is \( \mathbf{A} = f'(x - c dt) \) and the displacement of the medium at point \( x, y, z \) at time \( t \) is

\[
s = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = k f''(x - c dt); \quad f''(\xi) = (d''/d\xi^2)f(\xi) \tag{2.2.15}
\]

The strain dyadic is \( \mathfrak{S} = (ik + k i)f''(x - c dt) \), and the stress dyadic is

\[
\mathfrak{T} = \mu(\nabla s + s \nabla) = \mu(ik + k i)f''(x - c dt); \quad f''(\xi) = (d''/d\xi^2)f(\xi) \tag{2.2.16}
\]

since \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{s} \) is zero. In this case the force across a unit area perpendicular to the \( x \) axis is in the \( z \) direction and of magnitude \( \mu f'' \); the force across one perpendicular to the \( z \) axis is in the \( x \) direction and also equal to \( \mu f'' \). There is no tractile force across a surface perpendicular to the \( y \) axis. This stress is, of course, a simple shear in the \( x, z \) plane.

**Wave Energy and Impedance.** To find the potential energy stored in a certain volume of medium when its strain dyadic is \( \mathfrak{S} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla s + s \nabla) \) and its stress dyadic is \( \mathfrak{T} = \lambda \mathfrak{S} + 2\mu \mathfrak{S} \), we first find the increase in potential energy when the displacement \( s \) of the medium at \( \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \) is increased by the small amount \( \delta s \). The work done by the stress forces on the medium in the volume can be computed in terms of the scalar product of the tractile force \( (\mathfrak{T} \cdot d\mathbf{A}) \) across each element \( d\mathbf{A} \) of the surface of the volume and the displacement \( \delta s \) of the element:

\[
\delta w = \int \int \delta s \cdot (\mathfrak{T} \cdot d\mathbf{A}) = \int \int [\delta s \cdot (\nabla \cdot d\mathbf{A})] \, d\mathbf{v}
\]
where the first integral is over the surface bounding the volume in question and the second integral is over the volume itself. We have made use of Gauss's theorem, Eq. (1.4.7), to derive the second integral from the first.

However, a juggling of components shows that, for any vector \( \mathbf{A} \) and dyadic \( \mathbf{B} \), the following formula holds:

\[
\text{div} \left( \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A} \right) = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla) \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} : (\nabla \mathbf{A})\;
\text{where} \quad \mathbf{B} : \mathbf{D} = \sum_{mn} B_{mn} D_{mn} = |\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D}|
\]

Therefore,

\[
\iiint \text{div} \left( \mathbf{D} \cdot \delta s \right) \, dv = \iiint [(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}) \cdot \delta s + \mathbf{D} \cdot (\nabla \delta s)] \, dv
\]

Since \((\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}) \, dv\) is the net force on the volume element \(dv\), which is zero when the medium is in equilibrium (as it is when we measure potential energy), the integrand of the potential-energy integral becomes

\[
\mathbf{D} \cdot (\nabla \delta s) = \mathbf{D} : \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s} \nabla \right) \right] = \mathbf{D} : \mathbf{E} = \left[ \lambda |\mathbf{E}|^2 + 2\mu \mathbf{E} : \mathbf{E} \right] = \delta [\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}|^2] = \delta [\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D}|]
\]

where, since \(\mathbf{D}\) is symmetric, \(\mathbf{D} : \mathbf{D} = |\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D}|\). This represents the increase of potential energy due to the increment of displacement \(\delta\). It is clear, therefore, that the total potential energy due to the displacement field \(\mathbf{s}\) is given by the volume integral

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} \iiint (\mathbf{D} : \mathbf{E}) \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \iiint \left[ \lambda |\mathbf{E}|^2 + 2\mu \mathbf{E} : \mathbf{E} \right] \, dv
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \iiint \left[ \lambda (\text{div} \mathbf{s})^2 + 2\mu \left( \frac{\partial s_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_y}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_z}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] + \mu \left[ \left( \frac{\partial s_y}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_z}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] \, dw \quad (2.2.17)
\]

The kinetic energy is, of course, the integral of \(\frac{1}{2} \rho (\partial \mathbf{s}/\partial t)^2\) over the same volume. The total energy density in the medium is, therefore,

\[
w = \frac{1}{2} \rho (\partial \mathbf{s}/\partial t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}|; \quad W = \iint w \, dv \quad (2.2.18)
\]

For the plane compressional and shear waves given in Eqs. (2.2.13) and (2.2.15) the energy densities turn out to be

\[
w = \frac{1}{2} \rho c^2 (f''')^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda + 2\mu) [f''(x - ct)]^2 = \left( \lambda + 2\mu \right) [f''(x - ct)]^2
\]

and

\[
w = \frac{1}{2} \rho c^2 (f''')^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu [f''(x - ct)]^2 \quad (2.2.19)
\]

The flow of energy across any given closed surface may be obtained by finding the rate of change of the total energy inside the surface. Using Eq. (2.2.1) in the process, we find that
\[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \iiint \left[ \rho \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) + \left( \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} \right] \, dv \]
\[ = \iiint \left[ \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} \right] \cdot \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \, dv \]
\[ = \iiint \text{div} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} \right] \, dv = \iiint \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{s}}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dA \]

The last integral, being a surface integral, must equal the flow of energy in through the surface to cause the increase in \( W \). With a minus sign in front of it, it is the net outflow of energy across the closed surface.

Therefore, the vector representing the energy flow density in a medium carrying elastic waves is
\[ \mathbf{S} = -\left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} \] (2.2.20)

This is not a surprising result. The quantity \( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \) is the velocity of the particle of the medium at \( x, y, z \). The tractive force across an element of surface \( dA \) perpendicular to \( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \) is \( \mathbf{v} \cdot dA \), and the expression for power is force times velocity. Since the dimensions of \( \mathbf{v} \) are force per unit area, the dimensions of \( \mathbf{S} \) are power per unit area.

For the plane longitudinal wave given in Eqs. (2.2.13) and (2.2.14) the transmitted power is
\[ \mathbf{S} = i(\lambda + 2\mu)c_{f}(x - c,t)^{2} \] (2.2.21)
and for the transverse plane wave given in Eqs. (2.2.15) and (2.2.16) it is
\[ \mathbf{S} = i\mu c_{f}(x - c,t)^{2} \] (2.2.22)

The density of energy flow for a plane elastic wave is usually called the intensity of the wave. We see in each case that the magnitude of the intensity is the energy density times the wave velocity. In a plane wave the energy moves along with the velocity of the wave.

In these cases we can consider the quantities \( c_{f}f'' \) and \( c_{f}F'' \), the amplitudes of velocity of the medium, as being analogous to an electric-current density and the quantities \( (\lambda + 2\mu)f'' \) and \( \mu F'' \), the amplitudes of the tractive forces, as being analogous to voltages. The product of the two gives power density. The ratio of the two would give a quantity which could be called the impedance of the medium for waves of the type considered. For compressional waves the impedance is \( (\lambda + 2\mu)/c_{s} = \rho c_{s} \), and for shear waves it is \( \mu/c_{s} = \rho c_{s} \).

2.3 Motion of Fluids

A fluid differs from an elastic solid in that it yields to a shearing stress. We cannot expect to relate the displacement of a fluid with the
stress tensor, for if the displacement were kept constant, the shearing
stress would vary with time, or if the shearing stress were kept constant,
the displacement would vary with time. It requires a constant rate of
shear to maintain a constant shearing force in a fluid.

This indicates (if it were not clear already!) that it is more convenient
to express the behavior of a fluid in terms of velocities rather than dis-
placements. Two types of description can be used; one which gives the
velocity of each particle of the fluid at each instant of time and another
which gives the fluid velocity at each point in space at each instant of
time. In the first description the vector field follows the particles of
fluid as they move around; in the second case the field is attached to a
fixed coordinate system, the vector at a given point giving the velocity
of that part of the fluid which is at that point at the time.

The two types of description of the motion of a fluid correspond in a
distant way to the atomic and to the continuum picture of a fluid. An
actual fluid, of course, is a collection of molecules, each moving under
the influence of forces. Some of the forces are internal, due to other
molecules nearby; the nature of these forces determines the compressi-
bility of the fluid. Other forces are external, due to bodies at some
distance, such as gravitational or electrical forces, which act more or
less equally on all molecules in a given small region.

In a thoroughgoing analysis of the first type of description we would
start by labeling each molecule by its position in space at \( t = 0 \). For a
detailed analysis we should also have to know the initial velocity of each
molecule before we could expect to determine in detail their subsequent
motions. For many problems, however, it will suffice to know only the
average position and velocity of the molecules in each element of volume
(such as the one \( dx\,dy\,dz \) at \( x_0, y_0, z_0 \)) with dimensions large compared
with molecular size but small compared with the total extent of the fluid
considered. When these averages are obtained, the internal forces
cancel out (except in determining the relation between pressure and
density) and leave only the external forces acting on the portion of fluid
in the element. By this averaging procedure we obtain equations for
the gross motions of the fluid which disregard its detailed discontinuities
and correspond to a continuous, nongranular approximation to the actual
fluid. The discussion in Sec. 2.4 will show how this transition, from
an overdetailed molecular picture to a smoothed-out, average picture for
the fluid, is performed.

The second type of description usually starts immediately from the
smoothed-out approximation. The average velocity of those fluid
particles which are close to the fixed point \( x, y, z \) at time \( t \) is computed as a
function of \( t \), as though the fluid actually were continuous.

We shall choose the second method of representation, for it cor-
responds more closely to the types of fields studied in other parts of this
chapter. The vector \( \mathbf{v}(x, y, z, t) \) is the velocity of that portion of the fluid which happens to be at \( x, y, z \) at time \( t \). The expression \( \text{div} \ \mathbf{v} \) is the net outflow of fluid from the "region around \( x, y, z \)"; that is, \( \frac{dx}{dt} \frac{dy}{dt} \frac{dz}{dt} \) \text{div} \ \mathbf{v} \) is the net outflow of fluid from the element \( dx \ dy \ dz \). If \( \text{div} \ \mathbf{v} \) is everywhere zero, the fluid is then said to be \textit{incompressible}. The vector \( \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2} \text{curl} \ \mathbf{v} \) represents the circulation of fluid "around the point \( x, y, z \)"; it is called the \textit{vorticity vector} of the fluid (see page 42). If \( \mathbf{w} \) is everywhere zero, the flow of fluid is said to be \textit{irrotational} (in which case the vector \( \mathbf{v} \) can be expressed as the gradient of a scalar velocity potential).

This brings us back to the discussion of vector fields given in Sec. 1.2. As a matter of fact we used there the example of fluid flow to help us picture a vector field, and a number of terms, such as vorticity, flow lines, and net outflow, were chosen to further the analogy. We can now return to this point of view to obtain quantitative measures for the fluid motion.

For instance, the flow lines plot the average paths of the various particles of fluid. The differential equation for these lines is \( \frac{dx}{v_x} = \frac{dy}{v_y} = \frac{dz}{v_z} \). The number of flow lines crossing a given surface, which is equal to the outflow integral \( \int \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{A} \) across the surface, is also equal to the average flow of fluid across the surface, and so on. If there is no vorticity (i.e., if \( \text{curl} \ \mathbf{v} = 0 \)) and a velocity potential exists, the flow lines are everywhere perpendicular to the equipotential surfaces and constitute a natural coordinate system for the problem.

\textbf{Equation of Continuity.} Two general properties of the velocity field for a fluid should be mentioned before we go into details. One has to do with the relation between net outflow and change of density of fluid. If \( \mathbf{v} \) is the fluid velocity and \( \rho \) is the fluid density at \( x, y, z, t \), then \( \rho \mathbf{v} \) is the vector representing the flow of mass per square centimeter and \( dx \ dy \ dz \) \text{div} \ (\rho \mathbf{v}) \) is then the net outflow of mass from the volume element \( dx \ dy \ dz \). Since matter is neither created nor destroyed in most of the cases considered, this net outflow of mass must equal the loss of mass \( \rho \ dx \ dy \ dz \) of the fluid in the element. In other words

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = - \text{div} (\rho \mathbf{v})
\]  

(2.3.1)

This equation is called the \textit{equation of continuity} for the fluid. From this equation it is obvious that for a fluid of constant density \( \rho \) (incompressible fluid) the net outflow \( \text{div} \ \mathbf{v} \) must be zero.

In some problems it will be convenient to assume that fluid is being created (or destroyed) at some point or points. Such a point is called a \textit{source} (or a \textit{sink}) of fluid. Naturally the equation of continuity does not hold there.

The other general property of the velocity field is related to the fact that the coordinate system for the vector field does not move with the fluid. To find the rate of change of some property \( F(x, t) \) of the fluid
at or near a specified fluid particle (whose position is given by the radius vector \( \mathbf{r} \) at time \( t \)) we cannot just compute the rate of change \( \partial F / \partial t \) of \( F \) at the point \( x, y, z \), for the particle does not usually stay at the one point. The change in \( F \) we are interested in is the difference between the value \( F(\mathbf{r}, t) \) at point \( x, y, z \), where the fluid particle is supposed to be at time \( t \), and the value \( F(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{v} \, dt, t + dt) \) at point \( x + v_x \, dt, y + v_y \, dt, z + v_z \, dt \), which is where the particle is at time \( t + dt \). This difference, when expanded out and the first-order terms kept, turns out to be \( dF = \left[ (\partial F / \partial t) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla F \right] dt \). The rate of change of the property \( F \) of the fluid, which is denoted by the total derivative sign, is therefore given by the equation

\[
\frac{dF}{dt} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla F \tag{2.3.2}
\]

in terms of the time rate of change of \( F \) at point \( x, y, z \) (given by the partial derivative of \( F \)) and the space dependence of \( F \) near \( x, y, z \) (given by the \( \nabla F \) term).

For instance, the acceleration of the part of the fluid which is “at” \( x, y, z \) at time \( t \) is

\[
\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v} \times \text{curl} \mathbf{v} \]

\[
= \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (\mathbf{v}^2) - 2\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} \tag{2.3.3}
\]

The second form of this expression is obtained by a reshuffling of vector components, and the third form is obtained by substituting the vorticity vector \( \mathbf{w} \) for \( \frac{1}{2} \text{curl} \mathbf{v} \). According to the discussion of page 41, the magnitude of \( \mathbf{w} \) equals the angular velocity of the portion of fluid “near” \( x, y, z \), and the direction of \( \mathbf{w} \) is such as to make \( \mathbf{w} \) turn with the fluid.

The rate of change of a scalar property of the fluid can also be computed. The rate of change of density of a given element of fluid, which happens to be “at” \( x, y, z \) at time \( t \) as it travels along, may also be calculated by the same method, with the following result:

\[
\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \text{grad} \rho \tag{2.3.4}
\]

But the equation of continuity has that \( \partial \rho / \partial t = - \text{div} (\rho \mathbf{v}) \), so that

\[
(\frac{d\rho}{dt}) = - \text{div} (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \text{grad} \rho = - \rho \text{ div} \mathbf{v} \tag{2.3.5}
\]

Solutions for Incompressible Fluids. When the fluid density \( \rho \) is everywhere constant, the equation determining \( \mathbf{v} \) is just \( \text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \). The most general solution of this can be expressed in terms of a scalar and vector potential (as shown on page 53).

\[
\mathbf{v} = \text{curl} \mathbf{A} + \text{grad} \psi; \quad \nabla \psi = \text{div} \text{grad} \psi = 0 \tag{2.3.6}
\]
The vector $\mathbf{A}$ can be any well-behaved vector field which satisfies the boundary conditions. The equation for the velocity potential $\psi$ is called Laplace's equation. It will be discussed at great length later in the book. The flow lines, discussed on page 12, are, of course, perpendicular to the surfaces of constant velocity potential.

When there is no vorticity, $\mathbf{A} = 0$ and the velocity is completely determined by the scalar potential. If, in addition, the flow lines lie in parallel planes, the velocity potential can be made a function of only two coordinates, and the motion is called two-dimensional flow. This special case has numerous important applications in aerodynamics. Here the flow lines and the potential lines made an orthogonal set of curvilinear coordinates in the two dimensions.

The equation for the flow lines is (see page 12)

$$\frac{dx}{v_x} = \frac{dy}{v_y} \quad \text{or} \quad -v_y \, dx + v_x \, dy = 0$$

Therefore if $v_y = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}$ and $v_x = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}$, we have that

$$\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right) dx + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}\right) dy = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi(x,y) = \text{constant}$$
along a stream line. The function $\Phi$ is called a stream function; it is related to the velocity potential $\psi$ by the relations

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} = -\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}\right)$$

which are called Cauchy-Riemann equations and which will be discussed in much greater detail in Chap. 4 in connection with functions of a complex variable.

We have mentioned earlier that the "density of flow lines" is a measure of the total flow and therefore of the velocity of the fluid. This can be quickly shown in the two-dimensional case, for the outflow integral $\int \mathbf{v} \cdot dA$ between two stream lines $\Phi(x,y) = \Phi_2$ and $\Phi(x,y) = \Phi_1$ can be reduced to a line integral in the $xy$ plane. The outflow integral concerned is between two planes parallel to the $x, y$ plane a unit distance apart, and the element of area $dA$ can be a thin strip of unit length and of width equal to $ds$, where $ds$ is the element of length along the path from $\Phi_1$ to $\Phi_2$ in the $x, y$ plane.

The direction of $dA$ is, of course, perpendicular to the direction of $ds$; in fact $dA = ds \times \mathbf{k}$ where, of course, $ds$ is always perpendicular to $\mathbf{k}$. The flow integral is then

$$\int^2_v \cdot dA = \int^2_1 v \cdot (ds \times \mathbf{k}) = \int^2_1 (v \times ds) \cdot \mathbf{k}$$

$$= \int^2_1 (v_x dy - v_y dx) = \int^2_1 d\Phi = \Phi_2 - \Phi_1$$

In other words the total flow of fluid along the region enclosed between the planes $z = 0$ and $z = 1$ and the surfaces defined by the flow lines 1 and 2 is just the difference between the values $\Phi_2$ and $\Phi_1$ of the flow function.

The usual boundary conditions in fluid flow are that the velocity is tangential to all bounding surfaces. When viscosity is important, we must require that the fluid immediately next to the surface move with
the surface; i.e., if the surface is at rest, even the tangential component of the velocity must go to zero at the boundary. If viscosity is not large, however, we may safely assume that the fluid may slip along the surface without appreciable drag, so that a finite tangential component next to the surface is allowed.

Examples. A few simple examples will perhaps clarify some of those statements and definitions. The scalar potential and related velocity field, given by the equations

\[ \psi = -(Q/r); \quad \mathbf{v} = (Q/r^2) \mathbf{a}_r \]  

have been shown on page 17 to be due to a point source of fluid at the origin \((r = 0)\) in a fluid of infinite extent. As indicated in Eq. (1.2.9),

\[ \text{Fig. 2.11 Flow lines from a point source.} \]

the total normal outflow from the source is \(4\pi Q\), which is called the strength of the source. Since no vector potential enters here, there is no related vorticity vector \( \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2} \text{curl} \, \mathbf{v} \) (see page 133) and the flow is said to be irrotational.

Another case represents the shearing flow which results when fluid is between two plane parallel surfaces \((z = 0\) and \(z = 1\) for instance) one of which moves with respect to the other. If the surface at \(z = 0\) is at rest and the surface at \(z = 1\) is moving in the \(x\) direction with unit velocity, the fluid between is said to be subjected to a unit shearing rate. The velocity field, which is at rest with respect to both surfaces and uniformly distributed between, is derivable from a vector potential:

\[ \mathbf{A} = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 \mathbf{j}; \quad \mathbf{v} = zi \]  

\[ \text{Fig. 2.12 Flow velocity for fluid in shear.} \]

There is no normal outflow (\(\text{div} \, \mathbf{v} = 0\)), but the vorticity vector \( \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2} \text{curl} \, \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{j} \) is uniform over the region.
Another example of velocity field, exhibiting both vector and scalar potentials, is the following, expressed in cylindrical coordinates, \( r, \phi, z \):

\[
\psi = \begin{cases} 
0; & r < a \\
\omega a^2 \phi; & r > a
\end{cases}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{2} \omega r^2 \mathbf{a}_z; & r < a \\
0; & r > a
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.3.9)

where \( \omega \) is the angular velocity of the fluid inside the cylinder \( r = a \). The velocity vector is then

\[
\mathbf{v} = \begin{cases} 
\omega r \mathbf{a}_\phi; & r < a \\
(\omega t^2/r) \mathbf{a}_\phi; & r > a
\end{cases}
\]

The vorticity vector \( \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2} \text{curl} \mathbf{v} \) is \( \omega \mathbf{a}_z \) (as is to be expected from its definition on page 41) for \( r < a \) and is zero for \( r > a \). We note that for \( r > a \) the velocity field is that found outside a simple vortex line, as given in Eq. (1.2.11). Here we have made the vortex motion finite in extent \((r < a)\) rather than concentrated in an infinitely narrow line, as was done in Chap. 1.

**Stresses in a Fluid.** Before we can go much further in this analysis, we must study the internal stresses in the fluid. There is, of course, the pressure, which may be due to gravitational or other forces on the fluid or may be due to a compression of the fluid or both.

In addition, there are the frictional stresses due to *rate of change of strain*, proportional to the velocity vector \( \mathbf{v} \) instead of the displacement vector \( \mathbf{s} \), as was the case with elastic solids. We saw on page 67 that the strain in an elastic solid could be represented by a symmetric dyadic \( \mathbf{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s} \nabla) \). The rate of change of this strain is also a dyadic

\[
\mathbf{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \nabla)
\]

The expansion factor \(|\mathbf{\Sigma}| = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \) is, by the equation of continuity, proportional to the rate of change of density of the fluid (which is zero if the fluid is incompressible). The “remainder” of \( \mathbf{\Sigma} \), which can be represented by the dyadic

\[
\mathbf{\Sigma}_s = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \nabla) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad |\mathbf{\Sigma}_s| = 0
\]

corresponds to pure shearing rate and would represent the form of the rate of change of strain dyadic for incompressible fluids.
Now we must work out the form of the stress dyadic and how it depends on the rate of strain. When the fluid is not in motion, the only stress is the static pressure \( p \), which is completely symmetrical;

\[
\mathbf{T} = -p \mathbf{I}
\]

so that the force across any element of area \( dA \) is \(-p\, dA\) (the negative sign indicating pressure, negative tension). When the fluid is expanding without shear \( (U_r = 0) \), it is possible that there is a frictional effect to pure expansion and that the pressure is altered by the rate of expansion (this turns out to be the case with all fluids except monatomic gases). In such a case the stress would be

\[
\mathbf{T} = (-p + \lambda \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{I}
\]

where \( \lambda \) can be called the coefficient of expansive friction.

If, in addition, there is a rate of shear of the fluid, there will be a proportional shearing stress, \( 2\eta \mathbf{U_s} \), where \( \eta \) is called the coefficient of viscosity. The total stress dyadic is therefore related to the pressure and to the rate of strain by the following equation:

\[
\mathbf{T} = -p \mathbf{I} + \lambda (1 + 2\eta) \mathbf{U_s} = -(p + \gamma \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{I} + \eta (\nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v})
\]

where \( \gamma = \frac{3}{2} \eta - \lambda \) can be called the second viscosity coefficient. This equation is similar to Eq. (1.6.28) for the stresses in an elastic solid, except that velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) now enters where displacement \( \mathbf{u} \) occurred before (and, of course, the pressure term has been added). This difference is not trivial, however, for a force proportional to a velocity is a dissipative force whereas the stresses in Eq. (1.6.28) are conservative.

One might, of course, have assumed that the constants \( \gamma \) and \( \eta \) were dyadics rather than scalars, but we have less reason to expect such complication here than we did in isotropic solids. We expect that a fluid is isotropic, and experimental results seem to bear this out.

Returning to our examples, we can use the expression for the dyadic \( \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}) \) in spherical coordinates, given on page 117, to calculate the stress tensor,

\[
\mathbf{T} = \left( -p + \frac{2\eta Q}{r^2} \right) \mathbf{I} - \frac{6\eta Q}{r^2} \mathbf{a},
\]

for the flow from a simple source given in Eq. (2.3.7). In other words, the force across a surface element perpendicular to a radius vector is a compressional one of magnitude \( p + (4\eta Q/r^2) \), whereas the compressional force across any surface perpendicular to the former element is \( p - (2\eta Q/r^2) \). When there is viscosity \( (\eta > 0) \), therefore, the force on an element of fluid is not isotropic, and for a large enough flow \( (Q \text{ large}) \) or a small enough radius, the force "across" a radial flow line becomes a tension, whereas the force "along" the flow line is everywhere com-
pressure. This sort of force is, of course, needed to change the shape of an element of fluid as it travels out radially from the source, for the element must spread out in all directions perpendicular to the radius and must correspondingly become thinner radially. If the fluid is viscous, it takes a nonisotropic force to produce such a deformation. The constant $\gamma$ does not enter, for we are assuming that the fluid is incompressible and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$.

For the unit shear case given in Eq. (2.3.8), the stress tensor is

$$\mathbf{T} = -p\mathbf{3} + \eta(\mathbf{k}i + \mathbf{i}k)$$

(2.3.12)

Here the force on a unit area of stationary surface at $z = 0$ is just $\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{k} = -p\mathbf{3} + \eta$. The component $-p\mathbf{k}$ normal to the surface is, of course, the pressure (the minus sign indicating force into the surface). The component $\eta\mathbf{i}$ parallel to the motion of the upper surface (at $z = 1$) is due to the viscosity of the fluid; in fact we have set up just the conditions corresponding to the fundamental definition of the coefficient of viscosity $\eta$ of a fluid ($\eta$ is the magnitude of the tangential force per unit area for a unit rate of shear).

In the last example, given in Eq. (2.3.9), we have for the stress

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{cases} -p\mathbf{3}; & r < a \\ -p\mathbf{3} - \left(4\eta \omega^2 / r^2\right)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})\mathbf{a}; & r > a \end{cases}$$

(2.3.13)

In the portion of fluid for $r < a$ the only stress is the isotropic pressure, which is not surprising, for this portion of the fluid is rotating as a rigid solid with angular velocity $\omega$. Outside this vortex core, for $r > a$, there is shear of the fluid, and the force on unit area perpendicular to $r$ has a tangential component $-\left(4\eta \omega^2 / r^2\right)a$ representing the drag of the fluid outside the cylinder of radius $r$ on the fluid inside the cylinder (or vice versa).

The force on an element of fluid at $x, y, z$ is, as we have stated, $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{T} + F) dx dy dz$. This must equal the acceleration of the element $\partial \mathbf{v} / \partial t$ times its mass $\rho dx dy dz$. The resulting equation (which is obtained by the use of the formulas on page 115)

$$\rho(\partial \mathbf{v} / \partial t) + \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{F} + \nabla \cdot \left[ -\left(\rho + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right) \mathbf{3} \right] + \eta(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T)$$

$$= \mathbf{F} - \nabla \left[ \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma - \lambda) \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) \right] + \eta \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$$

(2.3.14)

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \eta - \lambda$, serves to calculate the pressure if the velocity is known or enables the transient and oscillatory motions to be computed. This equation, together with the equation of continuity, Eq. (2.3.1), and the equation of state, relating the pressure and the compression of the fluid, is fundamental to all the multiform problems encountered in fluid dynamics. The various forms are obtained by considering one
term after another in this equation negligibly small and combining the
remaining terms.

**Bernoulli's Equation.** The simplest case is for the steady-state
motion of an incompressible fluid, for then \( \partial v / \partial t \) and \( \text{div} \ v \) are both zero. In addition we assume that the external force \( F \) can be obtained from a
potential energy \( V \), \( F = - \nabla V \), and we use the vector relation

\[
\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^2 = \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \times \nabla \times \nabla \mathbf{v}
\]

We finally obtain

\[
2\eta \nabla \times \mathbf{w} - 2\rho \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = - \nabla U
\]
\[
U = V + p + \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2; \quad \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v} \times \nabla \mathbf{v}
\]

(2.3.15)

The scalar quantity \( U \) can be considered to be the energy density of
the moving fluid. The first term is the potential energy of position due
to external forces; the second term is the kinetic energy density. If the
fluid motion is *irrotational*, the vorticity vector \( \mathbf{w} \) is zero and \( U \) is a constant
everywhere for a given flow pattern. In this case we determine the
fluid velocity, in terms of a velocity potential, from the boundary
conditions and then calculate the pressure from the equation

\[
p = U - V - \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2
\]

(2.3.16)

where \( U \) is a constant determined by the boundary conditions. This
is called **Bernoulli's equation** for incompressible fluids (\( \rho = \text{constant} \)).

We note that it is possible for the solution to require a large enough
velocity, in certain regions, so that the pressure, computed from this
equation, would turn out to be negative. In principle this cannot
happen, for cavitation would result and the boundary conditions would
be modified.

A very large number of problems of practical interest can be computed
with fair accuracy by assuming that the flow can be represented by a
velocity potential (i.e., irrotational flow) which is a solution of Laplace's
equation. The pressure at any point can then be computed from
Bernoulli's equation. Many problems even in aerodynamics can be
calculated in this manner, although air is far from being incompressible.
Only when the velocity of an important portion of the air approaches
the speed of sound does the approximation become invalid. The more
complicated case of *supersonic* flow will be touched later in this section.

As an example of irrotational, incompressible fluid motion, we return
to the flow from a simple source, given in Eq. (2.3.7). If we neglect
the gravitational potential, the pressure as a function of \( r \) is \( p_w = (\rho Q^2/2r^4) \), where \( p_w \) is the pressure an infinite distance from the source.
We see that, if the actual size of the source is too small (\( r \) too small),
the pressure will be negative and cavitation will result.

Finally we consider the case given in Eq. (2.3.9) of a vertical vortex
of radius \( a \). This time we shall take into account the gravitational
potential \( V = \rho g z \). For \( r > a \) the vorticity \( \omega \) is zero, so that \( U \) is a constant. Suppose that the fluid has a free surface \((p = 0)\) at \( z = 0 \) when it is at rest. The constant value of \( U \) is therefore set so that \( p = 0 \) is at \( z = 0 \) and \( r = \infty \); that is,

\[
\rho g z + p + (\rho \omega^2 a^4 / 2r^2) = 0; \quad r > a
\]

For \( r < a \) the vorticity \( \omega \) is not zero but it has zero curl, so that \( \text{grad} \, U = 2\nu \times w - 2\rho \omega^2 r a \). Integrating for \( U \) and adjusting the constant of integration so that the pressure is continuous at \( r = a \), we have

\[
\rho g z + p + (\rho \omega^2 / 2)(2a^4 - r^4) = 0; \quad r < a
\]

The equation for the free surface is the equation for the surface \( p = 0 \):

\[
\kappa = \begin{cases} 
(\omega^2 / 2\rho)(r^2 - 2a^2); & r < a \\
- (\omega^2 a^4 / 2gr^2); & r > a 
\end{cases} \quad (2.3.17)
\]

In both of these cases, the viscosity has had no effect on the pressure, because the only term involving viscosity in the equation for the pressure for steady-state motion of incompressible fluids is one involving the curl of the vorticity \( \omega \), and the examples have been simple enough so that curl \( \omega \) was zero. Other examples can be worked out for which curl \( \omega \) is not zero and the viscosity does have an effect on the pressure, but the most frequently encountered examples of this sort are cases where \( \nu \) and \( p \) change with time.

**The Wave Equation.** The first examples to be considered of non-steady motion will be for small-amplitude vibrations. In this case all terms in Eq. (2.3.14) involving the squares of \( v \) can be neglected, and we obtain the simpler equation

\[
\rho (\partial v / \partial t) = - \text{grad} \, (p + V) + (\frac{4}{3} \eta + \lambda) \text{grad} \, \text{div} \, v - \eta \text{curl} \, \text{curl} \, v
\]

\[(2.3.18)\]

where we have again set \( F = - \text{grad} \, V \) and where we do not now assume that the fluid is incompressible.

In order to get any further we must discuss the relation between the pressure and the state of compression of the fluid. Flow of material out of any volume element will reduce the pressure in a compressible fluid; in fact for any elastic fluid, as long as the compression is small, the rate of change of \( p \) is proportional to the divergence of \( v \), \( \partial p / \partial t = - \kappa \text{ div} \, v \). The constant \( \kappa \) is called the compressibility modulus for the fluid under consideration. When the displacements are small, we can write this in terms of displacement \( s \): \( p = - \kappa \text{ div} \, s, \, \partial s / \partial t = v \).

We have seen on page 33 that every vector field can be separated in a unique way into a part which is a gradient and a part which is a curl. Here we utilize this fact twice, once by setting the unknown velocity \( v \) equal to the gradient of a velocity potential \( \psi \) and the curl of a vector
potential $\mathbf{A}$. Inserting this into Eq. (2.3.18), we equate the gradients and curls of each side separately. The equation for the curls is

$$\rho(\partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t) = -\eta \text{ curl curl } \mathbf{A} \quad (2.3.19)$$

This is not a vector wave equation, but a vector analogue of the diffusion equation mentioned on page 137 and to be discussed in Sec. 2.4. Since only the first time derivative of $\mathbf{A}$ occurs instead of the second derivative, solutions of this equation are not true waves, propagating with definite velocity and unchanged energy, but are critically damped disturbances, dying out in time and attenuated in spatial motion. They will be discussed more fully in Chap. 12. We note that the pressure is not affected by these waves. We note also that the equation for the vorticity $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \text{ curl } \mathbf{v}$ is identical with that for $\mathbf{A}$. Viscosity causes vorticity to diffuse away, a not unexpected result.

Collecting the gradient terms on both sides of the equation derived from Eq. (2.3.18) and differentiating both sides with respect to time finally give us the equation for the longitudinal wave:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \nabla^2 \psi + \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{4}{3} \eta + \lambda \right) \nabla^2 \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right); \quad c^2 = \frac{\kappa}{\rho} \quad (2.3.20)$$

When the compressional viscosity $\frac{4}{3} \eta + \lambda$ is small, ordinary compressional waves are transmitted through the fluid with velocity $c$, and all the remarks we have made concerning compressional waves in elastic media are applicable here. If this is not zero a damping term is introduced. For instance, for simple harmonic waves, with time dependence given by the exponential $e^{-i\omega t}$, the equation for the space dependence of $\psi$ is

$$\nabla^2 \psi + \frac{\omega^2 \psi}{c^2 - i(\omega/3\rho)(4\eta + 3\lambda)} = 0$$

In other words the space dependence will have a complex exponential factor, representing a space damping of the wave.

On the other hand if a standing wave has been set up, with space part satisfying the equation $\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0$, the equation for the time dependence of $\psi$ is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{4}{3} \eta + \lambda \right) k^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + c^2 k^2 \psi = 0$$

which is the equation for damped oscillators in time.

**Irrotational Flow of a Compressible Fluid.** Our next example of the different sorts of fluid motion represented by Eq. (2.3.14) is that of the steady, irrotational flow of a fluid which is compressible. This is the case of importance in aerodynamics when the fluid velocity approaches the speed of compressional waves, $c = \sqrt{\kappa/\rho}$, discussed in the previous
subsection. Since the applications are nearly always to the flow of air, we may as well specialize our expression for the compressibility $\kappa$ to the case of a gas.

We cannot go far enough afield here to discuss the thermodynamics of a perfect gas in detail; many texts on this subject are available for reference. We need only to write down two equations relating the pressure $p$, density $\rho$, and temperature $T$ of a gas during adiabatic expansion (expansion without loss of heat contained in the gas):

$$p'p_0 = (p/p_0)^\gamma = (T/T_0)^\gamma(\gamma - 1)$$

(2.3.21)

where the indices zero designate the pressure, density, and temperature at standard conditions (for instance, where the fluid is at rest). Another way of writing this is to relate the pressure and density to the entropy $S$ of the gas:

$$\frac{p'}{p} = \Lambda e^{\gamma - 1}$$

An adiabatic expansion is one for constant entropy $S$. The constant $\gamma$ is the ratio of specific heats at constant pressure and constant volume (its value for air is 1.405).

Taking the differential of this equation, for constant $S$, $dp/p = \gamma dp/p$, and using the equation of continuity, (2.3.3), we get $dp/p = -\gamma dt$ dv v. Comparing this result with the definition of the compressibility modulus $\kappa$ we see that $\kappa = \gamma p$ and that the speed of sound (compressional waves) in a gas at pressure $p$ and density $\rho$ is

$$c = \sqrt{\gamma p/\rho} = \sqrt{dp/dp}$$

(2.3.22)

In flow of a compressible gas both pressure and density (and therefore the speed of sound) change from point to point in the fluid. The relation between them is obtained from Eqs. (2.3.15), where again we start by considering irrational, steady-state flow ($w = 0$, $\partial c/\partial t = 0$ we also neglect the potential $V$). Since now $p$ is not constant, the integration of grad $U = 0$ is a little less simple than before. Both pressure and density turn out to be functions of the air speed $c$ at any point. The maximum pressure $p_0$ and related density $\rho_0$ and speed of sound $c_0$ are for those points where $c = 0$ (stagnation points). At any other point Eqs. (2.3.15) and (2.3.21) indicate that

$$c^2 = -2 \int_{c=0}^{c} \frac{dp}{\rho} - 2 \int_{p_0}^{p} \frac{dp}{\rho} = \int_{p_0}^{p_0} \frac{p - \gamma p_0}{\gamma - 1} \frac{dp}{\rho} - (p/p_0)^{(\gamma - 1)/\gamma}$$

This indicates that there is a maximum fluid velocity

$$c_{max} = \sqrt{2\gamma p_0/\rho_0(\gamma - 1)}$$

for which the pressure is zero. This would be the velocity of flow into a vacuum for instance. For air at 15°C at the stagnation points ($T_0 =
this limiting velocity is 75,700 cm per sec. At this limiting velocity the speed of sound is zero (since the pressure is zero). At the stagnation point the air is at rest and the velocity of sound, \( \sqrt{\gamma p_0 / \rho_0} \), is maximum. Therefore as \( v \) goes from zero to \( v_{\text{max}} \), the dimensionless ratio \( M = v/c \) goes from zero to infinity. This ratio is called the Mach number of the air flow at the point. If it is smaller than unity, the flow is subsonic; if it is larger than unity, the flow is supersonic.

The equations giving pressure, density, temperature, sound velocity, and Mach number at a point in terms of the fluid velocity \( v \) at the point and the pressure and density \( p \) and \( \rho \) at a stagnation point are

\[
\begin{align*}
p &= \rho_0 \left[ 1 - \left( v / v_{\text{max}} \right)^2 \right]^{\gamma / (\gamma - 1)} = \rho_0 \left( c / c_0 \right)^{2 \gamma / (\gamma - 1)} \\
\rho &= \rho_0 \left[ 1 - \left( v / v_{\text{max}} \right)^2 \right]^{\gamma / (\gamma - 1)} = \rho_0 \left( c / c_0 \right)^{2 \gamma / (\gamma - 1)} \\
T &= T_0 \left[ 1 - \left( v / v_{\text{max}} \right)^2 \right]^{\gamma - 1} = T_0 \left( c / c_0 \right)^{2} \\
c &= \sqrt{\gamma / 2} (\gamma - 1) \left( v_{\text{max}}^2 - v^2 \right) \\
M &= \frac{v}{c} = \sqrt{\gamma / (\gamma - 1) \left( v_{\text{max}}^2 - v^2 \right)} \\
v_{\text{max}} &= \sqrt{2 \gamma p_0 / \rho_0 (\gamma - 1)} = 75,700 \text{ cm per sec} \\
c_0 &= v_{\text{max}} \sqrt{\gamma / (\gamma - 1)} / 2 = \sqrt{\gamma p_0 / \rho_0} = 34,100 \text{ cm per sec}
\end{align*}
\]

(2.323)

The velocity \( v \), at which the Mach number \( M \) is unity (fluid speed equals sound speed) turns out to be equal to \( \sqrt{(\gamma - 1) / (\gamma + 1)} v_{\text{max}} = 31,100 \) cm per sec for air at 15°C (\( T_0 = 288 \)) at stagnation points. At this speed the pressure, density, etc., are \( p \approx 0.528 \rho_0 \), \( \rho = 0.685 \rho_0 \), \( T = 0.832 T_0 = 240^\circ \text{K} = -33^\circ \text{C} \), \( c = v \).

**Subsonic and Supersonic Flow.** Several examples will show the importance of the region where \( M = 1 \) and will indicate that the phenomena of gas flow for speeds above this (supersonic flow) are quite different from the phenomena for speeds below this (subsonic flow). As a very simple example, we suppose air to be flowing past a small object at rest at point \( P \) in Fig. 2.14. The presence of the object continuously decelerates the air in front of it, which continuously produces a sound wave in front of it. If the air velocity is less than that of sound, these waves can travel upstream from the obstruction at \( P \) and warn the fluid of its impending encounter, so to speak. But if the fluid is moving faster than
sound, then no warning can be sent upstream and the expanding wave fronts are carried downstream as shown in the right-hand sketch of Fig. 2.14. The envelop of these waves is a “bow wave” of disturbance, which is called a Mach line or Mach surface. The first intimation of the presence of the obstruction at $P$ occurs when the air strikes this line or surface. Incidentally, it is not hard to see that the angle of inclination of this line, the Mach angle, is given by the equation

$$\alpha = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{M} \right) = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{c}{v} \right)$$

We shall come back to these Mach lines later.

Another example, consider air to flow along a tube of varying cross section $S(x)$, as in Fig. 2.15. In order that no air pile up anywhere (i.e., that there be steady flow), the same mass $Q$ of air must pass through each cross section. If the tube does not change too rapidly with change of $x$, and if the inner surface of the tube is smooth, the density and velocity will be nearly uniform across each cross section, and with fairly good approximation, we can say that $\rho$ and $p$ and $v$ are all functions of $x$ alone. Then, to this approximation, for steady flow

$$Q = S(x) \rho(x)v(x) = (S_0 \rho_0/v_{max}^\gamma)^{\gamma/(\gamma-1)} (v_{max}^\gamma - v^\gamma)^{1/(\gamma-1)}$$

or

$$\ln S = \frac{2}{\gamma - 1} \ln v_{max} + \ln \left( \frac{Q}{\rho_0} \right) - \ln v + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \ln \left( \frac{v_{max}^\gamma - v^\gamma}{v^\gamma} \right)$$

Differentiating this last equation with respect to $x$ and using the equation for $c$ given in Eq. (2.3.23), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{S} \frac{dS}{dx} = \frac{1}{v} \frac{dv}{dx} (M^2 - 1); \quad M = \frac{v}{c} \quad (2.3.24)$$

Therefore if the flow is everywhere subsonic ($M < 1$), wherever $S$ decreases in size, the air speed $v$ increases, and vice versa. On the other hand if the flow is everywhere supersonic ($M > 1$), wherever $S$ decreases,
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the air speed \( v \) decreases, and vice versa. In each case wherever \( S \) has a maximum or minimum, \( v \) has a maximum or minimum. These cases are shown in the lower plot of Fig. 2.15.

If, however, the pressure \( p_0 \), total flow \( Q \), etc., are adjusted properly, the Mach number \( M \) can be made to equal unity at a minimum of \( S \).

In this case \( dv/\text{dx} \) need not be zero even though \( dS/\text{dx} \) is zero, and the velocity can increase from subsonic to supersonic as it passes through the constriction (or, of course, it could start supersonic and end up subsonic). This case is shown by the center curve in the lower part of Fig. 2.15.

**Velocity Potential, Linear Approximation.** We must now set up the equation which will enable us to compute the vector velocity field to satisfy any given boundary conditions. As with the irrotational flow of incompressible fluids, we assume that this field can be obtained from a scalar velocity potential field, \( v = \nabla \psi \). The equation for \( \psi \) comes from the equation of continuity, Eq. (2.3.1) for \( \partial p/\partial t = 0 \),

\[
0 = \text{div} (p v) = \text{div} \left[ (p_0/v_{\max}^{\gamma-1}) (v_{\max}^2 - v^2)^{\gamma-1} v \right]
\]

or

\[
0 = \text{div} \left[ (v_{\max}^2 - v^2)^{\gamma-1} v \right]
\]

Therefore, if \( v = \nabla \psi \),

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = J; \quad J = \sum_{m,n=1}^{3} \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_n}
\]

(2.3.25)

where \( x_1 = x, x_2 = y, x_3 = z \), and \( c^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma - 1) (v_{\max}^2 - |\nabla \psi|^2) \).

For two-dimensional flow the equation becomes

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] = 2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \quad (2.3.26)
\]

There is also a flow function \( \Phi \) which defines the lines of flow and which measures the mass flow of air between two flow lines. We obtain this from the equation of continuity for steady flow, \( \text{div} (p v) = 0 \), for we can set

\[
v_x = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \frac{p_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v_y = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = \frac{p_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}
\]

and then \( \text{div} (p v) \) is automatically zero. Likewise, as we showed on page 156, the total mass flow between two flow lines (per unit of extent in \( z \)) is equal to \( p_0 \) times the difference between the values of \( \Phi \) for the two flow lines. The equation for \( \Phi \) is similar to that for \( \psi \):

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{p_0}{\rho c} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{p_0}{\rho c} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] = -2 \frac{\rho_0}{\rho c} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \quad (2.3.27)
\]
Equation (2.3.25) is, of course, a nonlinear equation for \( \psi \), one quite difficult to solve exactly. When \( J \) is small (subsonic flow), \( J \) may be neglected to the first approximation, and the equation reduces to the linear Laplace equation characteristic of incompressible fluids. When the solution of Laplace’s equation, \( \psi_0 \), is found for the particular case of interest, \( J_0 \) may be calculated for each point from \( \psi_0 \) by use of the equation for \( J \). Then a second approximation to the correct \( \psi \) may be obtained by solving the Poisson equation \( \nabla^2 \psi = J_0 \), and so on.

If \( M \) is not small, however, such iterative methods cannot be used and other approximate methods must be used. One technique is useful when the flow is not greatly different from uniform flow, \( v - v_n \), a constant. In this case the direction of the unperturbed flow can be taken along the \( x \) axis, and we can set

\[
v = v_1 + v_n; \quad \psi = v_0 x + \psi_1
\]

where \( v_1 \) is small compared with \( v_n \), though \( v_n \) is not necessarily small compared with \( c \). To the first order in the small quantity \( v_1/c \), we have

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial x^2} (1 - M_*^2) + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial z^2} \approx 0 \quad (2.3.28)
\]

where \( M_*^2 = [2/(\gamma - 1)][v_n^2/(v_{mx}^2 - v_n^2)] = v_n^2/c_n^2 \) is the square of the Mach number of the unperturbed flow.

This equation, being a linear one in \( \psi \), can be solved to determine the steady flow around irregularities in the boundary surfaces as long as the irregularities do not produce large changes in air velocity near them.

**Mach Lines and Shock Waves.** Equation (2.3.28) again shows the essential difference in nature between subsonic and supersonic flow. The differences can be illustrated by a two-dimensional case, where the equation is

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial x^2} (1 - M_*^2) + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad (2.3.29)
\]

When \( M_* \) is less than unity, this equation can be changed into a Laplace equation for \( \psi_1 \) by changing the scale of \( y \) to \( y' = y \sqrt{1 - M_*^2}, x' = x \). Therefore the flow lines and potential surfaces are similar to those for incompressible flow, except that the \( y \) axis is stretched by an amount \( 1/\sqrt{1 - M_*^2} \).

However, if \( M_* \) is larger than unity, we can no longer transform the equation into a Laplace equation, for the \( \partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2 \) term changes sign and the equation is more analogous to a wave equation (see page 124) with \( x \) analogous to time and the “wave velocity” \( c_n = 1/\sqrt{M_*^2 - 1} \). Solutions of the resulting equation are

\[
\psi_1 = f(y - c_n x) + F(y + c_n x)
\]
As mentioned on page 166, any irregularity in the boundary shape (which is, of course, here a plane parallel to the \( x, z \) plane) produces a "bow wave" which spreads out at an angle \( \alpha = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{c_0}{M} \right) \) to the \( x \) axis, the direction of unperturbed motion. This is the Mach angle mentioned on page 166.

In two dimensions we have also an approximate equation for the flow function \( \Phi \), discussed on page 167. We assume that \( \Phi = (\rho/\rho_0)v + \Phi_1 \), and inserting in Eq. (2.3.27) and neglecting terms smaller than the first order in \( \Phi_1 \), we have

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \Phi_1}{\partial x^2} (1 - M_n^2) + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_1}{\partial y^2} = 0 \tag{2.3.30}
\]

which is similar to the approximate equation for the correction to the velocity potential \( \psi \).

As an example we can assume that air flows at supersonic velocity past a surface which is, in the main, just the \( x, z \) plane. At a certain point, however, a ridge of material, forming an irregularity parallel to the \( z \) axis, occurs in the boundary, as shown in Fig. 2.16. If the height \( h \) of the irregularity is small, the approximations of Eqs. (2.3.29) and (2.3.30) are valid and both the stream function \( \Psi \) and the velocity potential \( \psi \) are functions of \( y - c_0x \) or of \( x - y \sqrt{M_n^2 - 1} \), which is the same thing. We do not need the function of \( y + c_0x \) in this case (why?). Therefore the change in velocity \( v_1 \) is perpendicular to the Mach line, i.e., is at an angle \( \alpha \) to the \( y \) axis.

If the boundary surface is given by the equation \( y = B(x) \), where \( B(x) \) is zero for \( x > x_1 \) and for \( x < x_1 \), then the expression for the flow function is

\[
\Phi = v_{ay} - B(x - y \sqrt{M_n^2 - 1})
\]

The flow lines \( \Phi = \text{constant} \) are shown in the top part of Fig. 2.16. We note that the flow lines are undisturbed in region A to the left of the
Mach line \((x_1P)\), that they are again undisturbed in region \(D\) to the right of Mach line \((x_3Q)\), and that both these lines are inclined at the Mach angle \(\alpha = \sin^{-1} \left(1/M_a\right)\) to the \(x\) axis.

The actual velocity \(v\) (to this approximation) in regions \(B\) and \(C\) of Fig. 2.16 can be obtained graphically by utilizing two obvious facts: first, that \(v\) must be parallel to the flow lines, \(i.e.,\) must be at an angle \(\phi\) to the \(x\) axis, and second, that \(v_1\) is perpendicular to the Mach line, \(i.e.,\) must be at an angle \(\alpha\) with respect to the \(y\) axis. The graphical construction is shown in Fig. 2.16. Since \(v_1\) is supposed to be much smaller than \(v_a\), we have for the air speed, density, Mach number, and mass flow in the two regions the approximate expressions.

**Region B:**

\[
\begin{align*}
v &\approx v_a (1 - \theta \tan \alpha) \\
\rho &\approx \rho_a (1 + M_a^2 \delta \tan \alpha) \\
\rho v &\approx \rho_a v_a (1 + (M_a^2 - 1)\theta \tan \alpha) \\
M &\approx M_a \left[1 - \frac{v_a^2 \delta \tan \alpha}{v_{max}^2 - v_a^2}\right]
\end{align*}
\]

**Region C:**

\[
\begin{align*}
v &\approx v_a (1 + \delta \tan \alpha) \\
\rho &\approx \rho_a (1 - M_a^2 \delta \tan \alpha) \\
\rho v &\approx \rho_a v_a (1 - (M_a^2 - 1)\delta \tan \alpha) \\
M &\approx M_a \left[1 + \frac{v_a^2 \delta \tan \alpha}{v_{max}^2 - v_a^2}\right]
\end{align*}
\]

The interesting point about the flow in region \(B\) is that, although the air velocity \(v\) decreases, the mass flow per unit area \(\rho v\) increases. The drawing for the stream lines also shows this, for in Fig. 2.16 in region \(B\) these stream lines are closer together, a concomitant of increased mass flow, as the discussion on page 167 indicated. At the first Mach line the air suddenly slows down and compresses; at the second it speeds up and expands; at the third it slows down and compresses to its original condition.

If the boundary were actually distorted as much as Fig. 2.16 indicates (\(i.e.,\) if \(\theta\) and \(\delta\) were actually as large as is shown), \(v_1\) would not be very small compared with \(v_a\) and the first approximation would not be sufficient to compute the motion. One difficulty which immediately arises is that the Mach angle for the air in region \(B\) is appreciably different from the Mach angle for air in region \(A\) whenever the speeds \(v\) differ appreciably in the two regions. The question then arises: What should be the angle between the \(x\) axis and the Mach line dividing regions \(A\) and \(B\), the angle \(\alpha_0 = \sin^{-1} \left(1/M_a\right)\) appropriate for region \(A\) or the angle appropriate for the air in region \(B\) (which is greater than \(\alpha_0\))? Detailed study of an exact solution indicates that the angle between the \(x\) axis and the actual "shock front" is intermediate between the two discussed in the previous sentence and that the air as it flows across this front.
undergoes a practically instantaneous change of state to a new speed, density, and pressure appropriate to region $B$.

As for the demarcation between regions $B$ and $C$ in the exact solution, here the Mach lines appropriate for the two regions diverge (as shown by dotted lines $Oa$, $Ob$ in Fig. 2.17), leaving a region between for the air to change continuously from the state appropriate to region $B$ to that appropriate to region $A$. A plot of pressure along the flow line $H$ is also shown in Fig. 2.17; it shows that change from a region of faster to one of slower speed involves a discontinuous increase in pressure,

![Fig. 2.17](image)

whereas the reverse change can be accomplished in a more continuous manner.

A great deal more could be said here about supersonic aerodynamics, but it would carry us still further from our course in this chapter. We are here studying techniques of deriving field equations, not primarily discussing various parts of physics in an exhaustive manner.

### 2.4 Diffusion and Other Percolative Fluid Motion

In the previous section we have tacitly assumed that the fluid under consideration is the sole inhabitant of space, that within the rigid boundaries specified in the problem there is no other fluid or solid which hinders the fluid flow. Many problems of interest, however, involve the inter-penetrative motion of a fluid through a porous solid (or another fluid) which interacts at every point with the diffusing fluid. Examples include the percolation of liquids through porous media and the motion of special fluids, such as free electrons through a gas or of neutrons through matter. A very important problem is the calculation of the flow of heat through matter. Heat is, of course, the internal energy of
the matter itself, but it behaves in many respects as though it were a fluid, with a measurable "density" and rate of flow.

In all these cases we can still talk of an effective density \( \rho \) of the fluid under consideration, an average mass per unit volume (or heat per unit volume, etc.) at each point, even though each element of volume also contains another fluid or solid. In many cases we cannot speak of an average velocity (what is the velocity of the heat, for instance?) but we can always speak of a mass flow (or total heat flow, etc.) per square-centimeter at each point. This quantity \( J \) is a vector and is equal to \( \rho v \) when there is such a thing as a fluid velocity \( v \).

In general the fluid under consideration is not evanescent (although when the fluid is a neutron gas some neutrons are lost by nuclear absorption), so that the equation of continuity usually holds:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = - \text{div } J
\]  

(2.1.1)

From this point on, however, the discussion depends on the particular fluid which is studied.

**Flow of Liquid through a Porous Solid.** For instance, for a liquid seeping through a porous solid we can usually neglect fluid expansion and contraction, so we can set \( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0 \). We can also neglect viscosity in comparison with the friction of seepage, and we can neglect vorticity. Therefore the mass flow \( J \) can be taken as the gradient of a scalar potential \( \psi \), which is a solution of Laplace's equation \( \text{div } [\text{grad } \psi] = 0 \), as with any irrotational flow of any incompressible fluid. The difference from other cases, considered in the previous section, comes in the equation of motion, which determines the pressure at any point. In the present case it takes a force to make the liquid flow through the pores; to the first approximation this force is proportional to the flow.

Referring to Eq. (2.3.11), we see that the force equation for the present case is

\[
\frac{dJ}{dt} + RJ = F - \text{grad } p
\]  

(2.1.2)

where \( F \) is the external (gravitational, etc.) force per unit volume on the liquid, \( p \) is the pressure, and \( R \) is the flow resistivity of the porous material. When the porous material is equally resistant in all directions, \( R \) can be taken to be a scalar; for anisotropic materials it would be a dyadic, operating on \( J \). For steady-state conditions, when \( J = \text{grad } \psi \) and when \( F \) is also the gradient of a potential energy \( V \), this equation reduces to

\[
\text{grad } (V - p) = R \text{ grad } \psi
\]

and when \( R \) is constant it becomes simply \( p = V - R\psi \), which serves to determine the pressure \( p \) at every point.
Diffusion. A more interesting problem occurs when the fluid is compressible and when we cannot talk about over-all "forces" on an element of the fluid. This is the case with heat flow, with the diffusion of one liquid through another, and with the diffusion of neutrons through matter. In the case of heat flow the concept of force on the fluid has no meaning; in the case of neutron diffusion the important forces are the internal ones causing collisions between the neutrons and the particles of matter.

In none of these cases does the equation of motion given in Eq. (2.4.2) hold. The flow is not caused by external forces or by pressure gradients but is simply due to concentration gradient. The fluid, for one reason or another, tends to flow from a place of higher density to a place of lesser density, the flow being proportional to the gradient of the density,

\[ J = -a^2 \text{grad } \rho \]  

(2.4.3)

where the constant \(a\) is called the diffusion constant. Combining this with the equation of continuity (2.4.1) gives us the diffusion equation

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = a^2 \nabla^2 \rho \]  

(2.4.4)

which has already been referred to on pages 137 and 163.

In the case of heat flow \(\rho\) is the "amount of heat" per unit volume, which is proportional to the temperature, \(\rho = CT\), where \(C\) is the heat capacity of the material per unit volume. Since the equation for heat flow is \(J = -K \text{grad } T\), where \(K\) is the heat conductivity of the material, it will be seen that \(T\) must be a solution of the diffusion equation, with \(a^2 = K/C\).

The proof that a neutron gas, for instance, satisfies approximately the flow equation (2.4.3) and the determination of the corresponding diffusion constant will require a detailed examination of the mechanism of neutron diffusion, which will be sketched later in this section. In such a case the diffusion is due to random motion of the particles of the fluid, and the diffusion constant is a measure of the hindrance offered to this motion by the other matter present.

Diffusion equation (2.4.4) differs from the wave equation (2.2.2) by having a first time derivative instead of a second. This corresponds to the fact that diffusion is an irreversible process analogous to friction, where energy is lost (or entropy gained), whereas wave motion is reversible and conservative. For one space dimension the equation

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} \]

is the simplest form of the parabolic partial differential equation. As noted on page 138 the fluid density moves as though it were completely
damped (as, of course, it is), tending always toward uniformity and never "overshooting the mark" to produce oscillations, as occurs with wave and vibrational motion.

**Phase Space and the Distribution Function.** Before we can go any further in the analysis of diffusion, we shall have to consider the detailed motions of the particles of diffusing fluid, which means that we must for the time being set aside the smoothed-out, continuum picture of a fluid and look into its discontinuous, atomic structure. It is well to carry out such an analysis once in this chapter, if only to show how it is possible to describe the behavior of large numbers of atoms by means of continuous fields satisfying partial differential equations and to show how one relates the properties of the individual atoms with the constants occurring in the field equations.

The connection is, of course, made by means of the techniques of kinetic theory. Our fluid is made up of $N$ atoms (or molecules), each of mass $m$. The "state" of the $n$th atom at any instant is given by its position and velocity (for a molecule there are other internal motions which need not be considered here). The position can be specified in terms of the *radius vector* $\mathbf{r} = ix + jy + kz$ to the atom from some origin; the velocity can be specified in terms of the *momentum vector* $\mathbf{p} = mv = ip_x + ip_y + ip_z$. Therefore the state of the atom (to the extent that is useful here) can be specified by giving its location in six-dimensional *phase space*, with coordinates $x, y, z, p_x, p_y, p_z$. The methods of kinetic theory provide a means whereby we can go from the motions in phase space of individual atoms, under the influence of external and internal (interatomic) forces, to the average motions of swarms of atoms.

The transition is effected by means of the *distribution function*. To define this function, we consider a particular fluid, consisting of $N$ atoms, subject to given initial and boundary conditions of the usual sort. At some instant the atoms of this fluid can be represented as a swarm of $N$ dots in phase space. At some regions in phase space there will be a concentration of dots; other regions will be very sparsely populated. If $N$ is a large enough number, we shall find a tendency toward "smoothness" in the consistency of the cloud. We can write down an average density of dots at various regions of phase space, which density will vary more or less smoothly from point to point. Now suppose that we duplicate the initial and boundary conditions with a similar set of $N$ atoms and look at the distribution of these $N$ dots in phase space at the corresponding instant of time. Since the initial and boundary conditions are large-scale conditions, affecting only the average positions of atoms, the second swarm of dots will not exactly coincide, dot for dot, with the first swarm. The *average density* of dots, however, will be more or less equal in the two cases.

Suppose that we carry through the same experiment, not just twice,
but a large number of times, starting out the \( N \) atoms under conditions which are identical from a large-scale point of view. We can then obtain a probability density \( f(x,y,z,p_x,p_y,p_z,t) \) for each point of phase space, such that \( f \, dx \, dy \, dz \, dp_x \, dp_y \, dp_z \) gives the fraction of the experiments for which a dot is found at time \( t \) in the element of phase space, \( dx \, dy \, dz \, dp_x \, dp_y \, dp_z \) at the point \( r, p \). The function \( f \) is called the distribution function. If we have set up our experiment sensibly, we shall find that \( f \) is a reasonably continuous sort of function of \( r \) and \( p \) and \( t \), and we can expect that it will satisfy some sort of differential equation, which will provide the link between small-scale interactions among the atoms of the fluid and large-scale motions of the fluid as a whole.

We can obtain the large-scale fluid properties which we have been discussing in the previous section in terms of integrals involving the distribution function. The volume element in phase space can be written \( dV_x \, dV_p \), where \( dV_x = dx \, dy \, dz \) and \( dV_p = dp_x \, dp_y \, dp_z \). Sometimes spherical coordinates \( r, \theta, \phi \) in ordinary space and \( p, \theta, \phi \) in momentum space are useful; the corresponding forms for the volume element are then \( dV_x = r^2 \, dr \, \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \) and \( dV_p = p^2 \, dp \, \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \).

In the first place the integral of \( f \) over all space inside the boundaries must just equal the number of atoms in the fluid:

\[
\iiint f(x,y,z,t) \, dV_x \, dV_p = N \tag{2.4.5}
\]

The average number of particles per unit volume of ordinary space must be the integral of \( f \) over momentum space; this number times \( m \) the mass of an individual particle must be the density

\[
\rho(x,y,z,t) = m \iiint f(x,y,z,t) \, dV_p \tag{2.4.6}
\]

mentioned earlier in this section. The total average momentum per cubic centimeter

\[
J(x,y,z,t) = \iiint p \, f(x,y,z,t) \, dV_p \tag{2.4.7}
\]

is the mass flow vector \( J \) mentioned earlier. The total kinetic energy of the fluid is

\[
U = (1/2m) \iiint p^2 \, f(x,y,z,t) \, dV_x \, dV_p \tag{2.4.8}
\]

If the forces between atoms are negligible, this is equal to the total internal energy of the gas and is proportional to its temperature. These integrals show that \( f \) must go to zero, for large values of \( p \), decidedly enough so that the integral for \( U \) does not diverge.

One differential property of \( f \) is of general validity and is related to the equation of continuity (2.4.1). All the particles in a given element of momentum space \( dV_p \) are traveling with the same velocity \( p/m \). There are \( f(x,y,z,t) \, dV_x \, dV_p \) of them in element \( dV_x \) at point \( r(x,y,z) \) at time \( t \). At time \( t + dt \) they are at point \( r + \left( \frac{p}{m} \right) dt \). Therefore the probability density \( f \) at point \( r \) at time \( t \) must equal the density \( f \) at
point \( \mathbf{r} + (p/m) \, dt \) at time \( t + dt \), for the particles of momentum \( p \); 
\( f(\mathbf{r} + (p/m) \, dt, \, p, \, t + dt) = f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \). Expanding this in a series and retaining only terms in the first power of \( dt \), we obtain the equation of continuity for the distribution function:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = -\frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \cdot \text{grad} \quad [f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)]
\]

where the gradient operator operates on the space dependence of \( f \). This equation, combined with Eqs. (2.1.6) and (2.4.7) gives immediately the usual form of the equation of continuity (2.1.1). It will be modified by other effects, to be discussed later.

**Pressure and the Equation of State.** A very simple example will indicate how we can use the distribution function to relate the smoothed-out pressure, discussed in the previous section, to the motions of the individual particles. Suppose that we have a container of volume \( V \) with \( N \) gas atoms uniformly distributed inside. By “uniformly distributed” we mean that \( f \) is independent of \( \mathbf{r} \) inside \( V \). For the gas to remain uniformly distributed, \( f \) must be independent of \( t \) and \( \mathbf{J} \) must be zero everywhere. The simplest assumption that will satisfy all these requirements is that \( f = (N/4\pi V)\psi(p) \), where \( \psi \) is a function only of the magnitude \( p \) of the particle momentum having the following properties:

\[
\int_0^\infty \psi(p)p^2 \, dp = 1; \quad \frac{1}{2m} \int_0^\infty \psi(p)p^4 \, dp = \epsilon
\]

The first integral determines the arbitrary constant giving the magnitude of \( \psi \) (i.e., normalizes \( \psi \)) so that \( \psi p^2 \, dp \) is the probability that a gas particle has momentum magnitude between \( p \) and \( p + dp \). The quantity \( \epsilon \) is then the average kinetic energy of a particle.

Substituting this expression for \( f \) into the integrals for the field quantities mentioned earlier, we obtain the equations

\[
\rho = (Nm \cdot V); \quad J = 0; \quad U = N\epsilon
\]

It should be pointed out that the statement that \( f \) is \((N/4\pi V)\psi(p)\) thereby imposes certain restrictions on the nature of the boundary walls around the volume \( V \). In the first place, these walls must bounce every impinging particle back into the volume \( V \) and not let any escape; otherwise \( N \) and \( f \) would not be independent of time. In the second place the walls must duplicate the momentum distribution given by \( \psi(p) \) in their reflection of particles, so that a sample of particles just reflected from a wall would be indistinguishable, as far as velocity distribution, from a sample of unreflected particles. This is not to say that every particle must bounce off as if from a perfectly elastic boundary; it does say that for every particle reflected with reduced energy there is one reflected with increased energy. In any other case the distribution \( f \)
would change as the boundary surface was approached, and \( f \) could not be independent of \( r \).

Let us assume that all these slightly improbable requirements are complied with, and let us examine the average behavior of those particles close to a portion of the boundary wall. We shall assume that this portion is plane and arrange the axes so that they coincide with the \( y, z \) plane, the portion for negative \( x \) being inside the gas and the portion for positive \( x \) being inside the wall. Then for \( f \) at \( x = 0 \), all the particles having positive values of \( p_x \) have not yet struck the wall and all having negative values have just been reflected from the wall.

We are now in a position to ask what property of the gas particles produces a steady pressure on the boundary of the container. Obviously it is the repulsive interaction between the wall and the particles which strike the wall, the same interaction which reflects the particles back into the gas when they strike. Since action equals reaction, we can say that the force exerted by the gas per square centimeter on the surface is the same as the force exerted by a square centimeter of surface on the impinging particles to reflect them back into the gas. Since force equals rate of change of momentum, this reaction of the wall equals the average change of momentum of all particles which strike the wall in 1 sec. The number of particles in momentum element \( dV_p \) at momentum \( p \) which strike a unit area of \( y, z \) plane per second is equal to

\[
\frac{N}{4\pi \Gamma m} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta \ d\theta \int_0^\infty 2p^4 \phi(p) \ dp = P = \frac{2N_e}{3V} = 2 \frac{U}{3V}
\]

by using Eq. (2.4.10). We therefore obtain the equation relating pressure \( P \), volume \( V \), and internal kinetic energy \( U \):

\[
P V = \frac{2}{3} U \quad \text{or} \quad P = \frac{2}{3} E_p
\]  

(2.4.11)

which is called the equation of state of the gas. The quantity \( E = U/Nm \) is the kinetic energy per unit mass of gas, and \( \rho = Nm/V \) is the average density of the gas.

We could go on to show that, when the volume of such a container with reflecting walls is reduced (this corresponds to an adiabatic compression, see page 164), then \( E \) changes, being proportional to the \((\gamma - 1)\)th power of the density (where \( \gamma \) is a constant for the gas under study, being 1.4 for air); therefore the pressure for adiabatic compression is proportional to the \( \gamma \)th power of \( \rho \). This will take us too far afield,
however, and we must get on with our discussion of the relation between internal forces in the fluid and the diffusive properties of the fluid.

Mean Free Path and Scattering Cross Section. In a gas the individual particles, atoms or molecules, are far enough apart that most of the time they move as free bodies, with constant momentum. Only occasionally does one come near enough to another that its motion is affected by the mutual force field. We can therefore separate the motion of each particle into two parts: a free-flight portion, when the particle is unaffected by other particles, and a shorter portion, when its momentum is being changed by momentary proximity to another particle, after which the particle sails off for another free flight. These momentary encounters with other particles, during which the momentum of each particle is radically changed, are, of course, called collisions. In the case of a neutron gas in a solid, the collision is between neutron and a nucleus of one of the atoms in the solid, but the same reasoning holds.

If thermodynamic equilibrium has been attained, these collisions will, on the average, be conservative of energy and therefore elastic. The law governing the average amount of deflection of path depends on the law of force between the particle and the “target,” the nucleus of an atom for a neutron or another similar atom for a gas. The simplest assumption is that the law of force is similar to that between two billiard balls, zero force for a distance of separation larger than $R$ and a very large repulsive force for distances smaller than $R$; and such a simple assumption corresponds closely to actuality in a gratifyingly large number of cases. Billiard balls, if they collide at all, rebound with equal frequency in all directions, and this result is observed in many actual cases, particularly when the relative velocities are not very large. When the mass of the target is the same order of magnitude as that of the impinging particle, this uniform distribution in angle of scattering on collision is with respect to coordinates moving with the center of gravity of the colliding pair, and the analysis becomes somewhat complicated.

To avoid complexity we shall first analyze cases where the target is much more massive than the particle, as is the case when neutrons are the particles and heavy nuclei the targets or when photons are the particles and fog particles the targets, for example. In these cases the targets are considered to be at rest and to remain at rest, $n_0$ of them distributed at random throughout each cubic centimeter. Each target can be pictured as an elastic sphere of radius $R$, and the particles as mass points. Thus we can neglect the collisions between particles and concentrate on the collisions between a particle and a target.

The first question to answer is concerned with the relative frequency of collisions between a particle and the various randomly placed targets. The chance that the collision occurs after the particle has moved a distance $x$ and before it has moved a distance $x + dx$ is proportional to
the fraction of the area of the thin sheet of space of thickness $dx$, perpendicular to the path of the particle, which is filled up with targets. The area of cross section of a target is $Q_s = \pi R^2$, and there are $n_t dx$ targets in the volume of space unit area in extent and $dx$ thick. The fraction of the unit area blocked out by the targets is, therefore, $\pi R^2 n_t dx = Q_s n_t dx$, and the probability of collision between $x$ and $x + dx$ equals 2 this factor times the probability $P(x)$ that the particle has gone a distance $x$ without collision. We therefore have a differential equation for $P(x)$:

$$ (d/dx)P(x) = -Q_s n_t P(x) \quad \text{or} \quad P(x) = e^{-Q_s n_t x} \quad (2.4.12) $$

where we have assumed (quite reasonably) that the probability $P(0)$ of going at least zero distance after the last collision without another collision is unity (certainty).

We have thus obtained an expression for the probability of free flight of length $x$ between collisions, in terms of the density $n_t$ of targets and of the cross section $Q_s$ for collision between the particle and a target atom. Detailed calculations concerning the details of the force field between particle and target are thus needed only to determine the value of $Q_s$ when it comes to computing mean lengths of free flight between collisions.

The average length of path between collisions is

$$ \lambda = \int_0^\infty P(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{Q_s n_t} \quad (2.4.13) $$

where the length $\lambda$ is called the mean free path of the particle among the cloud of targets.

In the case of an ordinary gas there is a mean free path of the particle among others of its like, for here the targets are other gas molecules. In the case of denser fluids, such as liquids, the mean free path is approximately the same size as the average distance $R$ of approach of the particles, so here a particle is never long free from the influence of its neighbors, but even here the expression for the probability $P(x)$ is valid. One might say that the particles of fluid make contact with the atoms of matter (target atoms) through which they percolate only every mean free path on the average.

The possibility of collisions provides another way whereby the distribution function is modified from point to point or from time to time. For instance, during an instant $dt$ the particles in the momentum element $dV_p$ with momentum $p$ travel a distance $dx = (p/m) \, dt$ and a fraction $(Q_s n_t p/m) \, dt$ of them suffer collisions. Those which collide change direction of momentum and therefore vanish from the momentum element $dV_p$. Therefore there is a rate of loss of $f$ due to collisions according to the formula

$$ df(r, p, t) = -(Q_s n_t p/m) f(r, p, t) \, dt \quad (2.4.14) $$
But there is also an increase of $f$ due to collisions, for if some particles vanish from $dV_n$ due to collisions, there are also particles, originally in other momentum elements, which are scattered into $dV_p$ by collisions. Assuming (as we have been so far) that particles are scattered with equal probability in all directions and that there is no change in particle velocity on scattering of any group of particles undergoing collision, a fraction $d\omega/d\tau$ will be scattered into directions of motion within the solid angle $d\omega$. Referring to Fig. 2.18, if there are $f(r,p',\ell)$ particles in the momentum element $dV_p = d\phi' \sin \theta' d\theta' (p')^2 dp'$, then in time $dt$ a number $(Q_n p^2 / 4\pi m)f(r,p',\ell) dV_p d\phi' \sin \theta' d\theta' dt$ are scattered into the momentum element $dV_p = d\phi \sin \theta d\theta p^2 dp$ ($p$ is equal to $p'$, as we have been assuming so far). The total increase in $f(r,p,\ell)$ due to scattering into the final momentum element is the integral of this quantity over all initial directions of motion, given by $\theta'$ and $\phi'$;

$$df(r,\theta,\phi,\ell) = (Q_n p^2 / 4\pi m) \int f(r,\theta,\phi,\ell',\phi') \sin \theta' d\theta' d\phi' dt \quad (2.4.15)$$

**Diffusion of Light, Integral Equation.** A simple yet instructive example of the way these equations can be used concerns the diffusion of light through a slab of milky glass (or of fog), where the illumination is uniform over one surface (the $y, z$ plane, for instance) of the slab, so that $f$ is independent of $y$ and $z$ and only depends on $x$. This example was first studied by Milne in connection with the flow of light in a stellar atmosphere and is called *Milne's problem*. The “particles” are photons all having the same momentum, and from symmetry the function $f$ depends on the angle $\theta$ between $\mathbf{p}$ and the $x$ axis and is independent of $\phi$. Therefore we can write $f$ as $f(x,\theta,\ell)$. The rates of change of $f$ given in Eq. (2.4.9) due to variation of $f$ with $x$ and in Eqs. (2.4.14) and (2.4.15) due to collisions all cancel when steady-state conditions are reached, and the resulting equation for $f$ is

$$\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,\theta) = -nQ_n f(x,\theta) + \frac{1}{2} nQ_n \int_0^\infty f(x,\theta') \sin \theta' d\theta'$$

where we have divided out the common factor $p'/m$. Solution of this integrodifferential equation will enable one to determine any required
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property of the diffusing light. We have here included the possibility that a photon may be absorbed by one of the scattering centers (fog particles or whatever) as well as be scattered. For $Q_s$ is the sum of the scattering cross section $Q_s$ and the absorption cross section $Q_a$. Naturally $Q_a$ does not enter into the integral term, for this represents photons scattered, after collision, into the direction denoted by $\theta$, and photons which are absorbed do not survive the collision.

If we measure distance in mean free paths, $x = \xi/\eta_d n, = \lambda \xi$, this equation becomes

$$
\cos \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} f(\xi, \theta) \right) = -f(\xi, \theta) + \frac{4\pi}{c} \int_0^x f(\xi, \theta') \sin \theta' d\theta' \quad (2.4.16)
$$

where $\kappa = Q_s/Q_a$ is the ratio of scattering to total cross section. The term on the left side of the equation represents the tendency of $f$ to change due to motion of the particles. The first term on the right is the change of $f$ due to absorption and scattering, and the second term is the change due to rescattering back into the original direction.

By change of normalization of $f$ we can arrange it so that

$$
c \int_0^\pi \cos \theta f(\xi, \theta) \sin \theta d\theta = J(\xi) \quad (2.4.17)
$$

is the mean flow of light energy per unit area per second in the positive $x$ direction at the point $\xi$. The constant $c$ is the velocity of light. Then the integral

$$
\int_0^\pi f(\xi, \theta) \sin \theta d\theta = \rho(\xi) \quad (2.4.18)
$$

is the mean density of light energy at $\xi$.
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Fig. 2.19 Diffusion of light through a slab of scattering material. Incident intensity given by $I(\theta)$.

Now suppose that the slab of scattering material is between the planes $x = 0$ and $x = x_0$ and that a flux $I(\theta)$ is incident on the surface $x = 0$, as shown in Fig. 2.19. The function $I$ can vary in any arbitrary manner with $\theta$, in the range from 0 to $\pi/2$, but it must be zero for $(\pi/2) \leq \theta \leq \pi$, for this range of $\theta$ corresponds to flow out of the slab and could not correspond to incident flux. This flux distribution penetrates into the slab, gradually disappearing as its constituent photons strike a target and get absorbed or scattered. For that part of the flux at an
angle $\theta$ with respect to the $x$ axis, by the time it has penetrated a distance $x = \lambda \xi$ into the slab, it has traversed $\xi \sec \theta$ mean free paths inside the material and, by Eq. (2.4.12) only $e^{-\xi \sec \theta}$ of the original amount remains. Therefore one part of $f(\xi, \theta)$ is $I(\theta)e^{-\xi \sec \theta}$.

Another part comes from photons scattered at least once. The number scattered at distance $\xi'$ from the front of the slab will be proportional to the density $\rho(\xi')$, given in Eq. (2.4.18), and the number of such photons arriving at depth $\xi$ at angle $\theta$ will be proportional to $\rho(\xi') e^{-\xi - \xi' \sec \theta}$, where $\xi'$ will be less than $\xi$ if $\theta$ is less than $\pi/2$ and will be greater than $\xi$ for $\theta$ larger than $\pi/2$ (backward scattering). Consequently it is reasonable to expect that the solution of Eq. (2.4.16) for the distribution function will have the general form

$$f(\xi, \theta) = \begin{cases} I(\theta)e^{-\xi \sec \theta} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \sec \theta \int_0^\xi e^{(\xi' - \xi) \sec \theta} \rho(\xi') \, d\xi'; & 0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \kappa \sec \theta \int_{\xi_0}^\xi e^{(\xi' - \xi) \sec \theta} \rho(\xi') \, d\xi'; & \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta \leq \pi \end{cases}$$

(2.4.19)

Of course this is not yet a solution, for we have not yet calculated the density $\rho$. However, $\rho$ is a simpler function than is $f$, for it depends only on $\xi$ and not on $\theta$.

To show that Eq. (2.4.18) is the correct form for $f$, we transform Eq. (2.4.16) into the following:

$$(\partial/\partial \xi)f(\xi, \theta) + \sec \theta f(\xi, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \rho(\xi) \sec \theta$$

Assuming that $\rho$ is known, we find the solution of the linear inhomogeneous equation, subject to the condition that $f(0, \theta) = I(\theta)$, to be just that given in Eq. (2.4.19) (we of course take into account the difference between $\theta$ less than $\pi/2$ and $\theta$ greater than $\pi/2$). To find the equation which determines $\rho$ we multiply Eq. (2.4.19) by $\sin \theta \, d\theta$ and integrate over $\theta$:

$$\rho(\xi) - \rho_0(\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \int_0^\xi E_1(|\xi - \xi'|) \rho(\xi') \, d\xi'$$

$$\rho_0(\xi) = \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} e^{(\xi' - \xi) \sec \theta} I(\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta$$

$$E_1(|\xi - \xi'|) = \int_{\xi_0}^\xi e^{(\xi' - \xi) \sec \theta} (dy/y); \quad y = \sec \theta$$

(2.4.20)

This is an integral equation of standard type, which will be analyzed in some detail later in this book. When $\xi_0$ is infinite, the equation is said to be of the Weiner-Hopf type. The equation states that the value of $\rho$ at $\xi$ depends on the value of $\rho$ within a mean free path of $\xi$.

**Diffusion of Light, Differential Equation.** However, we were setting out to obtain a differential equation for $\rho$ and $J$ to relate to the diffusion equation, so that further discussion of the integral equation will be post-
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posed. Although it turns out that the integral equation is an exact expression and the differential equation is only approximate, the differential equation is enough easier to solve to make it advisable to use its solutions whenever they are valid.

A differential equation of the diffusion type is a good approximation whenever the fractional change in $\rho$ or $J$ per mean free path is small. As long as the absorption cross section $Q_{\text{a}}$ is small compared with the elastic cross section $Q_{\text{e}}$, and as long as we do not require too much detail concerning the behavior of $\rho$ and $J$ within a free path of the boundary, this is possible, for then the distribution function $f$ is nearly independent of the angle of direction of momentum $\theta$ and the net flux $J$ is considerably smaller than the rms magnitude of $\rho$.

This statement that flux $J$ is small is equivalent to saying that the distribution function can be approximately expressed by the form

$$f(\xi, \theta) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \rho(\xi) + \frac{3}{2} \cos \theta J(\xi)/c \quad (2.4.21)$$

wherever $J/c$ is considerably smaller than $\rho$. Referring to Eqs. (2.4.17) and (2.4.18), the flux and density are just equal to the quantities $\rho$ and $J$ in this expression. This is the simplest function of $\theta$ which makes it possible for values of $\rho$ and $J$ to be set independently.

Suppose we set up the equivalent to Eq. (2.4.16) with the time derivative still present. We take, as time scale, the mean free time for the photons, $\lambda/c$. Referring to Eqs. (2.4.9), (2.1.14), and (2.1.15), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} f(\xi, \theta, \tau) = -\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} f(\xi, \theta, \tau) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \rho(\xi, \tau)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \left[ f(\xi, \theta', \tau) - f(\xi, \theta, \tau) \right] \sin \theta' \, d\theta' \quad (2.4.22)$$

where $\xi = (\eta Q_{\text{a}})$, $\tau = (\eta Q_{\text{e}})$, $\rho = c$, $\alpha = Q_{\text{a}}/Q_{\text{e}} = 1 - \kappa$. Substituting the approximate expression (2.4.21) for $f$ in terms of $\rho$ and $J$, we obtain an integrodifferential equation of some complexity. The last term, the integral over $\theta'$, does simplify however. The parts of $[f(\xi, \theta', \tau) - f(\xi, \theta, \tau)]$ involving $\rho$ cancel out, and the square bracket becomes $\frac{1}{2} \cos \theta - \cos \theta(1/c) J(\xi, \tau)$, which integrates out to $- (3/c) J(\xi, \tau) \cos \theta$.

We can separate the resulting equation into two equations by multiplying by $\sin \theta \, d\theta$ and integrating or else multiplying by $\cos \theta \, \sin \theta \, d\theta$ and integrating. These integrations remove the dependence on $\theta$ and result in two equations relating to $\rho$ and $J$. The first,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \xi} - \alpha \rho \quad (2.4.23)$$

is just the equation of continuity (2.4.1) for the present case, as expressed in the dimensionless variables $\tau$ and $\xi$ and including the loss of photons due to absorption (the term $\alpha \rho$). If there are, in addition, $q$ photons
per mean free time per cubic mean free path added to the distribution, the quantity \( q \) will be added to the right-hand side.

The second equation

\[
\frac{1}{c} \left[ \frac{\partial J}{\partial \tau} + J \right] \approx -\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \xi} 
\]

is related to the diffusion gradient equation (2.4.3). It has an extra term \( \partial J/\partial \tau \) giving the rate of change of \( J \) per mean free time. For the relatively slow changes involved in diffusion, this term is negligible compared with \( J \), so that, to the approximation considered here, the second equation is

\[
J \approx -\frac{c}{3} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \xi} \quad (2.4.24)
\]

For diffusing photons, a gradient of photon density produces a drift toward the region of lower density.

Combining Eqs. (2.4.23) and (2.4.24) results in the diffusion equation for the flux density:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \tau} \approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \xi^2} - \alpha \rho + q \quad (2.1.23)
\]

The diffusion constant for these units of distance and time is just \( \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \) (see Eq. 2.4.4). Wherever the source function \( q \) is large, the density \( \rho \) tends to increase rapidly; wherever the density is strongly concentrated (\( \partial \rho/\partial \xi \) large and negative), there \( \rho \) tends to decrease rapidly. Since only the first derivative in \( \tau \) enters, the solution is not reversible in time, as is the wave equation.

The distribution function, to the same approximation, is given by the following equation:

\[
f(\xi, \theta) \approx \frac{1}{2} \rho(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \xi} \right) \cos \theta \quad (2.4.26)
\]

in terms of the solution of Eq. (2.1.25). This is valid as long as \( \partial \rho/\partial \xi \) is small compared with \( \rho \).

For a typical solution of Eq. (2.1.23) we can return to the steady-state solution for a slab of scattering material. We assume that the beam incident on the surface \( x = 0 \) is of intensity \( I \) and is all directed in the positive \( x \) direction; that is, \( I(\theta) = h \), where \( h = \delta(1 - \cos \theta) \) is the delta function discussed on page 122. We also assume that the slab is infinitely thick (\( x_0 = \infty \)). The part of the incident beam inside the slab which has not yet collided with a scattering target obviously cannot be represented by the approximate formula (2.4.26), but we can handle this part separately [call it \( f_i = (I c) e^{-\delta x} \)] and consider that Eq. (2.4.23) applies only to photons which have had at least one collision. As far as this part of the solution goes, the incident photons appear inside the slab at the point where they suffer their first collision, as though there were a source distribution of strength \( q = (1 - \alpha)I e^{-\delta x} / c \).
inside the material (the factor $1 - \alpha = \kappa$ appears because only the photons which are scattered, not absorbed, appear in the diffuse distribution). The diffuse density $\rho_d$ is therefore a solution of

$$\frac{\partial^2 \rho_d}{\partial \xi^2} - 3\alpha \rho_d \simeq -\frac{3}{c} (1 - \alpha) I e^{-t}$$

which results from substitution into Eq. (2.4.25), with the time derivative set equal to zero (for steady state).

A solution of this equation is

$$\rho_d \simeq \frac{3I}{c} \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \frac{3\alpha}{5\alpha}} \left\{ \exp \left[ -\sqrt{3\alpha} \xi + (1 - \sqrt{3\alpha}) \Delta \right] - \exp \left( -\xi \right) \right\} \quad (2.4.27)$$

where the constant $\Delta$ is to be adjusted to fit the boundary condition at $\xi = 0$.

Since $\alpha$, the ratio between absorption and scattering per collision, is supposed to be a small quantity, the first exponential diminishes with increasing $\xi$ more slowly than the second exponential; at considerable distance inside the slab the density is proportional to $e^{-\sqrt{3\alpha} \xi}$. In other words, the attenuation deep inside the slab is due only to the relatively infrequent absorption of photons. The total distribution function is then

$$f(\xi, \theta) \simeq f_i + \frac{3I}{2c} \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \frac{3\alpha}{5\alpha}} \left\{ \left[ 1 + \sqrt{3\alpha} \cos \theta \right] \exp \left[ -\sqrt{3\alpha} \xi ight] 
\quad + (1 - \sqrt{3\alpha}) \Delta \right\} \exp \left( -\xi \right) \quad (2.4.28)$$

Within a mean free path of the boundary $\xi = 0$ the diffuse part of the distribution function becomes, to the first power in the small quantities $\xi, \Delta$, and $\alpha$,

$$\frac{3I}{2c} \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \sqrt{3\alpha}} \left[ (\xi + \Delta) - (1 - \xi) \cos \theta \right]$$

and the corresponding part of the density becomes $(3I/c) [(1 - \alpha)/ (1 + \sqrt{3\alpha})] (\xi + \Delta)$. These expansions show simply that any solution of Eq. (2.4.25) is invalid near the boundary $\xi = 0$ if the boundary conditions are such as to require that $\Delta$ be small, for when $(\xi + \Delta)$ is not large compared with unity, the $\cos \theta$ term of $f$ is no longer small compared with the term independent of $\theta$ and the approximation on which Eq. (2.4.25) was built no longer holds.

**Boundary Conditions.** To see what value $\Delta$ should have and what form $f$ and $\rho$ should have close to a boundary we must go back to the exact equations for $f$ and to the integral equation (2.1.20) for $\rho$, for formula (2.4.26) or (2.4.28) is obviously inaccurate for $f$ at the boundary surface $\xi = 0$, where there is no scattering material to the left. At this point the only part of the distribution function having values of $\theta$ less than $\pi/2$ (corresponding to photons coming in to the material from
outside) should be the incident beam. The diffuse part of the distribution should be zero for \( \theta \) from zero to \( \pi/2 \). Formula (2.4.26), of course, cannot conform to this requirement, no matter what values \( \rho \) and \( \partial \rho / \partial \xi \) have. All that can be done is to satisfy the requirement on the average, by making the average value of \( f(0, \theta) \cos \theta \), over the range \( 0 \leq \theta \leq (\pi/2) \), to be zero. This requirement results in the approximate boundary condition [see also Eq. (2.4.34)]

\[
\int_{0}^{\pi/2} \rho \cos \theta \sin \theta \, d\theta - \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \xi} \right) \cos \theta \sin \theta \, d\theta = 0 \quad \text{or}
\]

\[
\rho \Delta \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \xi} \quad \text{at} \quad \xi = 0, \quad \Delta \equiv \frac{\xi}{2} \quad (2.4.26)
\]

which means that the constant \( \Delta \) in Eqs. (2.4.27) and (2.4.28) should be set equal to \( \frac{\xi}{2} \) in order to fit the boundary conditions as well as this approximation can do so. Even this formula is none too accurate, for \( \partial \rho / \partial \xi \) is certainly not then small compared with \( \rho \) near \( \xi = 0 \), so that any use of the diffusion equation to compute \( \rho \) near a boundary is quite a dubious proceeding.

Our only recourse is to go back to the integral equation (2.4.20) to check the validity of these approximations. Unfortunately we are not yet in a position to go through with the solution of Eq. (2.4.20), so we shall just quote results here; the techniques of solving integral equations of this sort will be taken up in Chaps. 8 and 12.

It will be more clear-cut if we compare results for a simpler case, the one considered by Milne for stellar atmospheres. Here photons are created well below the surface of the layer and diffuse upward through the outer scattering layer and radiate out from the surface. This outer

---

**Fig. 2.20** Density of diffusion particles near surface of medium: solid line exact solution, dashed line approximate solution, corresponding to use of diffusion equation.
layer is many mean free paths thick, so we can again consider $x_0$ to be extremely large. This time, however, there is no incident flux from above onto the outer surface $x = 0$, so that the integral equation is

$$\rho(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty E_1(|\xi - \xi'|) \rho(\xi') \, d\xi'$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4.30)

which is called Milne's equation. Function $E_1$ is defined in Eq. (2.4.20). We have here assumed for simplicity that $k \to 1$, in other words that there is no absorption in the material, only scattering.

The solution of the approximate diffusion equation (2.4.25) with $\alpha$ and $\vartheta$ zero subject to the boundary condition given in Eq. (2.4.20) is just

$$\rho \sim A(\xi + \Delta); \quad \Delta \approx \frac{\lambda}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4.31)

The approximate expression for the distribution-in-angle of the outwardly radiated flux from the surface is

$$f \sim \Delta - \cos \theta; \quad \pi/2 < \theta < \pi$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4.32)

The solution of integral equation (2.4.30) results in the following expression for the density function:

$$\rho \sim A(\xi + 0.716)(1 - 0.3129E_1(\xi) - 0.3159E_3(\xi)),$$

$$E_n(\epsilon) = \int_1^\infty w e^{-w} \, dw$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4.33)

which is never more than 0.3 per cent in error over the whole range of $\xi$.

Since both $E_1$ and $E_3$ rapidly vanish as $\xi$ is increased, we see that for $\xi$ larger than unity (deeper than one free path below the surface) the approximate solution given in Eq. (2.4.31) is perfectly valid, except that $\Delta$ should be equal to 0.7104 instead of $\frac{\lambda}{2}$. Within one free path of the surface the actual density falls below the approximate solution to some extent, as is shown in Fig. 2.20.

The exact solution for the angular distribution of flux escaping from the surface is too complicated an expression to be worth writing down here. It is plotted in Fig. 2.21 and there compared with the distribution given by approximate formula (2.4.32). The correspondence is not too bad.

At any rate we see that the solution of the diffusion equation is a better approximation to the exact solution than we should have any right to expect if we require it to satisfy the following boundary conditions at each free boundary.
Value of density at surface $\simeq 0.7104$ (value of inward normal gradient of density at surface) \hfill (2.4.34)

where gradient is given as the rate of change per mean free path. Only in the outer portion of the scattering material, within a free path of the surface, does the actual density depart much from the values computed according to these specifications. Inside the material the diffusion equation solution, with this boundary condition, gives quite accurate results, and even the angular distribution of radiation escaping from the surface is reasonably well portrayed by approximate formula (2.4.32).

It is of interest to note that many useful calculations concerning the diffusion of neutrons through solids may also be solved by using the diffusion equation and the boundary conditions of Eq. (2.4.34).

**Effect of Nonuniform Scattering.** We must next consider the case where the diffusing particle is not scattered uniformly in all directions, though we shall assume for a while yet that no energy is lost during the scattering. A particle, instead of having equal probability $(Q_s/4\pi)\,d\omega$ of being scattered into the solid angle element $d\omega$, no matter at what angle, actually has a probability $\sigma(\alpha)\,d\omega$ of being scattered by an angle $\alpha$ into the solid angle element $d\omega$. As shown in Fig. 2.18, the particle originally has momentum $p'$ and after the collision has momentum $p$. The relation between the function $\sigma$ and the cross section for elastic scattering is

$$Q_s = \int_0^{2\pi} d\beta \int_0^\pi \sigma(\alpha) \sin \alpha\,d\alpha; \quad d\omega = \sin \alpha\,d\omega\,d\beta \quad (2.4.35)$$

There is also a related cross section $Q_m$, called the momentum transfer cross section, which is obtained from $\sigma$ by the integral

$$Q_m = \int_0^{2\pi} d\beta \int_0^\pi \sigma(\alpha)(1 - \cos \alpha) \sin \alpha\,d\alpha \quad (2.4.36)$$

If the scattering is uniform in all directions ($\sigma$ constant), then $Q_m = Q_s$; if there is more scattering backward ($\alpha > 90^\circ$) than forward ($\alpha < 90^\circ$), then $Q_m$ is larger than $Q_s$, and vice versa. Both $Q_m$, $Q_e$, and $Q_0$, the cross section for absorption of the particle, depend on the incident momentum.

We still assume that the magnitude of the particle momentum is unchanged by the collision and that there are no external forces acting on the particles. We shall assume that at each point in space the drift current $J$ is in the direction of the gradient of the distribution function $f$. This is anticipating the solution to some extent and, as it will turn out, rather needlessly, for we could prove that this assumption is true. However, it is so much easier to make the assumption and then verify it that we may perhaps be forgiven the inversion this once. Together with the requirement that the angle-dependent part of $f$ is quite small (which
is necessary for a diffusion equation to hold), all this corresponds to the assumption that [see Eq. (2.4.21)].

\[ f(r, \rho, t) \sim \frac{1}{4\pi m} \rho(r, \rho, t) + \frac{3}{4\pi p^2} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{J}(r, \rho, t) \quad (2.4.37) \]

where \( \rho \) and \( \mathbf{J} \) are defined in Eqs. (2.4.6) and (2.4.7) and \( \mathbf{J} \) is in the direction of grad \( \rho \), which is in the direction of the polar axis in Fig. 2.18 (so that \( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{J} = pJ \cos \theta \)). We note here that \( \rho \) and \( \mathbf{J} \) are still functions of the magnitude \( p \) of the momentum, so they are the density and flux of particles of a given speed. To obtain the mean quantities discussed on page 175, we average over \( p \).

\[ \rho(r, t) = \int_0^\infty p^2 \rho(r, p, t) \, dp; \quad \mathbf{J}(r, t) = \int_0^\infty p^2 \mathbf{J}(r, p, t) \, dp \]

we also can define other average values,

\[ p_{av} = \int_0^\infty p^2 \rho(r, p, t) \, dp; \quad E_{av} = \frac{1}{2m} \int_0^\infty p^4 \rho(r, p, t) \, dp \quad (2.4.38) \]

The rate of change of \( f \) due to continuity is still given by Eq. (2.4.9). The rate of loss of \( f \) due to absorption is equal to \((nL_\alpha p/m)f\). The rate of change of \( f \) due to elastic scattering is, from Eqs. (2.4.14) and (2.4.15),

\[ -\frac{3n_\alpha f}{4\pi m} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi' \int_0^\pi \sigma(\alpha)[\cos \theta' - \cos \theta] \sin \theta' \, d\theta' \]

where we have chosen for the direction of \( \mathbf{J} \) the direction of the polar axis shown in Fig. 2.18. However, it is better to use the final momentum \( \mathbf{p} \) at the polar axis, so we change \( \cos \theta' \) to \( \cos \theta' \cos \alpha + \sin \theta' \sin \alpha \cos (\beta - \phi) \), where \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are constant and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the scattering angles to be integrated over. Referring to Eq. (2.4.36) and the appropriate modification of Eq. (2.4.22) \( (\sigma \) depends on the angle of scattering \( \alpha \) but not on the angle of orientation \( \beta \) about the polar axis \( \mathbf{p} \)) we eventually obtain a differential equation for \( \rho \) and for \( \mathbf{J} \):

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{3m}{p^2} \mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} \approx -\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{p} \cdot \text{grad} \rho - \frac{3}{p^2} \mathbf{p} \cdot \text{grad} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{J}) - \frac{n_\alpha}{m} \mathbf{Q}_p \rho \\
-\frac{3}{\nu} n_\alpha \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{J} - \frac{3}{\nu} n_\alpha \mathbf{Q}_p \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{I} \quad (2.4.39) \]

First-order Approximation, the Diffusion Equation. The term in \( \partial \mathbf{J}/\partial t \) can be neglected when we are dealing with the relatively slow rates of change involved in diffusion, as we pointed out in connection with the derivation of Eq. (2.4.24). Some of the rest of the terms change sign when the direction of \( \mathbf{p} \) is reversed, and some do not; all the terms in \( \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{J} \) (grad \( \rho \) is in the same direction as \( \mathbf{J} \)) do so, but the term in \( \mathbf{p} \cdot \text{grad} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \) does not change sign, nor do the terms in \( \rho \). Therefore on the
basis of symmetry we can separate Eq. (2.4.38) into two equations, which serve to determine \( \rho \) and \( J \) in terms of \( \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z} \), \( \mathbf{p} \), and \( t \).

The first equation can be written

\[
J(r,p,t) = - (\mathbf{p} \cdot \text{grad}) [\rho(r,p,t)]; \quad Q = Q_m + Q_e \quad (2.4.40)
\]

which, of course, justifies our assumption that \( J \) is in the same direction as \( \text{grad} \). The quantity \( 1/nQ \) is the mean free path of the particle, when both absorption and elastic collision are considered. We note that, in this more accurate analysis, the momentum transfer cross section \( Q_m \) [see Eq. (2.4.36)] enters rather than the elastic cross section \( Q_e \). Equation (2.4.40) is closely related to Eq. (2.4.3) for average diffusion. The quantities \( Q, \rho \), and \( J \) in the present equation are functions of the magnitude of the momentum \( p \) (i.e., of the speed of the particles), and the equation must be averaged over \( p \) to reduce to Eq. (2.4.3). For particles of momentum \( p \), the diffusion constant is therefore proportional to the square root of \( p^3 \) times the mean free path. The equation for average density and flux is

\[
J(r,t) \simeq \frac{1}{3m} \rho \lambda_e \cdot \text{grad} [\rho(r,t)]; \quad \lambda_e = \frac{1}{\rho \lambda_e} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{p^4}{n(Q)} \right) \rho(r,p,t) \, dp
\]

which is equivalent to Eq. (2.4.3). Therefore the quantity \( \sqrt{\rho \lambda_e / 3m} \) is equal to the diffusion constant for the average distribution, as will be seen below.

Next we consider these parts of Eq. (2.4.30) which do not change sign when \( \mathbf{p} \) is reversed. All but one of the terms is independent of \( \theta \), the direction of \( \mathbf{p} \), but the term in \( \mathbf{p} \cdot \text{grad} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \) is a function of \( \theta \). This, of course, is an indication that the assumption of Eq. (2.4.37) for a form for the distribution function \( f \) was only an approximate one. The relation can be approximately satisfied by averaging over all directions of \( \mathbf{p} \). Such an averaging process will make no change in terms such as \( m(\partial \rho / \partial \theta) \) or \( n_Q \rho \mathbf{p} \), but terms such as \( \mathbf{p} \cdot \text{grad} \rho \) will have zero average value. The only complicated term can be reduced by using Eq. (2.4.40) and by expanding in components of \( \mathbf{p} \):

\[
- \frac{3}{p^2} \mathbf{p} \cdot \text{grad} [\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{J}] = \frac{1}{pmQm} \mathbf{p} \cdot \text{grad} [p \cdot \text{grad} \rho]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{pmQm} \left[ p_x \left( \frac{\partial^3 \rho}{\partial x^3} + p_y \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x \partial y} + p_z \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x \partial z} \right) \right. \\
+ p_y \left( p_x \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial y \partial x} + p_v \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial y^2} + p_z \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial y \partial z} \right) \\
+ p_z \left( p_x \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial z \partial x} + p_y \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial z \partial y} + p_z \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial z^2} \right) \left. \right]
\]

When these terms are averaged over all directions of \( \mathbf{p} \), the cross terms, containing factors of the general type \( p_x p_y, \ p_x p_z \), etc., all average to
zero, whereas the terms in \( p_n^2 \), \( p_n^2 \), or \( p_n^2 \) each average to \( \frac{1}{3} p \), so that the whole quantity becomes simply \( (p / 3n(Qm)) \nabla^2 p \).

The second equation arising from Eq. (2.4.39) is therefore

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(r,p,t) \approx -\frac{p}{3nQm} \nabla^2 p(r,p,t) - n \rho_s \frac{p}{m} \rho(r,p,t) + q
\]  

(2.4.41)

which serves to determine the density of particles of momentum magnitude \( p \). The function \( q \) is the source function, giving the number of particles "created" per second per cubic centimeter of momentum \( p \) at \( r \) and \( t \).

The diffusion equation for the average density is now not difficult to obtain. In terms of average values defined by Eqs. (2.4.38) and by

\[
a^2 = \frac{1}{3m} \rho \nabla^2 \rho; \quad q = \int_0^\infty p^2 q(p) \, dp; \quad \kappa = -\frac{n}{\rho(r,t)} \int_0^\infty Q_s p^3 \rho(r,p,t) \, dp
\]

we finally obtain

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(r,t) \approx a^2 \nabla^2 \rho(r,t) - \kappa \rho(r,t) + q
\]

(2.4.42)

which is the diffusion equation with two additional terms, corresponding to annihilation and creation of particles. As we have seen, this equation is valid only for cases where \( m \rho / \rho \) is much smaller than \( \rho \) (or, at least, we cannot be sure of its validity if \( m \rho / \rho \) is not very small compared with \( \rho \)). The boundary conditions at a boundary surface, beyond which there are no more scattering targets, is that given by Eq. (2.4.34).

**Unit Solutions.** By analogy with our discussion of the wave equation and Poisson's equation, we seek for a solution of Eq. (2.4.42) representing a single particle being produced at \( t = 0 \) at the origin \( [q = \delta(t) \delta(x) \delta(y) \delta(z)] \). The solution should be sharply concentrated near the origin when \( t \) is small and should spread out more and more as time goes on. An error function dependence on space coordinates \( [\rho = \frac{B(t)e^{-\alpha x^2}}{\sqrt{\pi \alpha}}, \text{ where } r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2] \) may be tried for a solution in unbounded space, and eventually one can show that the required solution of Eq. (2.4.42) is (assuming \( \kappa \) constant)

\[
\rho = G(x,y,z,t) = \begin{cases} 
0; & t < 0 \\
(4\pi \alpha t)^{-1/2} e^{-r^2/(4\pi \alpha t)}; & t > 0
\end{cases}
\]

(2.4.43)

The exponential is not valid for negative values of \( t \); when \( t \) is positive but infinitesimally small, \( \rho \) is a delta function around the origin (as it should be), but as \( t \) increases beyond \( t = 0 \), the density spreads out more and more. (As a matter of fact, according to our comments on page 185, the diffusion equation, and therefore this solution, is not valid until times somewhat longer than a mean free time \( 1 / n Q_s v_{av} \) after the introduction of the particle.) If absorption is present (\( \kappa \) is not zero), the whole solution decays exponentially, so that the integral of \( G \) over all
space (which is the probability that the particle has not been absorbed yet) equals \( e^{-\omega t} \).

If particles are produced in a whole region, over a time duration, so that \( q(x_0, y_0, z_0; t) \, dx_0 \, dy_0 \, dz_0 \, dt \) of them are produced in the volume element \( dx \, dy \, dz \) at the point \( x_0, y_0, z_0 \) between the times \( t \) and \( t + dt \), then the same reasoning which arrived at Eq. (2.1.8) shows that the resulting density of particles in an unbounded space at any time \( t \) and point \( x, y, z \) is

\[
\rho = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \, d\tau \, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \, dx_{0} \, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \, dy_{0} \, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \, dz_{0} \, q(x_0, y_0, z_0; \tau) \cdot \text{ } \cdot \text{ } \cdot G(x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0; t - \tau) \quad (2.4.44)
\]

This solution and others will be discussed in considerable detail later in this book.

When a steady state is reached and \( \rho \) no longer depends on the time, the resulting field is a solution of a Helmholtz equation (see page 125), for Eq. (2.4.12) then becomes

\[
a^2 \nabla^2 \rho - \kappa \rho = -q
\]

In this case the sign of the term in \( \rho \) is opposite to the sign of the corresponding term in the Helmholtz equation obtained from the wave equation, given on page 125.

**Loss of Energy on Collision.** So far we have been assuming that the atoms of the material through which the fluid particles diffuse (the targets) are rigidly fixed in space, so that the collisions are perfectly elastic and no energy is lost to the material. This is, of course, an idealization of the situation which is approached only by a few actual cases (such as the case of scattered photons, discussed previously). In many more cases of interest, the target atoms are also moving and are not infinitely heavy compared with the diffusing particles, so that these particles can lose (and also gain) kinetic energy by collision. The analysis of the most general case is possible but is tedious and would lead us further astray than we care to go. We shall go only far enough in our discussion here to show what new effects enter when some energy is lost by collisions.

Suppose that the target atoms have mass \( M \), which is considerably greater than mass \( m \) of the fluid particles, and also suppose that the fluid particles have, on the average, a much greater kinetic energy than do the target atoms. In this case the targets can be considered to be at rest when hit by the particles, and also only a small fraction of the particle's kinetic energy is lost at each individual collision.

An elementary analysis of momentum and kinetic energy balance shows that, when the particle's initial momentum is \( p' \) and its final momentum, after being deflected by an angle \( \alpha \) (see Fig. 2.18), is \( p \),
then to the first order in the small quantity \( m/M \)

\[ p \simeq p'[1 - (m/M)(1 - \cos \alpha)]; \quad p' \simeq p[1 + (m/M)(1 - \cos \alpha)] \]  

(2.4.45)

Referring to Eqs. (2.4.35) and (2.4.36), we can say that to the first order in the small quantity \( m/M \), for particles of initial momentum \( p' \),

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{The average loss of kinetic energy per elastic collision is } 2mQ_n/MQ_n \text{ times its initial kinetic energy} \\
&\text{The average loss of momentum magnitude per elastic collision is } mQ_n/MQ_n \text{ times its initial momentum } p' \\
&\text{The average reduction in forward component of momentum per elastic collision is } Q_n/Q_e \text{ times its initial forward momentum } p'
\end{align*}
\]

(2.4.46)

where the average number of elastic collisions per second of a particle of momentum \( p \) is \( nQ_p p/m \). The third statement means that the average value of the component of the final momentum along the direction of initial motion, \( (p \cdot p'/p') \), equals \( 1 - (Q_n/Q_e) p' \). Incidentally these statements explain why we call \( Q_n \) the cross section for momentum transfer.

We must now return to our discussion of Eqs. (2.4.14) and (2.4.15) giving the rate of change of the distribution function \( f(r,p,t) \) due to elastic collisions. The rate of loss of \( f \) due to particles being scattered out of momentum element \( dV_p = p^2 dp \, d\phi \, d\theta \, d\phi' \) (see Fig. 2.18) is, as before,

\[
\left( \frac{Q_n p}{m} \right) f(r,p,\theta,\phi,\dot{\theta},\dot{\phi}) \, dV_p
\]

\[
= \frac{nQ_p^3}{m} \, dp \, \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sigma(p,\alpha) \sin \alpha \, d\alpha \, f(r,p,\theta,\phi,\dot{\theta},\dot{\phi})
\]

The rate of increase of \( f \) due to particles being scattered into element \( dV_p' \), which were originally in momentum element \( dV_p = (p')^3 dp' \, d\phi' \, d\theta' \, d\phi \) is

\[
\frac{n_1}{m} \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left[ (p')^3 \sigma(p',\alpha)f(r,p',\theta',\phi',\dot{\theta}',\dot{\phi}) \right] \, dp' \, d\phi' \, d\theta' \, d\phi' \, d\theta' \, d\phi
\]

But from Eq. (2.4.45), \( p' \) differs from \( p \) by the small quantity \((pm/M)(1 - \cos \alpha)\). The expression in the bracket can be expanded in a Taylor series about \( p \), giving to the first approximation

\[
p^3 \, dp \left\{ \sigma(p,\alpha)(r,p,\theta',\phi',\dot{\theta}',\dot{\phi}') + \frac{m}{M \rho^3} (1 - \cos \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial p} [p^3 \sigma(p,\alpha)f(r,p,\theta',\phi',\dot{\theta}',\dot{\phi}')] \right\}
\]

We have been assuming that the particle distribution is nearly isotropic and that the drift motion is small compared with the random motion, according to Eq. (2.4.37), where \( mJ/p \) is small compared with \( p \). We have also assumed that vector \( J \) points in the direction of the polar axis of \( \theta \) and \( \theta' \). As long as these assumptions are valid, the two expres-
sions can be combined and simplified to result in a net rate of change of $f$ due to gain and loss of particles by scattering:

\[
\frac{np^3}{4\pi m} \, dp \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \int_0^{2\pi} \, d\beta \int_0^\pi \, \frac{3}{p} \sigma(p,\alpha)[\cos \theta' - \cos \theta]J(r,p,t)
+ \frac{1}{Mp^3}(1 - \cos \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ p^2 \sigma(p,\alpha)\rho(r,p,t) \right] \sin \alpha \, d\alpha
= \frac{np}{4\pi m} \, dV_p \left[ -\frac{3}{p^4} Q_m p \cdot J + \frac{1}{Mp^4} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( p^4 Q_m\rho \right) \right] \tag{2.4.47}
\]

The first term has been derived before [see Eq. (2.4.38) et seq.]; the second is a new term which represents the change in $f$ due to loss of energy by collision.

Another rate of change which must be taken into account is that given in Eq. (2.4.9), corresponding to the equation of continuity. The change is

\[
\frac{dV_p}{4\pi m^2} \rho \cdot \text{grad} \rho - \frac{3}{4\pi p^2 m} \, dV_p \cdot \text{grad} (p \cdot J) \tag{2.4.48}
\]

when we insert the approximate expression for $f$ given in Eq. (2.4.37).

Effect of External Force. When we start to take into account the effect on $f$ of energy loss during collision, we also should take into account the possibility that the particle gains energy from an external field of force. If the particles are electrons, for instance, moving through a gas, an electric field could cause drift motion and alter the distribution function $f$. We suppose this force $F$ to be uniform and in the direction of the drift current $J$. (We shall not discuss the case where there is an external force and $\rho$ has a gradient, so for our discussion in this section $J$ either points along $F$ or points along grad $\rho$, whichever is not zero.)

When a force $F$ is applied, the momentum of each particle is changed; the particles having momentum $p$ at time $t$ will have momentum $p + F \, dt$ at time $t + dt$. Similarly to the argument resulting in the equation of continuity (2.4.9), we must have the density follow the accelerated particles, $f(r, p + F \, dt, t + dt) = f(r, p, t)$, or the rate of change of $f \, dV_p$ due to the external field is

\[
- \left[ F_z \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_z} + F_y \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_y} + F_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_x} \right] dV_p
= -F \left[ \cos \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} + \frac{1}{p} (1 - \cos^2 \theta) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \cos \theta} \right] dV_p
= -\frac{F}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{\cos \theta}{m} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} + 3 \cos^2 \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{3J}{p^2} (1 - \cos^2 \theta) \right] dV_p \tag{2.4.49}
\]

Finally, combining expressions (2.4.47) to (2.4.49) and inserting a term $-\left( nQ_d \rho f / m \right)$ to represent absorption of particles, we obtain an
expression giving the rate of change of $\rho$ and $J$ due to collision, drift acceleration, and absorption:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \frac{3m}{p} \cos \theta \frac{dJ}{dt} \approx - \frac{1}{m} p \cdot \text{grad} \rho - \frac{3}{p^2} p \cdot \text{grad} (p \cdot J)$$

$$- F \cos \theta \frac{d\rho}{dp} - 3mF \cos^2 \theta \frac{dJ}{dp} \left( \frac{J}{p} \right) - \frac{3mFJ}{p^2} (1 - \cos^2 \theta)$$

$$- 3n_iQ_p \cos \theta \frac{d}{dp} \left( \frac{p^3Q_{ep}}{m} \rho \right) - \frac{1}{m} n_iQ_p \rho \equiv - 3n_iQ_p \cos \theta \quad (2.4.50)$$

This can be separated into two equations according to the symmetry with respect to $\theta$ (or to the direction of $p$), and solution of the two will determine $\rho(r,p,t)$ and $J(r,p,t)$. Two further examples will be discussed, both for steady-state conditions, for cases where the loss-of-energy terms are crucial for the solution.

**Uniform Drift Due to Force Field.** For our first example consider a uniform distribution of particles is drifting through a medium under the influence of a uniform force $F$. This is the case of electrons in a gas moving in response to an electric field. Here drift $\rho$ is zero and the drift vector $J$ must point along $F$. For steady-state conditions $df/dt$ is zero and we shall take $Q_p$ to be zero. The remainder of Eq. (2.4.50) can be multiplied by $\sin \theta d\theta$ or by $\cos \theta \sin \theta d\theta$ and integrated over $\theta$, resulting in the two equations

$$\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( pJ \right) = \frac{n_i}{Mm} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( p^3Q_{ep} \rho \right); \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} = - \frac{3n_iQ_p}{F} J \quad (2.4.51)$$

The first equation, when integrated, yields

$$FP^3J(p) = (n_i/Mm)p^3Q_{ep}(p) - Kp$$

The constant of integration $K$ turns out to be zero according to the following reasoning: Integration of the equation (with $K = 0$) once more with respect to $p$ gives us the energy-balance equation [see Eq. (2.4.38)]

$$FJ = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p^3}{2m} \right) \left( \frac{2mQ_p}{MQ_e} \right) \left( \frac{n_iQ_p}{m} \right) \rho(p)p^2 dp$$

The left-hand side is just equal to the energy per cubic centimeter per second picked up from the force field $F$ by the particle drift current density $J$. On the right-hand side, $(p^3/2m)(2mQ_p/MQ_e)$ has been shown in Eq. (2.4.46) to be the average kinetic energy lost per collision at momentum $p$; $n_iQ_p/m$ is the number of collisions per second per particle, and $\rho(p)p^3 dp$ is the number of particles per cubic centimeter in the momentum range from $p$ to $p + dp$; so that the integral is just the total energy lost per cubic centimeter per second because of collisions. If a steady state has been reached, energy lost by collisions
should equal energy gained from force, and therefore this equation should hold. Consequently, $K$ should be zero.

We can now return to the second part of Eqs. (2.4.51) and compute the dependence of $\rho$ on $p$. Since $J(p) = nQ_m/mFp^3p(p)$, we have, by integration,

$$\rho(p) = A \exp \left[ -\frac{3m}{M} \int_0^p \left( \frac{nQ_m p}{mF} \right)^3 p \, dp \right]$$

(2.4.52)

where $A$ is chosen so that the integral of Eq. (2.4.38) gives $\rho$, the average density of particles. When $Q_m$ is independent of velocity, this becomes

$$\rho(p) = A e^{-kp^4}; \quad k^4 = \frac{3m}{M} \left( \frac{nQ_m}{2Fm} \right)^3; \quad A = 3.2642 \rho_0 h^3$$

From this distribution function we can compute the average kinetic energy $\varepsilon$, of the particles and the mean drift velocity $v$, down the field, in terms of the energy $\varepsilon = F/nQ_m$ and velocity $v_e = \sqrt{2F/nQ_m}$ which would be gained by the particle in falling from rest down the field for a mean free path. These quantities are

$$\varepsilon = 0.4270(M/m)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad v = 0.6345(M/m)^{\frac{1}{2}}v_e$$

These relations are true only if $Q_m$ is independent of $p$. It is seen that the mean energy is larger the heavier are the atoms relative to the particles, because if $M/m$ increases, less energy can be lost by the particle per collision. On the other hand, the average drift velocity diminishes if $M/m$ is increased.

This example bears little relation to the diffusion equation, but it does show how the equations governing the distribution function $f$ can be adjusted, in various cases, to correspond to a very wide variety of conditions and of phenomena. It indicates, for instance, that the distribution in velocity of electrons in a gas, under the influence of an electric field, is not the Maxwell distribution produced by thermal agitation but has a fourth power of the velocity (instead of the square) in the exponential. This is true, of course, only as long as the mean kinetic energy of the electrons is very much higher than the mean kinetic thermal energy of the gas atoms through which the electrons are drifting. Otherwise we cannot consider the gas atoms as relatively at rest, and the electron distribution would be modified by the Maxwell distribution of the atoms.

**Slowing Down of Particles by Collisions.** The diffusion equation turns up in the next (and last) example, which is of interest in the study of the slowing down of neutrons in a "moderator." We consider here solutions of Eq. (2.1.50) when $F$ is zero, which are not independent of $(x,y,z)$ and which take into account the slowing-down effect of collisions.
Suppose that particles are introduced into a portion of space all at an initial momentum $p_0$; they strike atoms and gradually slow down and spread out at the same time. Since this is a steady-state process, new particles being continually introduced to replace those slowed down, the distribution function is independent of time, but it does depend on the momentum $p$ and on the space coordinates. As we shall see, $J$ is pointed along grad $\rho$.

The momentum can be taken as a measure of the average "age" of an individual particle since it was introduced at momentum $p_0$. As this age increases, the distribution in space changes, so that one might expect to find an equation relating the space dependence of $f$ with its dependence on particle age in the same manner as the diffusion equation relates the space dependence of $f$ with its time dependence, in the non-steady-state case.

The average loss of momentum $p$ per collision is shown in Eq. (2.4.46) to be given by

$$(dp/dt) \sim -(mQ_m/MQ)p$$

where $\tau$ is the average number of collisions suffered by the particle; consequently we have for the relation between $\tau$ and $\tau_0$

$$\tau - \tau_0 = \int_p^{p_0} \left( \frac{MQ}{mQ_m} \right) \left( \frac{dp}{p} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4.53)

The quantity $\tau - \tau_0$ is called the age of the particle in the distribution; it takes the place of time when we consider the case of the steady-state solution with slowing down.

Referring again to Eq. (2.4.50), we omit the force terms and the time derivatives but include energy loss and absorption terms. A source term $q$, as in Eq. (2.4.41), is not included, for it will be brought in as an "initial condition" for $\tau = \tau_0$. When we multiply by $\cos \theta$ and integrate over all directions, we obtain as before [see Eq. (2.4.40)]

$$J = -(p/3nQM) \text{grad } \rho; \quad Q = Q_m + Q_a$$

only now the equation is exact, for we now are dealing with a steady-state case, so that the time derivative of $J$ is zero instead of being negligibly small.

Substituting this back into Eq. (2.4.50) and averaging over all directions, we obtain [see page 190 for the grad $(p \cdot J)$ term]

$$-\frac{m_Q}{M \rho^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (p^2 Q_\omega \rho) = \frac{\rho}{3nQ} \nabla^2 \rho - n_0 \rho$$

Letting $\psi = (n_0 \rho p^2 \rho; \Gamma)$ and substituting $\tau$ for $p$, according to Eq. (2.4.53) we finally obtain an equation for $\psi$ having the form of the diffusion equation.
\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{3n_0^2 Q^2} \nabla^2 \psi - \alpha \psi; \quad \alpha = \frac{Q_o}{Q}
\]  

(2.4.54)

where, instead of time, we have \( \tau - \tau_0 \), the age of the particles having momentum \( p \). The physical meaning of \( \psi \) will become apparent shortly.

Solutions of this equation are completely analogous to solutions of the usual diffusion equation. For instance, if the initial particles at momentum \( p_0 \) are introduced in a concentrated region of space, the distribution of slower particles is more spread out, the spreading being the greater the larger is \( \tau \) (i.e., the smaller is \( p/p_0 \)). Once the steady state is reached, we can take a census of all the particles in a given cubic centimeter at a given instant to find the number present in a given range of momentum (or, rather, in a given interval \( d\tau \)). From the resulting density with respect to \( \tau \) we can compute the function \( \psi \) at the point as a function of \( \tau \), or vice versa, if \( \psi \) is known, we can predict the results of the census.

To determine the "initial value" \( \psi_0 \), the value of \( \psi \) at \( \tau = \tau_0 \), we must relate the number of particles being produced per cubic centimeter per second at a given point to the total loss of momentum per cubic centimeter per second, \( \frac{dp}{dt} \), to the number of particles per cubic centimeter times the rate of loss of momentum of a particle per second. The loss of momentum per collision is, from Eq. (2.4.40), equal to \( m_p Q_\psi/2Q_\omega \) on the average. The number of collisions per particle per second is \( n_0 Q_p/m_0 \), so that the total rate of loss of momentum of a particle per second is \( dp/dt = n_0 Q_p p^2/M \). Since the number of particles per cubic centimeter in the momentum range \( dp \) is, by Eq. (2.4.38), \( p^2 dp \), the total loss of momentum per cubic centimeter in the time interval \( dt \) is \( p^2 dp (dp/dt) dt = n_0 Q_p p^2 dp dt/M \). Consequently, the quantity \( n_0 Q_p p^2/M = \psi(\tau) \) is the total number of particles which, at a given time, had momenta greater than \( p \) and which, I see later, have momenta less than \( p \). It is the total rate of degradation of momentum.

For instance, if \( n_0 \) particles were introduced each second in each cubic centimeter of an enclosed space, \( \psi_0 \) would equal \( n_0 \) everywhere over the enclosed region (this assumes that the particles are introduced isotropically, with all directions of \( p_0 \) equally likely). If the boundaries of the region are perfect reflectors for particles, \( \psi \) would be independent of space coordinates for all values of \( \tau \), and the solution of Eq. (2.4.54) would be

\[
\psi = n_0 \omega^{-\omega (\omega + 1)/2}; \quad \text{for } \tau > \tau_0
\]

\[
= n_0 (p/p_0)^{(\omega Q_\omega - \omega_0 Q_\omega)}/M; \quad \text{if } Q_\omega/Q \text{ is independent of } p
\]

(2.4.55)

and the number of particles having momenta between \( p \) and \( p + dp \) is

\[
p^2 dp = (M \psi/n_0 Q_p p^2) dp = (n_0 M/n_0 Q_p p^2) (p/p_0)^{\omega Q_\omega - \omega_0 Q_\omega} dp
\]
for $p < p_0$. The last form of solution is valid only if $Q_m/Q$ does not vary with $p$. If there is no absorption, $(Q_m = 0)$ $\psi$ is independent of $\tau$ and $p$ is inversely proportional to $p^2 Q_m$. This must be, for if there is no absorption, the rate of degradation of moments $\psi$ must be the same for all speeds. This solution, of course, predicts an infinite number of particles having infinitesimal speeds. If our analysis of energy lost per collision holds to the limit of zero speed, we must have this infinite population in order to reach a steady state. In reality, of course, the atoms of the medium are not completely at rest, and therefore, at low enough particle velocities the analysis made above, assuming that the particles always lose energy, becomes invalid. Therefore Eq. (2.4.55) does not hold for particles having kinetic energies of the same magnitude as the mean energy of the atoms or smaller.

As another example, we can imagine one particle of momentum $p_0$ introduced (isotropically) per second at the point $x_0$, $y_0$, $z_0$ in an unbounded space. Then $\psi$ for $\tau = \tau_0$ would be a delta function $\delta(x - x_0) \cdot \delta(y - y_0) \delta(z - z_0)$, and using the same methods which resulted in Eq. (2.4.13), we obtain $\psi = G(x, y, y, z) \cdot \delta(x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0)$, where

$$G(x, y, z|\tau - \tau_0) = \begin{cases} 0; & \tau < \tau_0 \\ \left[\frac{3n_0^2 Q_m}{4\pi(\tau - \tau_0)}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{3n_0^2 Q_m^2}{4(\tau - \tau_0)} \cdot \frac{Q_m}{Q} \cdot (\tau - \tau_0) \right]; & \tau > \tau_0 \end{cases} \quad (2.4.56)$$

$$\tau = \int_p \left( \frac{M^2}{m Q_m^2} \right) \left( \frac{dp}{p} \right); \quad p(r, p) = \frac{M\psi}{n_0 Q_m p^2}$$

Finally we can compute the result if $q(x_0, y_0, z_0|p_0)$ $dp_0$ particles are introduced isotropically per second per cubic centimeter at the point $x_0$, $y_0$, $z_0$ in unbounded space, in the range of momentum between $p_0$ and $p_0 + dp_0$. The number of particles introduced per second between the "ages" $\tau_0$ and $\tau_0 + d\tau_0$ is, therefore, $(p_0 m Q_m/M) q(x_0, y_0, z_0|\tau_0) d\tau_0$, where $p_0$ is related to $\tau_0$ in the same way that $p$ and $\tau$ are related in Eq. (2.4.56). The resulting steady-state distribution of particles in space for different momenta $p$ can be obtained from the solution for $\psi$:

$$\psi(x, y, z|\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_0 d\tau_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_0 q(x_0, y_0, z_0|\tau_0) G(x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0|\tau - \tau_0) \quad (2.4.57)$$

where the number of particles per cubic centimeter in the range of momentum between $p$ and $p + dp$ is $G \cdot dp = (M\psi/n p^2)(\tau_0) dp$. For some simple forms of $q$ the integration can be performed and a closed analytic solution can be found for $\psi$.

**Recapitulation.** Many other applications of the diffusion equation can be found. The only requirement for its occurrence is that some
quantity (density, partial pressure, heat, etc.) satisfy two requirements: first, that it obey the equation of continuity, that the rate of change of the quantity with time be equal to minus the divergence of the flow of the quantity and, second, that the flow of the quantity be proportional to the negative gradient of the quantity. Other solutions will be given in Chap. 12.

Since the time derivative enters to the first order whereas the space derivatives are to the second order, the solutions of the diffusion equation are irreversible in time. Nearly all other equations we shall discuss represent reversible phenomena in the thermodynamic sense, whereas solutions of the diffusion equation represent degradation of entropy (this will be discussed again in the next chapter). All of this is roughly equivalent to saying that phenomena represented by the diffusion equation are inherently statistical events.

2.5 The Electromagnetic Field

Another important branch of physics, where the concept of field turns out to be remunerative, is that of electricity. Some of the elementary particles of matter are electrically charged, and most, if not all, have magnetic moments. Electromagnetic theory has been elaborated to describe their interactions in bulk (the interactions between individual particles usually involve quantum phenomena, which will be described later in this chapter).

As with the fields encountered earlier in this chapter, the electric charge can often be considered to be a continuous fluid rather than a swarm of charged particles. Classical electromagnetic theory deals with the fields produced by various configurations of such a fluid and with the interaction of these fields with other parts of the fluid. Of course it might be possible to discuss the force on one portion of the fluid (or on one particle) due to another portion (or particle) without talking about a field at all. But it seems considerably easier, and perhaps also better, to break the problem into two parts: first, the “creation” of an electromagnetic field by a distribution of charge and current, second, the effect of this field on the distribution of charge and current.

The Electrostatic Field. The effect of one charged particle on another is quite similar to the interactive effect of gravity. The magnitude of the force on each particle is inversely proportional to the square of their distance of separation and directly proportional to the product of the “strength” of their charges; the direction of the force is along the line joining them (as long as the particles are relatively at rest). In the case of gravitation the force is always attractive and the “strength” of the gravitational charge is proportional to its mass;
between static electric charges the force is repulsive if the charges are the same sign and attractive if the charges are opposite in sign.

The force on any one particle or portion of charge is therefore proportional to the “strength” of its own charge. We can thus define a vector field, called the electrostatic (or the gravitational) field, \( \mathbf{E} \), at some point which is the ratio of the force on a test particle at the point to the strength of charge of the test particle. The vector \( \mathbf{E} \) is called the electric (or gravitational) intensity at the point. This field, being the sum of forces with magnitudes inversely proportional to the squares of the distances from the various charges present, is the one which was discussed on page 17, due to a number of “source points.” We showed in Eq. (1.2.10) that the net outflow integral for this sort of field, over any closed surface, is equal to \( 4\pi \) times the sum of the charges of all the particles inside the surface.

As long as we are considering only large-scale effects, we need not consider the microscopic irregularities of the field due to the fact that the charge is concentrated on discrete particles instead of being spread out smoothly; we need consider only average fields over elements of surface area large compared with interparticle distances but small compared with the total surface considered. When this can be done, the resulting averaged field is equivalent to one caused by a “smoothed-out,” continuous charge distribution, and we do not need to bother ourselves about the exact positions of each individual particle. We can choose an element of volume \( dx \, dy \, dz \) “around” the point \( x, y, z \), containing a fairly large number of particles. The total charge inside the element is the average density of charge “at” \( x, y, z \), times \( dx \, dy \, dz \). This is proportional to the net outflow integral over the surface of the element, which is, by Eq. (1.4.5), equal to \( dx \, dy \, dz \) times the divergence of the field \( \mathbf{E} \).

Consequently, for large-scale effects, we can replace the swarm of charged particles by a smooth distribution of charge of density \( \rho(x, y, z, \tau) \). When this density is independent of time, Eq. (1.1.3) shows that the resulting static field \( \mathbf{E} \) is related to \( \rho \) by the equation

\[
\text{div } \mathbf{E} = (4\pi \varepsilon) \rho
\]

The factor of proportionality \( \varepsilon \) is characteristic of the medium and is called the dielectric constant of the medium. Whenever \( \varepsilon \) changes from point to point, it is better to compute a vector field related to \( \mathbf{E} \), called the displacement field \( \mathbf{D} \), where

\[
\text{div } \mathbf{D} = 4\pi \rho; \quad \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E}
\]

(2.5.1)

We solve for \( \mathbf{D} \) in terms of \( \rho \); then, knowing \( \varepsilon \), compute \( \mathbf{E} \) and from \( \mathbf{E} \) compute the force \( \rho \mathbf{E} \) on a cubic centimeter of the electric fluid.
The vector $\mathbf{E}$ can always be expressed in terms of the curl of a vector and the gradient of a scalar potential, as was shown on page 53. But since the divergence of a curl is zero, the vector potential for $\mathbf{E}$ is not determined by Eq. (2.5.1) and does not enter into electrostatic calculations. The scalar potential $\varphi$ must satisfy the following equation:

$$\text{div} [\epsilon \text{grad} \varphi] + (\text{grad} \epsilon) \cdot (\text{grad} \varphi) = -4\pi \rho; \quad \mathbf{E} = -\text{grad} \varphi \quad (2.5.2)$$

When $\epsilon$ is constant, this becomes a Poisson equation for $\varphi$ [see Eq. (2.1.2)].

In the case of gravity the quantity $\epsilon$ is everywhere constant and the equation for the intensity has a reversed sign, $\text{div} \mathbf{E} = -(4\pi \rho / \epsilon)$, corresponding to the fact that the force is an attraction, not a repulsion. In this case also there is a scalar potential, which is everywhere a solution of Poisson's equation $\nabla^2 \varphi = -(4\pi \rho / \epsilon)$.

**The Magnetostatic Field.** Ferromagnetic materials, having atoms with unneutralized magnetic moments, behave as though they were charged with a magnetic fluid\(^1\) analogous to the electrical fluid we have just discussed. If there were a unit positive magnetic charge, it would be acted on by a force represented by a vector field $\mathbf{H}$, analogous to the electric field $\mathbf{E}$. Analogous to the dielectric constant $\epsilon$ is the permeability $\mu$, and analogous to the displacement vector $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}$ is the magnetic induction $\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}$.

The important difference between electricity and magnetism, however, is that there is no magnetic charge. The equation for the induction field $\mathbf{B}$, instead of Eq. (2.5.1), is

$$\text{div} \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (2.5.3)$$

One could, of course, express $\mathbf{B}$ as the gradient of a scalar potential which would always be a solution of Laplace's equation [Eq. (2.3.6)]. But it is better to utilize the fact that the divergence of the curl of any vector is zero and express $\mathbf{D}$ in terms of a vector potential, $\mathbf{B} = \text{curl} \mathbf{A}$.

This would be about as far as one could go with magnetostatics were it not for the fact that the magnetic field turns out to be related to the flow of electric charge, the electric current. For instance, if a long straight wire of negligible diameter carries current $\mathbf{I}$, where the direction of the vector is the direction of the current along the wire, then the magnetic field around the wire is given by the equation

$$\mathbf{H} = (2\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{r}) / r^2 \quad (2.5.4)$$

---

\(^1\) Since there are no magnetic charges, but only magnetic moments, it would be more logical to derive the magnetic equations by considering the torque on a magnetic dipole. This is not a text on electromagnetics, however, and we may be forgiven (we hope) for saving space by deriving the equations by analogy with electrostatics rather than using a few more pages to present the more logical derivation, which is given in detail in such texts as Frank, "Introduction to Electricity and Optics," McGraw-Hill, or Stratton, "Electromagnetic Theory," McGraw-Hill.
where \( \mathbf{r} \) is the vector, perpendicular to the wire, from the wire to the point at which \( \mathbf{B} \) is measured.

But this is just the field, discussed on page 19, due to a simple vortex. Reference to this and to the definition of the vorticity vector, given on page 41, leads to a general relation between \( \mathbf{B} \) and a steady current. If the charge fluid is moving, the velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) of the charge times the charge density \( \rho \) is expressible as a current density \( \mathbf{J} \), charge per square centimeter per second, having the direction of the velocity of the charge at each point. This current is related to the vorticity vector for \( \mathbf{H} \) by the simple equation

\[
\text{curl} \, \mathbf{H} = \tau \mathbf{J}
\]

(Incidentally, it is possible to have a current and yet not have free charge if the current is caused by the opposing motion of equal amounts of positive and negative charge.)

Reciprocally, there is a force on a current in a magnetic field. The force on a cubic centimeter of moving charge at point \( x, y, z \) is

\[
\mathbf{F} = \rho \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} ; \quad \mathbf{B} = \rho \mathbf{H}
\]

For steady-state problems these equations suffice. The charge sets up the electrostatic field (\( \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D} \)); the current sets up the magnetic field (\( \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B} \)). The electric field in turn acts on the charge, and the magnetic field on the current. Electric field is caused by, and causes force on, static charges; magnetic field is caused by, and causes force on, moving charges. A rather far-fetched analogy can be made between the scalar potential, determining the electric field, and the scalar wave potential for purely compressional waves in elastic media and between the vector potential, determining the magnetic field, and the vector wave potential for shear waves. Thus far, however, there can be no wave motion, for we have considered only the steady state.

For unbounded space containing finite, steady-state charge and current distribution and with dielectric constant \( \epsilon \) everywhere the same, the solution of Eq. (2.5.2) is, according to Eq. (1.4.8),

\[
\psi(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \iiint_{V} \frac{1}{R} \rho(x', y', z') \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'
\]

where \( R^2 = (x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2 \) and \( \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \psi \), \( \mathbf{D} = -\epsilon \nabla \psi \).

The vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) can just as well be adjusted so that its divergence is zero (since we are interested only in that part of \( \mathbf{A} \) which has a nonzero curl). Since \( \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \text{grad div} \, \mathbf{A} - \text{curl curl} \, \mathbf{A} \), we have, from Eq. (2.5.4),

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = -4\pi \mathbf{J}
\]
and, from Eq. (1.5.16),

\[
A(x, y, z) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left(1/\rho \right) J(x', y', z') \, dx' \, dy' \, dz'
\] (2.5.8)

where \( H = \text{curl} \, A \), \( B = \mu \, \text{curl} \, A \).

**Dependence on Time.** So far we have been discussing steady-state conditions, where \( \partial \rho / \partial t \) and \( \text{div} \, J \) are both zero [\( \text{div} \, J \) must be zero if \( \partial \rho / \partial t \) is zero because of the equation of continuity (2.3.1)]. But if \( \rho \) and \( J \) vary with time, Eqs. (2.5.1) and (2.5.4) must become related by reason of the equation of continuity relating charge density and charge flow. Here we must relate the units of charge, current, and field in the two equations. If we use the mks system, the equations stand as written, with \( \epsilon \) for vacuum being \( \epsilon_0 \approx \frac{1}{12\pi} \times 10^{-9} \) and with \( \mu \) for vacuum being \( \mu_0 \approx 10^{-2} \). We prefer, however, to use the mixed system of Gauss, measuring charge in statcoulombs and current in statamperes, magnetic field in electromagnetic units (\( \mu \) for vacuum being 1) and electric field in electrostatic units (\( \epsilon \) for vacuum being 1). Then Eq. (2.5.4) for the steady state becomes

\[-c \text{curl} \, H = -4\pi J\] (2.5.9)

and Eq. (2.5.5) becomes \( \mathbf{F} = (1/c) \left( \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \), where \( c = \sqrt{1/\mu_0\epsilon_0} \approx 3 \times 10^8 \) meters per sec = 3 \( \times \) 10\(^{10} \) cm per sec.

Since the equation of continuity \( \partial \rho / \partial t = - \text{div} \, J \) must hold, we should obtain an identity by taking the divergence of Eq. (2.5.9) and the time derivative of Eq. (2.5.1) and equating the two. The left-hand sides do not balance, however, for there is a term \( \text{div} (\partial \mathbf{D} / \partial t) \) left over. This is not surprising, for these two equations were set up for steady-state fields, and any term in the time derivative of \( \mathbf{D} \) should have vanished. The equation for the time-dependent magnetic field evidently should be

\[c \, \text{curl} \, H - (\partial \mathbf{D} / \partial t) = 4\pi \mathbf{J}\] (2.5.10)

This is confirmed by experiment. The equation is called the equation for magnetic induction or the Ampère circuit current law for \( \mathbf{H} \). Not only does electric current produces a magnetic field; a change in the electric field also produces it.

**Maxwell's Equations.** We have nearly arrived at a symmetric pattern for the forms of the field equations; there are two equations dealing with the divergences of \( \mathbf{B} \) and \( \mathbf{D} \) [Eqs. (2.5.1) and (2.5.3)] and an equation dealing with the curl of \( \mathbf{H} \) [Eq. (2.5.10)]. We need a fourth equation, dealing with the curl of \( \mathbf{E} \), in order to obtain a symmetric pattern. The fourth equation, however, cannot be completely symmetric to Eq. (2.5.10), for there is no magnetic current, any more than there is a
magnetic charge. The nearest we can come to symmetry is an equation relating curl $\mathbf{E}$ and $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t$. This equation is also confirmed experimentally; it is called the Faraday law of electric induction and relates the change of magnetic field to the vorticity of the electric field. The experimental results show that a factor $-(i/c)$ must be included on the right side of the equation. We can therefore write down the four symmetric equations relating the fields to the currents,

$$
curl \mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} 4\pi \mathbf{J}; \quad \text{curl} \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\
\text{div} \mathbf{B} = 0; \quad \text{div} \mathbf{D} = 4\pi \rho \quad (2.5.11)
$$

which are called Maxwell’s equations. The force on a cubic centimeter of charge current is

$$\mathbf{F} = \rho \mathbf{E} + (1/c) \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \quad (2.5.12)$$

These are the fundamental equations defining the classical electromagnetic field, resulting from a “smoothed out” charge and current density.

The equations for the scalar and vector potentials are also modified by the variation with time. We still set $\mathbf{B} = \text{curl} \mathbf{A}$, for it automatically makes div $\mathbf{B} = 0$. Placing this into the equation for curl $\mathbf{E}$ results in

$$\text{curl} \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \text{curl} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \text{curl} \left[ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right] = 0 \quad (2.5.13)$$

A vector whose curl is zero can be derived from a scalar potential function, so that $[\mathbf{E} + (1/c)(\partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t)]$ is the gradient of some scalar. In the steady-state case we had that $\mathbf{E} = -\text{grad} \, \phi$, where $\phi$ was the scalar potential, so we might as well define $\phi$ by the equation

$$\mathbf{E} = -\text{grad} \, \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \quad (2.5.13)$$

Setting these equations for $\mathbf{A}$ and $\phi$ into the equation for curl $\mathbf{H}$ (and assuming that $\mu$ and $\epsilon$ are constant), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \text{curl} \text{curl} \mathbf{A} = -\frac{\epsilon}{c} \left[ \text{grad} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right] + \frac{1}{c} 4\pi \mathbf{J}$$

or

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \frac{\mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = \text{grad} \left[ \text{div} \mathbf{A} + \frac{\epsilon}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right] - \frac{\mu}{c} 4\pi \mathbf{J}$$

This would be an equation just for $\mathbf{A}$, a generalization of Eq. (2.5.7), if the quantity in square brackets were zero. Since only the part of $\mathbf{A}$ having nonzero curl is as yet defined, it is always possible to adjust the divergence of $\mathbf{A}$ so that

$$\text{div} \mathbf{A} = -\frac{\epsilon}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \quad (2.5.14)$$
Inserting Eqs. (2.5.13) and (2.5.14) into the last of Maxwell’s equations, the one for div D (still assuming that μ and ε are constant), we have an equation for the scalar potential. Together with the final equation for A, we have

\[
\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{\epsilon \mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{4\pi \rho}{\epsilon} ; \quad \nabla^2 A - \frac{\epsilon \mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{4\pi \mu J}{c}
\]

(2.5.15)

which serve to determine the scalar and vector potentials in terms of ρ and J. When ρ and J are zero, they are wave equations with wave velocity equal to c \sqrt{1/\epsilon \mu} in Gaussian units (or \sqrt{1/\epsilon \mu} in mks units). When ε and μ are both unity (in a vacuum), the speed of the waves is equal to the speed of light, 3 \times 10^8 cm per sec; in fact it is the speed of light. We note from these equations that relation (2.5.14) between ϕ and A is a consequence of the equation of continuity for ρ and J. By analogy with waves in elastic solids we call the waves in ϕ \textit{longitudinal} waves and the waves in A \textit{transverse} waves.

**Retardation and Relaxation.** By the same sort of reasoning which obtained the integral of Eq. (1.4.8) for a solution of Poisson’s equation in infinite space, we can show that solutions of the equations for ϕ(x,y,z,t) and A(x,y,z,t) in infinite space caused by a finite distribution of ρ(x,y,z,t) and J(x,y,z,t) are

\[
\phi^0(x,y,z,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R \epsilon} \rho \left(x',y',z',t - \frac{R}{c} \right) dx' dy' dz' \]

\[
A^0(x,y,z,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{Rc} J \left(x',y',z',t - \frac{R}{c} \right) dx' dy' dz'
\]

(2.5.16)

where, as before, \( R^2 = (x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2 \) and also \( (c')^2 = c^2/\epsilon \mu \).

These integral solutions show that the effect on the potentials at the point x, y, z due to the charge and current at x', y', z' is felt a time \( R/c' \) later in time. The potential has been \textit{retarded} in its effect by the time required for a wave with speed \( c' = c/\sqrt{\epsilon \mu} \) to go from x', y', z' to x, y, z. Since the wave equation is symmetrical with respect to reversal of the direction of time, another solution can be obtained by replacing \( t - (R/c') \) by \( t + (R/c') \) in the integrands. Up until the present, however, such advanced potentials have not turned out to be of much practical use.

Specifying the potentials “overspecifics” the fields, or vice versa, more than one set of potentials has the same fields. The solutions given in Eq. (2.5.16) can be modified by adding to each a different function, so related that Eq. (2.5.14) still holds. In other words, we can take any function \( \chi \), satisfying appropriate boundary conditions, and form
new solutions of Eqs. (2.5.15) by setting

\[ \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^0 - \text{grad } \chi; \quad \varphi = \varphi^0 + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} \]  

(2.5.17)

Then \( \text{div } \mathbf{A} + (\mu / \epsilon)(\partial \varphi / \partial t) = -\nabla^2 \chi + (\mu / \epsilon^2)(\partial^2 \chi / \partial t^2) \), so that the equations for the new \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \varphi \) may differ from the forms given in Eqs. (2.5.15). The electric and magnetic fields, however (which are the measurable quantities), are not affected by the choice of \( \chi \). In the process of taking the curl of \( \mathbf{A} \) to obtain \( \mathbf{B} \), the grad \( \chi \) term vanishes, and in the process of computing \( \mathbf{E} \) from Eq. (2.5.13) the terms involving \( \text{grad } (\partial \chi / \partial t) \) cancel. This invariance of the actual fields to changes in the potentials, which leave Eq. (2.5.14) invariant, is called gauge invariance, discussed again later.

It can be used to simplify the solutions of Maxwell’s equations in regions where there is no free charge. In this case \( \varphi \) itself is a solution of the wave equation, so that we can adjust \( \chi \) to cancel \( \varphi \) and we need not consider the scalar potential at all. This means, according to Eq. (2.5.14), that \( \mathbf{A} \) would have zero divergence, and the necessary equations become

\[ \mathbf{H} = \text{curl } \mathbf{A}; \quad \mathbf{E} = -(1/c)(\partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t); \quad \text{div } \mathbf{A} = 0; \quad \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = -\text{curl curl } \mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} - 4\pi \mu \frac{\mathbf{J}}{c} \]

(2.5.18)

Even when there is free charge, we can choose \( \mathbf{A} \) so that \( \text{div } \mathbf{A} = 0 \). Then, from Eqs. (2.5.14) and (2.5.15), the scalar potential must be a solution of Poisson’s equation \( \nabla^2 \varphi = -4\pi \rho / \epsilon \).

Inside metallic conductors there is no free charge, and the current density is proportional to \( \mathbf{E} \), \( \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \), where \( \sigma \) is the volume conductivity of the metal. The equation for the vector potential then becomes the linear equation

\[ \frac{\mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} + 4\pi \mu \sigma \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - c^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = 0 \]

(2.5.19)

This equation is very similar to Eq. (2.3.20) for damped compressional waves in a fluid. The term in \( \partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t \) introduces a damping term in the solution, either in the space or in the time dependence or both, as with the compressional waves.

For instance, if the wave is simple harmonic, of frequency \( \omega / 2\pi \), the equation for space dependence is

\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + (1/c^2)[\mu \omega^2 + 4\pi \mu \sigma \omega] \mathbf{A} = 0 \]

for the time factor \( e^{-i\omega t} \). This, as on page 137, represents an attenuation of the wave in space. On the other hand, for standing waves, where
\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + k^2 \mathbf{A} = 0, \]  
the equation for the time dependence becomes

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4\pi \sigma}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{c^2 \hbar^2}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{A} = 0 \]

which corresponds to damped oscillations in time. Such free oscillations of current inside a conductor are called relaxation oscillations.

**Lorentz Transformation.** In space, where \( \mu \) and \( \varepsilon \) are both unity, the wave velocity is \( c \), the velocity of light. In this case we should expect that Eqs. (2.5.11) and (2.5.15), relating the fields and the potentials to the charge current, should have some simple relationship to the Lorentz transformation discussed in Sec. 1.7. The operator \( (\mu = \varepsilon = 1) \)

\[ \Box^2 = \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \]

called the d’Alembertian, obviously [see (Eq. 1.7.6)] has Lorentz invariance of form (see page 98). By saying that the equations for \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \varphi \) are Lorentz invariant, we mean that they should have the same form for any observer, no matter what his relative velocity (as long as it is a constant velocity). This will be true if the quantity \( (J_x J_y J_z e) = I \) is a four-vector, with the transformation properties given in Eq. (1.7.4).

The easiest way to show that charge current is a four-vector is to show that its “scalar product” with a known four-vector is a Lorentz invariant.

A known four-vector operator is the four-dimensional gradient, with components

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{1}{ic} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = \Box \]

The “scalar product” of these two,

\[ \Box \cdot I = \text{div} \mathbf{J} + (\partial \rho / \partial t) \]

is just zero, by the equation of continuity [Eq. (2.3.1) and page 204]. Zero is certainly a Lorentz invariant, so we have proved that the quantity involving \( \mathbf{J} \) and \( \rho \) is a four-vector. In other words, in terms of the coordinates

\[ x_1 = x; \quad x_2 = y; \quad x_3 = z; \quad x_4 = ic t \]

the components

\[ I_1 = J_x; \quad I_2 = J_y; \quad I_3 = J_z; \quad I_4 = ic \rho \]

and

\[ V_1 = A_x; \quad V_2 = A_y; \quad V_3 = A_z; \quad V_4 = ic \varphi \]

are components of two four-vectors. The Lorentz-invariant equations

\[ \Box \cdot I = \sum_n \frac{\partial I_n}{\partial x_n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Box \cdot V = \sum_n \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial x_n} = 0 \]
are just the equation of continuity and Eq. (2.5.14) relating \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \varphi \).

The set of four equations, for the four components of

\[
\Box \mathbf{V} = -(4\pi I/c)
\]

are just the Lorentz-invariant equations (2.5.15), the wave equations for the potentials.

The electric and magnetic fields are not four-vectors. According to Eq. (2.5.13) (and remembering that, when \( \epsilon = \mu = 1 \), then \( \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{H} \) and \( \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E} \)),

\[
H_x = \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} - \left[ \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial z} \right],
\]

\[
E_x = -\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t} = \frac{\epsilon}{c} \left[ \frac{\partial V_4}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x} \right]
\]

But the expressions in square brackets are components of an antisymmetric tensor (or what might better be called a four-dyadic) \( \mathbf{T} \), with components

\[
f_{mn} = \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial x_m} - \frac{\partial V_m}{\partial x_n}; \quad f_{11} = f_{23} = f_{31} = f_{44} = 0
\]

\[
f_{12} = -f_{21} = H_z; \quad f_{13} = -f_{31} = -H_y, \quad f_{23} = -f_{32} = H_x
\]

\[
f_{14} = -f_{41} = -iE_z; \quad f_{24} = -f_{42} = -iE_y; \quad f_{34} = -f_{43} = -iE_x
\]

The Maxwell equations can then be put into Lorentz-invariant form. The components of the equation \( \Box \cdot \mathbf{\mathbf{F}} = (4\pi/c)I \),

\[
\sum_n \frac{\partial f_{mn}}{\partial x_n} = \frac{4\pi}{c} I_m
\]

(2.5.20)

correspond to the equations

\[
\text{div } \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho; \quad \text{for } m = 4 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{curl } \mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}; \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, 3
\]

The equations \( \text{div } \mathbf{H} = 0 \) and \( \text{curl } \mathbf{E} + (1/c)(\partial \mathbf{H}/\partial t) = 0 \), which correspond to the definition of \( \mathbf{H} \) and \( \mathbf{E} \) in terms of the potentials \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \varphi \) [Eqs. (2.5.13) and \( \mathbf{H} = (1/\mu) \text{ curl } \mathbf{A} \)], can be rewritten in the forms

\[
\frac{\partial f_{22}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_{33}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_{14}}{\partial x_3} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial f_{23}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_{34}}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial f_{12}}{\partial x_4} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f_{24}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_{41}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial x_3} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial f_{24}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_{41}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_{13}}{\partial x_3} = 0
\]

which can be shown to be Lorentz-invariant also [i.e., whereas in three dimensions Eq. (1.5.3) shows that \( c_{22} - c_{33} = b_1 \), etc., are components of a three-vector, in four dimensions \( c_{122} + c_{233} + c_{311} = b_1 \), etc., are components of a pseudovector].
As an example of the way the fields transform under a Lorentz transformation, we shall start with coordinates \( x', \) etc.; potentials \( A'_\mu, \) etc.; and fields \( E'_\mu, \) etc., for an observer \( B \) at rest with respect to the primed coordinates. With respect to an observer \( A \) moving with velocity \( u = c \tanh \alpha \) along the \( x \) axis with respect to \( B, \) the coordinates, charge current, and potentials become [see Eqs. (1.7.2) and (1.7.4)]

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= x' \cosh \alpha + ct' \sinh \alpha; & \ y &= y'; & \ z &= z' \\
ct &= x' \sinh \alpha + ct' \cosh \alpha \\
J_x &= J'_x \cosh \alpha + cp' \sinh \alpha; & \ J_y &= J'_y; & \ J_z &= J'_z; \\
cp &= J'_x \sinh \alpha + cp' \cosh \alpha \\
A_x &= A'_x \cosh \alpha + \varphi' \sinh \alpha; & \ A_y &= A'_y; & \ A_z &= A'_z; \\
\varphi &= A'_x \sinh \alpha + \varphi' \cosh \alpha
\end{align*}
\]

\begin{equation}
(2.5.21)
\end{equation}

\[
\begin{align*}
tanh \alpha &= u/c; & \ \sinh \alpha &= u/\sqrt{c^2 - u^2}; & \ \cosh \alpha &= c/\sqrt{c^2 - u^2}
\end{align*}
\]

We should expect that the new \( x \) component of the current would have a term in \( \varphi' \), because the charge is now moving. But it might not be expected that the new charge density has a term depending on the \( x \) component of the current.

The fields, being tensor components, follow the corresponding transformation rules:

\[
E_x = \vec{E}_{14} = i \sum_{mn} \gamma_m \gamma_n j''_{mn} = i \cosh^2 \alpha j''_{14} + i \sinh^2 \alpha j''_{41} = \vec{j}''_{14} = E'_x; \text{ etc.}
\]

so that

\[
\begin{align*}
E_x &= E'_x; & \ E_y &= E'_y \cosh \alpha + H'_x \sinh \alpha; & \ E_z &= E'_z \cosh \alpha - H'_x \sinh \alpha \\
H_x &= H'_x; & \ H_y &= H'_y \cosh \alpha - E'_z \sinh \alpha; & \ H_z &= H'_y \cosh \alpha + E'_z \sinh \alpha
\end{align*}
\]

\begin{equation}
(2.5.22)
\end{equation}

We see that electric and magnetic fields are intermingled by the motion, which is not surprising, since we saw earlier that stationary charge causes only an electric field but moving charge also causes a magnetic field.

**Gauge Transformation.** Although it is more convenient for our formal discussions to consider the vector and scalar potential to be components of a four-vector, they do not have to follow the Lorentz transformation in this simple manner. The electric and magnetic fields, of course, must transform as parts of the four-dyadic \( f_{mn} \) for they are the physically measurable quantities and they must satisfy the Maxwell equations, which conform to the Lorentz transformation. But there is a "loose-jointedness" in the potentials, as has been mentioned on page 267.

If we relate \( \textbf{A} \) and \( \varphi \) by the usual relation \( \text{div} \, \textbf{A} + (1/c)(\partial \varphi/\partial t) = 0, \) then potential \( (\textbf{A}, \varphi) \) is a four-vector. But if we perform a **gauge transformation** of the sort \( \textbf{A}' = \textbf{A} - \text{grad} \, \chi, \ \varphi' = \varphi + (1/c)(\partial \chi/\partial t), \) where \( \chi \) is a solution of the wave equation, the new potentials \( (\textbf{A}', \varphi') \) do not necessarily form a four-vector, though the fields computed by their means are the same as before the transformation.
The invariance of the fields under gauge transformations of the potentials allows us to choose a form for the potentials which transforms under a Lorentz transformation as a four-vector but which may not be particularly easy to compute, or else to choose a form which is easy to compute in a particular Lorentz system, even though it is more difficult to compute its behavior under a transformation of the Lorentz system.

For instance, if we choose, for a particular Lorentz system, the gauge corresponding to \( \text{div } \mathbf{A} = 0 \), then we shall have achieved a more complete separation between "longitudinal" and "transverse" fields (for that Lorentz system) than any other choice of gauge would allow. The equations for the new \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \varphi \) (which we shall label \( \mathbf{A}^o \) and \( \varphi^o \)) can be obtained by reworking the calculations between Eqs. (2.5.13) and (2.5.15), making the new assumption that \( \text{div } \mathbf{A}^o = 0 \). The equation for the scalar potential simplifies; it becomes

\[
\nabla^2 \varphi^o = -\left(\frac{4\pi \rho}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{2.5.23}
\]

which is just the Poisson equation which always governs in the steady-state case. However, here we do not require that \( \rho \) be independent of time; the scalar potential \( \varphi^o \) is to follow the fluctuations of \( \rho \) without any retardation, for there is no \((1/c^2)(\partial^2 \varphi^o/\partial t^2)\) term to bring in retardation (this, by itself, makes it apparent that \( \varphi^o \) cannot be the time component of a four-vector). Since it is usually easier to solve a Poisson equation than an inhomogeneous wave equation, Eq. (2.5.23) is usually easier to solve than the second of Eqs. (2.5.15). The resulting potential \( \varphi^o \) is due to the free charge \( \rho \).

Once Eq. (2.5.33) is solved, giving \( \varphi^o \) in terms of the free charge, the equation for the corresponding \( \mathbf{A}^o \),

\[
\text{curl} \left( \text{curl } \mathbf{A}^o \right) + \frac{\mu_0}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}^o}{\partial t^2} \right) = \frac{4\pi \mu}{c} \mathbf{J} - \frac{\epsilon_0}{c} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial \varphi^o}{\partial t} \right) \tag{2.5.24}
\]

can be solved, considering \( \varphi^o \) as already known. The resulting solution is due to the transverse, or no-free-charge, current only, for \( \mathbf{J} \) may be split into two parts (see page 52): one with zero divergence, \( \mathbf{J}_t \), which may be expressed as the curl of some vector, and one with zero curl, \( \mathbf{J}_r \), which may be expressed as the gradient of some scalar:

\[
\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_t + \mathbf{J}_r; \quad \mathbf{J}_t = \nabla Q; \quad \mathbf{J}_r = \text{curl } \mathbf{C}
\]

But using the equation of continuity relating \( \mathbf{J} \) and \( \rho \), we have \( \text{div } \mathbf{J} = \text{div } \mathbf{J}_t = -(\partial \rho/\partial t) \), which relates the longitudinal part of \( \mathbf{J} \) to the rate of change of free charge. Comparing this equation with the time derivative of Eq. (2.5.23) (and remembering that \( \nabla^2 = \text{div} \) grad), we find that

\[
\mathbf{J}_t = \frac{\epsilon_0}{4\pi} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial \varphi^o}{\partial t} \right)
\]
Therefore Eq. (2.5.24) can be rewritten in terms of the transverse current \( J^t \), which is not related to the rate of change of free charge:

\[
\text{curl} (\text{curl} A^0) + \frac{\mu_0}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 A^0}{\partial t^2} \right) = \frac{4\pi \rho}{c} J^t
\]

Therefore whenever there is no free charge \( \rho \) and the current is all transverse, \( \varphi^0 \) can be made zero and the fields can be computed by means of the vector potential \( A^\alpha \) alone. If there is free charge, \( \varphi^0 \) is computed from it but \( A^0 \) is not affected by it. (Note that this gauge is not Lorentz invariant.)

**Field of a Moving Charge.** As an example of the use of the general transformations given above, suppose that we compute the field due to a point charge moving along the \( x \) axis with velocity \( u = c \tanh \alpha \). We can do this by computing the retarded potentials from Eq. (2.5.16), or we can calculate the static field around a stationary charge and then transform to a moving system by the Lorentz transformations just given. This second way is the easier, as will be apparent shortly.

We start with a point charge \( Q \), stationary at the origin in the primed coordinates. The potentials and fields at a point \((x', y', z')\) are therefore

\[
\varphi' = Q/r'; \quad A' = 0; \quad E' = Q(1, r')^2r'; \quad H' = 0
\]

where \( r' = \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2} \). This is the simple source solution discussed on page 17.

Next we transform to a set of unprimed coordinates traveling with velocity \( u = c \tanh \alpha \) in the \( x \) direction. The position of the charge at time \( t \) is a distance \( ut \) along the \( x \) axis from the origin. The relationship between the primed and unprimed coordinates is given by Eqs. (2.5.21) or by

\[
x' = x \cosh \alpha - ct \sinh \alpha; \quad y' = y; \quad z' = z;
\]

\[
x' = -x \sinh \alpha + ct \cosh \alpha
\]

\[
\cosh \alpha = 1/\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}; \quad \sinh \alpha = (u/c)/\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}
\]

The quantity \( r' \) must also be expressed in terms of the unprimed coordinates:

\[
(r')^2 = (x - ut)^2 \cosh^2 \alpha + y^2 + z^2 = s^2/[1 - (u^2/c^2)] = s^2 \cosh^2 \alpha
\]

where \( s^2 = (x - ut)^2 + (y^2 + z^2)[1 - (u^2/c^2)]\).

Referring to Eqs. (2.5.21) we see that the potentials for a moving charge can be [using the gauge of Eq. (2.5.14)]

\[
\varphi = \varphi' \cosh \alpha = Q/s; \quad A = i\varphi' \sinh \alpha = (Q/sc)u
\]

where \( u = \text{iu} \) is the vector representing the constant velocity of the charge in the unprimed system of coordinates. By use of Eqs. (2.5.22) or else
by performing the proper differentiations on the potentials, we can also obtain the electric and magnetic fields of the moving charge:

\[ E = Q \left(1 - \frac{u^2}{c^2}\right) \frac{r}{s^3}; \quad H = \frac{1}{c} u \times E \quad (2.5.26) \]

where \( r = [x -(ut)]i + yj + zk \) is the vector representing the distance from the charge to the point of measurement \( x, y, z \) at the time \( t \) when the measurement is made.

It is to be noticed that, when \( u^2 \) can be neglected in comparison with \( c^2 \), \( s \) becomes equal to \( r \) and the familiar expressions for the fields due to a slow-moving charge result:

\[ E \sim Q \frac{r}{r^3}; \quad H \sim \frac{Q}{c} \frac{u \times r}{r^3} \]

The exact expressions, given in Eqs. (2.5.26), reveal a certain amount of distortion because of the relativistic imposition of a maximum relative speed \( c \), which is not infinite. The equipotential surfaces for \( \varphi \), for instance, are not spheres but oblate spheroids, with the short axis along the direction of motion of the charge and with the ratio of minor to major axes equal to \( \sqrt{1 - (u^2/c^2)} \). In the limiting case of high particle velocity \( (u \to c) \), the field is confined to a thin disk perpendicular to \( u \), with \( Q \) at its center, practically a plane wave pulse.

Presumably these potentials and fields should be retarded; i.e., they should be expressible in terms of ordinary potentials due to the charge at some earlier position. A naive use of Eqs. (2.5.16) would have us expect that \( \varphi \) should be equal to \( Q \) divided by a distance \( r \), the distance between \( x, y, z \) and the position of the charge at a time \( r/c \) earlier than \( t \), the time when the potential is measured at \( x, y, z \). Examination of Fig. 2.22 shows that the quantity \( s \), which does enter into the expression for the potentials, is not equal to \( r \), the length of the line \( PQ \), but is equal instead to the length of the line \( PR \), where \( QR \) is perpendicular to \( PR \). It is true that \( s \) is equal to \( r + (u/c)X_r = r - (1/c)(a \cdot u) - r[1 - (1/c)a \cdot u] \), where \( a \) is a unit vector pointed from \( Q \) toward \( P \). Therefore the potentials can be expressed in terms of distances and directions related to the position of the charge at the time \( t - (r/c) \), which is what is meant by the term retarded potential. If we can find out how the unexpected factor \( [1 - (1/c)a \cdot u] \) arises when we compute \( \varphi \) from Eq. (2.5.16), we shall have completed the reconciliation between the two methods of calculating the potentials (and, as is usual with such reconciliations, have learned a bit more mathematical physics!).

The root of the difficulty lies in the difference, mentioned on page 152, in the two ways of describing the motion of fluids. Equations (2.5.16), for the retarded potentials, use factors in the integrand for
charge and current density which are expressed in terms of a coordinate system at rest with respect to the observer. In the case of a moving particle, however, the distribution of charge density is constant when expressed in terms of a coordinate system at rest with respect to the particle (i.e., moving with respect to the observer). If we neglect to transform coordinates before integrating, we end up without the needed factor \(1 - (1/c)a_r \cdot u\).

This is shown in the second part of Fig. 2.22, where we have enlarged the picture sufficiently to indicate the particle's size and distribution of charge. It indicates that, no matter how small the particle is, its motion must be taken into account when we perform the integration. For that part of the charge a distance \(r_r\) from the observer, we use the position

![Fig. 2.22 Distances and angles involved in calculating the retarded potentials from a charge \(Q\) moving with velocity \(u\) with respect to observer at point \(P\).](image)

of the particle at time \(t - (r_r/c)\), but for that part a distance \(r_r + dr_r\) we use the position at time \(t - (r_r/c) - (dr_r/c)\), involving a displacement of \(u \cdot dr_r/c\) back along the \(x\) axis. A bit of cogitation will show that, in the integration, the amount of charge \(dq\) inside the volume element \(dA \cdot dr_r\) is not \(\rho dA \cdot dr_r\), as it would be if the charge were not moving, but is \([1 + (u/c) \cos \beta] \rho dA \cdot dr_r\). Therefore, \(\rho dA \cdot dr_r\) is equal to \(dq[1 + (u/c) \cos \beta]^{-1}\), and if the dimensions of the particle are small enough, the integral of Eq. (2.5.16) becomes

\[
\frac{\int dq}{r_r[1 + (u/c) \cos \beta]} = \frac{Q}{r_r[1 - (1/c)a_r \cdot u]} = \frac{Q}{s}
\]

which is just the value we obtained in Eq. (2.5.25) from the Lorentz transformation of the stationary potential field.

We thus see that the potential due to a moving charge at time \(t\) is the potential due to the charge at an earlier position, modified by a factor relating the velocity of the particle at the earlier position to the velocity of a light signal from particle to observer, i.e., the factor \([1 - (u/c) \cdot a_r]\). The earlier position of the particle can be determined by imagining that the particle is sending light signals to the observer;
the position to be used in Eqs. (2.5.25) and (2.5.26) is the one from which
the signal was sent which just reaches the observer at time $t$.

Incidentally Eqs. (2.5.25) for the potentials hold even if the velocity $u$
of the particle is changing with time, if we interpret $s$ as being $r_0[1 -
(u/c) \cdot a_i]$. The expressions for $E$ and $H$ for an accelerating charge are
of course, different from those given in Eq. (2.5.26), since $u$ now depends
on time and must be included in the differentiations.

**Force and Energy.** The three-vector $F = \rho E + (1/c) J \times B$ is the
force on a cubic centimeter of charge current. It is the space part of a
four vector, with "time component" proportional to the work per cubic
centimeter which the field performs per sec on the charge current, $J \cdot E$.
Reference to Eq. (2.5.20) shows that the quantity $k$, whose components are

$$k_m = \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{n=1}^{4} f_{mn}I_n; \quad m = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad \text{(2.5.27)}$$

is just this four-vector, having space components equal to the three
components of $F$ and having a time component $k_4 = i(E \cdot J/c)$.

The integral of $ck_4/dt$ over the inside of some closed surface is the total
rate of work done by the field on the charge current within the volume,
and this must equal the rate of reduction of internal energy $U$ residing
in the field inside the volume minus the power lost radiating out over
the surface bounding the volume. Using Eqs. (2.5.11) and assuming
that $\epsilon$ and $\mu$ do not change with time, we have

$$\iiint E \cdot J \, dV = \frac{c}{4\pi} \iiint \left[ \nabla \times H - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} \right] \, dV$$
$$- \frac{c}{4\pi} \iiint \left[ E \cdot \nabla \times H - \frac{1}{2c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (E \cdot D + H \cdot B) - H \cdot \nabla E \right] \, dV$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint \left[ \frac{1}{8\pi} (E \cdot D + H \cdot B) \right] \, dV - \frac{c}{4\pi} \iiint \text{div} (E \times H) \, dV$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint U \, dV - \oint S \cdot dA$$

where $U = (1/8\pi)(E \cdot D + H \cdot B)$ and $S = (c/4\pi)E \times H \quad \text{(2.5.28)}$

As expected, we have a time rate of change of a volume integral minus a
net outflow integral. The quantity $U$, which appears in the time rate of
change of the volume integral, must be the energy density of the field,
and the vector $S$, which appears in the net outflow integral, must be the
rate of energy flow in the field. The vector $S$ is called the *Poynting
vector*.

Returning to Eq. (2.5.27) for the force-energy four-vector $k$ (and
letting $\epsilon = \mu = 1$ again), we can substitute from the equations relating
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\( I_m \) and the derivatives of \( f_{mn} \) to obtain

\[
I_m = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r,s} f_{sr} \frac{\partial f_{rs}}{\partial x_s}
\]

It is of some interest to show that the four-vector \( k \) can be obtained by contraction of a "stress-energy" tensor \( \mathfrak{T} \), where

\[
T_{mr} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r,s} f_{mr} f_{sr} + \frac{1}{16\pi} \sum_{t,r,s} (f_{tr})^2 \kappa_{ns}
\]  
(2.5.29)

The contracted form is

\[
\sum_s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} T_{ms} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r,s} f_{mr} \frac{\partial f_{sr}}{\partial x_r} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r,s} \frac{\partial f_{mr}}{\partial x_s} f_{sr} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{t,r,s} f_{rt} \frac{\partial f_{sr}}{\partial x_t}
\]

The first sum is just \( k_m \), for \( f_{sr} = -f_{rs} \). In the third sum we utilize the equations \( \frac{\partial f_{mr}}{\partial x_s} = - (\frac{\partial f_{mr}}{\partial x_r}) - (\frac{\partial f_{mr}}{\partial x_s}) \), given on page 209. It becomes, when we change the labels of the summation symbols,

\[
-\frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{r,s} f_{mr} \left( \frac{\partial f_{sr}}{\partial x_r} + \frac{\partial f_{mr}}{\partial x_s} \right) - \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{r,s} \frac{\partial f_{rm}}{\partial x_s} f_{sr} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{r,m} f_{mr} \frac{\partial f_{ms}}{\partial x_k}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r,s} f_{mr} \frac{\partial f_{rs}}{\partial x_s}
\]

which just cancels the second summation. Therefore

\[
k_m = \sum_s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} T_{ms}
\]

where

\[
T_{11} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E_x^2 - E_y^2 - E_z^2 + H_x^2 - H_y^2 - H_z^2); \quad \text{etc.}
\]

\[
T_{12} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (E_x E_y + H_x H_y) = T_{21}; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

\[
T_{14} = \frac{i}{4\pi} (E \times H)_x = \frac{i}{c} S_x = T_{41}; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

\[
T_{44} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2 + H_x^2 + H_y^2 + H_z^2) = U
\]  
(2.5.30)

The tensor \( \mathfrak{T} \) is called the \textit{Maxwell stress-energy tensor}. It is analogous to the stress-momentum tensor defined in Eq. (1.7.8). The space components can be considered to be a sort of electromagnetic stress; the space-time components, being proportional to the energy flow, can be considered to be proportional to the momentum of the field; and the term \( T_{44} \) is the energy density of the field, analogous to the mass density of the medium discussed earlier.
Surfaces of Conductors and Dielectrics. Two sorts of boundary conditions are of frequent interest in electromagnetic problems: those relating fields at the surface between two dielectrics, where there is an abrupt change in value of the dielectric constant $\varepsilon$ (or, contrariwise, of permeability $\mu$), and those at the free surfaces of a metallic conductor. In the case of the boundary between dielectrics one can usually neglect the conductivity of the media and assume that neither free charge nor current is present. The boundary conditions relating normal and tangential components of the electric field on both sides of the boundary can be obtained from Maxwell’s equations (2.5.11).

Referring to Fig. 2.23 we can set up an elementary contour around which to compute a net circulation integral of $E$. In the limit of small $\delta$ this is equal to $l\delta[\text{curl } E]$. If $\delta$ is small compared with $l$, the net circulation integral over the contour shown is $l(E^e_i - E^o_i)$, where $E^e_i$, $E^o_i$ are the tangential components of the fields on both sides of the boundary. In the steady-state case curl $E$ must be zero, so that $E^e_i$ and $E^o_i$ must be equal.

The net outflow integral of $D$ over the surface shown in Fig. 2.23 is $A(D^o_n - D^e_n)$ when $\delta$ is vanishingly small (where $D^o_n$, $D^e_n$ are the normal components of $D$), and this by definition is proportional to div $D$. With no free charge present, div $D$ must be zero, so that the normal components of $D$ are continuous across the boundary.

Similarly, for a boundary involving a change in permeability $\mu$ for the steady state, normal components of $B$ and tangential components of $H$ are continuous. The corresponding boundary conditions on the potentials are not difficult to work out.

A metallic conductor has a large value of conductivity $\sigma$ and a consequently small value of relaxation time (see page 208). In many cases, involving fields with frequencies lower than this relaxation time, we can consider the metallic conductor to have an infinite conductivity and a zero relaxation time. Free charge can occur only on its surface, in amounts just sufficient to cancel the external electric field, for no electric field can exist inside a perfect conductor.
In the first place the tangential electric field \( E_t \) just outside the conductor must be zero; the electric field must be everywhere normal to the surface. Using the elementary surface shown in Fig. 2.23 the net outflow integral for \( D \) must be just \( AD^o \), for \( D^o \) must be normal to the surface and \( D^o \) is zero. In order that this be so, there must be a surface charge on the conductor of surface density \( \rho = D^o/4\pi \) [in order that Eq. (2.5.1) be satisfied]. Since the electric field is everywhere normal to the conductor surface, the surface must be an equipotential \( \varphi = \) constant (at least for the steady-state case).

The behavior of the magnetic fields near a conductor can best be shown by an example.

\[ \text{Fig. 2.24 Fields and currents in a concentric transmission line.} \]

**Wave Transmission and Impedance.** As an example of the solutions of Maxwell's equations let us consider, in a preliminary sort of way, the fields inside a concentric transmission line consisting of two long coaxial cylinders of conductor, with a uniform medium of uniform dielectric constant \( \varepsilon \), permeability \( \mu \), and conductivity \( \sigma \) filling the space between, as shown in Fig. 2.24. Suppose that the outer radius of the inner cylinder is \( a \), the inner radius of the outer cylinder is \( b \), and the coordinates are \( z \), the distance along the axis from one end of the line, and \( r \), the radial distance out from the axis.

We can assume that there is no free charge in the interspace between the conductors, so that only the vector potential needs to be used, and Eqs. (2.5.18) and (2.5.19) are applicable. The electric field must be normal to both conductor surfaces, so it must be radial at \( r = a \) and \( r = b \). The simplest way to do it would be to make \( \mathbf{E} \) everywhere radial, and the simplest way to achieve this is to make the vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) everywhere radial. However, \( \text{div} \mathbf{A} \) must be zero, and if \( \mathbf{A} \) is radial \( (\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_r) \), the divergence of \( \mathbf{A} \) is just \((1/r)(\partial \mathbf{A}_r/\partial r)(rA)\) as can be seen by referring to page 116. In order that \( \text{div} \mathbf{A} \) be zero, its magnitude \( A \) must be of the form \( 1/r \) times a function of \( z \) and \( \phi \) and \( t \) (for then \( rA \) is independent of \( r \) and its derivative with respect to \( r \) is zero). For the simplest case we shall assume that \( A \) is independent of \( \phi \):

\[
\mathbf{A} = \frac{a_r}{r} \psi(z,t)
\]  \( (2.5.31) \)
Referring again to page 116, we see that the Laplacian of \( \mathbf{A} \) is
\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = a_r \left[ \nabla^2 \left( \frac{\psi}{r^3} \right) - \frac{\psi}{r} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} \right] = \frac{a_r}{r} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2}
\]
so that Eq. (2.5.19) turns out to result in a simple equation for \( \psi \), the part of the amplitude of the vector potential which depends on \( z \) and \( t \):
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\mu \epsilon}{c^4} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4 \pi \mu_0 \sigma}{c^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \quad (2.5.32)
\]
This sort of equation has been encountered in our discussion of the motion of a string on page 137. Its solutions are damped waves, damped in either time or distance.

Suppose we assume that the transmission line is driven from the end \( z = 0 \) at a frequency \( \omega/2\pi \). In this case the dependence on time would be simple harmonic, via the factor \( e^{-i\omega t} \), and the attenuation will be in space, along \( z \). Inserting this dependence on \( t \) into Eq. (2.5.32), we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} + \left[ \frac{\mu \epsilon \omega^2}{c^2} + \frac{4 \pi \mu_0 \sigma}{c^2} \right] \psi = 0
\]
A solution of this can be given in exponential form:
\[
\psi = \beta e^{-\kappa z} + \gamma e^{\kappa z} \quad (2.5.33)
\]
where
\[
\kappa = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{16 \pi \sigma^2}{\epsilon \omega^2}} - \frac{1}{2} \approx \frac{2 \pi \sigma}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon}} \quad \sigma \ll \epsilon \omega
\]
and
\[
n = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{16 \pi \sigma^2}{\epsilon \omega^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \approx \sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon} \quad \sigma \ll \epsilon \omega
\]
The first term represents a sinusoidal wave traveling in the positive \( z \) direction with velocity \( c/n \) and attenuation proportional to \( \kappa \); the second term represents a sinusoidal wave traveling in the negative \( z \) direction with an equal velocity and attenuation. This is the most general solution which oscillates with sinusoidal time dependence of frequency \( \omega/2\pi \). If the wave is generated from the end at \( z = 0 \), only the positive wave is present and \( \gamma \) is zero.

For this case, the fields turn out to be
\[
\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = \frac{i \omega}{c} a_r e^{-i \omega t + i(\omega/c)z} = \left( \frac{i \omega}{c} \right) \mathbf{A} \quad (2.5.34)
\]
\[
\mathbf{H} = \text{curl} \mathbf{A} = a_r \left( \frac{\omega n}{c} + i \kappa \right) e^{-i \omega t + i(\omega/c)z} = \left( n + \frac{i \kappa \sigma}{\omega} \right) a_s \times \mathbf{E}
\]
The electric field is radial, as we initially required. The potential difference between inner and outer conductor is
\[
V = \int_a^b E \, dr = \frac{i \beta \omega}{c} \ln(b/a) e^{-i \omega t + i(\omega/c)(b-a)}
\]
and if the voltage across the end \( z = 0 \) is \( V_0 e^{-iu} \), then we will have
\[
\beta = [V_0 e^{iu} \ln (b/a)],
\]
and the voltage between the conductors at \( z \) is
\[
V(z) = V_0 e^{-u + i(\omega/\omega)(n-\alpha)}
\]

A charge collects on the surfaces of both conductors, of surface density \( D = 4\pi = eE/4\pi \). The total charge on the surface of the inner conductor per unit length is \( (2\pi a)(eE/4\pi) \) or
\[
\frac{\varepsilon V_0}{2 \ln (b/a)} e^{-u + i(\omega/\omega)(n-\alpha)} = \frac{\varepsilon V(z)}{2 \ln (b/a)}
\]
which is, of course, also the charge per unit length on the inner surface of the outer conductor. Evidently the capacitance of the concentric line per unit length is \( \varepsilon/2 \ln (b/a) \) in these units.

The magnetic lines of force are concentric circles, which indicates that a current is transmitted down the inner conductor. Its value can be computed by using the circuit rule \( \oint \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 4\pi I \), which is obtained from Eq. (2.5.4) or (1.2.12). Working out the algebra we obtain
\[
I(z) = \frac{V_0}{2 \ln (b/a)} \left( \frac{n + i\kappa}{\omega} \right) e^{-u + i(\omega/\omega)(n-\alpha)}
\]
The current attenuates as \( z \) increases because part of the current leaks across the partially conductive material between the perfectly conducting cylinders. If the medium were not conductive (\( \sigma = 0 \)), then the wave would not attenuate (\( \kappa \) would be zero) and the current \( I \) would be in phase with the voltage \( V \).

The ratio of the voltage to the current at any point
\[
Z = \frac{2 \ln (b/a)}{n + i(\omega/\omega)} \tag{2.5.35}
\]
is called the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. If the medium is nonconductive (\( \kappa = 0 \)), then this impedance is real (purely resistive).

The parallelism between this discussion and the discussion on page 128 for waves on a string should be plain. It is, of course, possible to work out impedances for the transmission line when a reflected wave is present, as was done for the string, and it is possible to work out Fourier integrals for the transient response of the line.

Incidentally, we should notice that, since \( V \) is proportional to \( E \) and \( I \) to \( H \), the impedance computed above is proportional to the ratio between \( E \) and \( H \). In many cases of electromagnetic radiation, where it is not possible to compute a \( V \) or an \( I \), it is still possible to compute the ratio between \( E \) and \( H \) at each point. This ratio is often called the impedance of the medium or of the wave. For instance, for a plane electromagnetic wave in the positive \( x \) direction, in open space (\( \varepsilon = \mu = 1 \)), the vector potential is \( A_c e^{i(\omega/\omega)(z-\alpha)} \), where \( A_c \) is perpendicular to
The fields are

\[ E = i \frac{\omega}{c} (A_\omega) e^{i(\omega t - \omega x)}; \quad H = i \frac{\omega}{c} (i \times A_\omega) e^{i(\omega t - \omega x)} = i \times E \]  

(2.5.36)

In this case the impedance is unity (in these units). If \( \epsilon \) and \( \mu \) were not unity, the impedance would be \( \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \).

**Proca Equation.** The electromagnetic equations can all be reduced to the Lorentz-invariant requirement that the d'Alembertian of the potentials, which is a four-vector, is proportional to the four-vector representing the charge-current density. This is the four-vector generalization of the inhomogeneous wave equation for the string

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = f(x,t) \]

which we discussed in Sec. 2.1. By loose analogy, the electromagnetic potentials have an inertia and the sort of "restoring force" that the simple string under tension has, the sort which makes the field at a point tend to become the average of the field at surrounding points. The charge current is the analogue of the driving force \( f \).

We saw in Sec. 2.1 that, if the string were embedded in an elastic medium, so that each part of the string tended to return to zero displacement, then an additional term proportional to \( \psi \) had to be added to the wave equation. One could set up a similar equation for the vector potentials, having an additional term proportional to the potentials,

\[ \square \mathbf{V} - \alpha^2 \mathbf{V} = \nabla \times \mathbf{V} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{V}}{\partial t^2} - \alpha^2 \mathbf{V} = -\frac{4\pi \mathbf{I}}{c}; \quad \mathbf{V} = A_\nu A_\nu A_\nu; \quad \mathbf{V} = A_\nu A_\nu A_\nu; \]  

(2.5.37)

\[ I = J_{\nu} A_{\nu} A_{\nu} \]

which is analogous to Eq. (2.1.27) plus an inhomogeneous term for the force function. The equation for the scalar field, without the inhomogeneous term, is called the Klein-Gordon equation and is suitable to describe the behavior of a scalar meson. The analogous equation for the four-vector potential is called the Proca equation; it is of possible use in describing the behavior of a particle of unit spin (if there is such a particle!). The corresponding equations for the fields for the case where \( \mu = \epsilon = 1 \) (free space) and where the charge current is zero are

\[ \text{curl} \ \mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} - \alpha^2 \mathbf{A}; \quad \text{curl} \ \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \]

\[ \text{div} \ \mathbf{H} = 0; \quad \text{div} \ \mathbf{E} = -\alpha^2 \varepsilon \phi \]

\[ \mathbf{H} = \text{curl} \ \mathbf{A}; \quad \mathbf{E} = -\text{grad} \ \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \]  

(2.5.38)

\[ \text{div} \ \mathbf{A} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \]

[or another gauge, see page 211 and Eq. (3.4.21)].
The presence of this additional term has a marked effect on the solutions, as it did in the case of the string. The fields tend strongly to zero, except near a charge or current. The potential about a point charge, for instance, is $Qe^{-\sigma r}$, which goes to zero much more rapidly than the usual $Q/r$. Such a potential function has been considered appropriate between nucleons (protons or neutrons) in an atomic nucleus. Therefore the Proca equations and also the Klein-Gordon equation (for the scalar case) may be of use in nuclear theory.

2.6 Quantum Mechanics

All through this chapter we have been citing cases where a "smoothed-out" continuous field can be substituted for an actually discontinuous distribution of mass or charge. As long as we are interested in large-scale events, we find we can substitute, for a random distribution of particles, a regular function of position having a magnitude proportional to the mean density of the particles. With the introduction of the electromagnetic field, however, we come to an essentially different linkage between continuous fields and discontinuous particles, a linkage which is basic to modern quantum theory.

There are two fundamental differences between the older relationships between field and particle and the newer quantum relationship. In the first place the magnitude of the classical field is proportional to the mean density of particles or, what is usually the same thing, the probability of the presence of a particle. In quantum theory it is the square of the magnitude of the field which is proportional to the probability of presence of the particle. This difference is more basic than might be apparent at first. It means, for instance, that classical fields must be real numbers, for they must equal densities or probabilities, which are real numbers. In many cases we shall carry out our calculations for classical fields with complex numbers, but it will always be with the convention that only the real part (or, in some cases, only the imaginary part) represents the actual field. In quantum mechanics, however, the field itself can be a complex quantity, since the square of its magnitude (which is always real) is proportional to the probability of presence of the particle. Indeed, in many cases, it is important that the complex conjugate of a quantum field be different from the field itself; i.e., it is necessary for the fields to be complex.

In the second place the relationship between a classical field and its related distribution of particles is permissive but not, in principle, required. In principle one could always "go behind" the field and compute the individual motions of the particles themselves. Computation with the continuous fields is, of course, enormously easier than
calculation of the motions of the individual particles, but the choice is one of convenience, not of necessity. On the other hand, the relationship between quantum fields and their related particles is required, not permissive. The fundamental tenets of quantum theory forbid us to "go behind" the fields to compute in detail the motions of the particles. They state that a complete description of the positions and motions of the related particles is impossible to obtain experimentally and that therefore such a description has no physical meaning. Only the probability density, which is proportional to the square of the magnitude of the field, can be measured and has physical meaning.

The meaning of these general statements can best be made by a specific example.

**Photons and the Electromagnetic Field.** For the moment let us set aside the question of the relation between the motions of the electrons and the charge-current four-vector; let us assume that somehow we have obtained values of \( J \) and \( \rho \) from which we can compute an electromagnetic field, subject to the boundary conditions imposed by the idiosyncrasies of the experiment. We shall concentrate on the effects of this field on other charged particles, electrons and ions.

When an electromagnetic wave strikes a photographic plate or is absorbed by a metallic surface, the amount of energy per square centimeter of wave front it can give up to a halide crystal or to move a photoelectron is equal to the intensity of the radiation, the magnitude of the Poynting vector \((e/4\pi)(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H})\). This quantity is proportional to the square of the amplitudes of the potentials, and if the potentials are complex quantities, it is proportional to the square of their magnitudes. The field will act by giving energy to a number of the halide grains or electrons in its path, and the total energy lost will equal the total number of particles affected times the average energy given to each particle. Since the particles affected are not continuously distributed, one could not expect that the response would be absolutely uniform over the wave front. One could expect, however, that the more intense the radiation, the more particles will be strongly affected and the more uniform the resulting response will appear. This is actually what happens; the peculiarities of the quantum theory do not obtrude until we diminish the intensity (without changing the frequency) down to very small values.

The field itself is just as continuous, whether its magnitude is large or small. We might expect that the reactions of the photographic plate or the photoelectrons from the metal would try to remain as continuous as possible as the intensity is decreased, the reduction being taken up more or less equally by a reduction in the number of particles affected and in the energy absorbed per particle. However, as many experiments have clearly shown, this is not what happens. The energy absorbed per photoelectron does not reduce, only the number of photoelectrons pro-
duced decreases as the intensity is decreased. This state of affairs is most easily explained by assuming that the energy of the electromagnetic field is carried by discrete particles, each carrying a definite energy. When a light particle is absorbed by a photoelectron, it gives up the same amount of energy, no matter how intense the radiation is; variation of intensity changes only the number of the light particles present, not their individual energy.

As has been repeatedly demonstrated in many experiments, these light “particles,” called photons, each carry an energy proportional to the frequency \( v \) of the radiation field, \( E = hv \), where \( h \) is Planck’s constant. Their speed in free space is, of course, the speed of light, \( c \). Their density of occurrence is determined by the magnitude of the intensity of the corresponding classical field. Thus the photon description of the electromagnetic field is not a competing “explanation” of the facts of the electromagnetic interaction with matter; it is a supplementary description of these facts, neither more nor less true than the classical field description. The photons have no “reality” in the classical sense, for we cannot compute the path of an individual photon or predict exactly its position and direction of motion at a given time. The best we can do is to compute the probability of the presence of a photon at a given place and time, and this probability is computed from the value of the square of the classical field at that point and time. The particle has no meaning separated from the field intensity, and the field can make itself felt only by means of the photons.

As an example of the way this works, we can imagine light falling on a metal surface provided with some mechanism to record the ejection of photoelectrons. Suppose the intensity at various points on the surface, as computed from the classical fields, is that given by the curve at the top of Fig. 2.25. If the intensity is very small and the exposure short, only a few photoelectrons would be emitted and it would be extremely difficult to reproduce the curve for intensity from the pattern of photoelectrons. As the intensity and exposure is increased, however, more and more photoelectrons are ejected and the relative values of density of dots can be measured with greater and greater accuracy. For very large intensities the distribution of dots is quite “smooth” and follows the variation of the classical field with great accuracy.

Even the static electric force between two stationary electric charges can be “explained” in terms of photons. The presence of the charges induces an interchange of photons, numbers of them being created at one charge and disappearing at the other. Their numbers are related to the energy of the field, and their combined effect “produces” the measured force on each charge. In this case, of course, it is easier to use the field aspect to compute these forces, just as it is easier to use the particle aspect to compute the phenomenon of the Compton effect.
The energy of the photon is proportional to the frequency of the field. But energy of a particle is the time component of a four-vector with space components proportional to the momentum of the particle, and frequency is the time component of a four-vector with space components proportional to the wave number (reciprocal of the wavelength) of the wave. Consequently our wave-particle combination requires a relation between energy and frequency, momentum and wave number,

\[ \text{Energy} = h \nu; \quad \text{momentum} = h/\lambda \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.6.1)

which involves the "quantum constant" \( h \).

![Fig. 2.25](dots.png)

Fig. 2.25 Dots represent points of impact of individual photons. At high intensity the pattern has a density corresponding to the classical field intensity; at low intensities the random nature of the process is evident.

Photons and electromagnetic waves are not the only example of this new, inextricable duality between wave and particle. The experiments of Davisson and G. P. Thompson, and many subsequent ones, have demonstrated that the elementary particles of matter—electrons, protons, and neutrons—are governed by a "wave function," a continuous field satisfying a wave equation (the details of which will be discussed later in this section). The details of the trajectories of individual particles cannot be measured, even in principle, with arbitrarily great precision. All we can compute and predict is the probability of the presence of a particle at a given place and time, which probability is proportional to the square of the magnitude of the wave function. To complete the parallelism with the photon-field case, the energy and
momentum of the particle are also related to the frequency and wave number of the wave function according to Eq. (2.6.1).

**Uncertainty Principle.** It is worth while to discuss further the second peculiarity of the quantum field—the fact that it is impossible to "go behind" the wave function to obtain details of the individual particle's motion. Suppose that we let a beam of monochromatic light (or a stream of electrons) fall on a screen with two slits in it, a distance apart as shown in Fig. 2.26. Some of the light (or electron beam) passes through the slits and can be detected on a plate $P$. According to the classical wave picture the intensity on the plate varies because of interference, the points of greatest intensity being at those angles $\theta$ where $\sin \theta = n\lambda/a$, the points of minimum intensity being where $\sin \theta = (n + \frac{1}{2})(\lambda/a)$, where $n$ is an integer.

Our detecting plate gives evidence of discrete particles, photons or electrons, and for large intensities the density of such particles will follow closely the interference pattern required by the wave function. To see why we cannot "go behind" the probability density given by the wave function, let us try it once and see what happens. Let us try to follow a photon (or electron) as it goes through the slits and falls on the plate to be detected. Not all particles follow the same path, for they do not all end up in the same part of the plate. But does the photon whose path we are trying to follow go through one slit or the other or both? If it goes through only one slit, why is it so much more likely to fall at points corresponding to angles $\theta$, where $\sin \theta = n\lambda/a$, than for intermediate angles? To say that the probability that the photon turns up at some given point on the plate depends on the distance $a$ between the slit through which it has gone and the slit through which it has not gone is to voice an absurdity which serves only to demonstrate the impossibility of inquiring too closely into the paths of individual particles. As long as the occurrence of photons (or electrons) is governed by the square of the magnitude of a wave function, trying to picture the path of an individual particle will always lead us into such logical absurdities.

We might try to ensure that the photon goes through slit $A$ by closing slit $B$. But then we shall not obtain the interference pattern on the plate. We have not resolved the paradox; we have just destroyed the experiment.

What we have been saying is that we cannot be sure what the trans-
verse momentum of the photon is during its travel from slits to plate and that any attempt to measure it accurately destroys the experiment so that something else cannot be measured accurately. We could, for instance, try to measure the transverse momentum by measuring the momentum transferred to the screen as the particle passes through a slit and changes its direction of motion. But in order to measure the recoil momentum the screen must be of small mass and be suspended freely so it can move appreciably when it recoils, and if this is done, then we cannot be sure of the exact position of the screen when the particle goes through a slit.

The fact is that we never can measure position and momentum simultaneously with arbitrarily great precision. Figure 2.27 shows a related arrangement which will make this statement more definite. Here the particles are to go through but one slit, of width $\Delta x$. According to
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**Fig. 2.27** Diffraction effects for light passing through single slit of width $\Delta x$. Curve to right of $P$ is classical field intensity incident on $P$.

Frauenhofer diffraction theory, waves passing through a slit of width $\Delta x$ will be diffracted, spreading into a divergent beam surrounded by diffraction bands, as shown in the smooth intensity plot at the right of the figure. Diffraction theory states that the angular width $\Delta \theta$ of the main beam is approximately equal to $\lambda/\Delta x$. But this angle is a measure of our uncertainty of the photon’s transverse momentum after it has gone through the slit; this transverse momentum is most likely to be within the range between $\frac{1}{2} \Delta p \simeq \frac{1}{2} p \Delta \theta$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta p \simeq -\frac{1}{2} p \Delta \theta$, where $p$ is the momentum of the particle in the $y$ direction, the initial direction of motion. But by Eq. (2.6.1), $p = h/\lambda$, so that $\Delta p \simeq p \Delta \theta \simeq (h/\lambda) (\lambda/\Delta x)$, or

$$\Delta p \Delta x \lesssim h \quad (2.6.2)$$

This equation is called the **Heisenberg uncertainty principle**; it is one of the fundamental equations of quantum physics.

The slit arrangement shown in Fig. 2.27 is a crude method of measuring the location of a particle in the $x$ dimension. A stream of particles all traveling in the $y$ direction is projected at the screen $S$. Before they
hit the screen, the position of any one of the particles in the stream is unknown, but after one has gone through the slit, we can say that we know its \( x \) coordinate (or at least that we knew its \( x \) coordinate just after it went through) to within an uncertainty \( \Delta x \). But since the behavior of the particle is governed by a wave equation, with related frequency and wavelength given in Eq. (2.6.1), the very fact that the particle went through the slit has produced an uncertainty in its subsequent direction of motion; the very fact that we have measured its \( x \) coordinate has introduced an uncertainty in its \( x \) component of momentum. The wave-particle relation has required a relationship between the uncertainty in our measurement of \( x \) and the uncertainty in corresponding momentum given by Eq. (2.6.2), which involves the ubiquitous constant \( h \). The more accurately we measure \( x \) (the narrower the slit is), the less accurately do we know the momentum after the measurement.

Many other experiments could be imagined for measuring position and momentum, and analysis shows that all of them give the same result as long as we keep in mind the quantum, wave-particle interrelation. For instance, we could send a beam of light at an electron and measure its position by observing the reflected light by a microscope. However, light behaves like a particle when it reflects from an electron, and this reflection gives the electron a certain recoil momentum. We cannot be sure of the direction of this recoil, because the lens of the microscope is of finite size, and we cannot be sure what part of the lens the reflected photon went through before it was seen. We could reduce the uncertainty in direction of the recoil momentum by reducing the angular aperture of the microscope, but by the laws of diffraction this would reduce the resolving power of the microscope and therefore increase our uncertainty in locating where the electron was at the time of collision. When all the algebra is worked out, the relation between uncertainty in position of the electron at the time of reflection of the photon and uncertainty in subsequent momentum of the electron is again that given by Eq. (2.6.2).

The trouble (if we can call it trouble) is that physical entities cannot be subdivided indefinitely. We cannot shine an indefinitely small amount of light on the electron; the smallest amount possible still disturbs the electron by a nonvanishing amount. The very fact that matter (and electromagnetic radiation, and so on) comes only in finite packages produces an inherent clumsiness in all our experiments which makes the uncertainty principle inevitable. Whether we consider the wave-particle relationship, embodied in Eq. (2.6.1), as "explaining" the uncertainty principle, embodied in Eq. (2.6.2), or vice versa, both of them are different aspects of the consequences of the essential atomicity of interaction of field and matter, each aspect bearing the common trademark, the constant \( h \).
Conjugate Variables and Poisson Brackets. Position $x$ and momentum $p_x$ are canonically conjugate variables in the jargon of classical dynamics. The uncertainty principle holds for any conjugate pair of variables: angle and angular momentum, energy and time, and so on. We shall discuss this matter of classical dynamical theory in somewhat greater detail in the next chapter; we shall only quote here enough aspects of the theory of contact transformations to elucidate this question of conjugate variables. Suppose we can completely express the configuration of some conservative dynamical system at a given time $t$ in terms of some convenient set of coordinates $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$. We can then express the kinetic potential $L = T - V$, the difference between kinetic and potential energies (sometimes called the Lagrange function), in terms of the $q$'s and their time derivatives $\dot{q}_m = dq_m/dt$.

The momentum $p_m$ conjugate to coordinate $q_m$ is then defined by the equation

$$p_m = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}_m$$

(2.6.3)

for the dynamical system under consideration. The kinetic potential or the total energy or any other property of the system can be expressed in terms of the $q$'s and the $p$'s instead of the $q$'s and $\dot{q}$'s. In many ways the $q, p$ terminology is more satisfactory than the use of $q$ and $\dot{q}$.

There are, of course, many other coordinate systems and conjugate momenta in terms of which the behavior of the system can be completely expressed. The transformation which goes from one set, $q_1, \ldots, q_n$; $p_1, \ldots, p_n$, describing a system to another set, $Q_1, \ldots, Q_n$; $P_1, \ldots, P_n$, which also can describe the same system, is called a contact transformation. These new coordinates $Q$ may be chosen in any way which still suffices to describe completely the configuration at any instant. The kinetic potential $L$ of the system may be calculated in terms of the $Q$'s and $P$'s, and then the $P$'s may be found by means of Eq. (2.6.3).

Another method of relating $Q$'s and $P$'s is by means of the Poisson bracket expressions. Starting with the original $p$'s and $q$'s, we express two functions of the system, $u$ and $v$, in terms of the $p$'s and $q$'s. Then the Poisson bracket of $u$ and $v$ is defined by the following expression:

$$\{u, v\} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial p_m} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_m} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial q_m} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_m} \right)$$

(2.6.4)

These expressions will be discussed in more detail in Chap. 3.

The value of $\{u, v\}$ is the same no matter how we choose the coordinates $q$ as long as they completely describe the configuration of the system and as long as we define the conjugate momenta by Eq. (2.6.3). It is not
Equations Governing Fields

It is difficult to see that

\[ (u, p_m) = - (\partial u / \partial q_m) \quad \text{and} \quad (u, q_m) = \partial u / \partial p_m \]

It is an interesting and useful property of these expressions that, if \( u \) and \( v \) are conjugate variables, their Poisson bracket is unity. Therefore any complete set of coordinates \( Q_m \) and conjugate momenta \( P_m \) for the system under consideration will satisfy the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
(Q_i, Q_j) &= 0; \quad (P_i, P_j) = 0; \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n \\
(P_i, Q_j) &= 0; \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n; \quad i \neq j \quad (2.6.5) \\
(P_m, Q_n) &= 1; \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
\]

We also find that, if \( (u, v) = 1 \) when \( u \) and \( v \) are expressed in the \( q \)'s and \( p \)'s, it also equals unity when \( u \) and \( v \) are expressed in any other coordinates and momenta, \( Q \) and \( P \).

The most general form of the uncertainty principle is, therefore, for any two functions \( u \) and \( v \) of the dynamical variables \( p, q \) of a given system. If their Poisson bracket \( (u, v) \) is equal to a constant \( K \) (if it is \( K \) in one coordinate system, it is \( K \) in another), then the product of the uncertainties in simultaneous measurement of \( u \) and \( v \) is \( Kh; \)

\[ \Delta u \Delta v \simeq |(u, v)h|_{av} \]

where the subscript "av" indicates that, if \( (u, v) \) does not turn out to be a constant, a quantum mechanical average (to be defined on page 232) is to be taken.

The wave-particle interconnection and the uncertainty relation, forced on us by experimental results, imply and require the formulation of a dynamical theory which will tell us what we need to know concerning the behavior of a system but which will not tell us what we should not know.

In summary of the results of the discussion of this subsection, we can say that the wave-particle dualism exhibited by both radiation and matter requires a fundamental change from the Newton-Maxwell description of physical phenomena, in which all the coordinates and their corresponding momenta could be measured precisely and thus the particle trajectory was completely known. Quantum mechanics asserts that no such exact knowledge is possible, that the more strenuous the attempt to obtain it, the more completely will the trajectory be distorted. This inherent indefiniteness arises from the fact that there is a wave of some kind associated with a particle; this wave may interfere with itself. The intensity of the wave (i.e., the square of its magnitude) is related to the probability of finding the particle at a given point. The problem of a single particle is a central problem in quantum mechanics and will hold our main interest for some time. Once this is solved, there still remains the problem of an assembly of particles, free or inter-
acting. This involves the quantization of fields, including, for example, the probability field mentioned above, in which case it is often referred to as second quantization.

**The Fundamental Postulates of Quantum Theory.** The quantitative formulation of these ideas involves a symbolic algebra of states, of the sort touched on in Chap. 1. The state of a system has a well-defined meaning in classical physics. For example, the trajectory or orbit of a particle describes its state; the direction of the polarization vector is required before one can describe the state of a plane electromagnetic wave and so on. In quantum physics, not so many things can be known about a system. Nevertheless, if we are willing to be in complete ignorance about one of a pair of conjugate variables, we can determine the other exactly. In general, there will be a number of such possible measurements which can be made simultaneously. The totality of the results of such measurements may be considered to describe the state of the system. Indeed, in quantum physics this is the most one can say about a system.

A measurement performed on a system changes the system. To represent this fact pictorially, it is most convenient to set up an abstract vector space in which a vector represents a given state of the system. This may, of course, be done in classical as well as in quantum physics, as, for example, the coupled oscillators discussed in the section on abstract spaces or the discussion of the vibration of a string in this chapter. As we have said, the effect of a measurement changes the state, i.e., rotates the vector representing the state into another direction in the vector space. Thus a measurement may be represented by an operator in abstract space; to every dynamical variable there corresponds a definite operator.

In general for a given system, measurement of the value of a given dynamical variable will disturb the state so that a subsequent measurement of the same variable will give different results, and all we can obtain by repeated measurements is an average value and a mean deviation or uncertainty. Only a small subgroup of all possible states will be such that the uncertainty is zero, and each measurement of a given quantity yields the same value. If measurement of this one value is all that is needed to specify the state, then the state vector must be an eigenvector of the operator representing the variable (as defined on page 78). If values for more than one variable are needed to specify the state, a state vector for which the uncertainty of one of these variables is zero is not necessarily an eigenvector, but a set of eigenvectors can always be found, as can be demonstrated.

To recapitulate some of our discussion in Chap. 1, let $\Psi$ be the operator corresponding to the momentum of part of the system, and let the states with zero uncertainty in the measurement of $p$ (the eigenvectors
of \( \Psi \) be \( p_n \). Then, according to Eq. (1.6.31),

\[
\Psi \cdot p_n = p_n \psi
\]

where \( p_n \) is one of the measured values of momentum (we have adjusted the length of \( p_n \) so that \( p_n \) is the measured value). It is called an eigenvalue of \( \Psi \) for the state denoted by \( p_n \). Since \( p_n \) must be a real number, the operator \( \Psi \) must be Hermitian, as defined on page 83 (in other words, the operator \( \Psi \) is equal to its adjoint \( \Psi^\dagger \)).

When the system is in an arbitrary state, corresponding to the state vector \( e \), the momentum will not in general be accurately measurable. All one can determine is an average value of a series of measurements. As we pointed out on page 60, the vector \( e \) can be expressed in terms of a sum of the eigenvectors \( p_m \), with the respective components of \( e \) in the \( p_m \) direction given by the scalar product \( p_m^* \cdot e \). We have shown in Chap. 1 that the vectors \( p_m \) are mutually perpendicular and are so normalized that the scalar product \( p_m^* \cdot e \) is the equivalent of the direction cosine, though in general it is a complex number. This means that the sum of the squares of the magnitudes of the direction cosines equals unity:

\[
\sum_m |p_m^* \cdot e|^2 = 1; \quad \text{where } e = \sum_m p_m (p_m^* \cdot e)
\]

This equation suggests that we consider \( |p_m^* \cdot e|^2 \) to be a probability, namely, the probability that a measurement of \( p \) on the state \( e \) results in a value \( p_m \) (i.e., the probability that the state \( e \) "is in" the state \( p_m \)). Therefore the average value of \( p \) for the state \( e \) is

\[
p_{av} = \sum_m p_m |p_m^* \cdot e|^2 = \left[ \sum_m (e^* \cdot p_m) p_m^* \right] \cdot \Psi \cdot \left[ \sum_m p_m (p_m^* \cdot e) \right] = e^* \cdot \Psi \cdot e
\]

This is the sort of average indicated in Eq. (2.6.6).

We have shown on page 80 that, if two dynamical variables are not simultaneously measurable, then they are not commutable; that is, \( \Psi \cdot \Xi \neq \Xi \cdot \Psi \). We have spent some time in this section pointing out that a given momentum \( p \) and its conjugate coordinate \( q \) are not simultaneously measurable when we take into account the essential atomicity and wave-particle properties of physical interactions. Therefore the commutator \( [\Psi, \Xi] = \Psi \cdot \Xi - \Xi \cdot \Psi \) (which will be written hereafter as \( [\Psi, \Xi] \)) is not zero. It is important to find out what value it has.

In the first place \( [\Psi, \Xi] \) must be pure imaginary, for \( (e^* \cdot \Psi \cdot \Xi \cdot e) \) is the complex conjugate of \( (e^* \cdot \Xi \cdot \Psi \cdot e) \) for any vector \( e \) (for \( \Xi^* = \Xi \) and \( \Psi^* = \Psi \)) and the difference between these two quantities (which is the mean value of \( [\Psi, \Xi] \) in the state \( e \)) must therefore be pure imaginary. In the second place we have shown on page 88 that the magnitude of the commutator \( [\Xi, \Psi] \) is proportional to the product of the uncertainties,
(Δa Δb). Combining these leads (and deductions from experiment) we arrive at the equation \[ [B, C] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \] (where \( \hbar = h/2\pi \)) which has already been given [Eq. (1.6.32)].

Reference to Eq. (2.6.6) relating the quantum uncertainties with the classical Poisson bracket expression, we finally arrive at the fundamental equation of quantum mechanics, relating two operators, representing two dynamical variables of a system, with the Poisson bracket for the corresponding classical variables:

\[
[A, B] = A \cdot B - B \cdot A = (a, b) \frac{\hbar}{i} \left( \frac{1}{i} \sum_{m} \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial p_m} \frac{\partial b}{\partial q_n} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial q_n} \frac{\partial b}{\partial p_m} \right] \right) \tag{2.6.7}
\]

where, unless \((a, b)\) turns out to be a constant, we are to consider it to be a function of the operators \(\hat{q}_m\) and \(\hat{p}_m\). A warning should be added, however, that the order of writing the terms \((qp\) instead of \(qp\), etc.) is important for noncommuting operators and that Eq. (2.6.7) holds only when the correct order for the Poisson bracket expression is found (see page 234). This is a very interesting equation, relating as it does the quantum mechanical operators in abstract vector space with purely classical dynamical functions. It is not the only equation which might be devised to satisfy the general requirements we outlined on the previous page, but it is the simplest one, and its justification lies in the correctness of the results which flow therefrom.

We summarize the results of this section. In quantum physics a state is specified by giving the values of those quantities which are simultaneously measurable for this state. Their corresponding operators have the properties that they leave the state unchanged and that they commute with each other. The relevant operators are, of course, not functions of each other. One may distinguish these by finding an operator which commutes with one and not with another. When operators do not commute, they satisfy an uncertainty relation given by Eq. (2.6.6).

**Independent Quantum Variables and Functions of Operators.** There are, of course, many functions of the dynamical variables of a system, which have to be turned into operators. A function of one variable (of \(p_m\) or \(q_n\), for instance) which can be defined classically in terms of a power series in the variable can be converted fairly easily. For any such function of \(\hat{q}_m\) (for instance) commutes with \(\hat{p}_m\) and its value can be measured accurately whenever \(\hat{q}_m\) can be so measured; the eigenvalue of the function can be computed from the eigenvalue of \(\hat{q}_m\). Finally, we can say that, if an operator \(\hat{S}\) and an operator \(\hat{B}\) commute, then \(\hat{S}\) is a function of \(\hat{B}\) if every operator which commutes with \(\hat{B}\) also commutes with \(\hat{S}\).

There are many cases, however, where two operators commute but
one is not a function of the other. After all, many systems require the specification of several independent operators completely to specify the state. The operators $\mathcal{Q}_n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_m$ ($n \neq m$) are independent, and they commute, according to Eq. (2.6.7). One is not a function of the other, however, because many operators can be found which commute with $\mathcal{Q}_n$ but which do not commute with $\mathcal{Q}_m$ (for instance, any function of $\mathcal{Q}_n$). As a matter of fact if two operators commute and a third operator can be found which commutes with one and not with the other, then it is certain that the first two operators are independent of each other.

If we deal with functions of one pair of conjugate variables, then a use of Eq. (2.6.7) and a utilization of the invariance of the Poisson brackets under a contact transformation (i.e., transformation from one set of conjugate variables to any other complete set) shows that

$$\{i\delta(q_\alpha p_\beta), \mathcal{Q}_\alpha\} = \hbar \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_\alpha}{\partial q_\beta}; \quad \{i\delta(q_\alpha p_\beta), \mathcal{Q}_\beta\} = -\hbar \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}_\beta}{\partial q_\alpha} \quad \text{(2.6.8)}$$

where the derivative on the right-hand side is changed into an operator after the differentiation has been performed. Any function of conjugate variables must be carefully ordered before converting into an operator because of the noncommutative properties of the variables (for instance, the classic function $pxq$ could become $\mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathcal{Q}$ and each of these have different eigenvectors). Often the correct ordering can be determined only by trial and by check with experiment.

For example, suppose that one wanted to find the quantum mechanical operator corresponding to momentum conjugate to the spherical angle $\varphi = \tan^{-1} (y/x)$. Classically this is the $z$ component of the angular momentum $xp_y - yp_x$. Since $x$ and $y$ commute (so do $\mathcal{P}_x$ and $\mathcal{P}_y$), the quantum mechanical operator may be taken directly as $\mathcal{P}_z - \mathcal{P}_x$. It is easy to verify, using Eq. (2.6.8), that

$$\{i(p_x - p_y), \mathcal{Q}_\varphi\} = \hbar/i \quad \text{(2.6.9)}$$

In a more complicated example, one asks for the quantum mechanical equivalent of the "radial momentum" $p_r$, conjugate to the radial coordinate, $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Classically the radial momentum

$$p_r = \cos \varphi p_x + \sin \varphi p_y = \frac{xp_y + yp_x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \frac{yp_x - xp_y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

This has no unique quantum mechanical equivalent because $x$ and $y$, for example, do not commute. A form which has the correct commutator rule is just that stated above, i.e.,

$$\left[ \mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{P}_x + \frac{y}{r} \mathcal{P}_y r \right] = \hbar/i \quad \text{(2.6.10)}$$

Since we require that the operator be Hermitian, the symmetric form must then be used.
Eigenvectors for Coordinates. So far we have discussed at some length the commutation rules which may be deduced from Eq. (2.6.7). We turn next to a discussion of the eigenvalues of these operators and the corresponding eigenvectors. First we show that the eigenvalues of the operator \( q \) are continuous. To prove this, we show that, if an eigenvalue \( q \) of \( q \) exists, an eigenvector with eigenvalue \( q + dq \) may be formed.

Suppose that \( \mathbf{e}(q) \) is the eigenvector for \( q \), such that \( q \cdot \mathbf{e}(q) = q \mathbf{e}(q) \). Operate on \( \mathbf{e}(q) \) by the operator

\[
\mathcal{D} = \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} p \, dq \right) = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} p \, dq
\]

The vector \( \mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{e}(q) \) is an eigenvector for \( q \) with eigenvalue \( q + dq \), for

\[
q \cdot \mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{e}(q) = \left[ q - \frac{i}{\hbar} q p \, dq \right] \mathbf{e}(q) = \left[ q + \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} - pq \right) dq \right] \mathbf{e}(q) = (q + dq) \mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{e}(q)
\]

so that

\[
\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{e}(q) = \mathbf{e}(q + dq)
\]

We have used the commutation rule \([p,q] = \hbar/\imath\) in obtaining this.

We note that, in our study of the vibrating string on page 136, we found another case having a continuous distribution of eigenvalues.

We also note that

\[
\exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} p q' \right) \cdot \mathbf{e}(q) = \mathbf{e}(q + q') \tag{2.6.11}
\]

On page 134 we noted the possible complications of a sequence of eigenvectors for a nondenumerable set of eigenvalues. Each different eigenvector \( \mathbf{e}(q) \), for each different value of \( q \), is perpendicular to every other eigenvector of the sequence, so that \( \mathbf{e}(q) \) is certainly not a continuous function of \( q \). Therefore the result of the following manipulation

\[
\left[ 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} p \Delta q \right] \cdot \mathbf{e}(q) = \mathbf{e}(q + \Delta q) \quad \text{or}
\]

\[
p \cdot \mathbf{e}(q) = i\hbar \lim_{\Delta q \to 0} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{e}(q + \Delta q) - \mathbf{e}(q)}{\Delta q} \right] \tag{2.6.12}
\]

does not mean that the right-hand side is proportional to the derivative of \( \mathbf{e}(q) \) with respect to \( q \), because the difference \([\mathbf{e}(q + \Delta q) - \mathbf{e}(q)]\) does not approach zero in a continuous manner as \( \Delta q \) approaches zero. [We note that this result comes directly from Eq. (2.6.7) and could be written for any pair of canonically conjugate variables with continuous distribution of eigenvalues for one variable.]

The continuum of values of \( q \) also makes it a little confusing to decide what sort of a function the scalar product \( \mathbf{e}^\ast(q) \cdot \mathbf{e}(q') \) is of \( q \) and \( q' \).
Presumably it should be zero except when \( q = q' \), which suggests a quite discontinuous function. It turns out to be most satisfactory to define it in terms of an integral. We extend the obvious formula \( \sum_n c_n^* \cdot e_n = 1 \), for discrete eigenvectors, to a continuum, obtaining \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^*(q) \cdot e(q') \, dq' = 1 \), which defines the magnitude of the \( e \)'s (see page 240).

Transformation Functions. However, we can set up integrals involving \( e(q) \), integrated over \( q \), which are limits of sums of the integrand over every value of \( q \) within the limits of the integral. For instance, an arbitrary state vector \( f \) can be expressed in terms of a sum of all the different eigenvectors for \( q \),

\[
 f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(q) e(q) \, dq
\]

where \( |f(q)|^2 \, dq \) is the probability that the particle is between \( q \) and \( q + dq \) when the system is in state \( f \). The quantity \( f(q) \), being proportional to the direction cosine between \( f \) and \( e(q) \), is a complex number, an ordinary function of the continuous variable \( q \). The analogy between this integral and a Fourier integral will become increasingly apparent as this discussion progresses.

By this means, using integrals over the eigenvectors for the position operator \( \mathcal{A} \), we can correlate every state vector \( f \) with an ordinary function \( f(q) \), which is called a transformation function since it describes the transformation between \( f \) and the \( e \)'s. An eigenvector for another operator can, of course, be expressed in terms of the \( e(q) \)'s. For instance if \( \mathcal{A} \cdot e(a) = a e(a) \), then we can write

\[
 e(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(a|q) e(q) \, dq
\]

where \( |\psi(a|q)|^2 \, dq \) is the probability that the coordinate of the system is between \( q \) and \( q + dq \) when its state is such that the variable represented by \( \mathcal{A} \) is certain to have the value \( a \). Such a transformation function was discussed on page 135.

If we do not wish to trust our skill with state-vector calculus, we can always fall back on calculating with the function \( f(q) \). For instance, the average value of the dynamical quantity represented by the operator \( \mathcal{A} \) when the system is in state \( f \) is

\[
 f^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{f}(q) [e^*(q) \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot e(q')] f(q') \, dq'
\]

so that, if we know the effect of an operator on the vectors \( e(q) \), then we can find the effect of an operator on any other state vector \( f(q) \) in terms of the transformation function \( f(q) \).
For instance, we could calculate the effect of the operator $\hat{p}$, representing
the canonical conjugate to the coordinate operator $\hat{q}$, on an
arbitrary state vector $\mathbf{f}$. Utilizing Eq. (2.6.12), we have

$$
\hat{p} \cdot \mathbf{f} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(q) \hat{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}(q) \, dq = \frac{i\hbar}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{\Delta q \to 0} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{e}(q + \Delta q) - \mathbf{e}(q)}{\Delta q} \right] f(q) \, dq \\
\quad - \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{\Delta q \to 0} \left[ \frac{f(q) - f(q - \Delta q)}{\Delta q} \right] \mathbf{e}(q) \, dq \\
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} \right] \mathbf{e}(q) \, dq \quad (2.6.15)
$$

In other words the effect of the operator $\hat{p}$ acting on a state vector $\mathbf{f}$
corresponds to the analytic operation $(\hbar/\imath)(\partial/\partial q)$ acting on
the transformation function $f(q)$. Since $f(q)$ is an ordinary function, the differential
operator has its usual meaning. Repeating the operation, the operation $b(\hat{q})^n$
corresponds to the operation $b(\hbar/\imath)^n(\partial^n/\partial q^n)$ acting on $f(q)$.
Therefore if we have any operator function $\hat{g}(\hat{p})$ of $\hat{p}$ which can be expanded
in a power series, the corresponding operation on $f(q)$ is obtained by substituting
for $\hat{p}$ the differential operator $(\hbar/\imath)(\partial/\partial q)$.

It should not be difficult to utilize Eqs. (2.6.5) and (2.6.7) to generalize
these remarks. We set up a complete set of coordinates $q_m(m = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ by which we can specify the configuration of a given system
(in the classical sense). We find the momentum variables $p_m$ conjugate
to the $q$'s, such that the set satisfies the Poisson bracket equations (2.6.5).
Any dynamical variable of the system $B(p,q)$ can be expressed in terms
of the $p$'s and $q$'s. The quantum mechanical operator $\mathfrak{B}$ corresponding
to the classical quantity $B$ can be formed by substituting the operators $\hat{p}_m$ and $\hat{q}_m$ for the quantities $p_m$ and $q_m$ in $B$. This is to operate on the
abstract vector $\mathbf{f}$ representing the state of the system, to change its
magnitude and/or direction. If, instead of discussing the effects of
$\mathfrak{B}(p,q)$ on $\mathbf{f}$, we prefer to study the corresponding effect on the transformation function $f(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$, we can make use of the following
generalization of Eq. (2.6.15):

$$
\mathfrak{B}(p,q)\mathbf{f} = \int \cdots \int K \left( \frac{\hbar}{\imath} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m}, q \right) f(q_1, \ldots, q_n) \, dq_1 \cdots dq_n \cdot \mathbf{e}(q_1, \ldots, q_n) \quad (2.6.16)
$$

where the differential operator in the integral, which acts on the transformation function $f(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$, is formed by changing each $p_m$ in
the classical function $B(p,q)$ into $(\hbar/\imath)(\partial/\partial q_m)$. Both in $\mathfrak{B}$ and in the corresponding differential operator for $f(q)$ we must watch the order of
the $p$'s and $q$'s in each term of $B$, for the conjugate set of operators $\hat{p}_m$
and $\hat{q}_m$ do not commute, since they satisfy the equations.
which corresponds to the Poisson bracket equations (2.6.5). As defined earlier $[\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}]$ is the commutator $(\mathfrak{A} \cdot \mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{B} \cdot \mathfrak{A})$.

**Operator Equations for Transformation Functions.** For instance, instead of using the vector equation

$$\mathfrak{B}(p,q) \cdot b_m = b_m b_m$$

to determine the eigenvectors $b_m$ and the eigenvalues $b_m$, we can use the corresponding differential equation

$$B \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, q \right) \psi(b_m|q) = b_m \psi(b_m|q)$$

(2.6.17)

to compute the transformation functions $\psi(b_m|q)$ and their eigenvalues $b_m$ of the operator $\mathfrak{B}$. The eigenvectors $b_m$ can be calculated (if need be) by use of the formula

$$b_m = \int \cdots \int \psi(b_m|q) e(q) dq_1 \cdots dq_n,$$

$$b_m^* = \int \cdots \int \overline{\psi(b_m|q)} e^*(q) d\bar{q}_1 \cdots d\bar{q}_n.$$  

(2.6.18)

The probability that the configuration of the system is between $q_1$ and $q_1 + dq_1$, \ldots, $q_n$ and $q_n + dq_n$ when $B$ has the value $b_m$ is $|\psi(b_m|q)|^2 dq_1 \cdots dq_n$, and the average value of the dynamical variable $A(p,q)$ when $B$ has value $b_m$ is

$$(b_m^* \cdot \mathfrak{A} \cdot b_m) = \int \cdots \int \overline{\psi(b_m|q)} A \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, q \right) \psi(b_m|q) dq_1 \cdots dq_n$$

(2.6.19)

Likewise, since the sequence of eigenvectors $b_m$ can also be used to expand an arbitrary state vector, we have

$$\mathfrak{A} \cdot b_m = \sum_s (b_s^* \cdot \mathfrak{A} \cdot b_m) b_s$$

(2.6.20)

$$(b_m^* \cdot \mathfrak{A} \cdot b_m) = \int \cdots \int \overline{\psi(b_m|q)} A \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, q \right) \psi(b_m|q) dq_1 \cdots dq_n$$

for

$$b_s^* \cdot b_m = \delta_{sm}; \quad \delta_{sm} = \begin{cases} 0; & s \neq m \\ 1; & s = m \end{cases}$$

Thus, many of the operations of state-vector algebra are paralleled by integral and differential operations on the transformation functions. It should be apparent by now that these transformation functions are somehow related to the wave functions discussed in such a vague fashion at the beginning of this section. The square of their magnitude relates
the probability that the system has a given configuration (e.g., that the particle be at some given point) with the probability that the system be in a given state, characterized by the eigenvalue \( b_m \). They are typical of the new analysis of quantum effects and represent the sort of facts we should expect to obtain about dynamical systems rather than the exact details of trajectories expected by classical dynamics. Of course when the energies and momenta involved are so large that the related period \( 1/\nu \) and wavelength, as given by Eq. (2.6.1), are very much smaller than the times and distances involved in the system, these transformation functions narrow down to rays which correspond closely to the trajectories of classical dynamics and the allowable states of the system correspond closely to the various initial conditions possible classically.

**Transformation to Momentum Space.** So far we have expressed our state vectors in terms of the basic set of vectors \( \mathbf{e}(q) \) for the continuously variable coordinates \( q_1 \cdots q_n \) of the system. We can, however, express everything in terms of the conjugate momenta \( p_1 \cdots p_n \), since there is symmetry between \( p \) and \( q \) in both classical Poisson bracket and quantum commutator expressions. We have the set of eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}(p) \) for all the nonenumerable set of allowed values of \( p_1 \cdots p_n \), and we can express any other state vector in terms of the integral

\[
\mathbf{b}_m = \int \cdots \int \psi(B_m|p) \mathbf{e}(p) \, dp_1 \cdots dp_n \quad (2.6.21)
\]

which is analogous to Eq. (2.6.18).

By use of the commutation relations between \( p \) and \( q \) we can show that operation by \( q \) on the state vector \( \mathbf{b}_m \) corresponds to the operation \(-\hbar i \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\) on the transformation function \( \psi(B_m|p) \). Corresponding to Eqs. (2.6.17) and (2.6.20) are

\[
B \left( p, -\frac{\hbar i}{\partial p} \right) \psi(B_m|p) = b_m \psi(B_m|p)
\]

and

\[
(b^*_m \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot b_m) = \int \cdots \int \tilde{\psi}(b_m|p) A \left( p, -\frac{\hbar i}{\partial p} \right) \psi(B_m|p) \, dp_1 \cdots dp_n
\]

The quantity \( |\psi(B_m|p)|^2 \, dp_1 \cdots dp_n \) is, of course, the probability that the system is in the momentum element \( dp_1 \cdots dp_n \) when it is in the state given by \( b_m \).

To complete the picture we need to know the relation between the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}(q) \) for the coordinates and the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}(p) \) for the momenta. This would also enable us to transform the function \( \psi(B_m|q) \) into the function \( \psi(B_m|p) \) and vice versa. As before, we have

\[
\mathbf{e}(p) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \psi(p|q) \mathbf{e}(q) \, dq \quad (2.6.22)
\]
where we have considered only one coordinate and conjugate momentum for simplicity. The transformation function \( \psi(p|q) \) relates the state where we know the position of the particle exactly (and have no knowledge of momentum) to the state where we know the momentum of the particle exactly and have no knowledge of its position (this last is the state of knowledge to the left of the screen in Figs. 2.26 and 2.27). To compute \( \psi(p|q) \), we utilize the equation for the eigenvector for \( \psi \), \( \psi_e(p) = p \, e(p) \) and transform it by Eq. (2.6.22) by the use of Eq. (2.6.15):

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \psi(p|q) - p\psi(p|q) \right] e(q) \, dq = 0
\]

for all values of \( p \) and \( q \). Therefore \( \psi(p|q) \) is equal to \( c \exp[i/\hbar pq] \), where \( c \) is the normalization constant.

The function is "normalized" by using the requirement (see page 236) that \( \psi^*(p) \cdot \psi(p') = \delta(p - p') \), where \( \delta \) is the Dirac delta function defined by the equations (see also page 122)

\[
\delta(x) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \begin{cases} 
0; & x < -\frac{1}{2}\lambda \\
1/\lambda; & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda < x < \frac{1}{2}\lambda; \\
0; & \frac{1}{2}\lambda < x
\end{cases} \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \delta(x - z) \, dz = f(x)
\]

(2.6.23)

We start out by expressing \( \psi(p) \) and \( \psi(p') \) in terms of the vectors \( e(q) \):

\[
\psi^*(p) \cdot \psi(p') = c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq' \, e^{-i(pq + (p')q')} [\psi^*(q) \cdot e(q')]
\]

But \( [\psi^*(q) \cdot e(q')] = \delta(q - q') \), so that integration over \( q' \) results in

\[
\delta(p - p') = c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \, e^{i(p-q)q}.
\]

This last integral is not particularly convergent, but the delta function is not a very well-behaved function either. It would be best if we utilized the definition of \( \delta(p - p') \) and integrated both sides of the above equation over \( p' \) from \( p - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \) to \( p + \frac{1}{2}\Delta \) (it might have been safer if we had integrated over \( p' \) first and then integrated over \( q' \), rather than in the reverse order, but the results will be the same):

\[
\int_{p-\frac{1}{2}\Delta}^{p+\frac{1}{2}\Delta} \delta(p - p') \, dp' = 1 = c^2 \int_{-\frac{1}{4}\Delta}^{\frac{1}{4}\Delta} \, dq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq' \, e^{i(p-q)q}
\]

\[
= 2c^2 \hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin(q\Delta/2\hbar) \, (dq' \, q) = c^2 \cdot 2\pi/\hbar
\]

Therefore \( c = 1/\sqrt{2\pi\hbar} = 1/\sqrt{\hbar} \) and \( \psi(p|q) = [1/\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}] e^{i(p-q)\hbar} \). Therefore the transformation functions \( f(q) \) and \( f(p) \) for the state vector \( f \) are
related by the following equations

\[ f(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(q) e^{-i(pq)\hbar} dq \]

and

\[ f(q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(p) e^{i(pq)\hbar} dp = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq' f(q') e^{i(2\pi i/\hbar)(q' - q)p} \]

(2.6.24)

This last equation has been encountered before [see Eq. (2.1.25)] and will be discussed in great detail in Chap. 4. It is one form of the Fourier integral.

The physical interpretation of the coordinate-momentum transformation function is worth mentioning. Since \(|\psi(p|q)\|^2\) is a constant, the probability \(|\psi(p|q)\|^2 \, dq\), that the particle is between \(q\) and \(q + dq\) when its momentum is \(p\), is independent of \(q\), and the probability \(|\psi(p|q)\|^2 \, dp\), that the particle has momentum between \(p\) and \(p + dp\) when it is at \(q\), is independent of \(p\). This is a natural consequence of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, which says that, if \(p\) is precisely known (\(\Delta p \to 0\)), then the particle is equally likely to be anywhere (\(\Delta q \to \infty\)), and vice versa.

Second, we note that \(e^{i(2\pi i/\hbar)pq}\), viewed as a function of the coordinate \(q\), could be the space factor of a traveling wave \(\exp \left((2\pi i/\hbar)p(q - u0)\right)\) for a plane wave of length \(\hbar/p\) and of frequency \(u/p\) traveling with some velocity \(u\). We have not yet examined the time dependence of the state vectors and transformation functions, but we can see that the correlation \(\lambda = (\hbar/p)\) is the one we discussed [see Eq. (2.6.1)] when we first started to talk about waves and particles. To follow this relationship up, we should expect that the quantity \((\hbar \times \text{frequency}) = up\) would correspond to the energy of the particle, though we have not yet arrived at a point which would enable us to determine the wave velocity \(u\).

The occurrence of a transformation function relating the state in which the particle has momentum \(p\) with its position \(q\) is a significant correlation with our preliminary discussions of waves and particles. For a state in which the particle may have either momentum \(p\) or momentum \(p'\), the eigenvector would be \(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} [e(p) + e^{-i\varphi} e(p')]\) and the transformation function would be

\[ \psi(p,q) = (1/\sqrt{2\hbar})[e^{i(2\pi i/\hbar)pq} + e^{i(2\pi i/\hbar)p'q + i\varphi}] \]

representing the space part of two linearly superposed waves of different wavelength. An arbitrary phase difference \(\varphi\) has been inserted, its value to be determined by a more exact specification of the state. The probability \(|\psi|^2 \, dq\) of finding the particle between \(q\) and \(q + dq\) is not now everywhere the same, because the two parts of the wave will interfere, producing nodes and loops in the wave pattern. Since we are
not now sure of the momentum, we can have some localization of the particle in space.

For a state \( f \) corresponding to a wide spread in momentum, as shown in Eq. (2.6.24), the interference between the partial waves may be such that \( f(q) \) is only large near \( q_0 \) (i.e., the particle is most likely to be near \( q_0 \)) and the state may correspond to one in which the position of the particle is known with fair precision.

**Hamiltonian Function and Schroedinger Equation.** One of the most general "constants of the motion" in classical dynamics is the total energy of the system; in fact it is constant for all conservative systems. The classical analysis of the dynamics of such systems, developed by Hamilton, is based on the "Hamiltonian function" \( H(p,q) \), see page 282, which is the total energy of the system, kinetic plus potential, expressed in terms of the coordinates and conjugate momenta (whereas the Lagrangian function \( L \) is usually the kinetic minus the potential energy, the Hamiltonian \( H \) is the sum of the two). The equations relating the time rate of change of the \( q \)'s to the momenta are

\[
\frac{dq_m}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_m}
\]  

(2.6.25)

which corresponds to Eqs. (2.6.3). The equations of motion, relating the accelerations to the forces, are then

\[
\frac{dp_m}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_m}
\]

(2.6.26)

These equations will be discussed in more detail in Chap. 3.

In quantum mechanics the state vector \( \psi(E) \) for a given system, which represents the system having a definite energy \( E \) [\( \psi(E) \) is the eigenvector for the eigenvalue \( E \) of the energy], can be determined from the equation

\[
\mathbf{\hat{S}}(p,q) \cdot \psi(E) = E\psi(E)
\]

(2.6.27)

where the operator \( \mathbf{\hat{S}} \) is obtained by changing the \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s in the classical Hamiltonian into operators. The correct order of the operators in the various terms is not completely defined by the classical \( H(p,q) \), but this order can usually be determined by trial, as indicated on page 234.

Of course we may not wish to solve an equation involving operators and state vectors: it may be easier to solve the related differential equation for the transformation function \( \psi(E|q) \), the square of which measures the probability density of various configurations of the system when we are sure that the energy is \( E \). Referring to Eq. (2.6.17) we see that this equation is

\[
H \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, q \right) \psi(E|q) = E\psi(E|q)
\]

(2.6.28)
where each $p_m$ in the expression for $H$ is changed to $(\hbar/\partial)(\partial/\partial q)$, operating on $\psi(E|q)$. This equation is called the Schrödinger equation for the system.

The Schrödinger equation often turns out to be of the general type of the Helmholtz equation (Eq. 2.1.10), an equation which results when the time dependence is separated out of a wave equation. To show this more clearly let us set up the Schrödinger equation for a particle of mass $m$ in a potential field $V$ (force = - grad $V$). Appropriate coordinates $q$ are the cartesian coordinates $x, y, z$. The kinetic energy is $\frac{1}{2}m(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2) = T$ and the kinetic potential $L = T - V$. The momentum $p_x$ is, according to Eq. (2.6.3), equal to $\partial L/\partial \dot{x} = m\dot{x}$, etc. Expressing $T$ and $V$ in terms of the $p$'s and $q$'s, we finally obtain the classical Hamiltonian

$$H(p,q) = \frac{1}{2m}(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2) + V(x,y,z)$$

The Schrödinger equation is obtained by substituting for the sum of the squares of the momenta $-(\hbar)^2$ times the sum of the second derivatives (the Laplacian):

$$\left[-\hbar^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\right)^2 + E\right] \psi(E|q) = \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + E - V\right] \psi(E|x,y,z) = 0$$

or

$$\nabla^2 \psi + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} [E - V(x,y,z)] \psi = 0$$

In those regions where $E > V$, $\psi$ is oscillatory, and in those regions where $E < V$, the solution is exponential, either decreasing or increasing in size without limit. This is to be compared with Eq. (2.1.10).

Of course we could set up a similar equation for the transformation function from $E$ to the momentum $p$. Here we should substitute for each $q$ in $H(p|q)$ the differential operator $-(\hbar/\partial)(\partial/\partial p)$. Sometimes this is more difficult to do, for $V$ is often a more complicated function of $q$ than $T$ is of $p$ [how, for instance, do we interpret the operator formed from $1/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ when we substitute $-(\hbar/\partial)(\partial/\partial p)$ for $x$, etc.?]. We could, of course, solve first for $\psi(E|q)$ and obtain $\psi(E|p)$ by the Fourier transform given in Eq. (2.6.24). A more straightforward way, which can be demonstrated on the one particle Hamiltonian, is as follows:

$$H = T(p) + V(q); \quad T = (1/2m)(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2); \quad q = x, y, z$$

The operation corresponding to the kinetic energy is

$$\mathcal{E}(E) = \iiint ds \left(\frac{1}{2m}(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2)\psi(E|p)\right) dp_x dp_y dp_z$$
For the potential energy we can perform a second transformation to the $e(q)$'s:

\[
\mathbb{B} \cdot e(E) = \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{B} \cdot e(p') \psi(E|p') \, dp'_x \, dp'_y \, dp'_z, \text{ and by Eq. (2.6.20)}
\]

\[
\mathbb{B} \cdot e(p') = \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^*(p) \cdot \mathbb{B} \cdot e(p')] \, e(p) \, dp_x \, dp_y \, dp_z
\]

where

\[
[e^*(p) \cdot \mathbb{B} \cdot e(p')] = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(p|q) V(q) \psi(p'|q) \, dx \, dy \, dz
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^3} \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, y, z) \exp \left[ i (\omega x - p x) + (\omega y - p y) + (\omega z - p z) \right] \, dx \, dy \, dz = \mathcal{V}_{pp'}
\]

Therefore, instead of a differential equation for $\psi(E|p)$ we have an integral equation

\[
(1/2m)(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2) \psi(E|p) + \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_{mn} \psi(E|p') \, dp'_x \, dp'_y \, dp'_z = E \psi(E|p)
\]

which is, of course, completely equivalent to

\[
H \begin{pmatrix} p_x - \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x} \end{pmatrix} \psi(E|p) = E \psi(E|p)
\]

Which of the two equations is easier to solve depends on the form of $H$. If $V$ can be expanded in simple polynomials of the $q$'s, the differential equation is probably better; otherwise the integral equation is safer.

**The Harmonic Oscillator.** To illustrate the general principles we have adduced, it will be useful to discuss the quantum mechanics of a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator.

We first set up the operator corresponding to the Hamiltonian function $H$. For a particle of mass $m$ and a spring of stiffness constant $K$, this Hamiltonian is

\[
H(p, q) = (1/2m)p^2 + \frac{1}{2}Kq^2
\]

so that the equation for an eigenvector of the energy operator is

\[
\mathcal{S} \cdot e = \left[ (\hbar^2/2m) + \frac{1}{2}Kq^2 \right] e(E) = E e(E) \quad (2.6.29)
\]

We could, of course, set up the corresponding Schroedinger equation

\[
\left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + (E - \frac{1}{2}Kq^2) \right] \psi(E|q) = 0
\]

and solve for $\psi$ by methods to be discussed later in this book. But at present it will be more instructive to solve directly for $e(E)$. 
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We note that the classical Hamiltonian can be factored:

\[ H = \frac{1}{2}m[(p/m) - i\omega][/(p/m) + i\omega]; \quad \omega^2 = K/m \]

The quantum mechanical operator cannot be factored quite so easily, but by watching the order of the v's and c's and by the use of the commutation relation \( pq - qp = \hbar/i \), we obtain the following:

\[ \frac{1}{2}m\Theta_- \cdot \Theta_+ = \mathfrak{S} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega; \quad \frac{1}{2}m\Theta_+ \cdot \Theta_- = \mathfrak{S} - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega \]

where \( \Theta_+ = (p/m) + i\omega \) and \( \Theta_- = (p/m) - i\omega = \Theta_0^* \).

Multiplying once more by \( [(p/m) \pm i\omega] \) and manipulating the results, we obtain

\[ \mathfrak{S} \cdot \Theta_+ = \Theta_+ \cdot [\mathfrak{S} + \hbar\omega]; \quad \mathfrak{S} \cdot \Theta_- = \Theta_- \cdot [\mathfrak{S} - \hbar\omega] \tag{2.6.30} \]

These equations show that, if there is an eigenvector \( e(E) \) for \( \mathfrak{S} \) with eigenvalue \( E \), then the vector \( \Theta_+ \cdot e(E) \) is an eigenvector for \( \mathfrak{S} \) with eigenvalue \( (E + \hbar\omega) \) [i.e., it is \( \Lambda e(E) \) with \( \lambda \) is some normalization constant] and the vector \( \Theta_- \cdot e(E) \) is also an eigenvector for \( \mathfrak{S} \) with eigenvalue \( (E - \hbar\omega) \) [i.e., it is \( Be(E - \hbar\omega) \)]. This means that, if there is one eigenvalue \( E \) for \( \mathfrak{S} \), then there is an infinite sequence of eigenvalues \( (E + n\hbar\omega) \), where \( n \) is any integer, positive or negative.

This result is rather more than we had bargained for, for classically we should expect no allowed negative values of energy. A more careful scrutiny of Eqs. (2.6.30) and the related statements shows that we can be spared the unpleasant negative energies if we choose the value of \( E \) carefully. For we should have said that \( \Theta_+ \cdot e(E) \) is an eigenvector with eigenvalue \( (E - \hbar\omega) \) unless the vector \( \Theta_- \cdot e(E) \) is zero. Therefore if we are to have no allowed values of energy less than a certain minimum value \( E_{\text{min}} \), we must have

\[ \Theta_- \cdot e(E_{\text{min}}) = 0 \]

or \( 0 = \Theta_+ \cdot \Theta_- \cdot e(E_{\text{min}}) = (\mathfrak{S} - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega)e(E_{\text{min}}) = (E_{\text{min}} - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega)e(E_{\text{min}}) \)

Actually this choice is not merely most convenient: it is the only choice which makes physical sense. For if we do allow negative eigenvalues for the energy, the squares of the corresponding eigenvectors, \( e^* \cdot e \), turn out to be negative. Since negative probabilities make no sense, the above choice is the only allowable one.

Consequently the minimum eigenvalue of the energy is equal to \( \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega \), and the sequence of allowed values is given by the formula

\[ E_n = h\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}); \quad n = 0, 1, 2 \]

and the various eigenvectors can all be expressed in terms of the lowest, \( e_0 = e(E_{\text{min}}) \), where \( \mathfrak{S}e_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega e_0 \).

We must next normalize the eigenvectors so that \( e^* \cdot e = 1 \). We assume that \( e_0^* \cdot e_0 = 1 \). The next vector is \( e_1 = e(E_{\text{min}} + \hbar\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega e_0 \), and the second vector is \( e_2 = e(E_{\text{min}} + 2\hbar\omega) = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega e_0 \). The subsequent vectors satisfy the orthogonality condition and the normalization condition for \( e^* \cdot e = 1 \).
To determine $A_1$ we set

$$1 = e_1^* \cdot e_1 = |A_1|^2 e_1^* \otimes_\omega e_0 = \frac{2}{m} |A_1|^2 e_0^*(\otimes + \frac{1}{2}i\hbar\omega) e_0 = \frac{2\hbar\omega}{m} |A_1|^2$$

Therefore $e_1 = \frac{\hbar}{m} \otimes_\omega e_0$; where $\otimes e_1 = \frac{\hbar}{m} \omega e_1$

Continuing in this manner we can show that

$$e_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \left[ i \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\hbar\omega}} \otimes_\omega \int e_n \right] \cdot e_0; \text{ where } e_n \cdot e_n = \hbar\omega (n + \frac{1}{2}) e_n \quad (2.6.31)$$

We have therefore solved the problem of determining eigenvalues and eigenvectors for this Hamiltonian operator. Average values of other operator functions of $p$ and $q$ can be determined by playing about with the $\otimes$ operators.

The equation for the lowest transformation function may be obtained by changing the equation $\otimes_\omega \cdot e_0 = 0$ into a differential equation for $\psi_0(q)$:

$$\frac{\hbar}{im} \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial q} - i\omega q \psi_0 = 0$$

which has the solution

$$\psi_0 = \left[ \frac{m\omega}{\pi \hbar} \right]^\frac{1}{2} e^{-i\omega x}; \quad x = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} q; \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_0^* dq = 1$$

The operator $\otimes_\omega$ has its differential counterpart

$$G_+ = \frac{1}{i} \sqrt{\frac{m}{\hbar}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \right]$$

By using Eq. (2.6.31) and translating it into an equation for the $n$th wave function, we have

$$\psi_n = \left[ \frac{m\omega}{\pi \hbar} \right]^\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \right] e^{-i\omega x}; \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_n^* dq = 1; \quad q = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} x$$

Thus we have also obtained an expression for the various wave functions with very little difficulty.

This example may perhaps have shown that dealing with the operators and eigenvectors directly is not difficult after all and that in some cases it may be actually easier than solving first for the wave functions.

Incidentally, the uncertainty principle could have told us that our state of minimum energy could not have been for zero energy, because zero energy implies that both $p$ and $q$ be zero, and the uncertainty principle states that it is impossible to know exact values of $p$ and $q$ simultaneously. The minimum value of the energy must be enough above zero so that the range of travel in $q$ times the amplitude of oscillation of $p$
is not less than \( \hbar \). Putting in the right numbers we see that the minimum energy cannot be smaller than \( \frac{\hbar}{2} \).

**Dependence on Time.** To complete our discussion of quantum mechanics, it is now necessary to introduce the time variable \( t \) in order to develop a kinematics and finally a dynamics so that we can state the quantum mechanical equations of motion.

Time enters into classical mechanics in two essentially different ways. In the case of conservative fields, time is only an implicit variable; i.e., it is used as a parameter in terms of which the motion may be described. Indeed in two and three dimensions time may be eliminated completely and the motion described by giving the orbit. The space coordinate and the time coordinates do not play the same role. However, in relativistic mechanics, time and space must come into the theory in the same way, since by a Lorentz transformation one may mix space and time. A proper relativistic theory must therefore treat the time variable in a manner which is very different from a nonrelativistic theory. This difference maintains itself when we come to quantum mechanics.

Time also enters in as an explicit variable if the field of force is non-conservative or if the force field changes with time (e.g., a field due to another system in motion) or finally in the statement of initial conditions. In all of these the time variable is needed to describe the force field acting on the system, so that the time coordinate and the space coordinates are employed in similar fashions.

This dichotomy appears in quantum mechanics also. For example, corresponding to \( \Delta p, \Delta x \approx \hbar \) there is an uncertainty relation

\[
\Delta E \Delta t \approx \hbar
\]  

(2.6.32)

where \( \Delta E \) measures the uncertainty in energy and \( \Delta t \) the uncertainty in time. One would expect this equation on the basis of relativistic requirements; indeed this is precisely the reasoning used by De Broglie when he guessed \( \lambda = \hbar / p \) from \( v = E / h \). From Eq. (2.6.32) one would be tempted, in analogy with our deduction of the commutator equation \( [p_x, \xi] = \hbar / i \) from the uncertainty relation (2.6.7), to set up a commutator between the operator corresponding to the energy and an operator corresponding to the time. This would not be a correct procedure for the reason that the uncertainty relation (2.6.32) applies only when time occurs as an explicit variable.

Stating this in another way, when time does not occur explicitly in the description of the force field, time is really a parameter. Its measurement by the observer (by looking at a clock, for instance) in no way affects the system under consideration. For example, it cannot affect the energy of such a system. However, if time occurs explicitly in the description of the force field, an uncertainty in time will lead to an uncertainty in the force and therefore in the energy.
Equations Governing Fields

A common example of the relation of $\Delta E$ and $\Delta t$ considers the measurement of the time of travel between two points, 1 and 2, by a wave, say a light wave. Using a wave whose frequency (and therefore energy) is precisely known would require a wave infinite in extent. The time of travel would therefore be completely unknown ($\Delta t \to \infty$, $\Delta E \to 0$). The precision of the measurement of time of travel is increased by using a shutter at 1 which is tripped at some instant, say $t = 0$, and another shutter (in actual practice mirrors are used) at 2 which is to be tripped some time later. It is easy to see that the velocity being measured is that of a wave packet of width $\Delta t$ in time, where $\Delta t$ is the time difference between the action of the two shutters. However, such a wave packet must be a superposition of waves having different frequencies (a Fourier integral). These frequencies are spread approximately over a range $1/\Delta t$ so $\Delta v \Delta t \approx 1$ or $\Delta E \Delta t \approx h$. It is clear that the Hamiltonian describing this experiment is time dependent; the time variable is required to describe the interaction of matter (shutters) with the radiation. It is characteristic that the time dependence is due to the fact that all the interacting systems, e.g., the operator of the shutter, have not been included in the discussion.

Recapitulating, we see that the uncertainty relation (2.6.32) applies only when the Hamiltonian depends explicitly on the time. When the time dependence is implicit, this equation does not apply, and indeed time may be considered just as a parameter. This Januslike behavior is reflected in the fact that the derivation of the time-dependent Schroedinger equation may differ, depending upon which situation applies. Fortunately for the relativistic generalizations of quantum mechanics it is possible to devise a single derivation which applies in both cases.

**Time as a Parameter.** In the interest of simplicity and clarity it is best to discuss the nonrelativistic case with conservative fields, i.e., those cases in which the classical nonrelativistic Hamiltonian does not depend upon time, so that the time variable may be treated as a parameter. We have already shown in Chap. 1 (page 85) that the variation of the direction of a state vector with time may be obtained through the use of a unitary operator. We showed there that

$$e^{-i\hbar \hat{S}r \cdot \mathbf{e}(t)} = e^{i\hbar (\hat{S}r \cdot \mathbf{e})}$$

where so far the operator $\hat{S}$ is undefined. Indeed, in setting up a kinematics, one of the crucial steps will be that of choosing $\hat{S}$. Here we have used $-\langle \hat{S}, h \rangle \mathbf{e}$ instead of $\hat{S}$, to bring the equation in line with Eq. (2.6.11) relating $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$. In Chap. 1 we also showed that

$$\hat{S} \cdot \mathbf{e} = i\hbar (\mathbf{e} \cdot \partial t) \quad (2.6.33)$$

where we have here also included the additional factor $-\langle 1, h \rangle$. This equation is similar to Eq. (2.6.12) for the effect on $\mathbf{p}$ on $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{q})$. But there
is a fundamental difference, which enables us to write $\frac{\partial e}{\partial t}$ here but does not allow us to make this limiting transition in Eq. (2.6.12). In the earlier equation, giving the effect of $\mathfrak{g}$, we are dealing with eigenvectors $e(\mathfrak{g})$ for the operator $\mathfrak{g}$, so that each $e$ is perpendicular to the other and there is no possibility of taking a limit. In the present case $t$ is only a parameter, $e$ is not its eigenvector, for $t$ is not an operator. All eigenvectors for the system having $\mathfrak{S}$ as an operator are continuous functions of the parameter $t$, rotating in abstract space as $t$ increases. Consequently we can here talk about a derivative of $e$ with respect to $t$. The operator $(\mathfrak{S}/\partial t) dt$ produces an infinitesimal rotation from its direction at time $t$ to its direction at time $t + dt$, and this difference in direction becomes continuously smaller as $dt$ approaches zero.

Kinematics in classical mechanics is concerned with the time variation of variables such as position $q$ with time. In order to be able to draw the necessary analogies in quantum mechanics and so to determine $\mathfrak{S}$, it is necessary for us to consider the variation of operators with time. The development of quantum mechanics considered up to now in this chapter assumes that the meaning of the operator is independent of $t$ as far as its operation on its eigenvector, so that the equation $\mathfrak{S} \cdot f = \mathfrak{g}$ gives the same eigenvalue $f$ for all values of time (as long as $\mathfrak{S}$ does not depend on $t$ explicitly).

In many cases the state vector itself changes with time, in the manner we have just been discussing. However, we could just as well consider that the state vector is independent of time and blame all the variation with time on the operator. This formal change, of course, must not affect the measurable quantities, such as the eigenvalues $f$ or the expansion coefficients defined in Eq. (2.6.20), for instance. In other words the operator $\mathfrak{g}(0)$, including the time parameter, can be obtained from the constant operator $\mathfrak{g}(0)$ by the use of Eq. (2.6.33) and the requirement that the quantity

$$f^*(t) \cdot \mathfrak{g}(0) \cdot f(t) = f^*(0) \cdot \mathfrak{g}(0) \cdot f(0) = f^*(0) \cdot e^{i\mathfrak{S} dt} \mathfrak{g}(0) e^{-i\mathfrak{S} dt} \cdot f(0)$$

be independent of $t$. Consequently

$$\mathfrak{g}(t) = e^{i\mathfrak{S} dt} \mathfrak{g}(0) e^{-i\mathfrak{S} dt}$$

(2.6.34)

gives the dependence of $\mathfrak{g}(t)$ on $t$ if we are to consider the operator as time dependent.

Letting $t$ become the infinitesimal $dt$, we can obtain an equation relating the time rate of change of the operator $\mathfrak{g}(t)$ to the as yet unknown operator $\mathfrak{S}$:

$$\mathfrak{g}(dt) = \left[1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathfrak{S} dt \right] \mathfrak{g}(0) \left[1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathfrak{S} dt \right]$$

or

$$\mathfrak{S} \cdot \mathfrak{g} - \mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{g}\} = \hbar \frac{\mathfrak{g}(dt) - \mathfrak{g}(0)}{dt} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{g}$$

(2.6.35)
From the way we have derived this equation, the expression \( d\mathbb{A}/dt \) can be considered to be the time rate of change of the operator \( \mathbb{A} \) if we have let the state vectors be constant and put the time variation on \( \mathbb{A} \), or it can be the operator corresponding to the classical rate of change of the dynamical variable \( A \) when we let the operators be constant and let the state vectors vary.

For instance, the operator corresponding to the rate of change \( q_m \) of a coordinate can be computed from Eq. (2.6.35):

\[
\dot{q}_m = \langle i\hbar \rangle [\mathcal{H}, q_m] = \partial \mathcal{H} / \partial q_m
\]  

(2.6.36)

where we have used Eq. (2.6.8) to obtain the last expression. But this last expression is just the one needed to determine the nature of the operator \( \mathcal{H} \). In the limit of large energies and momenta this operator equation should reduce to the classical equations in ordinary variables. This will be true if the operator \( \mathcal{H} \) is the Hamiltonian for the system, with the \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s occurring in it changed to operators. In other words if \( \mathcal{H} \) is the Hamiltonian operator of Eq. (2.6.27), then Eq. (2.6.36) will correspond to the classical Eq. (2.6.25).

This can be double-checked, for if we set \( \mathbb{A} = p_m \) in Eq. (2.6.35) and use Eq. (2.6.9), again we obtain

\[
\dot{p}_m = \langle i\hbar \rangle [\mathcal{H}, p_m] = -\langle \partial \mathcal{H} / \partial q_m \rangle
\]

(2.6.37)

which corresponds to classical equation (2.6.26).

Thus we may conclude that the equations of motion of the operators in quantum mechanics have precisely the same form as their classical counterparts, with the classical quantities \( p \) and \( q \) replaced by their corresponding operators \( \hat{p} \) and \( \hat{q} \). For example, Newton's equation of motion becomes \( m(d^2q/dt^2) = -\langle \partial \mathcal{H} / \partial q \rangle \). By taking average values of any of these equations we see immediately that the classical Newtonian orbit is just the average of the possible quantum mechanical orbits. Stated in another way, the effect of the uncertainty principle is to introduce fluctuations away from the classical orbit. These average out. Of course, the average of the square of the fluctuations is not zero and is therefore observable, but in the limit of large energies the uncertainties become negligible, and quantum mechanics fades imperceptibly into classical mechanics. This statement is known as the correspondence principle.

Its counterpart in equations is the statement that in the limit the commutator \( \langle i\hbar \rangle [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] \) goes into the classical \( [A, B] \). Because of the correspondence between commutator and the Poisson bracket, it follows that any classical constant of the motion is also a quantum mechanical constant of the motion.

Of course we may wish to work with transformation functions instead of eigenvectors. These functions also change with time, and corresponding to Eq. (2.6.33) we have the time-dependent Schroedinger equation.
\[ H \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right) \psi(t,q) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t,q) \]  

(2.6.38)

where \( H(p,q) \) is the classical Hamiltonian and the time-dependent state vector is given by the integral

\[ \psi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(t,q) e^{i(q\xi)} dq \]

Of course for stationary states

\[ \hat{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \psi(E) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \psi(E) \]

so that

\[ \psi(E,t) = e^{iE(t)} \]

(2.6.39)

where \( E \) is an eigenvalue of the energy. Thus the time dependence for the stationary state is simple harmonic, with frequency equal to the value of the energy divided by \( \hbar \), so that the Planck equation \( E = \hbar \nu \), given in Eq. (2.6.1), is satisfied.

We have thus shown that the transformation function \( \psi(E,q) \) is the "wave function" which we spoke about at the beginning of this section. The square of its magnitude gives the probability density of the various configurations of the system, and integrals of the form \( \int \psi^* B(p,q) \psi dq \) give the average value of a sequence of measurements of the dynamical variable \( B(p,q) \) when the system is in the state corresponding to \( \psi \). This probability density and these average values are all that can be obtained experimentally from the system. For large systems, having considerable energy, the results correspond fairly closely to the precise predictions of classical dynamics, but for atomic systems the uncertainties are proportionally large and the results may differ considerably from the classical results.

We have also shown that these transformation functions have wave properties and exhibit interference effects which affect the probability density. The wave number of the wave in a given direction is equal to \( 1/\hbar \) times the component of the momentum in that direction, and the frequency of the wave is equal to \( 1/\hbar \) times the energy of the system, as pointed out in Eq. (2.6.1). Only by the use of the machinery of abstract vectors and operators and transformation functions is it possible to produce a theory of atomic dynamics which corresponds to the experimentally determined facts, such as the inherent uncertainties arising when atomic systems are observed.

**Time-dependent Hamiltonian.** Having discussed the case where time does not enter explicitly into the energy expression \( H \), where time turns out to be a parameter rather than an operator, let us now consider the case when \( H \) does depend explicitly on the time \( t \). In this case the
time used in describing the change of energy should be considered as an operator (just as are the coordinates) rather than as a convenient parameter for keeping track of the system's motion.

The distinction is clearer in the quantum mechanical treatment than in the classical treatment, for we can distinguish between the operator corresponding to time and the continuous distribution of its eigenvalues. Classically we shall make the distinction by letting the explicit time be \( q_t \), so that the total energy is a function of \( q_1 \cdots q_n \) and of the momenta \( p_1 \cdots p_n \), which we can indicate formally by \( H(q, p, q_t) \).

This function gives the proper classical equations of motion (2.6.25) and (2.6.26) for \( \dot{q}_1 \cdots \dot{q}_n \) and \( \dot{p}_1 \cdots \dot{p}_n \) but does not give a corresponding set of equations for \( q_t \). As a matter of fact we have not yet considered the conjugate momentum \( p_t \). It appears that we must modify the Hamiltonian function in the cases where \( H \) depends explicitly on time, so that the new Hamiltonian \( H(p, q; p, q_t) \) satisfies the equation

\[
\dot{q}_t = \frac{dq_t}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_t}
\]

But before we decide on the form of \( H \), we must decide on the meaning of \( \dot{q}_t \). Since \( q_t \) is the explicit time, we should expect that in classical dynamics it should be proportional to the time parameter \( t \) and in fact that \( dq_t/dt = 1 \). Consequently we must have that the new Hamiltonian \( \Theta \) is related to the total energy \( H(q, p, q_t) \) and the new momentum \( p_t \) by the equation

\[
\Theta(p, q; p, q_t) = H(q, p, q_t) + p_t \quad (2.6.40)
\]

Then the equations of motion are

\[
\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial p_m} = \dot{q}_m; \quad \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial q_m} = -\dot{p}_m; \quad m = t, 1, \ldots, n \quad (2.6.41)
\]

The total rate of change of \( \Theta \) with time (due to change of all the \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s with time) can be shown to be zero, for, using Eqs. (2.6.41), (2.6.25), and (2.6.26)

\[
\frac{d\Theta}{dt} = \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial q_t} \dot{q}_t + \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial p} \dot{p}_t + \sum_m \left[ \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial q_m} \dot{q}_m + \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial p_m} \dot{p}_m \right] = 0
\]

Therefore the new Hamiltonian is constant even though the total energy \( H \) changes explicitly with time. We may as well add enough to make the constant zero; \( \Theta = H + p_t = 0 \). This means that the quantity \( p_t \), the variable conjugate to the explicit time \( q_t \), is just the negative of the value of the total energy, \( p_t = -E \) (we write \( E \) because \( H \) is considered to be written out as an explicit function of \( q_t \) and the other \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s, whereas \( E \) is just a numerical value which changes with time). Therefore the explicit time is conjugate to minus the energy value.
The classical Poisson bracket expressions can also be generalized to include the new pair of variables,

\[(\nu, v) = \sum_{m} \left[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_m} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_m} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial p_m} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_m} \right]; \quad m = 1, \ldots, n\]

The Poisson bracket including the Hamiltonian may be evaluated by the use of Eqs. (2.6.41):

\[(\Theta, p) = \sum_{m} \left[ \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial p_m} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_m} - \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial q_m} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_m} \right]
= \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_m} \frac{\partial q_m}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_m} \frac{\partial p_m}{\partial t} \right] + \left[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_t} + \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial q_t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_t} \right] = \frac{dv}{dt} \quad (2.6.42)\]

since \(\partial v/\partial q_t = \partial v/\partial t\) and \(\partial \Theta / \partial q_t = 0\).

Introduction of the explicit time and its conjugate momentum into quantum mechanics is now fairly straightforward. We introduce the operator \(q_n\) having a nonenumerable, continuous sequence of eigenvalues \(t\), which can be used to specify the particular state which is of interest. The conjugate operator \(p_n\) has eigenvalues equal to minus the allowed values of the energy. These operators are on a pair with the operators for the different configuration coordinates and momenta. The commutator is

\([p_n, q_n] = \hbar / i\]

so that the corresponding uncertainty relation is \(\Delta E \Delta t \sim \hbar\). The operators \(p_n, q_n\) commute with all other \(p_i's\) and \(q_i's\). Equations (2.6.8) and (2.6.11) also hold for this pair.

The Hamiltonian operator \(\hat{\Theta}\) is now obtained by changing the \(p_i's\) and \(q_i's\) in the total energy function into the corresponding operators and the explicit time into the operator \(q_t\); then

\[\hat{\Theta} = \hat{H}(q, p, \Theta) + \hat{p}_t \quad (2.6.43)\]

The unitary operator transforming a state vector at time \(t\) to the state vector at time \(t'\) is \(\exp [(i/\hbar)\hat{\Theta}(t' - t)]\) [see Eq. (2.6.11)]. The equation of motion of a state vector \(\mathbf{e}\) is

\[\mathbf{\hat{S}} \cdot \mathbf{e}(t) = i\hbar \lim_{t \to t} \frac{\mathbf{e}(t + dt) - \mathbf{e}(t)}{dt} \quad (2.6.14)\]

as with Eq. (2.6.12), and the equation of motion for an operator \(\mathcal{A}\) is

\[\left[\mathbf{\hat{S}}, \mathcal{A}\right] = \left\langle \hbar / i \right\rangle (d\mathcal{A} / dt)\]

In particular

\[\hat{q}_t = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\mathbf{\hat{S}}, q_n\right] = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[p_n, q_n\right] = \hbar \mathcal{A}\]
where $\mathbf{S}$ is the constant operator which transforms every vector into itself (in Chap. 1 we called it the idemfactor).

We can now go to the properties of transformation functions for systems where the Hamiltonian varies explicitly with time. We still define the transformation function from $q$ to $E$ (often called the Schroedinger wave function) by the equation

$$e(t) = \int \psi(\mathbf{q}, t) \, dq, \quad dq, \quad e(q,t)$$

where $t$ is the eigenvalue for $q$, the eigenvalue for $q_m$, and $0$ is the eigenvalue for $\mathbf{S}$, the operator given in Eq. (2.6.43)

Just as was shown earlier that the operator $q_m$ operating on $e$ corresponded to the differential operator $(\hbar / i) \partial / \partial q_m$ operating on the transformation function, so here the operator $\mathbf{p}$ corresponds to the differential operator $(\hbar / i) \partial / \partial \mathbf{q}$ acting on $\psi$. The differential equation for $\psi$ corresponding to the vector equation $\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{e} = 0$ is

$$H \left( t, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right) \psi(t) + \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = 0 \quad (2.6.45)$$

This is called the time-dependent Schroedinger equation and is to be compared with Eq. (2.6.38), where we considered time as simply a parameter. As we see, it is a consistent extension of the earlier procedure for changing the classical equations for time-dependent Hamiltonian to a quantum mechanical equation for the wave function $\psi$. The quantity $|\psi|^2$ is the probability density for a given configuration at a given time. The mean value of the particle current density at any point would seem to be proportional to

$$e^* \cdot n \cdot e = \int \psi \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi \, dq, \cdots dq$$

except that this is not necessarily a real quantity. However, we can now calculate what the current is.

**Particle in Electromagnetic Field.** For instance, for a particle of charge $e$ (the electronic charge is $-e$) and mass $m$, moving through an electromagnetic field with potentials $\mathbf{A}$ and $\varphi$, the force on the particle [by Eq. (2.5.12) is $e(\mathbf{E} + (1/cm)\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{H})$] and the total energy (non-relativistic) of the particle is

$$H(p,q) = \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \right] + e\varphi$$

as will be shown in the next chapter (page 296). We substitute $(\hbar / i) \partial / \partial q$ for each $p$ in $H$ to obtain the differential equation for $\psi$. There is no ambiguity in order of the terms in $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}$; if div $\mathbf{A} = 0$, then proper order is $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}$. The resulting equation for $\psi$ is

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi - \frac{eh}{imc} \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \psi + \left[ \frac{e^2 A^2}{2mc^2} + e\varphi \right] \psi + \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (2.6.46)$$
As with Eq. (2.6.45) the imaginary quantity $i$ enters explicitly into the equation. This means that the equation for the complex conjugate $ar{\psi}$ is
\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \bar{\psi} + \frac{ie}{imc} \mathbf{A} \cdot \text{grad} \bar{\psi} + \left( \frac{e^2 \mathbf{A}^2}{2mc^2} + e\mathbf{F} \right) \bar{\psi} - \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial t} = 0
\]

If $e\bar{\psi}$ is to be the charge density $\rho$ for the electromagnetic equations, then the current density $\mathbf{J}$ must be such as to satisfy the equation of continuity $(\partial \rho/\partial t) + \text{div} \mathbf{J} = 0$. We use the equations for $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$ to determine $\mathbf{J}$. Multiplying the equation for $\psi$ by $\psi$ and the one for $\bar{\psi}$ by $\bar{\psi}$ and subtracting, we obtain
\[
\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\bar{\psi} \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \bar{\psi}) - \frac{ie}{mc} \mathbf{A} \cdot \text{grad} (\bar{\psi} \psi) + i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\bar{\psi} \psi) = 0
\]

But from the rules of vector operation we can show that
\[
\bar{\psi} \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \bar{\psi} = \text{div}(\bar{\psi} \text{grad} \psi - \psi \text{grad} \bar{\psi})
\]
and if $\text{div} \mathbf{A} = 0$, we have $\mathbf{A} \cdot \text{grad} (\bar{\psi} \psi) = \text{div} (\mathbf{A} \bar{\psi} \psi)$. Therefore
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\bar{\psi} \psi) + \text{div} \left[ \frac{ie}{2im} (\bar{\psi} \text{grad} \psi - \psi \text{grad} \bar{\psi}) - \frac{e^2}{mc} \mathbf{A} \bar{\psi} \psi \right] = 0
\]
and if $\rho = e\bar{\psi} \psi$, the current density turns out to be
\[
\mathbf{J} = \frac{e\hbar}{2im} (\bar{\psi} \text{grad} \psi - \psi \text{grad} \bar{\psi}) - \frac{e^2}{mc} \mathbf{A} \bar{\psi} \psi
\]
(2.6.47)

This expression is real, and since $\rho$ and $\mathbf{J}$ satisfy the equation of continuity, presumably they are the expressions to insert in Maxwell's equations for the charge current. We note that these expressions are only probability densities, not "true densities" in the classical sense. This result is, however, in accord with our new conception of what is observable; since the "actual" positions and momenta of individual electrons cannot be known accurately, the only available expressions for the densities must come from the wave function $\psi$. As indicated at the beginning of this section, they involve the square of the magnitude of $\bar{\psi}$, a characteristic of quantum densities and probabilities.

**Relativity and Spin.** The relationship between the four momentum operators for a single particle and the corresponding differential operators for use on the transformation function $\psi(y,t)$ (where the blank before the vertical bar indicates that $\psi$ could be for any eigenvector and eigenvalue),
\[
p_m \rightarrow (\hbar i)(\partial \cdot \partial q_m); \quad q_m = x, y, z, t
\]
(2.6.18)
is a four-vector relationship which can satisfy the requirements of special relativity. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation (2.6.46) is not Lorentz invariant, however, even for the case of free flight, where $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are zero. The space operators occur in the second derivatives, and the time operator occurs in the first derivative, and no combination of $p_x^a, p_y^a, p_z^a$, and $p_t = -E$ can be Lorentz invariant.
The difficulty, of course, lies in the fact that the expression we used for $H(p,q)$ for a particle in an electromagnetic field was not relativistically invariant but was simply the first approximation to the correct relativistic Hamiltonian. This quantity can be obtained by combining the four-vector $p_\mu$, $p_\nu$, $p_\alpha$, $-(i/c)H$ (see page 97) with the four-vector $A_\mu$, $A_\nu$, $A_\alpha$, $i\phi$ (see page 208) to form the Lorentz-invariant equation

$$
- \left( p_x - \frac{e}{c} A_x \right)^2 - \left( p_y - \frac{e}{c} A_y \right)^2 - \left( p_z - \frac{e}{c} A_z \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{c} H + \frac{e}{c} \phi \right)^2 = m^2 c^2 \tag{2.6.49}
$$

From this we can obtain the relativistic equations for the Hamiltonian:

$$H(p,q) = -\phi + c \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + p^2} - (2e/c)A \cdot p + (e/c)^2 A^2 \tag{2.6.50}$$

It is this function which should be converted into a differential operator to obtain the correct time-dependent Schroedinger equation.

This result, however, poses a more serious problem: how do we interpret an operator involving the square root of a second derivative? Conceivably we could expand the radical in a series involving increasing powers of $1/m^2 c^2$ (the Hamiltonian of page 254 is the first two terms of this expansion, with the constant $mc^2$ omitted), but this series will involve all the higher derivatives of $\psi$ and would be an extremely “untidy” equation even if it did happen to converge. A possible solution is to use Eq. (2.6.49) as it stands, remembering that $-(1/c)H$ is the fourth component of the momentum vector and should be replaced by $(\hbar/\imath) (\partial/\partial t)$. When the fields are zero, this results in the equation

$$\nabla^2 \psi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - \left( \frac{me}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi = 0 \tag{2.6.51}$$

which is the Klein-Gordon equation [see Eq. (2.1.27)].

This equation for the transformation function is relativistically invariant but has the disadvantage that, if $e|\psi|^2$ is the charge density, then the quantity given in Eq. (2.6.47) is not the current density. As a matter of fact the integral of $|\psi|^2$ over all space is no longer always a constant, as it is for a solution of Eq. (2.6.46), so that it is not clear that $e|\psi|^2$ is the charge density. We shall defer to the next chapter the determination of the correct expressions for $\rho$ and $J$; it is only necessary to state here that the Klein-Gordon equation is not the correct one for electrons or for any particle with spin.

The time-dependent Schroedinger equation (2.6.46) is fairly satisfactory for particles moving slowly compared with the speed of light, but it does neglect two items: relativity and spin. We know that the electron has a spin and have discussed in Secs. 1.6 and 1.7 the properties of spin operators. These spin operators correspond to an additional degree of freedom for the electron, with, presumably, a new coordinate.
and momentum. We could, therefore, compute a transformation function including this new coordinate if we wished and obtain expressions for the spin operator in terms of differentiation with respect to this coordinate. Since the rules for operation of the spin operator are fairly simple, it is usually easier to keep the state vector.

The function used is therefore a mongrel one, consisting of a transformation function for the space and time components and the state vector \( a \) for the spin part. The total state vector is thus expanded as follows:

\[
e(E, s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(E|q_1 \cdots q_n) e(q_1 \cdots q_n) a(s) \, dq_1 \cdots dq_n
\]

where \( s \) is one or the other of the two eigenvalues \( \pm \hbar / 2 \) for the spin operator \( \mathcal{S} \) and \( a \) is one of the spin vectors defined in Eq. (1.6.44). Therefore if we have a Hamiltonian (nonrelativistic) which includes the spin operator \( \mathcal{S} \) as well as the \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s and time, the hybrid wave-function spin vector is \( \Psi = \psi_+([g, \lambda]) a(\hbar / 2) + \psi_-([g, \lambda]) a(-\hbar / 2) \), and the equation is

\[
H \left( t, \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, q, \mathcal{S} \right) \Psi + \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = 0
\]

which corresponds to Eq. (2.6.45). The average value of the quantity \( B(p, q, \mathcal{S}) \) the state denoted by \( \psi \) is then

\[
\int \cdots \int \Psi B \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, q, \mathcal{S} \right) \Psi \, dq_1 \cdots dq_n
\]

where the \( \mathcal{S} \) part of the operator works on the spin vectors \( a \) and the differential operators work on the wave functions \( \psi \).

But this solution still does not give us a particle wave function which includes spin and also is relativistic. To attain this we turn to the spinor operators discussed in Sec. 1.7. The unit vectors \( \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_4 \) defined in Eq. (1.7.17) provide operators which behave like components of a four-vector. They operate on state vectors \( e \) which have only two different directions, one corresponding to the \( z \) component of spin equal to \( \hbar / 2 \) and the other corresponding to it being \( -\hbar / 2 \) (the direction of the \( z \) axis is arbitrary). A suggestion for the Lorentz-invariant wave equation with spin is to form a scalar product of the four-vector \( p, p_z, p_r, p_\theta \), \( p_\theta / c = -E/c \) with the four-vector spin operator. Since a scalar product of two four-vectors is Lorentz invariant, we shall thus obtain a wave equation which has a first derivative with respect to time [as with Eq. (2.6.45) but not (2.6.51)] and which is also relativistic [as in Eq. (2.6.51) but not in (2.6.45)]. We should expect to set up an equation of continuity with such an equation so we can determine a charge and current density [as we did in Eq. (2.6.47) for solutions of Eq. (2.6.45)].
The simplest form for such an equation would be that the scalar product of the four vectors \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{p} \) operating on a spinor \( \mathbf{e} \) would equal a constant times \( \mathbf{e} \), or for a wave function \( \Psi \), consisting of two functions of position multiplied by the two spin vectors, as before, we should have

\[
\frac{\hbar}{i} \left[ \mathbf{e}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \mathbf{e}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \mathbf{e}_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right] \Psi = \left[ \text{constant} - \frac{\hbar}{i c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] \Psi
\]

since \( \mathbf{e}_4 = 0 \) and \( \mathbf{E} \) becomes \(-\frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}}\) for the wave function. The only difficulty is that the vector \( \mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) \) is an axial vector (see page 104) whereas the gradient is a true vector, so that the quantity in brackets on the right-hand side should be a pseudoscalar (see page 11) changing sign for a reversal of sign of the coordinates. It is extremely difficult to see what fundamental constant we could find which would be a pseudoscalar, so difficult, in fact, that we are forced to look for a less simple form which will circumvent our need for a pseudoscalar.

Such a less simple form consists of a pair of equations

\[
(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{e} = \left[ a + \frac{E'}{c} \right] \mathbf{f}; \quad (\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{f} = \left[ b + \frac{E'}{c} \right] \mathbf{e}
\]

where \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) are different state vectors. By eliminating \( \mathbf{f} \) and using Prob. 1.33, we discover that \( b = -a \); then \( a \) can be a true scalar, not a pseudoscalar, and \( \mathbf{e} \) is different from \( \mathbf{f} \). As a matter of interest this pair of equations is analogous to the equations

\[
\text{curl } \mathbf{H} = (1/c)(\partial E/\partial t); \quad \text{curl } \mathbf{E} = -(1/c)(\partial \mathbf{H}/\partial t)
\]

for the electromagnetic field in free space. There again, we could not have described the electromagnetic field in terms of just one vector (say \( \mathbf{E} \)) using a curl operator on one side and a time derivative on the other. For in the attempted equation

\[
\text{curl } \mathbf{E} = a(\partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t)
\]

the curl operator changes sign when we change from right- to left-handed systems, whereas \( \partial/\partial t \) does not. Therefore \( a \) would have to be a pseudoscalar, which would be just as distressing for electromagnetics as it is with the wave equation for \( \mathbf{e} \).

We can consider \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) to be vectors in the same two-dimensional spin space, related by the equations above, just as we consider \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) to be vectors in the same three space. But since \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) are independent vectors (in the same sense that \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) are) and since spin space is not quite so "physical" as three space," it is usual to consider \( \mathbf{f} \) to be in another spin space, perpendicular to that for \( \mathbf{e} \). In other words, we set up a four-dimensional spin space, with unit vectors \( \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4 \) all mutually perpendicular, and we ensure that \( \mathbf{e} \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) be mutually independent by making \( \mathbf{e} \) a combination of \( \mathbf{e}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{e}_2 \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) a combination of \( \mathbf{e}_3 \) and \( \mathbf{e}_4 \).
In this representation the change from \(e\) to \(f\) represents a rotation from one subspace to the other, which can be represented by an operator \(\mathbf{\gamma}\) such that \(\mathbf{\gamma} \cdot e = f\) and \(\mathbf{\gamma} \cdot f = c\). Likewise the change from \(a\) to \(a\) in the earlier pair of equations may be expressed in operator form by \(\mathbf{g}_0\), such that \(\mathbf{g}_0 e = e\) and \(\mathbf{g}_0 f = -f\). In terms of this representation the two equations written down above can now be condensed into one equation:

\[
\mathbf{\gamma} \cdot (A \cdot \mathbf{p}) e = \left[-g_0 a + (E/c)\right] e \tag{2.6.52}
\]

where \(e\) stands for either \(e\) or \(f\).

We must now extend our operator definitions of the spin operator \(A\) to four-space, and these definitions, together with the detailed ones for \(a, g_0, \) and \(a - c \cdot d\), are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_x e_1 &= e_2; & \delta_x e_2 &= e_1; & \delta_x e_3 &= e_4; & \delta_x e_4 &= e_3; \\
\delta_y e_1 &= i e_2; & \delta_y e_2 &= -i e_1; & \delta_y e_3 &= i e_4; & \delta_y e_4 &= -i e_2; \\
\alpha_x e_1 &= e_3; & \alpha_x e_2 &= -e_3; & \alpha_x e_3 &= -e_2; & \alpha_x e_4 &= e_3; \\
\rho e_1 &= e_3; & \rho e_2 &= e_4; & \rho e_3 &= e_1; & \rho e_4 &= e_2. \\
\end{align*}
\]

(2.6.53)

We notice that the operator \(\rho\) commutes with the operators \(\delta_x, \delta_y, \delta_z, \alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z\) but that \(\rho_0 + \rho \phi = 0\). The operator \(\rho_0\) therefore commutes with the \(\delta\)'s but does not commute with the \(\alpha\)'s. In tabular form these operators become:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; & \delta_y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\
\delta_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; & \gamma &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\
\rho_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_0; & \alpha_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\
\alpha_y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; & \alpha_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};
\end{align*}
\]

where we shall use the symbols \(\alpha_0\) and \(\rho_0\) interchangeably from now on.
In terms of these operators we can set up the operator equation
(2.6.52) to operate on some vector \( \mathbf{e} \), a combination of the four-unit
vectors \( e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \), as follows:

\[
[p(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{A}] \cdot \mathbf{e} = (E/c) \mathbf{e} \quad \text{or} \quad \left[ \epsilon_0 \mathbf{v}_x + \epsilon_0 \mathbf{v}_y + \epsilon_0 \mathbf{v}_z + \epsilon_0 \mathbf{A} \right] \cdot \mathbf{e} = (E/c) \mathbf{e}
\]

(2.6.54)

We must now "square" this equation to obtain a form analogous to
Eq. (2.6.49).

**The Dirac Equation.** When the electromagnetic field is zero, Eq.
(2.6.49) becomes

\[
[p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + m^2c^2] = (p_0c)^2
\]

Taking Eq. (2.6.54) and squaring the operators on both sides (and remembering that \( \alpha_i \alpha_j \) must not equal \( \alpha_i \alpha_j \), etc.), we obtain

\[
\left[ \left( \alpha_0 \mathbf{p}_x + \alpha_1 \mathbf{p}_y + \alpha_2 \mathbf{p}_z + \mathbf{p}_0 \mathbf{A} \right) \cdot \mathbf{e} \right] = (p_0c)^2 \mathbf{e}
\]

To have this correspond to Eq. (2.6.49) as written above means that

\[
\alpha_0^2 = \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^2 = \alpha_3^2 = \alpha_0 \mathbf{p}_0 = 1; \quad \alpha_0 \mathbf{v}_y + \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_x = \cdots = \alpha_0 \mathbf{v}_0 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{v}_3 = 0
\]

and

\[
\alpha = mc
\]

An examination of Eqs. (2.6.53) indicates that the operators defined there
do obey the requirements of Eqs. (2.6.53), so that we have finally arrived
at a relativistic equation for a single particle of mass \( m \) which has a first-order
term in the operator for \( E \) (or \( p_0 \)). To obtain it we have been
forced to extend our "spin space" from two to four dimensions. Two
of these spin states \( (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \) correspond to a term + \( mc^2 \) in the total energy,
and the other two correspond to a term - \( mc^2 \), a negative mass energy.
We know now that the negative energy states are related to the positron,
a particle of opposite charge but the same mass as the electron.

The wave equation for a single particle of charge \( e \) and mass \( m \)
in an electromagnetic field operates on a wave-function, spin-vector
combination

\[
\psi = \psi_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \psi_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \psi_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \psi_4 \mathbf{e}_4
\]

\[
\mathbf{\psi} = \mathbf{\psi}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^* + \mathbf{\psi}_2 \mathbf{e}_2^* + \mathbf{\psi}_3 \mathbf{e}_3^* + \mathbf{\psi}_4 \mathbf{e}_4^*
\]

(2.6.56)

where the \( \psi \)'s are functions of \( x, y, z, \) and \( t \) and the \( \mathbf{e} \)'s are orthogonal
unit vectors in spin space. The equation, which is called the Dirac
equation, is

\[
\left[ \alpha_0 mc \mathbf{e} + \alpha_y \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{e}{c} A_y \right) + \alpha_y \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{e}{c} A_y \right) \right] \psi = \left[ \alpha_0 mc \mathbf{e} + \alpha_x \left[ \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{e}{c} A_z \right] + \alpha_y \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{e}{c} A_y \right) \right] \psi
\]

\[
+ \alpha \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{e}{c} A_z \right) + \alpha_x \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{e}{c} A_z \right) \right] \psi = - \frac{\hbar}{ic} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi
\]

(2.6.57)
where the operator $a$ is a vector of components $a_x, a_y, a_z$ and where $a_0 = q_0$. The $a$ operators obey the rules set forth in Eqs. (2.6.53) and (2.6.55). The equation for $\Psi$ is obtained by reversing the signs of all the $i$'s in Eq. (2.6.57).

We must now see if all this work has resulted in an equation which will allow sensible expressions for charge-current density. It would seem reasonable that the charge density would be

$$\rho = e \bar{\Psi} \Psi^* = e(|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 + |\psi_3|^2 + |\psi_4|^2)$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.6.58)

carrying on as before, multiplying the equation for $\Psi$ by $\bar{\Psi}$ and the equation for $\bar{\Psi}$ by $\Psi$ and subtracting, we obtain

$$-(\partial/\partial t)(\bar{\Psi} \Psi^*) = c \bar{\Psi} \alpha \cdot \text{grad} \Psi^* + \Psi \alpha \cdot \text{grad} \Psi = c \text{div} (\bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi^*)$$

Therefore the vector whose components are

$$c \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi^* = c\bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi + \Psi \alpha \Psi$$

$$c \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi^* = -ic\bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi + \Psi \alpha \Psi = J_x$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.6.59)

$$c \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi^* = c\bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi - \Psi \alpha \Psi = J_y$$

$$c \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi^* = c\bar{\Psi} \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi + \Psi \alpha \Psi = J_z$$

is the current density vector $J$.

It is interesting to note that, whereas the momentum density for the particle is $\bar{\Psi}_p \Psi = (\hbar/c) \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi$, the velocity density seems to be $c \bar{\Psi} \alpha \Psi$. This can be shown in another way. We use the Hamiltonian equation $\partial H/\partial p = \dot{q} = 0$ on Eq. (2.6.50) (we leave out the fields for simplicity and assume that the $x$ axis is along $p$ or $w$). Then

$$u = \frac{cp}{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2c^2}} \quad \text{or} \quad p = \frac{mu}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}}$$

[see Eq. (1.7.5)] and

$$H = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}} = u \cdot p + \sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2} mc^2$$

Comparing this classical equation for the total energy of the free particle (relativistic) with Eq. (2.6.51), we see that the vector operator $ce$ takes the place of the particle velocity $u$ and the operator $a_0$ takes the place of $\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}$ when we change to the Dirac equation.

The transformations of the operators $a$, the spin vectors $e$, and the wave function $\Psi$ for a Lorentz rotation of space-time or for a space rotation can be worked out from the data given in Sec. 1.7. For instance, if the transformation corresponds to a relative velocity $u = c \tanh \theta$ along the $x$ axis, the $p'_x$ and $A'_x$ transform as four-vectors:

$$p_x = p'_x \cosh \theta + (1 + p_y/c \sinh \theta)$$

$$p_y = p'_y$$

$$p_z = p'_z \cosh \theta + cp'_y \sinh \theta$$

The spin vectors $e$ are transformed according to the formula $e' = g \cdot e$, where
where
\[ g = e^{\theta \alpha / 2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{\theta \alpha}{2} \right)^n = \cosh \frac{\theta}{2} + \alpha \sinh \frac{\theta}{2} \]
since \( \alpha^2 = 1 \). The conjugate operator \( g^* \), such that \( e = g^* \cdot e' \), is equal to \( g \) in this case. Therefore the new wave function is
\[ \Psi' = g \Psi = \cosh(\theta/2) [\psi_1 e_1 + \psi_2 e_2 + \psi_3 e_3 + \psi_4 e_4] + \sinh(\theta/2) [\psi_1 e_1 + \psi_2 e_2 + \psi_3 e_3 + \psi_4 e_4] \quad (2.6.60) \]
The operators \( \alpha \) are transformed according to the formula \( g^* \cdot \alpha \cdot g = \alpha' \). For symmetry we set \( \alpha_0 = \bar{3}, \bar{c}, \) [see Eq. (1.7.17)] where \( \bar{3} \) is the idemfactor. We then have
\[ \begin{align*}
\alpha_0' &= \alpha_0 \cosh \theta + (1/c) \alpha_0 \sinh \theta \\
\alpha_1' &= \alpha_1 \cosh \theta + c \alpha_1 \sinh \theta \\
\alpha_2' &= e^{\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2} e^{\theta \alpha / 2} = e^{\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2} e^{\theta \alpha / 2} = \alpha_0 \\
\alpha_3' &= \alpha_1; \quad \alpha_0' = \alpha_0
\end{align*} \]
so that the \( \alpha \)'s (\( \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \)) transform like a four-vector. Therefore the scalar product of the \( \alpha \)'s with the momentum four-vector is a Lorentz invariant, so that
\[ \sum_{x,y} \alpha_0 p_x = [\alpha_0 p_x + \alpha_0 p_y + \alpha_0 p_z + \alpha_0 p_t] = \sum_{x,y} g^* \alpha_0 p_x' = \sum_{x,y} g^* \alpha_0 p_t' \]
Therefore the equation in unprimed coordinates can be changed as follows:
\[ 0 = \left[ \sum_{x,y} \alpha_0 \left( p_x - \frac{c}{c} A_x \right) + \alpha_0 mc \right] \Psi = \left[ \sum_{x,y} g^* \alpha_0 \left( p_x' - \frac{c}{c} A_x' \right) + g^* \alpha_0 mc \bar{g} \right] \Psi' = g^* \left[ \sum_{x,y} \alpha_0 \left( p_x' - \frac{c}{c} A_x' \right) + \alpha_0 mc \right] \Psi' \]
which is the equation in the primed coordinates.
For a rotation in space by an angle \( \theta \) about the \( x \) axis the rotation operator for the \( e \)'s and \( \alpha \)'s is, approximately enough,
\[ g = -e^{\alpha_0 \bar{3} \alpha / 2}; \quad g^* = e^{\alpha_0 \bar{3} \alpha / 2} \quad (2.6.61) \]
and the transformation equations are
\[ \begin{align*}
p_x &= p_x'; \quad p_y = p_y'; \quad p_z = p_z' \cos \theta + p_y' \sin \theta \\
p_z &= -p_y' \sin \theta + p_x' \cos \theta; \quad e' = ge; \quad \alpha' = g^* \alpha \bar{g}
\end{align*} \]
More complex rotations can always be made up by a series of rotations of the types discussed here; the corresponding rotation operators \( g \) are just
the products of the individual $q$'s for the component simple rotations, taken in the right order.

**Total Angular Momentum.** As an exercise in use of the operators $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{\delta}$ we shall show that, when there is no electromagnetic field, the total angular momentum of the particle is not just the mechanical momentum $\mathbf{M}$ [see Eq. (1.6.42)] but is a combination of $\mathbf{M}$ and the spin vector $\mathbf{\delta}$. In other words we must include the spin of the particle in order to obtain the constant of the motion which we call the total angular momentum. Referring to Eq. (2.6.35) we see that for a constant of the motion represented by the operator $\mathbf{K}$ we must have

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{\delta} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{\delta} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is the Hamiltonian operator.

In the present case, with the potentials $\Lambda$ and $\varphi$ equal to zero, we have for the Hamiltonian, according to Eq. (2.6.57),

$$\mathbf{H} = \alpha \omega mc^2 + c(\alpha_x p_x + \alpha_y p_y + \alpha_z p_z)$$

The operator for the $z$ component of the mechanical angular momentum is $\mathbf{M}_z = \mathbf{p}_z - \mathbf{\omega}_z$. We form the commutator $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}_z - \mathbf{M}_z \mathbf{H}$ to show that it is not zero. Now the $\alpha$'s commute with the $\mathbf{p}$'s and the coordinates, the $\mathbf{p}$'s commute with each other, so that the only term in $\mathbf{H}$ not commuting with the first term of $\mathbf{M}_z$ is $c\alpha_z \mathbf{p}_z$ and the only term not commuting with the second is $c\alpha_z \mathbf{p}_z$ giving

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}_z - \mathbf{M}_z \mathbf{H} = -c\alpha_z \mathbf{p}_z (\mathbf{p}_z - \mathbf{\omega}_z) + c\alpha_z \mathbf{p}_z (\mathbf{p}_z - \mathbf{\omega}_z)$$

However, $\mathbf{p}_z - \mathbf{\omega}_z = (\hbar \mathbf{i})$, etc., so that this expression comes out to be

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}_z - \mathbf{M}_z \mathbf{H} = -(\hbar \mathbf{i}) (\mathbf{p}_z - \mathbf{\omega}_z)$$

which is certainly not zero, so that $\mathbf{M}_z$ is not a constant of the motion.

By using the operator rules of Eqs. (2.6.53) we can show that [compare with Eqs. (1.6.43)]

$$\begin{align*}
(\alpha_x)^2 &= (\alpha_x)^2 = (\alpha_y)^2 = (\alpha_z)^2 = 1; \\
\alpha_x \alpha_y &= -\alpha_y \alpha_x = i\alpha_z; \\
\alpha_x \alpha_z &= -\alpha_z \alpha_x = i\alpha_y; \\
\alpha_y \alpha_z &= -\alpha_z \alpha_y = i\alpha_x.
\end{align*}$$

Also, since the operator $\mathbf{p}$ commutes with the $\alpha$'s and since $\alpha = \mathbf{p} \mathbf{a}$, we can obtain other equations, such as

$$\begin{align*}
\alpha_x \alpha_x &= \mathbf{a}_x \mathbf{a}_x = \mathbf{a}_z; \\
\alpha_x \alpha_z &= -\alpha_z \alpha_x = i\mathbf{a}_y; \\
\alpha_y \alpha_z &= -\alpha_z \alpha_y = i\mathbf{a}_x; \\
\end{align*}$$

Therefore we can show that

$$\mathbf{K} + \alpha \mathbf{\omega} = (\mathbf{p}_z - \mathbf{\omega}_z)$$

Comparing the commutators for $\mathbf{M}_z$ and $\mathbf{\delta}$, we see that the combination $[\mathbf{M}_z, (\hbar/2m) \mathbf{\omega}]$ does commute with $\mathbf{K}$ and hence is a constant of the motion. This is also true of the $x$ and $y$ components.
Therefore the total angular momentum, which is a constant of the
motion, is the mechanical angular momentum \( \mathbf{\Omega} \) plus \( \hbar \) times the
spin vector \( \mathbf{\alpha} \).

**Field-free Wave Function.** As another example we shall obtain the
wave function when no field acts. For the field-free case the Dirac
equation (2.6.57) takes on the form

\[
\alpha \beta m \Psi^* + \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{\alpha} \cdot \text{grad} \Psi^* = - \frac{i}{\hbar c} \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t}
\]

A solution of this equation is

\[
\Psi^* = [A_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + A_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + A_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + A_4 \mathbf{e}_4] e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} / m c^2}
\]

(2.6.63)

where the \( A_i \)'s are numerical coefficients, the radius vector \( \mathbf{r} = x \mathbf{i} + y \mathbf{j} + z \mathbf{k} \), the vector \( \mathbf{p} = p_x \mathbf{i} + p_y \mathbf{j} + p_z \mathbf{k} \) is a classical momentum vector
with components \( p_x \), \( p_y \), \( p_z \) which are constants, not operators, and the
number \( E \) is the magnitude of the electronic energy for the state designated by \( \Psi^* \).

Inserting this in the Dirac equation, performing the differential
operations and the spinor operations according to Eqs. (2.6.53), we
finally obtain (we have set \( p_x = p_y = 0 \), \( p_z = p \) with no loss of generality),

\[
[(mc^2 - E)A_1 + cp A_3] \mathbf{e}_1 + [(mc^2 - E)A_2 - cp A_4] \mathbf{e}_2
+ [(-mc^2 - E)A_3 + cp A_1] \mathbf{e}_3 + [(-mc^2 - E)A_4 - cp A_2] \mathbf{e}_4 = 0
\]

This requires the four coefficients of the vectors \( \mathbf{e}_i \) to be zero, which results
in four homogeneous equations in the four coefficients \( A_i \), two involving \( A_1 \) and \( A_3 \) and two involving \( A_2 \) and \( A_4 \). Both can be solved if the equation
\( B^2 = m^2 c^4 + c^2 p^2 \) is satisfied. Therefore there are four solutions:

As corresponding to the energy value

\[
E = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 + (p/mc)^2} \quad \text{for} \quad p < mc
\]

which are

\[
\Psi_1 = C[(1 + \gamma) \mathbf{e}_1 + (1 - \gamma) \mathbf{e}_3] e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} / mc^2}
\]

\[
\Psi_2 = C[(1 + \gamma) \mathbf{e}_1 - (1 - \gamma) \mathbf{e}_3] e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} / mc^2}
\]

(2.6.64)

and the other two corresponding to the energy value

\[
E = +mc^2 \gamma \quad \text{as} \quad \beta \to 0
\]

which are

\[
\Psi_3 = C[(1 + \gamma) \mathbf{e}_1 + \beta \mathbf{e}_3] e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} / mc^2}
\]

\[
\Psi_4 = C[(1 + \gamma) \mathbf{e}_1 - \beta \mathbf{e}_3] e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} / mc^2}
\]

where \( \beta = (p, mc) \) and \( \gamma = \sqrt{1 + \beta^2} \) and \( C \) is a normalization constant.

The functions \( \Psi_1 \) and \( \Psi_2 \) correspond to a spin \( \frac{1}{2} \), \( \frac{1}{2} \) equal to \( \pm \hbar/2 \)
(because $\psi_0 = \psi_1$, etc.), and $\psi_2$ and $\psi_4$ correspond to a spin of $-1/2$.

**Recapitulation.** In this chapter we have endeavored to outline the basic connections between various phenomena in classical and quantum physics and the various sorts of fields discussed in Chap. 1. The connection usually can be represented, to a certain degree of approximation, by a differential equation specifying the point-to-point behavior of the field which is to describe a particular physical phenomenon. In the case of classical physics we found that we had to average over atomic discontinuities; in quantum physics we found that the uncertainty principle precluded our “going behind” the continuous “square-root-probability” wave function; the discontinuous details of the elementary particle trajectories turn out to be impossible to measure or predict. In either case we are left with a continuous field—scalar, vector, or dyadic —subject to a partial differential equation and specified uniquely by some set of boundary conditions (or initial conditions or both).

We have seen the same fields and the same differential equations turn up in connection with many and various physical phenomena. We find, for instance, that a scalar-held solution of Laplace’s equation can represent either the electric field around a collection of charges or the density of a diffusing fluid under steady-state conditions or the velocity potential of a steadily flowing incompressible fluid or the gravitational potential around a collection of matter, and so on. From the point of view of this book, this lack of mathematical originality on the part of nature represents a great saving in effort and space. When we come to discuss the solutions of one equation, we shall be simultaneously solving several dozen problems in different parts of physics.

We have not gone in great detail into the physics of the various examples discussed in this chapter; this book is primarily concerned with working out solutions of the equations once they are derived. Other books, concentrating on various parts of physics, are available for detailed study of the physics involved. In the case of quantum mechanics, for instance, we have resisted the temptation to go beyond the bare outlines of the new point of view in dynamics. Only enough is given so that later, when solutions of Schroedinger’s or Dirac’s equations are studied, we shall be able to understand the physical implications of the solutions. More than this would make the section a text on quantum mechanics—a supererogative task at present.

It is true that more time was spent discussing the equations of quantum mechanics than was spent on the equations for classical fields. These newer equations are less familiar, and thus they have provided a chance to demonstrate what procedures must be used when carving out new field equations to describe new phenomena. The classical field equations have withstood the scrutiny of several generations of workers,
and the logical structure of the relation to "reality" has become "second nature" to physicists. In quantum mechanics we have not quite completed the process of rationalization transforming an unfamiliar equation which "works" into a logically justified theory which is "obvious to anyone."

A new equation for the description of new phenomena is seldom first obtained by strictly logical reasoning from well-known physical facts; a pleasingly rigorous derivation of the equation usually is evolved only about the time the theory becomes "obvious." The first finding of the equation usually comes by the less deductive paths of analogy and "working backward" and by the constant use of a modern counterpart to Occam's razor. In the Dirac equation, for instance, we were held to a certain general form of equation because it was likely that the equation should be relativistically invariant, and we searched for the simplest equation which would result in "sensible" (i.e., not redundantly complex) expressions for charge, current, and other measurable quantities. The result may not appear very simple at first sight, but a few days of prospecting by the reader (or of reading back issues of journals for the days when the Dirac equation was being put together) should suffice to demonstrate that it is much easier to construct equations of greater complication than it is to find one more simple.

Among the general principles which can be used to point the direction for search for a new equation, the requirement of invariance, particularly of Lorentz invariance, is one of the most valuable. But there are many others. One usually looks first for linear equations, for instance, and the Laplacian operator often turns up.

When an equation has been devised, all the related quantities must be investigated to see whether they can satisfactorily "correspond" to various physical quantities. There should usually be an energy density, for instance, and the quantity chosen to correspond should not turn out to have the annoying property of becoming negative somewhere or sometime. We used the choice of charge and current density expressions and the requirement that they satisfy the equation of continuity to guide us in our choice of the Dirac equation. A formal machinery to obtain these subsidiary quantities is the variational method, which will be discussed in the next chapter. Once these quantities are ground out, it is then possible to decide whether they are too complicated or not.

Another useful means of testing an equation which has just been devised is to find another aspect of physics where the same equation can be applied. The properties of the solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation could be tried out by devising a string-in-rubber system (see page 130) which satisfied the same equation and which could be pictured more easily than a wave function, because the motions of a string are familiar. Analogies of this sort occur throughout theoretical physics and represent
a sort of cross-fertilization which is most useful. The early analysis of
alternating electric currents was greatly aided by the analogy with more
familiar mechanical oscillators. Now that "everyone knows about"
alternating currents, we are prone to discuss other sorts of vibrational
and wave motion (even mechanical oscillators) in terms of an analogy
with alternating-current behavior, speaking of impedances, capacitances,
and so on.

In the next chapter we discuss in detail an analogy between field
behavior and the variational properties of classical dynamics, as developed
by Hamilton. We shall find it a useful unifying agent for all the equa-
tions we have discussed in this chapter (as well as others).

Problems for Chapter 2

2.1 A membrane is stretched over one end of an airtight vessel so
that both the tension $T$ in the membrane and the excess pressure $p$
of the air in the vessel act upon the membrane. If $\psi$ gives the displace-
ment of the membrane from equilibrium, show that

$$p = -(\rho c^2/V) \int \nabla^2 \psi \, dA$$

where $\rho$, $V$, and $c$ are the equilibrium values of the density, volume, and
velocity of sound of the air in the vessel. Show that the equation of
motion for the membrane is therefore

$$(1/v^2)(\partial^2 \psi/\partial t^2) = \nabla^2 \psi - \rho c^2/VT \int \psi \, dA$$

where $v^2 = T/\sigma$, where $T$ is the tension and $\sigma$ is the mass per unit area
of the membrane. What assumptions have been made in obtaining
this equation?

2.2 An infinite, elastic medium has piezoelectric properties for com-
pression in the $x$ direction and electric field in the $y$ direction (for dis-
placement $s$ in the $x$ direction, the electric intensity $E$ and displace-
ment vector $D$ are in the $y$ direction). The dielectric polarization $P$, also in
the $y$ direction, is related to $D$ and $E$ by the usual equation $D = E + 4\pi P$
and is related to the stress component $X = T_{xx}$ and $E$ by the coupling
equation $P = \delta X + \chi E$, where $\chi$ is the dielectric susceptibility and $\delta$
the piezoelectric constant. Alternately the strain $S_{xx} = u$ is related to
the stress and to the electric intensity by the equations $u = \sigma X + \delta E$, where $\sigma$ is the reciprocal of the elastic modulus. By use of the elastic
equations and Maxwell's equations, set up the two simultaneous equa-
tions for compressional wave motion in the $x$ direction. Show that two
electroelastic waves are possible, one with velocity a little smaller than
that for pure compressional waves (value if $\delta$ were zero) and the other a
little larger than that for pure electromagnetic waves.
2.3 During the passage of a sound wave through matter, the temperature of the regions under compression is greater than the average temperature, while in the regions under expansion the temperature will be less than the average. These temperature differences will give rise to a flow of heat from one part of the material to another.

a. Show that the equations governing the heat flow and sound propagation are

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{c_p \rho_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla T) \]
\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\kappa}{c_v \rho_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \rho) + \nabla T \]

where the subscript zero is used to denote equilibrium values.

b. Assume that \( T \) and \( \rho \) propagate as plane waves

\[ T = A \exp \{ i(kx - \omega t) \}, \quad \rho = B \exp \{ i(kx - \omega t) \} \]

Show that the relation between \( k \) and \( \omega \) is given by

\[ 0 = i(c_p \rho_0)\left[ \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \omega^2 \right] 
- \left[ \omega^2 - \frac{c_p \rho_0}{k^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial t} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho_0}{\partial x^2 \partial t} \right\} \right] \]

Determine the velocity of propagation for \( \kappa \cdot c_p \rho_0 \ll 1 \), for \( \kappa \cdot c_p \rho_0 \gg 1 \). Discuss the propagation when \( \kappa \cdot c_p \rho_0 \sim 1 \).

2.4 A conducting fluid (electrical conductivity \( \sigma \), permeability \( \mu \)) in motion will generate a magnetic field, which in turn will affect the motion of the fluid. Show that the equations coupling the velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) and the magnetic induction \( \mathbf{B} \) are

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \text{curl} (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + \left( \frac{c^2}{4 \pi \mu_0} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \]
\[ \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \left( \frac{c^2}{4 \pi \mu_0} \right) [\mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})] \]

2.5 When dissolved in an appropriate solvent, many salts break up into positive and negative ions. Under the influence of an electric field these will diffuse. Show that the equations describing the motion of the positive ions, assuming that these move in a viscous medium with their terminal velocity, is

\[ \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} = D_1 \nabla^2 c_1 + \nabla Q \frac{\text{div} (c_1 \text{grad} \varphi)}{\varphi} \]

where \( c_1 \) is the concentration, \( D_1 \) the diffusion constant, \( B_1 \) the ratio between the terminal velocity and the applied force, \( Q \) the ionic charge, and \( \varphi \) the electrostatic potential. Show that \( \varphi \) satisfies the equation

\[ \nabla^2 \varphi = -4\pi (F, e) (c_1 - c_2) \]

where \( F \) is Faraday's constant.

2.6 Particles of microscopic size are found to have a random motion, called Brownian motion, arising from molecular collisions. Let the
number of particles at a time \( t_0 \) having a position between \( x_0 \) and \( x_0 + dx_0 \), a velocity between \( v_0 \) and \( v_0 + dv_0 \) be \( f(x_0, v_0, t_0) \) \( dx_0 \) \( dv_0 \). Let the fraction of these particles which, in a time \( \tau \), are found in the region between \( x \) and \( x + dx \) with a velocity \( v + dv \) be \( w(\Delta x, \Delta v, t | x_0, v_0, t_0) \) \( dx \) \( dv \), where \( \Delta x = x - x_0 \), \( \Delta v = v - v_0 \).

\[ f(x, v, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(\Delta x, \Delta v, t | x_0, v_0, t_0) f(x_0, v_0, t_0) \, dx_0 \, dv_0 \]

b. Show that, for small \( \tau \), \( \Delta x \), and \( \Delta v \),

\[ \frac{\partial f(x, v, t_0)}{\partial t_0} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{f \Delta x}{\tau} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left( \frac{f \Delta v}{\tau} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{f \Delta x^2}{\tau} \right) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \left( \frac{f \Delta v^2}{\tau} \right) \]

where \( \overline{\Delta x} = \Delta x(x, v, t, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta x \, w(\Delta x, \Delta v, t | x, v, t_0) \, dx_0 \, dv_0 \) with corresponding definitions for the other average quantities.

c. If the particles move in a viscous medium and if the molecular collisions are random, \( \overline{\Delta x} = -\alpha \overline{\Delta v} \) and \( \overline{\Delta v^2} = \Lambda \tau \), where \( \alpha \) and \( \Lambda \) are constants. Show that in the limit of small \( \tau \),

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (vf) + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (vf) + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v^2} \]

d. Show that under steady-state conditions

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, v) \, dx = F_0 e^{-\lambda v} \]

Show that the average of the \( n \)th power of the velocity defined by

\[ \overline{v^n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^n f(x, v) \, dx \, dv \]

satisfies the differential equation

\[ \frac{d\overline{v^n}}{dt} = -n\alpha \overline{v} + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda n(n - 1) \overline{v^{n-2}} \]

2.7 a. Two operators \( a \) and \( a^* \) obey the following commutation rule:

\[ a a^* - a^* a = 1 \]

Show that the eigenvalues of the operator \( a^* a \) are 0, 1, 2, 3, ... . If the corresponding states are \( e_n \), show that

\[ a e_n = \sqrt{n} e_{n-1}; \quad a^* e_n = \sqrt{n + 1} e_{n+1} \]
b. Two operators \( a \) and \( a^* \) obey the following commutation rule:
\[
aa^* + a^*a = 1
\]
Also \( aa = 0 \), \( a^*a^* = 0 \). Show that the eigenvalues of \( a^*a \) are only 0 or 1. If \( e_0 \) and \( e_1 \) are corresponding states, show that
\[
a^*e_0 = e_1; \quad a^*e_1 = 0; \quad ae_0 = 0; \quad ae_1 = e_0
\]

2.8 An electron moves in the coulomb field of a nucleus of charge \( Z \).

a. Prove that if
\[
r = [x^2 + y^2 + z^2]^\frac{1}{2}
\]
then the appropriate conjugate momentum \( p_r \) is
\[
p_r = (1/r)(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p} - i\hbar)
\]
b. Show that the Hamiltonian for the electron may be written
\[
\hat{H} = (1/2m)p_r^2 + (\hat{r} \cdot 2mr^2)^2 - (Ze^2/r)
\]
where \( \hat{r} \) is the angular momentum operator.

c. Determine the energy values \( E_n \) for an electron having a given angular moment \( l \) using the following method. Find an operator \( \mathfrak{A}(r) \) such that
\[
(p_r + i\mathfrak{A})(p_r - i\mathfrak{A})e_{nl} = \left(2m\hat{\mathfrak{H}} + \frac{m^2e^2Z^2}{r^2}\right)e_{nl}
\]
\[
(p_r - i\mathfrak{A})(p_r + i\mathfrak{A})e_{nl} = \left(2m\hat{\mathfrak{H}} - \frac{m^2e^2Z^2}{r^2}\right)e_{nl}
\]
Hence show that \( E_{nl} \) is independent of \( l \), that for a given \( E_{nl} \) there is a maximum \( l \) which we shall call \( n - 1 \). Express \( E_{nl} \) in terms of \( n \).

2.9 Show that under the transformation
\[
e = \exp(-i\hat{\mathfrak{H}}/\hbar)t
\]
The Schrödinger equation
\[
(\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_0 + \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_1)e = i\hbar(\partial e/\partial t)
\]
becomes
\[
\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_1(t)f = i\hbar(\partial f/\partial t)
\]
where
\[
\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_1(t) = \exp(i\hat{\mathfrak{H}}t/\hbar)\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_1 \exp(-i\hat{\mathfrak{H}}t/\hbar)
\]
Show that
\[
f = uf_0
\]
where
\[
u = 1 + (1/i\hbar)\int_{-\infty}^{t} \hat{\mathfrak{H}}(t') dt' + (1/i^2\hbar^2)\int_{-\infty}^{t} \hat{\mathfrak{H}}(t') dt' \int_{-\infty}^{t} \hat{\mathfrak{H}}(t'') dt'' + \cdots
\]
where \( f_0 \) is time independent. Relate \( f_0 \) to the solutions of
\[
\hat{\mathfrak{H}}e_0 = i\hbar(\partial e_0/\partial t)
\]
2.10 Decompose the solution \( e \) of the Dirac wave equation as follows:

\[
e = f + g; \quad f = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_0)e; \quad g = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_0)e
\]

Show that

\[
f^* \cdot g = 0
\]

and that

\[
(E + eV + mc^2)f = c[a \cdot (p + eA/c)]g;
\]

\[
(E + eV + mc^2)g = -c[a \cdot (p + eA/c)]f
\]

Show, for positive energy states and \( eA \) and \( eV \) small compared with \( mc^2 \), that \( g^* \cdot g \ll f^* \cdot f \).

2.11 Define a set of four states \( e_i \) which satisfy the Dirac equation for a particle at rest:

\[
(\alpha_0 mc^2)e_i - E_\psi e_i
\]

Show that the four solutions of the Dirac equation for a particle of momentum \( p \) are

\[
[c(a \cdot p) + \alpha_0 (mc^2 + |E|)]e_i
\]

where \( E^2 = c^2p^2 + m^2c^4 \).

---

Standard Forms for Some of the Partial Differential Equations of Theoretical Physics

- Laplace Equation: \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \)
  - Vector Form: \( \text{curl} (\text{curl} \ A) = 0; \quad \text{div} \ A = 0 \)
  - Eq. No.: (1.1.4), (2.3.6)

- Poisson Equation: \( \nabla^2 \psi = -4\pi \rho \)
  - Vector Form: \( \text{curl} (\text{curl} \ A) = 4\pi J; \quad \text{div} \ A = 0 \)
  - Eq. No.: (1.1.5), (2.1.2), (2.5.2)

- Helmholtz Equation: \( \nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0 \)
  - Vector Form: \( \text{curl} (\text{curl} \ A) - k^2 A = 0; \quad \text{div} \ A = 0 \)
  - Eq. No.: (2.1.10)

- Wave Equation: \( \Box^2 \psi = \nabla^2 \psi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = 0 \)
  - Vector Form: \( \text{curl} (\text{curl} \ A) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^3 A}{\partial t^2} = 0; \quad \text{div} \ A = 0 \)
  - Eq. No.: (2.1.9), (2.2.2)

- Diffusion Equation: \( \nabla \psi = \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \)
  - Vector Form: \( \text{curl} (\text{curl} \ A) + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = 0; \quad \text{div} \ A = 0 \)
  - Eq. No.: (2.4.4), (2.3.19)
Klein-Gordon Equation: $\Box \psi = \mu^2 \psi$ \hspace{2cm} (2.1.27)

Vector Form: curl (curl $\mathbf{A}$) + $\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$ + $\mu^2 \mathbf{A} = 0$; \hspace{1.5cm} div $\mathbf{A} = 0$ \hspace{1cm} (Proc. Equation) \hspace{1.5cm} (2.5.37)

Maxwell's Equations: div $\mathbf{B} = 0$; \hspace{0.5cm} div $\mathbf{D} = 4\pi \rho$

\[ \text{curl } \mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{c} 4\pi \mathbf{J}; \hspace{1cm} \text{curl } \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \] \hspace{1cm} (2.5.11)

\[ \mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}; \hspace{1cm} \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \]

Electromagnetic Potential Equations:

\[ \Box^2 \psi = -4\pi \rho \psi; \hspace{1cm} \Box^2 \mathbf{A} = -4\pi \mu \mathbf{J} \] \hspace{1cm} (2.5.16)

\[ \mathbf{B} = \text{curl } \mathbf{A}; \hspace{1cm} \mathbf{E} = -\text{grad } \psi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}; \hspace{1cm} \text{div } \mathbf{A} = -\frac{\varepsilon_0}{c} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \]

(For the forms of these equations for other gauges, see pages 207 and 332.)

Elastic Wave Equation (isotropic media):

\[ \rho \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial t^2} = \nabla \cdot \left[ \lambda \nabla s + \mu (\nabla \mathbf{s} + \mu (\nabla \mathbf{s}) + \mu (\nabla \mathbf{s}) \right] \]

\[ = (\lambda + 2\mu) \text{ div } \mathbf{s} - \mu \text{ curl } \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{s} \] \hspace{1cm} (2.2.1)

Viscous Fluid Equation:

\[ \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{v}) = \nabla \cdot \left[ -(p + \gamma \text{ div } \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{I} + \eta (\nabla \mathbf{v}) \right] \]

\[ + \eta (\nabla \mathbf{v}) \] \hspace{1cm} (2.3.14)

where $\lambda = \frac{2}{3} \eta - \gamma$.

Schrödinger Equation for single particle of mass $m$ in potential $V$:

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V \psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}; \hspace{1cm} |\psi|^2 \text{ is probability density} \] \hspace{1cm} (2.6.38)

Dirac Equation for electron in electromagnetic field:

\[ \alpha \beta m \dot{\psi} + \alpha \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \text{ grad } \psi + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \psi \right) + \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - e \phi \psi \right) = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (2.6.57)

\[ \dot{\psi} = \sum_{\psi} \varepsilon \psi; \hspace{1cm} \dot{\psi} \text{ is probability density} \]
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CHAPTER 3

Fields and the Variational Principle

The use of superlatives enables one to express in concise form a general principle covering a wide variety of phenomena. The statements, for instance, that a straight line is the shortest distance between two points or that a circle is the shortest line which encloses a given area, are deceptively simple ways of defining geometrical entities. To say that electric current distributes itself in a network of resistors so that the least power is converted into heat is a description of direct-current flow which encompasses many individual cases without the use of mathematical complexities (though the complexities inevitably intrude when the general principle is applied to the individual case). The statement that a physical system so acts that some function of its behavior is least (or greatest) is often both the starting point for theoretical investigation and the ultimate distillation of all the relationships between facts in a large segment of physics.

The mathematical formulation of the superlative is usually that the integral of some function, typical of the system, has a smaller (or else larger) value for the actual performance of the system than it would have for any other imagined performance subject to the same very general requirements which serve to particularize the system under study. We can call the integrand $L$; it is a function of a number of independent variables of the system (coordinates, field amplitudes, or other quantities) and of the derivatives of these variables with respect to the parameters of integration (velocities or gradients of fields, etc.). If the variables are $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$, the parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ and the derivatives $\partial \varphi_i/\partial x_s = \varphi_{is}$, then the integral which is to be minimized is

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_{x_1}^{x_m} \cdots \int_{x_n}^{x_m} L \left( \varphi, \partial \varphi_i/\partial x, x \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1.1)

From the minimization of this function we can obtain the partial differential equations governing the $\varphi$'s as functions of the $x$'s and many other things. This process of obtaining the $\varphi$'s is called the variational method.

In the present chapter we shall first indicate in a general way how
the variational method can be used to obtain equations for the variables involved, then discuss in more detail the variational principles of classical dynamics, because they provide a well-explored example of this technique and its utility; and then we shall proceed to apply the method to the various types of fields which are to be studied in this book.

3.1 The Variational Integral and the Euler Equations

The integrand \( L \) of the integral to be minimized (or maximized) will be called the Lagrange density of the system. It is a function of functions of the basic parameters of the system. In the case of classical dynamics, for instance, the parameter is the time and the functions are the coordinates and velocities, at various times, of the configuration of the system as it moves in conformity with the applied forces and the initial conditions; in the case of fields the basic parameters are the coordinates locating every point where the field is to be measured, and the functions are the various components of the field and their gradients, which are determined, as functions of these coordinates, by the distribution of the various "sources" (or charges) in space and by the boundary conditions.

Thus when we require that the integral of \( L \) should be minimized (or maximized), we mean that the functions in terms of which \( L \) is expressed (the coordinates and velocities or fields and gradients) are to be adjusted, at every value of the parameters, so that the integral of \( L \) has the least possible value. We wish so to adjust the functions \( \varphi \) that the integral of \( L \), a function of the \( \varphi \)'s and \( \partial \varphi / \partial x \)'s, is as small as it can become, subject to the conditions imposed by the state of the system.

In order to solve the problem we must first make the step from a variational requirement on an integral of \( L \) to a set of equations which determine the best values of the functions \( \varphi \).

The Euler Equations. Before we can make even this step we must be more specific about what we mean by "minimizing the integral" and "best values of the functions." To do this, suppose we arbitrarily choose a functional form for each of the functions \( \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \), as functions of the parameters \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \). This arbitrary choice will, of course, fix the form of the functions \( \varphi_{rs} = \partial \varphi / \partial x_s \) and therefore will determine the value of the integral \( \mathcal{L} \) given in Eq. (3.1.1). Now let us change the \( \varphi \)'s a little bit; for the function \( \varphi_r \) suppose the change to be expressed by the term \( \epsilon \eta_r \), where \( \eta_r \) is an arbitrary function of the parameters and \( \epsilon \) is a small quantity, independent of the parameters. The shorthand notation \( \delta \varphi \) is often used instead of \( \epsilon \eta_r \), where \( \delta \varphi \) is considered to be an arbitrary small "variation" of the function \( \varphi \). This modification of the \( \varphi \)'s will also result in a change in the components \( \varphi_{rs} \) of the gradients. These are related to the changes in the \( \varphi \)'s, for \( \partial \epsilon \eta_r / \partial x_s = \epsilon \eta_{rs} \). In the
shorthand variational notation, this relation is represented as \( \delta \varphi_r = \partial \varphi_r / \partial x_r \).

By using a Taylor’s series expansion of \( L \) we can show that the first-order change in the integral \( \mathcal{L} \) due to the small changes of the \( \varphi \)'s can be written

\[
\delta \mathcal{L} = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_{a_m}^{b_m} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \epsilon_r \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_r} \eta_r + \sum_{s=1}^{m} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_{rs}} \frac{\partial \eta_r}{\partial x_s} \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_m
\]

We assume that the parameters are so chosen that the limits of integration can all be constants and that all the \( \eta \)'s go to zero at these limits, which would be true, for instance, if the limits coincided with physical boundaries where certain boundary conditions are imposed on the \( \varphi \)'s. This situation is usually the case, so we shall confine ourselves here to its study; the more general case, where limits are all varied, will be touched upon later.

The term \( (\partial L/\partial \varphi_r)(\partial \eta_r/\partial x_r) \) can be integrated by parts over \( x_r \), giving

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_r} \eta_r \right]_{a_r}^{b_r} - \int_{a_r}^{b_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_r} \right) \eta_r dx_r.
\]

The first term is zero, since \( \eta_r = 0 \) at \( a_r \) and \( b_r \). Therefore the first-order variation of \( \mathcal{L} \) is

\[
\delta \mathcal{L} = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \cdots \int_{a_m}^{b_m} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \epsilon_r \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_r} - \sum_{s=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_{rs}} \right) \right] \eta_r dx_1 \cdots dx_m \quad (3.1.2)
\]

If \( \delta \mathcal{L} \) is not zero, \( \mathcal{L} \) cannot be a maximum or minimum. When \( \delta \mathcal{L} \) is zero, no matter what (small) values the \( \epsilon_r \)'s have, then the functional forms of all the \( \varphi \)'s have been chosen so that \( \mathcal{L} \), as a function of the \( \epsilon_r \)'s, has either a minimum or a maximum or a point of inflection for \( \epsilon_r = 0 \).

Usually we can tell from the physical situation which of these cases is true; if we are not sure, it is always possible to calculate the second-order terms in the \( \epsilon_r \)'s in the Taylor’s series expansion of \( \mathcal{L} \) to see whether they are positive, negative, or zero. To save space from here on we shall use the terms “minimize” and “minimum” when we mean “minimized or maximized or minmaxed” and “minimum or maximum or point of inflection.”

Therefore in order that \( \mathcal{L} \) have its extreme value (maximum or minimum), the functional form of the \( \varphi \)'s must be chosen so that the coefficient of each of the \( \epsilon_r \)'s in the integral for \( \delta \mathcal{L} \) is zero. This results in a set of equations for the desired behavior of the \( \varphi \)'s:

\[
\sum_{s=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_{rs}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_r}; \quad r = 1, \ldots, n \quad (3.1.3)
\]
where \( \varphi_\pi = \partial \varphi / \partial x \). These equations, which serve to determine the optimum functional form of the \( \varphi \)'s, are called the Euler equations. We shall use these equations extensively later in this chapter.

Several general comments should be made concerning these results. In the first place, if the variational principle is to be of general validity, then \( \mathcal{L} \) should be an invariant, and the density \( L \), or \( L \) divided by the scale factors coming into the element of integration, should be invariant to coordinate transformation of the parameters of integration. This will be of use later in finding new Lagrange densities.

A still more general comment is that the variational principle is generally useful in unifying a subject and consolidating a theory rather than in breaking ground for a new advance. It usually happens that the differential equations for a given phenomenon are worked out first, and only later is the Lagrange function found, from which the differential equations can be obtained. This is not to minimize the importance of finding the Lagrange density \( L \), for it is of considerable utility to find what physical quantity must be minimized to obtain the differential equations for the phenomena, and the form of the variational equations often suggest fruitful analogies and generalizations.

**Auxiliary Conditions.** In many cases the Lagrange integral is to be minimized (or maximized) subject to some one or more additional requirements further restricting the independent variables and parameters. In this case we use the method of Lagrange multipliers to obtain the modified answer. Just how these multipliers work can best be shown by an example.

Suppose that the function \( f(x,y) \) is to be maximized. If there are no auxiliary conditions, we solve the two equations

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0
\]  
(3.1.4)

simultaneously. The resulting pair (or pairs) of values of \( x \) and \( y \), \((x_0,y_0)\), specify the point (or points) at which \( f \) has a maximum, minimum, or saddle point, and the value \( f(x_0,y_0) \) is the value of \( f \) at this maximum or minimum. Here the function is of two variables, and the two equations (3.1.4) are needed to obtain a pair of values \((x_0,y_0)\) for the maximum or minimum. A typical case is pictured in Fig. 3.1, where the function \( f \) is depicted in terms of contour lines.

But suppose that we wish to find the maximum of \( f(x,y) \) along the line...
given by the auxiliary equation \( y = y_a(x) \). This line does not usually run through the point \((x_0, y_0)\), so the solution cannot be the same. There may be one or more points along the line, however, where \(f(x, y)\) has a maximum (or minimum) value, such as the point \((x_1, y_1)\) shown in Fig. 3.1. This may be computed by inserting the expression for \(y\) in terms of \(x\) into the form for \(f\), which gives the value of \(f\) along the line as a function of the single parameter \(x\). We then differentiate with respect to \(x\) to find the maximum value,

\[
\frac{d}{dx}f(x, y_a(x)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{d}{dx} [y_a(x)] = 0 \tag{3.1.5}
\]

The position of the maximum is then the solution \(x_1\) of this equation and the related value \(y_1 = y_a(x_1)\).

However, we can solve this same problem by a method which at first sight appears to be different from and more complicated than the one resulting in Eq. (3.1.5). Suppose the auxiliary equation is \(g(x, y) = 0\). We first introduce a third unknown, \(\lambda\), and then maximize the new function \(f + \lambda g\), subject to the relation \(g = 0\). In other words we are to solve the three equations

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = 0; \quad g = 0 \tag{3.1.6}
\]

simultaneously to determine the proper values for \(x, y, \) and \(\lambda\).

It is not immediately apparent that the solution of Eqs. (3.1.6) is identical with the solution of Eq. (3.1.5), but the connection becomes clearer when we write the auxiliary equation \(g(x, y) = 0\) in the form used above, \(y_a(x) - y = 0\). Then the first two of Eqs. (3.1.6) are

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda \left( \frac{dy_a}{dx} - 1 \right) = 0; \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0
\]

Substituting the second of these into the first gives us

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{dy_a}{dx} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0
\]

which is just Eq. (3.1.5). Therefore in this simple case the method of Lagrange multipliers gives us the same result as the straightforward method. This is true in general. In this simple case it appears to be a more cumbersome method than the use of Eq. (3.1.5), but in more complex cases it turns out to be an easier method.

As applied to the variational integral of Eq. (3.1.1) the method of Lagrange multipliers can be stated as follows: Suppose that the Lagrange density is \(L(\varphi, \psi, \varphi, \psi, \ldots)\), \((e = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\), \((\tau = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\), and the auxiliary equations are

\[
\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \cdots \int_{x_m}^{x_n} C_t \left( \varphi, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_m = C \tag{3.1.7}
\]

where \(t = 1, 2, \ldots, k\) \((k < m)\) and where the \(C\)'s are constants.
Then the modified variational integral is

\[ \mathcal{L}' = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} L' \left( \varphi, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}, x \right) \, dx_1 \, dx_m \]

where

\[ L' = L(\varphi, \varphi, p) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \delta(\varphi, p, x) \]  

(3.1.8)

Then the new Euler equations,

\[ \sum_{a=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_a} \left( \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \varphi_a} \right) = \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \varphi} \]  

(3.1.9)

plus the \( k \) equations (3.1.7) serve to determine the \( \varphi \)'s as well as the values of the \( \lambda \)'s. In this case the Lagrange multiplier method is definitely the easier.

### 3.2 Hamilton’s Principle and Classical Dynamics

In classical dynamics the parameter is time \( t \) and the functions \( \varphi \) in the Lagrange function are the coordinates \( q \) which specify the configuration of the system at each time. If the system has \( n \) degrees of freedom, we can choose \( n \) independent \( q \)'s \( (q_1, \ldots, q_n) \) which will completely specify the configuration; the corresponding velocities will be \( \dot{q}_r = dq_r/dt \). No matter how the \( q \)'s are chosen, the kinetic energy of an inertial system always turns out to be a quadratic function of the \( \dot{q} \)'s:

\[ T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s} \alpha_{rs} \dot{q}_r \dot{q}_s \]  

(3.2.1)

where the \( \alpha \)'s may be functions of the \( q \)'s. If the system is conservative (i.e., has a total mechanical energy which is constant in time), then the external force on the system can be represented in terms of the gradient of a scalar potential function \( V \), so that the equivalent force along the \( q_r \) coordinate is

\[ F_r = -\left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_r} \right) \]  

(3.2.2)

The potential energy may depend on time explicitly, but it is not a function of the \( \dot{q} \)'s.

When the system is conservative, the variational principle determining the equations of motion, called Hamilton’s principle, uses the kinetic potential (see page 229) \( T - V \) as the Lagrange function and is

\[ \delta \int_{a}^{b} (T - V) \, dt = 0 \]  

(3.2.3)
This states that for any actual motion of the system, under the influence of the conservative forces, when it is started according to any reasonable initial conditions, the system will move so that the time average of the difference between kinetic and potential energies will be a minimum (or in a few cases, a maximum).

Lagrange's Equations. The Euler equations for the coordinates for this case,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{\partial(T - V)}{\partial \dot{q}_r} \right] = \frac{\partial(T - V)}{\partial q_r}; \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{3.2.4}
\]

are called Lagrange's equations of motion for the system. The left-hand terms represent the accelerations of the system, and the right-hand terms are the corresponding forces, derived from the potential energy \(V\), plus the "kinetic forces" (such as centrifugal force) due to the motions. When the forces are not conservative, so that there is no potential energy, the variational principle is

\[
\int_0^t \left[ \dot{q} T + \sum_{r=1}^n F_r \delta q_r \right] dt = 0
\]

and Lagrange's equations are

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_r} \right) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_r} - F_r, \tag{3.2.5}
\]

Lagrange's equations are among the most generally useful equations of classical dynamics.

The kinetic and potential energies are scalar invariants to coordinate transformations, so that they can be expressed in terms of any complete set of coordinates, and Lagrange's equations will have the same form in each case. In each case the quantity

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_r} (T - V) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_r} = p_r
\]

is called the momentum for the \(r\)th coordinate. Lagrange's equations can be written

\[
\frac{dp_r}{dt} - \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_r} = \begin{cases} 
-(\partial V/\partial q_r); & \text{if the system is conservative} \\
F_r; & \text{in general}
\end{cases}
\]

and if we use rectangular coordinates for the \(q\)'s, so that \(T\) does not depend on the \(q\)'s but only on the \(\dot{q}\)'s, the equations reduce to the familiar Newton's equations

\[
(d^2/dt^2)(\text{momentum}) = \text{force}
\]

Consequently Hamilton's principle represents, in simple invariant form, all the equations of classical dynamics.
Energy and the Hamiltonian. When the system is conservative, a function of the coordinates and momenta which remains constant throughout the motion of the system is the total energy \( E \), the sum of kinetic and potential energy.

When this is expressed in terms of the coordinates \( q \) and the momenta \( p \), it is called the Hamiltonian function \( H \) for the system. Since the Lagrange function \( L \) is \( T - V \) and the energy \( E \) is \( T + V \), the two can be related by the equation \( E = 2T - L \). Therefore we can express the variational principle as \( \delta \int (2T - E) \, dt = 0 \). From this we can obtain equations relating the Hamiltonian to the velocity and acceleration. Although these are just other forms of the same old equations of motion, their form turns out to be particularly well adapted for translation into quantum language.

First we must translate \( (2T - E) \) into functions of \( q \) and \( p \) instead of \( q \) and \( \dot{q} \). As shown earlier the momentum \( p_r \) is obtained by differentiating the kinetic energy \( T \) with respect to \( \dot{q}_r \). Once we know \( p_r \) as a function of \( \dot{q}_r \), it is not hard to eliminate the \( \dot{q}_r \) from \( L \) and \( T \). From Eq. (3.2.1) we see that

\[
p_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ir} \dot{q}_i
\]

\[
2T = \sum_{r=1}^{n} p_r \dot{q}_r = L + H
\]

(3.2.6)

This equation enables us to answer a question which we have so far ignored: whether \( H \) can be expressed only in terms of the \( q \)'s and the \( p \)'s (as defined in Eq. 3.2.6) with no dependence on \( \dot{q} \) left over. To demonstrate, we use the equation \( H = \sum p \dot{q} - L \), where \( L \), in general, cannot be expressed in terms of just \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s but can be expressed in terms of just \( q \)'s and \( \dot{q} \)'s. We now make a small change in the \( q \)'s, \( p \)'s, and \( \dot{q} \)'s.

\[
dH = \sum p \, dq + \sum \dot{q} \, dp - \sum (\partial L/\partial \dot{q}) \, dq - \sum (\partial L/\partial q) \, dq
\]

But by definition, \( p = \partial L/\partial \dot{q} \), so that \( dH = \sum \dot{q} \, dp - \sum (\partial L/\partial q) \, dq \).

Therefore the total change in \( H \) is given in terms of changes of the \( q \)'s and \( p \)'s; so we have proved that \( H \) can be expressed as a function of the \( q \)'s and \( p \)'s alone (unless \( L \) depends on \( t \) explicitly, when \( \dot{H} \), \( \dot{dt} = -\partial L/\partial \dot{t} \) and \( H \) is a function of the \( q \)'s, of the \( p \)'s, and of \( t \)).

When the energy is expressed in terms of the \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s (and \( t \), if necessary), we call it the Hamiltonian \( H \). The variation of \( \int L \, dt \) becomes

\[
\delta \int_{t_a}^{t_b} (2T - H) \, dt = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} \left[ p_r \delta \dot{q}_r + \dot{q}_r \delta p_r - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_r} \delta q_r - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_r} \delta p_r \right] dt
\]
where the $\delta q$'s and $\delta p$'s represent the variations of the quantities $q$ and $p$ from the values taken on along the actual path (corresponding to the quantities $q_t$ used earlier). Integrating the terms $p_r \delta q_r = p_r (d \delta q_r / dt)$ by parts, we can finally separate the variation of the integrand into a part due to the variation of the $q$'s and another part due to the variation of the $p$'s,

$$\delta \int L \, dt = \int_a^b \sum_{r=1}^n \left[ \left( -\dot{p}_r - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_r} \right) \delta q_r + \left( \dot{q}_r - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_r} \right) \delta p_r \right] \, dt = 0$$

Since we are assuming that we can vary the $p$'s independently of the $q$'s, each of the parentheses must be zero, and we arrive at the alternate form of the equations of motion

$$\dot{q}_r = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_r}; \quad \dot{p}_r = -(\partial H / \partial q_r) \quad (3.2.7)$$

which are called Hamilton's canonical equations. They have been used a number of times in the previous chapter (see pages 229 and 242). We shall return again to use them later in this chapter.

It is not difficult to see that the Hamiltonian function of the $p$'s and $q$'s is independent of time. For the time rate of change of $H$ is

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{dp}{dt}$$

which is zero according to Eqs. (3.2.7) unless $H$ depends explicitly on the time. Any Hamiltonian for which the canonical equations hold is thus a constant of the motion for the system. Note that this equation states that for conservative systems the total change of $H$ with time is zero. In some cases $H$ may depend explicitly on $t$; in these cases the variation of $H$ with $t$ due to changes of the $q$'s and $p$'s is still zero, and the total change $dH/dt$ is equal to the explicit change $\partial H / \partial t$ which is, incidentally, equal to $-(\partial L / \partial t)$, as we have already seen.

**Impedance.** In Chap. 2 (page 128) we introduced the concept of mechanical impedance, the ratio between a simple harmonic driving force $F_0 e^{-i\omega t}$ and the corresponding velocity. If the system is a linear one, this ratio is independent of the amplitude of motion and is a function of $\omega$ and of the constants of the system. As we shall see in the next chapter, the use of the impedance function enables us to transform a problem from one of studying response as a function of time to one of studying impedance as a function of frequency. Often the latter problem is a simpler one.

At any rate the canonical equations (3.2.7) enable us to approach the concept of impedance from a new direction. We first note that, if an external force $F_x$ is applied to the coordinate $q_x$, then the canonical
equations become
\[ \dot{q}_r = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{q}_r}; \quad \ddot{q}_r + \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_r} \right) = F_r \]

We now see that the canonical equations have broken the second-order Lagrange equations into two simultaneous first-order equations of precisely the form required to compute the impedance (if the system is of such a form that an impedance function has meaning). For the ratio of applied force \( F_r \) to velocity \( \dot{q}_r \), for the \( r \)th coordinate is just
\[ Z_r = \frac{\dot{p}_r + \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_r} \right)}{\left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{q}_r} \right)} \]

From this new point of view we can imagine ourselves learning the fundamental properties of a system by "probing" it with oscillating forces. To each coordinate in turn we apply such a force, and we measure the ratio between force and coordinate velocity. If this ratio is independent of amplitude, then it can be used to reconstruct the system. Alternately, if we know the nature of the Lagrange function for the system, we can compute the impedance for each of its coordinates. We assume that \( q_r = A_r e^{i \omega t} \) (in Chap. 2 and many times later in the book, we use the exponent \( e^{i \omega t} \) to represent simple harmonic motion; here and in Chap. 4, we shall be considering the behavior of \( Z \) for all values of \( \omega \), both positive and negative and imaginary, so we might as well compute it first for the positive exponential). The equation \( p_r = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}_r \) enables us to compute \( p_r \) as a function of the \( \dot{q}_r \) and therefore as a function of the exponential \( e^{i \omega t} \) and of the amplitudes \( A_r \). Therefore the derivatives \( \partial H / \partial p_r \) and \( \partial H / \partial q_r \) can be expressed in this form, and eventually the ratio \( Z_r \). When this ratio turns out to be independent of the \( A \)'s and of time, it is an impedance.

When the potential energy of the system has a minimum value for a certain set of values of the coordinates \( q \), we can set the origin of coordinates at this minimum, and for sufficiently small values of displacement away from this origin, the potential energy can be expressed as a quadratic function of the \( q \):
\[ V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} b_{mn} q_m q_n + V_{\text{min}} \quad (3.2.8) \]

analogous to Eq. (3.2.1). Sometimes this minimum is not an absolute one but depends on a "dynamical equilibrium." For instance we may find that one of the \( p \)'s (say \( p_n \)) must be a constant: that is, \( \partial H / \partial q_n = 0 \). It is then possible to eliminate \( q_n \) from the equations and consider the constant \( p_n \) as a property of a system with one fewer coordinates, with possible additional terms in the potential energy which depend on \( p_n \) and are "caused" by the motion of constant momentum (they can be called dynamic potential energies). This new system may have equilib-
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rium points where the "dynamic" forces are just balanced by the "true" forces, and then again the new potential energy takes on the form of Eq. (3.2.8) near the equilibrium, where some of the $u$'s depend on the constant $p_n$ (which is no longer considered as a momentum).

For displacements of the system from equilibrium (dynamical or otherwise) which are sufficiently small, therefore, the Hamiltonian function $H$ is a quadratic function of the $p$'s and $q$'s. The expression equal to the applied force $F_r$ is

$$p_r + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_r} = \sum_m \left[ a_{rm}q_m + b_{rm}q_m \right]$$

This can be written in simpler form in abstract vector space. We say that the component of the displacement vector $q$ along the $r$th coordinate is $q_r$ and the corresponding component of the force vector $F$ is $F_r$. The equation relating the force, displacement, and acceleration vectors is then

$$F = \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{q} + \mathbf{B} \cdot q$$

where the components of the dyadics $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are $a_{mn}$ and $b_{mn}$, respectively. If, now, the vector $F$ is simple harmonic with frequency $\omega/2\pi$, it can be represented by the vector $F^0e^{i\omega t}$ and the steady-state velocities $\dot{q}$ can be represented by the vector $Ue^{i\omega t}$, where the components $U_r$ of $U$ are complex numbers with magnitude equal to the amplitude of the velocity of the $r$th coordinate.

In this case we can write the previous equation in the form

$$F^0 = \mathbf{B} \cdot U \quad (3.2.9)$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}(\omega) = i\omega\mathbf{A} - (i/\omega)\mathbf{B}$$

is called the impedance dyadic for the system near the equilibrium point under study. Therefore the impedance concept always has meaning sufficiently near to points of equilibrium of the system (if there are such). The diagonal element $Z_{nn}$ is called the input impedance for the $n$th coordinate, and the nondiagonal element $Z_{nm}$ is called the transfer impedance coupling the $m$th and $n$th coordinates. It is always possible (see page 30) to make a transformation to the principal axes of $\mathbf{B}$, to normal coordinates $q^*_n$, so that all the transfer impedances are zero and the diagonal elements $Z^*_n(\omega)$ are the principal values of the impedance. This transformation may be different for different values of $\omega$. One can also express the displacements $q = A^e e^{i\omega t}$ in terms of $\mathbf{B}$ and $F^0$:

$$F = i\omega \mathbf{B} \cdot A = (-\omega^2\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \cdot A$$

where $|A_m|$ is the amplitude of motion of $q_m$.

This transformation to normal coordinates is a special case of the rotation of axes in abstract vector space. The new coordinates $q'$ are
related to the old ones \( q \) by the equation

\[
q'_r = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \gamma_{rm} q_m; \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{m=1}^{n} \gamma_{rm} \gamma_{sm} = \delta_{rs}
\]

In other words the abstract vector operator, with elements \( \gamma_{rm} \), is a unitary dyadic. The quantities \( \gamma_{rm} \) are then the generalizations of the direction cosines of page 22. For a rotational transformation they are independent of the \( q \)'s. As we showed on page 61, the sum of the diagonal terms of the dyadic \( \mathcal{S} \) is invariant under rotation:

\[
|\mathcal{S}| = \sum_{m=1}^{n} Z_{mm} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} Z'_m
\]

as is also the determinant of the components of \( \mathcal{S} \).

\[
\Delta_\mathcal{S} = [Z_{mn}] = Z'_1(\omega) Z'_2(\omega) \cdots Z'_n(\omega)
\]

It is also useful to compute the dyadic \( \mathcal{y} \) reciprocal to \( \mathcal{S} \), such that

\[
U = \mathcal{y} \cdot F_\mathcal{S}; \quad A = (1/\iota\omega) \mathcal{y} \cdot F_\mathcal{S}; \quad \mathcal{y} \cdot \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{y} = 1
\]

where \( \mathcal{S} \) is the idemfactor. Reference to page 57 indicates that the relationship between the components \( Y_{mn} \) and \( Z_{mn} \) is given by the equation

\[
Y_{mr} = Z'_{mr} / \Delta_\mathcal{S}
\]

where \( Z'_{mr} \) is the first minor of \( Z_{mr} \) in the determinant \( \Delta_\mathcal{S} \). It should also be obvious that the principal axes for \( \mathcal{y} \) are the same as the principal axes for \( \mathcal{S} \) and that the principal values of \( \mathcal{y} \) are

\[
Y^0_m = 1/Z^0_m
\]

The dyadic \( \mathcal{y} = \mathcal{S}^{-1} \) is called the admittance dyadic for the system.

When the determinant \( \Delta_\mathcal{S} \) is zero, we naturally cannot compute \( \mathcal{y} \). This occurs whenever the angular velocity \( \omega \) has a value which makes one of the principal impedances \( Z^0_m \) zero. A reference to the functional dependence of \( \mathcal{S} \) on \( \omega \) indicates that the determinant

\[
(-\iota\omega)^n \Delta_\mathcal{S} = [\omega^2 a_{mr} - b_{mr}] = (-\iota\omega)^n Z^0_1(\omega) Z^0_2(\omega) \cdots Z^0_n(\omega)
\]

is an \( n \)th order polynomial in \( \omega^2 \), which goes to zero for \( n \) different values of \( \omega^2 \) (though two or more of these roots may be equal). Corresponding to the root of lowest value (which we shall call \( \omega_1^2 \)) one of the \( Z^0_m \)'s becomes zero, and so on, for \( \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n \). Since we have been arbitrary about the numbering of the principal axes, we may as well choose it so that \( Z^0_1(\omega) \) goes to zero when \( \omega = \pm \omega_1 \). In other words \( Z^0_1 \) goes to zero for the lowest root \( \omega_1 \), \( Z^0_2 \) goes to zero for the next root \( \omega_2 \), and so on. Furthermore, an application of the theory of equations indicates that, with
these definitions, we can write the principal impedances in the form

\[ Z_r^\ast (\omega) = i\omega M_r - (i/\omega) K_r = (i/\omega) M_r (\omega^2 - \omega_0^2) = 1/Y_r^\ast (\omega) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2.10)

where \( M_r \) and \( K_r = \omega_0^2 M_r \) are constants determined by the values of \( a_{mr} \) and \( b_{mr} \). We therefore see that the principal impedances for a conservative system are pure imaginary quantities which are odd functions of \( \omega \), that is, \( Z(-\omega) = -Z(\omega) \).

When \( \omega = \pm \omega_0 \), the amplitude of the \( r \)th normal coordinate becomes infinite unless \( F_r^\ast = 0 \), so a steady-state solution cannot be obtained. These frequencies \( \omega_0/2\pi \), roots of the determinant \( \Delta_0 \), are called the resonance frequencies for the system.

Incidentally, we should note that the constants \( M_r \) and \( K_r \) \( \omega_0^2 \) are all positive, for otherwise the potential energy would not have an absolute minimum at \( q = 0 \).

Canonical Transformations. The general form of Eqs. (3.2.7) is of suggestive simplicity. In the first place the second-order Lagrange equations (3.2.4) are replaced with pairs of first-order equations, which are to be solved simultaneously to determine \( p \) and \( q \). This separation of the description of the motion into two sets of independent variables, the \( p \)'s and the \( q \)'s, corresponds to the fundamental peculiarity of classical dynamics: that the acceleration, the second derivative, is proportional to the force and therefore that both the initial position and initial velocity can be chosen arbitrarily. The \( q \)'s are the generalized components of position, and the \( p \)'s are related to the corresponding velocities in such a way as to make the interrelations come out in symmetric form.

The fundamental equations, which at the same time relate the \( p \)'s and the \( q \)'s for a given system and also determine the behavior of the system, are the canonical equations (3.2.7). Choice of the Hamiltonian \( H \), a function of the \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s, determines the system. A set of pairs of variables \( q \) and \( p \), related according to Eqs. (3.2.7) by a given Hamiltonian \( H \), are called canonically conjugate variables for the Hamiltonian \( H \) (or, more simply, conjugate variables).

The same system can, of course, be described in terms of different coordinates (and conjugate momenta). Just as the study of fields was clarified by investigating the effects of coordinate transformations on field components, so here it will be illuminating to discuss the effect of transformations on conjugate variables \( p \) and \( q \). We can take the long way around by transforming the \( q \)'s into new coordinates \( Q \), which also are capable of describing the configuration of the system under study, by expressing the Lagrange function \( L \) in terms of the \( Q \)'s and the \( Q \)'s, by finding the conjugate momenta \( P \), by the equations \( P_r = -\partial L / \partial Q_r \), and finally by obtaining a new Hamiltonian \( K = \Sigma P \dot{Q} - L \) in terms of the new conjugate variables \( P \) and \( Q \). Or else we can develop new techniques of simultaneously transforming from the conjugate pairs \( p, q \)
to the new pairs $P, Q$ by a transformation which keeps the canonical equations (3.2.7) invariant in form. Such transformations are called canonical transformations.

Canonical transformations are related to a family of transformations, called by mathematicians contact transformations, which transform line elements (i.e., position and direction) rather than points. Since we wish to transform both position (the $q$'s) and momentum (the $p$'s, related to the direction of the motion of the system), the connection is obvious. The basis of a contact transformation is a function $S$ of both old and new coordinates.

![Fig. 3.2 Contact transformation in two dimensions.](image)

As an example we consider a two-dimensional case shown in Fig. 3.2 where $S$ is a function of $x, y$ and of $x', y'$. Corresponding to each point $P$ in $(x, y)$ space (i.e., to fixed values of $x$ and $y$), the equation

$$S(x, y; x', y') = \text{constant}$$

defines a curve $C$ in $(x', y')$ space; and, vice versa, to every point on the $(x', y')$ plane there is a related curve on the $(x, y)$ plane. If we move the point in the $(x, y)$ plane so it traces out a curve $K$, the related sequence of curves in the $(x', y')$ plane may have an envelope curve $E$, which can be said to be the related curve traced out on the $(x', y')$ plane. To each point in $(x, y)$ there is a related curve in $(x', y')$, but to each curve in $(x, y)$ there is a corresponding envelope curve in $(x', y')$. Therefore to each line element (i.e., position plus direction) in $(x, y)$ there is a corresponding line element in $(x', y')$.

The correspondence between line elements can be shown by taking the two points $(x, y)$ and $(x + dx, y + dy)$ defining a line element in $(x, y)$ and working out the related line element in $(x', y')$. The curves in $(x', y')$ are given by the two equations

$$S(x, y; x', y') = C;$$

$$S(x + dx, y + dy; x', y') = S(x, y; x', y') + \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} dy = C$$
If we set $dx = \dot{x} \, ds$ and $dy = \dot{y} \, ds$, where $\dot{x}, \dot{y}$ is the slope of the line element, then we arrive at two simultaneous equations:

$$S(x,y;x',y') = C; \quad \dot{x} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + \dot{y} \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} = 0$$

which we can solve to obtain the point $(x',y')$ corresponding to the point $(x,y)$. The direction of the envelope curve in $(x',y')$ is obtained by differentiating the first equation with respect to the primed coordinates,

$$dx' \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \right) + dy' \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} \right) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{x}' \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \right) + \dot{y}' \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} \right) = 0$$

if $dx' = \dot{x} \, ds$ and $dy' = \dot{y} \, ds$. The symmetry of the equations in the derivatives ensures that the transformation is symmetrical with respect to the two planes.

A simple example might make this clearer. Suppose the transformation function is $S = (x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2$ and the constant $C$ is $R^2$. The point $x = a, y = b$ corresponds to the circle in the $(x',y')$ plane of radius $R$ and center $(a,b)$. If the line element from $(a, b)$ to $(a + dx, b)$ is used, the two equations to solve are (in this case $\dot{x} = 1, \dot{y} = 0$)

$$(x' - a)^2 + (y' - b)^2 = R^2; \quad 2(x' - a) = 0$$

The envelope of circles of radius $R$ drawn with centers on the horizontal line $y = b$ is the horizontal line $y = b + R$ (or else the line $y = b - R$). Therefore the transformed line element goes from $(a, b \pm R)$ to $(a + dx, b \pm R)$.

Incidentally, this example indicates the close relationship between contact transformations and Huygens's principle.

For a dynamical system with a Hamiltonian function $H$ which does not depend specifically on time, it turns out, as we shall show shortly, that the quantity $\Sigma p \, dq - \Sigma P \, dQ$, when expressed as a function of the $p$'s and $q$'s (or of the $g$'s and $Q$'s, etc.), is a complete differential whenever the transformation from the $p$'s and $q$'s to the $P$'s and $Q$'s is a canonical transformation. For then the transformation function $S$ can be obtained by integrating the quantity

$$\Sigma p_r \, dq_r = 2P_r \, dQ_r = dS$$

The function $S$ can be expressed in terms of the $q$'s and the $Q$'s and is then the function defining the contact transformation.

Such a transformation leaves Hamilton's variational principle unchanged and therefore leaves the canonical equations (3.2.7) unchanged in form; for adding the quantity $(K - H) \, dt$ (which equals zero for $K$ is the new Hamiltonian) to the equation for $dS$ and integrating with
respect to time, we have

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ \sum p \dot{q} - H \right] dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ \sum P \dot{Q} - K \right] dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dS$$

If we do not change the end points $t_0$ and $t_1$, the integral for $S$ is unchanged when the intermediate path is varied, and so if $\delta [\sum p \dot{q} - H] dt$ is zero, then $\delta [\sum P \dot{Q} - K] dt$ is likewise zero.

Therefore the transformation defined by the function $S$, so obtained, is a canonical transformation and the $P$'s, $Q$'s, and $K$ are related by the equations

$$\dot{Q}_r = \partial K / \partial P_r; \quad \dot{P}_r = - (\partial K / \partial Q_r)$$

which are the canonical equations (3.2.7).

Even when $H$ (and therefore $K$) depends explicitly on the time, the transformation function $S$ can be obtained by integrating the equation

$$\sum p \dot{q}_r - H - \sum P \dot{Q}_r + K = dS / dt$$

or the equation in its differential form

$$\sum p_\tau dq_\tau - \sum P_\tau dQ_\tau + (K - H) dt = dS$$

where $dS$ is a complete differential. Since in any case

$$dS = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_r} \right) dq_r + \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial Q_r} \right) dQ_r + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} dt \right]$$

we have, by equating coefficients of the differentials,

$$p_r = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_r}; \quad P_r = - \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial Q_r} \right); \quad K - H = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \quad (3.2.11)$$

which gives us the expressions for the momenta, in terms of the coordinates for the transformation.

**Poisson Brackets.** The study of the invariants for canonical transforms covers most of the basic aspects of classical dynamics. The energy function $H$ is one such invariant (unless $H$ depends explicitly on $t$).

One whole class of invariants can be expressed most conveniently in terms of Poisson bracket expressions. These brackets, for two arbitrary functions $u$ and $v$ of the $p$'s and $q$'s, are defined as follows:

$$(u,v) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial p_r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_r} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial q_r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_r} \right]$$

as was given in Eq. (2.6.4).

The Poisson brackets have several interesting algebraic properties which are formally related to the properties of ordinary derivatives:

$$(u,v) = 0$$
where \( c \) is a constant independent of the \( p \)'s and \( q \)'s.

\[
(u, p + w) = (u, w) + (u, w); \quad (u + v, w) = (u, w) + (v, w);
(wu, w) = w(u, w) + v(u, w), \quad \text{etc.}
\]

The brackets are, of course, antisymmetric, so that \((u, v) = -(v, u)\). The reason the expressions are useful is that they are invariant with respect to a canonical transformation. If the set \( q, p \) are related to the set \( Q, P \) by the relation that \( \Sigma q \, dq - \Sigma P \, dQ \) is a complete differential, then, for any pair of functions \( u, v \) of \( p, q \) or of \( P, Q \),

\[
(u, v) = -\sum_{r=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial q_r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_r} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial p_r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_r} \right] = -\sum_{r=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial Q_r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial P_r} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial P_r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial Q_r} \right]
\]

Therefore dynamical equations set up in terms of Poisson brackets are invariant under canonical transformation. The canonical equations of motion (3.2.7), for instance, become

\[
\dot{q}_r = (H, q_r); \quad \dot{p}_r = (H, p_r)
\]

In fact, by using the canonical equations plus the definition of the Poisson brackets, we can show that for any function \( u \) of the \( q \)'s and \( p \)'s (or of the \( Q \)'s and \( P \)'s)

\[
\frac{du}{dt} = (H, u); \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial q_r} = (p_r, u); \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial p_r} = (u, q_r)
\]

We can also use the Poisson brackets to test for a contact transformation. Starting with the original set of \( n \) coordinates \( q \) and the conjugate momenta, another set of \( n \) coordinates \( Q \) and conjugate momenta are related to the original set by a contact transformation if, and only if, the following relations are satisfied:

\[
(Q_r, Q_s) = 0; \quad (P_r, P_s) = 0; \quad (P_r, Q_s) = \delta_{rs}
\]

where \( \delta_{rs} = 0 \) if \( r \neq s \), \( \delta_{ss} = 1 \) if \( r = s \).

The Action Integral. We note that the transformation function \( S \) has the dimensions of action and that, when the \( Q \)'s are held constant and \( S \) is considered as a function of the \( q \)'s, then the vector \( p \), being the gradient of \( S \), is normal to the surface \( S = \text{constant} \). In other words, a choice of values for the \( Q \)'s and \( K \) picks out a family of action surfaces \( S = \text{constant} \) and a family of trajectories for the system which are orthogonal to the action surfaces. If we pick new values of the \( Q \)'s and \( K \), we get new families of surfaces and trajectories. From one point of view the \( Q \)'s can be considered as initial conditions, and the subsequent behavior of the system can be considered to be the unfolding of a contact transformation as time marches on.

A differential equation for the action function \( S \), as function of initial and final coordinates, can be obtained by setting up the equation
stating that the Hamiltonian is constant, \( H(p,q) = E \), and substituting in for each \( p_r \), the expression \( \partial S/\partial q_r \), from Eqs. (3.2.11). This results in a differential equation

\[
H \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}, q \right) = E
\]

which is called the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Its solution is a function of the \( n \) \( q \)'s, of \( E \), and of \( n \) constants of integration, which we can call \( Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n, \alpha \) (we can always juggle the constants around so that \( \alpha \) is simply an additive constant). If we let \( E = Q_1 \), then we can consider the other \( Q \)'s to be a new set of coordinates for the system. According to Eqs. (3.2.11) the conjugate momenta are \( P_r = -\left( \partial S/\partial Q_r \right) \), and the transformed coordinates and momenta satisfy the canonical equations

\[
\dot{P}_1 = -\partial H/\partial Q_1 = -\partial H/\partial E = -1; \quad \dot{P}_r = -\partial H/\partial Q_r = 0; \quad r = 2, 3, \ldots, n
\]

for in this case \( K = H \), and \( H \) is independent of the initial condition constants \( Q_r \). Therefore the equations of motion can be written

\[
\partial S/\partial E = t + c_1; \quad \partial S/\partial Q_r = c_r; \quad r = 2, 3, \ldots, n
\]

where the \( c \)'s are another set of constants (corresponding, along with the \( Q \)'s, to the initial conditions). It should be noted that \( P_1 \) is related to the quantity \( q_1 \) of page 252.

The relations between the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and Schrödinger's equation (2.6.28), \( H[\hbar, \psi](\partial, \partial q)\psi = E\psi \), for the quantum mechanical wave function \( \psi \), are important and interesting but cannot be expanded on here. We need point out only that, if we set \( \psi = e^{i\hbar S/\hbar} \), the Schrödinger equation reduces to the Hamilton-Jacobi, if \( S \) is so much larger than \( \hbar \) that we can neglect \( (i/\hbar)(\partial^2 S/\partial q^2) \) compared with \( (i/\hbar)^2(\partial S/\partial q)^2 \). In the limit of large values of action and energy, the surfaces of constant phase for the wave function \( \psi \) become the surfaces of constant action \( S \) for the corresponding classical system. Wave mechanics goes over to geometrical mechanics, just as wave optics goes over to geometrical optics for vanishingly small wavelengths.

We have displayed here a large part of classical dynamical theory, undiluted with any examples. Before we go on to the real business of this chapter, the application of the variational principle to fields, we had better consolidate our position thus far by discussing a few examples.

The Two-dimensional Oscillator. A useful example is that of the motion of a mass on the end of a stiff rod which can bend in both directions. For small oscillations the motion is in a plane, and the coordinates \( q_1, q_2 \) for the mass can be taken to be the principal axes for the spring, so that the force in the \( q_1 \) direction is proportional to \( q_1 \) only, and similarly with \( q_2 \). The kinetic energy of the mass is then \( \frac{1}{2}m(q_1^2 + q_2^2) \). If
the spring is equally stiff in both directions, the potential energy can be written \( \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(q_1^2 + q_2^2) \). The Lagrange equations (3.2.4) are just the Newton's equations

\[
\dot{q}_1 = -\omega^2 q_1; \quad \dot{q}_2 = -\omega^2 q_2
\]

The solution is, therefore, that \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) vary sinusoidally with time, with a frequency \( \omega/2\pi \).

The related momenta are, of course, \( p_1 = m\dot{q}_1 \), \( p_2 = m\dot{q}_2 \), so that the Hamiltonian is

\[
H(p,q) = (1/2m)[p_1^2 + p_2^2 + m^2\omega^2(q_1^2 + q_2^2)]
\]  
(3.2.13)

The solutions are already determined from the Lagrange equations, but we are now seeking to illustrate some of the concepts and quantities discussed above, so we shall try solving the problem by the use of a contact transformation. It would be handy if the new momenta were constant, and this could be accomplished by letting the new Hamiltonian \( K \) be independent of \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \).

The easiest way is to let \( K \) be proportional to \( (P_1 + P_2) \), for then \( \dot{P} = -(\partial K/\partial Q) = 0 \). Since \( \dot{Q} = \partial K/\partial P \), the \( Q_i \)'s will be proportional to time \( t \). This suggests the following transformation:

\[
q = A \sin(\omega t); \quad p = m\omega A \cos(\omega t); \quad Q = \omega t \quad \text{and} \quad P = A^2
\]

or

\[
Q_1 = \tan^{-1}(m\omega q_1/p_1); \quad Q_2 = \tan^{-1}(m\omega q_2/p_2);
\]

\[
P_1 = (1/2m\omega)(p_1^2 + m^2\omega^2 q_1^2); \quad P_2 = (1/2m\omega)(p_2^2 + m^2\omega^2 q_2^2)
\]

The quantity \( p_1 dq_1 + p_2 dq_2 - P_1 dQ_1 - P_2 dQ_2 \), expressed in terms of the \( p_i \)'s and \( q_i \)'s, turns out to be \( \frac{1}{2}(p_1 dq_1 + q_1 dp_1 + p_2 dq_2 + q_2 dp_2) \), which is a complete differential of the quantity

\[
S = \frac{1}{2}(p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega[q_1^2 \cot(Q_1) + q_2^2 \cot(Q_2)]
\]  
(3.2.14)

which is the transformation function. Therefore this is a contact transformation. The inverse equations

\[
q_1 = \sqrt{2/m\omega} \sqrt{P_1} \sin Q_1; \quad q_2 = \sqrt{2/m\omega} \sqrt{P_2} \sin Q_2;
\]

\[
p_1 = \sqrt{2m\omega} \sqrt{P_1} \cos Q_1; \quad p_2 = \sqrt{2m\omega} \sqrt{P_2} \cos Q_2
\]  
(3.2.15)

enable us to see that the new Hamiltonian is

\[
K = \omega(P_1 + P_2)
\]

Since this is a contact transformation, Hamilton's equations (3.2.7) still hold, and since \( \dot{Q} = \partial K/\partial P \), we have

\[
Q_1 = \omega t + \varphi_1; \quad Q_2 = \omega t + \varphi_2
\]

Also, since \( \dot{P} = -(\partial K/\partial Q) = 0 \), we have that \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are constant.

This completes the solution, for we can substitute these simple expressions back in Eqs. (3.2.15) to obtain formulas for the coordinates and momenta in terms of time and the energy of motion \( K \).
We notice that the $p$'s have the dimensions of action and the $Q$'s are angles. In fact, if we integrate $p \, dq$ over a whole cycle of the oscillation and express this in terms of $P$ and $Q$, the result is

$$\int p \, dq = 2I \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^3 Q \, dQ = 2\pi I$$

proportional to $P$ itself. These canonically conjugate variables $Q$ and $P$ are called angle and action variables. All vibrational problems with sinusoidal solutions can be simplified and solved by performing a contact transformation to the appropriate action and angle variables.

But to return to the harmonic oscillator in two dimensions, we can also express the motion in terms of the polar coordinates $r, \varphi$ instead of the rectangular coordinates $q_1$ and $q_2$. The contact transformation is given by the equations

$$r = \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}; \quad \varphi = \tan^{-1} (q_1/q_2)$$

$$p_r = (1/r)(p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2); \quad p_\varphi = (p_1 q_1 - p_2 q_2) \quad (3.2.10)$$

and the Hamiltonian is

$$K = (1/2m)[p_r^2 + (p_\varphi^2/r^2) + m\omega^2 r^2] \quad (3.2.17)$$

Since $\partial K/\partial \varphi$ is zero, $p_\varphi$, the angular momentum of the system, is a constant. It is not difficult to see that this is true no matter what the potential energy is as long as it depends on $r$ alone and not on $\varphi$. The rest of the solution, if we wish it, can be obtained from the solution in rectangular coordinates.

**Charged Particle in Electromagnetic Field.** There are times when it is not obvious what form the Lagrange function $L = T - V$ should have, for substitution in the variational integral (3.2.3). This is particularly true when forces due to fields enter. In many such cases we must combine our knowledge of scalar invariants of the system with investigations of simple, limiting cases to arrive at the correct answer.

For instance, in the case of a charged particle in an electromagnetic field, should we call the energy of interaction between the magnetic field and the motion of the particle part of the kinetic energy $T$ (since it depends on the velocity of the particle) or part of the potential energy $V$ (since it is due to an externally produced field)? We start by putting down all the scalar invariants (in three space) of the particle and of the field. The Lagrange function $L = T - V$ must be an invariant, for Hamilton's principle must hold in any space coordinate system. The kinetic energy of the particle alone, $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$, is such an invariant, for it is proportional to the dot product of the vector $v$ with itself. The electric potential $\varphi$ is also an invariant (in three space). So are the squares of the magnitudes of the fields, $E^2$ and $H^2$, and the square of the vector potential $A^2$. However, the fields are obtained from the potentials by
differentiation and the forces on the particle are obtained from the Lagrange function by differentiation [see Eqs. (3.2.2) and (3.2.4)], so it would be natural to expect only the potentials $\mathbf{A}$ and $\varphi$ to appear in the $L$ for the particle. Another invariant which might enter is the dot product $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}$.

The forces on the particle are $e\mathbf{E} = -e \nabla \varphi - (e/c)(\partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t)$ [see Eq. (2.5.13)] and $(e/c)\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = (e/c)\mathbf{v} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ [see (Eq. 2.5.5)], and these must come from the Lagrange equations (3.2.4) by differentiation of $L$. Since there is to be a time derivative of $\mathbf{A}$, we must have a term involving the product of $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{A}$, presumably the $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ term. In considering the equation for the particle only (we are not yet considering the equations for the fields), we have no term to the second order in $\mathbf{A}$. Therefore $L$ for the particle must be a combination of $\mathbf{v}^2$, $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}$, and $\varphi$.

The first term must obviously be the kinetic energy of the particle, $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$. The term in $\varphi$ must be a potential energy, and if the charge on the particle is $e$, this term must be $-e\varphi$. The third term must give the term $(e/c)(\partial A_i/\partial t)$, for the rest of $e\mathbf{E}$, and also the term $(e/c)\mathbf{v} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$. Since $\nabla (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{v} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{A})$ (see page 115), it appears that the term should be $(e/c)\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}$. Therefore the Lagrange function for the charged particle in an electromagnetic field is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + (e/c)\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} - e\varphi$$

(3.2.18)

Remembering that the coordinates in $\mathbf{A}$ and $\varphi$ are the coordinates $x, y, z$ of the particle at time $t$, we can express the three equations (3.2.4) for the three coordinates in terms of a single vector equation. Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( mv + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) = \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -e \nabla \varphi + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{A})$$

the vector equation is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( mv + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) = \nabla \mathbf{E} = -e \nabla \varphi + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{A})$$

The term entering into the expression for $\mathbf{E}$ [Eq. (2.5.13)] has the partial time derivative $\partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t$, representing the change of $\mathbf{A}$ at a fixed point in space, whereas the quantity on the left-hand side is the total derivative $\partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t$, representing the total rate of change of $\mathbf{A}$ at the particle, which is moving. From Eq. (3.2.2) we have that the change in a field at a point moving with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{A} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{A})$$

Therefore, the vector equation of motion of the particle reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt} (mv) = -e \nabla \varphi - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = e\mathbf{E} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}$$

(3.2.19)
which corresponds to Eq. (2.5.12) for the effective force on the charged particle.

We are now in a position to set up the Hamiltonian for the particle. The "momentum" of the particle is the vector with x component \( \partial L / \partial v_x \):

\[
p = mv + (e/c)A
\]

In this case the action of the field is to change continuously the particle velocity, so that \( mv \) is no longer a quantity which is "conserved." If we are to have conservation of momentum, \( p \) cannot equal \( mv \). According to Eq. (3.2.6), the Hamiltonian is

\[
H = p \cdot v - L = \left( mv + \frac{e}{c} A \right) \cdot v - \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 - \frac{e}{c} v \cdot A + e\varphi
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2m} \left( p - \frac{e}{c} A \right)^2 + e\varphi \quad \text{(3.2.20)}
\]

This is the result quoted on pages 250 and 254.

This gives us a foretaste of the consequences of the introduction of fields and field interactions into the formalism of classical dynamics. The momenta are no longer simply proportional to the velocities, it is no longer quite so obvious what is kinetic energy or \( H \) or \( L \), and we must depend more on the formal equations, such as Eqs. (3.2.4), (3.2.6), and (3.2.7), instead of an "intuition," to reach the correct results.

As a specific example we might consider the case of a particle of mass \( m \) and charge \( e \) in a constant magnetic field of magnitude \( B = mce/\omega \) in the \( z \) direction. The scalar potential is \( \varphi = 0 \), and the vector potential is

\[
A = \left( mce/2\omega \right)(-y\hat{i} + x\hat{j})
\]

The Lagrange function is

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} m (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + \frac{1}{2} m\omega (-\dot{z}y + \dot{y}z); \quad \dot{x} = \frac{dx}{dt}; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

and the two momenta are

\[
p_x = m(\dot{x} - \frac{1}{2} \omega y); \quad p_y = m(\dot{y} + \frac{1}{2} \omega x)
\]

The Lagrange equations are

\[
\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \omega \frac{dy}{dt}; \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = -\omega \frac{dx}{dt}
\]

and the solutions turn out to be

\[
x = R \sin (\omega t + \alpha) + x_0; \quad y = R \cos (\omega t + \alpha) + y_0
\]

representing a circular orbit of radius \( R \) with center at \( x_0, y_0 \). The Hamiltonian is, of course,

\[
H = \frac{1}{2m} (p_x + \frac{1}{2} \omega y)^2 + \frac{1}{2m} (p_y - \frac{1}{2} \omega x)^2 = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 R^2 = \frac{1}{2} mn^2
\]
A contact transformation which simplifies the Hamiltonian considerably is

\[
x = \sqrt{1/m\omega} \left[ \sqrt{2P_1 \sin Q_1} + P_3 \right], \quad p_x = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m\omega} \left[ \sqrt{2P_1 \cos Q_1 - Q_3} \right]
\]
\[
y = \sqrt{1/m\omega} \left[ \sqrt{2P_1 \cos Q_1} + Q_3 \right], \quad p_y = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m\omega} \left[ - \sqrt{2P_1 \sin Q_1} + P_3 \right]
\]

Use of the Poisson bracket equations (2.6.4) in reverse (using the \(Q\)'s and \(P\)'s as independent variables) will confirm that this is a contact transformation. Substituting in for \(H\), we find that the transformed Hamiltonian is just

\[
K = \omega P_1
\]

Therefore using Eqs. (3.2.8), we see that \(P_1, P_3,\) and \(Q_3\) are constants and \(Q_1\) is linearly dependent on time, with the proportionality constant equal to \(\omega\), the angular velocity of the particle in its circular orbit.

Relativistic Particle. Another example of the use of the classical dynamical equations, which will be needed later in the chapter, involves the behavior of a particle moving so fast that we can no longer neglect \(v^2\) compared with \(c^2\). We have pointed out earlier that \(L\) is not a Lorentz invariant. It should not be, for the variational integral \(\int L \, dt\), integrated along the world line of the particle, should be the invariant. If the particle is moving with speed \(u\) with respect to the observer, the differential \(dt\) of the observer's time is related to the proper time \(d\tau\) for the world line of the particle by the relation \(d\tau = \sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2} \, dt\). Consequently if the integral \(\int L \, dt = \int [L/\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}] \, d\tau\) is invariant and \(d\tau\) is invariant, then the integrand \([L/\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}]\) is invariant and \(L\) must be some Lorentz invariant multiplied by \(\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}\).

For instance, for a force-free particle the relativistic Lagrange function is

\[
L = -m_0c^2 \sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2} \approx -m_0c^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0u^2 + \cdots; \quad u \ll c \quad (3.2.21)
\]

The term corresponds to the kinetic energy term minus the rest energy \(m_0c^2\); if there were a potential energy \(V\), it would be subtracted from the term shown here. The momentum is then obtained by differentiating \(L\) with respect to the components of \(u\):

\[
p = \frac{m_0u}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}}
\]

which corresponds to Eq. (1.7.5).

The Hamiltonian function is

\[
H = pu - L = \frac{m_0c^2}{\sqrt{1 - (u/c)^2}} = m_0c^2 \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{p_{\mu}c}{m_0c}\right)^2}
\]

\[
\approx m_0c^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2m_0}\right) p^2 + \cdots; \quad p \ll m_0c \quad (3.2.22)
\]
which is the expression used on pages 256 and 260, in connection with the Dirac equation for the electron. This expression is, of course, the time component of a four-vector, the space components of which are the components of \( cp \). Any potential energy term which is to be added should thus also be the time component of a four-vector.

**Dissipative Systems.** Finally, before we turn to the application of Hamilton’s principle to fields, we shall introduce a formalism which will enable us to carry on calculations for dissipative systems (i.e., ones with friction nonnegligible) as though they were conservative systems (i.e., ones with negligible friction). The dodge is to consider, simultaneously with the system having the usual friction, a “mirror-image” system with negative friction, into which the energy goes which is drained from the dissipative system. In this way the total energy is conserved, and we can have an invariant Lagrange function, at the sacrifice of a certain amount of “reality” in some of the incidental results.

For an example of what we mean, let us take the one-dimensional oscillator with friction, having the equation of motion

\[
m\ddot{x} + R\dot{x} + Kx = 0
\]

We wish to obtain this equation from some Lagrange function by the usual variational technique. In order to do this we set up the purely formal expression

\[
L = m(\dot{x}\dot{x}^*) - \frac{1}{2}R(x^*\dot{x} - x\dot{x}^*) - Kx^* \tag{3.2.24}
\]

This is to be considered as the Lagrange function for two coordinates, \( x \) and \( x^* \). The coordinate \( x^* \) represents the “mirror-image” oscillator with negative friction. Applying our formalism, we obtain for the two “momenta”

\[
p = m\dot{x}, \quad p^* = m\dot{x}^* + \frac{1}{2}Rx
\]

which have little to do with the actual momentum of the oscillator. Nevertheless a continuation of the formal machinery results in two Lagrange equations for the two systems:

\[
m\ddot{x}^* - R\dot{x}^* + Kx^* = 0; \quad m\ddot{x} + R\dot{x} + Kx = 0
\]

The equation for \( x \) is just Eq. (3.2.23), which we started out to get. The equation for \( x^* \) involves a negative frictional term, as we mentioned above.

The Hamiltonian is

\[
H = p\ddot{x} + p^*\ddot{x}^* - L - m\dddot{x}^* + Kx^*
\]

\[
= (1\ m)(p + \frac{1}{2}Rx)(p^* - \frac{1}{2}Rx) \tag{3.2.25}
\]

Since \( x^* \) increases in amplitude as fast as \( x \) decreases, then \( H \) will stay constant.
By this arbitrary trick we are able to handle dissipative systems as though they were conservative. This is not very satisfactory if an alternate method of solution is known, but it will be necessary, in order to make any progress when we come to study dissipative fields, as in the diffusion equation. As an indication of the fact that such cases are far from typical we note that we had previously assumed that \( L \) was a quadratic function of the \( q \)'s, whereas in the present case terms in \( \dot{x}^* \dot{x} \) occur.

**Impedance and Admittance for Dissipative Systems.** At this point it is worth while to take up again the discussion of mechanical impedance from the point where we left it on page 286, to discuss the effect of resistive forces. For a system with dynamic or static equilibrium, as we have seen, we can transform coordinates to the set \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) which go to zero at the point of equilibrium. For displacements sufficiently near to this equilibrium the potential energy will be

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} b_{mn} \dot{x}_m \dot{x}_n + V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x} \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot \dot{x} + V_0
\]

where \( \mathcal{B} \) is the dyadic with elements \( b_{mn} \) and \( \dot{x} \) is the \( n \)-dimensional vector with components \( x_r \). The kinetic energy may, as always, be given by the expression

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} a_{mn} \dot{x}_m \dot{x}_n = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x} \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \dot{x}
\]

where \( \mathcal{A} \) is the dyadic with elements \( a_{mn} \).

In case there is friction the retarding force on the \( m \)th coordinate can be written in the form

\[
\sum_r r_{mr} \dot{x}_r = (\mathcal{R} \cdot \dot{x})_m
\]

where \( \mathcal{R} \) is the resistance dyadic, with components \( r_{mr} \). Here, as with the potential and kinetic energies, we have included terms corresponding to the coupling between different displacements \( x_m \), represented by the non-diagonal terms of \( \mathcal{R} \).

Following the pattern of Eq. (3.2.24) we write the Lagrange function

\[
L = \sum_{m,n} [a_{mn} \dot{x}_m^* \dot{x}_n - \frac{1}{2} r_{mr} (\dot{x}_m^* \dot{x}_n - i x_m^* \dot{x}_n)] - b_{mn} \dot{x}_m^* \dot{x}_n
\]

\[
= \dot{x}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \dot{x} - \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^* \cdot \mathcal{R} \cdot \dot{x} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^* \cdot \mathcal{R} \cdot \dot{x} = \dot{x}^* \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot \dot{x}
\]

where \( \dot{x}^* \) is the vector conjugate to \( \dot{x} \). The momentum vector and its conjugate are then

\[
p = \dot{x}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^* \cdot \mathcal{R} : \quad p^* = \dot{x}^* + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} \cdot x
\]
and the Hamiltonian is

\[ H = p \cdot \dot{x} + \dot{x} \cdot p - I = \dot{x} \cdot \mathfrak{A} \cdot \dot{x} + x \cdot \mathfrak{B} \cdot x \]

\[ = (p + \frac{1}{2} x \cdot \mathfrak{A}) \cdot (\mathfrak{A}^{-1}) \cdot (p - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{B} \cdot x) + x \cdot \mathfrak{B} \cdot x \] (3.2.27)

where the dyadic \((\mathfrak{A}^{-1})\) is reciprocal to the dyadic \(\mathfrak{A}\), such that \(\mathfrak{A} \cdot \mathfrak{A}^{-1} = \mathfrak{I} \cdot \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{I}\).

The generalized driving force vector, acting on the displacement \(x_m\) but not on the mirror-image displacements, is the one causing changes in the \(p^*\)'s. The Hamilton canonical equation for the component acting on the \(m\)th coordinate is

\[ F_m = \dot{p}_m^* + (\partial H / \partial x_m^*) \]

or, in abstract vector space,

\[ F = \dot{p}^* + \sum_{m=1}^{n} (\partial H / \partial x_m^*) e_m = \dot{p}^* + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{A} \cdot (\mathfrak{A}^{-1}) \cdot (p^* - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{B} \cdot x) + \mathfrak{B} \cdot x \]

\[ = \mathfrak{A} \cdot \dot{x} + \mathfrak{B} \cdot \dot{x} + \mathfrak{B} \cdot x \] (3.2.28)

If now the driving force is oscillatory, \(F = F^e \cdot e^{int}\), each displacement (for steady-state motion) will be oscillatory with the same frequency with \(x = A \cdot e^{int}\) (where a component of \(A\) is \(A_m\), the amplitude of oscillation of the \(m\)th coordinate) and the velocity is \(\dot{x} = U \cdot e^{int}\) (where the \(m\)th component of \(U\) is \(U_m\)). The relation between \(F^e\) and \(A\) or \(U\) is

\[ F = \mathfrak{Y} \cdot U = i \omega \mathfrak{Y} \cdot A, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{nr} - i \omega \sigma_{mr} \mid r_{nr} \mid (1/\omega) b_{nr} \] (3.2.29)

The impedance dyadic is now complex instead of pure imaginary. The real part of each term is called the resistance, and the imaginary part is called the reactance. The reciprocal dyadic \(\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{Y}^{-1}\) can be computed from the determinant \(\Delta_z = |Z_{mr}|:\)

\[ Y_{nr} = Z_{nr} / \Delta_z; \quad Z'_{nr} = \partial \Delta_z / \partial Z_{nr} \] (3.2.30)

where \(Z'_{nr}\) is the first minor of \(Z_{nr}\) in the determinant \(\Delta_z\). This reciprocal is called the admittance dyadic, the real part of each term is called the conductance, and the imaginary part is called the susceptance.

Since \(\mathfrak{Y}\) is a symmetric dyadic, it is possible to find the principal axes for it. In terms of these principal axes, or normal coordinates, the dyadic is diagonal, having diagonal elements \(Z_n\), which are the principal values of the impedance. The determinant transforms into the product of these principal values, so that

\[ (-i \omega)^n \Delta_z = [\omega^2 u_{nr} - i \omega \sigma_{mr} - b_{nr}] = (-i \omega Z_1)(-i \omega Z_2) \cdot \ldots (N \omega Z_n) \] (3.2.31)

Since all the diagonal elements of \(\mathfrak{Y}\), \(\mathfrak{R}\), and \(\mathfrak{B}\) are positive, the determinant can be factored into \(n\) factors of the form \(M \omega^2 - i R \omega - K\), where \(M\), \(R\), and \(K\) are all positive. The roots of these factors are \(i(R/2M) \pm (1/2M) \sqrt{4RM - R^2}\), and the factors can be ordered in
order of increasing real part of the roots. The factor $i\omega Z_1$ has the smallest value of $\sqrt{(K/M)} - (R^2/2M)^2$, and so on (unless one or more of the factors has roots which are pure imaginary, as when $R^2 > 4KM$, in which case these factors will be labeled in order of decreasing magnitude of the root). Therefore the $n$th principal value of the impedance has the form

$$Z_r = \frac{i}{\omega} [M_0 \omega^3 - iR_0 \omega - K_0] = \frac{iM_r}{\omega} [\omega^3 - 2ik_r \omega - \omega^2 - k_r^2] = \frac{iM_r}{\omega} (\omega - ik_r - \omega_r)(\omega - ik_r + \omega_r) \quad (3.2.32)$$

where $k_r = (R_r/2M_r)$; $\omega_r = \sqrt{(K_r/M_r) - k_r^2}$ as long as $K_r/M_r$ is larger than $k_r^2$. The constants $M_r$, $R_r$, $K_r$, $k_r$ and $\omega_r$ all are determined by the values of $a_{mr}$, $r_{mr}$, and $b_{mr}$.

The dyadic $\mathcal{G}$ therefore becomes infinite for $2n$ different complex values of $\omega$, $n$ of them, $(\omega_r + ik_r)$, having both real and imaginary values positive and being ordered so that $\omega_{n-1} \leq \omega_n$; the other $n$ of them, $(-\omega_n + ik_n)$, have the same imaginary parts but a real part having a reversed sign. In other words, if we plot the roots on the complex plane, they will all be above the real axis and the set for negative $\omega$ will be images of the set for positive $\omega$ with respect to the imaginary axis. (Unless $k_r^2$ is larger than $K_r/M_r$, in which case $\omega_r$ is imaginary and all such roots are on the imaginary axis, above the real axis.) These roots correspond to the free vibrations of the system; for the $n$th normal mode of oscillation the time dependence will be given by the term $e^{-k_{n}t + i\omega_{n}t}$ and the relative amplitudes of motion will be proportional to the components of the unit vector along the $n$th normal coordinate in abstract vector space. The time term shows that the free oscillations are damped out.

There are, of course, impedance and admittance dyadics for the conjugate coordinates, giving the relation between the forces $F_m = p_m + (iH/\partial x_m)$ and the velocities $\dot{\mathcal{P}}_m$. The elements of these dyadics turn out to differ from the elements of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ simply by having negative resistance terms instead of positive. Put another way, the components of $[-i\omega\mathcal{G}^*]$ are the complex conjugates of the components of $[-i\omega\mathcal{G}]$, corresponding to the mirror-image relationship.

3.3 Scalar Fields

In the case of classical dynamics the problem is solved when we have obtained expressions for the coordinates of the system as functions of time; the variational integral from which the solution is obtained contains the Lagrange function, in terms of these coordinates and their
time derivatives, integrated over time. The material fields discussed
in the previous chapter (elastic displacement, diffusion density, fluid
velocity potential, etc.) all represent "smoothed-out" averages of
the behavior of some complex system containing many atoms. To solve
such problems we can obtain the equations of motion of the particles
and then average to obtain the equation for the field, as we did, in prin-
ciple at least, in Chap. 2. Or we can average the Lagrange function
for the whole system, before minimization, to obtain a variational integral
for the field, which will be the approach used in this chapter.

In many cases the resulting field is a scalar function of time and of
the coordinates, which are in this case parameters of integration only.
Here the field is the quantity which is varied to find the minimum value
of the integrated Lagrange function, and the Euler equations (3.1.3) turn
out to be partial differential equations giving the dependence of the
field on the coordinates and on time.

The Flexible String. The simple example of the flexible string under
tension will illustrate several of these points and can serve as a guide
in the analysis of more complex cases. We can start out with the
Lagrange function for every one of the atoms in the string (of course
we should start with the Schroedinger equation, but here we deal with
gross motions of many millions of atoms, so that quantum effects are
negligible and classical dynamics may be used).

The total kinetic energy is

\[ T = \sum_{x=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} m_x \dot{u}_x^2 \]

where we have assumed that there are N atoms present. The motion of
each atom in an element of the string between x and x + dx can be
considered as the vector sum of the average motion of the element
j(\partial \psi / \partial t) (we here assume for simplicity that the average motion is
transverse, in one plane, and that the average displacement of point x
on the string from the equilibrium line is \psi) and the fluctuating motion w,
of the individual atom away from this average. The total kinetic energy
for the element dx is therefore

\[ T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} m_x [(\psi)^2 + 2\dot{\psi}(j \cdot w_x) + w_x^2]; \quad \dot{\psi} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \]

where the time average of the terms in j \cdot w_x is zero. The sum is taken
over all of the particles in the length dx of the string. We are not
interested here in the fluctuating motions of the individual atoms, so
we shall not bother about setting up the individual Lagrange equations
for the coordinates corresponding to the velocities w. Consequently
the last term in the bracket will be dropped out, not because it is negli-
gible (actually it represents the internal heat energy of the string and so is not small in the aggregate) but because such motion is not of interest to us here. The second term in the bracket can be neglected because the derivative of this with respect to $\psi$ (which comes into the Lagrange equation for $\psi$) has a zero time average. The total kinetic energy which is of interest to us is therefore

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \rho (\psi)^2 \, dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3.1)$$

where $\rho \, dx$ is equal to the sum of all the masses $m_x$ of all the particles in the element of length of the string between $x$ and $x + dx$.

The potential energy of the string is a complex function of the coordinates of all the atoms in the string. It also can be broken up into a term representing the average increase in potential energy of the string when it is displaced by an amount $\psi(x)$ from equilibrium, plus some terms involving the individual displacements of the particles away from their average position, which may be omitted from consideration here.

The average term can be obtained by measuring the amount of work required to stretch the string when it is displaced from equilibrium. If the string is under tension $T$, this work is $T$ times the increase in length of the string as long as this increase is a small fraction of the length of the string. Expressed mathematically, the part of the potential energy which is of interest to us here is

$$V = T \int_0^l \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2} - 1 \right] \, dx \approx \frac{1}{2} T \int_0^l \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \, dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3.2)$$

when $(\partial \psi / \partial x)^2 \ll 1$ and when the string is stretched between supports at $x = 0$ and $x = l$.

Consequently the Lagrange function for the average transverse motion of the string in a given plane is

$$\mathcal{L} = T - V = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \left[ \rho \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - T \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \, dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \rho \int_0^l \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - c^2 \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \, dx; \quad c^2 = \frac{T}{\rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3.3)$$

This over-all function is an integral of a Lagrange density

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - c^2 \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

over the whole length of the string.

As before, the time integral of the function $\mathcal{L}$ is to be minimized. The Euler equation (3.1.3) corresponding to this minimization is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial \psi / \partial t)} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial \psi / \partial x)} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} = 0 \text{ or } \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} \right) - c^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \right) = 0$$

which is the wave equation for the string [Eq. (2.1.9)].
The Wave Equation. Consequently the wave equation for the string corresponds to the requirement that the difference between the total kinetic energy of the string and its potential energy be as small as possible, on the average and subject to the initial and boundary conditions. This is a very suggestive and useful result, from which many other relations can be derived.

If, for instance, a transverse force $F(x)$ per unit length of string is applied, an additional term $-T'\psi$ should be added to the potential energy; or if the string is embedded in an elastic medium (as discussed on page 139), the added term is $\frac{1}{2}K\psi^2$. The resulting Lagrange density is

$$ L = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} T \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} K \psi^2 + F' \psi $$

and the equation of motion is

$$ \rho \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - T \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = F - K \psi $$

which corresponds to Eq. (2.1.27).

The derivative of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\psi$ should correspond to the momentum in particle dynamics. The corresponding density

$$ p = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \psi = \rho (\partial \psi / \partial t) $$

will be called the canonical momentum density of the field at $x$. In the case of the string, as we see, it is the momentum of a unit length of string when moving with a velocity $[\psi(x)/\partial t]$.

The Hamiltonian density is, according to Eq. (3.2.6),

$$ H = \mathcal{P} \psi - L = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 + c^2 \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} K \psi^2 - F' \psi $$

$$ = \frac{1}{2 \rho} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} T \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K \psi^2 - F' \psi \quad (3.3.5) $$

which is to be compared with Eq. (2.1.11). The integral of this density over the string is the total energy, but we notice, in contrast to the case of classical dynamics, that $H$ is dependent not only on $p$ and $\psi$ but also on $\partial \psi / \partial x$. Consequently we should expect that the equations corresponding to Hamilton’s canonical equations (3.2.7) will be of more complex form. We obtain, by use of Hamilton’s principle and by integration of two terms by parts,

$$ 0 = \delta \left[ \delta d \int d\tilde{x} \left( \delta p \psi - H(p, \psi, \psi') \right) \right] $$

$$ = \delta \left[ \delta d \int d \tilde{x} \left[ \psi \delta \tilde{p} + \tilde{p} \delta \psi - (\partial \tilde{H} / \partial \psi) \delta \tilde{p} - (\partial \tilde{H} / \partial \psi') \delta \tilde{\psi} - (\partial \tilde{H} / \partial \psi') \delta \tilde{\psi} \right] \right] $$

$$ = \delta \left[ \delta d \int d \tilde{x} \left[ \psi - (\partial \tilde{H} / \partial \psi) \right] \delta \tilde{p} - \tilde{p} \left( \delta \tilde{p} / \partial \psi \right) - \delta (\partial \tilde{H} / \partial \psi) \right] $$

where

$$ \psi = \delta \psi / \partial t; \quad \tilde{p} = \delta \psi / \partial \tilde{t}; \quad \psi' = \delta \psi / \partial x $$

so that

$$ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tilde{t}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial \tilde{p}}; \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{t}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial \psi} $$

$$ \quad - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \tilde{\psi}} \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial \psi} \quad (3.3.6) $$
which differs from the canonical equations by the term in $\partial H/\partial \psi'$. These equations, when combined, give the equation of motion for the string

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = T \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - K \psi + F$$

The situation here is more complicated than for dynamics, for the variable $\psi$, which now corresponds to the dynamical coordinate $q$, in addition to depending on the parameter $t$, also depends on a parameter $x$. This means that the interrelations between momenta, field, and field gradient must be more complicated than those given by the canonical equations (3.2.7).

This increased complexity is also apparent from the point of view of relativity. As we have seen on page 97, the energy of a particle is the time component of a four-vector, with space components proportional to the momentum. In the present case, however, the energy density

$$\Pi = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}} \psi - L = \rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - L$$

appears to be the (4,4) component of a tensor, $\mathbb{H}$, with components

$$W_{11} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} - L = -\frac{1}{2} \rho (\psi')^2 - \frac{1}{2} T (\psi')^2 + \frac{1}{2} K \psi^2 - F \psi$$

$$W_{14} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} = -T \psi' \psi; \quad W_{44} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} = \rho \psi'$$

These components satisfy the divergence equations

$$\frac{\partial W_{11}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial W_{14}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \left[ \rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - T \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^2} + K \psi - F \right] = 0; \quad (3.3.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial W_{41}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial W_{44}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \left[ \rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - T \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^2} + K \psi - F \right] = 0$$

which have interesting physical interpretations. Taking the second equation first and integrating it over $x$ from $a$ to $b$, we see that

$$- \int_a^b \left( \frac{\partial W_{44}}{\partial t} \right) dx = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_a^b H dx = [W_{41}]_a^b$$

But $W_{41}$ is, by Eq. (2.1.12), the rate of energy flow along the string, it should be naturally related to the change of energy $\Pi$ in the manner given in the equation. The second divergence equation is therefore the equation of continuity for energy flow along the string.

The first divergence equation relates the change in energy flow with time to the distribution of stress along the string, for $W_{14} = -(W_{41}/c^2)$
has the dimensions of momentum density, the momentum related to the energy flow of wave motion. The integral of the first divergence equation,

\[- \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{x}^{b} W_{14} \, dx = [W_{14}]_{x}\]

indicates that, if \( W_{14} \) is the wave momentum, then \( W_{11} \) is a force, which might be called the wave stress. The equation states that the rate of change of wave momentum in a certain portion of the string is equal to the net wave stress across the ends of the portion.

The wave momentum density \( P = W_{14} \) is more closely related to the wave motion than is the canonical momentum density \( p = \rho (\partial \psi / \partial t) \). For \( p \) is the transverse momentum of the various parts of the string, whereas \( P \) is related to the flow of energy along the string due to wave motion.

Incidentally, Eqs. (3.3.6) can be used to show that the integral of the Hamiltonian density is a constant of the motion, independent of time, for

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{0}^{l} H(p, \psi, \psi') \, dx = \int_{0}^{l} \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{p}} \dot{p} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi} \dot{\psi} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi'} \dot{\psi'} \right] \, dx = \int_{0}^{l} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \dot{\psi}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial \psi \partial \psi'} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \dot{\psi}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial \psi' \partial \psi'} \right] \, dx = \left[ \psi \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi} \right]_0 = 0 \]

since \( \psi \) or \( \partial H / \partial \psi' \) is zero at both ends of the string.

**Helmholtz Equation.** When the string vibrates with simple harmonic motion, the dependence on time can be expressed as \( \psi = Y(x)e^{-i\omega t} \), where the function \( Y \) must satisfy the Helmholz equation

\[ (\partial^{2} Y / \partial x^{2}) + k^{2} Y = 0; \quad k = \omega / c \]

where the value of the constant \( k \) must be determined by boundary conditions. This equation, too, can be derived from a variational principle.

The Lagrange density in this case is simply the potential energy term \(- T(dY/dx)^{2}\). In order to obtain a nonzero result we must insist that the rms amplitude of \( Y \) be larger than zero. We can ensure this by requiring that

\[ \int_{0}^{l} Y^{2}(x) \, dx = 1 \]

This is, of course, a subsidiary requirement, which, together with the variational equation

\[ \delta \int_{0}^{l} \left(\frac{dY}{dx}\right)^{2} \, dx = 0 \]

constitutes the requirement to be met by \( Y \).
Reference to page 279 shows that the solution of such a variational problem can be obtained by the use of Lagrange multipliers. We include the auxiliary requirement by requiring that

$$\int_0^l \left[ \lambda (Y^2 - \left( \frac{dY}{dx} \right)^2 \right] \, dx$$

be a minimum or maximum, where $\lambda$ is the multiplier to be determined.

The Euler equation for this is

$$(\frac{d^2 Y}{dx^2}) + \lambda Y = 0$$

which is the Helmholtz equation again. The best values for $\lambda$ must equal the required values for $k^2$.

**Velocity Potential.** Going next to a three-dimensional case, we can consider the motion of a fluid, discussed earlier in Sec. 2.3. When the motion is irrotational, the fluid velocity can be expressed as the gradient of a velocity potential $\phi$. The kinetic energy density of the fluid is then

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]$$

(3.3.9)

If the fluid is incompressible, the potential energy is constant and the Lagrange function is just $L = T$. In this case the Euler equation (3.1.3) is just the Laplace equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0$$

Therefore the Laplace equation for the steady, irrotational motion of an incompressible fluid is equivalent to the requirement that the total kinetic energy of all the fluid is as small as it can be, subject to initial and boundary conditions.

If the fluid is compressible but has negligible viscosity, then it will have a potential energy density which can be expressed in terms of the velocity potential $\phi$. This energy is the work $p \, dV$ required to compress a unit portion of the fluid from standard conditions of density, etc., to the conditions of the problem. We shall assume here that the fractional change from standard conditions is small; if the density at standard conditions is $\rho_a$ that at the actual conditions can be written as $\rho(1 + s)$, where $s$ is quite small compared with unity. At standard conditions 1 cc of fluid will occupy $(1 - s)$ cc when the density is $\rho(1 + s)$ (to the first order in the small quantity $s$).

To determine the potential energy we must know the relation between the pressure and the density as the state of the fluid is changed. For instance, we can consider the case of a gas, as discussed on page 163, where the ratio between pressure and density is given in Eq. (2.3.21). In the present case, we call $p_a$ the pressure at standard conditions and the pressure at actual conditions to be $p_0 + p$, so that $p$ is the difference in
pressure between actual and standard conditions. This definition is
generally used in acoustics (note that in deference to custom we use $p$
for the pressure in this section whereas everywhere else in this chapter,
$p$ stands for the canonical momentum). Rewriting Eq. (2.3.21) in our
new notation, we have

$$1 + (p - p_0) = (1 + s)^2 \simeq 1 + vs \quad \text{or} \quad p \simeq \rho c^2 s$$  (3.3.10)

where $c^2 = \gamma p_0 / \rho$ for a gas. For other fluids the excess pressure is also
proportional to the fractional increase in density [so that Eq. (3.3.10)
still holds] but the constant $c^2$ depends on different properties of the
material. In any case $c$ is the velocity of sound in the fluid, as we shall
shortly see.

As the fluid is being compressed from standard to actual conditions,
an element of fluid will change volume from $dV$ to $dV (1 - s) = dV [1 -
(p/\rho c^2)]$. The work done in making this change,

$$\int_0^s p \, dV \, ds = \frac{dV}{\rho c^2} \int_0^p p \, dp = \left( \frac{1}{2 \rho c^2} \right) p^2 \, dV$$

is the potential energy of compression in an element of volume $dV$. The
potential energy density is therefore $p^2 / 2 \rho c^2$.

This still is not in form to set in the variational equation, for we
must relate $p$ to the velocity potential $\psi$ (though it is perfectly possible
to set up the variational equations in terms of the scalar $p$ rather than $\psi$).
The needed relationship can most easily be obtained from Eq. (2.3.14).
When the viscosity coefficients $\eta$ and $\lambda$ are negligible, and when the
external force $F$ is zero, then $\rho (\partial \psi / \partial t) = - \nabla p$. If a velocity potential
exists, $v = \nabla \psi$, and equating gradients, we see that

$$p = -\rho (\partial \psi / \partial t) + C_0$$  (3.3.11)

where the constant of integration $C_0$ is usually set zero. Therefore
the pressure is the time component of the four-vector which has, as
space components, the velocity components of the fluid.

**Compressional Waves.** We are now ready to set up the Lagrange
density for small vibrations of a nonviscous, compressible fluid:

$$L = T - V - \frac{1}{2} \rho \left\{ \| \nabla \psi \|^2 + 1 \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right\}$$  (3.3.12)

This is an invariant density, the space-time integral of which is to be
minimized. The inversion here represented, where the velocity is
given by space derivatives and the force by the time derivative, is due
to the fact that the velocity must be a vector (a gradient) whereas the
force (pressure) is a scalar.

The Euler equation (3.1.3) for this Lagrange density is just the wave
equation in three space dimensions

$$\nabla^2 \psi = (1/c^2)(\partial^2 \psi/\partial t^2)$$

for a wave velocity $c$.

It is of interest that the role of space and time derivatives is the reverse of that for the string. Here the space derivatives of $\psi$ correspond to the kinetic energy and the time derivative corresponds to the potential energy. Here the so-called “canonical momentum density” [see Eq. (3.3.4)] $\partial L/\partial \psi$ is proportional to the pressure, not to the fluid velocity at all. It shows that the simple pattern of canonically conjugate variables $q$ and $p$, suitable for particle dynamics, must be replaced by a more complex pattern. The useful quantity here is the four-velocity $\mathbf{V}$, having components

$$W_{ij} = L \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial \psi/\partial x_j)}$$

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1; & j = i \\ 0; & j \neq i \end{cases}$$

$$x_1 = t; \quad x_2 = y; \quad x_3 = z; \quad x_4 = i ct$$

The component $W_{44}$ is the energy density

$$W_{44} = \frac{i}{c} \left[ \frac{1}{c^3} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 + |\text{grad } \psi|^2 \right] = \frac{1}{c} p v^2 + \frac{1}{2 c^2} p^2 = H$$

the integral of which is independent of time (as one can show by a procedure analogous to that on page 306 for the string).

The time-space components are proportional to a three-vector $\mathbf{S}$,

$$W_{4k} = W_{4k} = \frac{i}{c} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_k} = \frac{1}{c} p v_k = \frac{1}{i c} S_k; \quad k = 1, 2, 3$$

which gives the direction and magnitude of the flow of energy due to wave motion. The quantity $P = S/c^3$ is a vector having the dimensions of momentum density, which may be called the field momentum density. On the other hand the vector $p S/p = (\rho v)$ is the momentum density of the moving fluid.

We note also that the four-divergences of the vectors formed from $\mathbf{W}$ are all zero. This can be shown as follows:

$$\sum_j \frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} - \sum_j \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_j} + \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_i} \right) \right]$$

where $\psi_j = \partial \psi/\partial x_j$. If $L$ depends on $x_i$ only through the function $\psi$ and its derivatives, we have next,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} + \sum_j \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_j} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$
Finally, using the Euler equations (3.1.3), we have
\[ \sum_j \frac{\partial W_j}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}} - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_i} \right) = 0 \]
which proves the statement regarding the divergences if \( L \) does not depend explicitly on the \( x \)'s. From it we can obtain the equation of continuity for \( S \) and \( H \),
\[ \text{div } S + \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = 0 \]
showing that, if \( H \) is the energy density, then \( S \) is the energy flow vector (which was pointed out earlier). Although the integral of \( H \) over all space is constant, \( H \) at any point can vary with time, for the energy can flow about within the boundaries.

**Wave Impedance.** Returning to the Lagrange-Euler equation for \( \psi \), we see that, if a "force density" \( f \) is applied to \( \psi \), the equation relating \( f \) to the changes of \( \psi \) will be
\[ f = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}} \right) + \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_i} \dot{\psi}_i \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} \]
\[ = - \left( \frac{\rho}{c^2} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} \right) + \rho \text{ div } (\text{grad } \psi) \]

In the case of classical dynamical systems we can usually apply a force to one coordinate of the system and observe the relationship between the applied force and the displacement or velocity of the system, as was shown on page 284. In the case of fields, however, a force concentrated at a point usually produces an infinite displacement at the point of contact; the force must usually be applied over an area or a volume to produce a physically realizable reaction. In fact it is usually the case that an externally applied force is applied over some part of the surface bounding the field. In the present case, for instance, a vibrating loudspeaker diaphragm produces sound waves in the fluid in front of it and these waves react back on the diaphragm. A measure of this reaction is the acoustic impedance of the fluid in front of the diaphragm, which is characteristic of the kind of wave produced.

If the driving force is applied to the boundary surface, we must integrate the Lagrange-Euler equation over the volume enclosed by the boundary to find the total reaction. The result is
\[ - \frac{\rho}{c^2} \iiint \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} \, dv + \rho \iint \langle \text{grad } \psi \rangle \cdot dA \]
where the second term has been changed to a surface integral by the use of Gauss' theorem, Eq. (1.4.7). Any force acting on \( \psi \) along the
boundary surface is balanced by this surface term, so that, if \( \mathbf{F} \) is the driving "force" on \( \psi \) per unit of surface area, then \( \mathbf{F} = -\rho \text{ grad } \psi \). If \( \mathbf{F} \) is a simple harmonic driving force, \( \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_0 e^{-i\omega t} \), then the corresponding rate of change of \( \psi \) (analogous to the velocity) is \( -i\omega \psi \), so that the ratio of surface force density to rate of change of \( \psi \) at the surface is

\[
-\mathbf{F} \cdot i\omega \psi = -(\rho, i\omega \psi) \text{ grad } \psi
\]

Because of the reversal of the role between gradient and time derivative in this case, the quantity \( (i\omega \psi) \) is proportional to the pressure and grad \( \psi \) is proportional to the fluid velocity. In acoustics we usually consider the pressure to be analogous to the driving force, instead of \( \mathbf{F} \) (which is the "force" causing change of \( \psi \)), so that the ratio given above is more analogous to an admittance rather than an impedance.

The usual definition of a \textit{acoustic admittance} is given by the equation

\[
\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{v}' \rho = (1' i\omega \psi) \text{ grad } \psi
\]

(3.3.16)

where \( \rho \) is the pressure at some point on the boundary surface where a driving force is applied and \( \mathbf{v} \) is the fluid velocity at the same point. To compute this admittance we must first solve the wave equation to find the field caused by the vibration of the given part of the boundary surface, after which we can compute the ratio \( (1' i\omega \psi) \text{ grad } \psi \) for the various parts of the driving surface and, if required, can integrate over this surface to find the acoustic admittance for the whole driving surface.

The admittance \( \mathbf{Y} \) is a vector because \( \mathbf{v} \) is a vector and \( \rho \) a scalar. It is usually sufficient to compute the \textit{normal acoustic admittance}, which is the component of \( \mathbf{Y} \) normal to the surface.

\[
\mathbf{Y}_n = (1' i\omega \psi)(\partial \psi' \partial n)
\]

The reciprocal of this,

\[
\mathbf{Z}_n = \frac{1}{\mathbf{Y}_n - i\omega \psi(\partial \psi' \partial n)}
\]

is called the \textit{normal acoustic impedance}. It is the ratio of pressure at the driving surface to normal velocity at the same point. Since the normal velocity of the fluid at the surface is equal to the velocity of the surface itself, this normal impedance is the most useful part of the impedance.

**Plane-wave Solution.** As an example of the various quantities we have spoken about so glibly in this section, we shall consider a particularly simple sort of wave motion, a \textit{plane wave}. Such a wave is represented by the formula

\[
\psi = C e^{ik \cdot r -i\omega t}
\]

where \( C = |C| e^{i\phi} \) is a constant giving the amplitude \( |C| \) and phase angle \( \phi \) of the velocity potential and where \( \mathbf{k} \) is a constant vector of magnitude
\( \omega/c, \) pointing in the direction of the wave motion. The wave surfaces for this wave are planes perpendicular to \( k, \) traveling in the direction of \( k \) with a velocity \( c. \)

The expressions for the pressure and fluid velocity for the plane wave can be obtained from the velocity potential by means of the relations given earlier. They are the real parts of the following expressions:

\[
p = -\rho (\partial \psi / \partial t) = i\omega \rho C e^{i(k \cdot r - \omega t)}; \quad \nabla = \text{grad} \psi = i k C e^{i(k \cdot r - \omega t)}
\]

In other words the actual value of the pressure at point \( x, y, z \) at time \( t \) is \(-\omega \rho |C| \sin [(\omega/c)(ax + by + cz - ct) + \phi] \) where \( \omega, \beta, \gamma \) are the direction cosines for \( k \) and \(|k| = \omega/c. \) The fluid motion is in the direction of \( k, \) perpendicular to the wave fronts, and the velocity is in phase with the pressure for a plane wave.

In computing the stress-energy tensor we must use the real parts of the quantities given above, for the components are quadratic expressions involving \( \psi. \) Letting the symbol \( \Omega \) stand for \((\omega/c)(ax + by + cz - ct) + \phi) = (k \cdot r - \omega t + \phi), \) we have

\[
\psi = |C| \cos \Omega; \quad p = -\omega \rho |C| \sin \Omega; \quad \nu = -k|C| \sin \Omega;
\]

\[
W_{tt} = H = \frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{r}^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{2 \rho c^2} |C|^2 \sin^2 \Omega;
\]

\[
S_{tt} = \rho \nu = \rho \omega k|C|^2 \sin^2 \Omega = \rho c^2;
\]

\[
W_{tt} = -\frac{\rho \omega^2}{c^2} \alpha \beta |C|^2 \sin^2 \Omega; \quad W_{12} = -\frac{\rho \omega^2}{c^2} \alpha \beta |C|^2 \sin^2 \Omega
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are the direction cosines for the propagation vector \( k. \) In matrix form the stress-energy tensor for the plane wave is, therefore,

\[
\mathbb{M} = \frac{-\rho \omega^2}{c^2} |C|^2 \sin^2 \Omega
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha^2 & \alpha \beta & \alpha \gamma & -i \alpha \\
\beta \alpha & \beta^2 & \beta \gamma & -i \beta \\
\gamma \alpha & \gamma \beta & \gamma^2 & -i \gamma \\
i \alpha & i \beta & i \gamma & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

It is not difficult to confirm the divergence equations for \( \mathbb{M}, \)

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{4} \left( \frac{\partial W_{nn}}{\partial x_n} \right) = \Omega
\]

or that the space components transform like a dyadic.

The principal axes of the space part of \( \mathbb{M} \) are in the direction of \( k \) and in any two mutually perpendicular directions which are orthogonal to \( k. \) In terms of these coordinates, \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \) the matrix for \( \mathbb{M} \) becomes

\[
\mathbb{M} = \frac{-\rho \omega^2}{c^2} |C|^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{c} (\xi_1 - ct) \right)
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 & i \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-i & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
All the terms are proportional to the square of the frequency and to the square of the wave amplitude.

We can imagine the plane wave to be produced by a vibrating plane surface perpendicular to \( \mathbf{k} \), oscillating in the direction of \( \mathbf{k} \) with velocity equal to \( \text{grad} \, \psi = i \mathbf{k} C_\omega e^{i (r - c t)} \). The acoustic admittance for this case, measuring the reaction of the wave back on the vibrating surface, is

\[
Y = \frac{v}{p} = k / \rho \omega = (1 / \rho c) a_k
\]

where \( a_k \) is the unit vector in the direction of \( \mathbf{k} \). The acoustic impedance normal to the plane wave fronts is therefore \( (\rho c) \), a real quantity. In other words, a plane wave produces a resistive impedance, independent of frequency, on the driving surface. Impedances for other wave configurations will be worked out later in this book.

**Diffusion Equation.** When we come to dissipative equations, such as the fluid-flow case with viscosity or the case of diffusion, we must use the technique discussed on page 298 to bring the system within the formal framework. For instance, for the diffusion equation the Lagrange density is

\[
L = - (\text{grad} \, \psi) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \left( \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right)
\]

(3.3.17)

where \( \psi \) is the density of the diffusing fluid, \( a^2 \) is the diffusion constant, and \( \psi^* \) refers to the mirror-image system where the fluid is "undiffusing" (or whatever it is that one calls the reverse of diffusing). The canonical momentum densities are

\[
p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}} = - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \psi^*; \quad p^* = + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \psi
\]

which has little to do with a physical momentum.

The Euler equations for this Lagrange density are

\[
\nabla^\ast \dot{\psi} = a^2 (\partial \psi / \partial t); \quad \nabla^\ast \dot{\psi}^* = - a^2 (\partial \psi^* / \partial t)
\]

(3.3.18)

The equation for \( \psi \) is the usual diffusion equation; that for \( \psi^* \) is for the mirror-image system, which gains as much energy as the first loses.

The Hamiltonian density is \( (\text{grad} \, \psi) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \), the integral of which over the volume is independent of time. It is the 4,4 component of the tensor \( \mathcal{B} \) defined by the equations

\[
W_{ij} = L b_{ij} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_j} - \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^*_j}
\]

(3.3.19)

where \( \psi_j = \partial \psi / \partial x_j \). The components \( W_{ij} \) contain the components of the vector, \( \text{grad} \, \psi \), which gives the magnitude and direction of the diffusive flow.

The introduction of the mirror-image field \( \psi^* \), in order to set up a Lagrange function from which to obtain the diffusion equation, is prob-
ably too artificial a procedure to expect to obtain much of physical significance from it. It is discussed here to show that the variational technique can also be applied to dissipative systems and also because similar introductions of \( \psi^* \) fields are necessary in some quantum equations and the diffusion case is a useful introduction to these more complicated cases.

A similar procedure can be used to obtain a Lagrange function for the dissipative case of fluid motion when viscosity is taken into account.

**Schroedinger Equation.** Somewhat the same procedure can be used to obtain the Schroedinger equation (2.6.38), though it is not a dissipative equation. The wave function \( \psi \) is a complex quantity, so that its real and imaginary parts can be considered as independent variables or, what is the same thing, \( \psi \) and its complex conjugate \( \psi^* \) can be considered as separate field variables, which can be varied independently. The product \( \psi^*\psi \) is to be real and, for the best values of the variables, will equal the probability density for the presence of the particle, i.e., for the configuration of the system specified by the coordinates \( x \).

As an example, we consider a single particle of mass \( m \), acting under the influence of a potential field \( V(x,y,z) \). The Lagrange density turns out to be

\[
L = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi) + \frac{\hbar}{2i} \left( \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right) - \psi^* \psi \quad (3.3.20)
\]

and \( \psi^* \) and \( \psi \) are to be varied until \( \mathcal{L} = \int_0^T \int d^3x \, d\tau \) is a minimum.

The Lagrange–Euler equations are then

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi^* - i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} = -V \psi^* ; \quad -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -V \psi
\]

or

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V \psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} ; \quad -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi^* + V \psi^* = -i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \quad (3.3.21)
\]

It can easily be seen that these equations correspond to Eq. (2.6.38), when we write down the classical Hamiltonian for the particle, \( H(p,q) = (1/2m)(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2) + V \). Substituting \( (h/i)(\partial / \partial x) \) for \( p_x \), etc., operating on \( \psi \) turns Eq. (2.6.38) into the first of Eqs. (3.3.21). The equation for the complex conjugate \( \psi^* \) is obtained by changing the sign of the \( i \) in the time derivative term.

The two canonical momenta are

\[
p = \partial L / \partial \dot{\psi} = -(\hbar \psi^* - 2i) ; \quad \rho^* = \hbar \psi / 2i \quad (3.3.22)
\]

They will have use when we wish to perform the "second quantization" often resorted to in modern quantum theory, but not treated in this book. The "stress-energy" tensor \( \mathbb{S} \) has components defined by the equations
$\Sigma_{3.3}$

Scalar Fields

$$W_{mn} = \psi^*_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^n} + \psi_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^n} - \delta_{mn} L \tag{3.3.23}$$

where

$$\psi_m = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_m}; \quad x_m = (x,y,z,t); \quad \delta_{mn} = \begin{cases} 0; & m \neq n \\ 1; & m = n \end{cases}$$

The energy density is the $(4,4)$ component of $\mathcal{B}$

$$H = \mathcal{B}_{44} = (h^2/2m) (\text{grad } \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad } \psi) + \psi^* V\psi$$

It should be pointed out that in the present case, as with the diffusion equation, the time derivative terms (equivalent to the $q$'s) enter as a linear function in $L$, rather than as a quadratic function of the form $\Sigma_{n=1}^q q_n$, as had been assumed in classical dynamics. Whenever the $q$'s occur as a linear function in $L$, the canonical momenta $\partial L/\partial q$ will not be a function of $q$'s but will be a function of just the $q$'s, so that $p$ and $q$ are not independent variables. In this case the definition $H = \Sigma q \dot q - L$ will ensure that $H$ is a function of the $q$'s alone, without either $p$'s or $q$'s, and of course, the canonical equations will not have the same form as heretofore [Eq. (3.3.6)]. In both the diffusion equation and the Schroedinger equation $p$ is a function of $\psi^*$ and $p^*$ a function of $\psi$, so that we cannot have our canonical equation for $p$ and the other for $q$ but must have one for $\phi$ and the other for $\psi^*$.

As always, we have (where $\dot \psi_2 = \partial \psi, \partial \dot \psi, \dot \psi^* = \partial \psi^*/\partial \psi$, etc.)

$$\delta e = 0 = \delta \int dt \int dv \left[ p\dot \psi + \psi^* p^* - H(\psi, \psi^*, \psi, \psi^*) \right]$$

$$- \int dt \int dv \left[ \delta p \dot \psi + \partial \dot \psi^* \cdot \delta \psi^* + \delta \psi^* p^* \right]$$

$$- \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi^*} \right) \delta \psi - \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi} \right) \delta \psi^* - \sum_n \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_n} \right) \delta \psi_n - \sum_n \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi^*_n} \right) \delta \psi^*_n$$

But now $\delta p = (dp/\partial \psi^*) \delta \psi^*$ and, integrating by parts, $\int dt \ p \delta \dot \psi = -\int dt \ p \delta \psi = -\int dv \ p \delta \psi^* (dp/\partial \psi^*) \delta \psi$, etc. As before we also have

$$-\int dv \ \sum_n \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_n} \right) \delta \psi_n = \int dv \ \sum_n \partial \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_n} \right) \delta \psi_n$$

Setting all these into the integral we find that $\delta e$ divides into an integral of a quantity times $\delta \psi$ plus another quantity times $\delta \psi^*$. Since $\delta e$ must be zero, no matter what values $\delta \psi$ and $\delta \psi^*$ have, the two quantities must be zero, giving us the two equations which are the new canonical equations:

$$\dot \psi \left[ \frac{dp}{\partial \psi^*} - \frac{dp^*}{\partial \dot \psi} \right] = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi^*} - \sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi^*_n} \right)$$

$$\dot \psi^* \left[ \frac{dp^*}{\partial \dot \psi} - \frac{dp}{\partial \dot \psi^*} \right] = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} - \sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_n} \right) \tag{3.3.24}$$
These equations, when applied to the Hamiltonian for the diffusion equation or for the Schrödinger equation, will again give the equations of motion (3.3.18) or (3.3.21). It is not certain, however, how useful Eqs. (3.3.24) will turn out to be, since they do not appear to tell us more than the Lagrange-Euler equations do.

The energy flow vector for the Schrödinger case is

\[ S - iW_{44} - jW_{45} - kW_{46} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \psi^* \\ \partial \psi \end{pmatrix} \text{grad} \varphi - \begin{pmatrix} \partial \psi \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} \text{grad} \varphi^* \right] \]  

(3.3.25)

This satisfies the equation of continuity with the energy density \( W_{44} \), and \( \text{div} S + (\partial H/\partial t) = 0 \).

The field momentum density vector is

\[ P = iW_{44} + jW_{45} + kW_{46} = -(\hbar/2i)[\psi^* \text{grad} \varphi - \psi \text{grad} \varphi^*] \]  

(3.3.26)

Referring to page 255, we see that (when the magnetic field is zero) the current density corresponding to the wave function \( \psi \) is \( J = -(e/m)P \), so that the field momentum vector \( P \) is related to the probable density of flow of the particle associated with the wave function \( \psi \).

**Klein-Gordon Equation.** Another equation which can be dealt with in a manner similar to the preceding is the Klein-Gordon equation (2.6.51), a possible wave equation for a relativistic particle (though it is not the correct equation for electron or proton). Here again we use two independent field variables, \( \psi \) and \( \psi^* \). The quantities \((\hbar/\iota)(\partial \psi/\partial \xi, \partial \psi/\partial \eta, \partial \psi/\partial \zeta, \partial \psi/\partial \iota)\) are the components of a four-vector, as are the similar derivatives of \( \psi^* \). Combining these and the four-vector \((A_x, A_y, A_z, \varphi)\) for the electromagnetic potential in a manner suggested by Eq. (2.6.49), we obtain a Lagrange density for a "particle" of charge \( e \) and mass \( m \) in an electromagnetic field:

\[ L = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad} \psi^* + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} A \psi^* \\ \text{grad} \psi + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} A \psi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} - \frac{ie}{\hbar} \varphi \psi^* \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{ie}{\hbar} \varphi \psi \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} mc \end{pmatrix}^2 \psi^* \psi \right] \]  

(3.3.27)

From this we can obtain the Lagrange-Euler equation for \( \psi \):

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial \psi^*)/\partial \xi_n} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^*} = 0; \xi_1 = x; \xi_2 = y; \xi_3 = z; \xi_4 = t; \psi_n^* = \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial \xi_n}, \]

resulting in the Klein-Gordon equation for the presence of an electromagnetic field:

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} - \frac{ie}{\hbar c} A_n \right)^2 \psi - \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} \varphi \right)^2 \psi = \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi \]  

(3.3.28)
The equation for \( \psi^* \) is of the same form. Here we have used the equation \( \text{div} \, \mathbf{A} + (1/c)(\partial \psi/\partial t) = 0 \) several times to obtain the above result. This equation reduces to the simple form given in Eq. (2.6.51) when \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \varphi \) are zero.

To simplify the rest of the discussion we shall treat the case when \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \varphi \) are zero. The Lagrange function is then

\[
L = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2mc^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{1}{2} mc^2 \psi^* \psi \quad (3.3.29)
\]

and the canonical moments are

\[
p = (\partial L/\partial \dot{\psi}) = (\hbar^2/2mc^2)(\partial \psi^*/\partial t); \quad p^* = (\hbar^2/2mc^2)(\partial \psi^*/\partial t) \quad (3.3.30)
\]

The 4,4 component of the stress-energy tensor

\[
W_{\alpha \alpha} = \psi^*_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^*_n} + \psi_n \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^*_m} - \delta_{mn} L \quad (3.3.31)
\]

is, of course, the energy density \( H \). This can be expressed in terms of the canonical momenta \( p, p^* \), the \( \psi^* \)s and their gradients:

\[
W_{\alpha \alpha} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2mc^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{1}{2} mc^2 \psi^* \psi
\]

\[
= \frac{2mc^2}{\hbar^2} \left( p^* p \right) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi) + \frac{1}{2} mc^2 \psi^* \psi = H \quad (3.3.32)
\]

From this, by using the canonical equations (3.3.6),

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \frac{2mc^2}{\hbar^2} p^*
\]

\[
\frac{\partial p^*}{\partial t} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2mc^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi^*_n} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi^*} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi - \frac{1}{2} mc^2 \psi
\]

plus two others for \( \partial \psi^*/\partial t \) and \( \partial \psi^*/\partial t \). These again give the Klein-Gordon equations for \( \psi \) and \( \psi^* \).

The field momentum density vector is

\[
\mathbf{p} = iW_{\alpha 4} + jW_{\alpha 4} + kW_{\alpha 4} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2mc^2} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \text{grad} \, \psi + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \text{grad} \, \psi^* \right] \quad (3.3.33)
\]

and the energy flow vector \( \mathbf{S} \) is equal to \(-c\mathbf{p}\).

The expressions for charge and current density for this equation may be obtained in several ways. One way, which will be useful later, is to refer ahead to Eq. (3.4.11) to note that the part of the Lagrange function which involves the interaction between electromagnetic potentials and charge current is the expression \((1/c) \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{J} - \varphi \mathbf{p} \). Therefore the
part of the Lagrange density (3.3.27) which involves the $\psi$'s and the potentials;

$$\frac{\hbar e}{2imc} A \cdot [\psi^* \text{grad} \psi - \psi \text{grad} \psi^*] + \frac{\hbar e}{2imc^2} \nabla \left( \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right)$$

should have this form. This indicates that the current density vector should be

$$\mathbf{J} = \left( \frac{\hbar e}{2imc^2} \right) \left[ \psi^* \text{grad} \psi - \psi \text{grad} \psi^* \right]$$

(3.3.34)

which is the same as the expression (2.6.47) for the Schrödinger equation, when $A$ and $\psi$ are zero. The corresponding expression for the charge density where the potentials are zero is

$$\rho = -\frac{\hbar e}{2imc^2} \left[ \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right]$$

(3.3.35)

which is not the same as for the Schrödinger equation. In fact this expression for charge density is not necessarily everywhere positive (or not everywhere negative, depending on the sign of $e$), which is not particularly satisfactory for a wave function (unless we are willing to consider the possibility of the change of sign of the charge).

Incidentally, these expressions for $\mathbf{J}$ and $\rho$ can be obtained from the Klein-Gordon equation itself, by using the same methods as those given on page 255 to obtain $\rho$ and $\mathbf{J}$ for the Schrödinger equation.

### 3.4 Vector Fields

When the field needed to describe some physical phenomenon has several components, the analysis becomes somewhat more complicated but the general principles are the same as those already discussed. The independent variables, which are to be varied until the integrated Lagrange function is a minimum, are the components $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$, functions of the parameters $x, y, z, t$ (or another set of four-dimensional coordinates). The Lagrange density $L$, is an invariant function of the $\psi$'s and their derivatives $\psi_{ij} = \partial \psi / \partial \xi_i$ ($\xi_1 = x, \xi_2 = y, \xi_3 = z, \xi_4 = t$), and the integral

$$\mathcal{L} = \iiint \! L(\psi, \psi_0) \, d\xi_1 \, d\xi_2 \, d\xi_3 \, d\xi_4$$

is to be minimized. The Euler equations, the equations of motion of the field, are

$$\sum_{s=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_s} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_i} \, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

(3.4.1)

or

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_i} - \sum_{s=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_s} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i}} \right)$$
§3.4] Vector Fields

We note that the Lagrange integral $\mathcal{L}$ and the corresponding Lagrange-Euler equations have a sort of "gauge invariance" (see page 211). Addition to the density $L$ of the four-divergence of some four-vector function of the field variables or their derivatives, which goes to zero at the boundaries of the volume, will not change the value of $\mathcal{L}$. For the fourfold integral of a four-divergence is equal to the four-dimensional equivalent of the net outflow integral of the vector function over the boundary surface, and this is zero if the vector function is zero at the boundary. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is not changed by changing $L$ to $L + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{F} = L'$, the new Lagrange density $L'$ will also satisfy the Lagrange-Euler equations (3.4.1). Therefore $\mathcal{L}$ and the Lagrange-Euler equations are invariant under such a change of $L$.

**General Field Properties.** The quantity $p_i = \partial L/\partial \dot{\psi}_i$ is the canonical momentum density for the $i$th component $\psi_i$ though we have seen that its relation to what is usually considered momentum is sometimes quite tenuous. Nevertheless, the quantity $\partial p_i/\partial t$ entering into the Euler equations is analogous to the mass times acceleration in a simpler system. The quantity

$$F_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{ij}} \right)$$

which is equal to the time rate of change of $p_i$ is therefore analogous to a force component corresponding to the field component $\psi_i$. The first term $\partial L/\partial \psi_i$ usually has to do with the presence of external forces acting on the field. The second term often represents the effect of the rest of the field on the $i$th component at $x, y, z, t$.

The tensor $W$, having components

$$W_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{s} \frac{\partial \psi_r}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{rj}} - \delta_{ij}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1.2)

is the stress-energy tensor. Its time component $W_{ii}$ is the energy density $H$ of the field, the integral of which is independent of time.

We can show, as we did earlier, that $H$ can be expressed in terms of the $\psi_r$'s, the canonical momenta $p_r$, and the gradients $\psi_{rj}$. We can proceed as we did on page 304 to obtain the Hamilton canonical equations from the variational principle. These equations turn out to be

$$\frac{\partial \psi_r}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_r = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_r}; \quad \frac{\partial p_r}{\partial t} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_{rj}} \right) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi_r}; \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

From them also we can obtain the equations of motion (3.4.1).
The tensor $\mathfrak{B}$ is often not symmetric, which can be a serious matter inasmuch as we have come to expect stress dyadics to be symmetric. If it is desirable to use a symmetric tensor, we can usually do so by utilizing the "gauge invariance" of the function $\mathcal{L}$ and of the Lagrange equations. We add to the density function $L$ the divergence of some particular vector function of the $\psi$'s and their derivatives and at the same time adjust the scales of the coordinates in a manner which will make the tensor $\mathfrak{B}$ symmetric and still have $W_{44}$ the energy density. This uncertainty in the exact form of the stress-energy tensor is analogous to the uncertainty in form of the energy density of the string, as discussed on page 127. It is only a formal indeterminacy, however, for physically measurable quantities are not affected.

As we showed on page 309, the four-vector obtained by differentiation, having components

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial \xi_j} = 0 \quad (3.4.3)$$

has zero magnitude.

We note, however, that the proof that these divergences are zero depends on the assumption that $L$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ depend on the parameters $\xi_j$ only through the functions $\psi$. If $L$ (and therefore $\mathfrak{B}$) contains other terms (such as potentials or current densities) which are explicit functions of the $\xi$'s, then Eqs. (3.4.3) will differ from zero by terms involving the derivatives of these extra terms with respect to the $\xi$'s. Explicit dependence of $L$ on the coordinates occurs only when the field is coupled to a set of particles or to a material medium causing the field (such as electric charge current). The Lorentz force on an electron, for instance, is given in terms of the field at a particular point in space, namely, the position of the electron. Interactions between the various parts of the field are expressed in terms of integrals over all space, and the dependence on the coordinates only enters through the $\psi$'s.

At any rate, when $L$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ depend on the $\xi$'s only through the field variables $\psi$, Eqs. (3.4.3) hold, and in that case the three-vector

$$\mathbf{S} = iW_{41} + jW_{42} + kW_{43} = \sum_{\tau=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial l} \left[ i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{\tau}} + j \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{\tau}} + k \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{\tau}} \right] \quad (3.4.4)$$

satisfies the equation of continuity, $\text{div} \mathbf{S} + (\partial H/\partial t) = 0$, for the energy. Therefore it must represent the density of energy flow in the field. It can be called the field intensity.

The complementary vector

$$\mathbf{P} = iW_{44} + jW_{44} + kW_{44} = \sum_{\tau=1}^{n} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{\tau}} \text{grad} \psi \quad (3.4.5)$$
has the dimensions of momentum per unit volume and can be called the field momentum density. If \( L \) has been modified so that \( \mathcal{G} \) is a symmetric tensor, then \( \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S} \); in any case \( \mathbf{P} \) is closely related to \( \mathbf{S} \).

The space part of the tensor \( \mathcal{G} \) is a three dyadic

\[
\mathbf{u} = iW_1 + jW_2 + kW_3
\]

where

\[
W_1 = W_{1i}i + W_{1j}j + W_{1k}k = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \psi_r}{\partial x^1} \left( i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{r1}} + j \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{r2}} + k \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{r3}} \right) + Li; \text{ etc.} \quad (3.4.6)
\]

The other three divergence equations (3.4.3) are then given by the vector equation

\[
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = i \text{ div } W_1 + j \text{ div } W_2 + k \text{ div } W_3 = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t}
\]

indicating that, if \( \mathbf{P} \) is a momentum, the derivative of \( \mathbf{u} \) is a force tensor, so that \( \mathbf{u} \) is related to the potential energy due to the field.

In tabular form, the stress energy tensor is

\[
\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix}
W_{11} & W_{12} & W_{13} & P_1 \\
W_{21} & W_{22} & W_{23} & P_2 \\
W_{31} & W_{32} & W_{33} & P_3 \\
S_1 & S_2 & S_3 & H
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( W_{ij} \) given by Eq. (3.4.2) and with \( W_{n4} = P_n \) and \( W_{4n} = S_n \).

An angular momentum density vector may also be generated (if it is needed) by taking the cross product of the radius vector \( \mathbf{r} \) from some origin to the point \((x,y,z)\) with the vector \( \mathbf{P} \) at \((x,y,z)\):

\[
\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{P} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_r} [\mathbf{r} \times \text{ grad } \psi_r]
\]

In quantum mechanics this property of the wave function field turns out to be related to the probable angular momentum of the particles associated with the wave function. In the case of the nonviscous, compressible fluid, for instance, the angular momentum density would be

\[
\mathbf{M} = (p/c^2)(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}) = \rho \mathbf{s} (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v})
\]

according to Eqs. (3.3.15) and (3.3.10). This is the angular momentum of the excess density due to the motion of the fluid.

Therefore the change in energy density \( H \) with time requires an energy flow vector \( \mathbf{S} \), and a change in momentum density \( \mathbf{P} \) with time requires an internal stress dyadic \( \mathbf{II} \). For these reasons the tensor \( \mathcal{G} \) is called the stress-energy tensor, though it would be more accurate to call it the stress-momentum-energy tensor.
\textbf{Isotropic Elastic Media.} We are now in a position to apply these general formulas to a few interesting cases to see what some of this formalism means in physical terms. The first example is that of the motion of an elastic solid, discussed earlier in Secs. 1.6 and 2.2. From the latter section [Eqs. (2.2.17) and (2.2.18)] we see that the Lagrange density for an isotropic elastic medium is

\begin{equation}
L = T - V = \frac{1}{2} \rho (\partial s^2 / \partial t) - \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon|
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \rho \left[ \left( \frac{\partial s_x}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_y}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_z}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] - \lambda \left[ \frac{\partial s_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial s_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial s_z}{\partial z} \right]^2 \right. \\
- 2\mu \left[ \left( \frac{\partial s_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_y}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_z}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] \\
- \mu \left[ \left( \frac{\partial s_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial s_y}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_x}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial s_z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_y}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial s_z}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \tag{3.4.7}
\end{equation}

where the vector \( \mathbf{\varepsilon} \) is the displacement of the point \( x, y, z \) from undistorted equilibrium, \( \rho \) is the density of the medium, \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) its elastic constants, \( \varepsilon \) is the strain dyadic \( \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s} \nabla) \), and \( \mathbf{\varepsilon} \) the stress dyadic

\begin{equation}
\mathbf{\varepsilon} = \lambda \mathbf{\varepsilon} + 2\mu \mathbf{\varepsilon} = \lambda (\text{div } \mathbf{s}) \mathbf{\varepsilon} + \mu (\nabla \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s} \nabla)
\end{equation}

The field variables \( s_x, s_y, s_z \) which are to be varied until the total Lagrange function \( L = \int \int \int L \ dx \ dy \ dz \ dt \) is a minimum. The Lagrange-Euler equation (3.4.1) for \( s_x \) turns out to be

\begin{equation}
\rho \frac{\partial^2 s_x}{\partial t^2} = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\text{div } \mathbf{s}) + \mu \nabla^2 s_x + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\text{div } \mathbf{s})
\end{equation}

This is equivalent to the \( x \) component of the vector equation

\begin{equation}
\rho (\partial^2 s / \partial t^2) = (\lambda + \mu) \text{grad } (\text{div } \mathbf{s}) + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{\varepsilon}
\end{equation}

which is the equation of motion given already in Eq. (2.2.1).

The time part of the tensor \( \mathbb{W} \), defined in Eq. (3.4.2), is the energy density,

\begin{equation}
W_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} \rho (\partial s / \partial t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon| = H
\end{equation}

and the field intensity vector, defined by Eq. (3.4.4), is

\begin{equation}
\mathbf{S} = - (\partial s / \partial t) \cdot \mathbf{\varepsilon}
\end{equation}

which is the energy flow vector given in Eq. (2.2.20). It satisfies the equation of continuity for energy, \( \text{div } \mathbf{S} + (\partial H / \partial t) = 0 \), as shown in Eq. (3.4.3), and proved on page 309 (for in this case \( L \) depends on the coordinates only through the field variables \( s \)).

The tensor \( \mathbb{W} \) is not a symmetric one. The space part, corresponding to the force dyadic defined in Eqs. (3.4.6), is

\begin{equation}
\mathbf{U} = - (\nabla \mathbf{s}) \cdot \mathbf{\varepsilon} - L \mathbf{\varepsilon}
\end{equation}
The field momentum density, defined in Eq. (3.4.5), is

\[ P = \rho(\nabla s) \cdot (\partial s/\partial t) \]

These two quantities satisfy the divergence equation \( \nabla \cdot (sP) = 0 \). If \( P \) is a momentum density, the dyadic \( \nabla \) is related to the stress density, as verified by its definition in terms of \( \nabla \), the stress dyadic.

To illustrate the convenience and compactness of the dyadic and vector notation, we shall write out in full a few of the components of the stress-energy tensor \( \mathbb{W} \): 

\[
W_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + 2\mu) \left[ -\left( \frac{\partial s_{xx}}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_{yy}}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_{zz}}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \rho \left[ \left( \frac{\partial s_{tt}}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \mu \left[ \left( \frac{\partial s_{tx}}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\partial s_{xy}}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_{zy}}{\partial z} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\partial s_{tx}}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_{ty}}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s_{tz}}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
W_{12} = -\frac{\partial s_{x}}{\partial x} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial s_{xy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial s_{yx}}{\partial y} \right) \right] - \frac{\partial s_{y}}{\partial x} \left[ \left( \lambda + 2\mu \right) \frac{\partial s_{xy}}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial s_{xy}}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial s_{xy}}{\partial y} \right],
\]

etc.

There are not many additional comments which are appropriate here. Certainly the variational principle has collected most of the equations and formulas we so laboriously derived in Chap. 2 all in one compact package. Whether or not we can squeeze further physical meaning from the synthesis or can discover a use for the by-product quantities such as field momentum and force dyadic, we have at least developed a straightforward, as well as a suggestive, method for obtaining such important quantities as intensity, energy density, and equations of motion from the expression for a Lagrange density.

**Plane-wave Solutions.** To make more specific the formulas we have derived here, let us apply them to the plane wave, simple harmonic solutions of the equation of motion (2.2.1). Following Eq. (2.2.2), one solution is \( s = \text{grad} \psi \), where

\[
\psi = Ce^{ikr - \omega t}; \quad k = \omega/c_r; \quad \omega_r = (\lambda + 2\mu)/\rho
\]

The actual displacement is then obtained by taking the gradient of \( \psi \),

\[
s_r = \frac{i}{\omega}Ce^{ikr - \omega t} = a_k A e^{ikr - \omega t}; \quad A = \frac{i}{k}C = |A|e^{i\phi}
\]

\[
k = ka_k; \quad a_k = \epsilon + \beta + \gamma k
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are the direction cosines for the propagation vector \( k \). Therefore, the displacement in this compressional wave is in the direction of propagation (as we mentioned before) with an amplitude \( |A| \). The strain tensor is then

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{i}{\omega}(\nabla \psi + \psi \nabla) = -kkCe^{ikr - \omega t} = ika_k A e^{ikr - \omega t};
\]

\[
\mathbb{X} = -[\lambda(\omega^2/c_r)^2 + 2\omega kk]Ce^{ikr - \omega t}
\]

where the dyadic \( kk \) is symmetric and its expansion factor \( |kk| = (\omega/c_r)^2 \).
In order to compute the stress-energy tensor we must take the real parts of these expressions. The energy density, for instance, is

\[ W_{tt} = \rho c^2 |A|^2 \sin^2 \Omega \]

where \( \Omega = k \cdot r - \alpha t + \varphi = (\omega/c_0)(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z - c_d) + \varphi \). The energy flow vector is

\[ S = a_0 p \omega^2 |A|^2 \sin^2 \Omega \]

and the wave momentum vector is \( P = S/c_0^2 \). The space part of the dyad turns out to be

\[ \mathbf{u} = a_0 a_\rho \omega^2 |A|^2 \sin^2 \Omega \]

All of this, of course, is very nearly the same as the results given on page 312 for compressional waves in a fluid.

In the case of transverse or shear waves

\[ s = a_{tp} B e^{i(k_{0}t - \omega t)}; \quad \mathbf{k} = \omega/c_0; \quad c_t^2 = \mu/\rho; \quad B = |B| e^{i\varphi} \]

where \( a_p \) is a unit vector perpendicular to \( \mathbf{k} \). The stress and strain dyadics are given by the equations

\[ \mathcal{X} = 2\mu \mathcal{E} = i\rho c_0 B (a_{tp} a_p + a_{tp} a_p) e^{i(k_{0}t - \omega t)} \]

The dyadic \( (a_{tp} a_p + a_{tp} a_p) \) is symmetric but has zero expansion factor, so that \( |\mathcal{X}| \) and \( |\mathcal{E}| \) are both equal to zero.

The various parts of the stress-energy tensor are

\[ W_{tt} = \rho c^2 |B|^2 \sin^2 \Omega; \quad S = a_0 p \omega^2 |B|^2 \sin^2 \Omega; \quad P = S/c_0^2; \quad \mathbf{u} = a_0 a_\rho \omega^2 |B|^2 \sin^2 \Omega \]

which have the same form as the expressions for a compressional plane wave. In other words the energy flow vector and the wave momentum vector are pointed along the propagation vector \( \mathbf{k} \), even though the displacement of the medium is perpendicular to \( \mathbf{k} \).

**Impedance.** In the case of nonisotropic media the Lagrange density is [see Eq. (1.6.29)]

\[ L = \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{s}}{\partial t} \cdot \mathbf{s} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{s}) \cdot \mathbf{\mathbb{I}} : (\nabla \mathbf{s}) \]

where \( \mathbb{I} \) (gimmel) is a tetradic with elements \( g_{mnr} \) determined by the nature of the medium. Due to the symmetry of the dyadics \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \mathcal{X} \), there are certain symmetries of the tetradic which always hold: \( g_{mnr} = g_{rmn} = g_{nrm} \). In the case of an isotropic medium the elements are

\[ g_{mnr} = [\lambda \delta_{mn} \delta_{rs} + \mu (\delta_{mr} \delta_{ns} + \delta_{ms} \delta_{nr})] \]

or \( \mathbf{j} = \lambda \mathbf{j} + \mu \mathbf{j}; \quad \mathbf{S} : \mathbb{A} = [\mathbf{S}] [\mathbb{A}]; \quad \mathbf{S} : \mathbb{A} = [\mathbf{S}] [\mathbb{A}]; \quad \mathbf{S} : \mathbb{A} = [\mathbf{S}] [\mathbb{A}] \)

For the nonisotropic case, the equation of motion is

\[ \rho (\partial \mathbf{s} / \partial t) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} : (\nabla \mathbf{s}) \]
which is a complicated second-order partial differential equation for the components of \( s \). Here it is not always possible to separate out pure compressional and pure transverse waves; also waves in different directions travel at different velocities.

The elements of the stress-energy tensor are

\[
\begin{align*}
W_{tt} &= \frac{1}{3} \rho (\partial s/\partial t)^2 + \frac{1}{3} (\nabla s) \cdot (\nabla s) \\
S &= - (\partial s/\partial t) \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot (\nabla s); \quad P = \rho (\nabla s) \cdot (\partial s/\partial t) \\
\mathbf{l} &= - (\nabla s) \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot (\nabla s) - I S
\end{align*}
\]

This same symbolism can be used to discuss the impedance for waves in an elastic medium. As we stated on page 310, the usual driving force is applied to the boundary surface of the medium and is equal to the volume integral of the inertial reaction \( \rho (\partial s/\partial t) \). But this is equal to a divergenelleike expression, \( \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot (\nabla s) \), and the volume integral becomes equal to a surface integral of the surface force density dyadic

\[
\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{a}_n \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot (\nabla s) - \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{v} = i \omega \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{s}
\]

This expression is a dyadic (as are all stresses in an elastic medium) because the force is a vector which changes as the surface is changed in orientation. The force density on an element of boundary having the inward normal pointed along the unit vector \( \mathbf{a}_n \) is \( \mathbf{a}_n \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot (\nabla s) \), which is a vector.

When the driving force is simple harmonic, the steady-state displacement vector also has a factor \( e^{i\omega t} \) (or \( e^{-i\omega t} \), in which case the impedance and admittance will be complex conjugates of the expressions for \( e^{i\omega t} \). The force density across the part of the boundary surface which is vibrating with velocity \( \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{V} e^{i\omega t} = i \omega \mathbf{s} \) is given by the equation

\[
\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{a}_n \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot (\nabla s) - \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{v} = i \omega \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{s}
\]

where \( \mathbf{a}_n \) is a unit vector normal to the surface at the point where \( \mathbf{F} \) is measured. The dyadic \( \mathbf{B} \), which can be expressed in terms of the components \( g \) and the properties of the solution for \( s \), is the impedance dyadic which measures the reaction of the medium to a driving force.

For instance, for the isotropic case, \( \mathbf{1} = \lambda \mathbf{Y} + \rho^0 + \mu^0 \), so that

\[
\mathbf{a}_n \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot (\nabla s) = (\lambda \text{ div } s) \mathbf{a}_n + \mu \mathbf{a}_n \cdot (\nabla s + s \nabla)
\]

For a plane compressional wave, with the driving surface perpendicular to the propagation vector (that is, \( \mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{a}_k \)), then \( (\nabla s) = i \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{K} e^{ikr-\omega t} = (s \nabla) \)

\[
\mathbf{a}_k \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot (\nabla s) = i \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{p}_c A e^{ikr-\omega t} = \rho_c^2 = \lambda + 2\mu
\]

In this case the driving force is in the same direction as the velocity of the medium, \( \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{K} e^{ikr-\omega t} \), so that the impedance dyadic is equal to the characteristic compressional impedance of the medium \( \rho_c \) times the idemfactor.
For a plane shear wave the velocity of the surface \( \omega = k \cdot \gamma \cdot c \cdot e^{\omega t} \) is perpendicular to the propagation vector, and using the formulas on page 323,

\[
a_k \cdot \gamma = \alpha_{\rho \kappa} \delta_{\kappa \mu} B^{\omega x} e^{\omega t}; \quad \rho c^2 = \mu
\]

so that also in this case the driving force is parallel to the velocity and the impedance \( \rho c \) is the characteristic shear impedance \( \rho c^2 \) times the idempotent, although here the driving force and velocity are perpendicular to the propagation vector.

The Electromagnetic Field. Next we come to a field which is expressed in terms of four-vectors, which, in fact, is the field for which the Lorentz transformation was devised, the electromagnetic field. A study of Sec. 2.5 suggests that the fundamental field quantities \( \psi_i \) should be the components of the potential four-vector given on page 208,

\[
V_1 = A_x; \quad V_2 = A_y; \quad V_3 = A_z; \quad V_4 = i\varphi
\]

where \( A \) is the vector potential and \( \varphi \) the scalar potential. In this case we may as well discard the coordinates \( \xi \) used in the previous example in favor of the Lorentz coordinates \( x_1 = x, \ x_2 = y, \ x_3 = z, \ x_4 = it \), as we did in Sec. 2.5. This choice will ensure Lorentz invariance but will require the factor \( i \) to be added at times to retain the proper dimensions. The potential derivatives \( \psi_{ij} \) are therefore

\[
V_{12} = (\partial A_x / \partial y), \text{ etc.}; \quad V_{14} = (1/iv)(\partial A_y / \partial t) \\
V_{41} = iv(\partial \varphi / \partial x), \text{ etc.}; \quad V_{44} = (1/v)(\partial \varphi / \partial t)
\]

In the present notation, then, the field vectors become

\[
E_x = i(V_{41} - V_{14}) = i\psi_{14}; \quad E_y = i(V_{42} - V_{24}) = i\psi_{24} \\
H_x = (V_{12} - V_{21}) = f_{12}; \quad H_y = (V_{13} - V_{31}) = f_{13}
\]

if we assume that \( \mu \) and \( \epsilon \) are both unity. We have as a new complication, not encountered in the case of the elastic solid, the fact that the components of the potential are interrelated by means of an auxiliary divergence condition

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{4} V_{nn} = \text{div} A + \left( \frac{1}{c} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right) = 0
\]

which is equivalent to Eq. (2.5.14), relating \( A \) and \( \varphi \). This zero-value divergence can be added to or subtracted from various expressions to help simplify their form.

What we must now do is to set up a Lagrange density which will generate the equations of motion (see Eq. 2.5.20)

\[
\sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f_{mn} = \sum_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} (V_{nm} - V_{mn}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \sum_n V_{nm} - \sum_n \frac{\partial^2 V_{mn}}{\partial x_n^2} = \frac{4\pi}{c} J_m
\]
equivalent to Maxwell's equations or to the wave equations (2.5.13) and which will produce, as the (4.4) component of the stress energy tensor, the energy density [see Eq. (2.5.28)]

$$W_{44} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E^2 + H^2) = \frac{1}{8\pi} [f_{22} + f_{33} + f_{44} - f_{11} - f_{22} - f_{33}]$$

when the four-vector I is zero. This vector I was defined on page 208, as the charge-current-density vector

$$I_1 = J_x; \quad I_2 = J_y; \quad I_3 = J_z; \quad I_4 = \dot{\rho} \text{c}$$

It is a little difficult to use the definition that L should be the difference between the kinetic energy density and the potential energy density, for it is not obvious which is kinetic and which potential energy. Examination of possible invariants suggests that part of the expression be \((1/8\pi)(E^2 - H^2)\); presumably the rest includes a scalar product of vector I with the potential vector V. Computing the Lagrange-Euler equation for such an L and comparing with Eq. (3.4.10) show that the proper expression for the Lagrange density is

$$L = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \left\{ (V_{41} - V_{14})^2 + (V_{42} - V_{24})^2 + (V_{43} - V_{34})^2 \right\}
- \frac{1}{c} \left\{ (V_{11} - V_{14})^2 + (V_{22} - V_{24})^2 \right\}
+ \frac{1}{c} \left\{ I_1 V_1 + I_2 V_2 + I_3 V_3 + I_4 V_4 \right\}
= -\frac{1}{16\pi} \sum_{n,m} f_{nm} + \frac{1}{c} \sum_n I_n V_n = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E^2 - H^2) + \frac{1}{c} \dot{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \mathbf{A} - \dot{\rho} \text{c} \quad (3.4.11)$$

Therefore Maxwell's equations for free space \((\mathbf{J} \text{ and } \rho, \text{ zero})\) correspond to the requirement that \(E^2\) be as nearly equal to \(H^2\) as the boundary conditions allow.

The Lagrange-Euler equations (3.4.1) are just the Maxwell equations (3.4.10). The canonical momentum density vector \(\mathbf{p}\), having components \(p_n = (1/c)(\partial L/\partial V_{n4}) (n = 1, 2, 3)\), which turn out to be \((1/4\pi c)\) \((V_{n1} - V_{14})\), is the vector \(-(1/4\pi)\mathbf{E}\). The "force vector" corresponding to the rate of change of this momentum with respect to time is then \(\dot{\mathbf{J}}/c - (1/4\pi) \text{c} \text{ curl } \mathbf{H}\). The time component of the canonical momentum density \((\partial \mathbf{p}_{4} / \partial \mathbf{V}_{44})\), is zero, and the time component of the Lagrange-Euler equations (3.4.10), \(\text{div } \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho\) is a sort of equation of continuity for the canonical momentum density vector \(\mathbf{p} = -(1/4\pi c)\mathbf{E}\).

**Stress-energy Tensor.** The time component of the momentum-energy tensor \(W\) should be the Hamiltonian density:
\[ W_{44} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} V_{4i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\varphi}_{4i}} - L = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{3} (V_{4m} - V_{m4})^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{3} V_{4m}(V_{4m} - V_{m4}) + \frac{1}{8\pi} [(V_{12} - V_{21})^2 + (V_{23} - V_{32})^2 + (V_{31} - V_{13})^2] - \frac{1}{c} \sum_{m=1}^{4} I_m V_n \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r} f_{4r}^2 + \frac{1}{10\pi} \sum_{s,r} f_{4r}^2 + \frac{1}{c} \sum_{n} I_n V_n + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{m} V_{4m} f_{4m} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E^2 + H^2) - \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \rho \varphi + \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{E} \cdot \text{grad} \varphi \]  

(3.4.12)

which differs from the results of Eq. (2.5.28) by the terms \([\rho \varphi + (1/4\pi)E \cdot \text{grad} \varphi]\). However the expression \(E \cdot \text{grad} \varphi\) is equal to \(\text{div} (\varphi \mathbf{E}) - \varphi \text{div} \mathbf{E}\); and by remembering that \(\text{div} \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho\), we see that the extra terms are just equal to \((1/4\pi) \text{div} (\varphi \mathbf{E})\). Since the integral of a divergence over all space equals the net outflow integral at infinity, which is zero, we see that the average value of \(W_{44}\) is equal to the average value of the Hamiltonian density

\[ U = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E^2 + H^2) - \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A} = T_{44} - \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A} \]  

(3.4.13)

where the tensor \(\Sigma\) is defined in Eq. (2.5.30). This is an example of the gauge-invariant properties of the field mentioned on page 211 and also of the fact, noted on page 126, that energy density and energy flow are not uniquely determined, except in terms of the integral over all space.

On the other hand, to obtain the correct results for the Hamiltonian canonical equations given on page 319, we must use the full expression for \(W_{44}\), with the canonical momentum \(\mathbf{p}\) inserted for \(- (1/4\pi) \mathbf{E}\). The Hamiltonian then takes on the form

\[ \mathcal{H} = 2\pi c^2 \rho^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi} H^2 - \mathbf{cp} \cdot \text{grad} \varphi - \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \rho \varphi \]

\[ = \sum_{n=1}^{3} (2\pi c^2 \rho_n^2 + icV_{n4}p_n) - \frac{1}{c} \sum_{m=1}^{4} V_{m4} I_m \]

\[ + \frac{1}{8\pi} [(V_{12} - V_{21})^2 + (V_{23} - V_{32})^2 + (V_{31} - V_{13})^2] \]

The equation \(\partial \varphi_n / \partial t = icV_{n4} = \partial H / \partial p_n\) becomes

\[ ic(V_{n4} - V_{4n}) = 4\pi c^2 \rho_n \quad \text{or} \quad p_n = (1/4\pi c)(V_{4n} - V_{n4}) \]
which is the original definition of \( p_n \). The equations

\[
\frac{\partial p_n}{\partial t} = \sum_{r=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial V_{nr}} \right) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial V_r}
\]

become the Maxwell equations

\[- \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{curl} \ H + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J}; \quad p_t = 0; \quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{div} \ E + \rho = 0 \]

Therefore one can use the component \( W_{\alpha \beta} \) to calculate the Hamiltonian, but one should use \( U \) to compute the conventional energy density.

A similar sort of adjustment must be made to obtain the familiar forms for intensity vector and field momentum from the nondiagonal terms of the stress-energy tensor. We have

\[
W_{mn} = \sum_{r=1}^{4} V_{rn} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial V_{rm}} \right) = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r} V_{rn} (V_{nr} - V_{mr})
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r} (V_{rn} - V_{mr})(V_{nr} - V_{mr}) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r} (V_{nr}V_{rn} - V_{mr}V_{mr})
\]

(3.4.14)

The second sum in the last expression can be modified by using the auxiliary condition (3.4.9) and also the wave equation for the potentials [Eqs. (2.5.15)]

\[
\sum_{r} (\partial V_{mr}/\partial x_r) = -(\nu \lambda /c):
\]

\[- \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r} (V_{mr}V_{rn} - V_{mr}V_{rn}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} [V_{m}(V_{nr} - V_{mr})]
\]

\[+ \frac{V_{m}}{4\pi} \sum_{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sum_{r} V_{rr} - \sum_{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} V_{rr} \]

The first sum is a four-divergence, which is zero on the average. The second sum is zero because of Eq. (3.4.9) and the third is equal to \( \lambda V_{mr}/c \).

Therefore the average value of \( W_{mn} (m \neq n) \) is equal to the average value of the terms

\[
T_{mn} + \left( \frac{V_{m}I_n}{c} \right) = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r=1}^{4} f_n f_{mr} + \left( \frac{V_{m}I_n}{c} \right)
\]

(3.4.15)

In fact the average value of any of the terms \( W_{mn} \) of the tensor \( \mathfrak{S} \) is equal
to the average value of the tensor with terms

\[ T_{mn} + \frac{1}{c} V_n I_m - \frac{1}{c} \delta_{mn} \sum_r V_r I_r, \]

where

\[ T_{mn} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \sum_r f_{mn} f_{rr} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{mn} \sum_{r,s} f_{rs}^2 \right) \]  

(3.4.16)

The tensor \( \mathcal{T} \) has been discussed earlier, on page 216.

In those parts of space where the charge-current vector is zero the tensor \( \mathcal{T} \) is the stress-energy tensor. Expressed in terms of fields, the components are

\[ T_{11} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ E_x^2 - E_y^2 - E_z^2 + H_x^2 - H_y^2 - H_z^2 \right]; \text{ etc.} \]

\[ T_{44} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ E_x^2 \mid E_y^2 \mid E_z^2 \mid H_x^2 \mid H_y^2 \mid H_z^2 \right] - U \]  

(3.4.17)

\[ T_{12} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( E_x H_y - E_y H_x \right) = T_{21}; \text{ etc.} \]

\[ T_{14} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( E_x H_z - E_z H_x \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( E \times H \right)_x = T_{41}; \text{ etc.} \]

Field Momentum. The fact that we have discarded the tensor \( \mathcal{W} \) for the tensor \( \mathcal{T} \) need not trouble us unduly, for \( \mathcal{W} \) does not satisfy the divergence conditions (3.4.3) unless \( \mathbf{J} \) and \( \mathbf{\rho} \) are zero, so \( \mathcal{W} \) would not be very useful anyway. The divergence relations for \( \mathcal{T} \) are not simple either because of the separation off of the four-divergence terms. We have

\[ \sum_r \frac{\partial T_{mr}}{\partial x_r} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{r,s} f_{mr} \frac{\partial f_{rs}}{\partial x_s} = \frac{1}{c} \sum_r f_{mr} I_r = k_m \]  

(3.4.18)

where \( k_m \) is the \( m \)th component of the force-density vector defined in Eq. (2.5.27). The space part

\[ \rho \mathbf{E} + (1/c) \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{H} \]

gives the magnitude and direction of the force on the charge-current distribution. It should equal the time rate of change of the momentum of the charge, which, together with the rate of change of the momentum of the field, should equal the net force on the field plus charge. Taking the integral of \( \mathbf{k}_m \), for instance, over a given portion of space, and calling \( \Pi_1 \) the \( x \) component of the momentum of the charge current in this region, we have

\[ \frac{d \Pi_1}{dt} = \iiint h_k \, dv - \iiint \frac{\partial T_{14}}{\partial x_4} \, dv + \iiint \text{div} \, \mathbf{F}_1 \, dv \]

\[ = - \frac{1}{4\pi c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H})_x \, dv + \iiint \mathbf{F}_1 \cdot dA \]
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where \( \mathbf{F} = T_1\mathbf{i} + T_2\mathbf{j} + T_3\mathbf{k} \) is the net force acting on the \( x \) components of field and charge momenta, and where the last integral is a surface integral over the boundary of the given portion of space. If now

\[
P = \frac{1}{4\pi c} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = \frac{i}{c} [T_1\mathbf{i} + T_2\mathbf{j} + T_3\mathbf{k}] \quad (3.4.19)
\]

is called the field momentum (see page 321), then the previous equation states that the net stress \( T \) acting over the surface of a portion of space equals the rate of change of the momentum \( \Pi \) of the charge current inside the surface plus the rate of change of the field momentum \( \mathbf{P} \) inside the same surface.

The time component \( k_4 \) of Eq. (3.4.18) is the time rate of change of the kinetic energy \( T \) of the charge current. This equation also has physical significance, which becomes clearer if we define the rate of flow of energy by the usual vector (called here the Poynting vector)

\[
\mathbf{S} = i\mathbf{c}[T_1\mathbf{i} + T_2\mathbf{j} + T_3\mathbf{k}] = (c/\mu)(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) \quad (3.4.20)
\]

The component \( T_{44} \) is, of course, just the energy density \( U \) of the field. The \( m = 4 \) part of Eq. (3.4.18) is therefore

\[
\text{div} \mathbf{S} + (\partial U/\partial t) = - (\partial T/\partial t)
\]

so that the equation of continuity for energy flow is that the net outflow integral of \( S \) over a closed boundary is equal to the negative rate of change of energy of charge current \( T \) and of the field \( U \) for the volume inside the boundary. Thus all the components of tensor \( \Xi \) have physical significance.

The density of angular momentum in a field with no charge current present is

\[
\mathbf{M} = r \times \mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{4\pi c} r \times (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = \frac{1}{4\pi c} [(r \cdot \mathbf{H})\mathbf{E} - (r \cdot \mathbf{E})\mathbf{H}]
\]

The total angular momentum of the field about the origin is obtained by integrating \( \mathbf{M} \) over all the volume occupied by the field.

When an electromagnetic field, divorced from charge current, is confined inside a finite volume of space (a wave packet) which moves about as time is changed, we can show that the integral of the four quantities \( (P_x, P_y, P_z, U) = (iT_{14}/c, iT_{24}/c, iT_{34}/c, T_{44}) \) over the space occupied by the field (i.e., integrated over the three space perpendicular to the time axis at any given instant) is a four-vector satisfying the Lorentz requirements for transformation of a four-vector. For in this case \( \sum_r (\partial T_{mr}/\partial x_r) = 0 \) so that, if \( C_m \) are the components of a constant four-vector, the four-divergence of the four-vector with components \( B_r = \sum_m C_m T_{mr} \), \( \sum_r (\partial B_r/\partial x_r) \) is zero, and the integral of the normal
component of this vector over the surface of an arbitrary volume in four space is zero. We choose for the volume in four space the "four prism" with axis along the time dimension parallel to the motion of the wave packet and with space part perpendicular to this axis and large enough to contain the packet completely. The surface integral over the space part (along the sides of the four prism) is zero, for the field is zero outside the packet. Hence the integral of the time component of $B_i \sum_m C_m T_{mi}$, over the packet at one end of the four prism must be equal to the same integral over the other end of the prism at an earlier time. Therefore, for this case, the integral of $\Sigma C_m T_{mi}$ over the packet is a Lorentz invariant and the components given by the integration of $T_{mi}$ over the packet (over volume perpendicular to the time axis) are components of a true four-vector. This is what we set out to prove.

This result indicates that, if we have such a thing as a wave packet of electromagnetic field, the vector, having as components the integrated field momentum $\mathbf{P}$ and the integrated field energy $U$, is a true momentum-energy vector behaving just as if the packet were a material particle. Its angular momentum can be obtained by integrating the $\mathbf{M}$ just obtained.

There are many other interesting properties of the electromagnetic field which can be obtained by means of the variational machinery we have set up.

**Gauge Transformation.** Many of the difficulties we have encountered in going from Lagrange density to energy density can be simplified by choosing the right gauge. If, instead of the gauge defined by the equation $\text{div} \ A + (1/c)(\partial \varphi / \partial t) = 0$, we use the gauge defined by the equation $\varphi = 0$, the Maxwell equations reduce to

\[
\begin{align*}
curl \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H} ; \\
E &= -(1/c)(\partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t) = \mathbf{D}/\varepsilon \\
div (\epsilon \mathbf{A}/\partial \varphi) &= -(4\pi \rho / c^2) \\
curl (c \mathbf{A}) + (\epsilon \mathbf{e}/c^2)(\partial^2 \mathbf{A}/\partial t^2) &= (4\pi \mathbf{J})
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.4.21)

In other words, we use both longitudinal and transverse parts of $\mathbf{A}$, the longitudinal part being determined by the charge density and the transverse part being largely determined by the current density. This gauge is particularly useful for cases where there is no free charge $\rho$, though it is also useful at other times.

In this gauge, the Lagrange density is

\[
L = \frac{\epsilon}{8\pi c^2} \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}^2}{\partial t} \right| - \frac{1}{8\pi \mu} |\text{curl} \mathbf{A}|^2 + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}) + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A}
\]

(3.4.22)

The canonical momentum density is, therefore, $\mathbf{p} - \epsilon \mathbf{A} \cdot 4\pi \mathbf{e} - (\mathbf{D} \cdot 4\pi \mathbf{e})$. The Lagrange-Euler equations give us the last of Eqs. (3.4.21); the first
two equations define the relation between the fields and the potential, and the third equation fixes the gauge.

The Hamiltonian density is then

\[ \mathcal{W}_{44} = p \cdot \dot{A} - L = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E \cdot D + H \cdot B) - \frac{1}{e} J \cdot A \]

\[ = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\epsilon} n^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi \mu} \|\text{curl } A\|^2 - \frac{1}{e} J \cdot A - \Re \quad (3.1.23) \]

The second of the modified canonical equations (3.4.2) again corresponds to the last of Eqs. (3.4.21).

To find the rest of the stress energy tensor \( \mathsf{T} \) in this gauge we note that the dyadic with \( (x,y) \) component \( \partial L/\partial (\partial A_x/\partial y) \) is \( (H \times 3/4\pi) = -(3 \times H)/4\pi \). Utilizing this expression, we see that the energy flow vector is (for \( \mu = \epsilon = 1 \))

\[ S = -\dot{A} \cdot (3 \times H/4\pi) = (c/4\pi)(E \times H) \]

which is the same as the expression given in Eq. (3.4.20). Therefore this particular choice of gauge gives the standard form for the energy density and the Poynting vector without all the fussing with divergences which the usual choice of gauge requires and which we displayed in earlier pages. The field momentum vector, on the other hand, has a modified form,

\[ \mathbf{p} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla A) \cdot \dot{A} - \frac{c}{4\pi} [D \times B] - D \cdot (\nabla A) \]

and, correspondingly, the space part of the stress-energy tensor is modified, becoming

\[ \mathbf{e} = (1/4\pi)(\nabla A) \times H - 3L \]

These quantities are not quite so familiar to us as are the energy density and Poynting vector, so they may, perhaps, be allowed to take on these modified forms (or else the divergence argument may be applied to arrive at the more familiar form).

**Impedance Dyadic.** To determine the field impedance for the electromagnetic field it is most convenient to use this latest choice of gauge, which gives the “correct” form for energy density and energy flow density. We return to the Lagrange-Euler equations (or the canonical equations)

\[ \dot{\phi} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \cdot (3 \times H) + \frac{1}{c} J \]

The quantity on the right is the “force” which causes a rate of change of the momentum \( p = eA \cdot 4\pi c = -(D \cdot 4\pi c) \). The part which can be applied at the boundary surface, according to the arguments of page 310, is the dyadic \( (-1/4\pi)(3 \times H) \), the divergence of which enters into the above expression for force density. If an electromagnetic wave is
started at some part of the boundary surface, the "reaction" of the wave back on the element of area \( dA \) is, accordingly,

\[
(1/4\pi) dA \cdot (\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{H}) = (1/4\pi) (dA \times \mathbf{H})
\]

a vector perpendicular to \( \mathbf{H} \) and to \( dA \) (i.e., tangential to the boundary surface). Relating this to the circuitual rule (see page 220) \( e \mathcal{F} \mathbf{H} \cdot ds = 4\pi i \) we see that if the wave is "caused" by a surface current in the boundary surface, then the vector \(-(c/4\pi)(dA \times \mathbf{H})\) is just equal, in amount and direction, to the part of this surface current which is contained in the element \( dA \). The integral of this vector over all the driving surface gives us just the total current sheet.

The "velocity" vector is \( \mathbf{\dot{A}} = -c\mathbf{E} \), so that the quantity corresponding to the impedance for the potential \( \mathbf{A} \), in the direction given by the unit vector \( \mathbf{a} \), is the dyadic which changes the vector \( -c\mathbf{E} \) into the vector \( (a/4\pi) \times \mathbf{H} \). However, the choice we have made as to which expression is to be "force" and which "velocity" is just the inverse of the usual definition of impedance, which is that \( Z \) is the ratio of voltage to current (\( H \) is proportional to current and \( E \) to voltage).

Consequently we define the impedance dyadic \( \mathbf{\mathcal{Z}} \) of the electromagnetic field as the "ratio" between the electric field and \( c/4\pi \) times the magnetic field, and the admittance dyadic \( \mathbf{\mathcal{Y}} \) of the field as its inverse:

\[
4\pi \mathbf{E} = -c\mathbf{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \mathbf{H}; \quad c\mathbf{H} = -4\pi \mathbf{\mathcal{Y}} \cdot \mathbf{E}; \quad \mathbf{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathbf{\mathcal{Z}}^{-1}
\]  

(3.4.24)

The admittance of the field in the direction of the unit vector \( \mathbf{a} \) is then \( \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{\mathcal{Y}} \), as can be seen by vector multiplication of the second equation by \( \mathbf{a} \).

Incidentally we notice that, if \( \mathbf{E} \) is analogous to a voltage and \( (c/4\pi) \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{H} \) to a current, so that the "ratio" is an impedance, the "product" \( (c/4\pi)(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{H}) \) is the ratio of energy consumption, i.e., the energy flow density [which Eq. (3.4.20) shows it to be]. Therefore our analogy is complete.

**Plane-wave Solution.** If there is no charge current and if \( \varepsilon = \mu = 1 \), a simple solution of the equations of motion (3.4.21) is

\[
\mathbf{A} = a_p A e^{i(kx - \omega t)}; \quad \mathbf{k} = (\omega/c)a_p; \quad A = |A| e^{i\varphi}
\]

where \( a_p \) and \( a_n \) are two mutually perpendicular unit vectors. The fields are therefore

\[
\mathbf{E} = i(\omega/c)a_p A e^{i(kx - \omega t)}; \quad \mathbf{H} = i(\omega/c)(a_n \times a_p) A e^{i(kx - \omega t)} = a_n \times \mathbf{E}
\]

so that the vectors \( k \), \( E \), and \( \mathbf{H} \) form a right-handed, orthogonal trio of vectors. As usual with plane-wave solutions, the value of the Lagrange function is zero. The energy density and the Poynting vector are

\[
U = \frac{E^2}{4\pi} = \frac{\omega^2 |A|^2}{4\pi c^2} \sin^2 \Omega; \quad S = \frac{\omega^2 |A|^2}{4\pi c} a_n \sin^2 \Omega
\]

\[
\Omega = k(ax + by + cz - ct + \varphi)
\]
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where \(\alpha, \beta, \) and \(\gamma\) are the direction cosines of \(k\) on the \(x, y, z\) axes.  Dyadic \((\nabla A)\) is \(i(\omega/c)a_ka_yAe^{ikr-\omega t}\) so that the field momentum density is

\[
P = \frac{\omega^2|A|^2}{4\pi c} a_k \sin^2 \Omega = S
\]

and the space part of the stress energy tensor is the symmetric dyadic

\[
\mathbb{I} = \frac{\omega^2|A|^2}{4\pi c^2} a_k a_k \sin^2 \Omega
\]

In matrix form the whole stress-energy tensor has the following symmetric form:

\[
\mathbb{S} = \frac{\omega^2|A|^2}{4\pi c^2} \sin^2 (k \cdot r - \omega t + \varphi) \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha^2 & \alpha \beta & \alpha \gamma & \alpha c_k \\
\beta \alpha & \beta^2 & \beta \gamma & \beta c_k \\
\gamma \alpha & \gamma \beta & \gamma^2 & \gamma c_k \\
c_{\alpha} & c_{\beta} & c_{\gamma} & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Finally the impedance of the plane wave is the ratio between the vector \(E\) and the vector \(-c/(4\pi)H\), which is the dyadic

\[
\mathbb{Z} = (4\pi/c)\mathbb{S} \times a_k
\]

and the admittance is

\[
\mathbb{Y} = (c/(4\pi))\mathbb{S} \times a_k
\]

The impedance of the wave in the direction of propagation is thus

\[
(4\pi/c)a_k \times \mathbb{Z} \times a_k = -(4\pi/c)(\mathbb{Z} - a_k a_k)
\]

In the Gaussian units, which we are using here, the "magnitude" of the impedance of an electromagnetic plane wave in vacuum is thus \(4\pi/c\).

There are only a few other fields meriting attention in this chapter.

Dirac Equation. For instance, we should be able to set up a Lagrange density for the Dirac equation for the electron, Eq. (2.6.57). Here we have eight independent field functions, the four components of \(\psi, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4\) [given in Eq. (2.6.56)] along the four directions in spin space and the corresponding components of \(\psi^*\). A little juggling of expressions will show that the Lagrange density is:

\[
L = \frac{hc}{2i} [\text{(grad } \psi^*) \cdot A \psi - \psi^* \text{a} \cdot \text{(grad } \psi)] + \frac{\hbar}{2i} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \psi - \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right] - e\psi^* \text{a} \cdot A \psi + ec\psi^* \varphi \psi - mc^2 \psi^* \text{a}_0 \psi \quad (3.4.25)
\]

where \(A\) and \(\varphi\) are the electromagnetic potentials at the position of the electron, \(m\) and \(e\) the electronic mass and charge, where \(\psi\) and \(\psi^*\) represent all four components of each vector and where the operators \(\text{a} = \alpha \text{i} + \alpha \text{j} + \alpha \text{k}\) and \(\alpha_0\) are those defined in Eqs. (2.6.55).

The Lagrange-Felder equations may be obtained in the usual manner after substituting for \(\psi^*, \psi\) in terms of \(\psi_1, \psi_1^*, \psi_2, \psi_2^*, \psi_3, \psi_3^*, \psi_4, \psi_4^*\) in
Eq. (3.4.25) and performing the necessary operations required by the operators $\alpha$. For instance, the equation
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{ix}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{iy}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{iz}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{it}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i*}} = 0
\]
results in
\[
c \left[ \left( \frac{h}{i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{e}{c} A \psi \right) + i \left( \frac{h}{i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + \frac{e}{c} A \psi \right) + \left( \frac{h}{i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} + \frac{e}{c} A \psi \right) \right] + \left( \frac{h}{i c} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - e\varphi \psi \right) + mc\psi = 0
\]
which is one term of the Dirac equations (2.6.57). However, we can obtain the same result more easily by considering that only two field variables, $\psi$ and $\psi^*$, are involved and performing the necessary partial derivatives formally as though they were simple functions instead of vectors in spin space. For instance, the Lagrange-Föder equation
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i*}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i*}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i*}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i*}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i*}} = 0
\]
corresponds to the whole of Eq. (2.6.57),
\[
c \left[ c \omega_{\alpha \beta} \psi + \alpha \cdot \left( \frac{h}{i} \text{grad} \psi + \frac{e}{c} A \psi \right) + \left( \frac{h}{i c} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - e\varphi \psi \right) \right] = 0
\]
one part of which is Eq. (3.4.26). The corresponding equation for the spin vector $\psi^*$ is
\[
c \left[ \psi^* \omega_{\alpha \beta} + \alpha \cdot \left( \frac{h}{i} \text{grad} \psi^* + \frac{e}{c} A \psi^* \right) + \left( \frac{h}{i c} \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} - e\varphi \psi^* \right) \right] = 0
\]
The energy is again the (4,4) component of the tensor $\mathfrak{h}$:
\[
\begin{align*}
W_{44} &= \psi^* \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{i*}} + \psi_t \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_t} - L = H \\
&= mc^2 \psi^* \alpha_0 \psi + cA \cdot (\psi^* \alpha \psi) - ce(\psi^* \varphi \psi) \\
&\quad + \frac{hc}{2i} \left[ \psi^* \alpha \cdot \text{grad} \psi - \psi^* \alpha \cdot \varphi \psi \right]
\end{align*}
\]
and the "field intensity" vector is
\[
S = iW_{41} + jW_{42} + kW_{43} = \frac{hc}{2i} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \alpha \psi - \psi^* \alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right]
\]
whereas the "field momentum" vector is
\[
P - iW_{14} + jW_{24} + kW_{34} = \frac{h}{2i} [(\text{grad} \psi^*) \psi - \psi^* (\text{grad} \psi)]
\]
Neither of these vectors is proportional to the current density vector
\[ \mathbf{J} = c \psi^* \mathbf{a} \psi \]
given in Eq. (2.6.59). As a matter of fact, since \( L \) is only a linear function of the time derivatives of the fields, the canonical moments are proportional to the fields themselves and the whole formalism of the Hamilton canonical equations must be modified in the manner described on page 315. What is more important is the expression for the Lagrangian, which is minimized, and the expression for the energy and momentum densities.

**Problems for Chapter 3**

**3.1**

\( a. \) Show that a generating function \( S'(q,P,t) \) may be defined as follows:

\[ S' = S(q,Q,t) + PQ \]
and that

\[ p = \delta S' / \delta \dot{q}; \quad Q = \delta S / \delta P'; \quad K = H + (\delta S'/\delta t) \]

\( b. \) Show that \( S' = qP \) is the identity transformation.

\( c. \) Show under an infinitesimal transformation

\[ S' = qP + \epsilon T(q,P) = qP + \epsilon T(q,p); \quad \epsilon \ll 1 \]

that

\[ P' - p = -\epsilon (\partial T / \partial q); \quad Q - q = \epsilon (\partial T / \partial P') \]

\( d. \) Show that \( \Delta f = f(P,Q) - f(p,q) \) is given by

\[ \Delta f = \delta[f,T] \]

(where \( [f,T] \) is the Poisson bracket), and therefore show that the quantity \( T \) is a constant of the motion if the corresponding transformation leaves the Hamiltonian invariant.

\( e. \) Show that the proper \( T \) for an infinitesimal rotation about the \( z \) axis is \( (x \times p) \cdot M \).

**3.2**

Show that the Lagrange equations are not changed when a total time derivative is added to the Lagrangian. Hence show that the Lagrangian for a nonrelativistic particle moving in an electromagnetic field may be written

\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 - e \phi - (e/c)[(\partial A / \partial t) + v \cdot (\nabla A)] \cdot r \]

where \( \nabla A \) is a dyadic (the gradient operating on \( A \) only). Show that the corresponding Hamiltonian is

\[ \mathcal{H} = (\frac{1}{2} m) p + (e/c)(\nabla A) \cdot r^2 + (e/c)r \cdot (\partial A' / \partial t) + e \phi \quad (\text{Richards}) \]
3.3 Show that the Lagrange-Euler equation for a generalized orthogonal coordinate system \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) is

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\xi}} - \left( \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \right) \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\xi}_i} \left[ h_1 h_2 h_3 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \dot{\xi}_i} \right)} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)} \right] = 0
\]

Employing \( \nabla \psi \) as the Lagrangian density, derive the result

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \left[ h_1 h_2 h_3 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_i} \right]
\]

3.4 Show that the tensor of the third rank \( T_{\mu \nu} = W_{\mu \nu} \) (see page 319)

\[
M_{\mu \lambda} = T_{\mu \lambda \kappa} - T_{\mu \kappa \lambda}
\]

satisfies the continuity equation

\[
\sum_\mu \frac{\partial M_{\mu \lambda}}{\partial x_\mu} = 0
\]

only if \( T_{\mu \nu} \) is symmetric. Show that \( M_{\mu \lambda} \) is just the angular momentum density and that the continuity equation yields, upon integration, the principle of the conservation of angular momentum.

3.5 a. Show in an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation

\[
x'_\mu = x_\mu + \sum_\nu \omega_{\mu \nu} x_\nu
\]

that \( \omega_{\mu \nu} = -\omega_{\nu \mu} \).

b. From the fact that the Lagrangian density is invariant against Lorentz transformation, show, in the electromagnetic case, where

\[
L = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \nu} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x_\nu} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial A_\nu}{\partial x_\mu} \right) \right]^2
\]

that

\[
\sum_{\mu \nu} \omega_{\mu \nu} \Gamma_{\mu \nu} = 0
\]

where

\[
\Gamma_{\mu \nu} = -T_{\mu \nu} + \sum_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial A_\lambda / \partial x_\mu)} A_\lambda \right]
\]

Show also that

\[
\Gamma_{\mu \nu} = \Gamma_{\nu \mu}
\]

3.6 When \( T_{\mu \nu} \) is not symmetric, it is always possible to find a symmetric tensor \( S_{\mu \nu} \) which is symmetric and which has all the physical properties of \( T_{\mu \nu} \).
a. Show that \( S_{\mu\nu} \) must satisfy the conditions
\[
S_{\mu\nu} = S_{\nu\mu}; \quad \sum_{\mu} (\partial_x \partial x_\mu) S_{\mu\nu} = 0; \quad \int S_{\mu\nu} \, dV = \int T_{\mu\nu} \, dV
\]

b. Show that \( S_{\nu\mu} \) must have the form
\[
S_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \sum_{\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial G_{\mu\nu\lambda}}{\partial x_\lambda} \right)
\]
where \( G_{\lambda\mu\nu} = -G_{\mu\lambda\nu} \) and \( T_{\mu\nu} - T_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} [G_{\lambda\mu\nu} - G_{\lambda\nu\mu}] \)

c. Using the results of Prob. 3.5, part b, show that
\[
G_{\lambda\mu\nu} - G_{\lambda\nu\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (H_{\lambda\mu\nu} + H_{\lambda\nu\mu} + H_{\lambda\mu\nu})
\]

Hence show that
\[
G_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (H_{\lambda\mu\nu} + H_{\lambda\nu\mu} + H_{\lambda\mu\nu})
\]
d. Evaluate \( S_{\nu\mu} \) for the electromagnetic case.

3.7 Show that the homogeneous integral equation
\[
\psi(x) = \lambda \int_a^b K(x|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]
follows from the variational requirement
\[
\delta \int_a^b \psi(x) \left[ \psi(x) - \lambda \int_a^b K(x|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right] \, dx = 0
\]
if \( K(x|x_0) = K(x_0|x) \)

Show that, if \( K(x|x_0) \neq K(x_0|x) \),
\[
\delta \int_a^b \tilde{\psi}(x) \left[ \psi(x) - \lambda \int_a^b K(x|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right] \, dx = 0
\]
where \( \tilde{\psi} \) satisfies the integral equation
\[
\tilde{\psi}(x) = -\int_a^b K(x|x_0) \tilde{\psi}(x_0) \, dx_0
\]

3.8 The equation of motion of a membrane stretched over one end of an airtight vessel is given in Prob. 2.1 as
\[
(1/c^2) (\partial^2 \psi/\partial t^2) = \nabla^2 \psi - (\rho c^2 V T) \psi \, dS
\]
Determine the corresponding Lagrangian and Hamiltonian densities.

3.9 The equation for the damped vibration of a string is of the form
\[
(\partial^2 \psi/\partial t^2) + 2k (\partial \psi/\partial t) = c^2 (\partial^2 \psi/\partial x^2)
\]
Show that the proper Lagrangian density is
\[
L = \{(\partial \psi/\partial t)(\partial \psi/\partial t) + k(\partial \psi/\partial t) - \tilde{\psi}(\partial \psi/\partial t) - c^2(\partial \psi/\partial x)(\partial \psi/\partial x)\}
and determine the equation satisfied by $\tilde{\psi}$. Determine the momenta canonical to $\psi$ and $\tilde{\psi}$, and find the Hamiltonian density. Discuss the physical significance of the results.

3.10 The steady-state transport equation for anisotropic scattering from very heavy scatterers (see Secs. 2.4 and 12.2) may be put into the form

$$\cos \theta \left( \partial f' / \partial \xi \right) = -f(\xi, \theta) + (\kappa / 4\pi) \int w(a - a_0) f(\xi, \theta_0) \, d\Omega_0$$

where $\kappa$ is a constant, unit vectors $a$ and $a_0$ are two directions given by spherical angles $\theta$, $\varphi$ and $\theta_0$, $\varphi_0$, respectively, and $d\Omega_0$ is the differential solid angle at $a_0$. Show that this equation may be obtained from the variational principle

$$\delta \int_0^T dt \int d\Omega \tilde{f}(\xi, \theta) \left[ \cos \theta \left( \partial \tilde{f}' / \partial \xi \right) - (\kappa / 4\pi) \int w(a - a_0) \tilde{f}(\xi, \theta_0) \, d\Omega_0 \right] = 0$$

Show that the equation satisfied by $\tilde{f}$ is

$$-\cos \theta \left( \partial \tilde{f}' / \partial \xi \right) = -\tilde{f}(\xi, \theta) + (\kappa / 4\pi) \int w(a - a_0) \tilde{f}(\xi, \theta_0) \, d\Omega_0$$

Interpret these results.

3.11 The diffusion of charged particles under the influence of an external field $E$ is given by

$$\partial c / \partial t = a^2 \nabla^2 c + b(\nabla c \cdot E)$$

where the assumptions involved are given in Prob. 2.5. Show that the corresponding variational principle is

$$\delta \int dV dt \left[ \partial c / \partial t - a^2 \nabla^2 c - b(\nabla c \cdot E) \right] = 0$$

Find the equation for $\tilde{c}$ and interpret.

3.12 A pair of integral equations which occur in the theory of the deuteron may be written

$$u(r) = \lambda \int_0^\infty G_0(r; r_0) [f(r_0)u + g(r_0)v] \, dr_0$$
$$w(r) = \lambda \int_0^\infty G_2(r; r_0) [g(r_0)u + h(r_0)v] \, dr_0$$

where both $G_0$ and $G_2$ are symmetric. Show that the variational integral is

$$\int_0^\infty [u'' + 2au' + aw] \, dr$$
$$- \lambda \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[ (f(r_0)u(r) + g(r_0)v(r)) G_0(r_0; r) [f(r_0)u(r_0) + g(r_0)v(r_0)] 
+ (g(r_0)u(r) + h(r_0)v(r)) G_2(r_0; r) [g(r_0)u(r_0) + h(r_0)v(r_0)] \right] \, dr \, dr_0$$

3.13 The equations describing the coupling between mechanical motion and heat conduction in a sound wave are

$$\partial T / \partial t = \alpha (\partial p / \partial t) + \beta \nabla^2 T; \quad \partial \rho / \partial t = \gamma (\nabla \cdot \rho) + \epsilon \nabla^2 T$$
where the constants $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, and $\epsilon$ are given in Prob. 2.3. Show that these equations follow from the variational integral:

$$\int dV \left[ \varepsilon \nabla^2 \tilde{T} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \right) - \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) - \beta \nabla^2 T \right]$$

$$- \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) - \gamma \nabla^2 \rho - \epsilon \nabla^2 T$$

Show that $\tilde{T}$, $\rho$ satisfy the time-reversed equations if appropriate initial conditions are employed.

3.14 An infinite piezoelectric medium has the properties relating electric field $E$, polarization $P$, stress, and strain given in Prob. 2.2. If the $x$, $y$, $z$ axes are placed along the three major axes of the crystal, then the coupling relations between $E$, $P$, the stress dyadic $\mathcal{S}$, and the strain dyadic $\mathcal{I}$ may be expressed in three sets of three equations, three typical ones being

$$T_{xx} = \lambda^1 S_{xx} + \lambda^2 S_{yy} + \lambda^3 S_{zz}; \quad \text{etc.}$$

$$T_{xy} = T_{yx} = \gamma_{xy} S_{xy} + \gamma_{xz} S_{xz}; \quad \text{etc.}$$

$$E_x = \kappa P_x + \sigma_{xy} S_{xy}; \quad \text{etc.}$$

where the $\lambda$'s are the elements of the elastic modulus tetrad reduced to its principal axes, the $\kappa$'s are the reciprocals of the dielectric susceptibilities along the three axes, and the $\sigma$'s are the elements of a non-diagonal "triadic" (changing a vector into a dyadic and vice versa) representing the coupling between strain and polarization. Combine these equations with Maxwell's equations and with the ones for elastic motion for the special case of a transverse wave moving in the $z$ direction, elastic displacement being in the $y$ direction. Show that the result is a pair of coupled wave equations corresponding to two possible shear-electric waves, one with velocity somewhat less than that of pure shear waves (value if the $\sigma$'s were zero), the other with velocity somewhat greater than that of light in the medium. Compute the Lagrange density. For plane shear waves in the $z$ direction ($E$ in $y$ direction) compute the momentum density and the stress-energy dyadic. What is the relative proportion of energy carried by electric to that carried by elastic field for the slow wave? For the fast wave?

Tabulation of Variational Method

The Lagrange density $L$ is a function of field variables $\psi_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ and their gradients $\psi_i = (\partial \psi / \partial \xi_i)$ ($\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ are space coordinates, $\xi_4 = t$). Sometimes $L$ also depends explicitly on the $\xi$'s (through potential functions or charge-current densities, for instance). The total Lagrangian integral

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \cdots \int_{a_4}^{b_4} L \, d\xi_1 \, d\xi_2 \, d\xi_3 \, d\xi_4 \quad (3.1.1)$$
is an invariant. The requirement that \( \mathcal{L} \) be minimum or maximum (i.e., that the first-order variation be zero) corresponds to the Lagrange-Euler equations

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\xi}_i} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_i} = 0 \tag{3.4.1}
\]

for the field variables. If \( L \) is a quadratic function of the \( \psi_{ik} \)'s then the canonical momentum density

\[ p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_{ik}} \]

is a linear function of \( \dot{\psi}_{ik} \). If \( L \) is a linear function of the \( \dot{\psi}_{ik} \)'s, then \( p_i \) and the Hamiltonian are independent of \( \dot{\psi}_{ik} \). The stress-energy tensor \( \mathcal{W} \), having components

\[ W_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_{im} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_{ij}} - \delta_{im} L \]

contains most of the other important physical properties of the field. For instance, the \( (4,4) \) component is the energy density,

\[ W_{44} = H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \psi_{ik} - L \]

If \( p_i \) depends on \( \psi_{ik} \), then the terms \( \dot{\psi}_{ik} \) can be eliminated from \( W_{ik} \), obtaining the Hamiltonian density \( H \), a function of the \( p_i \)'s, the \( \psi_i \)'s and their space derivatives. In this case the equations of motion may also be written in *canonical* form,

\[
\dot{\psi}_i = \psi_{ik} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{p}_i}; \quad p_i = \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial t} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{\psi}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{\psi}_i} \tag{3.4.2}
\]

which equations only apply when \( L \) contains a quadratic function of the \( \dot{\psi}_{ik} \)'s. If \( L \) contains a linear function of the \( \dot{\psi}_{ik} \)'s, \( H \) is independent of the \( p_i \)'s (see page 315). The field intensity vector \( \mathbf{S} \) and the field momentum vector \( \mathbf{P} \) are defined as follows:

\[
\mathbf{S} = \sum_{s=1}^{3} W_{ik} a_s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{ik} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{3} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{is}} a_s \right] \tag{3.4.1}
\]

\[
\mathbf{P} = \sum_{s=1}^{3} a_s W_{lk} a_s - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \text{grad} \dot{\psi}_i \right) \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_i} \right) \tag{3.4.5}
\]

The rest of \( \mathcal{W} \) is the three-dyadic \( \mathcal{D} = \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} a_r W_{rs} a_s \), called the stress
The elements of $\mathfrak{B}$ satisfy the divergence equations

\[
\sum_{e=1}^{4} \frac{\partial W_{m}}{\partial \xi_e} = - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_m}
\]

(3.4.3)

where $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_m}$ is the rate of change of $L$ with $\xi_m$ due to the explicit variation of $L$ with $\xi_m$ (through potentials or charge-currents, etc.). When $L$ does not depend explicitly on the $\xi$'s then $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_m}$ is zero. In terms of the field intensity and momentum this then becomes

\[\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{S} + (\partial \mathbf{H}/\partial t) = 0; \quad (\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{\nabla}) + (\partial \mathbf{P}/\partial t) = 0\]

when $L$ does not depend explicitly on the $\xi$'s. The field angular momentum density about the origin is

\[\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_i} (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\nabla} \psi_i)\]

**Flexible String or Membrane**

Field variable $\psi$ is transverse displacement.
Parameters $\xi$ are $x$ and $t$ for string; $x, y,$ and $t$ for membrane.

Lagrange density: $L = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 - c^2 \mathbf{\nabla}^2 \psi \right]; \quad c^2 = \frac{T}{\rho}$

Lagrange-Euler equation:

\[c^2 \mathbf{\nabla}^2 \psi - (\partial^2 \psi/\partial t^2) = 0\] (scalar wave equation)

Canonical momentum density: $p = \rho(\partial \psi/\partial t)$.
Hamiltonian: $H = (1/2)\rho p^2 + (1/2)T \mathbf{\nabla}^2 \psi$.
Field intensity: $\mathbf{S} = -T(\partial \psi/\partial t) \mathbf{\nabla} \psi$.
Field momentum: $\mathbf{P} = \rho(\partial \psi/\partial t) \mathbf{\nabla} \psi = -(1/c^2)\mathbf{S}$.

**Compressible, Nonviscous Fluid**

Field variable $\psi$ is velocity potential;

Field velocity = $\mathbf{\nabla} \psi$; excess pressure = $-\rho(\partial \psi/\partial t)$

Parameters $\xi$ are $x, y, z$ and $t$.

Lagrange density: $L = -\frac{1}{2} \rho \left[ (\mathbf{\nabla} \psi)^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right]; \quad c^2 = \frac{p \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\rho}$.

Lagrange-Euler equation:

\[c^2 \mathbf{\nabla}^2 \psi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = 0\] (scalar wave equation).

Canonical momentum density; $p = (\rho/c^2) \psi = -(\text{excess pressure})/c^2$.
Hamiltonian: $H = \frac{1}{2}(1/c^2)p^2 + (1/2)\rho(\mathbf{\nabla} \psi)^2$.
Field intensity:

$\mathbf{S} = -\rho(\partial \psi/\partial t) \mathbf{\nabla} \psi = (\text{excess pressure})$ (fluid velocity).
Field momentum: \( P = (\rho, e^2)(\partial \psi, \partial t) \) grad \( \psi = -(1/e^2)S \).

**Diffusion Equation**

Field variables are temperature or concentration density \( \psi \) and its “conjugate” \( \psi^* \).

Parameters \( \xi \) are \( x, y, z, \) and \( t \).

Lagrange density: \( L = - (\text{grad} \, \psi) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \left( \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \).

Lagrange-Euler equation for \( \psi \), \( \nabla^2 \psi = a^2 (\partial^2 \psi/\partial t^2) \) (diffusion equation).

Canonical momentum densities: \( p = -\frac{1}{2} a^2 \psi^*; \quad \eta^* = \frac{1}{2} a^2 \psi \).

Energy density: \( U = W_{44} = (\text{grad} \, \psi)^2 \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \).

Field intensity: \( S = -\psi^* (\text{grad} \, \psi) - (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \psi \).

Field momentum: \( P = \frac{1}{2} a^2 [(\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \psi - \psi^* (\text{grad} \, \psi)] \)

**Schroedinger Equation**

Field variables are the wave function \( \psi \) and its conjugate \( \psi^* \). \( \psi^* \psi \) is the probability density for presence of the particle.

Parameters \( \xi \) are \( x, y, z, \) and \( t \).

Lagrange density

\[
L = - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2i} \left( \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \psi \psi^* + V \psi.
\]

\( V(x,y,z) \) is potential energy of particle.

Lagrange-Euler equation for \( \psi \),

\[ -(\hbar^2/2m) \nabla^2 \psi + i \psi = \hbar \partial(\psi^*, \partial t) \) (Schroedinger equation).

Canonical momentum densities: \( p = -(\hbar/2i)\psi^*; \quad p^* = (\hbar/2i)\psi \).

Energy density: \( U = W_{44} = (\hbar^2/2m)(\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi) + \psi^* \psi \).

Field intensity: \( S = -(\hbar^2/2m)(\partial^2 \psi^*/\partial t^2) \text{grad} \, \psi + \text{grad} \, \psi^* (\partial \psi/\partial t) \).

Field momentum: \( P = -(\hbar/2i)[\psi^* (\text{grad} \, \psi) - (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \psi] \) where \( e, m \) are the charge and mass of the particle.

**Klein–Gordon Equation**

Field variables are the wave function \( \psi \) and its conjugate \( \psi^* \).

Charge density for particle is \( \frac{\hbar e}{2mc^2} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \psi - \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right] \), where \( m \) is the particle mass.

Parameters \( \xi \) are \( x, y, z, \) and \( t \).

Lagrange density \( L = - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} [ (\text{grad} \, \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad} \, \psi) - \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) + \left( \frac{m c^2}{\hbar} \right) \psi^* \psi] \) for the field-free case.
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Lagrange-Euler equation for \( \psi \), \( \nabla^2 \psi - \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} \right) = \left( \frac{me}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi \) (Klein-Gordon equation).

Canonical momentum densities \( p = \frac{\hbar^2}{2mc^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \); \( p^* = \frac{\hbar^2}{2mc^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) \).

Hamiltonian:

\[
H = (2mc^2 \hbar^2) p^* p + (\hbar^2 / 2m) (\text{grad } \psi^*) \cdot (\text{grad } \psi) + (me^2 / 2) \psi^* \psi.
\]

Field intensity: \( \mathbf{S} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} (\text{grad } \psi) + (\text{grad } \psi^*) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right] \).

Field momentum: \( \mathbf{P} = -(1 / c^2) \mathbf{S} \).

Current density: \( \mathbf{J} = (\hbar / 2m) \left[ \psi^* (\text{grad } \psi) - (\text{grad } \psi^*) \psi \right] \) where \( c \) is the particle charge.

Elastic Wave Equation

Field variables \( \mathbf{s}_n \) are the components of the displacement vector \( \mathbf{s} \).
Parameters \( \xi_n \) are the coordinates \( x, y, z, \) and \( t \).

Lagrange density: \( L = (1 / 2\rho) \mathbf{s}^2 - (1 / 2) \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{e} \), where \( \mathbf{E} = (1 / 2) (\nabla \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{s} \nabla) \) is the strain dyadic and \( \mathbf{e} = \lambda \mathbf{I} \mathbf{e} + 2 \mu \mathbf{e} \) is the stress dyadic for isotropic solids.

Lagrange-Euler equation: \( \rho (\partial^2 \mathbf{s} / \partial t^2) = (\lambda + \mu) \text{grad} (\text{div } \mathbf{S}) + 2 \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{s} \).

Canonical momentum density: \( \mathbf{p} = \rho (\partial \mathbf{s} / \partial t) \).

Hamiltonian density: \( H = W_{44} = (1 / 2\rho) p^2 + (1 / 2) \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E} \).

Field intensity: \( \mathbf{S} = -\mathbf{p} \cdot (\partial \mathbf{s} / \partial t) \).

Field momentum: \( \mathbf{P} = \rho (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{s}) \cdot (\partial \mathbf{s} / \partial t) \).

For a nonisotropic solid the stress dyadic \( \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{1} \mathbf{e} \), where \( \mathbf{1} \) is a tetradic with coefficients \( \mathbf{e}_{\text{isotropic}} \) which are arbitrary except for the general symmetry requirements \( \mathbf{e}_{\text{isotropic}} = 0 \).

The Lagrange-Euler equation is then

\[
 \rho \left( \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{s}}{\partial t^2} \right) = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{1} \mathbf{s} \nabla) ; \text{ that is; } \rho \mathbf{s}_n = \sum_{m,r} \mathbf{e}_{\text{isotropic}} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{s}_m}{\partial x_m \partial x_r}.
\]

The Hamiltonian density is

\[
H = W_{44} = (1 / 2\rho) p^2 + (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{s}) \cdot (\mathbf{1} \mathbf{s} \nabla) ; \quad p = \rho (\partial \mathbf{s} / \partial t) \]

The new expressions for \( \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{P} \), etc., can be obtained by substituting the new expression for \( \mathbf{E} \) into the equation for \( \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{P} \) given above.

Electromagnetic Equations

Field variables are the components of the vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) and the scalar potential \( \varphi \). For simplicity we shall choose the gauge for which \( \varphi = 0 \), so that \( \text{curl } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}, \; \partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t = -c \mathbf{E} = -(c \mathbf{D} \cdot e) \), and
\( \text{div} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) = -(4\pi \rho \mathcal{C}) \), where \( \rho \) is the density of free charge. Parameters are \( x, y, z, \) and \( t \).

Lagrange density:
\[
L = \frac{e}{8\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \left| \text{curl} \ \mathbf{A} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A},
\]
where \( \mathbf{J} \) is the current density.

Lagrange-Euler equation:
\[
\text{curl} (\text{curl} \ \mathbf{A}) + (\mu_0 \varepsilon_0) (\partial^2 \mathbf{A} / \partial t^2) = (4\pi\mu/c) \mathbf{J}.
\]
Canonical momentum density:
\[
\mathbf{p} = -(\mathbf{D}/4\pi\varepsilon).
\]
Hamiltonian density:
\[
H = W_{44} - (2\pi\varepsilon/c) \mathbf{p}^2 + (1/8\pi\mu) |\text{curl} \ \mathbf{A}|^2 - (1/c) \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{A}.
\]
Field intensity:
\[
\mathbf{S} = (c/4\pi)(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}).
\]
Field momentum:
\[
\mathbf{P} = -(c/4\pi)(\nabla \mathbf{A}) \cdot (\partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t).
\]

**Dirac Equation**

Field variables \( \Psi_n^* \) and \( \Psi_n \) (\( n = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)). Probability density for presence of electron is \( \Psi_n^* \Psi_n \). Wave functions \( \Psi = \sum_\alpha \Psi_\alpha \) and \( \Psi^* = \sum_\alpha \Psi^*_\alpha \), where the \( \alpha \)'s are unit vectors in spin space. Operators \( \alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z, \alpha_0 \) operate on the \( \alpha \)'s in a manner given in Eqs. (2.6.53).

Lagrange density:
\[
L = \frac{\hbar c}{2i} \left[ (\text{grad} \ \Psi^* \cdot \mathbf{A} \Psi^* - \Psi^* \mathbf{A} \cdot \text{grad} \ \Psi) \right] + \frac{\hbar}{2i} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \Psi^* - \Psi^* \left( \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right) \right] - ie \Psi^* (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}) \Psi^* + mc^2 \Psi^* \mathbf{A} \Psi^* = mc^2 \Psi^* \alpha_0 \Psi^* - m e \Psi^* \mathbf{A} \Psi^* - mc^2 \Psi^* \alpha_0 \Psi^* \Psi^*
\]
where \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \phi \) are the electromagnetic potentials and \( m \) the particle mass.

Lagrange-Euler equations.
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{A} \Psi^* &+ \alpha_x \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \text{grad} \ \Psi^* + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \Psi^* \right) + \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t} - e \phi \Psi^* \right) = 0 \\
mc \Psi^* \mathbf{A} &+ \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \text{grad} \ \Psi^* + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \Psi^* \right) \cdot \mathbf{A} - \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t} + e \phi \Psi^* \right) = 0
\end{align*}
\]
Canonical momentum density:
\[
\mathbf{p} = -(\hbar/2i) \Psi^* \mathbf{a} \Psi^*; \mathbf{p}^* = (\hbar/2i) \Psi^*.
\]
Hamiltonian density:
\[
H = \frac{\hbar c}{2i} \left[ \Psi^* \mathbf{a} \cdot \left( \text{grad} \ \Psi \right) - \left( \text{grad} \ \Psi^* \right) \cdot \mathbf{a} \Psi \right] + e \Psi^* \mathbf{A} \Psi^* - e \Psi^* \mathbf{A} \Psi^* + mc^2 \Psi^* \mathbf{A} \Psi^*.
\]
Field intensity:
\[
\mathbf{S} = (\hbar/c) \left[ (\partial \Psi^*/\partial t) \mathbf{a} \Psi^* - \Psi^* \psi (\partial \Psi^* / \partial t) \right] + \left( \text{grad} \ \Psi^* \right) \Psi^* - \Psi^* \left( \text{grad} \ \Psi^* \right)
\]
Field momentum:
\[
\mathbf{P} = (\hbar/2i) \left[ (\text{grad} \ \Psi^*) \Psi^* - \Psi^* \left( \text{grad} \ \Psi^* \right) \right].
\]
Current density:
\[
\mathbf{J} = ce \Psi^* \mathbf{e} \Psi^*, \text{ where } e \text{ is the particle charge.}
\]
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CHAPTER 4

Functions of a Complex Variable

The past two chapters contain a discussion of the connection between physical phenomena and the partial differential equations for fields to represent these phenomena. The next several chapters must be devoted to a discussion of the general mathematical properties of the differential equations and their solutions. We have begun to familiarize ourselves with the possible physical interpretations of field quantities: tensors, divergences, line integrals, and the like. Now we must learn to recognize the different types of equations and their solutions. We must become familiar with the sort of tests which can be applied to tell how a given function will depend on its argument: where it goes to infinity or zero, where it can be integrated and differentiated, and so on. And we must be able to tell what sort of functions will be solutions of given differential equations, how the "singularities" of the equation are related to the singularities of the solutions, and the like. The general properties of functions will be treated in the present chapter, and the interrelation between equations and solutions in the next chapter.

To be more specific, we shall devote this chapter to a discussion of functions of the complex variable $z = x + iy$, where $i$ is the square root of $(-1)$. We have already (pages 73 and 74) shown that such a variable can be represented as a two-dimensional vector, with $x$ the $x$ component and $y$ the $y$ component of the vector; and we have indicated that $z$ can also be considered as an operator, which rotates any other complex number vector by an angle $\tan^{-1}(y/x)$ and changes its length by a factor $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. In this chapter we shall continually use the two-dimensional vector representation and occasionally use the concept of vector operator.

It could be asked why it is necessary to study complex numbers when many parts of physics are interested only in real solutions. One might expect that a study of the real functions of a real variable going from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ would suffice to obtain knowledge of the physically interesting solutions in many cases. The answer is that it is desirable to extend our study to complex values of the variables and the solutions for reasons of completeness and convenience.
The set of real numbers is not even a sufficient basis for reproduction of the roots of algebraic equations. On the other hand all roots of all algebraic equations can be expressed as complex numbers. In addition, knowledge of the behavior of a function \( f(z) \) for all complex values of \( z \) gives us a much more complete picture of the principal properties of \( f \) (even its properties for \( z \) real) than does a knowledge of its behavior for only real values of \( z \). The location, on the complex plane for \( z \), of the zeros and infinities of \( f \) [i.e., the position of the roots of \( f = 0 \) and \( (1/f) = 0 \)] will tell us a great deal about the behavior of \( f \) for all values of \( z \). Often an integral of \( f(z) \) over real values of \( z \) (along the real axis) may be modified into an integral along some elementary path for \( z \) in the complex plane, thereby considerably simplifying the integration. It is usually convenient to consider the solution of an equation as complex, to deal with it as a complex number up until the final answer is to be compared with a measured value, and only then to consider the real or the imaginary part of the solution as corresponding to the actual physical problem.

But the most important reason for the study of complex functions is the insight we shall obtain into the general properties of functions. For example, the various types of singularities a function might have may be classified. In general these singularities will be related to physical singularities, such as those caused by sources, point electric charges, etc. It turns out to be possible, simply from knowledge of the singularities of a function, to specify the function completely. The corresponding statement in electrostatics is that, once the size and distribution of all the electric charges are given, the electric field at any point can be determined. Because of the close connection between electrostatics and complex variables, it is not surprising that our study will reveal, in addition, a method for generating solutions for the Laplace equation (i.e., will locate possible sets of equipotential lines). We recall from Chap. 1 that these equipotentials and their normals form the basis of an orthogonal coordinate system. We may therefore say that a method can be developed for generating new coordinate systems, systems which are appropriate to the geometry of the problem at hand.

### 4.1 Complex Numbers and Variables

Perhaps the first use that a student of physics makes of complex numbers is in the expression \( A e^{-i\omega t} \), for a vector rotating with constant angular velocity \( \omega \), where \( A \) gives the length of the vector. This representation is useful also in simple harmonic motion, for its real part is \( A \cos \omega t \) while its imaginary part is \( A \sin \omega t \). We have already used this fact several times in the preceding chapters.
The connection between vectors and complex numbers is obtained by making a proper definition of the symbol $i$. Here $i$ is to be considered to be an operator which, when applied to a vector, rotates the vector through $90^\circ$ counterclockwise. The operator $i^2$, meaning the application of the operator twice in succession, results in the rotation of the vector through $180^\circ$. Since this yields a vector which is antiparallel to the original vector, we find

$$i^2 = -1$$

in agreement with the more usual definition of $i$. The symbol of $i^3$ meaning the application of $i$ three times results in a rotation of the original vector through $270^\circ$ or $-90^\circ$ so that $i^3 = -i$. Similarly $i^4 = 1$.

We may now differentiate between real and imaginary numbers. We shall plot all real numbers as vectors in the $x$ direction. Thus, we multiply a real number by $i$ to obtain a vector directed along the $y$ axis. Vectors in the $y$ direction are called imaginary numbers. Any vector $f$ may, of course, be decomposed into its two components $u$ and $v$ along the $x$ and $y$ axis so that we may write

$$f = u + iv$$

establishing a connection between complex numbers and vectors. The magnitude of $f$, written $|f|$, is equal to the absolute value of the complex number $u + iv = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$, while the direction of $f$, the angle $\varphi$ it makes with the $x$ axis, is just the phase, $\tan^{-1}(v/u)$, of $u + iv$. This angle is sometimes called the argument of $f$. The conjugate of $u + iv$

$$\bar{f} = u - iv$$

may be obtained from vector $f$ by reflecting it in the $x$ axis.

**The Exponential Rotation Operator.** To obtain the operator for a finite rotation of angle $\theta$, it is necessary only to consider the results for an infinitesimal rotation $d\theta$. The operator for the infinitesimal rotation must yield the original vector $f$ plus a vector at right angles to $f$ of magnitude $f d\theta$. The new vector is $f + if d\theta = (1 + i d\theta)f$. Thus the change in $f$, $df$ is

$$df = if d\theta$$

This equation may be integrated to yield $f$ after a rotation through $\theta$ radians. Let the original ($\theta = 0$) value of $f$ be $f_0$. Then $f$ for $\theta = \theta$ radians is

$$f_\theta = e^{i\theta}f_0$$

(4.1.3)

The operator rotating a vector through $\theta$ radians is thus $e^{i\theta}$ (see page 74).

This operator when applied to a vector along the real axis, say of unit length, yields a vector in the direction $\theta$. Decomposing this new vector into components and expressing the vector in complex notation,

\footnote{We shall not use the customary boldface type for these complex vectors.}
we obtain De Moivre's relation $e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ mentioned on page 74. This agrees with the starting assumption that $i$ yields a rotation of $90^\circ$ (as may be seen by putting $\theta = \pi/2$). A unit vector rotating counterclockwise with angular velocity $\omega$ is simply $e^{\omega t}$ where the unit being operated on is understood, as is customary. Any vector $f$ may now be expressed in terms of its absolute magnitude $|f|$ and the required operator to rotate it, from the $x$ axis to its actual direction, to wit,

$$f = |f|e^{i\phi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{f} = |f|e^{-i\phi}$$

The angle $\phi$ is called the phase angle, or argument, of $f$.

**Vectors and Complex Numbers.** Having established the one-to-one relation between complex numbers and vectors, we shall now explore the relations between various possible combinations of complex numbers and the corresponding combinations of vectors. The law of addition for two vectors, the parallelogram law, is the same as that for the addition of two complex numbers. However, when two complex numbers are multiplied together, the result, when expressed in vector notation, involves both the scalar and vector product. Consider $\hat{f}g$ where $f = u + iv$ and $g = s + it$:

$$\hat{f}g = (us + vt) + i(ut - vs)$$

In vector language:

$$\hat{f}g = \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g} + i|\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{g}|$$

(4.1.4)

Thus if two vectors are orthogonal, the real part of their product is zero, while if they are parallel, the imaginary part of their product is zero. It should be noticed that rule (4.1.4) is the same in two dimensions as Eq. (1.6.30), for quaternion multiplication, is for three. This should occasion no surprise, since Hamilton originally wrote down the quaternion algebra in an attempt to generalize the method of complex variables to three dimensions and to three-dimensional vectors.

The differential properties of a vector field involve the operator $\nabla$. Since we are limiting ourselves to two dimensions, the $x$, $y$ plane, the operator $\nabla$ can be written as

$$\nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

(4.1.5)

If now we should operate with $\nabla$ on a vector $g$, then from Eq. (4.1.4) one obtains the relation

$$\nabla g = \text{div } g + i|\text{curl } g|$$

(4.1.6)

so that $\nabla$ immediately gives both the divergence and curl of a vector. Note that $\nabla$ operating on a real function ($g$ along $x$ axis) yields directly from (4.1.6)

$$\nabla g = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$$
as it should. We thus see that one great advantage of the complex notation is that it affords a means by which one may condense several vector operations into just one.

Some further condensation is possible if we introduce two new variables in place of \( x \) and \( y \):

\[
z = x + iy;
\bar{z} = x - iy;
x = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z});\quad y = -\frac{1}{2}i(z - \bar{z}) \tag{4.1.7}
\]

where \( z \) is just the radius vector to the point \((x,y)\).

There is usually some confusion in the reader’s mind at this point as to how it is possible to consider \( z \) and \( \bar{z} \) as independent variables (no such confusion seems to come up for variables \( x - y, x + y \) for it is often stated that, if \( z \) is known, so is \( \bar{z} \). This is, however, not the case.

Given a vector \( z \) as a line drawn from the origin to some point, \( \bar{z} \) is not yet determined, for, in addition, the direction of the \( x \) axis must be given. Vice versa, if both \( z \) and \( \bar{z} \) are known, the direction of the \( x \) axis is determined as a line bisecting the angle between the vectors \( z \) and \( \bar{z} \), and then \( x \) and \( y \) can be found. In terms of these variables and using Eq. (4.1.5),

\[
2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = 2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial y}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \nabla; \quad \text{likewise} \quad \bar{\nabla} = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tag{4.1.8}
\]

**The Two-dimensional Electrostatic Field.** Suppose that we have an electrostatic field generated by line charges all perpendicular to the \( x, y \) plane. The electric vector \( E \) will everywhere lie in the \( x, y \) plane, and we need consider only two dimensions. Therefore the electric vector \( E \) can be represented by a complex number, say \( u - iv \) (the reason for the minus sign will be apparent shortly), where \( u \) and \( v \) are functions of \( x \) and \( y \) determined by the distribution of the line charges. We first look at that part of the \( x, y \) plane which is free from charge density. In these places Maxwell’s equations (2.5.11) state that

\[
\text{div } E = 0; \quad \text{curl } E = 0 \tag{4.1.9}
\]

Referring to Eqs. (4.1.6) and (4.1.8), we see that both of these requirements can be written down (in this two-dimensional case only) in the extremely simple form

\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial z} = 0
\]

This states that the vector \( E \) is not a function of \( z = x + iy \), but only of \( \bar{z} = x - iy \). Contrariwise the conjugate vector \( \bar{E} = u + iv \) is a function only of \( z \) and not of \( \bar{z} \). Since we usually shall deal with functions of \( z \), we may as well deal with \( \bar{E} \), from which we can find \( E \), the electric vector.

We have just shown that \( \bar{E} \) is a function of \( z \) and not of \( \bar{z} \).

By using the equations for \( \bar{E} \) analogous to Eq. (4.1.9) or by writing out the equation \( 2(\partial \bar{E}/\partial z) = \nabla(u + iv) = 0 \) in terms of the derivatives
with respect to \( x \) and \( y \) and collecting real and imaginary parts, we find the interesting pair of cross connections between \( u \) and \( v \):

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}; \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)
\]

(4.1.10)

which are called the Cauchy-Riemann conditions. We have derived them here for an electric vector (two-dimensional) in a region free from charge and current, but the way we have obtained them shows that they apply for any complex function \( f = u + iv \) which is a function of \( z \) only (not \( \bar{z} \)). Any such function, with real and imaginary parts satisfying Eqs. (4.1.10), is called an analytic function of the complex variable \( z = x + iy \).

Therefore any analytic function of \( z \) can represent a two-dimensional electrostatic field. Such a function may be created by taking any well-behaved function of a real variable and making it a function of \( z = x + iy \) instead [for instance, \( \sin (x + iy) \), \( 1/[(x + iy)^2 + a^2] \), \( \log (x + iy) \) are all analytic functions for all values of \( z \) where the functions do not become infinite].

In a region free from charge current, an electric potential \( V \) exists such that \( E = \nabla V = (\partial V/\partial x) + i(\partial V/\partial y) \), where \( V \) is a function of \( x \) and \( y \). We may generalize somewhat and allow \( V \) also to become a complex function (its real part or imaginary part can be the actual potential). Then we can write \( E = 2i\partial V/\partial \bar{z} \), and since \( \partial E/\partial z = 0 \), we have

\[
4 \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial \bar{z}} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \bar{z}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2} = 0
\]

(4.1.11)

which is the Laplace equation for two dimensions. Naturally both real and imaginary parts of \( V \) are separately solutions of Laplace's equation, and in fact, combining Eqs. (4.1.10) we see that the real or imaginary parts of any analytic function are solutions of Laplace's equation in two dimensions. Therefore either an analytic function can be used to generate an electric vector, or else its real or imaginary parts can be used as a potential function.

**Contour Integrals.** Integration of complex functions is a natural extension of the process of integration of real functions. The integrand is some analytic function \( f(z) \); the variable of integration is, of course, \( z \). But since \( z \) can move over the complex plane instead of just along the real axis, we shall have to specify along what line the integration is to be performed. This line of integration is called a *contour*, and if the contour is closed on itself, the integral is called a *contour integral* and is denoted \( \oint f(z) \, dz \), where \( ds \) is the magnitude of \( dz \) and \( \varphi \) is its phase.

In this two-dimensional generalization of an integral we can no longer give enough details by writing in the
describe, or draw out, the contour followed, as in Fig. 4.1. The expression is analogous to the two-dimensional form of the net outflow integral of Sec. 1.2 and also to the net circulation integral of that same section. As a matter of fact the complex contour integral is a compacted combination of both, as we can see by writing out the contour integral of the electric vector, and using Eq. (4.1.4)

\[ \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{s} = \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{s} = \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{s} = \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{s} \]

where \( E_i \) is the component of \( E \) along \( ds \) and \( E_n \) the component normal to \( ds \). Therefore the real part of the contour integral of \( \vec{E} \) is the net circulation integral of \( E \) around the contour, and the imaginary part is the net outflow integral of \( E \) over the sides of a cylinder with axis perpendicular to the \( x, y \) plane, of cross section equal to the contour. (Since the field in this case is all parallel to the \( x, y \) plane, the total net outflow integral over the cylinder is equal to \( \oint E_n \cdot ds \) times the length of the cylinder.)

In the case of an electric vector in a region devoid of charge density, both outflow and circulation integrals are zero, so that for any contour in such regions

\[ \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{s} = 0 \]

This equation is just Cauchy's theorem, which states that, if \( f(z) \) is an analytic function of \( z \) at all points on and inside a closed contour, then \( \oint f(z) \cdot d\vec{z} \) around this contour is zero. Therefore the two-dimensional electric vector can be represented by an analytic function at all points where there is no charge or current.

By a specialization of Eq. (1.2.9) for the cylindrical surface we have set up on the contour, we see that, if the field \( E \) is due to a series of line charges each uniformly distributed along lines perpendicular to the \( x, y \) plane, the \( r \)th line having charge \( q_r \) per unit length, then, from Eq. (4.1.12)

\[ \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{s} = 4\pi i \sum q_r \]

where the sum is taken over all the lines \( r \) which cut the \( x, y \) plane inside the contour.

Suppose that we take the case where there is only one line, of charge density \( q_1 \), which cuts the \( x, y \) plane inside the contour at the point \( z_1 = x_1 + iy_1 \). The electric field, represented by \( E \), can then be split
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into two parts: \( \mathbf{E}_s \) due to the source \( q_1 \) inside the contour; and \( \mathbf{E}_o \), due to the sources outside. Elementary integration of the equations for electrostatic fields indicates that \( \mathbf{E}_s = (2q_1/r)a_r \), where \( r^2 = (x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2 = |z - z_1|^2 \) is the distance, in the \( x, y \) plane, from the source line and \( a_r \) is a unit vector in the \( x, y \) plane pointing away from the source line. In complex notation

\[
E_s = (2/r) \left[ \cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi \right] = (2/r)e^{i\varphi}
\]

where \( \varphi \) is the angle between \( a_r \) and the \( x \) axis. Therefore

\[
\mathbf{E}_s = (2/r)e^{-i\varphi} = (2/re^{i\varphi}) = 2/(z - z_1)
\]

since \( re^{i\varphi} = z - z_1 \).

Adding \( \mathbf{E}_o \) to \( \mathbf{E}_s \), we can write

\[
\mathbf{E} = \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_1)}
\]

where \( f(z) = \mathbf{E}_o(z - z_1) + 2q_1 \) is an analytic function within and on the contour (why?). Thus we have, for any analytic function \( f(z) \), the formula,

\[
\oint [f(z)/(z - z_1)] \, dz = 2\pi i f(z_1)
\]

which is a more general form of Cauchy's theorem.

Therefore Cauchy's theorem is just a restatement, in the notation of analytic functions, of Gauss' theorem for electrostatics.

Similarly one can use a function \( \mathbf{H} \) of \( z \) to represent the magnetic field caused by line currents along lines perpendicular to the \( x, y \) plane. A current \( I \) along a line cutting the \( x, y \) plane at a point \( z_0 \) inside the contour will produce a field \( \mathbf{H} = 2(\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{a}_r)/r \), which can be represented by the function \( \mathbf{H} = 2I \mathbf{i}(z - z_0) \). If there are a number of line currents \( I_r \), then, according to Eq. (1.2.11)

\[
\oint \mathbf{H} \, dz = 4\pi \sum \int_{z_r} \mathbf{I}_r
\]

where the summation is over all currents cutting inside the contour. Here we use the real part of the contour integral, but if we substitute for \( \mathbf{H} \) its form in terms of \( (z - z_0) \), we eventually obtain Cauchy's theorem again.

Returning to Fig. 4.1, we note that the integral from \( A \) to \( B \) along a contour (not closed) gives

\[
\int_A^B \mathbf{E} \, ds = \int_A^B E_x \, ds + i \int_A^B E_y \, ds = W = V + iU
\]

The real part \( V \) of this integral is just the electrostatic potential difference between points \( A \) and \( B \). The imaginary part \( U \) measures the number of lines of force which cut across the contour between \( A \) and \( B \).
We note that the family of curves $U = \text{constant}$ is orthogonal to the family $V = \text{constant}$, so they can be used to set up orthogonal, two-dimensional coordinate systems. If a conducting cylinder is present, with axis perpendicular to the $x, y$ plane, then the field must adjust itself so that it cuts the $x, y$ plane along one of the equipotential lines $V = \text{constant}$. The lines of force are the orthogonal lines $U = \text{constant}$, and the surface charge density on the conductor, per unit length of cylinder, inside the region limited by the points $A$ and $B$ is $U(B) - U(A)$. The function $U$ was called the flow function in Chap. 1 (see page 155).

In this section we have related complex variables and electrostatics and have given as examples some electrostatic interpretations of some familiar theorems in function theory. In the remainder of the chapter, we shall develop a more rigorous theory but shall use electrostatic interpretations to give some intuitive meaning to the theorems in much the same way as was done above for the Cauchy theorem and the integral formula.

### 4.2 Analytic Functions

The electrostatic analogue has furnished us with heuristic derivations of some of the fundamental theorems in function theory. In particular we have seen that analytic functions form a restricted class to which most functions do not belong. In this section we shall attempt to understand the nature of these limitations from the point of view of the geometer and analyst. This procedure will have the merit of furnishing more rigorous proofs of the aforementioned theorems. (Rigor in this particular subject is actually useful!)

We have taken as a rough definition of an analytic function that it be a function of $z$ only, not a function of both $z$ and $\bar{z}$. Thus the study of a function of a complex variable $f(z) = u + iv$, where $u$ and $v$ are real functions of $x$ and $y$, is not so general as the study of functions of two variables, for $u$ and $v$ are related in a special way as given by the Cauchy-Riemann conditions (4.1.10). A more precise definition of an analytic function may be obtained by considering the behavior of the derivative of $f(z)$ with respect to $z$ at a point $a$. The meaning of the derivative is fairly obvious. The function $f(z)$ is a vector. We ask how does this vector change, both in magnitude and direction, as $z$ moves away from the point $a$ in a direction specified by the vector $dz$. If $f(z)$ is a function of $z$ only (for example, $z^2$), one would expect the derivative (for example, $2z$) to depend only upon the point at which it is evaluated. It is characteristic of a single-valued function which is analytic at a point $a$ that the derivative at point $a$ is unique, i.e., independent of the direction $dz$ along which the derivative is taken. No matter how
one moves away from point $a$, the rate at which $f$ will change with $z$ will be the same. This is not true for an arbitrary complex function $u + iv$, where $u$ and $v$ are any sort of functions of $x$ and $y$. It is true only when $u$ and $v$ satisfy Eqs. (4.1.10).

This definition of an analytic function has the advantage over the simpler one used earlier in being more precise. Using it, one may determine whether or not a function is analytic at a given point. We see once more how special an analytic function is. Most functions do not possess an "isotropic" derivative.

It may be shown that the Cauchy-Riemann equations form a necessary condition only that a function have a unique derivative. To show this, consider the change in $f(x) = u + iv$ as $z$ changes from $a$ to $a + \Delta z$:

\[
\frac{\Delta f}{\Delta z} = \frac{f(a + \Delta z) - f(a)}{\Delta z} = \frac{[(\partial u/\partial x) + i(\partial v/\partial x)] \Delta x + [(\partial u/\partial y) + i(\partial v/\partial y)] \Delta y}{\Delta x + i \Delta y}
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)
\]

The last equation shows that, except for exceptional circumstances, the derivatives $df/\Delta z$ will depend upon $\Delta y/\Delta x$, that is, upon the direction of $\Delta z$. For an analytic function, however, there cannot be any dependence on $\Delta y/\Delta x$. This may be achieved only if

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
\]

or

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
\]

(4.2.1)

which are the Cauchy-Riemann conditions. These conditions only are necessary. For sufficiency one must also include the requirement that the various derivatives involved be continuous at $a$. If this were not so, then $\partial u/\partial x$, etc., would have different values depending upon how the derivative were evaluated. This would again result in a nonunique first derivative. For example, suppose that

\[
u = \frac{x^3 - y^3}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{x^4 + 3x^2y + 2xy^3}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}
\]

Then $\lim_{x \to 0} \lim_{y \to 0} (\partial u/\partial x) = 1$. However, $\lim_{x \to 0} \lim_{y \to 0} (\partial u/\partial x) = 0$.

The Cauchy-Riemann conditions show that, if the real (or imaginary) part of a complex function is given, then the imaginary (or real) part is, within an additive constant, determined. We shall later discuss special techniques for performing this determination. A simple example will
suffice for the present. Let the real part be known, and let us try to determine the imaginary part.

\[ v = \int \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \, dx + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \, dy \]

or

\[ v = \int \left( -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \, dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \, dy \right) \tag{4.2.2} \]

so that, if \( u \) is known, \( v \) can be determined by performing the integration indicated in Eq. (4.2.2). For example, let \( u = \ln r = \frac{1}{2} \ln (x^2 + y^2) \). Then

\[ v = \int \left( \frac{-y}{r^2} \, dx + \frac{x}{r^2} \, dy \right) = \tan^{-1} \left( y/x \right) + \text{constant} \]

so that \( \ln r + \tan^{-1} (y/x) \) is an analytic function. It may be more simply written \( \ln z \).

The special nature of an analytic function is further demonstrated by the fact that, if \( u \) and \( v \) satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann conditions, so do the pair \( \partial w/\partial x \) and \( \partial w/\partial y \) and similarly \( \partial u/\partial y \) and \( \partial v/\partial x \). This seems to indicate that, if \( f(z) \) is analytic, so are all of its derivatives. We cannot yet prove this theorem, for we have not shown that these higher derivatives exist; this will be done in the following section. It is a useful theorem to remember, for it gives a convenient test for analyticity which involves merely testing for the existence of higher derivatives.

Points at which functions are not analytic are called singularities. We have already encountered the singularity \( 1/(z - a) \) which represents the electric field due to a point charge located at \( a \). Point \( a \) is a singularity because the derivative of this function at \( z = a \) does not exist. Some functions are not analytic at any point, for example, \( |z| \). This equals \( z \), and is clearly not a function of \( z \) only. Another example is \( z^n \), where \( n \) is an integer; this function has been reduced to its lowest terms. For example, \( z^2 \) is not analytic at \( z = 0 \); its second derivative at \( z = 0 \) is infinite.

**Conformal Representation.** Any analytic function \( f(z) = u + iv \), \( z = x + iy \), can be represented geometrically as a transformation of two-dimensional coordinates. One can imagine two complex planes, one to represent the chosen values of \( z \) and the other to represent the resulting values of \( f \). Any line drawn on the \( z \) plane has a resulting line on the \( f \) plane. Of course, many other pairs of functions \( u, v \), \( x \) and \( y \), can be used to define such a general coordinate transformation. The transformations represented by the real and imaginary parts of analytic functions, however, have several unique and useful characteristics. The most important and obvious of these characteristics is that the transformation is "angle-preserving" or conformal.

When two lines drawn on the \( z \) plane cross, the corresponding lines on the \( f \) plane also cross. For a conformal transformation the angle
between the lines on the $f$ plane, where they cross, is equal to the angle between the lines on the $z$ plane, where they cross. As shown in Fig. 4.2, for instance, the lines cross at $z = a$ on the $z$ plane and at $f(a)$ on the $f$ plane. An elementary length along line number 1 can be represented by $dz_1 = \lvert dz_1 \rvert e^{i\phi_1}$ and an elementary length along line 2 is given by $dz_2 = \lvert dz_2 \rvert e^{i\phi_2}$.

The corresponding elementary lengths on the $f$ plane are $dz_1(df/dz)$ and $dz_2(df/dz)$. If the function $f$ is analytic at $z = a$, then $df/dz$ is independent of the direction of $dz$; that is, $df/dz$ at $z = a$ is equal to $|df/dz|e^{i\phi}$, independent of the angle $\phi$ of $dz_1$ or $dz_2$. Therefore the elementary length along line 1 in the $f$ plane is $|dz_1(df/dz)|e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi)}$ and the elementary length along line 2 is $|dz_2(df/dz)|e^{i(\phi_2 + \phi)}$. The direction of the two lines is rotated by the angle $\alpha$ from the lines on the $z$ plane, but the angle between the lines, $(\alpha + \phi_1) - (\alpha + \phi_2) = \phi_1 - \phi_2$, is the same as the angle between the corresponding lines on the $z$ plane. Therefore the transformation represented by the analytic function $f(z) = u + iv$ is a conformal transformation, preserving angles. Likewise, as can be proved by retracing the steps of this discussion, if a two-dimensional transform from $x, y$ to $u, v$ is conformal, it can be represented by a function $f = u + iv$ which is an analytic function of $z = x + iy$, where $u$ and $v$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations (4.2.1).

We can likewise draw lines on the $f$ plane and see where the corresponding lines fall on the $z$ plane. For instance, the lines $u = \text{constant}$, $v = \text{constant}$, generate a rectangular coordinate system on the $f$ plane; on the $z$ plane the lines $u(x,y) = \text{constant}$, $v(x,y) = \text{constant}$ constitute an orthogonal curvilinear coordinate system (orthogonal because right angles stay right angles in the transformation). We note from the discussion above or by using the definitions given in Sec. 1.3 [see (Eq. 1.3.4)] that the scale factors for these coordinates are equal,

$$h_u = \sqrt{(\partial u/\partial x)^2 + (\partial u/\partial y)^2} = h_v = \sqrt{(\partial v/\partial x)^2 + (\partial v/\partial y)^2} = |df/dz|$$

as long as the Cauchy-Riemann equations (4.2.1) are valid (i.e., as long as $f$ is analytic). Therefore any infinitesimal figure plotted on the $f$ plane is transformed into a similar figure on the $z$ plane, with a possible
change of position and size but with angles and proportions preserved, wherever $f$ is analytic. This is an alternative definition of a conformal transformation.

The simplest conformal transform is represented by the equation $f = ze^{i\theta} + c$, where the real angle $\theta$ and the complex quantity $c$ are constants. Here the scale factor $h_u = h_v = |df/dz| = 1$, so that scale is preserved. The transform corresponds to a translation given by the complex constant $c$ plus a rotation through the angle $\theta$. In other cases, however, scale will be changed, and it will be changed differently in different regions so that the whole space will be distorted even though small regions will be similar. Since, as we have seen, any function of a complex variable may be regarded as an example of an electrostatic field, we may say that the effect of the field may be simulated by warping the space and replacing the field by a simple radial electrostatic field $\vec{E} = u + iv$. The motion of a charged particle may thus be explained as either due directly to the electrostatic field or to the warping of space by that field. This point of view is reminiscent of the procedure used by Einstein in discussing the effects of gravitation as given by the general theory of relativity.

Figure 4.3 shows the conformal transform corresponding to the equation

$$f = \frac{1 - z}{1 + z}, \quad u = \frac{1 - x^2 - y^2}{(1 + x)^2 + y^2}, \quad v = \frac{-2y}{(1 + x)^2 + y^2}, \quad z = \frac{1 - f}{1 + f}$$

The function is analytic except at $z = -1$, and the transformation is conformal except at this point. The curves $u = \text{constant}, v = \text{constant}$ on the $z$ plane constitute an orthogonal set of coordinates consisting of two families of tangential circles. Other cases will be pictured and discussed later (see Sec. 4.7).

We note that, at points where the scale factor $|df/dz|$ is zero, the transform also is not conformal. The region in the neighborhood of such points becomes greatly compressed when looked at in the $f$ plane.
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Inversely, the corresponding region on the \( f \) plane is tremendously expanded. This suggests the possibility of a singularity of the inverse function to \( f \), \( z(f) \), at the point for which \( f'(z) = 0 \). An example will show that this is indeed the case. The simplest is \( f(z) = z^2 \) for which \( f'(0) = 0 \). The inverse \( z = f^{-1} \) has, as predicted, a singularity at this point. Thus the transformation cannot be conformal at this point.

This can be shown directly, for if two line elements passing through \( z = 0 \) make an angle \( \phi_2 - \phi_1 \) with respect to each other, then the corresponding lines on the \( f \) plane make an angle of \( 2(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \) with respect to each other and thus mapping is not conformal at \( z = 0 \). It is also clear that, whenever \( f'(a) = 0 \), the mapping will not be conformal whether \( f(z) \) behaves as \((z - a)^2\), as in this particular example, or as \((z - a)^n\) (\( n \) integer).

But for the region where \( f \) is analytic and where the scale factor \( |df/dz| \) is not zero, the transform is reciprocally conformal. In mathematical language we can say: Let \( f(z) \) be analytic at \( z = a \), and \( f'(a) \neq 0 \), then the inverse of \( f(z) \) exists and is analytic in a sufficiently small region about \( f(a) \) and its derivative is \( 1/f'(a) \).

First as to the existence of the inverse function, we note that the inverse to the transformation \( u = u(x, y) \) and \( v = v(x, y) \) exists if the quantity \((\partial u/\partial x)^2 + (\partial v/\partial y)^2 \neq 0 \). This is indeed the case if \( f'(a) \neq 0 \).

We note that, if \( f'(a) \) were equal to zero, the inverse function would not exist at this point, indicating the existence of a singularity in the inverse function at this point. It now remains to show that the inverse function is analytic, that is, \( \partial x/\partial u = \partial y/\partial v \) and \( \partial x/\partial v = -\partial y/\partial u \). Let us try to express \( \partial x/\partial u \), etc., in terms of \( \partial u/\partial x \), etc. To do this note that

\[
dx = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} du + \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} dv
\]

so that

\[
1 = \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)
\]

or using Eq. (4.2.1)

\[
1 = \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)
\]

Similarly

\[
1 = \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)
\]

This gives us four equations with four unknowns, \( \partial x/\partial u \), \( \partial x/\partial v \), \( \partial y/\partial u \), and \( \partial y/\partial v \), which may be solved. One obtains the derivatives of \( x \):

\[
\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial u/\partial x}{(\partial u/\partial x)^2 + (\partial u/\partial y)^2} \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = \frac{-\partial u/\partial y}{(\partial u/\partial x)^2 + (\partial u/\partial y)^2}
\]
The derivatives of $y$ turn out to be just as required by the Cauchy-Riemann conditions $\partial z/\partial u = \partial y/\partial v$, etc. It is also possible now to evaluate

$$\frac{dz}{df} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} - i \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{(\partial u/\partial x) - i(\partial u/\partial y)} = \frac{1}{\partial f/\partial z}$$

proving the final statement of the theorem. We shall devote a considerable portion of the chapter later to develop the subject of conformal representation further, for it is very useful in application.

Integration in the Complex Plane. The theory of integration in the complex plane is just the theory of the line integral. If $C$ is a possible contour (to be discussed below), then from the analysis of page 354 [see material above Eq. (4.1.12)] it follows that

$$\int_C \bar{E} \, dz = \int_C E_t \, ds + i \int_C E_n \, ds; \quad ds = |dz|$$

where $E_t$ is the component of the vector $\bar{E}$ along the path of integration while $E_n$ is the normal component. Integrals of this kind appear frequently in physics. For example, if $\bar{E}$ is any force field, then the integral $\int_C E_t \, ds$ is just the work done against the force field in moving along the contour $C$. The second integral measures the total flux passing through the contour. If $\bar{E}$ were the velocity vector in hydrodynamics, then the second integral would be just the total fluid current through the contour.

In order for both of these integrals to make physical (and also mathematical) sense, it is necessary for the contour to be sufficiently smooth. Such a smooth curve is composed of arcs which join on continuously, each arc having a continuous tangent. This last requirement eliminates some pathological possibilities, such as a contour sufficiently irregular that is of infinite length. For purposes of convenience, we shall also insist that each arc have no multiple points, thus eliminating loops. However, loops may be easily included in the theory, for any contour containing a loop may be decomposed into a closed contour (the loop) plus a smooth curve, and the theorem to be derived can be applied to each. A closed contour is a closed smooth curve. A closed contour is described in a positive direction with respect to the domain enclosed by the contour if with respect to some point inside the domain the contour is traversed in a counterclockwise direction. The negative direction is then just the clockwise one. Integration along a closed contour will be symbolized by $\oint$.

One fairly obvious result we shall use often in our discussions: If $f(z)$ is an analytic function within and on the contour, and if $df/dz$ is single-valued in the same region,

$$\oint (df/dz) \, dz = 0$$

This result is not necessarily true if $df/dz$ is not single-valued.
Contours involving smooth curves may be combined to form new contours. Some examples are shown in Figure 4.4. Some of the contours so formed may no longer be smooth. For example, the boundary $b'$ is not bounded by a smooth curve (for the inner circle and outer circle are not joined) so that this contour is not composed of arcs which join on continuously. Regions of this type are called multiply connected, whereas the remaining examples in the figure are simply connected. To test for connectivity of a region note that any closed contour drawn within a simply connected region can be shrunk to a point by continuous deformation without crossing the boundary of the region. In $b'$ a
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Fig. 4.4 Possible alterations in contours in the complex plane.

curve $C_1$ intermediate to the two boundary circles cannot be so deformed. The curve $b$ illustrates the fact that any multiply connected surface may be made singly connected if the boundary is extended by means of crossections so that it is impossible to draw an irreducible contour. For example, the intermediate contour $C_1$ drawn in $b'$ would not, if drawn in $b$, be entirely within the region as defined by the boundary lines. The necessity for the discussion of connectivity and its physical interpretation will become clear shortly.

Having disposed of these geometric matters, we are now able to state the central theorem of the theory of functions of a complex variable.

**Cauchy's Theorem.** If a function $f(z)$ is an analytic function, continuous within and on a smooth closed contour $C$, then

$$\oint f(z) \, dz = 0$$

(4.2.3)

For a proof of Cauchy's theorem as stated above, the reader may be referred to several texts in which the Goursat proof is given. The simple proof given earlier assumes that $f'(z)$ not only exists at every point within $C$ but is also continuous therein. It is useful to establish
the theorem within a minimum number of assumptions about $f(z)$, for this extends the case of its applicability. In this section we shall content ourselves with assuming that $C$ bounds a star-shaped region and that $f(z)$ is bounded everywhere within and on $C$.

The geometric concept of "star-shaped" requires some elucidation. A star-shaped region exists if a point $O$ can be found such that every ray from $O$ intersects the bounding curve in precisely one point. A simple example of such a region is the region bounded by a circle. A region which is not star-shaped is illustrated by any annular region. Restricting our proof to a star-shaped region is not a limitation on the theorem, for any simply connected region may be broken up into a number of star-shaped regions and the Cauchy theorem applied to each. This process is illustrated in Fig. 1.4c for the case of a semianular region. Here the semianular region is broken up into parts like II and III, each of which is star-shaped. The Cauchy theorem may then be applied to each along the indicated contours so that

$$\oint_{\Pi} f \, dz + \oint_{\Pi} f \, dz = 0$$

However, in the sum of these integrals, the integrals over the parts of the contour common to III and II cancel out completely so that the sum of the integrals over I, II, and III just becomes the integral along the solid lines, the boundary of the semianular contour.

The proof of the Cauchy theorem may now be given. Take the point $O$ of the star-shaped region to be the origin. Define $F(\lambda)$ by

$$F(\lambda) = \lambda \oint f(\lambda z) \, dz; \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.4)

The Cauchy theorem is that $F(1) = 0$. To prove it, we differentiate $F(\lambda)$:

$$F'(\lambda) = \oint f(\lambda z) \, dz + \lambda \int f'(\lambda z) \, dz$$

Integrate the second of these integrals by parts [which is possible only if $f'(z)$ is bounded]:

$$F'(\lambda) = \oint f(\lambda z) \, dz + \lambda \left\{ \frac{zf(\lambda z)}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \oint f(\lambda z) \, dz \right\}$$

where the square bracket indicates that we take the difference of values at beginning and end of the contour of the quantity within the bracket. Since $zf(\lambda z)$ is a single-valued function, $[zf(\lambda z)/\lambda]$ vanishes for a closed contour so that

$$F'(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad F'(\lambda) = \text{constant}$$

To evaluate the constant, let $\lambda = 0$ in Eq. (4.2.4), yielding $F(0) = 0 = F = F(\lambda)$. Therefore $F(1) = 0$, which proves the theorem. This proof, which appears so simple, in reality just transposes the onus to the
question as to when an integral can be integrated by parts. The requirements, of course, involve just the ones of differentiability, continuity, and finiteness which characterize analytic functions.

Cauchy's theorem does not apply to multiply connected regions, for such regions are not bounded by a smooth contour. The physical reason for this restriction is easy to find. Recall from the discussion of page 354 that the Cauchy theorem, when applied to the electrostatic field, is equivalent to the statement that no charge is included within the region bounded by the contour $C$. Using Fig. 4.1b' as an example of a multiply connected region, we see that contours entirely within the region in question exist (for example, contour $C_1$ in Fig. 4.1b') to which Cauchy's theorem obviously cannot apply because of the possible presence of charge outside the region in question, e.g., charge within the smaller of the two boundary circles. The way to apply Cauchy's theorem with certainty would be to subtract the contour integral around the smaller circle; i.e.,

$$\oint_{C_1} f\,dz - \oint_{C_s} f\,dz = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.5)

This may be also shown directly by using crosscuts to reduce the multiply connected domain to a single-connected one. From Fig. 4.5 we see that a contour in such a simply connected domain consists of the old contours $C_1$ and $C_2$ ($C_1$ described in a positive direction, $C_2$ in a negative direction) plus two additional sections $C_4$ and $C_5$. Cauchy's theorem may be applied to such a contour. The sections along $C_1$ and $C_s$ will cancel, yielding Eq. (4.2.5).

Some Useful Corollaries of Cauchy's Theorem. From Cauchy's theorem it follows that, if $f(z)$ is an analytic function within a region bounded by closed contour $C$, then $\int_C f(z)\,dz$ along any contour within $C$ depends only on $z_1$ and $z_2$. That is, $f(z)$ has not only a unique derivative but also a unique integral. The uniqueness requirement is often used as motivation for a discussion of the Cauchy theorem. To prove this, we
compare the two integrals \( \int_{C_1} f(z) \, dz \) and \( \int_{C_2'} f(z) \, dz \) in Fig. 4.6, where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are two different contours, starting at \( z_1 \) and going to \( z_2 \). According to Cauchy's theorem \( \int_{C_1} f(z) \, dz - \int_{C_2'} f(z) \, dz = \oint f(z) \, dz \), is zero, proving the corollary.

It is a very important practical consequence of this corollary that one may deform a contour without changing the value of the integral, provided that the contour crosses no singularity of the integrand during the deformation. We shall have many occasions to use this theorem in the
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**Fig. 4.6** Independence of integral value on choice of path within region of analyticity.

evaluation of contour integrals, for it thus becomes possible to choose a most convenient contour.

Because of the uniqueness of the integral \( \int_{z_1}^{z_2} f(z) \, dz \) it is possible to define an *indefinite integral* of \( f(z) \) by

\[
F(z) = \int_{z_1}^{z} f(z) \, dz
\]

where the contour is, of course, within the region of analyticity of \( f(z) \). It is an interesting theorem that, if \( f(z) \) is analytic in a given region, then \( F(z) \) is also analytic in the same region. Or, conversely, if \( f(z) \) is singular at \( z_0 \), so is \( F(z) \). To prove this result, we need but demonstrate the uniqueness of the derivative of \( F(z) \), which can be shown by considering the identity

\[
F(z) - F(\xi) = \int_{\xi}^{z} \frac{f(z) - f(\xi)}{z - \xi} \, dz
\]

Because of the continuity and single-valuedness of \( f(z) \) the right-hand side of the above equation may be made as small as desired as \( z \) is made to approach \( \xi \). Therefore in the limit

\[
\lim_{z \to \xi} \left[ \frac{F(z) - F(\xi)}{z - \xi} \right] = f(\xi)
\]
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Since the limit on the left is just the derivative \( F'(t) \), the theorem is proved. We recall from Eqs. (4.1.19) et seq. that, if \( f(z) \) is the conjugate of the electrostatic field, then the real part of \( F(z) \) is the electrostatic potential while the imaginary part is constant along the electric lines of force and is therefore the stream function (see page 355). Therefore the two-dimensional electrostatic potential and the stream function form the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function of a complex variable.

Looking back through the proof of Cauchy’s theorem, we see that we used only the requirements that \( f(z) \) be continuous, one-valued, and that the integral be unique, with the result that we proved that \( F(z) \) was analytic. We shall later show that, if a function is analytic in a region, so is its derivative [see Eq. (4.3.1)]. Drawing upon this information in advance of its proof, we see that, once we have found that \( F(z) \) is analytic, we also know that \( f(z) \) is analytic. This leads to the converse of Cauchy’s theorem, known as Morera’s theorem:

If \( f(z) \) is continuous and single-valued within a closed contour \( C \), and if \( \int f(z) \, dz = 0 \) for any closed contour within \( C \), then \( f(z) \) is analytic within \( C \).

This converse serves as a means for the identification of an analytic function and is thus the integral analogue of the differential requirement given by the Cauchy-Riemann conditions. Since the latter requires continuity in the derivative of \( f \), the integral condition may sometimes be easier to apply.

The physical interpretation of Morera’s theorem as given by the electrostatic analogue will strike the physicist as being rather obvious. It states that, if \( f(z) \) is an electrostatic field and the net charge within any closed contour [evaluated with the aid of \( f(z) \)] within \( C \) is zero, then the charge density within that region is everywhere zero.

Cauchy’s Integral Formula. This formula, a direct deduction from the Cauchy theorem, is the chief tool in the application of the theory of analytic functions to other branches of mathematics and also to physics. Its electrostatic analogue is known as Gauss’ theorem, which states that the integral of the normal component of the electric field about a closed contour \( C \) equals the net charge within the contour. In electrostatics the proof essentially consists of separating off the field due to sources outside the contour from the field due to sources inside. The first, when integrated, must yield zero, while the second may be found by adding up the contribution due to each source. Cauchy’s integral formula applies to the situation in which there is but one source inside \( C \).

Consider the integral

\[
J(a) = \oint f(z)/(z - a) \, dz
\]
around a closed contour $C$ within and on which $f(z)$ is analytic. The contour for this integral may be deformed into a small circle of radius $\rho$ about the point $a$ according to the corollary of Cauchy’s theorem on the deformation of contours. Thus letting $z - a = \rho e^{i\varphi}$,

$$J = i \int_0^{2\pi} f(a + \rho e^{i\varphi}) \, d\varphi = if(a) \int_0^{2\pi} \, d\varphi + i \int_0^{2\pi} [f(a + \rho e^{i\varphi}) - f(a)] \, d\varphi$$

(4.2.7)

Taking the limit as $\rho \to 0$, the second integral vanishes because of the continuity of $f(z)$. The Cauchy integral formula states, therefore, that, if $f(z)$ is analytic inside and on a closed contour $C$, and $a$ is a point within $C$, then

$$\oint_C \frac{f(z)}{z - a} \, dz = 2\pi if(a)$$

(4.2.8)

If $a$ is a point outside of $C$, then $\oint_C \frac{f(z)}{z - a} \, dz = 0$. If $a$ is a point on $C$, the integral will have a Cauchy principal value equal to $\pi if(a)$ (just halfway between). The Cauchy principal value corresponds to putting half of the point source inside $C$ and half outside. To summarize:

$$\oint_C \frac{f(z)}{z - a} \, dz = 2\pi if(a)$$

(4.2.9)

Cauchy’s formula is an integral representation of $f(z)$ which permits us to compute $f(z)$ anywhere in the interior of $C$, knowing only the value of $f(z)$ on $C$. Representations of this kind occur frequently in physics (particularly in the application of Green’s or source functions) with the same sort of discontinuity as is expressed by Eq. (4.2.9). Thus if $f$ is an electrostatic field, Eq. (4.2.8) tells us that the field within $C$ may be computed in terms of the field along $C$. Similar theorems occur in the theory of wave propagation, where they are known collectively as Huygens’ principle.

Cauchy’s formula provides us with a very powerful tool for the investigation of the properties of analytic functions. It points up the strong correlation which exists between the values of an analytic function all over the complex plane. For example, using Eq. (4.2.7) we see that $f(a)$ is the arithmetic average of the values of $f$ on any circle centered at $a$. Therefore $|f(a)| \leq M$ where $M$ is the maximum value of $|f|$ on the circle. Equality can occur only if $f$ is constant on the contour, in which case $f$ is constant within the contour. This theorem may be easily extended to a region bounded by any contour $C$.

The Cauchy principal value is defined as follows: Let $q(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to a$, then the principal value of

$$\int_a^c q(x) \, dx$$

written $\int_a^c q(x) \, dx$, $(b < a < c)$ is

$$\lim_{b \to a^-} \left\{ \int_b^a q(x) \, dx + \int_a^c q(x) \, dx \right\}$$

(4.2.10)
In terms of the electrostatic analogue, the largest values of an electrostatic field within a closed contour occur at the boundary. If \( f(z) \) has no zeros within \( C \), then \( |1/f(z)| \) will be an analytic function inside \( C \) and therefore \( |1/f(z)| \) will have no maximum within \( C \), taking its maximum value on \( C \). Therefore \( |f(z)| \) will not have a minimum within \( C \) but will have its minimum value on the contour \( C \). The proof and theorem do not hold if \( f(z) \) has zeros within \( C \). The absolute value of an analytic function can have neither a maximum nor a minimum within the region of analyticity. If the function assumes either the maximum or minimum value within \( C \), the function is a constant. Points at which \( f(z) \) has a zero derivative will therefore be saddle points, rather than true maxima or minima.

Applying these results to the electrostatic field, we see that the field will take on both its minimum and maximum values on the boundary curve.

These theorems apply not only to \( |f(z)| \) but also to the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function and therefore to the electrostatic potential \( V \). To see this result rewrite Eq. (4.2.7) as

\[
2\pi i f(u) = 2\pi i (u + iv) = i \int_0^{2\pi} f(x + iy) \, d\varphi = i \int_0^{2\pi} (u + iv) \, d\varphi
\]

Equating real parts of the second and fourth expressions in this sequence of equations one obtains

\[
u = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u \, d\varphi
\]  

(4.2.10)

so that \( u \) at the center of the circle is the arithmetic average of the values of \( u \) on the boundary of the circle. We may now use precisely the same reasoning as was employed in the discussion above for \( |f(z)| \) and conclude that \( u \) will take on its minimum and maximum value on the boundary curve of a region within which \( f \) is analytic.

We therefore have the theorem that the electrostatic potential within a source-free region can have neither a maximum nor a minimum within that region. This fact has already been established in Chap. 1 (page 7) in the discussion of the Laplace equation which the electrostatic potential satisfies. From the theorem it immediately follows that, if \( V \) is constant on a contour enclosing a source-free singly connected region, then \( V \) is a constant within that region. This is just the well-known electrostatic result which states that the electrostatic field within a perfect conductor forming a closed surface is zero.

From these examples the general usefulness of the Cauchy integral formula should be clear. In addition we have once more demonstrated the special nature of analytic functions. We shall return to a more thorough discussion of these properties later on in this chapter.
Real and Imaginary Parts of Analytic Functions. This last discussion indicates that it is possible to correlate and classify the component parts of an analytic function just as we have done for the entire analytic function in the preceding sections. Again we find that, because of the specialized nature of analytic functions, the behavior of its component parts in different regions of the complex plane is strongly correlated. In addition there is a close connection between the real and imaginary parts themselves. For example, we shall see that, if enough information about the real part is given, it is possible to compute the imaginary part (or vice versa).

We shall be particularly interested in this interconnection because of its great physical interest. In the electrostatic case, for instance, it yields a connection between the electrostatic potential and the total charge. In vibration theory, it yields a relation between the real and imaginary parts of the impedance, i.e., a relation between the resistive and reactive parts. The complex variable in this case is the complex frequency.

We were able to obtain the beginnings of an understanding of analytic functions by using the integral representation obtained from the Cauchy integral formula. This representation is valid inside any domain bounded by a closed contour and relates the value of the analytic function $f$ in the interior of the contour with its values on the contour. Such a simple relation for the real part of $f$ (hereafter denoted as $Re f$) inside a region in terms of $Re f$ on the contour is easily exhibited for a circular contour. We shall show later it is possible to map, by a conformal transformation, the interior of a region onto the interior of a circle; as a result, it is possible, in principle, to relate the values of $Re f$ inside a boundary to its values on the boundary, although in practice it is very often difficult to carry this out. However, because of the possibility of such a transformation the qualitative aspects of the theorems developed below will hold for any region. In all the discussion below it will be assumed that $f(z)$ is analytic within the boundaries of the region being considered.

Much information may be obtained by considering a circle of infinite radius forming, for convenience, the $x$ axis, the interior of the circle becoming the upper half plane. Our problem then requires us to find the real and imaginary parts of $f = u + iv$ in the upper half plane when $u$ is known on the $x$ axis. The electrostatic analogue will suggest a solution, for the problem in this case is to find the potential in the upper half plane when the potential on the line $x = 0$ is known. The method of images (see Chap. 7) is the device used in electrostatics for solving such problems.

The Cauchy integral is our equivalent for a source function, the factor $1/(z - \xi)$ representing a "source" at $z = \xi = \xi + iv$. We set the
point \( t \) in the upper half plane, so that the contour integral of \( f(z)/(z - t) \) around the boundaries of the upper half plane will be \( 2\pi i f(t) \). The integral of \( f(z)/(z - \xi) \) will be zero because the image point \( \xi = \xi - i\eta \) will be outside the contour. Consequently

\[
f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint f(z) \left[ \frac{1}{z - t} - \frac{1}{z - \xi} \right] dz	ag{4.2.11}
\]

As long as \( f \) is analytic over the whole upper half plane, the integral around the semicircle at infinity is zero, so that the integral (4.2.11) becomes simply an integral along the real axis, yielding

\[
f(t) = \frac{\eta}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{(x - \xi)^2 + \eta^2} dx
\]

where \( \xi = \xi + i\eta \). Since both sides of (4.2.12) contain only real coefficients, this equation holds also for both \( u \) and \( v \). For instance,

\[
u(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\eta}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u(x, 0)}{(x - \xi)^2 + \eta^2} dx
\]

Thus if the potential is known at \( y = 0 \), i.e., on the \( x \) axis, the integral (4.2.13) gives the potential \( u \) everywhere else in the upper half plane as long as \( f \) is analytic in the upper half plane.

To obtain a relation between \( v \) and \( u \), the above derivation suggests adding the two source functions instead of subtracting and using the same contour as before:

\[
f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint f(z) \left[ \frac{1}{z - t} + \frac{1}{z - \xi} \right] dz
\]

or

\[
f(t) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \left[ \frac{x - \xi}{(x - \xi)^2 + \eta^2} \right] dx
\]

Equating real and imaginary parts of both sides of this equation yields the desired relations:

\[
u(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(x - \xi)u(x, 0)}{(x - \xi)^2 + \eta^2} dx
\]

and

\[
u(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(x - \xi)v(x, 0)}{(x - \xi)^2 + \eta^2} dx
\]

Knowing the behavior of the real (or imaginary) parts of \( f \) on the \( x \) axis permits the calculation of both \( u \) and \( v \) in the upper half plane using (4.2.13) and (4.2.15). These equations represent the solutions of the electrostatic problems in which either the charge or potential distribution is given and the resultant fields required.

Equations (4.2.12) to (4.2.16) may also be used to determine the behavior of \( u \) and \( v \) on the boundary \( \eta = 0 \) itself. However, because
of the resultant singular integrals, one must be quite careful. Perhaps the simplest stratagem is to return to the Cauchy integral theorem, which for a point on the contour states [see Eq. 4.2.9]

\[ f(\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \oint \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - \xi} \, d\zeta \]

If the contour is the \( x \) axis, then

\[ f(\xi,0) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \vartheta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x,0)}{x - \xi} \, dx \quad (4.2.17) \]

\[ u(\xi,0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \vartheta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v(x,0)}{x - \xi} \, dx; \quad v(\xi,0) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \vartheta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u(x,0)}{x - \xi} \, dx \quad (4.2.18) \]

These relations occur also in the theory of Hilbert transforms, \( u \) and \( v \) being the Hilbert transform of each other. We shall discuss these transforms in somewhat greater detail in later sections of this chapter. (It should be emphasized again that our formulas relate the real and imaginary parts of a function which is analytic in the upper half plane.) It is sometimes convenient to rewrite (4.2.17) so that the singularity is no longer present. Recalling that \( \vartheta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \, dx/(x - \xi) = 0 \), we have

\[ u(\xi,0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v(x,0) - v(\xi,0)}{x - \xi} \, dx; \]

\[ v(\xi,0) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u(x,0) - u(\xi,0)}{x - \xi} \, dx \quad (4.2.19) \]

**Impedances.** One of the most useful analytic functions we deal with is the impedance \( Z \) (see pages 283 and 324). An electrical impedance is defined as the ratio between voltage and current at a given point in a circuit, when both voltage and current are expressed in terms of the complex exponential of the time \( (V = V e^{i\omega t}, \text{etc.)}; \) a mechanical impedance is the complex ratio between force and velocity; the wave impedance of a string is defined on page 128; and so on.

The impedance is a function of the frequency \( \nu \) (or, alternately, the angular velocity \( \omega = 2\pi \nu \)) and of the parameters of the system. When \( \omega \) is real and positive \( (\text{i.e., when the driving force or voltage is } F e^{i\omega t}) \), then the real part of \( Z \) is called the resistance and is denoted by \( R \) and the imaginary part is called the reactance and is denoted by \( X \). But we can imagine cases where \( \omega \) is complex, when the driving force has a real exponential time factor, so that we can consider \( Z(\omega) = R(\omega) + iX(\omega) \) to be an analytic function of the complex variable \( \omega \).

In most physically attainable cases \( Z \) is analytic in the upper half plane for \( \omega \) (i.e., when the imaginary part of \( \omega \) is positive). The nature
of the impedance is such that, if we reverse the sign of \( \omega \) (i.e., use \( F e^{-i\omega t} \) as the force function), the resistance does not change but the reactance changes sign.

\[
Z(-\omega) = Z(\omega); \quad R(-\omega) = R(\omega); \quad X(-\omega) = -X(\omega) \quad (4.2.20)
\]

Specializing Eqs. (4.2.19) for such cases, we obtain

\[
R(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{X(x) - \omega X(\omega)}{x^2 - \omega^2} \, dx; \quad X(\omega) = \frac{2\omega}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{R(x) - R(\omega)}{x^2 - \omega^2} \, dx
\]

(4.2.21)

which give the resistance for real values of \( \omega \) in terms of the reactance for real values of \( \omega \), and vice versa. This indicates that, as long as \( Z \) is a well-behaved function of \( \omega \) (analytic in the upper half plane), then resistance and reactance are related functions and cannot be chosen arbitrarily and independently.

Beside the direct applications mentioned here, formulas (4.2.19) are useful in evaluating integrals with infinite limits. This is done by applying (4.2.19) to functions whose real and imaginary parts are known. For example, suppose that \( f(z) = e^{ix} \). Then \( u(x,0) = \cos x \), \( v(x,0) = \sin x \). One obtains

\[
\cos \xi = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x - \sin \xi}{x - \xi} \, dx \quad (4.2.22)
\]

and, when \( \xi = 0 \),

\[
\pi - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} \, dx \quad (4.2.23)
\]

Many applications of this type may be made. Other examples will occur in this chapter and in the problems.

**Poisson's Formula.** Similar sets of relations may be obtained when the boundary curve is a circle. Here the image point to \( z \) is \( a^2/z \), where \( a \) is the radius of a circle (if \( |z| < a \) then the image is outside the circle).

Following the procedure used in Eqs. (4.2.11) et seq., one writes

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{z - \xi} \, dz
\]

where the integral is taken along the circular contour. Converting to polar coordinates centered at the center of the circle we have \( z = ae^{i\phi}, \xi = re^{i\phi} (r < a) \); one obtains, after a little manipulation, **Poisson's formula**:

\[
f(re^{i\phi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\omega - \phi) + r^2} \, f(ae^{i\phi}) \, d\phi \quad (4.2.24)
\]

This formula is analogous to Eq. (4.2.13), enabling one to find the real (or imaginary) part of \( f \) within the circle in terms of its values on the circle.
Formulas similar to Eqs. (4.2.14) et seq. may be obtained by calculating

\[ f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint f(w) \left[ \frac{1}{z-w} + \frac{1}{z-a^2/\xi} \right] dw \]

One obtains, using Eq. (4.2.24),

\[ f(re^{i\theta}) = f(0) - \frac{iar}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\phi - \delta)}{a^2 + r^2 - 2ar \cos(\phi - \delta)} f(ae^{i\phi}) d\phi \quad (4.2.25) \]

Equating real and imaginary parts yields

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(r, \delta) &= u(0) + \frac{ar}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\phi - \delta)}{a^2 + r^2 - 2ar \cos(\phi - \delta)} v(a, \phi) d\phi \\
v(r, \theta) &= v(0) - \frac{ar}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\phi - \delta)}{a^2 + r^2 - 2ar \cos(\phi - \delta)} u(a, \phi) d\phi
\end{align*}
\]

(4.2.26)

where \( f = u + iv \) and \( u(0) \) is shorthand for \( u \) at \( r = 0 \).

Again values of \( u \) on the contour circle may be related to the totality of the values of \( v \) on the contour. One obtains

\[ f(ae^{i\phi}) = f(0) - \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(ae^{i\phi}) \cot \left( \frac{\phi - \delta}{2} \right)}{\phi} d\phi \quad (4.2.27) \]

Using the fact that \( \oint_0^{2\pi} \cot \left( \frac{\phi - \delta}{2} \right) d\phi = 0 \) and equating real and imaginary parts in (4.2.27) yield

\[
\begin{align*}
u(a, \phi) &= u(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ v(a, \phi) - v(a, \theta) \right] \cot \left( \frac{\phi - \delta}{2} \right) d\phi \\
v(a, \phi) &= v(0) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ u(a, \phi) - u(a, \theta) \right] \cot \left( \frac{\phi - \delta}{2} \right) d\phi
\end{align*}
\]

(4.2.28)

the analogues of the Hilbert transforms for the circle.

The various formulas derived here demonstrate once more the close connection between \( u \) and \( v \), which we have already seen in differential form in the Cauchy-Riemann equation (4.2.1).

4.3 Derivatives of Analytic Functions, Taylor and Laurent Series

One of the most remarkable properties of an analytic function is the fact that all its derivatives are also analytic, the region of analycity being identical with that of \( f(z) \). To prove the theorem, let us use the integral representation to evaluate the derivative, through the limiting process. The proof is able to proceed because the properties of the
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Integral representation depend essentially on \(1/(z-a)\), which is analytic when \(z \neq a\).

\[
2\pi i f''(a) = 2\pi i \lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \frac{f(a + h) - f(a)}{h} \right]
\]

\[
= \lim_{h \to 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{h} \oint f(z) \left[ \frac{1}{z - a - h} - \frac{1}{z - a} \right] dz \right\}
\]

\[
= \lim_{h \to 0} \oint f(z) \frac{1}{(z - a)(z - a - h)} dz = \oint \frac{f(z)}{(z - a)^2} dz
\]

Taking the limit under the integration sign may be readily justified, for

\[
\oint f(z) \left[ \frac{1}{(z - a)^2} - \frac{1}{(z - a - h)(z - a)} \right] dz
\]

\[
= k \oint f(z) \frac{dz}{(z - a)^2(z - a - h)} \leq \frac{hML}{b^2(b - |h|)}
\]

where \(M\) = maximum value of \(|f(z)|\) on the contour, \(L\) is the length of the contour, and \(b = \) minimum value of \(|z - a|\) on the contour. The right-hand side of this inequality approaches zero as \(h \to 0\); therefore the left side also does.

We can continue with this same process to obtain higher derivatives, obtaining the important general formula for the \(n\)th derivative of \(f\) at \(z = a\),

\[
f^{(n)}(a) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(z - a)^{n+1}} dz \quad \text{(4.3.1)}
\]

Since all the derivatives exist, all the derivatives must be analytic within \(C\). Note that we cannot claim existence of the derivatives on the contour from this proof, as is indicated by the discontinuity in the integral representation (4.2.9).

The Taylor Series. Using formula (4.3.1), it now becomes possible to derive the Taylor series and find its radius of convergence. The Taylor series is a power-series expansion of the function \(f(a + h)\) in powers of \(h\). Such a power series will in general be (1) convergent within its radius of convergence and divergent outside it, (2) analytic within the radius of convergence, and (3) its radius of convergence will extend up to the singularity of \(f(z)\) nearest \(a\). For the proof of statements 1 and 2 the reader is referred to any textbook which discusses the properties of power series in some detail. From the Cauchy integral formula (we make the assumptions involved in the Cauchy formula, such as \(a\) is inside a contour \(C\) and \(f(z)\) is analytic inside and on \(C\)) we have

\[
f(a + h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{z - a - h} dz
\]

The contour is taken to be a circle about \(a\), inasmuch as the region of convergence of the final series is circular.
We next use the identity
\[
\left[ 1 + \frac{h}{z-a} + \frac{h^2}{(z-a)^2} + \cdots + \frac{h^{n-1}}{(z-a)^{n-1}} \right] \left( \frac{z-a-h}{z-a} \right) = 1 - \frac{h^n}{(z-a)^n}
\]
to obtain the exact expression
\[
\frac{1}{z-a-h} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{h^{n-1}}{(z-a)^n} \right] + (z-a-h)/(z-a)^{n}
\]
Introducing this identity into the integral representation of \( f(a+h) \) one obtains
\[
f(a+h) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{h^n}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{n+1}} dz + \frac{h^{n+1}}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{n+1}(z-a-h)} dz
\]
or
\[
f(a+h) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{h^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(a) + R_N \quad (4.3.2)
\]
where Eq. (4.3.1) has been used. The term \( R_N \) is just the remainder term; i.e., it is the difference between \( f(a+h) \) and the first \( N+1 \) terms of the Taylor series. To find the radius of convergence, we first note that the series certainly converges in the circular region of radius \( r \) centered at \( a \) and extending up to the next singularity. This follows from the following inequality:
\[
R_N \leq \frac{|h|^{N+1} M}{r^{N+1} |r - |h|}.
\]
where \( M \) is the maximum value of \( f \) on the contour. Within the radius \( r, h < r \) so that, as \( N \to \infty \), \( R_N \to 0 \). Therefore within the radius \( r \)
\[
f(a+h) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(a) \quad (4.3.3)
\]
is a convergent series, called the Taylor series. We also see that the radius of convergence is certainly as big as \( r \). It cannot be larger than \( r \), however, for we should not expect a power series to represent a singularity satisfactorily.

The fact that the radius of convergence of the Taylor series is determined by the distance to the nearest singularity explains some apparent paradoxes which occur if attention is paid only to values of the function and of the series along the real axis of \( z \). A familiar example is the Taylor expansion \((1-z)^{-1} = 1 + z + z^2 + \cdots \). One would, of
course, expect this series to "blow up" at $x = 1$; however, it is also divergent at $z = -1$ and indeed at $z = e^\pi$, that is, at any point on a unit circle surrounding the origin. The reason for this is clear from the point of view of the theorem expressed above. Another example is $f(x) = e^{-1/z^2}$. Every derivative of this function evaluated at $x = 0$ is zero, and if one puts this result blindly into the Taylor formula, one obtains apparent nonsense. The point here is that $z = 0$ is a singularity.

In fact it is often important to distinguish between the series representing a function and "the function itself" (whatever that phrase can be made to mean). A power series, such as a Taylor series, has only a limited range of representation. It can be made to correspond exactly to the function $f$ in a given range. Beyond this range the function $f$ "exists," but that particular series representation does not. In the example mentioned in the previous paragraph, the function $f = (1 - z)^{-1}$ "exists" and is analytic everywhere except at $z = 1$, but the power series $1 + z + z^2 + \cdots$ "exists" and represents $f$ only inside the circle of unit radius centered at $z = 0$. Another series, $-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{5}(z - 3) - \frac{8}{7}(z - 3)^2 + \cdots$, "exists" and represents $f$ only inside the circle of radius 2 centered at $z = 3$, and so on.

It is as though power series (Taylor's or Laurent series or others) were analogous to pieces of a mold, by the use of which one would cast a copy of the function. Each piece of the mold reproduces the behavior of $f$ perfectly as far as it goes but gives no inkling of the shape of $f$ beyond its range; only when the whole mold is fitted together can one see the complete shape of $f$. This fitting together of pieces of the mold, to describe the whole of $f$, is called analytic continuation and will be discussed later in this chapter. As long as one has a closed form for $f$, such as $(z - 1)^{-1}$, for example, the process of fitting series representation is interesting but not vital, for we already know "the function itself." We have a recipe, so to speak, from which we can calculate $f$ by a finite series of steps (in the present example, we subtract $z$ from 1 and divide into 1); an infinite series, such as Taylor's series usually is, corresponds to an infinite number of steps in the recipe for calculation and is thus feasible only if it converges (if each successive step contributes less, so to speak, so we do not really have to take the infinity of steps to obtain a reasonable facsimile).

Unfortunately there are many functions for which we have no finite-length recipe for obtaining their value. Even the exponential and the trigonometric functions must be computed by the use of infinite series, for example. It is as though we had no complete casting of "the function itself," only the pieces of the mold to be fitted together. This will be the case for most of the functions studied in this treatise.

Integrals can also be used to represent functions (see particularly Secs. 4.8 and 5.3); often such "molds" are capable of representing the
function over a much wider range of the variable than is a series. For example, some integral representations are valid within a band of constant width, extending across the complex plane, clear out to infinity, whereas series are convergent within a circle, a much more restricted area if the radius is finite. But integral representations also have their limitations of range, which it is necessary to keep in mind if we are to avoid mistakes. Indeed some of the questions of the range of convergence of integral representations are more subtle than those for series. We shall later encounter an integral representation of a constant, which is indeed a constant over half the complex $z$ plane but which "blows up" for $\text{Im} \ z \geq 1$. If we know "the function itself" to be a constant everywhere, we can regard this integral representation simply as a peculiarly awkward way to represent a constant, the difficulty of the example is that we are given only the integral and must prove that the "function itself" is a constant.

The Laurent Series. If we wish to expand $f$ about a singularity of $f$ at $a$, we obviously cannot use Taylor's expansion. We may, however, obtain an expansion about a singular point which is valid as close to the singularity as we wish. The trick is to use the contour illustrated in Figure 4.7 such that its interior does not contain the point $a$. As is indicated the contour may be reduced to two circular contours $C_1$ and $C_2$ encircling $z = a$ positively and negatively, respectively. Applying Cauchy's theorem we have

$$f(a + h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \frac{f(z)}{z - a - h} \, dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_2} \frac{f(z)}{(z - a - h)} \, dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} h^n \oint_{C_1} \frac{f(z)}{(z - a)^{n+1}} \, dz + \sum_{n = 1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h^n} \oint_{C_2} \frac{f(z)}{(z - a)^{-n-1}} \, dz \right]$$

$$f(a + h) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} a_n h^n; \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \frac{f(z)}{(z - a)^{n+1}} \, dz \tag{4.3.4}$$

where the contour for the coefficient $a_n$ is $C_1$ for $n \geq 0$ and $C_2$ in the negative direction for $n < 0$ (although, since the integrand is analytic in the region between $C_2$ and $C_1$, we can just as well use $C_1$ as the contour for all values of $n$, reversing direction for negative $n$'s).

This is the Laurent series. By using the same sort of reasoning as with the Taylor series, we can show that this series converges in an annulus whose larger radius (contour $C_1$) is determined by the singularity of $f(z)$ closest to $a$. The series containing the positive powers of $h$ converges everywhere within the outer circle of convergence, while the series of negative powers converges anywhere outside the inner circle. Thus by using the Laurent series we divide $f(z)$ into two functions, one
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of which is analytic inside the outer circle of convergence; the other is analytic outside the inner circle of convergence. One may thus use the integrals over the bounding circles of an annulus (or any convenient contour into which they may be deformed in a given problem, e.g., two lines parallel to the real axis) to resolve the series for function \( f \) into parts which are each analytic functions over different portions of the complex plane.

This series resolution has a simple physical interpretation which we shall discuss now. As was pointed out earlier, the function \( 1/h \) is proportional to the electrostatic field of a line charge located at \( h = 0 \).

![Contour for Laurent series about a singularity at \( z = a \).](image)

What is the physical meaning of \( 1/h^3 \), \( (1/h^2) \), etc.? Since \( 1/h^2 \) may be obtained from \( 1/h \) by differentiation, we might expect that \( 1/h^2 \) represents the field of a line doublet (juxtaposed positive and negative lines). The orientation of the doublet is important and in this case is to be taken along the \( x \) axis. To show this, note that the field due to a doublet may be obtained by superposing the field due to a positive line charge \( q \) at \( h = 0 \), a negative line charge \(-q\) at \( h = \epsilon \) (\( \epsilon \) real) and then taking the limit as \( \epsilon \) goes to zero, \( q\epsilon \) remaining finite and equal to the dipole moment \( p \). Carrying this calculation out

\[
-\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \frac{q}{h} - \frac{q}{h - \epsilon} \right] - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \frac{q\epsilon}{h(h - \epsilon)} \right] = \frac{p}{h^2}; \quad p = q\epsilon
\]

checking our expectation. In the same way \( 1/h^3 \) represents the field due to a positive line charge \( q \) at \( h = 0 \), \(-\frac{1}{3}q\) at both \( \pm \epsilon \), so that the net charge is zero. This is just a quadrupole distribution, for it may be constructed of two doublets along the \( x \) axis of the type discussed above. In general \( k^{-n} \) will therefore represent a multipole of order \( 2^{n-1} \) whose total charge is zero.

That part of the Laurent series with negative powers of \( h \), which is convergent outside the inner circle of convergence, therefore corresponds to the statement that the field from the charge distribution within the inner circle of convergence may be expressed as a linear superposition of fields of a sequence of multipoles located at the point \( a \). A similar inter-
Interpretation may be given of the series in positive powers of $h$. It states that the field from the charge distribution outside the outer circle of convergence may be represented by fields from a linear superposition of multipoles located at infinity. For an extension of this theorem to three dimensions, see Sec. 10.3.

It is no surprise that this expansion fails whenever a charge occurs within the region in question, for then it cannot be represented by multipoles located either at $h = 0$ or at infinity.

**Isolated Singularities.** The discussion in the last subsection leads to a classification of the types of isolated singularities a function of a complex variable may possess. If a function does possess such a singularity, it becomes possible to encircle it and thus determine an annulus in which the function is analytic, permitting a Laurent expansion of the function. From series (4.3.1) we see that, if $a$ is a singularity, some of the coefficients $a_n \ (n < 0)$ must be nonvanishing. If the last negative power coefficient which does not vanish is $a_{-N}$, then the point is said to have a pole of order $N$. (Therefore poles are related to the multipoles of the preceding paragraph.) A pole of order $N$ corresponds to a multipole of order $2^{N-1}$.

If the series of negative powers in the Laurent expansion does not terminate, the point $z = a$ is called an essential singularity of $f$. A familiar example is $e^{1/z}$ near $z = 0$, having a Laurent expansion $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n!z^n} \right)$. If $f(z)$ has an essential singularity at $z = a$, so does $1/f(z)$. For if $1/f$ does not have an essential singularity at $z = a$, it will at most have a pole there (of order $N$, for instance) and can be expressed in terms of the series $\frac{1}{f} = \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} b_n h^n$. Then $f$ would be $h^N/\sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} b_{-n} h^n$, and since $[1/\Sigma b_{n} h^n]$ is an analytic function inside $C$, $f$ can be expanded into a power series in $h$, starting with $h^N$, which is in contradiction to our assumption. Therefore wherever $f(z)$ has an essential singularity, $1/f$ also has one. This property of an essential singularity should be compared with the behavior of $1/f(z)$ at a pole. It is easy to see that $1/f(z)$ has a zero of order $N$ if $f(z)$ has a pole of order $N$.

The function $e^{1/z}$ illustrates another important property of a function near an essential singularity. Consider its value as $z \to 0$ along different paths. For example, when $z$ approaches zero from positive real values, the function goes to infinity, but if $z$ approaches along the negative real axis, the limit is zero, and if the approach is along the imaginary axis, the magnitude of $e^{1/z}$ approaches unity. Near an essential singularity of $f$ all values are taken on by the function $f$.

We thus see that the behavior of a function near an essential singu-
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Singularity is most complicated. Many of the functions which occur in the mathematical treatment of field problems have essential singularities. Their properties must therefore be carefully investigated in the neighborhood of such points.

The application of Laurent's expansion used here can be made only if the singularity is an isolated one. There are other singular points which are neither poles nor essential singularities. For example, neither $\sqrt{z}$ nor log $z$ can be expanded in the neighborhood of $z = 0$ in a Laurent series. Both of these functions are discontinuous along an entire line (say the negative real axis) so that the singular point $z = 0$ is not isolated. We shall discuss singularities of this kind (called branch points) in Sec. 4.4 on multivalued functions.

Classification of Functions, Liouville's Theorem. Functions with isolated singularities may now be classified according to the position and nature of their singularities. The central theorem in this discussion is Liouville's theorem: A function which is analytic for all finite values of $z$ and is bounded everywhere is a constant. The proof follows directly from an estimate of the derivative of $f(a)$:

$$f'(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(z - a)^2} \, dz$$

Let the contour be a circle of radius $R$ centered at $a$, and let $|f(z)| \leq M$ by hypothesis. Then

$$|f'(a)| \leq \left( \frac{M}{2\pi R^2} \right) (2\pi R) = \frac{M}{R}$$

If now we let $R \to \infty$ (in other words, assume that $f$ is bounded for all values of $z$), it follows that $f'(a) = 0$, so that $f(a)$ is a constant. As a corollary of this theorem we see that the slightest deviation of $f(z)$ from constancy anywhere implies the existence of a singularity somewhere else. Thus a function which is well behaved along the real axis will, if it is not exactly constant, have a singularity off the real axis. Again we note the strong correlation between the values of an analytic function everywhere on the complex plane.

By applying a similar technique it is possible to extend the above theorem to: If $f(z)$ is analytic for all finite values of $z$, and if, as $z$ approaches infinity, $|f|$ approaches infinity proportional to $|z|^k$, where $k$ is an integer, then $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$. The $n$th derivative of $f$ is $(n!/2\pi i) \oint f(z)/(z - a)^{n+1} \, dz$. Again we take the contour as being a circle of radius $R$. Then

$$|f^{(n)}(a)| < \frac{n!M}{R^n} = A n! R^{n-}\alpha$$

where $A$ is a constant. As $R \to \infty$, all terms for which $k < n$ will
vanish so that
\[ f^{(n)}(a) = 0; \quad \text{for } n > k \]
which proves the theorem.

For a polynomial with \( k > 0 \) the point at infinity is a singularity.\(^1\) Functions which are analytic in every finite region of the \( z \) plane are called *integral* or sometimes *entire* functions. A polynomial is an example. Many functions of importance in physical applications are in this class. For example \( e^z, \cos z, \) the Bessel function of order \( n, \, J_n(z), \) etc. We shall later see that their analyticity, in finite regions, and the essential singularity at infinity are closely related to the geometric properties of the coordinate systems associated with these functions, e.g., the Bessel function for circular cylindrical coordinates, etc.

**Meromorphic Functions.** We have now considered functions which are analytic everywhere (which are constants) and also functions which are analytic everywhere except at infinity (such as polynomials in \( z \) and \( e^z, \) etc.). Next in order of complication are functions all of whose singularities are poles. It is possible to show that such a function is a *rational function*, i.e., the ratio of two polynomials.

To prove this, first note that the number of poles must be finite; for if they were infinite, there would be a point, either finite or at infinity, which would not be isolated and thus would not be a pole, contrary to hypothesis. Suppose that \( f(z) \) has \( N \) poles, the \( n \)th pole being at \( a_n, \) and is of order \( t_n. \) Then the function
\[
G(z) = \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{N} (z - a_n)^{t_n} \right] f(z)
\]
is analytic everywhere (except possibly at infinity). Whether there is a pole of \( f(z) \) at \( z \to \infty \) or not, it follows that \( |G(z)| \) goes to infinity, as \( z \) goes to infinity, no faster than \( |z|^k, \) where \( k \) is finite; so from our previous theorem \( G(z) \) is a polynomial. Therefore \( f(z) \) is the ratio of two polynomials, \( G \) and \( \prod_{n} (z - a_n)^{t_n}, \) thus proving the theorem.

The electrostatic analogue yields a similar result, for the field caused by each pole may be expressed in terms of a finite series in inverse powers of \( (z - a_n). \) Adding all these series together yields the desired result.

A generalization of the idea of a rational function may now be made. Suppose that all the singularities of a function in a given region of the complex plane are poles; then the function is said to be *meromorphic* in that region. A function which is meromorphic in the finite part of

\(^1\) The point at infinity is investigated by first making the substitution \( z = 1/\xi \) and then considering the behavior of the result as \( \xi \to 0. \) For example, \( z^n \) transforms to \((1/\xi)^n, \) which behaves as a pole of order \( k \) as \( \xi \to 0. \)
the complex plane may be expanded in a partial fraction series just as the rational function may be expanded in such a series. The only difference is that now a singularity is permitted at infinity so that the partial fraction series is no longer finite. We shall now exhibit the expansion for the case where the poles are all first order.

Let \( f(z) \) be the function in question, and let the poles be at points \( a_n \), numbered in order of increasing distance from the origin at which point \( f(z) \) is taken to be analytic. At pole \( a_n \), \( f(z) \to b_n/(z - a_n) \). Consider a circle \( R_p \) within which \( p \) poles may be found \([f(z) \text{ is not to have a pole on the circle}]\). Then the function

\[
g_p(z) = f(z) - \sum_{n=1}^{p} \frac{b_n}{z - a_n}
\]

will be analytic within this circle. Using a circular contour of radius \( R_p \),

\[
g_p(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint g_p(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{\xi - z} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint f(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{\xi - z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{p} \frac{b_n}{(\xi - z)(\xi - a_n)}
\]

It may be verified that the second term is zero so that

\[
g_p(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi
\]

Consider now a sequence of circles \( R_p \) as \( R_p \to \infty \). This in turn defines a sequence of functions \( g_p \) each of which is analytic over a larger and larger region of the complex plane. It now remains to show that in the limit \( g_p \) is bounded, permitting application of Liouville’s theorem. We can see that

\[ |g_p(z)| \leq M \cdot R_p/(R_p - R) \]

where \( M \) is the maximum value of \( |f| \) on the contour of radius \( R_p \). It may happen that \( M \) does not increase indefinitely as \( R_p \) is increased \([in other words it may be that lim \( M \) is bounded]. Therefore, \lim_{p \to \infty} |g_p(z)| \]

is bounded, so that by Liouville’s theorem \( g = \lim_{p \to \infty} g_p \) is a constant. Hence in this case,

\[
f(z) = \text{constant} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{z - a_n}
\]

To determine the constant, let \( z = 0 \) on both sides of the above equation, inasmuch as \( f(z) \) is analytic at \( z = 0 \). Then

\[
f(z) = f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{b_n}{z - a_n} + \frac{b_n}{a_n} \right]
\]
Even if \( f(z) \) is not bounded, in the limit, on the circle of radius \( R_p \), as \( p \) becomes infinite, we can still obtain an analogous expression. It is often possible, for instance, to find a power of \( z \), say \( z^n \), such that \( f(z)/z^n \) is bounded on the circle of radius \( R_p \) as \( p \to \infty \), so that the proper expression for \( f(z) \) is \( z^n \) times the quantity given in Eq. (4.3.6).

Expansion (4.3.6) has, as pointed out above, a simple electrostatic analogy. It is also a common form for the admittance function for a dynamical system (see page 301). As such, it is a measure of the response of a system having many modes of motion (e.g., a string) to a driving force. The denominators in (4.3.6), \( z - a_n \) correspond to the resonance denominators which occur, the constants \( b_n \) to the effect of the space distribution of the driving force on the coupling to the \( n \)th mode. We shall, in Chap. 11, see an application of this fact to the discussion of the motion of fields.

An example of the application of (4.3.6) to the expansion of a function in a partial fraction series will now be given. Consider \( f(z) = \tan z \). The poles of \( \tan z \) occur at \( a_n = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1) \). The value of \( b_n \) is determined from the formulas
\[
\tan \left[ (z - a_n) + \frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1) \right] = \tan \left( z - a_n + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)
\]
\[
= -\cot (z - a_n) \quad \text{as} \quad z \to a_n \quad \frac{1}{z - a_n}
\]

Therefore \( b_n = -1 \). Next we set up a sequence of circles \( R_p \). These are circles whose radius is \( p \pi \) (\( p \) integer). On these circles, \( \tan z \) is bounded for all values of \( p \), satisfying the requirements of the theorem. Therefore
\[
\tan z = -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{z - \frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1)} + \frac{1}{z - \frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1) + \pi} \right]
\]
\[
= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{z - \frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1) + \pi} + \frac{1}{z + \frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1)} \right]
\]
\[
-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{z - \frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1) + \pi} - \frac{1}{z + \frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1)} \right]
\]
\[
\tan z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2z}{[\frac{\pi}{2} (2n + 1)]^2 - z^2} \quad (4.3.7)
\]

Equation (4.3.6) may be also used to obtain an expansion of an integral function \( f(z) \) into an infinite product, since the logarithmic derivative of an integral function, \( f'(z)/f(z) \), is a meromorphic function. Its only singularities in the finite complex planes are poles at the zeros
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$a_n$ of $f(z)$. Suppose, again for simplicity, that these poles are simple poles, that is, $f(z) \rightarrow \text{constant} \quad (z \to a_n)$

$$\frac{[f'(z)]}{f(z)} = \frac{[f'(0)]}{f(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{z-a_n} + \frac{1}{a_n} \right] = \frac{d}{dz} \ln |f(z)|$$

or

$$\ln |f(z)| = \ln |f(0)| + \left[ \frac{[f'(0)]}{f(0)} \right] z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \ln \left( 1 - \frac{z}{a_n} \right) + \frac{z}{a_n} \right]$$

or

$$f(z) = f(0)e^{\left[ \frac{[f'(0)]}{f(0)} \right] z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{a_n} \right) e^{\frac{z}{a_n}} \quad (4.3.8)$$

For this formula to be valid it is required that $f(z)$ be an integral function, that its logarithmic derivative have simple poles, none of which are located at 0, and that it be bounded on a set of circles $R_\infty$ etc.

Let us find the product representation of $\sin z$. We shall use it often in our future work. Now $\sin z$ satisfies all our requirements except for the fact that it has a zero at $a = 0$. We therefore consider $(\sin z)/z$. The logarithmic derivative is $\cot(z) - 1/z$, a function which satisfies all our requirements. The points $a_n$, are $n\pi, \; n \neq 0$, so that

$$\frac{\sin z}{z} = \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{z}{n\pi} \right] e^{\frac{z}{n\pi}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{z}{n\pi} \right)^2 \right] \quad (4.3.9)$$

Similar expansions may be given for other trigonometric functions and for the Bessel functions $J_n(z)$.

Behavior of Power Series on the Circle of Convergence. In many problems it is impractical (or impossible) to obtain solutions in closed form, so that we are left with only power series representations of the desired solutions. Such series will generally have a finite radius of convergence, which may be determined if the general form of the series is known or may be found from other data available on the problem. The power series is not completely equivalent to the solution, of course; inside the radius of convergence it coincides exactly with the solution, but outside this radius there is no correspondence and another series must be found, which converges in the next region, and so on. As mentioned earlier, it is as though the solution had to be represented by a mold of many pieces, each series solution being one piece of the mold, giving the shape of a part of the solution over the area covered by the series but giving no hint as to the shape of the solution elsewhere. In order to ensure that the various pieces of the mold "join" properly, we must now inquire as to the relation between the solution and the power series on its circle of convergence.
Functions of a Complex Variable

A priori, it is clear that the investigation of the behavior of a power series on its circle of convergence will be an extremely delicate matter, involving rather subtle properties of analytic functions. Consequently, the proofs are involved and highly “epistemic.” Fortunately, the theorems are easy to comprehend, and the results, as stated in the theorems, are the relevant items for us in this book, not the details of mathematical machinery needed to prove the theorems. We shall, therefore, concentrate in this section upon a discussion of the aforementioned theorems, omitting most of the proofs. For these, the reader is referred to some of the texts mentioned at the end of the chapter.

Suppose, then, that the series representing the solution is written in the form

\[ f = \sum_n a_n z^n \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.10)

The first question is to determine its radius of convergence \( R \). If the general term \( a_n \) of the series is known, the Cauchy test yields the radius as

\[ R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.11)

Finite radii of convergence occur only if the limit of the ratio \([a_n/a_{n+1}]\) is finite. In this case we can reduce the series to a standard form, with unit radius of convergence, by changing scale. Letting \( z = zR \), we have

\[ f(z) = \sum b_n z^n; \quad b_n = a_n R^n \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.12)

It is convenient to normalize the series to be discussed to this radius.

A great deal of care must be exercised in arguing from the behavior of \( b_n \) for \( n \) large to the behavior of \( f(z) \) at a given point \( z \) on the circle of convergence. If series (4.3.12) diverges or converges at \( z = e^{\nu} \), it is not correspondingly true that the function is, respectively, singular or analytic at \( z = e^{\nu} \). For example, the series \( \Sigma(-z)^n \), which represents \( 1/(1 + z) \) for \( |z| < 1 \), diverges at \( z = 1 \), but \( 1/(1 + z) \) is analytic there. On the other hand the function \( -\int_0^1 \ln(1 - \nu) d\nu = 1 + (1 - z) \ln(1 - z) - 1 \) is singular (but finite) at \( z = +1 \), but the corresponding series, \( \Sigma(z)^{n+1}/n(n + 1) \), converges at \( z = +1 \). Other series can be given where \( b_n \to 0 \) but which diverge at every point on the unit circle, and still others which converge at \( z = 1 \) but at no other point on the unit circle. With this warning to the reader to refrain from jumping to conclusions, we shall proceed to examine what it is possible to say about solutions and the corresponding series on their circles of convergence.

The first matter under discussion will be the available tests determining whether or not a point on the convergence circle is or is not a
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singular point. We first transform the point under examination to the position \( z = 1 \). Then there are two theorems which are of value. The first states that, if \( f(z) = \Sigma b_n z^n \) and \( g(z) = \Sigma \text{Re } b_n z^n \) have radii of convergence equal to 1 and if \( \text{Re } b_n \geq 0 \), then \( z = 1 \) is a singular point of \( f(z) \). \([\text{Re } f = \text{real part of } f.\] In other words, if the phase angle of \( z \) on the circle of convergence is adjusted so that the real part of each term in the series is positive, this phase angle locates the position of the singularity on the circle of convergence.

For example, when this theorem is applied to \( f = \Sigma z^{n+1}/n(n + 1) \), the series mentioned earlier, we find that \( z = 1 \) is a singular point of \( f \) in spite of the convergence of the series at \( z = 1 \). All the terms are positive, and the series for the derivative diverges, so we might expect complications at \( z = 1 \).

We note that, even if \( f(z) = \Sigma b_n z^n \) does have a singularity at \( z = 1 \), according to this test, the series does not necessarily have a singularity for \( z = e^{i\phi} \), where \( \phi \) is small but not zero; for we can write \( z = ze^{i\phi} \) and \( b_n = B_n e^{-i\phi} \), so that \( f(z) = \Sigma B_n z^n \). In this case the real part of \( B_n \) has a term \( \cos(n\phi) \) in it, coming from the factor \( e^{i\phi} \).

A more powerful test is obtained by employing the following theorem.

The theorem is: If \( C_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} b_m \), the necessary and sufficient condition that \( z = 1 \) be a singularity for \( \Sigma b_n z^n \) is that the quantity \( (C_n)^{-1/n} \) never gets smaller than \( \frac{1}{2} \) as \( n \) goes to infinity. For example, for the series \( \Sigma (-z)^n \), \( b_n = (-1)^n \), the point \( z = 1 \) is not a singular point. For the series \( \Sigma z^n \) on the other hand, \( C_n = 2^n \), \( \lim (2^n)^{-1/n} = \frac{1}{2} \) so that \( z = 1 \) is a singular point. A more dramatic case is provided by the series \( \Sigma (n+1)(n+2)(-z)^n \) [which represents the function \( 2/(1+z)^3 \)] with \( b_n = (-1)^n(n+1)(n+2) \), then for \( n > 2 \), \( C_n = 0 \), so that in spite of the strong divergence of the series, the function \( f \) is analytic at \( z = 1 \).

Having determined the radius of convergence and the positions of the singularities on the circle of convergence, it is obviously useful to be able to estimate the behavior of \( f(z) \) on the whole circle of convergence, particularly the singular part. A tool for doing so is provided by the following theorem: If \( f(z) = \Sigma b_n z^n \) and \( g(z) = \Sigma C_n z^n \), where \( \text{Re } b_n \) and \( \text{Re } C_n \geq 0 \) and where \( \text{Im } b_n \) and \( \text{Im } C_n \geq 0 \), and if as \( n \to \infty \), \( b_n \to D \text{C}_n \) (\( D \) constant), then as \( |z| \to 1 \), \( f(z) \to D g(z) \). (\( \text{Im } f \) is the imaginary part of \( f \).)

It should be noted that the condition \( \text{Re } b_n \geq 0 \) by a slight extension, may be relaxed to require that \( \text{Re } b_n \), \( \text{Re } C_n \) eventually maintain a constant sign: similarly for \( \text{Im } b_n \), \( \text{Im } C_n \).

This theorem simply states that, if two expansions have a similar behavior for large \( n \), then the two functions have the same singularities. By using the theorem, some statement about the asymptotic
behavior of the coefficient \( b_n \) may be made. For example, we may assert that, if \( b_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} D n^{p-1}/(p - 1)! \), then \( f(z) \to D/(1 - z)^p \) as \( |z| \to 1 \).

To demonstrate this\(^1\) we need only show that the coefficient \( C_n \) in the expansion \( \Sigma C_n z^n = (1 - z)^{-p} \) is asymptotically \( n^{p-1}/(p - 1)! \). We note that

\[
(1 - z)^{-p} = \sum \frac{(n + 1) \cdots (n + p - 1)}{(p - 1)!} z^n
\]

from which the required asymptotic behavior of \( C_n \) follows.

The hypergeometric function provides another example. This function, which we shall discuss at great length in Chap. 5, is given by

\[
F(a, b; c | z) = 1 + \frac{ab}{c} + \frac{(a + 1)(b + 1)}{(c + 1)} z + \cdots
\]

It is known to have a singularity at \( z = 1 \). The general coefficient \( b_n \) is

\[
b_n = \frac{(a)(a + 1) \cdots (a + n - 1)(b)(b + 1) \cdots (b + n - 1)}{n!(c)(c - 1) \cdots (c + n - 1)} \]

Assuming \( a, b, c \) integers and \( a + b > c \geq b \), the asymptotic behavior of \( b_n \) may be determined as follows:

\[
b_n \sim \frac{(c - 1)!}{(b - 1)!(a - 1)!} \left[ \frac{(a + n - 1)!(b + n - 1)!}{n!(c + n - 1)!} \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
= \frac{(c - 1)!}{(b - 1)!(a - 1)!} \left[ \frac{(n + 1)}{(b + n)!} \cdot \frac{(a + n - 1)}{(c + n - 1)!} \right]^{-1}
\]

Thus one may conclude that, when \( c < a + b \),

\[
F(a, b; c | z) \xrightarrow[z \to 1]{} \frac{(c - 1)!(a + b - c - 1)!}{(b - 1)!(a - 1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - z)^{a+b-c}}
\]

We noted earlier a case \( f = \Sigma z^{n+1}/n(n + 1) \), in which a series converged at a point \( z = 1 \) at which \( f \) was singular but finite. We might ask whether the convergent sum \( s = \Sigma 1/n(n + 1) \) is equal to \( f \) at \( z = 1 \).

The answer to this query is given by a theorem due to Littlewood, which states: If \( f(z) \to s \) as \( z \to 1 \) along a smooth curve and \( \lim (\alpha_n) \) is bounded, then \( \Sigma a_n \) converges to \( s \). In the example quoted above, \( \Sigma 1/n(n + 1) = \lim (1 + (1 - z)[1/(1 - z) - 1]) \) which is, of course, unity, as can be verified directly from the series itself.

From the above theorems, it is clear that knowledge of the power

\(^1\) The proof holds only for \( p \) integer. A suitable generalization for noninteger \( p \) in which the factorials are replaced by gamma functions (to be discussed later in this chapter) is possible.
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series representation of a function yields fairly complete information about the function on the circle of convergence. Can the power series be used to determine properties of the function it represents outside the circle of convergence? Under certain fairly broad restrictions, the answer is yes. We shall discuss this point in the next subsection.

Analytic Continuation. It is often the case that knowledge of a function may be given in a form which is valid for only limited regions in the complex plane. A series with a finite radius of convergence yields no direct information about the function it represents outside this radius of convergence, as we have mentioned several times before. Another case, which often occurs, is in the representation of the function by an integral which does not converge for all values of the complex variable. The integral

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-at} \, dt
\]

represents \(1/z\) only when \(\text{Re} \, z > 0\). It may be possible, however, by comparing the series or integral with some other form, to find values of the function outside of the region in which they are valid. Using, for example, the power series \(f(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + \cdots\) which converges for \(|z| < 1\), it is possible to find the values of \(f(z)\) for \(|z| < 1\) and to identify \(f\) with \(1/(1 - z)\) which is then valid for \(|z| > 1\).

The process by which the function is extended in this fashion is called analytic continuation. The resultant function may then (in most cases) be defined by sequential continuation over the entire complex plane, without reference to the original region of definition. In some cases, however, it is impossible to extend the function outside a finite region. In that event, the boundary of this region is called the natural boundary of the function, and the region, its region of existence.

Suppose, as an example, that a function \(f\) is given as a power series about \(z = 0\), with a radius of convergence \(R\), a singular point of \(f\) being on the circle of convergence. It is then possible to extend the function outside \(R\). Note that at any point within the circle (\(|z| < R\)) it is possible to evaluate not only the value of the series but also all its derivatives at that point, since the derivatives will have the same region of analyticity and their series representation has the same radius of convergence. For example, all these derivatives may be evaluated at \(z = z_0\). Using them, one can set up a Taylor series:

\[
f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!} (z - z_0)^n \tag{4.3.13}
\]

The radius of convergence of this series is the distance to the nearest singular point, say \(z = z_0\). The resultant circle of convergence, with radius \(R_0\), is indicated by the dashed circle in Fig. 4.8. One may con-
continue this process using a new point, for example, $z = z_1$, not necessarily within the original circle of convergence, about which a new series like that of (4.3.13) can be set up. Continuing on in this way, it appears possible by means of such a series of overlapping circles to obtain values for $f$ for every point in the complex plane excluding, of course, the singular points.

In the above discussion, we have assumed that there is only one singular point $z_0$ on the circle of convergence. If, however, the singular points are everywhere dense on the circle or on some neighboring curve, it would be impossible to extend the function beyond this boundary. Such is the case for functions having a natural boundary.

At this point the reader will justifiably raise the question of uniqueness. He will ask whether a function which is continued, as described above, along two different routes from one area to another, will have the same value and derivatives in the final area for one route as it does for the other. He will also ask whether, if the continuation is performed by two different techniques, the same answer will be obtained. We shall now attempt to answer such questions.

**Fundamental Theorems.** The theorem which will be found to be most useful in establishing these points states that, *if a function is analytic in a region and vanishes along any arc of a continuous curve in this region, then it must vanish identically in this region.* This follows from the fact that, under the hypothesis of the theorem, it is possible to evaluate all the derivatives of $f$ at any point on the arc; all these derivatives will be zero. The resultant Taylor series will be identically zero, so that, within its circle of convergence, the function is zero. One can now take any arc within this circle, and keeping within the region of analyticity, we can repeat the process of finding a Taylor series, etc. In this manner the result may be extended to any point within the region of analyticity.

This remarkable theorem once more demonstrates the strong correlation between the behaviors of analytic functions in different parts of the complex plane. For example, if two functions agree in value over a small arc (as small as one might desire as long as it is not a point!), then they are identical in their common region of analyticity.

We may now prove the fundamental uniqueness theorem for analytic continuation. It states that, *if $D_1$ and $D_2$ are regions into which $f(z)$ has been continued from $D$, yielding the corresponding functions $f_1$ and $f_2$, ...*
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and if $D_3$, a region common to $D_1$ and $D_2$, also overlaps $D$, then $f_1 = f_2$ throughout $D_3$. This answers in the affirmative the first question raised above, subject, however (as may be seen from the theorem), to some restrictions. The proof is an immediate consequence of the preceding theorem, for the function $f_1 - f_2$ is analytic within $D_3$, it equals zero within that part of $D_3$ which is inside $D$ and therefore is zero in the remainder of $D_3$. Thus identical values will be obtained at a point inside $D_3$ by use of two different routes for the analytic continuation.

However, this proof depends critically upon the fact that $D_3$ and $D$ have a common region. If this is not the case, the uniqueness theorem may not hold. Instead one can say: If a function $f$ is continued along two different routes from $z_0$ to $z_1$ and two differing values are obtained for the function at $z_1$, then $f(z)$ must have a singularity between the two routes.

This theorem is a fairly obvious consequence of the fact that the radius of convergence of a power series extends up to the next singularity of the function, for if there were no singularities between the two routes, then it would be possible, by means of the power-series method of continuation, completely to fill in the region between the two routes and obtain sufficient overlapping so that the uniqueness theorem is satisfied. In that event $f(z_1)$ for the two different routes would be identical, in contradiction to our hypothesis. There must therefore be a singularity between the two routes.

**Branch Points.** Note that this last theorem does not state that different values must be obtained if there is a singularity between the two routes. It must be a particular type of singularity to cause a discrepancy! We shall digress here for a few paragraphs to discuss this case. Suppose that the two routes of continuation do not yield the same values of $f(z)$ at $z_1$, what then? It clearly becomes useful to generalize our definition of an analytic function to include both of these values. We thus say that an analytic function will include not only the function as given in the original region of definition but also all the analytic continuations of the function from the original region, whether or not the results are unique. If they are unique, then the function is called single-valued. If they are not unique, it is called many-valued. From the previous theorem, these latter functions will have singularities such that continuation along two different routes surrounding the singular point will lead to nonunique results.
This type of singularity is called a branch point, and the various possible sets of values generated by the process of continuation are known as branches. We shall postpone to the next section (which will be completely devoted to many-valued functions) the more specific definition of branch points and the examples which would clarify the definition. Suffice it to say that the many-valued function arises naturally in the discussion of analytic continuation, that all the various possible values of a function at a given point may be obtained by the process of analytic continuation in which one winds about the branch point as many times as may be necessary.

**Techniques of Analytic Continuation.** We can now turn to the second uniqueness question: Will the results obtained for the analytic continuation of a function depend in any way upon the particular technique which is used? The answer is no, and it follows directly from the fundamental theorem of page 390. Any two methods must, of course, yield identical values in the original region of definition of the function. They must therefore yield the same functional values in any region which overlaps the original region, according to the theorem. By repeating this logic for each stage of the continuation process, the theorem is proved.

These three theorems on uniqueness are the fundamental theorems in the theory of analytic continuation. However, from the point of view of application the most relevant theorem would be one which would tell us if a function $f_2$ is the analytic continuation of a function $f_1$. In general, we shall not use the power-series method but rather any method which is natural for the problem at hand. Each of the techniques can be developed with the aid of the following theorem: If two functions $f_1$ and $f_2$ are analytic, respectively, in the domains $D_1$ and $D_2$, and if these functions are identical in the region where the domains overlap, then $f_2$ is the continuation of $f_1$ into $D_2$ and vice versa.

The theorem depends upon the fact that one could continue, from the common region into the remainder of $D_1$ and $D_2$ from the same power series in virtue of the analyticity of $f_1$ and $f_2$. Actually the overlapping involved in the theorem may be reduced to a line forming a common boundary of $D_1$ and $D_2$. We shall now show that, if $f_1$ is analytic in $D_1$ and $f_2$ in $D_2$, if $f_1$ equals $f_2$ along their common boundary $C$, and if $f_1$ and $f_2$ are continuous along $C$, then $f_2$ is the continuation of $f_1$ in $D_2$ and vice versa.

To prove this we shall show that the function $f = f_1$ in $D_1$ and $f_2$ in $D_2$ is analytic throughout $D_1 + D_2$ in Fig. 4.10. The fact that $f_2$ is a continuation of $f_1$ is then a consequence of this analyticity. Consider a point $z_0$ in $D_2$. Then from the Cauchy integral formula:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{c_1+L} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} \, dz$$

and

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{c_1+L} \frac{f(z)}{z-z_0} \, dz$$
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Adding these two equations, one obtains

\[ f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1 + C_2} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} \, dz \]

since the integrals along \( L \) cancel by virtue of the equality of \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) along \( L \). The contour \( C_1 + C_2 \) is a closed contour. One may now use the procedure employed in deriving Eq. (4.3.1) and evaluate the derivatives of \( f \) at \( z_0 \). Since the derivatives exist, the function is analytic at \( z_0 \), proving the theorem.

We shall now go on to describe some possible methods of analytic continuation. We shall be principally concerned with two methods, the first making use of functional relationships, the second direct transformations of the power series. In the first category, we shall describe two methods, the first of which follows from the Schwarz principle of reflection which essentially makes use of the functional relation \( f(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)} \). If \( f(z) \) is analytic within a region \( D \) intersected by the real axis and is real on the real axis, then one obtains for conjugate values of \( z \) conjugate values of \( f \). That is to say, \( f(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)} \). To prove this, expand \( f(z) \) in a Taylor series about a point \( a \) on the real axis. The coefficients of the Taylor series are real by virtue of the hypothesis that \( f(z) \) is real on the real axis. We have then that \( f(z) = \sum a_n (z - a)^n \), \( a_n \) real. Then \( f(\bar{z}) = \overline{\sum a_n (\bar{z} - a)^n} = \overline{f(z)} \), proving the theorem. The theorem thus holds for any point within the circle of convergence of the power series. By the methods of analytic continuation, it may be extended to include any nonsingular point conjugate to a point in \( D \). One may thus see that the procedure of taking the conjugate of \( f \) immediately continues the function from the region above the real axis to the region below. The method may be generalized to a region where the real axis is replaced by any straight line. However, it is not necessary to state this theorem, for in general it is possible to rotate a given straight line so that it coincides with part of the real axis and then apply the theorem above.

A second method employs explicit functional relations such as addition formulas or recurrence relations. A simple example is provided by the
addition formula \( f(z + z_1) = f(z)f(z_1) \), satisfied, of course, by the exponential function. If \( f \) were known only in a given region, it would be continued outside that region to any point given by the addition of the coordinates of any two points inside the region. A less trivial example occurs in the theory of gamma functions. The gamma function is often defined by the integral

\[
\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt
\]  

(4.3.14)

This integral converges only for \( \Re z > 0 \), so that the integral defines \( \Gamma(z) \) for only the right half of the complex plane. From Eq. (4.3.14) one may readily derive (by integrating by parts) a functional relationship between \( \Gamma(z) \) and \( \Gamma(z + 1) \):

\[
2\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z + 1)
\]

(4.3.15)

We may now use Eq. (4.3.15) to continue \( \Gamma(z) \) into the \( \Re z < 0 \) part of the complex plane. In Fig. 4.12 we assume that \( \Gamma(z) \) is known for \( x > 0 \). Then using recurrence relation (4.3.15) the points in the strip \( -\frac{1}{2} < x < \frac{1}{2} \) can be computed in terms of the values of \( \Gamma(z) \) for \( x > 0 \). The function so defined and the original function have an overlapping region of convergence so that it is the analytic continuation into the negative \( x \) region.

We now consider methods of transforming the power series directly. The power-series method of continuation, although useful in the theoretical discussion of the properties of the process, is very cumbersome from a practical point of view and is thus to be shunned under all but the most extreme circumstances. If the method employing functional relationships does not apply, however, one may have to fall back upon a method due to Euler. Here the power series in \( z \) (divergent at \( z = -1 \)) is transformed into a power series in the variable.

\[
f = z/(1 + z)
\]

(4.3.16)
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Thus a series which was slowly converging or diverging at \( z = 1 \) is converted into a power series which may converge at \( \xi = \frac{1}{2} \) fairly rapidly. Inasmuch as the two series will have common values in the region in which they are both convergent, the series in \( \xi \) is the analytic continuation of the series in \( z \).

Let us now consider the transformation leading to a power series in \( \xi \). Euler's transformation may be most conveniently applied to alternating series:

\[
 f(z) = \sum (-1)^n a_n z^n \quad (4.3.17)
\]

Working within the radius of convergence of this series, multiply both sides by \( 1 + z \), and regrouping terms, one obtains

\[
 (1 + z) f(z) = a_0 + z \sum (a_n - a_{n+1})(-1)^n z^n
\]

It is useful here to introduce a set of symbols which we shall use again when we come to discuss the calculus of finite differences. Let

\[
 \delta a_n = a_n - a_{n+1} \quad (4.3.18)
\]

One may repeat the process:

\[
 \delta(\delta a_n) = \delta^2 u_n = u_n - 2u_{n+1} + u_{n+2}
\]

In general

\[
 \delta^k a_n = \sum_{p=0}^{k} (-1)^p \binom{k}{p} a_{n+p} \quad (4.3.19)
\]

where \( \binom{n}{m} \) are the binomial coefficients \( \binom{n}{m} = \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} \) so that

\[
 (1 + z)^n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} x^m. \quad \text{In terms of these symbols we have}
\]

\[
 f(z) = \frac{a_0}{1 + z} + \xi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\delta a_n)(-1)^n z^n \quad (4.3.20)
\]

We may now apply the procedure used to obtain Eq. (4.3.20) to the coefficient of \( \xi \), obtaining

\[
 f(z) = \frac{a_0}{1 + z} + \xi \frac{\delta a_0}{1 + z} + \xi^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\delta^2 a_n)(-1)^n z^n
\]

Continuing on in this way we obtain

\[
 f(z) = \frac{1}{1 + z} \left[ a_0 + \xi \delta a_0 + \xi^2 \delta^2 a_0 + \cdots \right] \quad (4.3.21)
\]

To demonstrate the power of the transformation, let us apply it to some simple cases. As a first example let us take the series

\[
 \ln(1 + z) = z(1 - \frac{1}{2} z + \frac{1}{3} z^2 - \frac{1}{4} z^3 + \cdots) \quad (4.3.22)
\]
which has a radius of convergence of 1. The series converges very slowly at \( z \) close to 1. We now compute the coefficients \( \delta^k a_k \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( a_n )</th>
<th>( \delta a_n )</th>
<th>( \delta^2 a_n )</th>
<th>( \delta^3 a_n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{8} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{16} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{16} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{8} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{16} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{32} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3}{32} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \frac{4}{4} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{64} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{64} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{64} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The transformed series is

\[
\ln(1 + z) = z/(1 + z) [1 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{3}z^3 + \cdots]
\]

which may be easily checked directly. The radius of convergence of the series in \( z \) is \( |z| \leq 1 \), or \((x^2 + y^2)/[(1 + x^2 + y^2)] \leq 1 \). This inequality will hold for \( x \geq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \) for any \( y \), so that by means of the transformation we have continued the function into the half plane \( x > -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \).

Another example is furnished by the series discussed on page 387, where \( a_n = (n)(n + 1) \). From the table below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( a_n )</th>
<th>( \delta a_n )</th>
<th>( \delta^2 a_n )</th>
<th>( \delta^3 a_n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the transformed series is finite, since all \( \delta \)'s higher than \( \delta^2 \) are zero, so that

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{1 + z} [-2z + 2z^2] = -\frac{2z}{(1 + z)^2}
\]

Note that in this case the original series diverged strongly at \( z = 1 \). Euler's transformation in this case yields a closed form for \( f(z) \) and therefore succeeds in extending the function over the entire complex plane.

Various generalizations of Euler's transformation are possible. Perhaps the most useful one relates

\[
g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (C_n b_n z^n)
\]

Assuming \( g \) as being a known function we now attempt to relate \( f \) and \( g \). This may be accomplished by expressing the \( b_n \) in terms of derivatives of \( g \) as follows:

\[
f = C_0 g(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (C_n - C_0) z^n
\]
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We have eliminated $b_0$. We may replace $b_1$ by noting that $g' = \sum n h_n z^{n-1}$. Then

$$f = C_0 g + (C_1 - C_0) z g' + \sum b_n [(C_n - C_0) - n (C_1 - C_0)] z^n$$

Continuing this process one finally obtains

$$f = C_0 g - (b C_0) z g' + \frac{(\delta^2 C_0) z^2}{2!} g'' - \cdots$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.25)

This reduces to Euler's transformation (4.3.21) if $g = 1/(1 + z)$. An important generalization of Eq. (4.3.21) is obtained if $g$ is taken as $1/(1 + z)^p$. Then Eq. (4.3.25) becomes

$$f = \frac{1}{(1 + z)^p} \left[ C_0 + p (b C_0) z + \frac{(p)(p + 1)}{2!} (\delta^2 C_0) z^2 + \cdots \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.26)

where

$$f = C_0 - C_1 z \frac{C_1 (p + 1) z^2}{2!} + \cdots; \quad \xi = \frac{z}{1 + z}$$

Many important examples involving Eqs. (4.3.25) and (4.3.26) exist.

Note that Eq. (4.3.25) will yield a closed form if the series breaks off, which will occur if $C_n$ is a polynomial in $n$. Second, considerable simplifications may be achieved if $g$ satisfies some differential equation which relates all the higher derivatives to a finite number of derivatives. To illustrate let $g = \cos z = \sum (-1)^n z^{2n} / (2n)!$ (that is, let $b_n = (-1)^n / (2n)!$, $b_{n+1} = 0$) and let $C_n = n^2$. Then $f = \sum (-1)^n (2n)! \left[ \frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!} \right]$. From Eq. (4.3.25)

$$f = -z \sin z - z^2 \cos z$$

which may be easily verified directly.

A more complicated example, using Eq. (4.3.26), is a transformation which may be applied to the hypergeometric function of $-z$:

$$f - 1 - \frac{(a)(b)}{c} z \frac{(a)(a + 1)(b)(b + 1) z^2}{c(c + 1)} = \cdots$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.27)

Let $p$ in formula (4.3.26) be $a$. Then we can set $C_0 = 1$, $C_1 = b/c$, $C_2 = [(b)(b + 1)]/[(c)(c + 1)]$, etc., and from Eq. (4.3.26)

$$f = \frac{1}{(1 + z)^a} \left[ 1 + \frac{(a)(c - b)}{c} \xi + \frac{(a)(a + 1)(c - b)(c - b + 1)}{(c)(c + 1)} \frac{\xi^2}{2!} + \cdots \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3.28)
This expression inside the brackets is another hypergeometric function of \( z/(1 + z) \). Its radius of convergence is \(|z| = 1\), which corresponds to a region in the \( z \) plane, \( x > -\frac{1}{2} \). It thus may be used to compute the hypergeometric function for \( z > 1 \), originally impossible with Eq. (4.3.27).

A powerful generalization of the Euler method is due to Barnes, in which the sum is replaced by an integral in the complex plane of a function with poles adjusted so that the integral by Cauchy's integral formula yields the desired series. This technique exploits the properties of the gamma function, so we shall postpone a discussion of it to Sec. 4.6.

### 4.4 Multivalued Functions

The discussion of complex variables given here has, up to this point, been almost completely limited to single-valued functions which by definition are uniquely specified when \( z \) is given. When we come to consider multivalued functions, many theorems (principally Cauchy's theorem and Cauchy's formula) which have been discussed must be reconsidered. It is important to note that most of the functions we shall study later on in this volume either are multivalued or have inverses which are multivalued.

The necessary concepts are best delineated by discussing a specific example:

\[
f(z) = z^4 \tag{4.4.1}
\]

Let \( z = re^{i\phi} \) and \( f(z) = Re^{i\phi} \). Then

\[
R = r^4; \quad \phi = \phi/2 \tag{4.4.2}
\]

This function is multivalued. For a point on the \( z \) plane specified by \( r \) and \( \phi \) or alternatively by \( (r, \phi + 2\pi) \) two values of \( f(z) \) are obtained:

\[
f_1 = r^4e^{i\phi}; \quad f_2 = r^4e^{i(\phi + 2\pi)} = -r^4e^{i\phi} \tag{4.4.3}
\]

The reason for the multiple values obtained here is just the familiar fact that the square root of a number may have either a plus or a minus value. If the exponent were \( \frac{1}{2} \) instead of \( \frac{4}{4} \), there would be four possible values for \( f \) for a given \( z \):

\[
(z^4)_1 = r^4e^{i\phi}; \quad (z^4)_2 = e^{i\pi r^4e^{i\phi}} = e^{i\pi r^4}(z^4)_1; \quad (z^4)_3 = e^{i2\pi}(z^4)_1; \\
(z^4)_4 = e^{i3\pi}(z^4)_1
\]

On the other hand \( z \) raised to the \( \pi \) power (or any other transcendental number) will have an infinite number of values at \( z = re^{i\phi} \), so that its phase at \( re^{i\phi} \) may take on any value. This permits us, in a calculation in which the power of \( z \) is unspecified, to choose the phase of the function at will.

This multiplicity of values introduces discontinuities into \( f \). For
example, at the point $z = -\tau$, $z^i$ has the value $\sqrt{z} i$ if $z = re^{i\tau}$ or the value $-\sqrt{z} i$ if $z = re^{-i\tau}$. Such discontinuities can correspond to an actual physical situation, being a representation of a barrier placed along the line $\phi = \pm \pi$; the mathematics of such problems always involves multi-valued functions.

**Branch Points and Branch Lines.** The discontinuity in value may also be exhibited in a graphical manner by considering the conformal transformation generated by $z^i$. In Fig. 4.13 we have drawn a circular contour $a \rightarrow b$ on the $z$ plane; its transform on the $f = u + iv$ plane is a semicircle $A \rightarrow B$. The discontinuity is now clear, since the transforms of the points $a$ and $b$, $A$ and $B$, respectively, are not equal. Since circle $a \rightarrow b$ may have any radius, we see that all the points on the $z$ plane are correlated with only half of the points on the $f$ plane, those for which $u > 0$. The remaining values of $f$ are generated if a second circuit $a \rightarrow b$ is made. Then the values of $f$ with $u < 0$ will be correlated with those values of $z$ whose argument lies between $\pi$ and $3\pi$. Again associated with each value of $z$ there are two values of $f$. However, we now notice that these values may be divided into two independent sets, those generated on the first tour of the $z$ plane $-\pi < \phi < \pi$ and those generated on the second tour $\pi < \phi < 3\pi$. Upon making a third circuit $3\pi < \phi < 5\pi$, we find the resultant values of $f$ to be the same as those obtained on the first circuit $-\pi < \phi < \pi$. These two independent sets of values for $z^i$ are called the branches of $z^i$. The line along which the discontinuities occur, $\phi = \pi$, is called the branch line. Branch lines, in future discussions, will be drawn as heavy, double lines.

The particular reason for singling out the branch line in this manner is that, upon crossing the branch line in the $z$ plane, such as for contour $ad$, we cross from one branch of $z^i$ to another, as may be seen by looking at the transform of $ad$, the solid $CD$. This latter is drawn on the

![Fig. 4.13 Conformal transformation $f = \sqrt{z}$ showing multiple values and branch line abc on $z^i$ plane.](image-url)
assumption that \( C \) is on the branch of \( z^k \) for which \( u > 0 \). If on the other hand \( C \) was in the other branch, the image of \( CD \) would be the dashed \( CD \). In either case crossing the branch line on the \( z \) plane takes \( f \) from one of its branches to the other.

This fact may also be derived if we make use of the theorems developed in the section on analytic continuation. For example, consider circle \( efg \) in Fig. 4.13. If the function \( z^k \) is continued along this path from \( e \) to \( g \), then the value obtained at \( g \) should equal the value at \( e \) inasmuch as no singular point of the function has been enclosed. Pictorially this is exhibited by the transformed circle \( EFG \). On the other hand if \( z^k \)

![Fig. 4.14 Transformation \( f = \sqrt{z} \), showing lack of conformality at \( z = 0 \).](image)

is continued along the circle \( a \rightarrow b \), we know that the value obtained at \( b \) will not equal the value at \( a \). From our discussion of analytic continuation we know that there must be a singularity enclosed. Since circle \( a \rightarrow b \) is of arbitrary radius, the singular point is \( z = 0 \) as may be verified by direct examination of the function \( z \) at \( z = 0 \). This type of singular point (see page 391) is called a branch point.

We note a few additional properties of branch points. The value of \( f(z) \) at the branch point is common to all the branches of the function \( f \). Most often it is the only point in common, though this is not always the case. Second, the transformation defined by \( f(z) \) is not conformal at the branch point. This is illustrated in Fig. 4.14 where, for the function \( z^k \), the angle between the transforms of two radial lines emanating from \( z = 0 \) is half of the angle between these two radii. For a function \( z^{1/\alpha} \), the angle will be reduced by a factor of \( \alpha \). Finally, it is important to note that branch points always occur in pairs and that the branch lines join the branch points. For example, in the case of \( z^k \), \( z \rightarrow \infty \) is also a branch point. This may be verified by making the substitution \( z = 1/\xi \), \( z^k = \xi^{-k} \), which is a multivalued function of \( \xi \) and has a branch point at \( \xi = 0 \); that is, \( z = \infty \).
The branch line we have used ran from the branch point at $z = 0$ to the branch point at $z = \infty$ along the negative real axis. Any curve joining the branch points 0 and $\infty$ would have done just as well. For example, we could have used the regions $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ and $2\pi < \phi < 4\pi$ as the defining regions for the first and second branch. On the $f$ plane these two would correspond to $v > 0$ and $v < 0$, respectively. (The branch line in this case is thus the positive real axis of $z$.) This division into branches is just as valid as the one discussed on the previous page. We therefore may choose our branch line so that it is most convenient for the problem at hand.

Riemann Surfaces. The notion, for the case $z^4$, that the regions $-\pi < \phi < \pi$ and $\pi < \phi < 3\pi$ correspond to two different regions of the $f$ plane is an awkward one geometrically, since each of these two regions cover the $z$ plane completely. To reestablish single-valuedness and continuity for the general purpose of permitting application of the various theorems developed in the preceding section, it is necessary to give separate geometric meanings to the two $z$ plane regions. It is possible to do all this by use of the notion of Riemann surfaces. Imagine that the $z$ plane, instead of being one single plane, is composed of several planes, sheets, or surfaces, arranged vertically. Each sheet, or ensemble of $z$ values, is taken to correspond to a branch of the function, so that in the simple case of $z^4$ each sheet is in one-to-one correspondence with a part of the $f$ plane. For the function $z^4$ only two sheets are needed, for there are only two branches, sheet 1 corresponding to $-\pi < \phi < \pi$, sheet 2 to $\pi < \phi < 3\pi$. These sheets must be joined in some fashion along the branch cut, for it should be recalled that it is possible to pass from one of the branches to the other by crossing the branch line.

The method is illustrated in Fig. 4.15. Each sheet is cut along a branch line, also often called a branch cut as a result. Then the lip of
each side of the cut of a given sheet is connected with the opposite lip
of the other sheet. A closed path ABCDE on both sheets is traced out
on the figure. Path AB is on sheet 1, but upon crossing the branch cut
on sheet 1 at B we pass from sheet 1 to sheet 2 at C. Path CD is on sheet
2. Beyond D we cross the branch cut on sheet 2 and so pass on back
through A to point E on sheet 1. It now becomes apparent what is
meant by a closed contour. Path AB is not, while path ABCDA is.
The application of Cauchy’s theorem and integral formula now becomes
possible. We shall look into this matter in the next section. Right
now let us continue to examine the behavior of multivalued
functions.

We turn to a more complicated example. Consider the function

\[ f = \sqrt{z^2 - 1} \]

Fig. 4.16 Branch line for the transformation \( f = \sqrt{z^2 - 1} \).

This function has branch points at \( z = \pm 1 \). The point at \( z = \infty \) is
not a branch point, for letting \( z = 1/t \), \( f = \sqrt{(1/t^2)} - 1 = \sqrt{1 - t^2}/t \)
\( \to 1/t \). The point at infinity is therefore a simple pole. The branch
line for \( f \) runs therefore from \( z = 1 \) to \( z = -1 \). One may go directly
from \(-1 \) to \( 1 \) as in Fig. 4.15. One could also have gone between these
points by going along the negative \( x \) axis from \(-1 \) to \( x = -\infty \) and along
the positive \( x \) axis from \( x = \infty \) to \( x = 1 \). There are, of course, many
other possibilities.

Along the real axis for \( |x| > 1 \), \( \sqrt{x^2 - 1} = \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \) is real, so
that \( |x| > 1 \) on the real axis corresponds to \( \text{Im} f = v = 0 \). As soon as
\( |x| < 1 \), that is, along the branch line, the phase of \( f \) becomes uncertain;
it may be either \( \pi/2 \) or \( -\pi/2 \). Resolving this uncertainty may be
reduced into deciding where the branch lines of the constituent factors
\( \sqrt{z + 1} \), \( \sqrt{z - 1} \) are to be placed. Different choices will lead to
different branch lines for the product. To obtain the branch line of
Fig. 4.15, we let the branch line \( \sqrt{x + 1} \) extend from \(-1 \) to \(-\infty \); for
\( \sqrt{x - 1} \) from \( z = 1 \) to \(-\infty \). The phase of the product function \( \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \)
is then given by \( \frac{1}{2}(\tau_+ + \tau_-) \), where \( \tau_+ \) is the phase of \( z + 1 \) and \( \tau_- \) the
phase of \( z - 1 \) as shown in Fig. 4.16. Consider now a point just above
the real axis between \(+1\) and \(-1\). Here \( \tau_+ = 0, \tau_- = \pi \), so that the
phase of \( f \) is \( +\pi/2 \). At a corresponding point just below the \( x \) axis,
\( \tau_+ = 0, \tau_- = -\pi \) so that the phase of \( f = -\pi/2 \). Therefore above
the line \( u = 0, v > 0 \), while below the line \( u = 0, v < 0 \), again demonstrat-
ing the discontinuity which exists at a branch line. Now consider
the $y$ axis. For any point on the positive $y$ axis, $\tau_+ + \tau_- = \pi$, so that
the phase is $\pi/2$ and $u = 0$. Below the axis, the phase is $-\pi/2$.

With this information available we may now attempt to sketch the
lines of constant $u$ and constant $v$ on the $z$ plane. This is shown in
Fig. 4.17. Note that these lines must be mutually orthogonal except
at the branch points, where the transformation from the $z$ plane to the $f$
plane is not conformal. One example of this has already been found at
points $z = \pm 1$ where the lines $u = 0$ and $v = 0$ meet. For large values
of $\varepsilon (|z| \gg 1)$ we may expect that $f \approx z$, so that asymptotically the
constant $u$ and constant $x$ lines are identical, and similarly for the constant $v$
and constant $y$ lines. We note in Fig. 4.17 that the contour $u = 0$ is
the $y$ axis, plus that part of the $x$ axis between $x = -1$ and $x = +1$.

Between $z = -1$ and $z = 0$, $v$ varies from 0 to 1 above the axis,
while for points below the axis it varies from 0 to $-1$. For $|v| > 1$ we
must turn to the $y$ axis, for constant $v$ lines for $v > 1$ (or $v < -1$) intersect
the $y$ axis at right angles, whereas for $|v| < 1$ $v$ = constant lines
intersect the $x$ axis between 0 and $-1$. From this discussion, it becomes
possible to sketch the $v = $ constant lines. The $u = $ constant lines may
be drawn in from the requirement of orthogonality to the $v = $ constant
lines. We need to determine the sign of $u$ to which they correspond.
This may be done by asking for the phase of $f$ along the $x$ axis ($x < -1$).
Here, just above the $x$ axis, $\tau_+ + \tau_- = \pi$ so that the phase of $f$ is $\pi$.
For $z$ just below, the same argument leads to a phase of $-\pi$, which, of
course, is the same as $\pi$. We see then that the $u = $ constant lines which
are on the $x < 0$ half-plane are negative, those in the $x > 0$ half-plane
are positive.
We have drawn in Fig. 4.17 the \(u\) and \(v\) contours corresponding to sheet 1 of the Riemann surface. The \(v > 0\) lines, for example, must join with corresponding \(v > 0\) lines in the lower half plane of the second sheet and vice versa. Aside from this change in phase the first and second sheets will be identical. To verify this, consider the phase at points \(A\) and \(B\) if we multiply the values of \(\sqrt{1+z}\) on its first sheet and \(\sqrt{z-1}\) on its second sheet. For \(A\), \(\tau_+ = 0, \tau_- = 3\pi\) so that the phase at \(A\) is \(3\pi/2\) or \(-\pi/2\). At \(B\), \(\tau_+ = 0, \tau_- = \pi\) so that the phase of \(f\) at \(B\) is \(\pi/2\).

We could also have taken values of \(\sqrt{z+1}\) and \(\sqrt{z-1}\) from their second sheets only to find that this would lead to the same situation as before. For example, at \(A\), \(\tau_+ = 2\pi, \tau_- = 3\pi\), and the phase of \(f = \pi/2\). We now see in addition that there are only two sheets for the two branches of \(\sqrt{z^2-1}\). These two sheets are joined through the branch line extending from \(-1\) to \(1\).

As a final part of this discussion it is instructive to point to possible physical applications of the function \(\sqrt{z^2-1}\) in order to observe the correlation between the mathematical branch cuts and the barriers of the corresponding physics problem. For example, the lines \(u = \text{constant}\) represent the equipotentials, the lines \(v = \text{constant}\) the lines of force for an infinitely conducting plate of shape shown in Fig. 4.18a, placed in a uniform electric field in the \(x\) direction. In hydrodynamics, \(u = \text{constant}\) would be the lines of flow about the same boundary. The lines \(u = \text{constant}\) may also be used as lines of force for another configuration illustrated in Fig. 4.18b, and the lines \(v = \text{constant}\) correspond to the equipotentials. The two plates are kept at a negative potential with respect to \(|y| > 1\).

**An Illustrative Example.** As a final example of the behavior of multi-valued functions, consider the function

\[
\quad z = (\tanh f)/f
\]

(4.4.4)
Fig. 4.19 Conformal mapping of transformation 
$\tanh f = z = re^{\phi}$. on the $f$ plane; contours of constant magnitude $r$ and phase angle $\phi$ of $z$. The first three branch points are shown.

This function occurs in the solution of the wave equation (see Chap. 11). The function $z$ has simple poles at $f = [(2n + 1)/2]\pi i$ ($n = \text{integer}$). Its branch points occur at the points at which $z$ has a zero derivative. At such a point, say $a$, the transformation defined by (4.1.4) is not conformal. On page 361 we pointed out that this results from the fact that $(z - a)$ has a multiple zero at $a$ so that $f - f(a)$ in the neighborhood of
Fig. 4.20 Conformal mapping of the first sheet of the transformation \( (1, z') \tan \phi = z = e^u (f = \pi \theta \phi) \) on the \( w \) plane. One branch point is at \( a \).

\( z = a \) is a fractional power of \((z - a)\). The branch points are therefore solutions of \([\text{sech}^2 f]/f - [(\tanh f)/f] = 0\) or

\[2f = \sinh 2f \quad (4.4.5)\]

A table of solutions of (4.4.5) is presented below (in addition to \( z = a_0 = 1 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branch point</th>
<th>( f = u + iv )</th>
<th>( z = re^\phi )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( u )</td>
<td>( v )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_1 )</td>
<td>1.3843</td>
<td>3.7488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_2 )</td>
<td>1.6701</td>
<td>6.9500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_3 )</td>
<td>1.8884</td>
<td>10.1133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_4 )</td>
<td>1.9916</td>
<td>13.2773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The branch points for large values of \( v \), \( a_n(n \gg 1) \) are \( a_n = u + i\pi \),
\( u \to \ln((n + 1)\pi) \), \( v \to -(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi \), as may be ascertained by substitution
in Eq. (4.4.5). We see that we have an infinite number of branch points and a corresponding
infinite number of sheets. One simplification should be noted, however, that at each branch point \( f \) has the behavior
\( [z - a] \), for \( z''[f(a)] \neq 0 \). As a result the type of branch point is that

Fig. 4.21 Conformal mapping of the second sheet of the transformation \((1/f) \tan f = z = e^u(f = \pi \beta e^\theta)\) on the \( w \) plane.

of the square-root function we have been discussing. We may at once say that only two branches of \( f \) will be in contact at \( z = a \). With these
details in mind, it now becomes possible to sketch the transformation
(4.4.4). This is done in Figs. 4.20 and 4.21. To obtain a convenient
plot on the \( z \) plane, we have performed a further transformation in which

\[
z = re^{i\theta} = e^w; \quad \text{Im } w = \phi; \quad \text{Re } w = \ln r \quad (4.4.6)
\]

Similarly for \( f \):

\[
u + i\theta = f = \pi \beta e^{i\theta} = e^F; \quad \text{Im } F = \theta; \quad \text{Re } F = \ln \pi \beta
\]
As branch line emanating from point $a$, we have taken the line $\phi = 63^\circ$. Note once more the apparent discontinuities of $F$ at this line. Actually, of course, the constant $\theta$ lines upon crossing branch line $\phi = 63^\circ$ go into the second sheet, which is sketched in Fig. 4.21. On this figure we have plotted both the $\phi = 63^\circ$ branch line and the $\phi = 72.54^\circ$ branch line joining the second and third sheets. Thus each sheet joins up with the next one and only two are in contact at any one time. In Fig. 4.19 we have plotted $\omega = \omega(f)$, on the $f$ plane, breaking this plane up into sections corresponding to each branch of the function. The remaining details of these plots will be considered in the problems at the end of this chapter.

4.5 Calculus of Residues: Gamma and Elliptic Functions

In the preceding sections we have employed Cauchy's integral formula as a probe to reveal various properties of analytic functions. In the present section more mundane matters will be attended to. For example, Cauchy's integral formula may be used to evaluate integrals. Some of these have been discussed earlier on pages 373 and 374, but the applicability of the formula is broader than was there indicated. It may be used to obtain integral representations of functions which are discontinuous or have discontinuous derivatives, for instance, or it may be used to sum series.

Let us first consider the integrals which may be evaluated. The method employed is often referred to as the calculus of residues. The term residue is defined as follows: If $f(z)$ has a pole at a point $a$, it may be expanded in a Laurent series (4.3.4) $\sum_n(z-a)^n$. The coefficient $a_{-1}$ is defined as the residue of $f(z)$ at $z = a$. We may now state the fundamental theorem in the calculus of residues.

If $f(z)$ is analytic within a region bounded by $C$, except for a finite number of poles, the value of the contour integral $\oint_C f(z) \, dz$ around $C$ will equal $2\pi i$ times the sum of the residues of $f$ at the poles enclosed by the contour.

We shall leave the proof of this theorem to the reader. It follows directly from the Cauchy integral formula. Using the theorem it is possible to evaluate three types of integrals, listed below.

1. $\int_0^{2\pi} f(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \, d\theta$, where $f$ is a rational function of $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$.

2. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx$ where $f(z)$ is analytic in the upper half plane except for poles which do not lie on the real axis. At infinity $|f(x)|$ should go to zero as $|x|^{-m}$, where $m > 1$.

3. $\int_0^{\infty} x^n f(x) \, dx$ where $f(z)$ is a rational function, analytic at $z = 0$,.
having no worse than simple poles on the positive, real axis and where \( z = e^{i\theta} \) approaches zero as \( z \) approaches zero or infinity.

Various generalizations of these three types are given in the problems.

Type I is evaluated by expressing \( \sin \theta \) and \( \cos \theta \) in terms of \( z = e^{i\theta} \). The integrand now becomes a contour integral around the unit circle in the complex plane. The evaluation of the residues inside the circle completes the integration. As a simple example consider

\[
I = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(1 - 2p\cos \theta + p^2)}
\]  

(4.5.1)

Let \( z = e^{i\theta} \); then

\[
I = \frac{1}{i} \oint \frac{dz}{(1 - 2p)(z - p)}
\]  

(4.5.2)

where the contour is a unit circle. The evaluation of \( I \) now depends on the size of \( p \). Suppose \( |p| < 1 \). Then the integrand has a pole at \( z = p \). The residue there is \( 1/(1 - p^2) \) so that

\[
I = \frac{2\pi}{1 - p^2}; \quad |p| < 1
\]  

(4.5.3)

If \( |p| > 1 \), then the pole of the integrand occurs at \( z = 1/p \). The integrand near \( z = 1/p \) may be written \( -1/[ip(z - 1/p)(z - p)] \) so that the residue is \(-1/[i(1 - p^2)]\). The value of \( I \) is then

\[
I = \frac{2\pi}{p^2 - 1}; \quad |p| > 1
\]

Results (4.5.3) may be combined into one by writing \( I = 2\pi/|p^2 - 1| \) for \( p \) real.

Turning next to integrals of type 2, we consider the integral of \( f(z) \) along a closed contour consisting of the real axis from \(-R\) to \(+R\) and a semicircle in the upper half plane, as in Fig. 4.22. By virtue of the assumptions on \( f(z) \), the integral along the semicircle will vanish in the limit \( R \to \infty \). Then

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = 2\pi i \sum \text{residues of } f(z) \text{ in the upper half plane} \quad (4.5.4)
\]
As a simple example, we compute

\[ I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1 + x^2} \]

which is known to equal \( \pi \).

From Eq. (4.5.4), \( I = (2\pi i) \) (residue at \( z = i \)) for \( z = i \) is the only pole of \( 1/(1 + z^2) = 1/(z + i)(z - i) \) in the upper half plane. The residue is \( 1/2i \), and the value of \( I \) will therefore be \( \pi \). Less simple examples will be found in the problems.

**Integrals Involving Branch Points.** Finally we consider type 3 with \( f \) having no poles on the positive real axis. Here we start with the contour integral

\[ \oint (-z)^{\mu-1} f(z) \, dz \]

around a contour which does not include the branch point of the integrand at \( z = 0 \) and thus remains on one sheet of the associated Riemann surface. We choose the contour illustrated in Fig. 4.23, involving a small circle around \( z = 0 \) whose radius will eventually be made to approach zero, a large circle whose radius will eventually approach infinity, and two integrals along the positive real axis described in opposite directions and on opposite sides of the branch cut. Since the function is discontinuous along the branch cut, these two integrals will not cancel. Since \( \mu \) is in general any number, the phase of \( (-z)^{\mu-1} \) may be arbitrarily chosen at one point. We choose its phase at \( E \) to be real. At point \( D \), achieved by rotating counterclockwise from \( E \) around \( z = 0 \), the phase factor is \( e^{i(\mu-1)\pi} \) so that the integrand along \( DC \) is \( e^{i(\mu-1)\pi} f(x) \). To go from point \( E \) to \( A \) one must rotate clockwise so that the phase of the integrand at \( A \) is \(-\pi(\mu-1)\). Along \( AB \), the integrand is \( e^{-i\pi(\mu-1)\pi} f(x) \). The integrals along the little and big circles vanish by virtue of the hypotheses for type 3 so that in the limit of an infinitely large radius for the big circle and zero radius for the little circle

\[ \oint (-z)^{\mu-1} f(z) \, dz = \int_0^{\infty} e^{i(\mu-1)\pi} f(x) \, dx + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i(\mu-1)\pi} f(x) \, dx \]

where the first of the integrals on the right is the contribution of the integral along \( DC \) while the second is the contribution from path \( AB \).

Combining, we obtain

\[ \oint (-z)^{\mu-1} f(z) \, dz = 2i \sin \pi \mu \int_0^{\infty} z^{\mu-1} f(x) \, dx \]
Applying Cauchy's integral formula to the contour integral we obtain
\[ \int_0^\infty x^{\mu} f(x) \, dx = \pi \csc(\pi \mu) \sum \text{residues of } (-z)^\mu f(z) \text{ at all poles of } f \]
(4.5.5)

As a simple example consider \[ \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\mu-1}}{1 + x} \, dx. \] From the theorem (4.5.5) this has the value \( \pi \csc (\pi \mu) \) if \( 0 < \mu < 1 \).

Finally we should point out that occasionally we encounter poles of the integrand of the second or higher order. Such cases can be calculated by using Eq. (4.3.1). Suppose that there is a pole of \( n \)th order in the integrand \( f(z) \) at \( z = a \). We set the integrand \( f(z) = g(z)/(z - a)^n \) where \( g(z) \) is analytic near \( z = a \) (that is, of course, what we mean by a pole of \( n \)th order at \( z = a \)). Then by Eq. (4.3.1), the contribution to the integral due to this \( n \)-th order pole at \( z = a \) is
\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i(n - 1)!} \left[ \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} g(z) \right]_{z=a} \]

The same result could be obtained by considering the \( n \)-th order pole to be the limiting case of \( n \) simple poles all pushed together at \( z = a \).

From this result we can extend our discussion of the term residue at a pole. We say that the residue of a function \( f(z) \) at the \( n \)-th order pole at \( z = a \) [i.e., if \( f(z) = g(z)/(z - a)^n \) near \( z = a \)] is
\[ \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} \left[ \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} g(z) \right]_{z=a} \]
(4.5.6)

This extension allows us to use the prescriptions of Eqs. (4.5.3) and (4.5.4) for a wider variety of functions \( f \).

**Inversion of Series.** The problem of inverting a function given in the form of a power series
\[ w = f(z) = w_0 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n(z - z_0)^n; \quad a_1 \neq 0 \]
(4.5.7)
is often encountered. We ask for the inverse function \( z = z(w) \), such that \( f(z) - w = 0 \). From Eq. (4.5.7) we see that the inverse function may be expressed in terms of a power series
\[ z(w) = z_0 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n(w - w_0)^n \]
(4.5.8)
by virtue of the theorem on page 361. The coefficients \( b_n \) may be expressed in terms of \( a_n \) by introducing Eq. (4.5.8) directly into Eq. (4.5.7). However, it is possible to derive the coefficients more elegantly by employing Cauchy’s formula. We shall first devise a contour integral whose residue is just the function \( z(w) \). Using \( f(z) \) as the independent
variable, this integral is \( (1/2\pi i) \oint [z f/(f - w)] \), for it has the required value when the contour is taken about the point \( f = w \) and it includes no other zeros of \( (f - w) \). In terms of \( z \) the contour integral can be written as

\[
z(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - w} \, dz \tag{4.5.9}
\]

Differentiating this with respect to \( w \) and then integrating by parts,

\[
\frac{d}{dw} z(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dz}{f(z) - w} \tag{4.5.10}
\]

In this form, it is possible to evaluate the integral. Writing

\[
\frac{1}{f(z) - w} = \frac{1}{f(z) - w_0} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(w - w_0)^n}{[f(z) - w_0]^n} \right]
\]

and referring to the series (4.5.8), differentiated with respect to \( w \), we see that

\[
n b_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dz}{[f(z) - w_0]^n} \tag{4.5.11}
\]

The value of the integral is

\[
\frac{1}{(n - 1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} \left[ \left( \frac{z - z_0}{f(z) - w_0} \right)^n \right]_{z=z_0}
\]

or

\[
b_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left\{ \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} \left[ \frac{a_1 + a_2(z - z_0) + a_3(z - z_0)^2 + \cdots}{[f(z) - w_0]^n} \right] \right\}_{z=z_0}
\]

The derivative may be evaluated explicitly through use of the multinomial theorem

\[
(1 + a + b + c + \cdots)^p = \sum_{r,s,t,\ldots} \left[ \frac{p!}{r!s!t!\cdots} \right] a^r b^s c^t \cdots
\]

where

\[
r + s + t + \cdots = p
\]

Introducing the multinomial expansion and performing the required differentiation yields

\[
b_n = \frac{1}{n! a_1^n} \sum_{s,u,\ldots} (-1)^{s+u+t+\cdots} \frac{(n)(n + 1) \cdots (n - 1 + s + t + u + \cdots)}{s!u!t!\cdots} \frac{a_2}{a_1} \left( \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right)^t \cdots
\]

where

\[
s + 2t + 3u + \cdots = n - 1
\]
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We list the first few \( b_n \)'s, indicating their derivation from (4.5.12)

\[
\begin{align*}
    b_1 &= \frac{1}{a_1} \\
    b_2 &= -\frac{1}{a_1^2} \frac{a_2}{a_1} = -\frac{a_2}{a_1^2} \\
    b_3 &= \frac{1}{3a_1^2} \left[ 3 \cdot 4 \left( \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{1!} \left( \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{a_1^3} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right)^2 - \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right] \\
    b_4 &= \frac{1}{4a_1^4} \left[ -\frac{1 \cdot 5 \cdot 6}{3!} \left( \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right)^3 + \frac{4 \cdot 5}{1!} \left( \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right) \left( \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right) - \frac{4}{1!} \left( \frac{a_4}{a_1} \right) \right] \\
    &= \frac{1}{a_1^4} \left[ -5 \left( \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right)^3 + 5 \left( \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right) \left( \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right) - \left( \frac{a_4}{a_1} \right) \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(4.5.13)

When this is inserted into Eq. (4.5.8) we have the required inverted series.

**Summation of Series.** The next application of the Cauchy formula to merit discussion is the summation of a series of the form \( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) \). The device employed to sum the series first replaces the sum by a contour integral. To do this we require a function which has simple poles at \( z = n \) and in addition is bounded at infinity. One such function is \( \pi \cot (\pi z) \), which has simple poles at \( n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \pm \cdots \), each with residue 1. Moreover it is bounded at infinity except on the real axis. Another function of this type is \( \pi \csc (\pi z) \) which has poles at \( z = 0, \pm 1 \pm \cdots \) with residue \((-1)^n\).

The contour integral \( \oint f(z) \cot (\pi z) \, dz \) around the contour \( C_1 \) shown in Fig. 4.24 is just \( 2\pi i \) times the residue of the function \( f(z) \cot (\pi z) \) at

![Fig. 4.24 Poles for integrand equivalent to series.](image-url)
z = 0, which is f(0). The integral about contour $C_2$ is

$$2\pi i \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) + \text{residue of } [\pi f(z) \cot(\pi z)] \text{ at all the poles of } f(z) \right\}$$

and so on. Finally, for a contour at infinity, the integral must be

$$2\pi i \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) + \text{residue of } [\pi f(z) \cot(\pi z)] \text{ at all the poles of } f(z) \right\}$$

if $f(z)$ has no branch points or essential singularities anywhere.

If in addition $|zf(z)| \to 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$, the infinite contour integral will be zero, so that in this case

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) = - \sum \text{residues of } \pi f(z) \cot(\pi z) \text{ at the poles of } f(z) \quad (4.5.14)$$

If $\pi \csc(\pi z)$ is employed, one obtains

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n f(n) = - \sum \text{residues of } \pi f(z) \csc(\pi z) \text{ at the poles of } f(z) \quad (4.5.15)$$

As a simple example consider $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(a + n)^2}$. Then $f(z) = \frac{1}{(a + z)^2}$ with a double pole at $z = -a$. The residue of $\pi f(z) \csc(\pi z)$ at $z = -a$ is $-\pi^2 \csc(\pi a) \cot(\pi a)$ [see Eq. (4.5.3)] so that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(a + n)^2} = \pi^2 \csc(\pi a) \cot(\pi a)$$

This method for summing series also illustrates a method for obtaining an integral representation of a series. We shall employ this device when we wish to convert a power-series solution of a differential equation into an integral (see Sec. 5.3).

**Integral Representation of Functions.** We shall often find it useful to express a function as an integral, principally because very complex functions may be expressed as integrals of relatively simple functions. Moreover by changing the path of integration in accordance with Cauchy's theorem one may develop approximate expressions for the integral. In the chapter on differential equations we shall employ such techniques repeatedly.

As a first example consider the integral

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{-ikx} \frac{1}{k} \, dk \quad (4.5.16)$$
The contour is given in Fig. 4.25. The function \( u(x) \) occurs in the theory of the Heaviside operational calculus (see Sec. 11.1). It may be evaluated exactly, employing Cauchy's integral formula. If \( x > 0 \), we may close the contour by a semicircle of large (eventually infinite) radius in the lower half plane. The contribution along the semicircle vanishes. Therefore \( u(x) = \text{Res} \left[ e^{\pi z/k} \right] \) at \( k = 0 \) so that \( u(x) = 1 \) for \( x > 0 \). If \( x < 0 \), the contour may be closed by a semicircle of large radius in the upper half plane. Again the contribution along the semicircle vanishes.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Re} \ k & \quad \text{Re} \ k \\
\text{Im} \ k & \quad k = 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Fig. 4.25** Contour for unit step function \( u(x) \).

Since \( e^{-\pi z/k} \) has no poles within this contour, \( u(x) = 0, x < 0 \). Therefore we find

\[
u(x) = \begin{cases} 
1; & x > 0 \\
0; & x < 0
\end{cases} \quad (4.5.17)
\]

Integral (4.5.16) thus represents a discontinuous function. It is often more useful in the integral form than in the more explicit form (4.5.17).

As a second example, we discuss an integral representation for the Green's function for motion of an infinite string discussed earlier [see also derivations of Eqs. (7.2.32) and (11.2.8)]. Consider the integral

\[
G_k(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{e^{ik(x-x')}}{k^2 - K^2} \, dk \quad (4.5.18)
\]

The integral is undefined until we specify the contour relative to the singularities of the integrand at \( k = \pm K \). We try two possible contours drawn as full and broken lines, respectively, as illustrated in Fig. 4.26, taking contour 1 first. When \( x - x' > 0 \), we may close the contour by a circle of large radius in the upper half plane. As we permit the radius of the semicircle to become infinite, the contribution of the integral along the semicircle tends to zero. The value of the integral is then \( 2\pi i \) (residue of the integrand at \( k = K \)). Therefore

\[
G_k(x - x') = (i/2K)e^{iK(x-x')} ; \quad x - x' > 0; \quad \text{contour } C_1 \quad (4.5.19)
\]

If \( x - x' < 0 \), the contour may be closed from below. The value of the integral is now equal to \( -2\pi i \) (residue at \( k = -K \)).

\[
G_k(x - x') = (i/2K)e^{-iK(x-x')} ; \quad x - x' < 0; \quad \text{contour } C_1 \quad (4.5.20)
\]
Combining (4.5.19) and (4.5.20) we obtain
\[ G_k(x - x') = (i/2K)e^{iK|x-x'|}; \quad \text{contour } C_1 \quad (4.5.21) \]
agreeing with page 125.

On the other hand if contour \( C_2 \) is used,
\[ G_k(x - x') = (-i/2K)e^{-iK|x-x'|}; \quad \text{contour } C_2 \quad (4.5.22) \]
This result is no surprise, since contour 2 is just the reflection of contour 1 with respect to the real axis. Contour 1 gives us the Green's function satisfying the boundary condition requiring diverging waves; i.e., the point \( x' \) acts as a point source. Using contour 2, one obtains the expression for a converging wave; i.e., the point at \( x' \) acts as a sink. The
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FIG. 4.26 Alternate contours for source function for string.

functions represented are continuous but have discontinuous first derivatives.

By proper manipulation it is possible for each type of contour to express \( G_k(x - x') \) directly in terms of the step function \( u(x' - x) \). For example, for contour 1
\[ G_k = \frac{1}{4\pi K} \left\{ e^{iK(x-x')/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-iKx'}(1-u)}{k-K} \, dk + e^{-iK(x-x')} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-iKx'}(1-u)}{k+K} \, dk \right\} \]
The first of these integrals has a singularity at \( k = K \) only, so that no detour around \( k = -K \) is necessary. Similarly in the second integral only the detour around \( k = -K \) is required in the contour. Comparing with (4.5.16) and Fig. 4.25 one obtains
\[ G_k(x - x') = (i/2K)\left\{ e^{iK(x-x')}[1 - u(x' - x)] + e^{-iK(x-x')}u(x' - x) \right\} \]
which agrees with (4.5.21).

**Integrals Related to the Error Function.** So far we have dealt with integrals which could be evaluated, and thus expressed directly, in terms of the elementary transcendental functions. Let us now consider a case in which the integrals cannot be so expressed. An integral solution of the differential equation \( 2\lambda d^2\psi /dz^2 \) - \( 2z d\psi /dz + 2\lambda \psi = 0 \) is
\[ \psi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c e^{-\alpha z} \frac{z}{\beta + 1} \, dz \quad (4.5.23) \]
where the contour is shown in Fig. 4.27a. We have chosen the branch line for the integrand to be the positive real axis. We now evaluate the integral for various special values of \( \lambda \) and for \( z \) small and \( z \) large. First, for \( \lambda \) an integer \( n \), the origin is no longer a branch point, so that the contour may be deformed into a circle about the origin. Then by Eq. (4.3.1)

\[
\psi_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \frac{d^n}{dt^n} (e^{-n t + 2n t}) \right]_{t=0}
\]

or

\[
\psi_n = \frac{1}{n!} e^{nt} \left[ \frac{d^n}{dz^n} e^{-z} \right]; \quad \lambda = n
\]  

(4.5.24)

The resulting polynomials are proportional to the Hermitian polynomials (see table at the end of Chap. 6).

For \( \lambda < 0 \), the contour integral may be replaced by a real integral as follows: The contour may be broken up into a line integral from \( -\infty \) to 0, a circle about zero, and an integral from 0 to \( \infty \). For \( \lambda < 0 \), the value of the integral about the circle approaches zero as the radius approaches zero, so that we need consider only the two integrals extending from 0 to \( \infty \). By virtue of the branch point at \( t = 0 \), we must specify what branch of the multivalued integrand is being considered. This is done by choosing a phase for \( t^{-\lambda+1} \). Since \( \lambda \) is arbitrary, the phase (see page 308) may be chosen to suit our convenience, which is best served by making it zero at point \( A \). Then the integral from infinity to \( A \) is

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{e^{-\pi z + 2z \sigma}}{\sigma^{\lambda+1}} \, dz; \quad \text{where } t \text{ is real}
\]

The phase of the integrand at \( D \) is obtained from that at \( A \) by rotating counterclockwise from \( A \) to \( D \) by \( 2\pi \). Thus the phase is \(-2\pi i(\lambda + 1)\). The integral from \( D \) to infinity is thus

\[
\frac{e^{-2\pi i(\lambda + 1)}}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\pi z + 2z \sigma}}{\sigma^{\lambda+1}} \, dz; \quad t \text{ real}
\]

We thus obtain, for the integral (4.5.23), when \( \lambda < 0 \), the new form

\[
\psi_\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\pi i(\lambda + 1)} \sin \pi(\lambda + 1) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\pi z + 2z \sigma}}{\sigma^{\lambda+1}} \, dt
\]  

(4.5.25)

Since the infinite integral is real and does not vanish (or have a pole) when \( \lambda \) is a negative integer, the function \( \psi_\lambda \) must vanish for these values
of \( \lambda \). This is not surprising, since then the value of the integral along
the upper half of the contour is equal and opposite to the value along the
lower half of the contour. We also see that it would have been more
satisfactory (perhaps!) for us to have chosen the integrand to be real
at point \( B \) on the contour, for then the exponential factor would not
have remained in Eq. (4.5.25).

To investigate the behavior of \( \psi_\lambda \) as \( z \to 0 \), we expand \( e^{t \omega} \) in a power
series:

\[
\psi_\lambda = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(2z)^n}{n!} \left\{ \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_c e^{-\omega \mu \lambda - \lambda n} \, dt \right\}
\]  

(4.5.26)

The integral may be expressed in terms of a gamma function. (The
integral representation required is derived in the following section.)
We shall therefore relegate the evaluation of (4.5.26) to a problem and
shall content ourselves with noting one interesting point. If \( \lambda \) is an
integer \( \rho > 0 \), the contour integral will vanish for all \( n > \rho \). Thus \( \psi_\rho \)
is a finite polynomial of order \( \rho \). This is, of course, confirmed by further
examination of the explicit formula for these cases, given in Eq. (4.5.24).

Finally we investigate the values of \( \psi_\lambda \) for \( z \) large. (The advantage
of an integral representation of \( \psi_\lambda \) should be by now manifest. It
contains all the information, all the details of the function’s behavior in
its most tractable form. It enables us to establish a correspondence
between its values for \( z \to 0 \) and those for \( z \to \infty \).) For \( |z| \to \infty \) and
for \( \text{Re } z < 0 \) the simplest procedure involves the substitution \( 2t/z = u \).

\[
\psi_\lambda = \frac{(2|z|)^\lambda}{2 \pi i} \int_c \frac{e^{-\omega t \mu \lambda} e^{-u}}{u^{\lambda+1}} \, du
\]

We now expand the exponential in a power series:

\[
\psi_\lambda = (2|z|)^\lambda \sum \frac{(-1)^n}{(2|z|)^n} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_c u^{\lambda-n-1} e^{-u} \, du; \quad \text{Re } z > 0
\]  

(4.5.27)

Again the integral may be expressed in terms of gamma functions [see
Eq. (4.5.36)]. For very large values of \( z \), only the first term is important
and \( \psi_\lambda \to |z|^\lambda \).

When \( \text{Re } z > 0 \) this procedure must be changed. Let \( u = -2t|z| \)

\[
\psi_\lambda = \frac{(2|z|)^\lambda}{2 \pi i} \int_c \frac{e^{-\omega t \mu \lambda} e^{-u}}{(-u)^{\lambda+1}} \, du
\]

The path of integration is obtained by performing the same transformation
for the path, with the result illustrated in Fig. 4.27b. We may now expand \( e^{-\omega t |z| \mu} \):

\[
\psi_\lambda = (2|z|)^\lambda \sum (2|z|)^n \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_c e^{-\nu \mu \lambda} (-u)^{\lambda-1} \, du
\]  

(4.5.28)
As we shall see in the section immediately following, the contour integral is just a gamma function [see Eq. (4.5.36)].

**Gamma Functions.** Earlier [Eq. (4.3.14)] the gamma function was defined by the infinite integral

\[
\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{z-1} dt; \quad \Gamma(n) = (n - 1)!
\] (4.5.29)

In order that this integral converge, the real part of \(z\) must be positive. When \(z\) is not an integer, \(z = \Re z \pm i\Im z\) is a branch point for the integrand. We take the positive real axis for the branch line. A number of equivalent forms obtained by transformation will prove useful:

\[
\Gamma(z) = 2\int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{z-1} dt; \quad \Re z > 0
\] (4.5.30)

\[
\Gamma(z) = 2\int_0^1 \ln (1/t)t^{z-1} dt; \quad \Re z > 0
\]

As discussed in Sec. 4.3, it is possible to extend definition (4.5.29) to negative values of real \(z\) by means of the recurrence relation

\[
z\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z + 1)
\] (4.5.31)

Indeed this relation immediately yields considerable information about the singularities of \(\Gamma(z)\).

Since the integral converges for \(\Re z > 0\), \(\Gamma(z)\) is always finite for \(\Re z > 0\). Moreover since the derivative \(\Gamma'(z)\) may be evaluated directly by differentiating under the integral sign, it follows that \(\Gamma(z)\) is analytic for \(\Re z > 0\). To explore the behavior of \(\Gamma(z)\) for \(\Re z < 0\), we find an integer \(n\), for any given value of \(z\), which will be sufficiently large so that \(\Re (z + n + 1) > 0\). Let \(n\) be the smallest possible integer of this sort. Then, of course,

\[
\Gamma(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z + n + 1)}{(z + n)(z + n - 1)(z + n - 2) \cdots (z)}
\] (4.5.32)

so that \(\Gamma(z)\) is now defined in terms of \(\Gamma(z + n)\) where \(\Re (z + n) > 0\). We note that \(\Gamma(z)\) is generally finite, with a defined derivative for \(z\) except when \(z\) is zero or a negative integer.

Near these points we can set \(z = -n + \epsilon\), where \(|\epsilon| \ll 1\), and use Eq. (4.5.32) to give us

\[
\Gamma(-n + \epsilon) = \frac{(1)\Gamma(1 + \epsilon)}{(n - \epsilon)(n - \epsilon - 1) \cdots (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon}
\]

which has a simple pole at \(\epsilon \to 0\). Consequently the function \(\Gamma(z)\) is analytic over the finite part of the \(z\) plane, with the exception of the points \(z = 0, -1, -2, -3, \ldots\), where it has simple poles. The residue at the pole at \(z = -n\) is \((-1)^n/n!\) [since \(\Gamma(1) = 1\)].

The regular spacing of these poles is reminiscent of the regular spacing of the poles of the trigonometric functions \(\csc (\pi z)\) or \(\cot (\pi z)\). How-
ever, the latter also has poles along the positive real axis for the positive integers. We may, of course, find a function which has poles there, namely, \( \Gamma(-z) \). Thus the product \( \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) \) has poles at \( z = n \), where \( n \) is an integer (positive or negative). It has poles nowhere else. Thus \( \sin(\pi z)\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) \) is analytic everywhere in the finite \( z \) plane. [We cannot use \( \cot(\pi z) \) in place of \( \csc(\pi z) \) because of its zeros at \( z = \frac{1}{2}(2p + 1)\pi \), \( p \) integral.] In fact we shall now show that
\[
\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \pi \csc(\pi z) \quad (4.5.33)
\]
We will show it first for \( z \) real and between 0 and 1; by analytic continuation (see theorem on page 390) we can show that \( \sin(\pi z)\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \pi \) everywhere.

To prove Eq. (4.5.33) we use the first of Eq. (4.5.30), obtaining the double integral
\[
\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1 - a) = 4 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2 + y^2} y^{2a-1} x^{-(2a-1)} \, dx \, dy
\]
We shift now to polar coordinates \( x = r \cos \theta, y = r \sin \theta \) so that
\[
\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1 - a) = 4 \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cot \theta)^{2a-1} \, d\theta \int_0^\infty r e^{-r^2} \, dr = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cot \theta)^{2a-1} \, d\theta
\]
To evaluate the final integral, use the substitution \( \cot \theta = s \), then
\[
\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1 - a) = 2 \int_0^\infty (s^{2a-1})/(1 + s^2) \, ds. \quad \text{It will be recognized that for } 0 < a < 1, \text{this integral is in a form which falls under formula (4.5.5).}
\]
Then \( \Gamma(a)\Gamma(1 - a) = 2 \csc(2\pi a) \Sigma \text{ residues of } \frac{1}{1 + s^2} \text{ at } s = \pm i \text{ if } 0 < a < 1 \). A little manipulation yields Eq. (4.5.33). As mentioned, analytic continuation will extend the range of the formula.

We shall employ this result to establish two results. First by letting \( z = \frac{1}{2} \), we find \( \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \pi \) or \( \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi} \). Second, we shall establish that \( [\Gamma(z)]^{-1} \) is an integral function. Since the singularities of \( \Gamma(z) \) are poles, it is only necessary to show that \( \Gamma(z) \) has no zeros in the finite complex plane. This is a consequence of Eq. (4.5.33), for if \( \Gamma(z) \) is zero at some point, \( \Gamma(1 - z) \) must be infinite there. However, the infinities of \( \Gamma(1 - z) \) are known and \( \Gamma(z) \) is not zero at these points, so it cannot be zero anywhere.

**Contour Integrals for Gamma Functions.** We now have a good idea of the general behavior of \( \Gamma(z) \), and we may now proceed to find closed expressions for it which are valid over a greater range in \( z \) than (4.5.29). One such representation is obtained when the direct solution of the difference equation (4.5.31) is attempted. Care must be exercised, however, to choose a solution which reduces to (4.5.29) when \( \text{Re}(z) > 0 \),
for any solution of (4.5.31) when multiplied by a periodic function with period 1 yields another solution of (4.5.31). The form of (4.5.29) suggests that it may be rewritten as a contour integral

\[ \Gamma(z) = \int_C v(t) t^{z-1} \, dt \]

where the contour \( C \) and the function \( v(t) \) are still to be determined. Substituting in (4.5.31) yields

\[ \int_C v(t) t^{z} \, dt = \int_C v(t) t^{z-1} \, dt - \int_C v(t) \frac{d}{dt} (\tau) \, dt \]

Integrating the second of these by parts yields

\[ \int_C \left[ \tau(t) + \frac{d\tau}{dt} \right] t^{z} \, dt = [v(t) t^{z}] \]

where the expression on the right is to be evaluated at the ends of the contour. We shall pick the contour so that \([v(t) t^{z}]\) vanishes. Hence \( v \) may be taken as the solution of

\[ v(t) + \frac{d\tau}{dt} = 0; \quad v = (\text{constant}) e^{-t} \]

so that

\[ \Gamma(z) = A \int_C (e^{-t})(-t)^{z-1} \, dt \quad (4.5.34) \]

where \( A \) is a constant. The contour chosen is illustrated in Fig. 4.27a. Next we evaluate the integral for \( \Re z > 0 \), and then choose \( A \) so that Eqs. (4.5.34) and (4.5.29) are identical. Choose \( B \) as the point at which the phase of \((-t)^{z-1}\) is zero. Then for \( \Re z > 0 \)

\[ \Gamma(z) = A \left[ \int_0^B e^{-t} e^{-\pi i z (t-1)} (-t)^{z-1} \, dt + \int_B^C e^{-t} e^{\pi i z (t-1)} (-t)^{z-1} \, dt \right] \]

\[ = 2iA \sin \left[ \pi z (z-1) \right] \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} \, dt \]

Therefore \( A = -1/(2i \sin \pi z) \) and

\[ \Gamma(z) = -\frac{1}{2i \sin \pi z} \int_C e^{-t} (-t)^{z-1} \, dt \quad (4.5.35) \]

This representation is valid for all \( z \). Combining it with the relation (4.5.33) yields another integral representation of \( \Gamma(z) \):

\[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{t} (-t)^{-z} \, dt \quad (4.5.36) \]

The behavior of \( \Gamma(z) \) when \( z \) is an integer may be found directly from Eq. (4.5.35) or (4.5.36).

**Infinite Product Representation for Gamma Functions.** Another useful representation of \( \Gamma(z) \) may be obtained by applying the formula
Functions of a Complex Variable

developed for the product representation of an entire function. The function \( |\Gamma(z+1)|^{-1} \) is an entire function, with zeros at \( z = -1, -2, \ldots \).

Using Eq. (4.3.8), one obtains

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = z e^{\gamma} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{-\gamma/n} \tag{4.5.37}
\]

The constant \( \gamma = -\Gamma'(1)/\Gamma(1) \) equals 0.5772157 and is known as the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The value of \( \gamma \) may be obtained by placing \( z = 1 \) and taking logarithms of both sides of Eq. (4.5.37), yielding

\[
\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right) \tag{4.5.38}
\]

This series may be easily evaluated.

Other useful forms for \( \gamma \) may be directly derived from (4.5.38):

\[
\gamma = \lim_{M \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{M} - \ln M \right) \tag{4.5.39}
\]

and

\[
\gamma = \lim_{M \to \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-nq} \, dq - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-q} - e^{-Mq}}{q} \, dq \right\}
\]

or

\[
\gamma = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-q} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - e^{-q}} - \frac{1}{q} \right] \, dq \tag{4.5.40}
\]

Derivatives of the Gamma Function. Of considerable importance to subsequent applications is the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function

\[
\psi(z) = \frac{d \ln \Gamma(z)}{dz} = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} \tag{4.5.41}
\]

From (4.5.37)

\[
\psi(z) = -\gamma - \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n + z} \right] \tag{4.5.42}
\]

When \( z \) is an integer \( N \),

\[
\psi(N) = -\gamma + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{n} ; \quad \psi(1) = -\gamma \tag{4.5.43}
\]

The derivative of \( \psi_1 \) is

\[
\psi_2(z) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + z)^2} \tag{4.5.44}
\]
In general
\[ \psi_p(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \psi_{p-1}(z) = (-1)^p p! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + z)^p} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.45)

These functions have been tabulated for real values of \( z \), permitting the ready evaluation of sums, each term of which is a rational function of the summation index. For example, the sum
\[ S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2(n+a)^2} \]
may be written
\[ S = \frac{1}{(a-1)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(n+a)^2} \right) - \frac{2}{a-1} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+a} \right) \]
so that
\[ S = \frac{1}{(a-1)^2} \left( [\psi_2(1) + \psi_2(a)] - \frac{2}{a-1} [-\psi_1(1) + \psi_1(a)] \right) \]

The polygamma functions, as the \( \psi_p \) functions are called, satisfy a simple recursion formula with respect to their argument.
\[ \psi_p(z + 1) = (-1)^p p! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + 1 + z)^p} = (-1)^p p! \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + z)^p} \]
so that
\[ \psi_p(z + 1) = \psi_p(z) - \frac{((-1)^p p!)}{z^p} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.46)

Integral representations for the polygamma function may be found by employing the device which led to Eq. (4.5.40) for \( \gamma \). From Eq. (4.5.42) one has
\[ \psi_1(z) = -\gamma + \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-q}}{1 - e^{-q}} - \frac{e^{-sq}}{1 - e^{-q}} \right) dq \]
Introducing Eq. (4.5.40) for \( \gamma \), we find that
\[ \psi_1(z) = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{e^{-q}}{q} - \frac{e^{-sq}}{1 - e^{-q}} \right] dq \] \hspace{1cm} (4.5.47)
Differentiating with respect to \( z \) yields the other polygamma functions:
\[ \psi_p(z) = (-1)^p \int_0^\infty \frac{q^{p-1} e^{-sq}}{1 - e^{-q}} dq \] \hspace{1cm} (4.5.48)

These formulas may be used to obtain the behavior of \( \psi_p(z) \) as \( z \to \infty \); therefore, by integration with respect to \( z \) of \( \psi_1 = \frac{d}{dz} \ln(z^2) \) and, finally, by another integration, the behavior of \( \Gamma(z) \) for large \( z \). The determination of the constants of integration is rather involved so that
we shall postpone discussion of the behavior of $\Gamma(z)$ for large values of $z$ until the next section. For reference, the behavior is given by the Stirling approximation

$$\ln |\Gamma(z)| \sim -z + (z - \frac{1}{2}) \ln z + \frac{1}{2} \ln (2\pi) + O(1/z) \quad (4.5.49)$$

when $z$ is in the first quadrant, or

$$\Gamma(z) \sim e^{-z}z^{z-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{2\pi} (1 + (1/12z) + \cdots) \quad z \to \infty \quad (4.5.50)$$

**The Duplication Formula.** Another application of the infinite product (4.5.37) is in the derivation of the *duplication formula*

$$2^{2z-1} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2z) \quad (4.5.51)$$

To prove this consider the ratio of $\Gamma(z)$ to $\Gamma(2z)$;

$$\frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(2z)} = 2\pi e^{-2z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{2z}{n} \right] e^{-2z/n}$$

or

$$\frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(2z)} = 2\pi e^{-2z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{2z}{n} \right] e^{-2z/n} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \right] e^{-1/(2n)}$$

Utilizing the product representation of the gamma function (4.5.37), we may write

$$\frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(2z)} = 2\pi e^{-2z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \right] e^{-1/(2n)}$$

To evaluate the exponential, let $z = 1$ in (4.5.52). Then one obtains

$$\exp \left[ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] = e^{\frac{e^{x}}{4}} \quad (4.5.53)$$

Introducing (4.5.53) into (4.5.52) yields the duplication formula (4.5.51).
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**Beta Functions.** We conclude our discussion of the gamma function with an investigation of the beta function defined as

\[ B(p, q) = \int_0^1 t^{p-1}(1 - t)^{q-1} \, dt \quad (4.5.54) \]

or alternately by

\[ B(p, q) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{p-1}}{(1 + t)^{p+q}} \, dt; \quad B(p, q) = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2p-1} \theta \cos^{2q-1} \theta \, d\theta \quad (4.5.55) \]

We shall content ourselves with establishing the formula

\[ B(p, q) = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p + q)} \quad (4.5.56) \]

Using Eq. (4.5.30)

\[ \Gamma(p)\Gamma(q) = 4 \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} \, dx \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2} y^{2p-2} \, dy \quad (4.5.57) \]

and transforming to polar coordinates, \( x = r \cos \theta, \ y = r \sin \theta \), we find

\[ \Gamma(p)\Gamma(q) = 4 \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^{2p-2} \, dr \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2p-1} \theta \cos^{2q-1} \theta \, d\theta \]

Employing (4.3.30) again, and (4.5.55), we eventually obtain Eq. (4.5.56).

**Periodic Functions.** There are many times when we shall encounter or need functions with the property of periodicity, of repeating themselves over and over again. Mathematically this is expressed by saying that, for the periodic function \( f(z) \), there is a certain complex number \( a \) such that

\[ f(z + a) = f(z) \]

for all values of \( z \) for which \( f \) is analytic. The constant \( a \) is called a period of \( f \). After \( z \) goes from \( z_0 \) to \( z_0 + a \), the function then starts in \( z_0 \) and repeats its former behavior over the next period, from \( z_0 + a \) to \( z_0 + 2a \).

Of course, if \( a \) is a period for \( f \), \( 2a \) is also a period and any integer (positive or negative) times \( a \) is likewise. Sometimes \( 1/4a \) is a period, or \( 1/2a \), etc. But the subdivision cannot go on forever, for a bit of the simple but close reasoning one uses in modern point-set theory will persuade one that there cannot be an infinitesimally small period (unless the periodic function is a constant!) In fact, if one arranges all the periods of a given periodic function in order, one soon finds that they all can be represented as integral multiples of a fundamental period \( \tau \), characteristic of the function \( f \) and such that the equation

\[ f(z + \tau) = f(z) \quad (4.5.58) \]

holds, but no similar equation holds for \( f \) for any period smaller than \( \tau \).

The functions \( \sin z, \tan z \) are periodic functions with fundamental period \( 2\pi \); the function \( e^z \) is a periodic function with fundamental period
These functions may be characterized by series or by
infinite product expressions, which display the fact that their poles (or
zeros) are equally spaced along a line (or lines) in the \( z \) plane, with spacing
equal to \( \pi \), such as the following

\[
\frac{1}{\sin z} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^n z^2}{z^2 - (n\pi)^2}; \quad \sin z = z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{z}{n\pi} \right)^2 \right]
\] (4.5.59)

which have been discussed earlier. From these expressions, by algebraic
manipulation, one can demonstrate the periodicity of the sine function.

We can, however, characterize the functions by their differential
equations. For instance, the equation

\[
\left( \frac{dy}{dx} \right)^2 = 1 - y^2
\] (4.5.60)

or the second-order equation, formed from this by differentiation,

\[
\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -y
\] (4.5.61)

may be used to characterize the periodic function \( y = \sin z \).

To show that the function is periodic we use Eq. (4.5.61) to follow
the behavior of \( y \) as \( z \) is increased from zero along the real axis. We
start off by saying that \( y \) is the solution of Eq. (4.5.60) which is zero at
\( z = 0 \); the equation then shows that its slope is unity at \( z = 0 \) (it could
be \( \pm 1 \), but we choose \( +1 \)). As \( z \) is increased, \( y \) increases until \( y = 1 \),
when \( dy/dx \) is zero, but \( d^2y/dx^2 \) is \(-1\), as Eq. (4.5.61) shows. Consequently
the maximum value of \( y \) along the real axis is \( +1 \). As \( z \) is
increased further, \( y \) diminishes, \( dy/dz \) gets more and more negative,
until, when \( y \) is zero again, \( dy/dz \) is \(-1\). Increasing \( z \) still further results
in an excursion of \( y \) to \(-1 \) and then back once more to zero, when it
has a slope of \(+1\), as at the beginning. At this value of \( z \), \( y \) has gone
through a full cycle and is ready to start again. We note that due to the
symmetry of the equation this full period is divided into four equal parts,
the first covering the distance over which \( y \) goes from zero to \( 1 \), the second
over which \( y \) goes from \( 1 \) to \( 0 \), and so on.

Going back to Eq. (4.5.60) we see that the solution which goes to
zero at \( z = 0 \) can be formally written

\[
z = \int_0^y \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^2}}; \quad \text{that is, } z = \sin^{-1} y
\]

This gives us an inverse sort of solution, that is, \( z \) as a function of \( y \), but
it enables us to compute the period easily. For from the discussion of
the previous paragraph we see that one-quarter of the total period \( \tau \) is

\[
\frac{\tau}{4} = \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^2}}
\]
Calculus of Residues: Gamma and Elliptic Functions

Calculation of this integral shows it to be equal to $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ so that the period of the function $y$ we are discussing is $\tau = 2\pi$.

In books on analysis the periodic properties of the trigonometric function are discussed in more detail and with much more rigor than we have space for here, particularly since we are using this only as an introduction to a more general type of periodic function.

For we might ask whether there exist functions of the complex variable $z$ which have two periods, $a_1$ and $a_2$, such that $y(z + a_1) = y(z)$ and also $y(z + a_2) = y(z)$. This pair of statements would not introduce anything particularly new if $a_1$ and $a_2$ were in the same direction in the complex plane (i.e., if $a_1/a_2$ were a real number); for with simply periodic functions, if $a_1$ is a period, $2a_1$ or $3a_1$ is also a period. Of course we might ask whether $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ could be in the same direction but be incommensurate. The same close reasoning which states that there cannot be periods of infinitesimal size (except when the function is a constant) shows that this cannot be. [If $\tau_2$ were equal to $\lambda\tau_1$, where $\lambda$ is real but not a rational fraction, then among the set $(m + \lambda n)\tau_1$ we would find values as close together as we wish and as small as we wish, which is impossible.] But if $a_1$ is in a different direction in the complex plane (i.e., if $a_1/a_2$ is not a real number) then we have a situation specifically different from and more complicated than for the simply periodic functions.

For one thing, instead of a one-dimensional sequence of periods, there will be a two-dimensional lattice of parallelograms, with the function repeating, in each parallelogram, its behavior in every other parallelogram.

The smallest unit within which the function goes through all its behavior is called the unit cell for the function; each side of a unit cell is one of the fundamental periods for the function, $\tau_1$ or $\tau_2$. The behavior of $f$ in the $(m,n)$th cell, at the point $z + mx_1 + nx_2$ ($m, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$) is the same as the behavior of $f$ in the central cell, at the point $z$. The points $z$ and $z + mx_1 + nx_2$ are called congruent points for $f$.

We might ask if there are functions of the complex variable $z$ which have more than two independent periods. The reasoning which proves that this is impossible is similar to that which proves that there cannot be two independent periods having a real ratio and depends basically on the fact that the complex plane is two-dimensional. Consequently, we can have singly and doubly periodic functions of $z$, but not triply or $n$-ply periodic ones.

Fundamental Properties of Doubly Periodic Functions. Before we ever set down a formula for a doubly periodic function, we can use contour integration to prove certain of their properties. We make the contours go around the boundary of a unit cell, so that the periodicity ensures that the integral is zero. The doubly periodic function $f(z)$, with fundamental periods $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, may have poles and zeros. If it
does, it must have the same number in each cell (we assume that we have drawn our unit cell so none of the poles or zeros is on the boundary). If it has branch points, they must come in pairs in each cell, so no branch lines need cut the cell boundaries.

Suppose that we concentrate on those doubly periodic functions \( f(z) \) which have no branch points, only poles and zeros of integral order [where the function is of the form \((z - z_0)^m g(z)\) where \( m \) is an integer (positive or negative) the order of the zero, and \( g \) is analytic at \( z = z_0 \). Such functions are called elliptic functions. According to page 308, the contour integral \( (1/2\pi i) \oint f(z) \, dz \) for such an \( f \) is equal to the sum of the residues of \( f \) inside the closed contour. But if the contour is the boundary of a unit cell, such a contour integral must be zero, so we have

\[
\text{The sum of the residues of all the poles of an elliptic function, in one unit cell, is zero.} \quad (4.5.62)
\]

If the elliptic function has only simple poles, it cannot therefore have one simple pole per cell; it must have at least two, so the residues cancel out. The elliptic function could, of course, have one pole of order two per cell, if the residue of this double pole were zero. (Of course the function may have no poles per cell, in which case it is a constant. Why?)

We also see that, if \( f(z) \) is an elliptic function, \( f + c, 1/f, f', f^*, f'/f \) are all elliptic functions. If \( f(z) \) is an elliptic function, then \( (1/f(z)) \) is likewise an elliptic function, and a consideration of the integral \( \oint (1/f) \, dz \) around the boundary of a unit cell shows that the sum of the residues of all the poles of \( (1/f(z)) \) at all the zeros of \( f(z) \) in one unit cell is zero. Therefore simple zeros of \( f \) must also come in pairs. Since, by definition, an elliptic function cannot have an essential singularity in the finite part of the complex plane, it cannot have an infinite number of poles in a unit cell, for this would result in an essential singularity. Consequently an elliptic function can have only a finite number of poles in each unit cell and, correspondingly, only a finite number of zeros.

We next look at the contour integral \( \oint [f'(z)/f(z)] \, dz \), where \( f' = df/dz \) and \( f \) has a finite number of simple poles and zeros inside the contour. Near the \( i \)th pole, which is of the \( n_i \)th order, the function \( f \rightarrow (z - z_i)^{-n_i} g_i(z) \), where \( g_i \) is analytic (and not zero) at \( z = z_i \). Therefore the function \( f'/f \) has a simple pole at \( z_i \) with residue \( n_i \). Near the \( j \)th zero, which is of the \( m_j \)th order, the function \( f \rightarrow (z - z_j)^{-m_j} g_j(z) \), where \( g_j \) is analytic (and not zero) at \( z = z_j \). Therefore the function \( f'/f \) has a simple pole at \( z_j \) with residue \( m_j \). Therefore the contour integral

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \, dz = \sum_i m_i - \sum_i n_i \quad (4.5.63)
\]
In other words, this contour integral equals the sum of the orders of all the zeros, minus the sum of the orders of all the poles of \( f \) inside the contour. If the poles and zeros are all first order, then this integral equals the difference between the numbers of zeros and the numbers of poles.

Applying this to the contour around the unit cell for an elliptic function, we see that:

\[
\text{The sum of the orders of all the poles in one cell of an elliptic function equals the sum of the orders of all the zeros in the cell. If the poles and zeros are all simple, then the number of poles equals the number of zeros.}
\] (4.5.64)

By considering the integral \( \oint \frac{f'}{(f - C)} \, dz \) around the boundary of a unit cell we see that

\[
\text{The number of roots of the equation } f(z) - C \text{ in a unit cell, where } f \text{ is an elliptic function and } C \text{ is any constant, is equal to the sum of the orders of all the poles of } f \text{ in a unit cell.}
\] (4.5.65)

The sum of the order of the poles of \( f \) in a unit cell (which equals the number of poles in a unit cell if all the poles are first-order) is called the order of the elliptic function. By (4.5.62) we have seen that there cannot be an elliptic function of first order. An elliptic function of zero order is a constant, and the simplest elliptic function with nontrivial properties is one of second order.

**Elliptic Functions of Second Order.** There is an elliptic function with one second-order pole and two zeros per unit cell; it is called the Weierstrass elliptic function. Of greater use, however, are the Jacobi elliptic functions, which have two simple poles and two simple zeros in each cell. Therefore, by (4.5.65), each of these functions takes on all possible values just twice in each cell.

We can set up such functions by means of series or infinite products expressions or by means of inverse integrals, by analogy with the trigonometric functions. For the first such function we choose to have a sequence of zeros along the real axis (like the sine function), each a distance \( \frac{1}{2} \tau_1 \) apart, where \( \tau_1 \) (real) is the first period. We shall take the second period, \( \tau_2 \), to be pure imaginary and have a simple pole a distance \( \frac{1}{2} |\tau_2| \) above each zero. Above these again, along the top of the first row of cells, is another row of zeros.

By analogy with the series of Eq. (4.5.59) we could set up a series which would account for all the zeros of this function. Suppose we assume that it starts out with unit slope at the origin, just as does the sine function; in fact let us assume that it behaves like a sine function at each of its zeros and that, when \( \tau_2 \to \infty \) and the function becomes singly periodic, it reduces directly to \( \sin z \). To emphasize this property we will call the function \( \text{sn} \, z \).

The poles of \( (1/\text{sn} \, z) \) (i.e., the zeros of \( \text{sn} \)) along the real axis are obtained by putting in a term \( (2\pi/\tau_1) \csc (2\pi z/\tau_1) \) in the series. This
will have the desired behavior near each of the zeros of \( sn \) along the real axis and will reduce to \( (1/\sin z) \) if \( \tau_1 \) is made to become \( 2\pi \) when \( \tau_2 \to \infty \). The next row of zeros of \( sn z \) is at the points \( z = \tau_2 \pm \frac{1}{2}m\tau_1 \), where \( \tau_2 \) is pure imaginary.

Here we should have another row of poles of \( (1/sn z) \). A term of the sort

\[
\frac{\cos (2\pi z/\tau_1)}{\sin (2\pi z/\tau_1) - \sin (2\pi z/\tau_1)} = \frac{1}{\sin (2\pi z/\tau_1) - 2 \tan (2\pi z/\tau_1) \sin^2 (\pi z/\tau_1)}
\]

where \( z = \tau_2 \) has poles which go to infinity at \( z = \frac{1}{2}(n\tau_1) \) just as does the function \( [1/\sin (2\pi z/\tau_1)] \) at \( z = \frac{1}{2}\tau_1 \). Combining this with a term giving poles at the points \( z = -\tau_2 \pm m\tau_1 \), we have

\[
\frac{2 \cos (2\pi z/\tau_1) \sin (2\pi z/\tau_1)}{\sin^2 (2\pi z/\tau_1) - \sin^2 (2\pi z/\tau_1)} = \frac{4 \sin (2\pi z/\tau_1) \cos (2\pi z/\tau_2)}{\cos (4\pi z/\tau_1) - \cos (4\pi z/\tau_2)}
\]

Since there are rows of zeros of \( sn z \) at \( iu \pm \pi/2 \), we finally obtain a series

\[
\frac{1}{sn z} = \frac{2\pi}{\tau_1} \left\{ \csc \left( \frac{2\pi z}{\tau_1} \right) + 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2\pi z}{\tau_1} \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n\tau_1}{\tau_2} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{4\pi n\tau_1}{\tau_1} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{4\pi n\tau_1}{\tau_2} \right)} \right\} \quad (4.5.66)
\]

which has the right distribution of zeros for \( sn z \) over the whole complex plane. It is not too difficult to show that the zeros of this series (the poles of \( sn \)) are midway between its poles (i.e., at \( z = \frac{1}{2}m\tau_1 + (n + \frac{1}{2})\tau_2 \)), but it is much more difficult to calculate the residues at these poles of \( sn \).

These elliptic functions may also be approached from another direction. The series

\[
F(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\pi n(z+2iu)} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n \cos (2nu) \quad (4.5.67)
\]

(whose \( \Im \gamma > 0 \) and where \( q = e^{i\gamma} \) is periodic in \( u \) with period \( \pi \). It is convergent as long as the imaginary part of \( \gamma \) is positive, so that \( |q| < 1 \). Interestingly enough the series is also pseudoperiodic in \( u \), with period \( \pi \), \( \gamma \), for

\[
F(u + \pi \gamma) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\pi \gamma (n+2m) + 2i\gamma} = e^{-i\pi \gamma - 2i\gamma} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{i\pi \gamma (n+2m) + 2i\gamma} = q^{-i\pi \gamma} F(u)
\]

There are four such series, called the theta functions:

\[
\delta_1(u,q) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n+1)i\gamma} \sin [(2n + 1)u]; \quad \delta_1(u + \pi \gamma, q) = -N\delta_1(u, q) \quad (4.5.68)
\]

\[
\delta_2(u, q) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(n+1)i\gamma} \cos [(2n + 1)u]; \quad \delta_2(u + \pi \gamma, q) = -\delta_2(u, q)
\]

\[
\delta_3(u, q) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n+1)i\gamma} \sin [(2n + 1)u]; \quad \delta_3(u + \pi \gamma, q) = -N\delta_3(u, q)
\]

\[
\delta_4(u, q) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(n+1)i\gamma} \cos [(2n + 1)u]; \quad \delta_4(u + \pi \gamma, q) = -\delta_4(u, q)
\]
\[ \frac{\partial s(u + \pi \gamma, q)}{\partial s(u, q)} = N \delta s(u, q) \]

\[ \delta s(u, q) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \cos(2nu) \quad \delta s(u + \pi, q) = \delta s(u, q) \]

\[ \frac{\partial s(u + \pi \gamma, q)}{\partial s(u, q)} = N \delta s(u, q) \quad (4.5.68) \]

\[ \delta s(u, q) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n^2} \cos(2nu) \quad \delta s(u + \pi, q) = \delta s(u, q) \]

\[ \frac{\partial s(u + \pi \gamma, q)}{\partial s(u, q)} = -N \delta s(u, q) \quad \delta s(u, q) = \delta s(u + \frac{1}{2} \pi, q) \quad \text{etc.} \]

where \( q = e^{\pi \tau} \) and where \( N = e^{\pi e^{\pi \tau}/4} \). These are, of course, not true elliptic functions because of the presence of the factor \( N \).

By suitable manipulation of these series we can show that the quantities

\[ \frac{\delta s(u) + a \delta s(u)}{\partial s(u)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta s(u) + b \delta s(u)}{\partial s(u)} \]

are true elliptic functions and that, by suitable choice of \( a \) and \( b \), we can arrange it so that they have at most only one simple pole in the unit cell, of side \( \pi \) and \( \pi \gamma \). But, from Eq. (4.5.62), this means that these ratios, for the particular values of \( a \) and \( b \), will be constants. Therefore it is possible to express \( \delta s(u) \) or \( \delta s(u) \) in terms of \( \delta s(u) \) and \( \delta s(u) \). The constants can be determined by setting \( u = 0 \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \pi \); and we finally obtain

\[ \delta s(u) \delta s(0) = \delta s(u) \delta s(0) - \delta s(u) \delta s(0) \]

\[ \delta s(u) \delta s(0) = \delta s(u) \delta s(0) - \delta s(u) \delta s(0) \quad (4.5.69) \]

where the second argument, \( q \), has been omitted for brevity.

From the theta functions, as we have seen, we can build true elliptic functions, by taking proper ratios so that the multiplicative factor drops out. For instance, the function

\[ s_n z = \frac{\delta s(0, q) \delta s(u, q)}{\delta s(0, q) \delta s(u, q)}; \quad u = \frac{z}{[\delta s(0, q)]^2} \quad (4.5.70) \]

has the same distribution of zeros and the same behavior at each zero that the function, defined in Eq. (4.5.66) has, provided \( \pi \delta s(0, q) \) is equal to \( \tau_1 \) and \( \pi \gamma \delta s(0, q) \) is equal to \( \tau_2 \), the second period. Moreover, treatises on elliptic functions show that from the series of Eq. (4.5.68), we can calculate, with a great deal of difficulty, a differential equation for the theta functions and finally for \( s_n z \). We find, for instance, that

\[ \frac{d}{du} \left[ \delta s(u, q) \right] = [\delta s(0, q)]^2 \delta s(u, q) \delta s(u, q) \]

and that if \( \eta = \delta s(u, q)/\delta s(u, q) \), we have, after further manipulation,

\[ (\delta s/du)^2 = [\delta s(0, q) - \eta \delta s(0, q)] [\delta s(0, q) - \eta \delta s(0, q)] \]
Finally, if we set \( y = \left( \frac{\partial \zeta(0, q)}{\partial \zeta(0, q)} \right)_3 \) and \( z = u[\partial \zeta(0, q)]_3 \), we have for \( y \)
\[
\left( \frac{dy}{dz} \right)^2 = (1 - y^2)(1 - k^2 y^2) \tag{4.5.71}
\]
where \( \sqrt{k} = \partial \zeta(0, q)/\partial \zeta(0, q) \). All the properties of the elliptic function \( sn \) \( z = y \) may then be found in terms of the series (4.5.68), which converge rapidly. However, to discuss some of the properties it is useful to consider Eq. (4.5.71) as the basic definition.

**Integral Representations for Elliptic Functions.** This brings us to still a third way of defining elliptic functions of second order, which is the most useful of all (except, perhaps, for numerical computation of tables).

We see that a formal solution of Eq. (4.5.71) is
\[
z = \int_0^y \frac{du}{\sqrt{(1 - u^2)(1 - k^2 u^2)}} = sn^{-1} y \tag{4.5.72}
\]
which defines the function \( sn^{-1} y \), inverse to the elliptic function \( sn \) \( z \).

Referring to the discussion of \( \sin^{-1} y \), on page 426, we see that the present function \( y = sn \) \( z \) is periodic in \( z \) with period \( r_1 = 4K \), where
\[
K = \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{(1 - u^2)(1 - k^2 u^2)}} = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\varphi}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \varphi}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{2}}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \mid |k|^2\right) \tag{4.5.73}
\]
according to Eq. (5.3.16). As a matter of fact we can write \( sn \) \( (z + 2K) = -sn \) \( z \). Equations (4.5.71) and (4.5.72) show that, for real values of \( z \), \( sn \) \( z \) is never larger than unity.

Examination of the series expansion for the integral near \( y = 0 \) shows that \( sn \) \( z \to z - \frac{1}{4}(1 + k^2)z^2 + \cdots \), so that \( sn \) is an odd function of \( z \) and the residue of the simple pole of \( (1/sn)\) at \( z = 0 \), is 1. Suppose we next investigate the behavior of \( sn \) \( z \) near the point \( z = K \), where it has the value unity. Going along the real axis away from \( z = K \) reduces the value of \( sn \), so going at right angles to the real axis will increase the value of \( sn \) (why?). Going upward from the real axis gives us
\[
z = sn^{-1} y = K + i\pi; \quad v = \int_0^y \frac{du}{\sqrt{(u^2 - 1)(1 - k^2 u^2)}}; \quad y = sn (K + i\pi)
\]
Analysis of the equation for \( v \) shows that \( y \) is periodic in \( v \) with period \( 2K' \), where
\[
K' = \int_1^{1/k} \frac{du}{\sqrt{(u^2 - 1)(1 - k'^2 u^2)}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{2}}{k'^2} \right)^{1/2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \mid |k'|^2\right) \tag{4.5.74}
\]
where \( k'^2 = 1 - k^2 \). Consequently \( sn \) \( z \) is periodic in \( z \) with period \( r_2 = 2iK' \), the value of \( sn \) \( K \) being unity and the value of \( sn \) \( (K + iK') \) being \( 1/k \), and so on.

Finally, if we make \( y \) imaginary, \( z \) is imaginary, and we can have \( y \) go to infinity for \( z \) finite. This means that there is a pole of \( sn \) \( z = y \)
on the imaginary axis, at the point $ia$, where

$$a = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{\sqrt{1 + w^3}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{(u^3 - 1)(1 - k^2u^2)}} = K';$$

$$u = \left[ \frac{1 + w^3}{1 + k^2w^3} \right]^{1/3}.$$

As a result of this type of exploration we find that the function $sn\ z$ is an elliptic function with one period $\tau_1 = 4K$ which is real and one period $\tau_2 = 2iK'$ which is pure imaginary. The function has simple zeros at $z = 0$ and $z = 2K$ and at corresponding places in the other unit cells. It has simple poles at $z = 2K'$ and $2K + iK'$ (and corresponding points), with the residue at the pole at $z = iK'$ being $1/k$ and consequently [from (4.5.62)] the residue at $z = 2K + iK'$ is $-1/(k)$. The parameter $k$ is called the modulus of the function; whenever its value is to be emphasized, the elliptic function is written $sn\ (z,k)$. Its value in terms of the parameter $q$ is given in connection with Eq. (4.5.71); usually, however, $k$ is treated as the basic parameter, in which case $k$ and $K'$ are found from Eqs. (4.5.73) and (4.5.74) ($K'$ is the same function of $k' = \sqrt{1 - k^2}$ as $K$ is of $k$) and then $q = e^{-\pi(K' / K)}$. We can then work back and show that the $sn\ (z,k)$ defined by Eq. (4.5.72) is the same function as that defined by Eqs. (4.5.70) and (4.5.66).

Other Jacobi elliptic functions are defined in similar ways:

$$sn\ (z,k) = \frac{\partial_1(0,q)}{\partial_1(0,q)} \frac{\partial_1(u,q)}{\partial_1(u,q)},$$

$$z = \int_{0}^{u} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - k^2t^2)}} = sn^{-1}\ (y,k).$$

$$cn\ (z,k) = \frac{\partial_4(0,q)}{\partial_4(0,q)} \frac{\partial_4(u,q)}{\partial_4(u,q)},$$

$$z = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - k^2 + k^2t^2)}} = cn^{-1}\ (y,k).$$

$$dn\ (z,k) = \frac{\partial_4(0,q)}{\partial_4(0,q)} \frac{\partial_4(u,q)}{\partial_4(u,q)},$$

$$z = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(t^2 + k^2 - 1)}} = dn^{-1}\ (y,k).$$

where

$$u = z[\partial_3(0,q)]^{-2} = \pi z/2K; \quad q = e^{-\pi(K' / K)}.$$

and the constants $K$, $K'$ are given by Eqs. (4.5.73) and (4.5.74).

Utilizing Eqs. (4.5.69) and our previous knowledge of the function $sn$, we can show that

$$sn^2\ (z,k) = 1; \quad cn^2\ (z,k) = 1; $$

$$k^2sn^2\ (z,k) + dn^2\ (z,k) = 1; \quad (d/dz) sn\ (z,k) = cn\ (z,k) dn\ (z,k).$$

Many other properties of these functions may now be computed. Some of them are listed on page 486, and others are dealt with in the problems. We shall find use for the functions in a number of cases later in the book.
4.6 Asymptotic Series; Method of Steepest Descent

To study the behavior of the various functions we shall encounter, for large values of \(|z|\), it will often be convenient to expand them in inverse powers of \(z\):

\[
f(z) = \varphi(z) \left[ A_0 + \frac{A_1}{z} + \frac{A_2}{z^2} + \cdots \right]
\]

(4.6.1)

where \(\varphi(z)\) is a function whose behavior for large values of \(z\) is known. The expansion for \(\Gamma(z)\) given in the preceding section [Eq. (4.5.50)] is of this type. If \(f(z)/\varphi(z)\) has an essential singularity at \(|z| \to \infty\), the series in Eq. (4.6.1) will diverge. Nevertheless, the series may be useful, not only for a qualitative understanding of the function, but even in its computation for large values of \(z\).

The circumstance needed to make this possible is that the difference between \(f(z)/\varphi(z)\) and the first \((n + 1)\) terms of the series be of the order of \(1/z^{n+1}\), so that for sufficiently large \(z\), this difference may be made quite small. More precisely, the series is said to represent \(f(z)/\varphi(z)\) asymptotically, i.e.,

\[
f(z) \sim \varphi(z) \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{A_p}{z^p}
\]

(4.6.2)

if

\[
\lim_{|z| \to \infty} \left| z^n \left[ \frac{f(z)}{\varphi(z)} - \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{A_p}{z^p} \right] \right| = 0 \quad (4.6.3)
\]

This equation states that, for a given \(n\), the first \(n\) terms of the series may be made as close as may be desired to the ratio \(f(z)/\varphi(z)\) by making \(z\) large enough. For each value of \(z\) and \(n\) there will be an error of the order of \(1/z^{n+1}\). Since the series actually diverges, there will be an optimal number of terms of the series to be used to represent \([f(z)/\varphi(z)]\) for a given \(z\). Associated with this there will be an unavoidable error. As \(z\) increases, the optimal number of terms increases and the error decreases.

**An Example.** Let us make these ideas more concrete by considering a simple example, the exponential integral

\[
-Ei(-x) = \int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} \, dt
\]

the asymptotic series for the exponential integral is obtained by a series of partial integrations. For example,

\[
-Ei(-x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{x} - \int_{x}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e^{-t}}{t^2} \right] \, dt
\]
Continuing this procedure yields

\[-Ei(-x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{x} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2!}{x^2} - \frac{3!}{x^3} + \ldots + \frac{(-1)^n n!}{x^n} \right] \]

\[+ (-1)^{n+1} (n+1)! \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{n+2}} \, dt \]

The infinite series obtained by permitting \( n \to \infty \) diverges, for the Cauchy convergence test yields

\[\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{n}{x} \right| \to \infty\]

Note that this indicates that two successive terms become equal in magnitude for \( n = x \), indicating that the optimum number of terms for a given \( x \) is roughly the integer nearest \( x \). To prove that the series is asymptotic, we must show that

\[x^{n+1} e^{(n + 1)} (-1)^{n+1} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{n+2}} \, dt \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty\]

This immediately follows, since

\[\int_{x}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{n+2}} \right] \, dt < \frac{1}{x^{n+2}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t} \, dt = \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{n+2}}\]

The error involved in using the first \( n \) terms is less than \( [(n + 1) e^{-x}/x^{n+2}] \), which is exactly the next term in the series. We see that, as \( n \) increases, this estimate of the error first decreases and then increases without limit. Table 4.6.1 demonstrates how this works out for \(-4 e^{4} Ei(-4) = 0.82533\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Value of ( n )th term</th>
<th>Sum including ( n )th term</th>
<th>Upper bound to error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>0.25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.25000</td>
<td>0.75000</td>
<td>0.12500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.12500</td>
<td>0.87500</td>
<td>0.09375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.06250</td>
<td>0.78125</td>
<td>0.069375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.03125</td>
<td>0.81250</td>
<td>0.05763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.01562</td>
<td>0.75781</td>
<td>0.05781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00781</td>
<td>0.93592</td>
<td>0.05181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.00390</td>
<td>0.93202</td>
<td>0.039031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00195</td>
<td>0.94397</td>
<td>0.03181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.00109</td>
<td>0.94288</td>
<td>0.02090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exact value is approached to within 5 per cent by the values 0.87500 and 0.78125.

**Averaging Successive Terms.** The fact that these two values are on either side of the correct one suggests that the exact value might be more closely approached if the sequence defined by the average of two successive values is employed. In the present case, this average is 0.828125,
within $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the correct value. We formulate this notion as follows:

Let

$$S_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} u_m; \quad T_{n+1} = \frac{S_n + S_{n+1}}{2} = S_n + \frac{1}{2}u_{n+1}$$

then

$$T_{n+1} = \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} U_{m-1}; \text{ where } U_n = \frac{u_n + u_{n+1}}{2}, \quad U_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}u_0 \quad (4.6.4)$$

The sequence $t_n$ does not form an asymptotic series in the narrow sense, as defined by Eq. (4.6.1). We may, however, broaden our definition to include $t_n$ as follows:

$$[f(z)/\varphi(z)] \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(z)$$

if

$$\lim_{|z| \to 0} \left\{ z^n \left[ \frac{f(z)}{\varphi(z)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \varphi(z) \right] \right\} = 0 \quad (4.6.5)$$

It is clear that the statements following (4.6.3) which apply to the asymptotic series (4.6.1) apply to the more general case defined by (4.6.5). For $t_n$ as defined by (4.6.4)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{n+1} u_n(z) - \frac{1}{2}u_{n+1}(z)$$

If $u_n(z)$ forms an asymptotic series, i.e.,

$$\lim_{|z| \to 0} \left\{ z^n \left[ f(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(z) \right] \right\} = 0$$

then $U_n(z)$ forms an asymptotic series. The method of averaging given by (4.6.4) is particularly useful when the series is an alternating one. We illustrate this by applying such a rearrangement to the case tabulated in Table 4.6.1 (see Table 4.6.2).

There are a number of questions we must answer with regard to asymptotic series before we will feel free to manipulate them. First let us note that an asymptotic series is not unique. For example, the two functions

$$[f(z)/\varphi(z)] \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) = [f(z)/\varphi(z)] + e^{-z}$$

have the same asymptotic expansion when $\text{Re } z > 0$. Moreover it is clear that the asymptotic expansion for $\psi(z)$ will change markedly in moving from $\text{Re } z > 0$ to $\text{Re } z < 0$. Examination of the asymptotic form of $\psi(z)$ as the phase of $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$ changes would thus show a discontinuity at $\theta = \pi/2, 3\pi/2$. These discontinuities are only apparent
and essentially are a result of the fact that asymptotic series are not unique. Therefore in our frequent dealings with asymptotic series we shall need to keep them under close scrutiny always to be aware of the range in the phase of \( z \) for which they are valid. The apparent discontinuity in the series will manifest itself often, indeed in the chapter on differential equations, it will be referred to as the Stokes’ phenomenon (see Sec. 5.3).

Table 4.6.2. Summing Asymptotic Series by Averaging Terms [see Eqs. (4.6.4) and Table 4.6.1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( U_p )</th>
<th>( T_p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.50000</td>
<td>0.50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.37500</td>
<td>0.87500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06926</td>
<td>0.81926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.015625</td>
<td>0.82813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.82813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.01720</td>
<td>0.810913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.09930</td>
<td>0.84023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.06717</td>
<td>0.77306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.15508</td>
<td>0.92813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.38767</td>
<td>0.54046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following general properties of these series should be noted:

Asymptotic series may be added term by term; asymptotic series may also be multiplied. If \( \chi(z) \sim \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_p}{z^p} \) and \( \psi(z) \sim \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_p}{z^p} \),

then \( \chi(z)\psi(z) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{z^n} \); where \( C_n = \sum_{p=0}^{n} A_p B_{n-p} \).

Asymptotic series may be integrated:

\[
\int \chi(z) \, dz = A_0 z + A_1 \ln z - \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_p}{p z^{p+1}}
\]

On the other hand, asymptotic series may be differentiated to obtain an asymptotic expansion for the derivative function only if it is known through some other means that the derivative function has an asymptotic expansion.

**Integral Representations and Asymptotic Series.** We shall often have occasion to compute the asymptotic behavior of an integral representation of some function—by a procedure known alternately as the method of steepest descent and as the saddle-point method. This technique will now be discussed and applied to the gamma function.

First we should emphasize that the technique is successful only
when the function under examination can be represented by an integral of a rather particular form:

\[ J(z) = \int_C e^{zt} \, dt \quad (4.6.6) \]

where the contour \( C \) is such that the integrand goes to zero at the ends of the contour. This form is closely related to the Laplace transform (see Sec. 5.3). We may expect many of the integrals of interest in this book to be of form (4.6.6), inasmuch as solutions of the scalar Helmholtz equation \((\nabla^2 + k^2)\psi = 0\) may be represented as a general superposition of plane waves, \(e^{ikr}\); that is, \(\psi = \int e^{ikr} f(k) \, d\Omega_k\) where \(d\Omega_k\) is the differential solid angle in the direction of vector \(k\). In addition, it is often possible to transform an integral, not of this form, into one by some simple ruse. For example, the gamma function \(\Gamma(z + 1)\) which for \(\Re z > -1\) is represented by \(\int_0^\infty e^{-rt} \, dr\) becomes, upon making the substitution \(t = tz\),

\[ \Gamma(z + 1) = z^{t+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^z \, dt = z^{t+1} \int_0^\infty e^{0u-t} \, dt \quad (4.6.7) \]

The function \(\Gamma(z + 1)/z^{t+1}\) is therefore of form (4.6.6).

Let us now examine the behavior of \(J(z)\) as \(|z| \to \infty\), for a given phase \(\phi\) of \(z\). Large values of \(|z|\) will usually result in some very rapid fluctuations in the value of the integrand. If \(z\) is complex, or if \(f(t)\) is complex along portions of the contour \(C\), the imaginary part of \(|zf(t)|\) will generally increase as \(|z|\) increases. Consequently, the factor \(\exp \{i \text{ Im } |zf(t)|\}\) will oscillate rapidly, the oscillations increasing in frequency as \(|z| \to \infty\). The existence of such oscillations makes it difficult to evaluate the integral without some further transformations because of the resultant cancellations of the integrand; in many cases large positive values are almost completely canceled by large negative values elsewhere on the contour. To obtain the relevant residue would require a forbiddingly accurate evaluation of the integral at every point. Under these conditions it is manifestly desirable to deform the contour so as to minimize such effects. In the following it will be assumed that the requisite deformations are possible, that, if singularities intervene, it is possible in some manner to evaluate their effect.

**Choosing the Contour.** In general the contour taken by the integral must run through regions where the real part of \(|zf(t)|\) is positive and other regions where it is negative. The former regions are more important, since here the integrand is larger, and in these regions, where \(\Re |zf(t)|\) is largest, it is most important to reduce oscillations. What we do then is to search for a contour along which the imaginary part of \(|zf(t)|\) is constant in the region (or regions) where its real part is largest. Thus, in the region which contributes most to the final value, the integral may
be written

\[ J(z) = \int_c e^{z\phi(t)} \, dt = e^{\text{Im} \, [zf(t)]} \int_c e^{\text{Re} \, [zf(t)]} \, dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.8) \]

Then the path, in the regions where \( \text{Re} \, [zf(t)] \) is least, may be chosen so that \( \text{Im} \, [zf(t)] \) varies if this turns out to be necessary to complete the contour. In this way we have ensured that the oscillations of the integrand make the least trouble.

![Contour plot of real part of function f(t) near a saddle point t = t₀.](image)

**Fig. 4.29** Contour plot of real part of function \( f(t) \) near a saddle point \( t = t₀ \).

The point at which \( \text{Re} \, [zf(t)] \) is largest is the maximum point for \( f(t) \), at \( t = t₀ \) where

\[ f'(t₀) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.9) \]

Near this point it is particularly important that oscillations be suppressed, so we require that near \( t₀ \) the contour be chosen so that

\[ \text{Im} \, [zf(t)] = \text{Im} \, [zf(t₀)] \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.10) \]

A little geometry will make the meaning of these conditions clear. Set up a three-dimensional cartesian coordinate system for which the \( x \) coordinate is \( \text{Re} \, t \), the \( y \) coordinate \( \text{Im} \, t \), and an arbitrary vertical coordinate. To see the behavior of the integrand in (4.6.8) we plot the surface; vertical coordinate = \( \text{Re} \, [f(t)] \); at every point \( t \) in the \( x \), \( y \) plane the surface is at a height above the horizontal given by \( \text{Re} \, [f(t)] \) at that point. The first question to answer concerns the behavior of the surface at \( t = t₀ \). Because of Eq. (4.6.9) the surface must be flat in the neighborhood of \( t₀ \). However, it cannot have a maximum or a minimum there; it cannot be a mountain top or a valley because, by the theorem of page 369, the real part of a function of a complex variable cannot have a
maximum or minimum. The point \( t_0 \) must therefore be a \textit{minimax} or a \textit{saddle point} or, to use a topographical analogy, a mountain pass. This is illustrated in Fig. 4.29 by a drawing of a section of the surface near \( t = t_0 \). For curve \( AB \), the point \( t = t_0 \) is a maximum, whereas for curve \( CD \) on the same surface, \( t = t_0 \) is a minimum. Curve \( CD \) runs from one mountain top to another, whereas curve \( AB \) runs from one valley to another between the mountain tops (Fig. 4.30).

What is the significance of the particular path \( \text{Im} [sf(0)] = \text{constant} \)? We recall (page 371) that along such a path \( \text{Re} [sf(0)] \) changes as rapidly as possible. Actually there are two such paths, as is evident from Fig.

![Fig. 4.30 Choice of path through saddle point.](image)

4.29. On one of them, \( CD \), \( \text{Re} [sf(t)] \) \textit{increases} as rapidly as possible; on the other, \( AB \), \( \text{Re} [sf(t)] \) \textit{decreases} as rapidly as possible. Path \( AB \) is chosen, since this contour can, and \( CD \) cannot, correspond to the original contour of the convergent integral under discussion. Thus along the chosen path \( e^{2\pi\imath t} \) goes to its end point values by the steepest route possible and passes through the mountain pass \( t_0 \). Most of the value of the integral will come from the neighborhood of this point, since it is the maximum value of the integrand along this contour. This becomes increasingly true as \( z \to \infty \), for the maximum becomes sharper and the descent to end-point value more rapid.

\textbf{First Term in the Expansion.} To illustrate the above discussion, let us use this information to derive a general formula, giving the first term in the asymptotic expansion of \( J(z) \). In the neighborhood of \( t_0 \)

\[
f(t) = f(t_0) + [(t - t_0)^2/2]f''(t_0) + \quad (4.6.11)
\]

[Note that, if it should happen that \( f''(t_0) = 0 \), then the analysis would require revision.] The path of steepest descent must be such that the integrand is a decreasing exponential. Therefore let

\[
\tau = \sqrt{e^{2\pi\imath t} f''(t_0)} (t - t_0); \quad \text{where } z = |z| e^{\imath \phi} \quad (4.6.12)
\]

The integral becomes

\[
J(z) \sim \frac{e^{2\pi\imath t(t_0)}}{\sqrt{e^{2\pi\imath t f''(t_0)}}} \int_c e^{2\pi\imath \phi} d\tau
\]

We see that, as \( |z| \) increases, the exponential becomes steeper and less
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and less of the contour becomes important. For sufficiently large $|z|$ the integrand will become effectively zero outside the range in which approximation (4.6.11) is valid. We may therefore replace the contour integral by a real integral covering the range from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. The direction of integration is determined by the original contour and by Eq. (4.6.12). We assume that the direction of travel along the contour is such that the integration on $t$ is from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. Then as $|z| \to \infty$,

$$J(z) \simeq e^{f(t_0)} \sqrt{2\pi/z} e^{i\pi f''(t_0)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.13)

In the case of the gamma function [see Eq. (4.6.7)] $f(t) = \ln t - t$. Then $f'(t) = (1/t) - 1$, so that $t_0 = 1$. We find that $f(t_0) = -1$ and $f''(t) = -1$. The variable $t$ runs from $0$ to $+\infty$. Transformation (4.6.12) changes to $r = (t - 1)e^{i\omega t}$ so that the upper limit for $r$ is $+\infty$. Equation (4.6.13) applies, and

$$\Gamma(z + 1) \underbrace{\rightarrow}_{z \to \infty} \sqrt{2\pi z} z^{z-1} e^{-z}$$

in agreement with the first term of the asymptotic expansion for $\Gamma(z)$ given in Eq. (4.5.50). See also the discussion of Eq. (5.3.77), where another example is discussed.

The Rest of the Series. Equation (4.6.13) is the leading term in an asymptotic series. We shall now proceed to generalize the above discussion so as to obtain the next terms in the series. To simplify the discussion let the phase of $z$ be included in $f(t)$ so that in the following it will be possible to regard $z$ as real.

It is necessary to go back in our discussion to Eq. (4.6.11) and replace it by an exact substitution:

$$f(t) = f(t_0) - w^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.14)

Note that $w$ is real in virtue of the choice $\text{Im} \left[ f(t) \right] = \text{Im} \left[ f(t_0) \right]$. Introducing (4.6.14) into $J(z)$ yields

$$J(z) = e^{f(t_0)} \int_C e^{-\omega t} \, dt \quad \text{or} \quad J(z) = e^{f(t_0)} \int_C e^{-\omega t} \left( \frac{dt}{dw} \right) \, dw$$

We once more assume that the original sense of the integration was such that the integration direction for $w$ is from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, so that

$$J(z) = e^{f(t_0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\omega t} \left( \frac{dt}{dw} \right) \, dw$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.15)

To complete the story it is necessary to compute $dt/dw$, that is, to invert Eq. (4.6.14) and obtain $dt/dw$ as a function of $w$. This should be done in the form of a power series, namely,

$$\frac{dt}{dw} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.16)
where, according to Eq. (4.6.14), only even powers of \( w \) will enter. Substituting form (4.6.16) into (4.6.15) will then yield as the asymptotic series

\[
J(z) \xrightarrow{z \to \infty} e^{it\omega} \sqrt{\frac{m\omega^2}{z}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_n}{a_0} \right) \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \left( \frac{1}{z} \right)^n \quad (4.6.17)
\]

The coefficients \( a_n \) may be determined by the procedure described in the preceding section (see page 411). There it was shown that, if

\[
w = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n (t - t_0)^n = g(t)
\]

then

\[
a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left[ \frac{t - t_0}{g(t)} \right]^{n+1} \quad (4.6.18)
\]

In the present case \( g(t) = \sqrt{f(t_0) - f(t)} \). As an aid, we expand in a series:

\[
\left[ \frac{f(t_0) - f(t)}{(t - t_0)^2} \right] = \sum_p A_p (t - t_0)^p
\]

Then Eq. (4.6.18) becomes

\[
a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left[ \sum_p A_p x^p \right] \right]_{x = 0}^{t = t_0} = \sum_p A_p (t - t_0)^p
\]

or \( a_n \) is the coefficient of the \( n \)th power of \( x \) in a power-series expansion of \( \left( \sum A_p x^p \right)^{1-n} \).

We tabulate below the first three relevant coefficients in terms of \( A_p \):

\[
\begin{align*}
a_0 &= 1/\sqrt{A_0} \\
a_2/a_0 &= \frac{1}{6} A_1^2 A_0^{-3} - \frac{3}{2} A_1 A_0^{-2} \\
a_4/a_0 &= \frac{5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{2^7 \cdot 3} A_1^4 A_0^{-4} - \frac{5 \cdot 7}{2^4} A_1^2 A_2 A_0^{-2} + \frac{5 \cdot 7}{2^3} A_0^{-4}(A_1^2 + 2A_1 A_2) - \frac{9}{2} A_0^{-3} A_4
\end{align*}
\]

To illustrate the method let us again consider the gamma function. We have \( f(t) = \ln\left(\frac{t - 1}{t - t_0}\right) \). The function \( [f(t_0) - f(t)]/(t - t_0)^2 \) is

\[
\left[ \frac{(t - 1) - \ln t}{(t - 1)^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(t - 1)}{3} + \frac{(t - 1)^2}{4} - \frac{(t - 1)^3}{5}
\]

so that \( A_n = \left( -1 \right)^n / (n + 2) \). The values of \( a_n \), by substitution into Eq. (4.6.19), are equal to

\[
a_0 = 1/\sqrt{2}, \quad a_2/a_0 = -\frac{1}{6}, \quad a_4/a_0 = -\frac{1}{12}
\]
Therefore, by Eq. (4.6.17), the asymptotic expansion for \( \Gamma(z + 1) \) becomes

\[
\Gamma(z + 1) = \sqrt{2\pi} z^{z+1}e^{-z} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{12z} + \frac{1}{288z^2} + \cdots \right]
\]

We shall use this saddle-point method several times in our investigations; in particular we shall study in detail the asymptotic behavior of Bessel functions and related functions by means of this technique in Sec. 5.3.

### 4.7 Conformal Mapping

Most of the interesting and important geometrical applications of the theory of analytic functions of a complex variable to problems in physics may be grouped under the heading of conformal mapping. We employ the electrostatic field as an example. A common problem is that of a point charge \( q \) (line charge in three dimensions) enclosed by a metallic conductor forming a closed surface \( C \) and kept at zero potential (see Fig. 4.31). The electric field \( \vec{E} \) will diverge from the source and strike the bounding surface orthogonally. We have sketched the equipotentials and lines of force in the figure. Of course, lines of force and the equipotentials form an orthogonal grid. We may therefore expect that some function of a complex variable, \( w(z) = u + iw \), may be found for which the lines determined by the real part of \( w \), \( u(x,y) = \) constant, yield the lines of force and the contour lines for the imaginary part of \( w \), \( v(x,y) = \) constant, yield the equipotentials. The correct function must be singular, at the point \( z = z_q \), where the charge is located. In fact, at \( z_q \) the function should have a logarithmic singularity, and as we shall see in Chap. 10, it should have the form \( w = -(2iq) \ln(z - z_q) \) plus some function analytic at \( z_q \). The variables \( u \) and \( v \) may be used to define a conformal transformation in which \( u = \) constant lines are parallel to the imaginary axis, while the \( v = \) constant lines are parallel to the real axis. Since \( v = 0 \) on \( C \), the bounding surface \( C \) transforms to the real axis in the \( w \) plane. The transform of Fig. 4.31 is illustrated in Fig. 4.32. The charge \( q \) is located at infinity (\( v = i \infty \)) in the \( w \) plane. The constant \( u \) lines constitute just the parallel lines of force generated by the charge at infinity.

![Fig. 4.31](image-url) Equipotentials and lines of force for point source inside grounded enclosure.
General Properties of the Transformation. The distortion of space resulting from the transformation can be given a more dramatic description, a description which is often useful in obtaining a "feeling" for the transformation. Essentially the transformation cuts $C$ at some point and straightens $C$ out, stretching it so that the point at which the cut is made goes to infinity. This procedure straightens out the potential lines; to straighten out the lines of force we must also move the charge $q$ upward to infinity.

One result of paramount importance should be noted. The function $w(z)$ transforms the interior of the region bounded by $C$ into the upper half plane. To perform this transformation it is only necessary to obtain

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 4.32** Conformal transform of Fig. 4.31 to the $w = u + i\bar{v}$ plane.

the point source function whose imaginary part satisfies the condition $V = 0$ on $C$ and behaves as $-(q/2\pi) \ln |z - z_q|$ near the charge at $z_q$. It will be clear from the physics of the situation that such a point source function exists, with the consequence that a transformation taking the interior of $C$ into the upper half plane exists. It is also clear that there are many such transformations, since the position of the charge can be anywhere in the interior of $C$.

Assuming the existence of the transformation function $f(z)$ we may now go on to show its great value in the solution of various electrostatic problems which involve the interior of $C$. The essential point is that by means of the transformation it is possible to transform the problem from the complicated geometry of Fig. 4.31 to the simple geometry of Fig. 4.32 for which solutions may be much more easily obtained. For example, suppose that the potential varies along $C$, there being no charge in the interior of $C$. Then the transformed problem is one in which the potential $V$ is specified along the real axis ($v = 0$) and it is desired to find $V$ in the upper half plane. We know that $V$ is the imaginary part of a function of a complex variable which is analytic in the upper half plane. It is therefore permissible to employ Eq. (4.2.13):

$$V(u, v) = \frac{v}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{V(u', 0)}{(u' - u)^2 + v^2} \right] du'$$
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It should be apparent that, once the transformation function is known, it becomes possible to solve any electrostatic problem associated with the interior of $C$.

**Schwarz-Christoffel Transformation.** We now turn to the practical question of finding the transformation function. The most general contour $C$ which may be discussed in great detail is that of the polygon. Special cases of importance which are also known are the ellipse and in particular the circle. Let us consider the polygon case; the transformation is then referred to as the **Schwarz-Christoffel transformation**. The polygon is illustrated in Fig. 4.33. The vertices of the polygon are labeled $a_0, a_1, \ldots$, the corresponding exterior angles $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots$, and the transforms of the vertices to the $w$ plane $b_0, b_1, \ldots$. Note that

$$\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 + \cdots = 2\pi \quad (4.7.1)$$

![Fig. 4.33 Schwarz-Christoffel transformation of the inside of a polygon onto the upper half of the $w$ plane.](image)

The reader should pay particular attention to the manner in which the angles $\varphi_i$ are defined and to the fact that the interior of the polygon is to the left as one goes around the perimeter in the direction of the angles. In this direction, $\varphi$'s which correspond to turning farther to the left are positive, those for reentrant angles, where the turn is to the right, are negative. In some "degenerate" cases, $\varphi$ may be $\pi$ or $-\pi$; which angle is the right one is usually determined by appeal to Eq. (4.7.1).

Since the angles $\varphi_i$ are not preserved by the transformation, it is evident that $w(z)$ must be singular at the points $a_i$. As a consequence
we shall "round" these corners off as the contour $C$ is described. The corresponding contour in the $w$ plane is modified as indicated by means of the indicated semicircles. These are chosen so as to exclude the singular points $b_i$ from the upper half plane inasmuch as $w(z)$ is to be analytic in this region.

Consider the behavior of $dz$ and $dw$ as the polygon is traversed in a counterclockwise direction as indicated by the arrows in the figure. The phase of $dw$ in the region to the left of $b_0$ is zero ($dw$ is real), whereas the phase of $dz$ is given by the direction of the line $a_0a_0$. At the point $a_0$ the phase of $dz$ suffers a discontinuity of amount $\varphi_0$, whereas the phase of $dw$ remains real. What must be the behavior of $dz/dw$ in the neighborhood of the point $b_0$? It must be real for $w > b_0$ and must suffer a change of phase of $\varphi_0$ while $w - b_0$ is changing phase by $-\pi$, as illustrated in Fig. 4.33. The functional dependence thus described leads uniquely to

$$\frac{dz}{dw} \rightarrow A(w - b_0)^{\alpha}$$

In order to obtain the correct phase change at $b_0$, $\alpha = -\varphi_0/\pi$, so that

$$\frac{dz}{dw} \rightarrow A(w - b_0)^{-\varphi/\pi} \quad (4.7.2)$$

Performing this analysis at each point $b_i$ we obtain

$$\frac{dz}{dw} = A(w - b_0)^{-\varphi/\pi}(w - b_1)^{-\varphi/\pi}(w - b_2)^{-\varphi/\pi} \cdots \quad (4.7.3)$$

$A$ must be a constant, for the only singularities and zeros of $z$ in the upper half plane are at $b_0, b_1, \ldots$. By Schwarz's principle of reflection (page 393) the function $z$ may be continued across the real axis to the lower half plane, so that the only singularities of $z$ in the entire plane occur at $b_i$. Integrating (4.7.3) yields the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation.

$$z = z_0 + A\int (w - b_0)^{-\varphi/\pi}(w - b_1)^{-\varphi/\pi}(w - b_2)^{-\varphi/\pi} \cdots dw \quad (4.7.4)$$

Recapitulating, Eq. (4.7.3) is a transformation of the interior of a polygon with exterior angles $\varphi_0, \ldots$, into the upper half plane of $w$. The arbitrary constants $z_0, |A|, \arg A$ must be adjusted so as to yield the correct origin, scale, and orientation of the polygon on the $z$ plane. The other constants $b_0, b_1, \ldots$ must be chosen so that they correspond to $a_0, a_1, \ldots$. Because of the three arbitrary constants available, it is possible to choose three of these points at will; i.e., three of the $b$'s may be placed arbitrarily on the $w$ plane. The remaining $b$'s must be determined by first integrating (4.7.3), determining $z_0$ and $A$, and then solving for each of the $b$'s by noting that, when $w = b_i, z = a_i$. The transformation function $z = z(w)$ will generally have branch points at the vertices $b_i$.

Usually one of the transformed points is placed at infinity. Suppose it is $b_0$. Then the transformation (4.7.4) becomes

$$z = z_0 + A\int (w - b_1)^{-\varphi/\pi}(w - b_2)^{-\varphi/\pi} \cdots dw \quad (4.7.5)$$
Transformations (4.7.4) and (4.7.5) transform the interior of the region bounded by the polygon into the upper half plane. It often occurs that the region of interest is the exterior of the polygon. In that case the polygon must be described in the clockwise direction so that the interior is to the right as one goes around the perimeter. The appropriate angles are now the negative of the angles $\phi_i$ employed in (4.7.4). There is, however, a complication at the point $p$ in the $w$ plane corresponding to the point in the $z$ plane at infinity. It is necessary that the transformation $z$ have a pole at the point $w = p$ (note that $p$ cannot be on the real axis of $w$). Therefore the pole at $p$ might imply an additional multiplicative factor in the integrands of either (4.7.4) or (4.7.5) of the form $1/(w - p)$. This, however, does not suffice because its phase changes as $w$ traverses the real axis. To obtain the proper phase relation between the sides of the polygon and the real $w$ axis, without distortion, the additional multiplicative factors must not add in any variable phase, or in other words, the multiplicative function must be real when $w$ is real. Hence the multiplicative factor must be $1/[(w - p)(w - \bar{p})]$ so that (4.7.5) must be changed to

$$z = z_0 + A \int \frac{dw}{(w - p)(w - \bar{p})} \left\{ (w - b_0)^{-\phi_0/\pi}(w - b_1)^{-\phi_1/\pi} \cdots \right\} \quad (4.7.6)$$

in order to transform the exterior of the polygon onto half the $w$ plane. The angles $\phi_i$ are the negatives of the angles shown in Fig. 4.33.

Let us now consider some examples, principally to see how the theory behaves in practice. We shall restrict our cases to those for which the integrations may be evaluated in terms of the elementary transcendental functions. This will be the case when the figures are degenerate triangles and rectangles. If it is a triangle, Eq. (4.7.5) becomes

$$z = z_0 + A \int (w - b_1)^{-\phi_1/\pi}(w - b_2)^{-\phi_2/\pi} dw$$

For case 1, consider the region illustrated in Fig. 4.34. The angles $\phi_i$ are given on the figure. The angle at infinity ($a_0$ at infinity) may be evaluated by considering the triangle of Fig. 4.34 to be the limit of a triangle with the vertex at $a_0$ going to infinity.

The transformation for this case is then

$$z = z_0 + A \int (w - b_1)^{-1}(w - b_2)^{-1} dw$$
The symmetric position of \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) suggests placing \( b_1 = -1, b_2 = +1 \) so that
\[
z = z_0 + A \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{(w^2 - 1)}} = z_0 + A \cosh^{-1} w
\]
To evaluate \( z_0 \) and \( A \), let \( z = 0 \), then \( w = 1 \)
\[
0 = z_0 + A \cosh^{-1} (1) = z_0 \quad \text{or} \quad z_0 = 0
\]
Now let \( z = hi \), then \( w = -1 \)
\[
hi = A \cosh^{-1} (-1) = Ai \pi \quad \text{or} \quad A = h/\pi
\]
The transformation is therefore
\[
z = (h/\pi) \cosh^{-1} w \quad \text{or} \quad w = \cosh(\pi z/h) \quad (4.7.7)
\]
We may check that this transformation maps the interior of the region of Fig. 4.34 into the upper half plane by testing with some simple point; e.g., let \( z = p + \frac{1}{2} ih \). Then \( \cosh(\pi z/h) = \cosh((\pi i/2) + (\pi p/h)) = i \sinh(\pi p/h) \), so that the line parallel to the lines \( a_1 \rightarrow a_0 \) and \( a_2 \rightarrow a_0 \) and halfway between becomes the imaginary axis of the \( w \) plane. We may view the transformation as one in which the lines \( a_0 a_1 \) and \( a_0 a_2 \) are folded back on to the real axis, the new origin chosen so that the points \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) are symmetrically placed about the origin. The line “halfway between” transforms naturally to the imaginary axis.

We might also desire to transform the exterior of the Fig. 4.34 into the upper half plane. Then \( \varphi_0 = -\pi, \varphi_1 = -\pi/2, \varphi_2 = -\pi/2 \). Since, in this case, we can set the \( p \) of (4.6.6) equal to \( i \infty \), we have
\[
z = z_0 + A \int \frac{(w^2 - 1)^{1/2} \, dw}{\sqrt{w^2 - 1 - \cosh^{-1} w}}
\]
When \( z = 0 \), \( w = 1 \), so that \( 0 = z_0 \). When \( z = hi \), \( w = -1 \), so that \( hi = \frac{1}{2} A [-\pi i] \) or \( A = -(2h/\pi) \). Therefore
\[
z = -(h/\pi) [\cosh^{-1} w - w \sqrt{w^2 - 1}] \quad (4.7.8)
\]
To define (4.7.8) more precisely it is necessary to state the branches upon which we must evaluate the two functions \( \cosh^{-1} w, \sqrt{w^2 - 1} \). These are most easily chosen by requiring that the conditions set up by the transformation desired be satisfied. For example, if \( w = ip \), then we choose the branches such that \( \cosh^{-1} w = (\pi/2)i + \sinh^{-1} p \), and \( \sqrt{w^2 - 1} = -i \sqrt{p^2 + 1} \) so that for
\[
w = ip; \quad z = \frac{h}{\pi} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} i + \sinh^{-1} p - p \sqrt{1 + p^2} \right]
\]
The function \( \sinh^{-1} p \) is always less than \( p \sqrt{1 + p^2} \) so that the real part of \( z \) is negative. It is then clear that the points on the imaginary
axis in the upper half \( w \) plane corresponds to the half line parallel to the real axis of the \( z \) plane extending from \( \frac{1}{2}i \) to \( \frac{1}{2}i - \infty \). Thus it is safe to conclude that the exterior of Fig. 4.31 has been transformed into the upper half plane of \( w \).

Some Examples. For illustrative purposes we now tabulate a number of transformations together with enough detail so that the reader may follow their derivation. The geometry of case 2 (Fig. 4.35) provides a simple example of a "fringing" field. If \( a_0 \) is at one potential, say 0,

\[
\begin{align*}
V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \pi, \\
V_1 = \pi, \\
V_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \pi.
\end{align*}
\]

and the line \( a_0 a_1 \) is at another, say \( V_0 \), then the field at point \( P \), for \( x \gg d \), is uniform, whereas near \( a_0 \) the lines of force are circular. Another feature is the effect of the sharp corner at \( a_0 \). It is expected that the field intensity should be infinite here; the order of infinity is of interest.

For this case, with \( b_1 = 0, b_2 = 1 \)

\[
z = z_0 + A \int \frac{(w - 1)^i}{w} dw;
\]

\[
z = z_0 + 2A \left\{ \sqrt{w - 1} - \frac{1}{2i} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + i \sqrt{w - 1}}{1 - i \sqrt{w - 1}} \right] \right\}
\]

To specify this transformation, it is necessary to give the branch lines for \( z \) as well as the \( w \) plane. The transformation naturally has its singularities at \( w = 0 \) and \( w = 1 \), i.e., at \( b_1 \) as well as at \( \infty \). The branch line of most convenience is the \( \text{Re} w = 0 \) axis, for \( \text{Re} w < 1 \). It follows, from the requirement that \( z = 0 \) when \( w = 1 \), that \( z_0 = 0 \). We approach the point \( w = 0 \), \( (z = a_1) \) along two different routes. One, corresponding to \( a_0 \to a_2 \to a_1 \), is along the positive real \( w \) axis \( \text{Re} w > 0 \). The phase of the logarithm is zero for \( a_2 \to a_1 \) so that \( z = 2A(-i \alpha) \). Constant \( A \) must be a pure imaginary. The second route \( a_0 \to a_1 \) corresponds to approaching \( w = 0 \) along \( \text{Re} w < 0 \), so that the phase of the logarithm is \( \pi \). Then \( z = 2A(-i \infty - (1/2n)(\pi i)) = -A \pi + \infty = -di + \infty \); thus \( A = di/\pi \). Therefore

\[
z = \frac{2di}{\pi} \left\{ \sqrt{w - 1} - \left( \frac{1}{2i} \right) \ln \left[ \frac{1 + i \sqrt{w - 1}}{1 - i \sqrt{w - 1}} \right] \right\}
\]
To verify our picture of the transformation, consider \( w = iw \). Then when \( v \to \infty \), \( z \to (i - 1) \infty \). When \( v \to 0 \), \( z \to -\frac{1}{2} i \infty + \infty \). Thus the imaginary axis on the \( w \) plane corresponds to the dotted line on the \( z \) plane.

The original physical problem transforms into one in which half of the real axis \( \text{Re } w > 0 \) is at potential 0 while \( \text{Re } w < 0 \) is at potential \( V_0 \). The solution for the potential \( V \) is

\[
V = \text{Im} \left[ \frac{V_0}{\pi} \ln w \right] = \left( \frac{V_0}{\pi} \right) \theta
\]

where \( \theta \) is the polar angle on the \( w \) plane.

Let \( W = U + iV \), then \( w = e^{W/v_0} \).

The quantity of most direct physical interest is the electric field \( E \).

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 4.36 Mapping a degenerate rectangle onto the \( w \) plane. "Inside" includes all the \( z \) plane except the regions between the lines \( a_0 a_1 - a_1 a_2 \) and between the lines \( a_2 a_3 - a_3 a_4 \). The angles are \( \phi_0 = 2\pi \), \( \phi_1 = -\pi \), \( \phi_2 = 0 \), \( \phi_3 = -\pi \).

This is very simply related to \( dW/dz \) for \( dW/dz = (\partial U/\partial x) + i(\partial V/\partial x) \).

By the Cauchy-Riemann conditions (4.2.1)

\[
\frac{dW}{dz} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -(iE_x + E_y)
\]

\( E_x - iE_y = i(dW/dz) \)

We may express \( E_x - iE_y \) in terms of \( w \) by means of \( dW/dz = (dW/dw) \cdot (dw/dz) \) or

\[
E_x - iE_y = (V_0/d)(w - 1)^{-1}
\]

In the neighborhood of \( w = 0 \), \( E_x - iE_y \) is a pure imaginary, as it should be, so that \( E_x = 0 \) and \( E_y \) is a constant as it should be. For \( \text{Re } w \gg 1 \), \( E_x - iE_y \) is real so that \( E_x = 0 \), as it should along \( a_0 \to a_0 \). For \( |w| \gg 1 \), \( z = (2d\pi/i) \sqrt{w} \) so that \( E_x - iE_y \to (V_0/d \sqrt{w}) \to 2i(V_0/\pi z) \). The lines of force are circular, extending from \( a_0 \) on \( C \) to \( a_0 \) on \( D \). Finally we examine the field near \( a_2 \), that is, near \( w = 1 \). The field becomes infinite as expected. The dependence of the field on \( z \) near this
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point may be found by solving for $\sqrt{w - 1}$ in terms of $z$ for $w \approx 1$:

$$z \sim \frac{(2a_i/z)}{(an - 1)}$$

so that $(an - 1)i \sim x^4$ and $E_z - iF_y \sim 1/z^3$.

In case 3 consider the electric field generated by two infinite planes separated by a distance $C$, one at potential $0$, the other at $V_c$. We shall limit ourselves to the transformation itself. The method employed to solve the physical problem is entirely similar to that of case 2. The slits form a degenerate rectangle with vertices $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_4$ as indicated in Fig. 4.36.

![Case 3](image)

**Fig. 4.37** The $w$ plane for case 3, showing the transform of the $x$ axis and the location of the points corresponding to $z = \infty$.

The two points $b_1$ and $b_4$ must be symmetrically situated with respect to $b_2$, which permits setting the values of both $b_1$ and $b_2$. Then

$$z = z_0 + A \int \frac{(w - 1)(w + 1)}{w^2} \, dw; \quad z = z_0 + A \left( \frac{w + 1}{w} \right)$$

When $w = 1$, $z = c/2$, $c/2 = z_0 + 2A$. When $w = -1$, $z = -(c/2)$ so that $-(c/2) = z_0 - 2A$. From these two equations one obtains $z_0 = 0$ and $A = c/4$ so that

$$z = \frac{c}{4} \left( \frac{w + 1}{w} \right)$$

To understand this transformation more completely the manner in which the $x$ and $y$ axis map on to the $w$ plane is illustrated in Fig. 4.37.

The $x$ axis between $-(c/2)$ and $+(c/2)$ becomes a semicircle of unit radius on the $w$ plane. The $y$ axis is telescoped into the $r > 0$ axis. One might think of the transformation as one in which the lines $a_1 \rightarrow a_0$ and $a_0 \rightarrow a_1$ are rotated from their original positions in the $z$ plane through the lower half of the $z$ plane joining finally after a $180^\circ$ rotation. The lower half of the $z$ plane is swept into the region inside the semicircle. The $x$ axis bulges here in order to make room for the lower half of the $z$ plane.

**The Method of Inversion.** The Schwarz-Christoffel method may be extended to regions bounded by circular arcs by the method of inversion. The inversion transformation arises naturally in the discussion of the
images of a charge with respect to a circular boundary. This matter has already been discussed (see Poisson's formula, page 373) where it was stated that the image $w$ of a point $z$, with respect to a circle of radius $a$ whose center is at the origin is given by

$$w = a^2/z$$

(4.7.9)

Equation (4.7.9) defines a transformation from the $z$ to the $w$ plane in which the interior points of the circle become exterior points and vice versa.

The inversion preserves the magnitude of the angle between two curves but reverses the sense of the angle. This may easily be seen, for (4.7.9) may be considered as the result of two successive transformations, the first $a^2/z$, the second a reflection with respect to the real axis. The first of these is conformal; the second maintains angle size but reverses the sense of the angle.

For the purposes of this section, we need to investigate the inversion of a circle which passes through the center of an inversion circle. We shall show that the transform of the circle is a straight line which must necessarily pass through the intersection of the circle and the inversion circle. On the $z$ plane, the points of a circle of radius $z_0$ (no generality is lost if $z_0$ is taken as real) satisfy the equation

$$|z - z_0| = z_0$$

or

$$z\bar{z} - (zz_0 + \bar{z}_0) = 0$$

(4.7.10)

To find the corresponding points on the $w$ plane, $z$ and $\bar{z}$ must be replaced by $\bar{w}$ and $w$ according to the defining equation (4.7.9). Equation (4.7.10) becomes

$$\frac{a^4}{w\bar{w}} - z_0\left(\frac{a^2}{w} + \frac{a^2}{\bar{w}}\right) = 0$$

From this it follows that

$$u = a^2/2z_0; \quad \text{where } w = u + iv$$

Therefore the transform is a straight line.

The role that inversion plays in extending the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation should now be clear. Two intersecting circular arcs such as $P$ and $Q$ in Fig. 4.38 may be transformed by an inversion with respect to circle $R$ of radius $a$ whose center is the intersection of the two
circular arcs. The point at the intersection is transformed into the point at infinity, and the arcs themselves being transformed into the solid portions of the lines $P'$ and $Q'$. The Schwarz-Christoffel transformation may now be applied to these two intersecting straight lines.

A Schwarz-Christoffel transformation may also be applied to a more general polygon composed of circular arcs. However, this would carry us too far afield. We shall therefore restrict ourselves to the cases which involve the intersection of at most two circular arcs and refer the more inquisitive reader to other treatises which deal with the more general case. The transformed problem for Fig. 4.39 has already been discussed as case 3 above.

4.8 Fourier Transforms

We shall have many occasions in the future to employ the Fourier as well as the related Laplace and Mellin transforms. In this section, we shall study those properties of these transforms which will prove useful in our later work.

The Fourier transform $F(k)$ of a function $f(x)$ is defined by

$$F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} f(x) \, dx \quad (4.8.1)$$

As indicated, we shall employ the capital letter to denote a transform. We shall employ this same notation to indicate any type of transform, for it is generally not necessary to distinguish. However, if it should be necessary, we shall employ a subscript $f, l, m$, or $m, l$ to denote the Fourier, Laplace, and Mellin transforms, respectively. For example, $F_f$ is the Fourier transform of $f$. We shall also occasionally use script letters $F, L, M$, so that $F(f)$ will be the Fourier transform of $f$.

Perhaps the most important theorem in our discussion is the Fourier integral theorem:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \, e^{ikx} f(\xi) \quad (4.8.2)$$

Introducing $F(k)$, Eq. (4.8.2) may be written as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, e^{-ikx} F(k)$$

In this form Eq. (4.8.2) is often referred to as Fourier's inversion formula.
Relation to Fourier Series. Before considering in some detail the conditions under which the Fourier integral theorem holds, it is instructive to show the connection between the theorem and Fourier series. Consider the Fourier sine series for a function \( h(x) \) in the region \( 0 \leq x \leq l \).

\[
h(x) = \sum_{n} A_n \sin \left( \frac{n \pi x}{l} \right)
\]

Expansions of this kind have been discussed in Chap. 2 where it occurs as an essential part of the discussion on vibrating things; they will be discussed again in Chap. 6. The coefficients \( A_n \) follow from

\[
\int_{0}^{l} \sin \left( \frac{n \pi x}{l} \right) \sin \left( \frac{m \pi x}{l} \right) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{nm}
\]

so that

\[
A_n = \frac{2}{l} \int_{0}^{l} h(x) \sin \left( \frac{n \pi x}{l} \right) \, dx
\]

Introducing this value of \( A_n \) in the expansion for \( f(x) \) we obtain

\[
h(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{0}^{l} h(\xi) \sin \left( \frac{n \pi \xi}{l} \right) \, d\xi \right] \sin \left( \frac{n \pi x}{l} \right)
\]

We shall now consider the behavior of this series as \( l \to \infty \). For this purpose introduce the variable \( k \), which at discrete values \( k_n \) takes on the value \( n \pi \xi / l \). Moreover \( \Delta k = k_{n+1} - k_n = \pi / l \). Then

\[
h(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Delta k) \left[ \int_{0}^{l} h(\xi) \sin (k_n \xi) \, d\xi \right] \sin (k_n x)
\]

It should be apparent that in the limit \( l \to \infty \), that is, \( \Delta k \to 0 \), the sum may be replaced by the integral

\[
h(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \int_{0}^{\infty} d\xi \ h(\xi) \sin (k \xi) \sin (kx) \tag{4.8.3}
\]

This formula holds for odd functions of \( x \) only. For even functions it is more appropriate to employ the Fourier cosine series which leads to

\[
g(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \int_{0}^{\infty} d\xi \ g(\xi) \cos (k \xi) \cos (kx) \tag{4.8.4}
\]

It is clear that in both (4.8.3) and (4.8.4) the integrations on \( \xi \) and \( k \) may be extended to \(-\infty \) so that Eq. (4.8.3) becomes

\[
h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\xi) \sin (k \xi) \sin (kx) \, d\xi
\]
To obtain an expression valid for a function which is neither odd nor even, note that a function may be divided into its even and odd parts, namely,

\[ f(x) = \tfrac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)] + \tfrac{1}{2}[f(x) - f(-x)] \]

where the first bracket is even and the second is odd. From the related properties

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \cos(kx) \, dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \sin(kx) \, dx = 0 \]

we may write

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(x) &= (1/4\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \ [f(\xi) - f(-\xi)] \sin(k\xi) \sin(kx) \\
    &\quad + (1/4\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \ [f(\xi) + f(-\xi)] \cos(k\xi) \cos(kx) \\
    &= (1/4\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \ [f(\xi) \cos(k(\xi - x)) + f(-\xi) \cos(k(\xi + x))] \\
    f(x) &= (1/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \ f(\xi) \cos[k(\xi - x)] \quad (4.8.5)
\end{align*}
\]

To obtain Eq. (4.8.2) we note that, since \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(k(\xi - x)) \) is an odd function of \( k \),

\[ 0 = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \ f(\xi) \sin[k(\xi - x)] \quad (4.8.6) \]

if this integral converges. Under this assumption, adding (4.8.5) and (4.8.6) yields the Fourier integral theorem, Eq. (4.8.2).

From this discussion we observe that the Fourier integral theorem is the generalization of Fourier series for the interval \( -\infty < x < \infty \). In the theory of vibrating strings, the Fourier integral is appropriate for motion on strings stretching from \( -\infty \) to \( +\infty \). On the other hand, Eq. (4.8.3) is more appropriate for strings extending from 0 to \( +\infty \), the end at \( x = 0 \) being fixed. Indeed Eqs. (4.8.3) and (4.8.4) are often dignified by the names Fourier's sine and cosine integral formulas. Associated with these integrals are the corresponding Fourier sine and cosine transforms, denoted by \( F_s \) and \( F_c \), respectively, and defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
    F_s(k) &= \sqrt{2/\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin(kx) \ f(x) \, dx \\
    F_c(k) &= \sqrt{2/\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos(kx) \ f(x) \, dx
\end{align*}
\]

The integral formulas become inversion formulas:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(x) &= \sqrt{2/\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin(kx) \ F_s(k) \, dk \\
    f(x) &= \sqrt{2/\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos(kx) \ F_c(k) \, dk \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Some Theorems on Integration.** The derivation given above of the Fourier integral theorem is strictly heuristic and does not contain any
criteria for the range of its validity. We must now turn to a more rigorous procedure. To obtain a theorem of the widest applicability it is necessary to employ the Lebesgue theory of integration. Space does not permit our entering into the subtleties of Lebesgue measure and the corresponding definition of an integral. However, it is possible to point out the practical effect of replacing the more usual Riemann definition by the Lebesgue. The difference enters in integrals over functions with discontinuities. The Riemann integral is defined if and only if the number of ordinary discontinuities\(^1\) is denumerable, so that, if the discontinuities are nondenumerable, the Riemann integral will not exist. On the other hand, the Lebesgue integral will exist in either event, being identical with the Riemann integral when the latter can be defined. In more picturesque language, it is possible to define a Lebesgue integral for a function which in some region oscillates with infinite rapidity. An example which illustrates this point is given by the following integrand:

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(x) &= 1; \quad \text{at all rational points in the interval } (0,1) \\
  f(x) &= 0; \quad \text{at all irrational points in the interval } (0,1)
\end{align*}
\]

The Lebesgue integral is defined for this integrand, but the Riemann integral is not.

When Lebesgue integrals exist, they are classified according to their Lebesgue class. A function is in the Lebesgue class \(L^p\) in the interval \((a, b)\) if \(|f(x)|^p\) \((p > 0)\) is integrable over \((a, b)\).

As a preliminary to proving the Fourier integral theorem, we shall first prove Parseval's formula. If \(f(x)\) belongs to Lebesgue class \(L^2\) in the interval \((-\infty, \infty)\), then, according to Parseval's formula,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(k)|^2 \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx \tag{4.8.11}
\]

It will be seen later that the Fourier integral theorem is an almost immediate consequence of the Parseval formula. To prove this formula, we consider the integral

\[
I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi ikx} |F(k)|^2 \, dk
\]

Substitute the integral for \(F(k)\) in \(I:\)

\[
I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi ikx} \, dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{2\pi i\xi x} \, dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-2\pi ik\xi} \, d\xi
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) \, d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i(kx+\xi)} \, dk
\]

\(^1\) A function \(f(x)\) is said to have an ordinary discontinuity at \(x = t\) if \(\lim_{x \to t^-} f(x) \neq \lim_{x \to t^+} f(x)\) and both limits exist. In the first limit \(x\) approaches \(t\) from the left, while in the second it is from the right.
The integration on $k$ may now be performed:

$$I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-ik\xi} \, d\xi$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8.12)

We may now show that $F(x)$ belongs to $L^2$ in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$. We write

$$I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ik\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-ik\xi} \, dx \, d\xi$$

and by Schwarz's inequality\(^1\)

$$I \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 e^{-ik\xi} \, dx \, d\xi \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 e^{-ik\xi} \, dx \, d\xi \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 e^{-ik\xi} \, dx \, d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8.13)

Hence in the limit $\delta \to 0$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(k)|^2 \, dk \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx$$

From this inequality we may deduce that $F(k)$ belongs to $L^2$ in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$ if $f(x)$ does.

We may now proceed to prove that the equality holds. Return to Eq. (4.8.12), inverting the order of integration:

$$I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik\eta} \, dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x+y) f(x) \, dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8.14)

We see intuitively at any rate that the function $(e^{-ik\eta}/\sqrt{2\pi})$ becomes more and more peaked at $y = 0$ as $\delta \to 0$ but that its integral over $y$ remains equal to unity for all values of $\delta$. Then, if the integral

$$h(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x+y) f(x) \, dx$$

has a sufficiently smooth behavior near $y = 0$, we might expect that $I$ approaches $h(0)$ as $\delta \to 0$. This follows from the integrability of the absolute square of the function; i.e., as a function of $y$ it belongs to $L^2$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$. It then becomes possible to justify placing $y = 0$ in $h(y)$ as it appears in (4.8.14). Hence

$$I \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik\eta} \, dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx$$

or

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(k)|^2 \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8.15)

\(^1\) A form of this inequality was derived in Chap. 1. In the present application it reads: Let $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ belong to $L^2$; then

$$||u(x,t) v(x,t) \, dt||^2 \leq ||u(x,t)||^2 \, dx \, dt ||v(x,t)||^2 \, dx \, dt$$
which is the Parseval formula. It should be emphasized that this proof holds for complex as well as for real functions f.

The Fourier Integral Theorem. We now go on to prove the Fourier integral theorem as it is stated by Plancherel:

Let \( f(x) \) belong to \( L^2 \) in the interval \( (-\infty, \infty) \) and let

\[
F(k,a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-a}^{a} f(x) e^{iak} \, dx
\]

Then as \( a \to \infty \), \( F(k,a) \) converges in the mean in the interval \( (-\infty, \infty) \) to the function \( F(k) \), and if

\[
f(x,a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-a}^{a} F(k) e^{-iak} \, dk
\]

then \( f(x,a) \) converges in the mean to \( f(x) \).

Convergence in the mean for \( F(k,a) \) in an interval \( (-\infty, \infty) \) is defined as follows:

\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(k,a) - F(k)|^2 \, dk \right\} \to 0 \quad (4.8.16)
\]

In other words, the mean-square error of \( F(k,a) \) in representing \( F(k) \) goes to zero as \( a \) goes to infinity.

To prove this theorem, we proceed as follows: In Eq. (4.8.15) replace \( F \) by \( F + G \) and \( f \) by \( f + g \). Then

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| F + G \right|^2 \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| F \right|^2 \, dk + 2 \Re \{ F \overline{G} \} \, dk
\]

Employing Parseval's formula,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Re \{ F \overline{G} \} \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Re \{ f \overline{g} \} \, dx
\]

Replacing \( F \) in (4.8.15) by \( F + iG \), we obtain

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Im \{ F \overline{G} \} \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Im \{ f \overline{g} \} \, dx
\]

so that, following from Parseval's formula, we have

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F \overline{G} \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f \overline{g} \, dx \quad (4.8.17)
\]

from which innocent little formula we can prove nearly all the theorems we desire concerning Fourier transforms.

Let \( g(x) = 1 \) in the interval \( (0,\frac{\pi}{2}) \) and zero elsewhere. Its transform is

\[
G(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{ikx} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \frac{e^{ikt} - 1}{ik} \right]
\]

Hence, from the previous paragraph
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k) \left[ \frac{1 - e^{-iax}}{ik} \right] dk = \int_{0}^{x} f dx \]

Differentiating both sides with respect to \( \xi \) we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k) e^{-i\xi k} dk = f(\xi) \]

which is the usual formula for the inverse transform.

We still must show that \( F(k) \) exists, i.e., that in the mean,

\[ \lim_{a \to \infty} \left[ F^*(k,a) - F(k) \right] \]

\( F(k,a) \) is the transform of the function which equals zero for \(|x| > a\)
and equals \( f(x) \) for \(|x| < a\), since

\[ F(k,a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{ixk} dk \]

Now consider \( H(k,a) = F(k,a) - F(k) \). The transform of this is a
function which is zero in the range \(|x| < a\) and equals \( f(x) \) in the range
\(|x| > a\). Applying Parseval's formula, we have

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H(k,a)|^2 \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(k,a) - F(k)|^2 \, dk \]
\[ - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx + \int_{a}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx \]

Taking the limit \( a \to \infty \) we see that \( |F(k,a) - F(k)|^2 \, dk \to 0 \), proving
the theorem expressed in Eq. (4.8.16).

**Properties of the Fourier Transform.** Having once established the
Fourier integral theorem, we may now turn to a consideration of the
properties of the Fourier transform in the complex plane. The theorem
we shall find of particular value is as follows:

Let \( f(z) \), \( z = x + iy \) be analytic in the strip

\[ \eta - \eta \]

where \( \eta > 0 \) and \( \eta < 0 \). If, for any strip within this strip

\[ |f(z)| \to \begin{cases} \sin \pi \tau; & \text{as } x \to \infty; \quad \tau < 0 \\
\sin \pi \tau; & \text{as } x \to -\infty; \quad \tau > 0 \end{cases} \]

Then \( F(k) \), \( k = \sigma + i\tau \) will be analytic everywhere in the strip

\[ \tau_0 < \tau < \tau \]

and in any strip within this strip

\[ |F(k)| \to \begin{cases} C e^{-\pi \tau}; & \text{as } \sigma \to \infty \\
D e^{-\pi \tau}; & \text{as } \sigma \to -\infty \end{cases} \]
where $A, B, C, D$ are real constants. The theorem is proved by noticing that the analyticity of $F(k)$ is determined completely by the convergence of the defining integral:

$$F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{ikx} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-\tau x}e^{i\tau x} \, dx$$

Uniform convergence at the upper limit of integration requires that $e^{\tau x}$ decays exponentially with $x$ so that $\tau_- < \tau$, and vice versa at the lower limit of integration. Turning to the behavior of $F(k)$ in the strip $\tau_- < \tau < \tau_+$, consider the convergence of the integral for $F(k)$. Since $f(x)$ is analytic, we may take the path of integration along a line parallel to the $x$ axis as long as $y_- < y < y_+$. Then

$$F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{ikx} \, dz = \frac{e^{-\tau y}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{(\sigma x - \tau y)}e^{-\tau x} \, dz$$

Recalling the region of analyticity for $f(x)$ we see that

$$|F(k)| \to Ee^{-\tau y}; \quad \text{as} \quad |\sigma| \to \infty$$

For $y$ near $y_+$ convergence occurs only for $\sigma > 0$, while for $y$ near $y_-$, $\sigma < 0$, proving the theorem.

In the event that conditions at infinity, i.e., belonging to $L^2$ in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, are not satisfied by $f(x)$ (say at $x = +\infty$), it is possible that the function

$$g(x) = f(x)e^{-\tau x}$$

will belong to $L^2$ in the interval. Then the inversion formula may be applied to $g(x)$:

$$g(x) = f(x)e^{-\tau x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk$$

or

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\xi - i\tau_0)e^{-i\xi x} \, d\xi$$

The function $G(\xi - i\tau_0)$ is simply related to $F(k)$, for

$$G(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-\tau x}e^{ikx} \, dx$$

$$G(\xi - i\tau_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{i\xi x} \, dx = F(\xi)$$

We thus obtain the simple inversion formula

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk$$

(4.8.18)

It is often the case, however, that one convergence factor $e^{-\tau x}$ will
not suffice for the entire range in $x$. Then we consider

$$f_+(x) = \begin{cases} f(x)e^{-\tau x}; & x > 0 \\ \tau_0 > 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}; \quad f_-(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x < 0 \\ \\ \tau_1 < 0 \end{cases};$$

Here $\tau_0$ is the minimum value possible, while $\tau_1$ is the maximum, to make $f_+$ and $f_-$ converge. The corresponding transforms are

$$F_+(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)e^{ikx} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-\tau_0 x} e^{ikx} \, dx; \quad \tau > \tau_0$$

$$F_-(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} f(x)e^{ikx} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} f(x)e^{-\tau_1 x} e^{ikx} \, dx; \quad \tau < \tau_1$$

where $k = \sigma + i\tau$.

Applying the Fourier integral formula:

$$f_+(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_+(\sigma + i\tau)e^{-ikx} \, d\sigma$$

Hence

$$f(x) \begin{cases} (x > 0) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_+(\sigma + i\tau_0)e^{-i(\sigma + i\tau_0)x} \, d\sigma \\
0 & (x < 0) \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty + i\tau_1}^{\infty + i\tau_1} F_+(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk$$

Similarly

$$f(x) \begin{cases} (x > 0) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty + i\tau_0}^{\infty + i\tau_0} F_+(k)e^{ikx} \, dk \\
0 & (x < 0) \end{cases}$$

Adding, we obtain the inversion formula:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty + i\tau_0}^{\infty + i\tau_0} F_+(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk + \int_{-\infty + i\tau_1}^{\infty + i\tau_1} F_-(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk \quad (4.8.19)$$

From the preceding theorem, we may also discuss the analyticity of $F_+$ and $F_-$. From the definition of $F_+$, convergence of the integral occurs for $\tau > \tau_0$, so that $F_+$ is analytic in the region in the upper half plane of $k = \sigma + i\tau$, above $i\tau_0$. Similarly, the function $F_-$ is analytic in the lower half plane $\tau < \tau_1$.

For example, consider the function $f(x) = e^{\tau x}$. Then $\tau_0 = 1+$, and $\tau_1 = 1-$ where $1^+ = 1 + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is small. Then $F_+$ should be analytic in the region $\tau > 1+$ while $F_-$ should be analytic in the region $\tau < 1^-$. We now evaluate $F_+$ and $F_-$ to check:

$$F_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\sigma x} e^{ikx} \, dx = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + ik}\right); \quad \tau > 1$$

$$F_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\sigma x} e^{ikx} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{-1 + ik}\right); \quad \tau < 1^-$$
Functions of a Complex Variable

$F_+$ has a singularity at $k = i$ while $F_-$ has a singularity at $k = -i$, which limits their range of analyticity. Function $F_+$ is analytic above the singularity, for example.

**Asymptotic Values of the Transform.** This is a convenient point at which to examine the asymptotic behavior of $F_+$ and $f_+$ and similarly for $F_-$ and $f_-$. Assume that it is possible to expand $F_+(k)$ in a series in inverse powers of $k$:

$$F_+(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(-ik)^n}; \quad \tau > \tau_0$$

Introducing this expansion into the inversion formula (4.8.19)

$$f_+(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty + i\epsilon}^{\infty + i\epsilon} F_+(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk$$

we obtain

$$f_+(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n} a_n \int_{-\infty + i\epsilon}^{\infty + i\epsilon} \frac{e^{-ikx}}{(-ik)^n} \, dk$$

We may employ the transform

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty + i\epsilon}^{\infty + i\epsilon} \frac{e^{-ikx}}{ik} \, dk = \begin{cases} 1; & x > 0 \\ 0; & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

which may be obtained by application of the inversion formula, since direct integration shows that the transform of the unit function on the right side of the above equation is $(1/\sqrt{2\pi})(1/ik)$. Integrating both sides of this equation with respect to $x$ gives the general formula

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty + i\epsilon}^{\infty + i\epsilon} \frac{e^{-ikx}}{(-ik)^n} \, dk = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}; & x > 0 \\ 0; & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$f_+(x) = -\sum_{n} \frac{a_n x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \quad \text{or} \quad a_n = -f_+^{(n-1)}(0)$$

and

$$F_+(k) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n} \frac{f_+^{(n-1)}(0)}{(-ik)^n}$$

Thus the behavior of $F_+(k)$ for large values of $k$ in the upper half plane is connected with the behavior of $f_+$ near the origin.

**General Formulation.** We may consider the inverse of Eq. (4.8.19). Suppose we are given that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \int_{-\infty + i\epsilon}^{\infty + i\epsilon} G(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk + \int_{-\infty + i\epsilon}^{\infty + i\epsilon} H(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk \right\}$$

When can we identify $G$ and $H$ with $F_+$ and $F_-$? From the preceding discussion we see that one of the functions would need to be analytic
in the upper half plane, the other in the lower half plane. Moreover if these regions overlap, it would be necessary for \( F_+ = F_- \) and therefore \( G = K \). This follows from the definition of \( f_+ \) and \( f_- \), for if the regions of analyticity overlap, both \( \tau_0 \) and \( \tau_1 \) could be placed equal to zero. Therefore \( F_+ \) would be equal to \( F_- \) in a common region in which they are both analytic. Hence, by the theory of analytic continuation, \( F_+ \) provides the continuation of \( F_- \) into the upper half plane and vice versa. We may therefore conclude that, if \( G \) is not equal to \( H \), \( G \) and \( H \) may be identified with \( F_+ \) and \( F_- \) only if there is no region in which they are both analytic.

In the event that \( G \) and \( H \) are analytic in nonoverlapping strips rather than in nonoverlapping semi-infinite half planes, we also cannot identify these functions as \( F_+ \) and \( F_- \). However, an important statement may be made if \( f = 0 \), that is, if

\[
\int_{-\alpha + i\beta}^{\alpha + i\alpha} G(k)e^{-ikt} \, dk + \int_{-\alpha + i\alpha}^{\alpha + i\alpha} H(k)e^{-ikt} \, dk = 0 \quad (4.8.20)
\]

To recapitulate, if Eq. (4.8.20) holds and if

1. \( G \) is analytic in the strip \( \tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau''_0 \), \( \tau_0 \) within this strip
2. \( H \) is analytic in the strip \( \tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau''_1 \), \( \tau_1 \) within this strip
3. \( \tau''_1 < \tau''_0 \)
4. Both \( G \) and \( H \) are integrable \( L^1 \) in the interval \( (-\infty, \infty) \)
5. Both \( G \) and \( H \) approach 0 as \(|\sigma| \to \infty\) in the region in which they are analytic (where \( k = \sigma + it \))

then we may show that

1. Both \( G \) and \( H \) are analytic in the strip \( \tau_1 < \tau < \tau''_1 \)
2. \( G + H = 0 \) in that strip

The assumptions and theorem are illustrated in Fig. 4.40. The assumed regions of analyticity are shown in the left-hand part, the theorem on the right.

To prove this theorem, we multiply Eq. (4.8.20) by \( e^{it\sigma} \) and integrate
with respect to $z$. Because of the absolute convergence of the integral in (4.8.20) we may invert the order of integration to obtain

$$\int_{-\infty + i r_0}^{\infty + i r_0} \frac{G(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk + \int_{-\infty + i r_1}^{\infty + i r_1} \frac{H(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk = 0 \quad (4.8.21)$$

We choose $\text{Im} \, \xi$ to be between $\tau_0$ and $\tau''_0$. From Cauchy's integral formula we have, from the contour indicated in Fig. 4.41, page 466,

$$\int_{-\infty + i r''_0}^{\infty + i r''_0} \frac{G(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk - \int_{-\infty + i r''_1}^{\infty + i r''_1} \frac{G(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk = 2\pi i G(\xi)$$

Hence

$$\int_{-\infty + i r''_0}^{\infty + i r''_0} \frac{G(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk + \int_{-\infty + i r''_1}^{\infty + i r''_1} \frac{H(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk = -2\pi i G(\xi) \quad (4.8.22)$$

where $r''_0 > r_1 > r'_1$. In the left-hand side of the equation $\text{Im} \, \xi$ may take on all values between $r''_0$ and $r_1$ so that Eq. (4.8.22) provides the analytic continuation for $G(k)$ outside of its original region of analyticity.

By the same procedure

$$\int_{-\infty + i r'}^{\infty + i r'} \frac{G(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk + \int_{-\infty + i r''}^{\infty + i r''} \frac{H(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk = 2\pi i H(\xi) \quad (4.8.23)$$

where $r'_1 < \text{Im} \, \xi < \tau_0$.

We see that representations (4.8.23) and (4.8.22) are both valid for $r_1 < \text{Im} \, \xi < \tau_0$. Pick $\xi$ to be in this region, and subtract (4.8.22) from (4.8.23):

$$2\pi i [H(\xi) + G(\xi)] = \int_{-\infty + i r_0}^{\infty + i r_0} \frac{G(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk - \int_{-\infty + i r''_0}^{\infty + i r''_0} \frac{G(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty + i r''_1}^{\infty + i r''_1} \frac{H(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk - \int_{-\infty + i r_1}^{\infty + i r_1} \frac{H(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk$$

$$= \oint \frac{G(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk + \oint \frac{H(k)}{k - \xi} \, dk$$

Since $\xi$ is not within either contour, the right-hand side of the above equation is zero, thus proving the theorem.

This is as far as we shall need to take the general theory. We have considered the Fourier integral theorem; we have discussed the analytic properties of Fourier transforms and obtained transforms and inversion formulas which apply when the functions do not satisfy the requirements of the Fourier integral theorem at both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. We now consider some applications.

**Faltung.** The *faltung* of two functions $f$ and $h$ is defined by the integral

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)h(x-y) \, dy \quad (4.8.24)$$

It is called faltung (German for *folding*) because the argument of $h$ is "folded" $(x-y)$ with respect to $y$. We shall show, when both $f$ and $h$
are $L^2$ integrable in $(-\infty, \infty)$, that the Fourier transform of this integral is $F(k)H(k)$, that is, just the product of the two transforms. This relation is exceedingly useful in the solution of integral equations as discussed in Sec. 8.4.

The theorem follows directly from Parseval’s formula as given in Eq. (4.8.17). For if $\tilde{g}(y) = h(x - y)$, then

$$G = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \tilde{h}(x - y) \, dy \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} h(x - y) \, dy$$

Now let $x - y = \xi$ so that

$$\tilde{G} = \frac{e^{-ikx}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\xi k} h(\xi) \, d\xi = e^{-ikx} H(k)$$

Hence, by Eq. (4.8.17),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)h(x - y) \, dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k)H(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk \quad (4.8.25)$$

which is just the theorem to be proved. In other words, the Fourier transform of $FH$ is just the integral of Eq. (4.8.24). Because of the reciprocal relationship existing between functions and their Fourier transforms, we can give another form to Eq. (4.8.25):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)h(x) e^{ikx} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(l)H(k - l) \, dl$$

so that the faltung of the transforms $FH$ is the transform of the product $fh$.

This theorem may be generalized by regarding the integral on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.8.25) as a function of $x$. By multiplying by $p(x - x)$ and integrating over $x$ one obtains a function of $z$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x - x)h(x - y)f(y) \, dy \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k)H(k)L(k)F(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk$$

To illustrate the value of Eq. (4.8.25), consider the simple integral equation

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)h(x - y) \, dy$$

where $g$ and $h$ are known and it is desired to find $f$. Take the Fourier transform of both sides of the equation. We have

$$G(k) = \sqrt{2\pi} F(k)H(k)$$

Hence

$$F(k) = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{G(k)}{H(k)} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{G(k)}{H(k)} \right] e^{-ikx} \, dk$$

This is, of course, only a particular solution of the integral equation and, of course, is only valid when $G/H$ is integrable $L^2$ in the region $(-\infty, \infty)$. A fuller discussion of this type of problem will be considered in Sec. 8.4.
Poisson Sum Formula. The Fourier integral theorems also help us to evaluate sums. For example, the very general sort of series \( S = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(an) \) can be evaluated by the following process: Let \( f \) be \( L^2 \) in the region \((-\infty, \infty)\). If we define
\[
f_+(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x < 0 \\ f; & x > 0 \end{cases} \quad f_-(x) = \begin{cases} f; & x < 0 \\ 0; & x > 0 \end{cases}
\]
Then
\[
f_+(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\alpha + i\tau}^{\alpha + i\tau} F_+(k) e^{-ikx} \, dk \quad (4.8.26)
\]
Because of the integrability of \( f \), constant \( \tau_0 \) can be less than zero. The \( \tau_0 \)
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**Fig. 4.4** Contours for analytic continuation of functions \( G \) and \( H \).
transform \( F_+(k) \) is analytic for \( \tau > \tau_0 \), \( \tau_0 > \tau'_0 \), as shown in Fig. 4.41. We also have
\[
f_-(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\alpha + i\tau}^{\alpha + i\tau} F_-(k) e^{-ikx} \, dk \quad (4.8.27)
\]
where \( \tau_1 \) can be greater than zero and \( F_-(k) \) is analytic for \( \tau < \tau'_1 \), \( \tau_1 < \tau'_1 \).

With these definitions in mind, it becomes convenient to break \( S \) up into two parts:
\[
S_+ = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_+(an); \quad S = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} f_-(an)
\]
Consider \( S_+ \) first. Introduce Eq. (4.8.26) into \( S_+ \):
\[
S_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\alpha + i\tau_0}^{\alpha + i\tau_0} F_+(k) e^{-ikan} \, dk
\]
Because of the absolute convergence of \( F \) we can exchange sum and integral:
\[
S_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\alpha + i\tau_0}^{\alpha + i\tau_0} F_+(k) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-ikan} \, dk = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\alpha + i\tau_0}^{\alpha + i\tau_0} \left[ \frac{F_+(k)}{1 - e^{-i\alpha k}} \right] dk
\]
Since $F_+$ is analytic in the upper half plane, we can add a semicircle in the upper half plane to the line of integration $-\infty + i\tau_0$ to $\infty + i\tau_0$. Since $\tau_0$ may be less than zero, we can evaluate, by Cauchy's integral formula, the poles occurring at the zeros of the denominator, i.e., at $k = 2m\pi/\alpha$ where $m$ is any positive or negative integer. Hence

$$S_+ = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\alpha} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} F_+ \left( \frac{2m\pi}{\alpha} \right)$$

Similarly,

$$S_- = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\alpha} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} F_- \left( \frac{2m\pi}{\alpha} \right)$$

Hence

$$S_+ + S_- = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\alpha} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (F_+ + F_-) = S$$

or

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n\alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\alpha} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} F \left( \frac{2m\pi}{\alpha} \right) \quad (4.8.28)$$

where $F$ is the Fourier transform of $f$. This is the Poisson sum formula.

As a simple example let $f(n) = 1/(1 + n^2)$. The Fourier transform of $f$ can be evaluated by the calculus of residues:

$$F(k) = \sqrt{\pi/2} e^{-k^2}$$

Applying Eq. (4.8.28), we have

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^2 n^2} \right) = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-2m\pi/\alpha} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} e^{2m\pi/\alpha} \right\}
\quad = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi/\alpha}} + \frac{e^{-2\pi/\alpha}}{1 - e^{-2\pi/\alpha}} \right\}
$$

or

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^2 n^2} \right) = \left( \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right) \coth \left( \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right) \quad (4.8.29)$$

We shall employ Poisson's formula often in later chapters [for one application see Eq. (7.2.30)].

The Laplace Transform. The Laplace transform of $f(x)$ is defined by

$$F_1(p) = \int_0^\infty f(x)e^{-px} \, dx \quad (4.8.30)$$

The discussion of the properties of the Laplace transform may be referred back to that for the Fourier transform, for as we shall see, the Laplace transform is a special case of the latter. Consider the Fourier transform.
of
\[ f_+(x) = \begin{cases} f(x); & x > 0 \\ 0; & x < 0 \end{cases} \]

This Fourier transform is
\[ F_+(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)e^{ikx} \, dx \]

Comparing with the definition of \( F_I(p) \) [Eq. (4.8.30)], we find that
\[ F_I(p) = \sqrt{2\pi} \, F_+(ip) \tag{4.8.31} \]

Armed with this equation the known theorems on \( F_+ \) can be employed to obtain the corresponding theorems for \( F_I(p) \). For example, from the inversion formula (4.8.19), we have
\[ f_+(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_+(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk \]

Let \( k = ip \). Then we obtain, for the inverse Laplace transform,
\[ f_+(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\alpha + \rho}^{i\alpha + \rho} F_I(p)e^{px} \, dp; \quad \text{Re} \, x > 0 \tag{4.8.32} \]

As an example consider \( f(x) = e^{ix} \). Then
\[ F_I(p) = 1/(p - iq) \]

Introducing \( F_I(p) \) into (4.8.32)
\[ f_+(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\alpha + \rho}^{i\alpha + \rho} \frac{e^{px}}{p - iq} \, dp \]

This integral may be evaluated by the calculus of residues. For \( x > 0 \), we may close the contour by a semicircle in the left half of the plane, so that \( f_+ = e^{ix} \). For \( x \) negative, the semicircle must be placed in the right half plane. But in this region the integrand is analytic. Hence \( f_+ = 0 \) for \( x < 0 \) as it should be.

The faltung theorem has a central position in the application of Laplace transforms. Consider
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_+(y)h_+(x - y) \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)h(x - y) \, dy \]

since \( f_+(y) = 0 \) for \( y < 0 \) and \( h_+(x - y) = 0 \) if \( x < y \). Substituting in Eq. (4.8.25), the faltung theorem for Laplace transforms is obtained:
\[ \int_{0}^{x} f(y)h(x - y) \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_+(k)H_+(k)e^{-ikx} \, dk \]
\[ \int_{0}^{x} f(x)h(x - y) \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\alpha + \rho}^{i\alpha + \rho} F_I(p)H_I(p)e^{px} \, dp \tag{4.8.33} \]
In words, the Laplace transform of \( \int_0^x f(x)h(x - y) \, dy \) is the product of the Laplace transforms of \( f \) and \( h \).

As an example of the usefulness of the faltung theorem, we apply it to the solution of the Volterra integral equation which arises in the discussion of initial-value problems.

\[
f(t) = \int_0^t k(t - \tau)g(\tau) \, d\tau
\]

Here \( g \) is unknown while \( f \) is known. The solution of this will be discussed in Chap. 8. Here we shall content ourselves with indicating, without proof, the manner in which a solution may be obtained. Take the Laplace transform of both sides of the above equation, obtaining

\[
F(p) = K(p)G(p) \quad \text{or} \quad G(p) = \frac{F(p)}{K(p)}
\]

and

\[
g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma - i\infty}^{\sigma + i\infty} \frac{F(p)}{K(p)} e^{pt} \, dp
\]

Further discussion and application of the Laplace transform will be found in Chaps. 5, 8, 11, and 12.

**The Mellin Transform.** Another transform of considerable value, also closely related to the Fourier transform, is given by

\[
F_m(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1} \, dx
\]  

(4.8.34)

A simple example is given by the Mellin transform of \( e^{-x} \) which is just \( \Gamma(s) \). To obtain the relation of (4.8.34) to the Fourier transform, let

\[
x = e^z
\]

Then \( F_m(s) = \int_0^\infty f(e^z)e^{sz} \, dz = \int_0^\infty g(z)e^{sz} \, dz; \quad f(e^z) = g(z) \)

Comparing this with

\[
G(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty g(z)e^{iz} \, dz
\]

we see that

\[
F_m(s) = \sqrt{2\pi} G(-is)
\]  

(4.8.35)

where \( G(k) \) is the Fourier transform of \( g(z) \).

We can now rewrite the theorems given for the Fourier transform theory so as to apply to Mellin transforms. First let us consider the integrability conditions. The condition that the Lebesgue integral

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(z)|^2 \, dz
\]

exists is equivalent to requiring the existence of

\[
\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^2 \left( \frac{dx}{x} \right)
\]  

(4.8.36)
Second let us consider the inversion formula; for \( g(x) \) it reads

\[
g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} G(k) \, dk = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right) \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{-sx} F_m(s) \, ds
\]

Hence

\[
f(x) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right) \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{F_m(s)}{x^s} \, ds \quad (4.8.37)
\]

In the event that condition (4.8.36) is not satisfied, Eq. (4.8.37) will be integrable only for certain values of \( \text{Re } s \), say \( \text{Re } s > \sigma_0 \). In that event, integral (4.8.34) will define \( F_m(s) \) for only the region to the right of \( s = \sigma_0 \), and the remainder of its dependence must be obtained by analytic continuation. An example of this procedure was discussed in Sec. 4.5 (the \( \Gamma \) function). For these circumstances inversion formula (4.8.37) is no longer correct. However, if we consider the function \( x^{\sigma'}f(x) \) \( (\sigma' > \sigma_0) \), then the above discussion again becomes valid. The transform of \( x^{\sigma'}f(x) \) is just \( F_m(s + \sigma_0) \). Applying Eq. (4.8.37) we find that

\[
x^{\sigma'}f(x) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right) \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{F_m(s + \sigma_0)}{x^s} \, ds
\]

Let \( s + \sigma_0 = \xi \). Then

\[
f(x) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right) \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{F_m(\xi)}{x^\xi} \, d\xi \quad (4.8.38)
\]

If it is impossible to obtain one value of \( \text{Re } (s) \) which will allow convergence for the entire range of \( x \) in (4.8.34), it then becomes necessary to break up the range of integration and define two functions with different convergence factors as was done for the Fourier case [see Eq. (4.8.18) \( \text{et seq.} \)]. This is a straightforward generalization and will be included as a problem.

Finally we turn our attention to the faltung theorem for the Mellin transform. Starting from Eq. (4.8.25) and making the following substitutions:

\[
e^{\sigma} = \eta; \quad f(y) = \nu(\eta)
\]

\[
e^{\sigma} = \xi; \quad h(x - y) = w(\xi, \eta) = w(\xi/\eta)
\]

we obtain

\[
\int_0^\infty \nu(\eta)w\left( \frac{\xi}{\eta} \right) \left( \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{V_m(s) W_m(s)}{s} \, ds \quad (4.8.39)
\]

Another form can also be extracted:

\[
\int_0^\infty \nu(\xi)w(\xi)\xi^{-s-1} \, d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} V_m(s) W_m(s - \rho) \, d\rho \quad (4.8.40)
\]

As an example of the utility of Eq. (4.8.40) consider the evaluation of

\[
I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma'-i\infty}^{\sigma'+i\infty} \Gamma(a + \rho) \Gamma(s - \rho) \, d\rho; \quad \text{where } -\sigma' < \rho < \sigma'
\]
Recall that, if \( F(s) = \Gamma(a + s) \), then \( f(x) = e^{-x^a} \). We can now apply Eq. (4.8.40):

\[
I = \int_{0}^{\infty} (e^{-x^a})(e^{-x})(x^{a-1}) \, dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2x} x^{a+s-1} \, dx = \frac{\Gamma(a + s)}{2^{a+s}}
\]

In \( I \) let \( s = a \), and take the integration along the imaginary axis:

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} |\Gamma(a + \iota r)|^2 \, dr = \left[ \frac{\pi \Gamma(2a)}{2^{2a}} \right]
\]

an interesting relation between values of \( \Gamma(z) \) off the real axis to those for real argument \( z = a \).

Having now discussed the behavior of functions of a complex variable \( z \), we shall next investigate their relation to differential equations.

---

**Problems for Chapter 4**

4.1 Prove that

\[
\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\sin^2 \theta \, d\theta}{a + b \cos \theta} = \frac{2\pi}{b^2} \left[ a - \sqrt{(a^2 - b^2)} \right]; \quad a > b > 0
\]

4.2 Prove that

\[
\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\cos \theta}}{1 - 2p \sin \theta + p^2} \left( \frac{\cos (q \sin \theta)}{\sin (q \sin \theta)} - \frac{p \sin (q \sin \theta \pm \theta)}{p \cos (q \sin \theta \pm \theta)} \right) \, d\theta = 2\pi \frac{\cos (pq)}{\sin (pq)}
\]

4.3 Show that

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos (x) \, dx}{(x^2 + a^2)(x^2 + b^2)} = \frac{\pi}{a^2 - b^2} \left[ \frac{e^{-b}}{b} - \frac{e^{-a}}{a} \right]; \quad \text{Re} \, a > \text{Re} \, b > 0
\]

What is the value of the integral when \( a = b \); \( \text{Re} \, a > 0 \)?

4.4 Show that

\[
\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i\cos \theta} \cos (n\theta) \, d\theta = \frac{2\pi}{n!}
\]

4.5 Prove that

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2a-1}}{1 + x^2} \, dx = (\frac{1}{2\pi}) \csc (\pi a); \quad 0 < a < 1
\]

4.6 Calculate

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^n \, dx}{(1 + x^2)(1 - 2x \cos \phi + x^2)}
\]
4.7 Prove that
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{x^\mu}{(1 + x^2)^{\nu}} \, dx = \frac{\pi(1 - \mu)}{4 \cos \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\pi\right)}; \quad -1 < \mu < 3
\]

4.8 Prove that
\[
\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{\ln z}{1 + z^2}\right] \, dz = \frac{\pi^3}{8}
\]

4.9 Discuss the real integral \( \int_0^b (b - x)^\mu (x - a)^{n-\nu-1} F(x) \, dx \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are real, \( b > a, \mu > -1, n \) is an integer greater than \( \mu \), and \( x^\nu F(x) \) approaches zero as \( |x| \) approaches infinity. The function \( F(x) \) is analytic over the whole complex plane except for a finite number of poles, none of which are on the real axis to the left of \( z = b \). Show that the integral equals
\[
(1/2i \sin \pi\mu) \int_0^b (b - a)^{n-\mu-1} (z - b)^{\nu} F(z) \, dz
\]
where the integral goes from \( z = b + \epsilon \) to \( -\infty \) just below the real axis, goes in a large circle counterclockwise to just above the real axis at \( -\infty \), and returns to \( z = b + \epsilon \) by a path just above the real axis. Hence show that the integral equals
\[
\pi \csc (\pi\mu) \Sigma [\text{residue of } (z - b)^\mu (z - a)^{n-\nu-1} F(z) \text{ at poles of } F]
\]
Show that for \( 0 < \mu < 1, k > 1, \)
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \left(\frac{1 - x}{1 + x}\right)^\mu \frac{dx}{(x - k)^2} = 2\pi\mu \csc (\pi\mu) (k - 1)^{\nu-1} (k + 1)^{\nu-1}
\]

4.10 Prove that
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{x^\mu}{1 + 2x \cos \vartheta + x^2} \, dx = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi\alpha} \left(\frac{\sin \vartheta}{\sin \beta}\right); \quad -1 < \alpha < 1, -\pi < \vartheta < \pi
\]

4.11 Show from Eq. (4.2.19) that
\[
\frac{\sin (k\xi) \sin (k'\xi)}{x^2 - k^2} \, dx = \left(\frac{\pi}{\xi}\right) \begin{cases} \sin (k\xi) \cos (k'\xi); & k \leq k' \\ \cos (k\xi) \sin (k'\xi); & k \geq k' \end{cases}
\]

4.12 Show from Eq. (4.2.19) that
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos (px) - \cos (qx)}{x^2} \, dx = \pi(\rho - q)
\]

4.13 Show from Eq. (4.2.19) that
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-(x^2 - ab) \sin x}{x(x^2 + a^2)(x^2 + b^2)} \, dx = \frac{\pi}{ab}
\]
4.14  Show that
\[ \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \left[ \left( \frac{z^2 + 1}{z^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^{-1} z}{z} \right) \right] \frac{dz}{z} = -\frac{1}{3} \ln 3 \]

4.15  Consider the integral
\[ I(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t - z} \, dt \]
where the contour goes along the real axis except for a semicircle described positively about the point \( z \), where \( z \) is real. Show that
\[ I = \pi i f(z) + \theta \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t - z} \, dt \]
where \( \theta \) denotes the principal value of the integral.

4.16  A function \( f(z) \) is analytic in the strip \( |\text{Im} \, z| < \alpha \). Show that
\[ f(z) = f_-(z) - f_+(z) \]
where
\[ f_- = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty+i\alpha}^{\infty+i\alpha} \frac{f(t)}{t - z} \, dt; \quad f_+ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty-i\alpha}^{\infty-i\alpha} \frac{f(t)}{t - z} \, dt \]
with \( \beta < \alpha \). Show that \( f_- \) is analytic for \( \text{Im} \, z < \beta \) while \( f_+ \) is analytic for \( \text{Im} \, z > -\beta \).

4.17  Function \( \psi(z) \) is defined by the integral
\[ \psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + z^2)e^{-iw}}{(z^2 + z^2)(z + i\alpha)} \, dz - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + z^2)e^{i\omega}}{(z^2 + z^2)(z - i\alpha)} \, dz \right\} \]
where \( \tau < 1 \) and \( \sigma > -1 \). Show that
\[ \psi(z) = \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 + z^2} \right) e^{\alpha z} + \left( \frac{\alpha^2 + 1}{\alpha^2 + z^2} \right) \cos \left[ \pi \theta - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha^2 + z^2} \right) \right] \right] \]

4.18  Show that \( z = 1 \) is a singularity of the function represented by the following series, within its radius of convergence
\[ f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(n/2+1/2)} (-z)^n \]

4.19  The generalized hypergeometric function \( F(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m; z) \) is defined by the power series
\[ 1 + \frac{a_0 a_1 \cdots a_n}{c_1 \cdots c_m} \cdot \frac{a_0(a_0 + 1)a_1(a_1 + 1) \cdots a_n(a_n + 1)}{c_1(c_1 + 1) \cdots c_m(c_m + 1)} \left( \frac{z^2}{21} \right) + \cdots \]
Show that \( F \) is singular at \( z = 1 \) and that for \( a_i < c_i \)
\[ F \rightarrow -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(c_n)}{\prod_{m=0}^{n-1} \Gamma(a_m)} (z-1)^n \quad \text{as} \quad z \rightarrow 1 \]
where \( p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - c_n) + a_0 \).

4.20 Prove that

\[
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4 + a^4} = \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2} a^2} \right) \left[ \frac{\sinh(\pi a \sqrt{2}) + \sin(\pi a \sqrt{2})}{\cosh(\pi a \sqrt{2}) - \cos(\pi a \sqrt{2})} \right]
\]

4.21 Prove that

\[
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 + a^2)(n^2 + b^2)} = \frac{\pi}{(b^2 - a^2)} \left[ \frac{\coth(\pi a)}{a} - \frac{\coth(\pi b)}{b} \right]
\]

4.22 Show that an even integral function may be expressed as

\[
f(z) = f(0) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{z^2}{\alpha_n^2} \right) \right]
\]

where \( f(\alpha_n) = 0 \) and where we consider only one of the two roots \( c_n \), \(-c_n\) in the product. Assume the restrictions on \( F(z) \) given on page 385. Show that, if \( f(0) = 1 \),

\[
f''(0) = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_n^2} \right); \quad f''(0) = 3[f''(0)]^2 - 12 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_n^2} \right)
\]

Employing product representation (4.3.9) for \( (1/z) \sin z \), show that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) = \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right); \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n^4} \right) = \left( \frac{\pi^4}{90} \right)
\]

4.23 Prove that

\[
e^{ax} - e^{bx} = (a - b)xe^{(a+b)x} \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{(a - b)^2 x^2}{4n^2 \pi^2} \right]
\]

4.24 Prove that

\[
\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^2 + a^2)(n^2 + a^2)} = \frac{\pi^2}{ab} \coth(\pi a) \coth(\pi b)
\]

4.25 Employing the generalized Euler transformation, show that

\[
F(a_0, a_1, \ldots | z) = F(a_1, \ldots | b_2, \ldots | z)
\]
ch. 4]  Problems

\[ + z \left( \frac{b_1 - a_0}{b_1} \right) \left( \frac{a_1 a_2}{b_1 b_2} \cdot \ldots \right) F(a_1 + 1, a_2 + 1, \ldots, b_2 + 1 \cdots |z) + \right. \\
\left. + \left( \frac{z^2}{2!} \right) \frac{(b_1 - a_0)(b_1 - a_0 + 1)a_1(a_1 + 1)a_2(a_2 + 1) \cdot \ldots}{b_1(b_1 + 1)b_2(b_2 + 1)b_3(b_3 + 1) \cdot \ldots} \cdot F(a_1 + 2, a_2 + 2, \ldots, b_2 + 2 \cdots |z) \right. \\

4.26 By integrating \( e^{ix} e^{-ix} \) around the sector enclosed by the real axis, the line \( z = \alpha (x = re^{i\theta}) \), the small arc \( r = \epsilon \) and the large arc \( r = R \), prove that

\[ \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} e^{ix} e^{-i\epsilon x} \cos \frac{\cos (\epsilon x \sin \theta)}{\sin (\epsilon x \sin \theta)} dx = p^{-\epsilon} \Gamma(a) \cos \frac{\cos (\epsilon x \sin \theta)}{\sin (\epsilon x \sin \theta)} \]

where \( \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \), \( a \) and \( p \) are real and greater than zero.

4.27 By integrating \( e^{ix} e^{-ix} \) about the contour starting from a point just to the right of the origin \( (z = \epsilon) \) to \(-\infty\) just below the real axis, to \(-\infty - i\infty\), to \(-i\infty + i\infty\), to \(-\infty + i\infty\), to \(-\infty\), and thence to \( z = \epsilon \) by a path just above the real axis, prove that, for \( 0 < a < 1 \) and \( b > 0 \),

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(b + iy)^{a-1}} dy = 2e^{b} \sin(\pi a) \Gamma(a) \]

and therefore that

\[ \int_{0}^{1} \cos((\tan \theta - (1 - a)\theta) \sin^{-1}(\theta)) d\theta = \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \sin(a\pi) \Gamma(a) \]

4.28 Show that

\[ J = \int \int \int \int e^{-x^{a-1}y^{a-1}z^{a-1}} \ dx \ dy \ dx \]

integrated over an octant of the volume bounded by the surface \((x/a)^{a} + (y/b)^{b} + (z/c)^{c} = 1\) is

\[ \left( \frac{a^{a} b^{b} c^{c}}{a^{a} b^{b} c^{c}} \right) \frac{\Gamma(l/p)\Gamma(m/q)\Gamma(n/r)}{\Gamma(l/p) + (m/q) + (n/r) + 1} \]

4.29 Prove that

\[ \Gamma(z) \Gamma\left( z + \frac{1}{n} \right) \Gamma\left( z + \frac{2}{n} \right) \cdots \Gamma\left( z + \frac{n - 1}{n} \right) = (2\pi)^{n-1} n^{n-1} \Gamma(nz) \]

4.30 Express the integral

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \lambda^{-1} \ dt \]

occurring in Eq. 4.5.20, in terms of a gamma function.

4.31 Show that

\[ 1 - \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cosh(\pi z)} = \frac{2\pi \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - iz)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + iz)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{\alpha + iz}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{\alpha - iz}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{1 - \alpha + iz}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( \frac{1 - \alpha - iz}{2} \right)} \]
4.32 Show that
\[ |\Gamma(iy)|^2 = \pi/(y \sinh \pi y) \]

4.33 Show that
\[ |\Gamma(z + 1)|^2 \to 2\pi r^{2x+1} e^{-2(\eta^2 + \zeta^2)} \left[ 1 + \frac{x}{6r^2} + \frac{1}{72r^2} + \cdots \right] \]

where \( x = x + iy, r^x = x^2 + y^2, \) and \( \varphi = \tan^{-1}(y/x). \)

Show that the phase of \( \Gamma(z) \) is given asymptotically by
\[ \frac{\pi}{2} \ln(x^2 + y^2) + (x - \frac{1}{2}) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) \]
\[ - y \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{12(x^2 + y^2)} - \frac{3x^2 - y^2}{360(x^2 + y^2)^3} + \cdots \right] \]

4.34 Consider the integral
\[ f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{e^{it - \sqrt{z}}}{z + \sqrt{z}} \, dz \]

where the branch line for the integral is taken along the negative real axis and the contour starts at \((-\infty)\) below the branch line, encircles the branch point at the origin in a positive fashion, and goes out to \((-\infty)\) above the branch line. Break up the integral into three parts, consisting of the two integrals extending from \((-\infty)\) to a small quantity \( \varepsilon_0 \) and an integral over a circle of radius \( \varepsilon_0 \) about the origin. Show that, in the limit of \( \varepsilon_0 \) equal to zero, the third integral is \( 1/\kappa \) while the first two may be combined into
\[ - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} \right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ut} \sin(\sqrt{u} \lambda) \frac{du}{u} \right] \]

Show that
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ut} \sin(\sqrt{u} \lambda) \frac{du}{u} = \sqrt{\pi} \int_{0}^{\lambda/\sqrt{t}} e^{-v^2} \, dv \]

and hence that
\[ f(t) = \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} \right) - \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} \right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \left[ \int_{0}^{\lambda/\sqrt{t}} e^{-v^2} \, dv \right] \]

4.35 Consider the integral
\[ g(x) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} G(t) \Gamma(-t)(-z)^t \, dt \]

where \( G(t) \) is regular in the half plane \( \text{Re} \, t > 0, \) \( |G(t)| \) approaches zero for large values of \( \text{Re} \, t. \) The contour is along the imaginary axis except for a small semicircle going clockwise about the origin. Show by closing the contour with a semicircle of large radius in the half plane
Re \( t > 0 \) that

\[ g(z) = 2\pi i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G(n) \left( \frac{z^n}{n!} \right) \]

Hence show that

\[ F(a,b|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)\Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \left( \frac{z^n}{n!} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{2\pi i \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+t)\Gamma(b+t)}{\Gamma(c+t)} \Gamma(-t)(-zt) dt \]

for Re \( a \) and Re \( b \) less than zero, \( a \) and \( b \) not integers. Show that the contour may also be closed by a circle in the negative real plane. Deduce that

\[ \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(c)} F(a,b|z) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(a-c)} (-z)^{-a} F(a,1-a+1-b,1-c)(\frac{1}{z}) \]

\[ + \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(b-c)} (-z)^{-b} F(b,1-c+1-a+1-b)(\frac{1}{z}) \]

[see Eq. (5.2.10)].

4.36 Prove that

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\cos \theta)^{n-2} e^{i\theta} d\theta = \frac{\pi \Gamma(a-1)}{2^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(a+1)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(a-b))} \quad n > 1 \]

by calculating \( \int [z + (1/z)]^{n-2} z^{\ell-1} dz \) around a contour running along the imaginary axis from \(+i\) to \(-i\) and returning to \(+i\) via the right-hand half of the unit circle.

4.37 Using the method of steepest descents, show from the definition

\[ H^0(z) = \left( \frac{e^{-i\pi z^2}}{\pi} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(z-x)^2} dx \]

that

\[ H^0(\nu \sec \alpha) \sim \frac{e^{i\left(\tan^{-1} \alpha + i\chi /2\right)}}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\tan \alpha}}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\nu \cot^2 \alpha}{8 \tan \alpha} + \cdots \right] \]

4.38 Show that the path of integration along the real axis for the integral

\[ I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it^2} dt \]

may be deformed into the contour coming from \(-\infty\) to 0 along a line of constant phase \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \) \( (z = r^{i\phi}) \) and from the origin to \(+\infty\) along a line of constant phase \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \), that consequently

\[ I = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2}(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(m + 1)) \left[ \frac{(1 - q)^m}{m!} \right] \]

Why is \( q \) restricted to the real axis?
4.39 The method of steepest descent must be modified when $f''(t_0)$ is small. Expand the $f(t)$ in the integral described in Eq. 4.6.6

$$J = \int e^{it\omega} dt$$

in powers of $(t - t_0)$, where $f'(t_0) = 0$. Show that the appropriate transformation for $f''$ small is

$$t - t_0 = \alpha + \beta s$$

where $\alpha = -[f''(t_0)/f'''(t_0)]$ and $\beta = (6i/f''')$. Show that

$$J \approx \exp \left[ 2\gamma + \frac{1}{3} \gamma [f''(t_0)]^2 \right] \int e^{i(t_0 - \omega)} ds$$

where

$$\gamma = \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) (f'')^2 \gamma (zf''')$$

4.40 Show that

$$H_\nu(p) = (e^{-i\pi \nu / \pi}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\nu \cos \varphi} d\varphi - \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \right) e^{\nu i} \sqrt{3} \left( \frac{\nu}{p} \right)^{\nu} \Gamma(p)$$

as $p \to \infty$.

4.41 Show that the conformal transformation

$$z = x + iy = w + \sqrt{w^2 - 1}; \quad w = u + iv$$

transforms the real $w$ axis into the part of the real $z$ axis for $|z| \geq 1$, plus the unit circle $z = e^{i\varphi}$. Plot the lines on the $z$ plane corresponding to the six lines $u = 0$, $\pm 1$, $v = 0$, $\pm 1$.

4.42 Show that the transformation

$$z = w + iae^\varphi \tan \psi + \frac{a^2 e^{2i\varphi}}{w + iae^\varphi \tan \psi}$$

($a$, $\varphi$, $\psi$ constants) transforms the circle of radius $a$ sec $\psi$ centered at the origin on the $w$ plane into an arc of a circle the chord of which is of length $4a$ and is inclined at an angle $\varphi$ with respect to the $x$ axis. Plot the lines on the $z$ plane corresponding to $u = 0$, $|v| \geq a$ sec $\varphi$, to $v = 0$, $|u| \geq a$ sec $\varphi$, for $a = 1$, $\varphi = 30^\circ$, $\psi = 15^\circ$. What is the angular extent of the arc on the $z$ plane corresponding to the circle $a$ sec $\psi$ on the $w$ plane?

4.43 Show that the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation transforming the interior of the four-sided “polygon” formed by the lines $x = 0$; $y \geq 0$; $y = 0$, $x \geq 0$; $y = -a$, $x = -b$; $z = -b$, $y \geq -a$ onto the upper half of the $w$ plane is

$$z = \frac{2a}{\pi} \tanh^{-1} \frac{a^2 - wb^2}{a^2(1 + w)} - \frac{2b}{\pi} \tanh^{-1} \frac{a^2 - wb^2}{b^2(1 + w)}$$

Where on the $w$ plane are the points $z = 0$, $z = -b - ia$, $z = b$, $z = -ib$, $z = -b - ia$?
4.44 Show that the conformal transformation

\[ w = \tan \left( \frac{1}{2} \cos^{-1} z^2 \right) \]

transforms the crossed lines \( y = 0, -1 \leq x \leq 1; \ x = 0, -1 \leq y \leq 1 \) into the real \( w \) axis. Where are the points \( z = 1, i, -1, -i \) on the \( w \) plane? What physical problems could be solved by the use of this transformation?

4.45 A thin metal fin, kept at temperature \( T_0 \), is perpendicular to a metal plate at zero temperature so that the edge of the fin is parallel to and a distance \( a \) from the plate. The whole is immersed in a thermal conductor. By use of the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation show that the steady-state temperature distribution in the water is given by the transformation

\[ z = a \sinh \left( \frac{\pi}{2T_0}(U + iT) \right) \]

where the lines \( T = \) constant are the isothermals. Show that the strip of fin from the edge to \( b \) cm in from the edge, of length \( L \), loses

\[ (\pi L T \kappa/\pi) \cosh^{-1} [(a + b)/a] \text{ cal per sec} \]

where \( \kappa \) is the heat conductivity of the medium.

4.46 Use the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation to show that the region of the \( z \) plane above the line \( y = -a \) and "outside" the two parts of the \( x \) axis, one for \( x \geq 0 \), the other for \( x \leq -b \) (two parallel planes a distance \( a \) apart, the upper plane broken by a slit of width \( b \)) is transformed to the upper half of the \( w \) plane by the equation

\[ z = -\frac{a}{\pi} \ln \left( \frac{(e^{ib} - 1)(w - 1)}{w - e^{ib}} + \ln w \right) \]

where \( b = (2a/\pi)(\beta + \sinh \beta) \). For \( b = a \) (\( \beta = 0.7493 \)) give the positions on the \( w \) plane (to two significant figures) of the points \( z = -a; z = -\frac{1}{a}a; z = -\frac{1}{2}a - ia; z = -\frac{1}{2}a + ia \).

4.47 Show that if

\[ f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n e^{i\pi nx/l}; \ -l \leq x \leq l \]

then

\[ A_n = \frac{1}{2l} \int_{-l}^{l} e^{-i\pi nx/l} f(x) \, dx \]

Insert the expression for \( A_n \) into the series for \( f(x) \) and obtain the Fourier integral theorem by taking the appropriate limit of the result as \( l \) goes to infinity.

4.48 The generalized Mellin transforms \( F_{-}(s) \) and \( F_{+}(s) \) are defined by

\[ F_{-}(s) = \int_{0}^{1} f(x)x^{s-1} \, dx; \quad F_{+}(s) = \int_{1}^{\infty} f(x)x^{s-1} \, dx \]
Show that $F_+$ will be analytic for Re $s > \sigma_0$ while $F_-$ will be analytic for Re $s < \sigma_1$. Show that $\sigma_0 < \sigma_1$ if the Mellin transform exists. Show that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{-\infty + i\tau}^{\infty + i\tau} R(s) \left( \frac{ds}{x^s} \right) + \int_{-\infty - i\tau}^{\infty - i\tau} R'(s) \left( \frac{ds}{x^s} \right) \right\}$$

where $\sigma > \sigma_0$ and $\tau < \sigma_1$.

**4.49** Find the Fourier transforms $F_+$ and $F_-$ of $\cos(ax)$ for a complex, and determine the region in which each is analytic.

**4.50** Find the Fourier transforms $F_+$ and $F_-$ of $xe^{-\tau}$, and determine the region in which each is analytic.

**4.51** From the Poisson sum formula show that

$$\partial_3(u, e^{iu\alpha}) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\alpha} \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{u}{\alpha}\right)^2(\alpha + m)^2}$$

(see page 431 for definition of $\partial_3$).

---

**Tabulation of Properties of Functions of Complex Variable**

A function $f = u + i v$ of the complex variable $z = x + iy$ is analytic in a given region $R$ of the $z$ plane when:

a. Its derivative $df/dz$ at a point $z = a$ in the region $R$ is independent of the direction of $dz$; this derivative is also continuous in the region $R$.

b. $\partial u/\partial x = \partial v/\partial y$; $\partial u/\partial y = -\partial v/\partial x$, these derivatives being continuous in $R$.

c. $\oint f(z) \, dz = 0$ for any closed contour within region $R$.

Any one of these three statements is a necessary and sufficient condition that $f$ be analytic in $R$; the other two statements are then consequences of the first chosen. When any and all are true in $R$, then all derivatives of $f$ with respect to $z$ are analytic in $R$.

In addition, for contour integrals entirely within a singly connected region of analyticity of $f$,

$$\oint \frac{f(z) \, dz}{(z - a)^n} = 2\pi i f^{(n)}(a)$$

when $a$ is inside the contour; when $a$ is outside the contour, the integrals are zero (see Sec. 4.3).

Points where $f(z)$ is not analytic are called *singularities* of $f$ (see page 380). A singularity at $z = a$ is called a *simple pole* when

$$f(z) \to g(z)/(z - a); \quad z \to a$$
where \( g(z) \) is analytic at \( z = a \) and \( g(a) \neq 0 \). When
\[
f(z) \to g(z)/(z - a)^n; \quad z \to a; \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]
the singularity is called a pole of order \( n \). If \( f(z)(z - a)^n \to \infty \) \((z \to a)\)
for all finite values of \( n \), point \( a \) is called an essential singularity for \( f \).

If, on the other hand, the singularity at \( z = a \) is such that \( f(a + \omega e^{i\varphi}) \)
is not periodic in \( \varphi \) with period \( 2\pi \) (\( \omega \) chosen so that \( a + \omega e^{i\varphi} \) is in the
region of analyticity of \( f \) for all \( \varphi \)), then the point \( z = a \) is a branch point
for \( f \). Examples of branch points are \( f = (z - a)^{\nu}y(z) \), where \( \nu \) is not
an integer (\( \nu \) may be negative), or \( f = \ln(z - a)y(z) \), where \( y(z) \) is
analytic at \( z = a \). Poles and essential singularities are isolated singularities
of \( f \); branch points (which never occur singly) are not isolated, for \( f \)
is nonanalytic along a line ending at the branch point.

When \( f(1/w) \) has a singularity at \( w = 0 \), \( f(z) \) is said to have a singularity
at infinity.

Functions \( f(z) \) may be classified according to the nature and position
of their singularities (see also Sec. 4.3):

1. If \( f(z) \) has no singularities anywhere it is a constant.
2. If \( f(z) \) has only a pole of order \( n \) at infinity it is a polynomial in \( z \)
of order \( n \).
3. If \( f(z) \) has only an isolated singularity at infinity, it is called an
   integral function of \( z \). (Case 3 includes case 2.)
4. If \( f(z) \) has only poles (there can be only a finite number of poles),
   then \( f \) is the ratio of two polynomials in \( z \). A function the only singularities
   of which are poles in a given region is said to be meromorphic in that
   region. A rational function is meromorphic in the entire \( z \) plane.
5. If \( f(z) \) is meromorphic in the finite part of the \( z \) plane (only poles
   for \( z \) finite, possibly an essential singularity at infinity), it is called a
   meromorphic function of \( z \). (Case 5 includes case 4.)
6. Functions with branch points are multivalued functions (cases 1
to 5 are single-valued functions).

A rational function may be expanded in a series of partial fractions,
each term of which corresponds to one of the poles in the finite part
of the plane. For example, if all the poles in the finite part of the \( z \) plane
are simple poles (the \( n \)th one at \( z = a_n \), and if we choose the origin \( z = 0 \)
at a point which is not a pole, then [see Eq. (4.3.6) and restrictions on
page 383]
\[
f(z) = f(0) + \sum_n \left[ \frac{b_n}{z - a_n} + \frac{b_n}{a_n} \right]
\]
where the constant \( b_n \), determined by the behavior of \( f \) near \( z = a_n \),
is called the residue of \( f \) at the simple pole \( z = a_n \).

An integral function may be expressed as a product of terms related
to its zeros in the finite part of the plane. For example, if \( f \) has only
simple zeros \((1/f)\) has simple poles) at the points \(z = a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots\),
none of the \(a\)'s being 0, then

\[
f(z) = f(0) e^{-\pi i \alpha/\pi} \prod_{n \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right)^{\nu/a_n}
\]

[see Eq. (4.3.8) and restrictions on page 385].

**Euler's Algorithm Relating Series.** Let \(g\) be the known series

\[
g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad \text{and let} \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n b_n z^n
\]

be a series related to \(g\) via the multiplicative constants \(C_n\). Then

\[
f(z) = C_0 g(z) - (\delta C_0) g'(z) + (\delta^2 C_0) (z^2/2!) g''(z)
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta C_0 &= C_0 - C_1; \\
\delta^2 C_0 &= C_0 - 2C_1 + C_2; \\
\delta^3 C_0 &= C_0 - 3C_1 + 3C_2 - C_3;
\end{align*}
\]

In particular, if \(g(z) = 1/(1 + z) = \Sigma(-1)^n z^n\), then

\[
f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n z^n - \frac{1}{1+z} \left[a_0 + (\delta a_0) \xi + (\delta^2 a_0) \xi^2 + \cdots\right]
\]

where \(\xi = [z/(1 + z)]\). If \(C_n\) or \(a_n\) is an \(N\)th order polynomial in \(n\),
all differences \(\delta^n\) higher than \(n - N\) will be zero and a finite expression
for \(f\) has been found in terms of the known comparison function \(g(z)\).

**Asymptotic Series for Integral Representation.** Often the function
\(\psi(z)\) is given in terms of an integral representation

\[
\psi(z) = \kappa \int_C e^{\zeta/z} \, dt
\]

where \(C\) is some contour (either closed or going to infinity) in the \(t\) plane.
To determine \(\psi\) for \(\text{Re}\, z \gg 1\), we adjust the contour \(C\), within its allowed
limits, so that \(\text{Re}\, f\) is everywhere as small as possible. Then the largest
value of \(\text{Re}\, f\) throughout the contour will be at a saddle point for \(f(t)\),
where \(df/dt = 0\). Near this point \((t = t_0)\) the series

\[
f(t) - f(t_0) = \frac{1}{2!} (t - t_0) f''(t_0) + \frac{1}{3!} (t - t_0) f''(t_0) + \cdots
\]

will hold. We adjust the contour in this region so that \(\text{Im}\, f\) is constant,
equal to the imaginary part of \(f\) at \(t = t_0\). There are two such paths;
we pick the one for which \(f(t_0)\) is a maximum, not a minimum. Integrating
over this path, the parts of the integral near \(t = t_0\) contribute a
preponderating fraction of the value of the integral. The asymptotic
series for \(\text{Re}\, z\) large is then

\[
\psi(z) \asymp \kappa \frac{e^{\pi i (\pi t_0 - 1)}}{\sqrt{3 \pi f''(t_0)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \Gamma \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{z^n}\right)
\]
where \( R_0 = 1; \ R_1 = \frac{-1}{12[f''(t_0)]^3}; \ \{6[f''''(t_0)]^3 - 3f''''(t_0)f'''(t_0)\}; \ \ldots \)

See Eqs. (4.6.14) to (4.6.19) for further details.

**Fourier Transforms.** If \( f(z) \) is such a function of \( z \) that \( \int\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(z)|^2 \, dz \)

is finite and if the function

\[
F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) e^{ikz} \, dz
\]

then \( F(k) \) is called the Fourier transform of \( f(z) \) and

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k) e^{-ikz} \, dk; \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(k)|^2 \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(z)|^2 \, dz
\]

Furthermore if the expansion for \( f_+(z) \) in the neighborhood of \( z = 0 \) is

\[
f_+(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z}{n!} \right)^n f^{(n)}(0) ; \quad z > 0; \quad f_+ = 0; \quad z < 0
\]

then the asymptotic behavior of \( F \) for large \( k \) is

\[
F_+(k) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i}{k} \right)^n f^{(n)}(0)
\]

If \( F(k) \) is the Fourier transform of \( f(z) \) and \( G(k) \) the Fourier transform of \( g(z) \), then the Fourier transform of

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) g(z - y) \, dy \quad \text{is} \quad F(k) G(k); \quad \text{faltung theorem}
\]

and the Fourier transform of \( f(z) g(z) \) is \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k) G(k - l) \, dl \). Also

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k) \hat{G}(l) \, dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) \hat{g}(z) \, dz.
\]

If \( F(k) \) is the Fourier transform of \( f(z) \), then

\[
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n \alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\alpha} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} F \left( \frac{2\pi m}{\alpha} \right); \quad \text{Poisson sum formula}
\]

Even if \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(z)|^2 \, dz \) is not finite, if \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(z)|^2 e^{-2\pi \alpha} \, dz \) is finite and if \( G(k) \) is the Fourier transform of \( f(z)e^{-\alpha z} \), then the Fourier transform of \( f(z) \) is \( G(k - \imath \alpha) = F(k) \), where

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty \imath \alpha} F(k) e^{-\alpha z} \, dk
\]
Laplace Transforms. If \( f(x) \) is zero for \( x < 0 \), if \( \int_0^\infty |f(x)|^2 e^{-2\pi x} dx \) is finite for \( \tau > \tau_0 \), and if
\[
F_\tau(p) = \int_0^\infty f(x)e^{-px} dx
\]
then \( F_\tau(p) \) is called the Laplace transform of \( f(x) \) and
\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i(\tau+\epsilon)}^{i(\tau+\epsilon)} F_\tau(p)e^{px} dp : \quad \tau > \tau_0; \quad x > 0
\]
The shifting theorem becomes
\[
\int_0^\infty f(y)h(x - y) dy = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i(\tau+\epsilon)}^{i(\tau+\epsilon)} F_\tau(p)H_\tau(p)e^{px} dp
\]
For further discussion of the Laplace transform see tables at end of Ch. 11.

Mellin Transforms. If \( f(x) \) is defined for \( 0 \leq x \leq \infty \), if
\[
\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^{2\tau-2\tau-1} dx
\]
is finite for $\sigma > \sigma_0$, and if

$$F_\nu(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1}\,dx$$

then $F_\nu(s)$ is called the Mellin transform of $f(x)$ and

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\infty}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} F_\nu(s) \left( \frac{ds}{x^s} \right); \quad \sigma > \sigma_0; \quad x > 0$$

The following theorems take on the following forms:

$$\int_0^\infty v(y)w \left( \frac{y}{b} \right) \left( \frac{dy}{y} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\infty}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} V_\nu(s)W_\nu(s) \left( \frac{ds}{x^s} \right)$$

or

$$\int_0^\infty v(x)w(x)x^{s-1}\,dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} V_\nu(s)W_\nu(s - \rho)\,d\rho$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function $f(x)$</th>
<th>Mellin transform $F_\nu(s)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda f(x)$</td>
<td>$\lambda F_\nu(s)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f(ax)$</td>
<td>$a^{-\nu}F_\nu(s)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x^{\nu}f(x)$</td>
<td>$F_\nu(s + \alpha)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{d}{dx}f(x)$</td>
<td>$-sf_\nu(s + 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ln (x)f(x)$</td>
<td>$\frac{d}{ds}F_\nu(s)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c^{-\nu}$</td>
<td>$\Gamma(z)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma(a,b</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1 + x)^{-\nu}$</td>
<td>$\Gamma(s)/(1 - s)\Gamma(m - s)/\Gamma(m)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1/x) \ln (1 + x)$</td>
<td>$\pi/(1 - s)\sin(\pi x)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tanh^{-1}(x)$</td>
<td>$(\pi/2s)\tan(\pi x/2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1 + x)^{-\nu}F_{\nu-1} \left( \frac{1}{1 + x} \right)$</td>
<td>$\Gamma(s)/(1 - s)\Gamma(m - s)/\Gamma(m)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(a</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_n(x)$</td>
<td>$2^{s-1}\Gamma \left( \frac{s + \nu}{2} \right)/\Gamma \left( \frac{s - \nu}{2} + 1 \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$xJ_n(x)$</td>
<td>$2^{s-1}\Gamma \left( \frac{s + \nu}{2} \right)/\Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{s - \nu}{2} \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin(x)$</td>
<td>$\Gamma(s)\sin(\frac{s}{2})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\cos(x)$</td>
<td>$\Gamma(s)\cos(\frac{s}{2})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_\nu(x)$</td>
<td>$-2^{\nu-1}\pi \Gamma \left( \frac{s + \nu}{2} \right)\Gamma \left( \frac{s - \nu}{2} \right)\cos[\frac{s}{4}(s - \nu)]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where the functions $J_n$, $J_n$, $F$, $P_\nu$, and $N_\nu$ are defined in the tables at the end of Chaps. 5, 10, and 11.
Tables of Special Functions of General Use

(For other tables see the ends of Chaps. 5, 6, 10, 11, and 12)

The Gamma Function (see page 419)

\[ \Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{z-1}dt \quad (\text{Re } z > 0); \quad \Gamma(z + 1) = z\Gamma(z) \]

\[ \Gamma(n + 1) = n!; \quad \Gamma(1) = 1; \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi} \]

\[ \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}; \quad \Gamma(2z) = \frac{2^{2z-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(z)\Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2}) \]

\[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = z\gamma \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)e^{-\pi n}; \quad \gamma = 0.577215 \ldots \]

\[ \psi(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln |\Gamma(z)| = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \frac{d}{dz} \Gamma(z) \]

\[ = -\gamma + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{z+n} \right]; \quad \psi(z + 1) = \frac{1}{z} + \psi(z) \]

\[ \psi(n + 1) = -\gamma + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}; \quad \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\gamma - 2 \ln 2 \]

\[ \Gamma(z) \to \sqrt{2\pi} z^{z-1}e^{-z}; \quad \text{for } z \gg 1 \]

\[ B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}dt = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}; \quad \text{Re } x, \text{ Re } y > 0 \]

\[ = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2x-1}\phi \cos^{2y-1}\phi \, d\phi \]

Elliptic Functions (see page 428)

\[ \int_0^x \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - k^2x^2)}} = \sin^{-1}(x,k); \]

\[ \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - k^2x^2)}} = \sin^{-1}(x,k) \]

\[ \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - k^2 + k^2x^2)}} = \sin^{-1}(x,k) \]

\[ \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(x^2 + k^2 - 1)}} = \sin^{-1}(x,k); \]

\[ \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 + x^2)(1 + k'^2x^2)}} = \tan^{-1}(x,k) \]

\[ K = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - k^2x^2)}}; \quad K' = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - k'^2x^2)}}; \]

\[ k = \sin \alpha; \quad k' = \cos \alpha \]

\[ \int_0^x \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1 - x)(1 - k^2x)}} = 2 \sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{x},k\right); \]
\[
\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}(1+k'^2x)} = 2 \, \text{dn}^{-1}(\sqrt{x},k);
\]
\[
\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}(1-k'^2x)} = 2 \, \text{tn}^{-1}(\sqrt{x},k);
\]
\[
\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)(1-k'^2x)}} = 2 \, \text{dn}^{-1}(\sqrt{x},k);
\]
\[
\text{cn}^2(u,k) = 1 - \text{sn}^2(u,k); \quad \text{dn}^2(u,k) = 1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2(u,k);
\]
\[\text{tn}(u,k) = \frac{\text{sn}(u,k)}{\text{cn}(u,k)}\]
\[\text{sn}(0,k) = 0; \quad \text{cn}(0,k) = 1; \quad \text{dn}(0,k) = 1\]
\[\text{sn}(-u,k) = -\text{sn}(u,k); \quad \text{cn}(-u,k) = \text{cn}(u,k); \quad \text{dn}(-u,k) = \text{dn}(u,k)\]

### Periodic Properties of Elliptic Functions

| \(iu\) | \(i \text{sn}(u,k')\) | \(\frac{1}{\text{cn}(u,k')}\) | \(\frac{\text{dn}(u,k')}{\text{cn}(u,k')}\) | \(i \text{sn}(u,k)\) |
| \(u + K\) | \(\text{cn}(u,k)\) | \(-k' \text{sn}(u,k)\) | \(\frac{k'}{\text{dn}(u,k)}\) | \(-\frac{1}{k \text{tn}(u,k)}\) |
| \(u + 2K\) | \(-\text{sn}(u,k)\) | \(-\text{cn}(u,k)\) | \(\text{dn}(u,k)\) | \(\text{tn}(u,k)\) |
| \(u + iK'\) | \(\frac{i}{k \text{sn}(u,k)}\) | \(-\frac{i}{k \text{dn}(u,k)}\) | \(-\frac{i}{\text{tn}(u,k)}\) | \(\frac{i}{\text{dn}(u,k)}\) |
| \(u + K + iK'\) | \(\frac{\text{dn}(u,k)}{k \text{cn}(u,k)}\) | \(-\frac{ik'}{k \text{dn}(u,k)}\) | \(i k' \text{tn}(u,k)\) | \(i k' \text{dn}(u,k)\) |
| \(u + 2iK'\) | \(\text{sn}(u,k)\) | \(-\text{cn}(u,k)\) | \(-\text{dn}(u,k)\) | \(-\text{tn}(u,k)\) |
| \(u + 2K + 2iK'\) | \(-\text{sn}(u,k)\) | \(\text{cn}(u,k)\) | \(-\text{dn}(u,k)\) | \(-\text{tn}(u,k)\) |

The function (given in the top row) of the argument given in the left-hand column equals the quantity given in the appropriate space. For instance,
\[\text{cn}(u + 2K,k) = -\text{cn}(u,k); \quad \text{dn}(u + K + iK') = ik' \text{tn}(u,k); \quad \text{etc.}\]

### Relations between Parameters of Elliptic Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(K/K')</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>0.9</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(K)</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>1.594</td>
<td>1.643</td>
<td>1.699</td>
<td>1.708</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>(K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K')</td>
<td>(\approx 15.71)</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>(K')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00136</td>
<td>0.02130</td>
<td>0.0784</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>(k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k')</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.0170</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>0.0350</td>
<td>0.0420</td>
<td>0.0430</td>
<td>(a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \(q = e^{-\pi K'/\sqrt{2}}\). For sufficiently small values of \((k < 0.1)\) the equation \(K \approx 4q e^{-\pi K'/\sqrt{2}} = 4 \sqrt{q}\) is a good approximation. For values of \(K/K'\) larger than 1.0, interchange \(K\) and \(K'\), \(k\) and \(k'\), \(a\) and \(\beta\).
In the following we shall omit the second part of the argument, \( k \). It is assumed to be the same in all terms.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{sn}(u + v) &= \frac{\text{sn} u \, \text{cn} v \, \text{dn} v + \text{cn} u \, \text{sn} v \, \text{dn} u}{1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2 u \, \text{sn}^2 v} \\
\text{cn}(u + v) &= \frac{\text{cn} u \, \text{cn} v - \text{sn} u \, \text{sn} v \, \text{dn} u \, \text{dn} v}{1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2 u \, \text{sn}^2 v} \\
\text{dn}(u + v) &= \frac{\text{dn} u \, \text{dn} v - k^2 \text{sn} u \, \text{sn} v \, \text{cn} u \, \text{cn} v}{1 - k^2 \text{sn}^2 u \, \text{sn}^2 v} \\
\text{tn}(u + v) &= \frac{\text{tn} u \, \text{dn} v + \text{tn} v \, \text{dn} u}{1 - \text{tn} u \, \text{tn} v \, \text{dn} u \, \text{dn} v}
\end{align*}
\]

The functions \( \text{sn}, \, \text{cn}, \, \text{dn} \) have simple poles at the positions \([2mK + (2n + 1)iK'], \) where \( m \) and \( n \) are integers, positive or negative. The residues at these poles are given in the table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residues for</th>
<th>( iK' )</th>
<th>(-iK')</th>
<th>( 2K + iK' )</th>
<th>( 2K - iK' )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{sn} )</td>
<td>(1/k))</td>
<td>(-1/k)</td>
<td>(-\pi/k)</td>
<td>(\pi/k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{cn} )</td>
<td>(-i/k)</td>
<td>(i/k)</td>
<td>(i/k)</td>
<td>(-i/k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{dn} )</td>
<td>(-i)</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>(-i)</td>
<td>(i)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The zeros of \( \text{sn} (u,k) \) are at \( u = 2mK + 2imK' (m, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots) \) also \( \text{cn} [(2m + 1)K + 2imK', k] = 0, \text{dn} [(2m + 1)K + i(2n + 1)K', k] = 0, \text{sn} (u,k) \to u + 0(u^3) \); the behavior of the other functions at their various zeros can be obtained from their periodic properties.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{{\text{sn}} (u,k)} &= \frac{\pi}{2K} \csc \left( \frac{\pi u}{2K} \right) + \frac{2\pi}{K} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \pi u/2K \right) \cosh \left( \pi n K'/K \right)}{\cosh \left( \pi n K'/K \right) - \cos \left( \pi u/2K \right)} \\
\frac{\text{cn} (u,k)}{\text{sn} (u,k)} &= \frac{\pi}{2K} \cot \left( \frac{\pi u}{2K} \right) + \frac{2\pi}{K} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \pi u/K \right)}{\cosh \left( 4\pi n K'/K \right) - \cos \left( \pi u/K \right)} \\
\frac{\text{dn} (u,k)}{\text{sn} (u,k)} &= \frac{\pi}{2K} \csc \left( \frac{\pi u}{2K} \right) + \frac{2\pi}{K} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\sin \left( \pi u/2K \right) \cosh \left( \pi n K'/K \right)}{\cosh \left( 2\pi n K'/K \right) - \cos \left( \pi u/2K \right)}
\end{align*}
\]

If \( q = e^{-\pi K'/K} \), then

\[
\frac{\text{sn} (u,k)}{\pi} = \frac{2K}{\pi} \sin \left( \frac{\pi u}{2K} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1 - q^{n-1}}{1 + q^{n-1}} \right]^{12} \left[ \frac{1 - 2q^n \cos \left( \pi u/K \right) + q^{2n}}{1 - 2q^n \cos \left( \pi u/K \right) + q^{2n}} \right]
\]
\[ \text{cu} (u,k) = \cos \left( \frac{\pi u}{2K} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1 - q^{2n-1}}{1 + q^{2n}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{1 + 2q^{2n} \cos (\pi u/K) + q^{4n}}{1 - 2q^{2n} \cos (\pi u/K) + q^{4n-2}} \right] \]

\[ \text{dn} (u,k) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1 - q^{2n-1}}{1 + q^{2n}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{1 + 2q^{2n-1} \cos (\pi u/K) + q^{4n-2}}{1 - 2q^{2n-1} \cos (\pi u/K) + q^{4n-2}} \right] \]

\[ \text{tn} (u,k) = \frac{2K}{\pi} \tan \left( \frac{\pi u}{2K} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1 + q^{2n}}{1 - q^{2n}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \frac{1 - 2q^{2n} \cos (\pi u/K) + q^{4n}}{1 + 2q^{2n} \cos (\pi u/K) + q^{4n}} \right] \]

\[
\begin{align*}
(d/du) \text{sn}(u,k) &= \text{cn}(u,k) \text{dn}(u,k); \\
(d/du) \text{dn}(u,k) &= -\text{sn}(u,k) \text{dn}(u,k); \\
(d/du) \text{dn}(u,k) &= -k^2 \text{sn}(u,k) \text{cn}(u,k); \\
\int \text{sn}(x,k) \, dx &= \sin^{-1} [\text{sn}(x,k)] = \text{am}(x); \\
\int \text{sn}(x,k) \, dx &= (1/k) \cosh^{-1} [\text{dn}(x,k)/k]; \\
\int \text{cn}(x,k) \, dx &= (1/k) \cos^{-1} [\text{dn}(x,k)]; \\
\int \frac{dx}{\text{sn} (x,k)} &= \ln \left[ \frac{\text{sn} (x,k)}{\text{cn} (x,k) + \text{dn} (x,k)} \right]; \\
\int \frac{dx}{\text{cn} (x,k)} &= \frac{1}{k} \ln \left[ \frac{k' \text{sn} (x,k) + \text{dn} (x,k)}{\text{cn} (x,k)} \right]; \\
\int \frac{dx}{\text{dn} (x,k)} &= \frac{1}{k'} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{k' \text{sn} (x,k) - \text{cn} (x,k)}{k' \text{sn} (x,k) + \text{cn} (x,k)} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

**Theta Functions.** Defined as follows:

\[ \phi_1(u, iv) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-\pi i (n+\frac{1}{2})} \sin [\pi (2n+1)u] = -\phi_2(u + \frac{1}{2}, iv) \]

\[ \phi_2(u, iv) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi i (n+\frac{1}{2})} \cos [\pi (2n+1)u] = \phi_1(u + \frac{1}{2}, iv) \]

\[ \phi_3(u, iv) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi i n u} \cos (\pi n u) = \phi_0(u + \frac{1}{2}, iv) \]

\[ \phi_0(u, iv) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-\pi i n u} \cos (2\pi n u) = \phi_3(u + \frac{1}{2}, iv) \]

\[ \phi_1(u + \frac{1}{2}iv, iv) = ie^{\pi i u - \pi i v/2} \phi_0(u, iv); \quad \phi_2(u + \frac{1}{2}iv, iv) = e^{\pi i u - \pi i v/2} \phi_3(u, iv) \]

\[ \phi_3(u + \frac{1}{2}iv, iv) = e^{\pi i u - \pi i v} \phi_1(u, iv); \quad \phi_0(u + \frac{1}{2}iv, iv) = ie^{\pi i u - \pi i v} \phi_2(u, iv) \]

\[ \phi_1(u, iv + 1) = e^{i\pi v} \phi_1(u, iv); \quad \phi_1(u, iv) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{v}} e^{-\pi i u/v} \phi_0 \left( \frac{u}{iv}, \frac{1}{v} \right) \]

\[ \phi_2(u, iv + 1) = e^{i\pi v} \phi_2(u, iv); \quad \phi_2(u, iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} e^{-i\pi u/v} \phi_0 \left( \frac{u}{iv}, \frac{1}{v} \right) \]

\[ \phi_3(u, iv + 1) = \phi_3(u, iv); \quad \phi_3(u, iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} e^{-i\pi u/v} \phi_0 \left( \frac{u}{iv}, \frac{1}{v} \right) \]
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\[ \delta_x(u, \dot{u} + 1) = \delta_x(u, \dot{u}); \quad \delta_x(u, \dot{u}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} e^{-uv} \dot{\delta}_x \left( \frac{u}{iv}, v \right) \]

\[ \frac{\delta^* \delta}{\delta u^2} = 4\pi \frac{\delta^*}{\delta v} \]

Zeros of \( \delta_1 \), \( \delta_2 \), \( \delta_3 \), \( \delta_4 \), \( \delta_6 \)
are at \( n - n + \text{i}nv \), \( n + \frac{1}{2} + \text{i}nv \), \( n + \frac{1}{2} + \text{i}v(m + \frac{1}{2}) \), \( n + \text{i}v(m + \frac{1}{2}) \)
\( (n, m, \text{integers}) \)
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CHAPTER 5

Ordinary Differential Equations

Having now reviewed the sorts of fields encountered in physics and the partial differential equations which govern them, and having discussed the analytic behavior of various kinds of solutions of these equations, we must now commence the central part of our work, the finding of a solution of the particular field equation under study at the moment. This usually requires two major steps; the first, which will be discussed in this chapter, is to find all (or nearly all) the possible solutions of the equation and the second, which will be discussed in later chapters, is to pick from these solutions the particular combination which satisfies the boundary conditions of the case under consideration.

The equations which we are going to study in this book are those listed in the table on page 271. As we have already indicated, they are far from being the only field equations encountered in physics, but as we have also indicated, they represent a surprisingly large fraction of the field equations which are important in modern physics. If we know how to find solutions to these equations, we are equipped to study many of the theoretical problems in all the major areas of present interest.

All the equations listed in the table have a number of important properties in common, which will allow us to narrow the field of study in this and future sections. The equations can all be written in the form

\[ 3\phi = F \]

where \( \phi \), the field, is either a scalar or a vector (in real space or in abstract vector space or both) function of the coordinates and time. The operator \( 3 \phi \) is a combination of functions of the coordinates and time, of the partial derivatives with respect to space and time, and, sometimes, of vector operator functions in real space or in abstract vector space. The quantity \( F \) is a scalar or vector function of space and time. The quantities \( 3 \phi \) and \( F \) are known; the field \( \phi \) is the unknown.

The first common property to be noticed is that such equations are linear in the unknown \( \phi \); none of the terms contains \( \phi^2 \), or products of two components of \( \phi \), or higher powers. Linear equations are usually
much easier to solve than nonlinear ones, and we shall use this property of linearity to help us many times in our discussions.

When \( F \) is zero, the equation is said to be homogeneous, for each of its terms contains a \( \psi \). Homogeneous, linear equations possess the following extremely useful property: If \( \psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots \) are any set of solutions of the equation, then any linear combination \( \sum a_i \psi_i \) of the solutions is also a solution. A proof of this statement should be easy for the reader to provide.

When \( F \) is not zero, the equation is said to be inhomogeneous, for some of the terms do not include \( \psi \). Inhomogeneous, linear equations also have useful properties: If \( \psi_1 \) is a solution of the inhomogeneous equation \( 3\psi_1 = F \) and \( \psi_2 \) is any solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation \( 3\psi_2 = 0 \), then the sum of the two solutions \( \psi_1 + \psi_2 \) is a solution of the inhomogeneous equation; also if \( \psi_1 \) is a solution of the equation \( 3\psi_1 = F \), if \( \psi_2 \) is a solution of \( 3\psi_2 = F \), and so on, then the sum \( \Psi = \sum a_i \psi_i \) is a solution of the inhomogeneous equation \( 3\Psi = \sum a_i F \). Both of these results, which are not difficult to prove, will turn out to be of great utility in our future studies.

Another property of the equations listed in the table on page 271 is that none of them are of higher order than second order; i.e., none of them contain higher derivatives than the second. There are a few field equations in physics which are of higher order than the second (the equations for the transverse motions of stiff plates, for instance), but the equations which are important enough to be considered in this book are either first or second order. This also will allow us to save time and space by specializing our general analysis to these cases.

Partial differential equations, involving more than one independent variable, are much more difficult to solve than are ordinary differential equations, which involve just one independent variable. Aside from the few cases where solutions are guessed and then verified to be solutions, only two generally practicable methods of solution are known, the integral solution and the separated solution. An example of the integral solution is given, on page 38, for the Poisson equation \( \nabla^2 \psi = -q(x,y,z) \). A solution can be written, as shown earlier,

\[
\psi(x,y,z) = \iiint \frac{q(x',y',z')}{4\pi R} dx' dy' dz'
\]

where \( R \) is the distance between the point \((x,y,z)\) and the point \((x',y',z')\). This is typical of the integral solution. The inhomogeneous part of the equation, \( q \), is in the integrand, and the rest of the integrand, \( 1/4\pi R \), called a Green's function, is the same for any function \( q \). Solutions of a similar type can also be obtained for homogeneous equations, where the function \( q \) is determined by the boundary conditions and where the Green's function is determined by the type of equation to be solved.

Integral solutions have the advantage of generality, for usually the
integral is invariant under coordinate transformation, and once the Green's function is found, as shown in Chap. 7, any desired solution of the homogeneous or inhomogeneous equation may be found, in principle. However, as the phrase "in principle" indicates, the integral solution is not always the most satisfactory solution, for in many cases the integral cannot be integrated in closed form and numerical values are then extremely difficult to obtain.

The alternate method of solving linear partial differential equations is the method of factoring or separation, whereby the original equation, in several independent variables, is broken up, or separated, into a set of ordinary differential equations, each involving just one independent variable. This technique does not have the universality of the integral solution technique, for the separation must be different for each different coordinate system chosen and the separation can be carried out for only a few systems. But when the method can be used, it is usually much more satisfactory, for solutions of ordinary differential equations are much easier to obtain than are solutions of partial differential equations.

In this chapter we shall study the separation method. We shall indicate in what coordinate systems an equation will separate and then go on to study the resulting ordinary differential equations, showing how they can be solved and showing how the analytic properties of the solutions can be related to the characteristics of the equations and, ultimately, to the geometry of the coordinate system chosen.

### 5.1 Separable Coordinates

In order not to be confined to remarks which are so general as to be difficult to understand and apply, we shall center our comments on one equation of the general form \( 3\psi = F \), which will serve as an example with which the other equations may be compared. The differential operator \( \Delta = (\nabla^2 + k^2) \), corresponding to the homogeneous, second-order, linear equation for the scalar field \( \psi \) of the form

\[
\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5.1.1)

where \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplace operator (see page 7), is a sufficiently typical form to be of general interest. When \( k = 0 \), the equation is Laplace's equation, for static potential fields; when \( k \) is a real constant, we have the wave equation for sinusoidal time dependence (Helmholtz equation) or the diffusion equation for exponential time dependence; and when \( k^2 \) is a function of the coordinates, we have Schroedinger's equation for a particle with constant energy \( E \) \((k^2\) is then proportional to the difference between \( E \) and the potential energy of the particle).

There are an infinite number of different solutions of an equation
of the type of (5.1.1), an embarrassing variety of choices. Infinities and zeros can be placed anywhere; solutions can be found having any reasonably continuous distribution of chosen values (and some rather unreasonable distributions also) on any arbitrarily shaped surface we choose. The question is not to find a solution but to find the particular solution or solutions corresponding to the particular problem we wish to solve.

These various particular problems usually differ from each other in the nature of the boundary conditions applied; either the shape of the boundary varies or the prescribed behavior of the field at the boundary is different. (Initial conditions are, of course, boundary conditions for the surface \( t = 0 \).) This suggests that we classify the solutions of a particular partial differential equation according to the shapes of boundary surfaces which "generate" the solution and also according to the nature of the boundary condition at the surface. Such a classification is a tedious and complicated one; we shall discuss it here only to the extent needed for our present purposes. Several sections will be devoted to the subject later in the book.

**Boundary Surfaces and Coordinate Systems.** In the first place two general classes of boundary surfaces should be distinguished: open and closed surfaces. A closed boundary surface is one which surrounds the field everywhere, confining it to a finite volume of space. In this case the boundary surface is definitely outside the field, and all energy that escapes from the field is absorbed by the boundary. An open surface is one which does not completely enclose the field but lets it extend to infinity in at least one direction, so that the field extends over an infinite volume and energy can escape "to infinity" as well as be absorbed by the boundary. This definition of open surface is rather different from the usual loose meaning of the phrase; for instance, a sphere is a closed surface to a field inside it, but it is an open surface for a field outside it.

Let us first examine open boundaries. The boundary conditions usually placed on the field are the specification of the value of the field at every point on the boundary surface or the specification of the normal gradient to the surface at the surface, or both. Dirichlet boundary conditions fix the value of \( \psi \) on the surface; Neumann boundary conditions fix the value of \( \partial \psi / \partial n \) there; and Cauchy conditions fix both value and normal gradient there. Each is appropriate for different types of equations and different boundary surfaces. For instance, Dirichlet conditions on a closed surface uniquely specify a solution of Laplace's equation inside the closed surface, whereas Cauchy conditions would be "overspecifying" the same field. These matters will be dealt with in detail in Chap. 6.

For an open surface it would be expected that both value and slope at the surface (i.e., Cauchy conditions) would have to be specified in
order to result in a unique solution of a second order differential equation outside the surface. This is true, though there are other ways of unique specification of the solution. In order to simplify the discussion it is best, if possible, to "erect" on the boundary a coordinate system suitable to the boundary. By this we mean that we choose a coordinate system $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ such that the boundary surface is one of the coordinate surfaces, say $\xi_1 = X$, a constant. (There are modifications of this which add complication but do not change the essential principles, such as making a part of the surface $\xi_1 = X$, another part $\xi_2 = Y$, etc.) If the boundary surface is not too "pathological" in shape, we can find at least one suitable orthogonal system (see page 6). In many cases we can find more than one suitable system; this need not disturb us; we choose one of them and stick to it.

The partial differential equation [for instance Eq. (5.1.1), which we have chosen as an example] can be expressed in terms of these new coordinates, and the solutions will come out as functions of these $\xi$'s. All possible solutions of the equation can be expressed, of course, as functions of the $\xi$'s, but we are now in a position to classify the solutions according to the boundary conditions which they satisfy on the surface $\xi_1 = X$. There are the solutions, for instance, which go to zero at $\xi_1 = X$; these solutions must contain, as a factor, $(\xi_1 - X)$. There are also solutions with zero normal gradient on the surface; and, third, there are solutions with both value and normal gradient constant over all the surface.

As we mentioned above and will prove later, the third set achieves a one-to-one correspondence between solution and boundary condition; for each pair of values of $\psi, \partial \psi/\partial n$ on the surface there is just one corresponding solution. Some of these are just multiples of others and should not be considered as "different" solutions. For instance, the solution corresponding to $\psi = a\alpha, \partial \psi/\partial n = ab$ on the surface $\xi_1 = X$ is just $\alpha$ times the solution for $\psi = a, \partial \psi/\partial n = b$. These should not be considered as independent solutions, any more than the vector $A$ should be considered independent of the unit vector $a$ pointed along $A$.

We shall obtain different solutions, however, if we change the ratio of $\psi$ and $\partial \psi/\partial n$.

Suppose that we arrange these solutions in order of the value of the ratio $[\psi\sqrt{(\partial \psi/\partial n)^2}] = P$. The solution for $P = 0$ (there are an infinite number of solutions for $P = 0$, but they differ only by a constant factor, so they are counted just as one solution) corresponds to the boundary condition $\psi = 0$ at $\xi_1 = X$. If we now look at the solutions as $P$ is made to decrease in value below zero, we shall find that the surface $\psi = 0$ moves away from the surface $\xi_1 = X$. In general the family of surfaces $\psi = 0$ thus generated does not coincide with the family of surfaces $\xi_1 = \text{constant}$, but in a few cases they do coincide. In these special cases the
solution \( \psi \) must have a factor \( F_1(\xi_1) \), the functional form of which depends on \( P \). Whenever \( F_1(\xi_1) \) equals zero, the corresponding surface \( \psi = 0 \) coincides with one of the coordinate surfaces \( \xi_1 = \text{constant} \).

The surfaces \( \psi = 0 \) are called \textit{nodal surfaces} (or nodes) for \( \psi \). The family generated by variation of \( P \) may not include all the nodal surfaces. In general these additional surfaces will not be orthogonal to the surfaces \( \xi_1 = \text{constant}, \) so they will not coincide with the other coordinate surfaces.

So far we have been talking of a restricted set of solutions \( \psi \), those with constant value or constant normal gradient (or both) over the surface \( \xi_1 = X \). Most solutions have values and gradients at the boundary surface which vary over the surface, \( i.e. \), are functions of the coordinates \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \). Their nodal surfaces, in general, bear no simple relation to the \( \xi_1 \) coordinate surfaces. In a few cases, however, for a few simple boundary surfaces, we can separate out of the mass of different solutions a set having all their nodes either coincident with the \( \xi_1 \) coordinate surfaces or else orthogonal to these coordinate surfaces. Such solutions must be in the form of a product of two factors

\[
\psi = F_1(\xi_1)\Phi(\xi_2,\xi_3)
\]

one of them, \( F_1 \), a function of \( \xi_1 \) alone, the zero of which are responsible for the nodes coincident with the \( \xi_1 \) surfaces and the other, \( \Phi \), a function of \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \) but not \( \xi_1 \), responsible for the nodes orthogonal to the \( \xi_1 \) surfaces.

In a still more limited set of coordinate systems one can find a set of \( \psi \)'s with nodal surfaces which all coincide with the three families of coordinate surfaces and which can be expressed as a product of three factors

\[
\psi = F_1(\xi_1)F_2(\xi_2)F_3(\xi_3)
\]

Such solutions are \textit{separated} into factors, each dependent on only one coordinate. In a large number of coordinate systems a few solutions can be found having a few nodal surfaces coincident with the coordinate surfaces, but in only a few coordinates can one find a whole family of solutions with all their nodes behaving in this manner.

Of course, even in these special coordinates the family of separated solutions forms a small subset of the set of all solutions of Eq. (5.1.1). The interesting and important property of this subset, however, is that \textit{all solutions of the partial differential equation can be built up out of linear combinations of the members of the family of separated solutions.} Once we have computed the separated solutions, we can obtain the rest.

Such coordinate systems, which allow families of separated solutions of a given equation from which all solutions of the equation can be built up, are called \textit{separable coordinate systems for the equation in question}. Only for these systems is it possible to obtain solutions in more usable form than unwieldly multivariable series or integrals.
We have been dogmatic in the statements made in the past few pages in order to present the picture as a whole. It will take several chapters to analyze and prove all that we have said. The rest of this section will be devoted to finding separable systems of coordinates and showing how the separated solutions can be obtained.

**Two-dimensional Separable Coordinates.** Let us start with two dimensions, where our sample equation (5.1.1) has the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + k^2 \psi = 0 \tag{5.1.2}$$

This equation is separable in the rectangular coordinates \(x, y\) if \(k\) is a constant, for if we set \(\psi = X(x)Y(y)\) into Eq. (5.1.2), after a bit of juggling we obtain

$$\frac{1}{X} \frac{d^2 X}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{Y} \frac{d^2 Y}{dy^2} + k^2 = 0$$

The first term in this equation is a function of \(x\) only, the second term a function of \(y\) only, and the third term is a constant. The only way that it can be satisfied for all values of \(x\) and \(y\) is for each term to be a constant and for the sum of the three constants to be zero. That is

$$(1/X)(d^2 X/dx^2) = -\alpha^2; \quad (1/Y)(d^2 Y/dy^2) = -\beta^2; \quad \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = k^2$$

or

$$(d^2 X/dx^2) + \alpha^2 X = 0; \quad (d^2 Y/dy^2) + (k^2 - \alpha^2) Y = 0 \tag{5.1.3}$$

We have therefore split the partial differential equation in two variables into two ordinary differential equations, each for a single independent variable. The constant \(\alpha^2\) is called the separation constant. The family of separated solutions of Eq. (5.1.2) consists of the products of solutions of Eqs. (5.1.3) for all values of the parameter \(\alpha\). A general solution of Eq. (5.1.2) can be expressed in terms of a linear combination of the separated solutions for various values of the parameter \(\alpha\). In other words, since solutions of Eqs. (5.1.3) are \(e^{\pm \alpha x + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - k^2} y}\), the general solutions can be expressed as an integral

$$\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\alpha) e^{i\alpha x + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - k^2} y} \, d\alpha \tag{5.1.4}$$

which is a form of Fourier integral (see page 453). The integral is sometimes a contour integral over complex values of \(\alpha\).

If \(k^2\) is a function of the coordinates [as it would be if Eq. (5.1.2) were a Schrödinger equation], it must have the general form \(k^2 = \varepsilon^2 + f(x) + g(y)\) in order to be able to separate the equation, where \(f\) and \(g\) are arbitrary functions of a single variable. Then the separated equations become

$$(d^2 X/dx^2) + [\alpha^2 + f(x)] X = 0; \quad (d^2 Y/dy^2) + [\varepsilon^2 - \alpha^2 + g(y)] Y = 0$$

with \(\alpha^2\) the separation constant again.
§5.1]  

Separable Coordinates

To discuss other coordinates we can utilize the techniques of the theory of analytic functions of the complex variable \( z = x + iy \) in order to simplify the analysis, for Eq. (5.1.2) can be expressed in terms of derivatives with respect to \( z \) and its complex conjugate \( \bar{z} = x - iy \) (which are independent variables). According to Eq. (4.1.11) we can transform Eq. (5.1.2) into

\[
4(\partial^2 \psi / \partial z \partial \bar{z}) + k^2 \psi = 0
\]

Now let us make a conformal transformation of coordinates from \( x, y \) to \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \). If the transformation is conformal, the function \( w = \xi_1 + i\xi_2 \) must be an analytic function of \( z = x + iy \) (and the conjugate function \( \bar{w} = \xi_1 - i\xi_2 \) must be a function of \( \bar{z} = x - iy \)). The new coordinate lines are given by the equations \( \xi_1(x,y) = \text{constant} \), \( \xi_2(x,y) = \text{constant} \). The differentials \( \partial / \partial z = (dw/dz)(\partial / \partial w) \) and \( \partial / \partial \bar{z} = (d\bar{w}/d\bar{z})(\partial / \partial \bar{w}) \), so that the transformed equation is

\[
4 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial w \partial \bar{w}} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_2^2} = -\frac{k^2 \psi}{|dw/dz|^2} = -\frac{dz}{dw} \frac{d\bar{z}}{d\bar{w}} k^2 \psi \quad (5.1.5)
\]

where we now express \( z \) as a function of \( w \) (and \( \bar{z} \) as a function of \( \bar{w} \)).

Separable Coordinates for Laplace's Equation in Two Dimensions.

We notice, first of all, that for Laplace's equation, where \( k = 0 \), all coordinates obtained by conformal transformation from the rectangular coordinates \( x, y \) are separable coordinates for Laplace's equation in two dimensions. The separated solutions are of the general form

\[
\psi = A e^{i\alpha \xi_1 \pm i\alpha \xi_2} \quad \text{or} \quad Ae^{\beta w} + Be^{-\beta \bar{w}}
\]

where the separation constant \( \alpha \) may have any value, real, imaginary, or complex. Integrals or sums of these elementary solutions, for different values of \( \alpha \), or \( \beta \) or \( \gamma \) can represent any solution of the two-dimensional Laplace equation. This will be treated in detail in Sec. 10.2.

We should notice that there is a close correlation between the geometrical properties of the coordinates and the behavior of the solutions. The geometrical properties of the coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \) are expressed most clearly by the scale factors \( h_1 = \sqrt{(\partial x/\partial \xi_1)^2 + (\partial y/\partial \xi_1)^2} \) and \( h_2 \) (see page 24). But for conformal transforms the scale factors are equal, and by use of the Cauchy conditions, we can show that the scale factors are equal to \(|dz/dw|\). Wherever \( w(z) \) has a pole, there the scale factor \(|dz/dw|\) has a zero and the \( \xi \) coordinate system has a concentration point. Turned around, we see that, wherever the coordinate system has a concentration point, \( w(z) \) has a pole, and the solution \( \psi = e^{i\alpha w} \) has an essential singularity.

Separation of the Wave Equation. For the two-dimensional Helmholz equation (wave equation with sinusoidal time factor) \( k \) is a con-
stant, equal to $\omega/c$. In this case Eq. (5.1.5) will not separate unless $(dz/dw)(d\bar{z}/d\bar{w})$, which is a function of $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$, turns out to be the sum of a function of $\xi_1$ alone and a function of $\xi_2$ alone. In other words,

$$k^2|dz/dw|^2 = f(\xi_1) + g(\xi_2)$$

or, in differential form,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} \left( \frac{dz}{dw} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (5.1.6)$$

which must be solved to find the forms $|dz/dw|^2$ can take on for separable coordinates.

Since the quantity

$$\left| \frac{dz}{dw} \right| = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_1} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_1} \right)^2} = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_2} \right)^2}$$

[using the Cauchy conditions (4.2.1)] is the scale factor $h_1 = h_2$ for the two coordinates $\xi_1, \xi_2$ (for conformal transformations the scale factors are always equal, see page 330), what we have done is to obtain an equation for the kind of scale factor a coordinate system must have to be separable for Eq. (5.1.5). It is not surprising to find the problem turning out this way; we said on page 25 that, when we know the scale factors, we know all the important properties of the corresponding coordinate system.

The equation for $h_1h_2$ is still not in shape for solution. The quantity $dz/dw$ is a function of $w$ and $d\bar{z}/d\bar{w}$ a function of $\bar{w}$, so the differential operator needs transforming to the variables $w, \bar{w}$. We have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_2} = i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w^2} - i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{w}^2}$$

and since $dz/dw$ does not depend on $\bar{w}$, and vice versa, Eq. (5.1.6) becomes

$$\left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{d\bar{w}} \right) \frac{d^2}{d\bar{w}^2} \left( \frac{dz}{dw} \right) = \left( \frac{dz}{dw} \right) \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{d\bar{w}} \right)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{dz/dw} \frac{d^2}{d\bar{w}^2} \left( \frac{dz}{dw} \right) = \frac{1}{d\bar{z}/d\bar{w}} \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{d\bar{w}} \right)$$

The left-hand side of the last equation is a function of $w$ only. In order that it equal the function on the right (a function of $\bar{w}$ alone) for all values of $w$ and $\bar{w}$, both sides must equal the same constant, which we can call $\lambda$. Therefore, we finally obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{d\bar{w}^2} \left( \frac{dz}{dw} \right) = \lambda \left( \frac{dz}{dw} \right), \quad \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{d\bar{w}} \right) = \lambda \left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{d\bar{w}} \right) \quad (5.1.7)$$

Only if $dz/dw$ satisfies the first equation (and $d\bar{z}/d\bar{w}$ the conjugate equation) can $|dz/dw|$ be the scale factor for a separable coordinate system.
We must solve these equations to determine what coordinates are separable for Eq. (5.1.1).

Rectangular and Parabolic Coordinates. The simplest case, of course, is for $\lambda = 0$. The solution for $dz/dw$ is then $\beta + \gamma w$, and if $\gamma$ happens to be zero, we have $(\alpha = a + ib, \beta = c + id)$

$$z = \alpha + \beta w; \quad x = a + c\xi_1 - d\xi_2; \quad y = b + c\xi_2 + d\xi_1 \quad (5.1.8)$$

This corresponds to a simple rotation, change of scale, and translation, leaving the coordinates still rectangular. The new scale factor is $|dz/dw| = |\beta| = \sqrt{c^2 + d^2}$, and the equation is the same as Eq. (5.1.2) with $k^2|\beta|^2$ replacing $k^2$.

Fig. 5.1 Parabolic coordinates.

When $\gamma$ is not zero, we may as well concentrate on this term, since the terms in $\alpha$ and $\beta$ simply rotate and translate. Simple change in scale makes no essential change, so we choose $\gamma = 1$ (and omit the integration constant) for simplicity. Therefore we have

$$z = \frac{1}{2}w^2; \quad x = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2); \quad y = \xi_1\xi_2 \quad (5.1.9)$$

$$|dz/dw| = h_1 = h_2 = |w| = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}$$

These are parabolic coordinates, the coordinate lines being two orthogonal families of confocal parabolas, with axes along the $x$ axis. These lines are given by $\xi_1 = [\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + x]^4 = constant$ and $\xi_2 = \pm [\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - x]^4 = constant$, as shown in Fig. 5.1. Such coordinates are suitable for a boundary consisting of the negative half of the $x$ axis, for instance (which corresponds to $\xi_1 = 0$).

Equation (5.1.5) then becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_2^2} + (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)k^2 \psi = 0 \quad (5.1.10)$$

which is of separable form, as it should be. Applying the usual machinery, we see that the factored solution is $\psi = F(\xi_1)G(\xi_2)$, with the ordinary
differential equations for the factors
\[(d^2 F / d\xi^2) + (\kappa^2 + k^2 \xi_1^2) F = 0; \quad (d^2 G / d\xi^2) + (-\kappa^2 + k^2 \xi_3^2) G = 0 \] (5.1.11)

We shall discuss solutions of these equations later in this chapter.

Several points should be made here. One is that, while the function \( z = \frac{1}{2} w^2 \) is analytic everywhere except at infinity, nevertheless \( w = 0 \) is a branch point for the conformal transformation for the scale factor \( h \) goes to zero there. We take care of the double values by requiring that \( \xi_1 \) have only positive values whereas \( \xi_2 \) is allowed to range from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\). The line \( \xi_1 = 0 \), which is the negative half of the \( x \) axis, is thus made the cut, positive values of \( \xi_2 \) being above this line and negative values below it. If it is possible, we shall exclude this cut from the region under consideration by making the boundary coincide with one of the lines \( \xi_1 = \) constant, having the field outside the boundary. This can be done for most cases with open boundaries, but for closed boundaries, consisting of the lines \( \xi_1 = \) constant, \( \xi_2 = \) constant, with the field inside the boundaries, part of the cut is in the region of interest. In such a case we avoid discontinuities in the solution along the cut by requiring that \( G \) be either an even or an odd function of \( \xi_2 \) and then choosing the corresponding \( F(\xi_1) \) so that, if \( G \) is even, \( dF/d\xi_1 = 0 \) at \( \xi_1 = 0 \) or, if \( G \) is odd, \( F(0) = 0 \) (discussed in detail in Sec. 11.2).

Corresponding to the only singularity in \( w^2 \), that at infinity, we shall later show that the solutions \( F \) and \( G \) also have their only singularities for infinite values of \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \).

For the Schrödinger equation in parabolic coordinates, we must have the function \( \kappa^2 \) with the form \( \kappa^2 = \left[f(\xi_1) + g(\xi_2)\right]/(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) \). In this case the separated equations are
\[(d^2 F / d\xi_1^2) + \left[\kappa^2 \xi_1^2 + f(\xi_1)\right] F = 0; \quad (d^2 G / d\xi_2^2) + \left[\kappa^2 \xi_2^2 + g(\xi_2)\right] G = 0 \]
where \( f \) and \( g \) are any self-respecting functions of a single variable. The functions \( F \) and \( G \) will have singularities at \( \xi = \infty \) at any singularity of \( f \) or \( g \).

**Polar and Elliptic Coordinates.** Returning to Eqs. (5.1.7) we next investigate the cases where \( \lambda \) is not zero. We take first the positive values of \( \lambda \), and choose \( \lambda = 1 \) because a variation in numerical value of \( \lambda \) only changes scale and does not give a new set of coordinates (as long as \( \lambda \) is larger than zero, it might as well be unity). We have
\[dz/dw - e^{+w} \quad \text{or} \quad z = ae^{w} + be^{-w} \]

We first take the limiting case of \( b = 0 \) (and we let \( a = 1 \), since change of \( a \) only changes scale). Then the transformation is to the coordinates given by
\[
\begin{align*}
    z &= e^{w} = e^{t+i\theta}; \quad x = e^{t} \cos \xi_2; \quad y = e^{t} \sin \xi_2 \\
    r &= e^{t} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}; \quad \varphi = \xi_2 = \tan^{-1}(y/x) \\
    |dz/dw| &= h_1 = h_2 = e^{t} = r
\end{align*}
\] (5.1.12)
which are polar coordinates, the family $\xi_1 = \text{constant}$ (or $r = \text{constant}$) being concentric circles and the set $\xi_2 = \varphi = \text{constant}$ are the radial lines, as shown in Fig. 5.2 (we note that the scale factor $h_1$ is for the coordinate $\xi_1 = \ln r$, not for the coordinate $r$, which has a scale factor $h_r = 1$). These coordinates are useful for circular boundaries or ones consisting of two lines meeting at an angle.

![Fig. 5.2 Polar coordinates.](image1)

![Fig. 5.3 Elliptic coordinates.](image2)

The form taken by Eq. (5.1.5) is

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \xi_1^2}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \xi_2^2}\right) + e^{2b}k^2\Psi = 0$$

(5.1.13)

which separates into the equations

$$\psi = F(\xi_1)G(\xi_2); \quad (d^2F/d\xi_1^2) + (k^2e^{2b} - \alpha^2)F = 0; \quad (d^2G/d\xi_2^2) + \alpha^2G = 0$$

(5.1.14)

where the constant $\alpha^2$ is again the separation constant.

For the general case $z = ae^\varphi + be^{-\varphi}$, we can obtain the results in more convenient form by setting

$$a = \frac{1}{2}d e^{-\beta} = \frac{1}{2}a e^{-\beta}; \quad b = \frac{1}{2}d e^{\beta} = \frac{1}{2}b e^{\beta}; \quad d = e^\alpha = \sqrt{4ab}; \quad e^\beta = \sqrt{b/a}$$

so that, if $d$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ are real quantities,

$$x = d \cosh (w - \beta) = e^\varphi \cosh (\xi_1 + 2\xi_2 - \beta)$$

$$x = d \cosh (\xi_1 - \beta) \cos (\xi_2); \quad y = d \sinh (\xi_1 - \beta) \sin (\xi_2)$$

(5.1.15)

$$|dz/dw| = h_1 = h_2 = d \sqrt{\cosh^2 (\xi_1 - \beta) - \cos^2 \xi_2}$$

These are the elliptic coordinates, consisting of confocal ellipses and hyperbolas, with the foci at $x = \pm d$ and $y = 0$, as shown in Fig. 5.3. The constant $\beta$ is usually set equal to zero for convenience. (However, it should be noted that, if we set $\alpha - \beta + \ln 2$ and then allow $\beta$ to go to negative infinity, the elliptic foci merge together into the origin and the coordinate system changes to polar coordinates in the limit.) The
forms of the partial differential equation in these coordinates and of the ordinary differential equations resulting from separation are

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_2^2} + d^2 k^2 \cosh^2 (\xi_1 - \beta) - \cosh^2 (\xi_2) \psi = 0
\]

\[
\psi = F(\xi_1)G(\xi_2); \quad \left( \frac{d^2 F}{d \xi_1^2} \right) + \left[ d^2 k^2 \cosh^2 (\xi_1 - \beta) - \alpha \right] F = 0 \quad (5.1.16)
\]

\[
\left( \frac{d^2 G}{d \xi_2^2} \right) - \left[ d^2 k^2 \cosh^2 (\xi_2) - \alpha \right] G = 0
\]

with \( \alpha \) again as the separation constant.

**Scale Factors and Coordinate Geometry.** We shall see later in this chapter that equations of the form produced by separation in polar or elliptic coordinates, having exponential (or hyperbolic) functions in the coefficients of \( F \) or \( G \), are not in the most convenient form for analysis. The difficulty is primarily due to the geometry of these coordinate systems and to the fact that we have obtained them by use of the conformal transformation. Both of these systems have concentration points, where the scale factor \(|dz/d\mu|\) goes to zero. Near such points the coordinate lines are very closely spaced, corresponding to the smallness of the scale factor, and since the transformation is conformal, the scale factors for both coordinates vanish at these points.

However, once we have determined the geometry of the separable system, we can modify the scale factors of each coordinate separately in such a manner as to retain the coordinate geometry but change the separated equations to forms which are more amenable to analysis. For instance, since algebraic functions for the coefficients of \( F \) and \( G \) are more desirable than exponential ones, in the separated equations, we could set \( e^{\xi_1} \) to be a new coordinate \( r \) for the polar coordinates and \( \cosh (\xi_1 - \beta) \) to be \( \mu \) for elliptic coordinates. The transformation would not then be conformal, but the shape of the coordinate lines would be unchanged and the coordinates would still be separable.

Referring back to Eq. (5.1.6), either for the wave equation or the Schroedinger equation, for separation we must have that \( k^2 |dz/d\mu|^2 = k^2 h^2 = f(\xi_1) + g(\xi_2) \). In this case the separated equations are

\[
\left( \frac{d^2 F}{d \xi_1^2} \right) + f(\xi_1) F = 0; \quad \left( \frac{d^2 G}{d \xi_2^2} \right) + g(\xi_2) G = 0; \quad \psi = F(\xi_1)G(\xi_2)
\]

(5.1.17)

In line with the discussion of the previous paragraph, we choose some function \( \mu(\xi_1) \) of the variable \( \xi_1 \), such that the function \( f(\xi_1) \), expressed as \( f(\mu) \), is a simple algebraic function of \( \mu \). Since \( f + g \) is proportional to the scale factor \( |dz/d\mu|^2 \), the chances are that both \( f \) and \( g \) will go to zero at the concentration points of the coordinate system. We can choose our new coordinate \( \mu \) so the concentration point is for some standard value of \( \mu \), such as 0 or 1 (or, perhaps, infinity). The scale factor for \( \mu \) is related to the scale factor \( h \) for \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) by the following relation:

\[
h_\mu = \sqrt{(\partial x/\partial \mu)^2 + (\partial y/\partial \mu)^2 - h_\xi}; \quad \Phi_\mu = (d\xi_1/d\mu) \quad (5.1.18)
\]


\[ \frac{d^2}{d\mu^2} \xi_1 = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \right) \]

We can, if necessary, do the same for \( \xi_2 \), changing to a new function \( \eta(\xi_2) \) and obtaining a new scale factor \( h_\eta = \frac{h_\eta}{\xi_2} \) and so on. The new transformation and resulting separated equations then become

\[ \begin{align*}
  w(z) &= \xi_1(\mu) + i\xi_2(\eta); \\
  h_\mu &= \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \mu} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \mu} \right)^2} = \Phi_\mu h; \\
  h_\eta &= \Phi_\eta h; \\
  \frac{\partial \Phi_\mu}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial \Phi_\eta}{\partial \xi_2} &= -h\cdot k\cdot \psi = -[\psi(\xi_1) + q(\xi_2)]; \\
  \psi &= F(\mu)G(\eta) \\
  \frac{d^2 F}{d\mu^2} - \frac{\Phi_\mu}{\Phi_\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} F &= 0; \\
  \frac{d^2 G}{d\eta^2} - \frac{\Phi_\eta}{\Phi_\eta} \frac{d}{d\eta} \frac{d}{d\eta} G &= 0
\end{align*} \]  

These last two ordinary differential equations look more complicated than Eqs. (5.1.17), but if \( \Phi_\mu^2 \Phi_\eta \) and \( \Phi_\mu f(\mu) \) are algebraic functions of \( \mu \) instead of transcendental or other functions, they are easier to analyze and solve.

As we pointed out above, the function \( \Phi_\mu^2 \) or the function \( \Phi_\mu f(\mu) \) or both will probably become infinite at the values of \( \mu \) corresponding to the concentration points of the coordinate system, so that the singularities of the coefficients of \( dF/d\mu \) and \( F \) in the equation for \( F \) are closely related to the geometry of the corresponding coordinate system. This interrelation will be referred to later in the chapter.

To make specific these remarks we apply them to the polar coordinates of Eqs. (5.1.12). We wish to change the scale of the radial coordinate so that the function \( e^{2\Phi} \) becomes an algebraic function. An obvious choice is \( \mu = r = e^\theta \) or \( \xi_1 = \ln \mu \), where \( \mu \) (or \( r \)) is the usual distance. The origin, the only concentration point for this coordinate system, is then at \( r = 0 \). The scale factor and resulting equation for \( F \) are then

\[ \begin{align*}
  \xi_1 &= \ln r; \\
  \Phi_r &= \frac{1}{r}; \\
  \Phi_r &= -\left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right); \\
  h_r &= 1; \\
  \frac{d^2 F}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{dF}{dr} + \frac{1}{r^2} f(r)F &= 0
\end{align*} \]

where, for the wave equation, \( f(r) = r^2 k^2 - 2 \). We see, therefore, that the coefficients both of \( dF/dr \) and of \( F \) have singularities at the polar center, \( r = 0 \).

The scale of the \( \xi_2 \) coordinate does not need to be changed, since the last of Eqs. (5.1.14) has no transcendental coefficients. Nevertheless \( \xi_2 \) is an angle, so that the solution \( G \) is a periodic function and \( w \) is a multivalued function of \( z \). To remove the "multivaluedness" it is
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sometimes useful to make the transformation \( \eta = \cos \xi_2 \), where the useful range of \( \eta \) is between \(-1\) and \(+1\). The related equations are

\[
\xi_2 = \cos^{-1} \eta; \quad \Phi_\eta = -(1 - \eta^2)^{-1}; \quad \Phi_\eta' = -\eta(1 - \eta^2)^{-1}
\]

\[
h_\eta = -r/\sqrt{1 - \eta^2}; \quad \frac{d^2G}{d\eta^2} - \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta^2} \frac{dG}{d\eta} + \frac{g(\eta)}{1 - \eta^2} G = 0
\]

(5.1.21)

where, for the wave equation, \( g(\eta) = \alpha^2 \), the separation constant. The singularities of the coefficients are here at \( \eta = \pm 1 \), the two ends of the useful range for \( \eta \).

It should be obvious now that the simplest transformation for the elliptic coordinate case is \( \cosh (\xi_1 - \beta) = \mu \) and \( \cos \xi_2 = \eta \), where the interesting range of \( \mu \) is from \( 1 \) to \( \infty \) and the useful range of \( \eta \) is from \(+1\) to \(-1\). The transformation and modified equations are (for \( \beta = 0 \))

\[
x = d\mu \eta; \quad y = d \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu^2 - 1}}(1 - \eta^2); \quad \xi_1 = \cosh^{-1} \mu; \quad \xi_2 = \cos^{-1} \eta
\]

\[
\Phi_\mu = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}}; \quad h_\mu = d \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu^2 - 1}}; \quad h_\eta = d \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \eta^2}}
\]

\[
\frac{d^2F}{d\mu^2} + \frac{\mu}{\mu^2 - 1} \frac{dF}{d\mu} + \frac{f(\mu)}{\mu^2 - 1} F = 0; \quad \frac{d^2G}{d\eta^2} - \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta^2} \frac{dG}{d\eta} + \frac{g(\eta)}{1 - \eta^2} G = 0
\]

(5.1.22)

where, for the wave equation, \( f(\mu) = -d^2k_0^2 \mu^2 - \alpha^2 \) and \( g(\eta) = -d^2k_0^2 + \alpha^2 \). Here again, the coefficients in these equations have singularities only where the coordinates have concentration points (\( \mu = 1, \eta = \pm 1 \)).

We should also note that \( \mu - \eta - (1/d) \sqrt{(x + d)^2 + y^2} - r_1/d \) and \( \mu - \eta = (1/d) \sqrt{(x - d)^2 + y^2} = r_2/d \) or

\[
\mu = (r_1 + r_2)/2d; \quad \eta = (r_2 - r_3)/2d
\]

(5.1.23)

where \( r_1, r_3 \) are the distances from the point \((x, y)\) to the two foci of the coordinates \((x = \mp d, \ y = 0)\). Therefore the line \( \mu = \) constant corresponds to the locus of points such that the sum of the distances of the point from the two foci are constant, a standard definition of an ellipse. Similarly the line \( \eta = \) constant involves the difference between the distances, a standard definition of a hyperbola.

Separation Constants and Boundary Conditions. If the coordinates suitable for the boundary surface of a given problem are separable coordinates, then one can, in principle, satisfy reasonable boundary conditions by the right combination of the factored solutions (what boundary conditions are "reasonable" and how to find the "right combination" will be discussed in Chaps. 6 and 7). For instance, the boundary may be the line \( \xi_1 = \) constant in one of the separable two-dimensional coordinates we have been discussing. This boundary may be finite in length (as for a closed boundary), in which case the factors \( X_0(\xi_2) \) must be continuous as we vary \( \xi_2 \), going along the line \( \xi_1 = \) constant from a given point clear around to the same point.
For instance, for the polar coordinates \( r, \varphi \), the line \( r = \text{constant} \) is a circle of finite size which is completely traversed by letting the angle \( \varphi \) go from 0 to \( 2\pi \). The equation for the \( \varphi \) factor in the separated solution is

\[
(d^2 \Phi / d\varphi^2) + \alpha^2 \Phi = 0; \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha \quad \text{is the separation constant}
\]

with solutions either \( \cos (\alpha \varphi) \) or \( \sin (\alpha \varphi) \) or a linear combination thereof. In order to have \( \Phi \) continuous along the boundary \( r = \text{constant} \), the solution \( \Phi \) must have the same value at \( \varphi = 2\pi \) as it does at \( \varphi = 0 \); in other words, \( \Phi \) must be periodic in \( \varphi \) with period \( 2\pi \). Such a periodicity requirement imposes restrictions on the allowable values of the separation constant \( \alpha \). In our example, in order that \( \cos (\alpha \varphi) \) or \( \sin (\alpha \varphi) \) be periodic in \( \varphi \) with period \( 2\pi \), the separation constant \( \alpha \) must be an integer \( m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). In other cases where \( \xi_2 \) is a periodic coordinate, there are similar restrictions on the values of the separation constant for solutions to be continuous around the circuit of the boundary \( \xi_1 = \text{constant} \).

We can always order these allowed values, letting the lowest value be \( \alpha_1 \) and so on, so that \( \alpha_{n+1} > \alpha_n \), and we can label the corresponding factors in the solutions \( X_1(\xi_2), X_2(\xi_2) \). The factor for \( \xi_1 \) also depends on \( \alpha \), so that the complete solution corresponding to the allowed value \( \alpha_n \) of the separation constant is \( X_n(\xi_1)X_n(\xi_2) \).

It will be shown in Chap. 6 that any "reasonable" function of the periodic coordinate \( \xi_2 \) can always be expressed in terms of a series of these allowed functions

\[
f(\xi_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n X_n(\xi_2)
\]

The rules for computing the coefficients \( A_n \) will also be given later. Therefore if the solution of interest \( \psi(\xi_1,\xi_2) \) is to be one which satisfies the boundary condition \( \psi(\xi_1,\xi_2) = f(\xi_2) \) along the boundary \( \xi_1 = c \), then the solution may be expressed in terms of the separated solutions for the allowed values of the separation constant:

\[
\psi(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \left[ \begin{array}{c}
X_n'(\xi_1) \\
X_n'(\xi_2)
\end{array} \right] X_n(\xi_2)
\]

(5.1.21)

Other, more complicated boundary conditions may be similarly satisfied.

We notice that the final solution, \( \psi(\xi_1,\xi_2) \), is not separable but that it can be expressed in terms of a series of separable solutions. In each case the conditions of periodicity pick out a sequence of allowed values of the separation constant, and the general solutions are given in terms of a series over these allowed values. Even for open boundaries, generalizations of the periodicity requirements allowed us again to express a solution satisfying specified boundary conditions in terms of a series.
(or an integral) of separated solutions over the allowed values of the separation constant.

But this discussion has carried us as far afield as is needful here; we must next investigate the separability of three dimensional partial differential equations.

**Separation in Three Dimensions.** Separation of solutions in two dimensions is particularly simple for several reasons. In the first place there is only one separation constant, so that the factored solutions form a one-parameter family, which makes the fitting of boundary conditions by series relatively straightforward. In the second place, the conditions for separation are simple: in the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v^2} + k^2 \left( \frac{|dz|}{	ext{dw}} \right)^2 \psi = 0$$

the term $k^2 |dz/du|^2$ must consist of a simple sum of a function of $u$ alone and a function of $v$ alone, or else the equation would not separate. And third, the only cases giving rise to families of nodal surfaces which coincide with the coordinate surfaces are cases where the solutions have the simple factored form $X_1(\xi_1)X_2(\xi_2)$.

In all three of these respects the three-dimensional separation problem is more complex. Since there are three separated equations, there are two separation constants instead of one. Each of the three equations may contain both constants, in which case each of the three factors in the separated solution depend on both separation constants in a complicated manner and the satisfying of boundary conditions even by a series of separated solutions is a tedious and difficult task. For some coordinate systems, however, the results are such that one (or two) of the separated equations contains only one separation constant; in these cases the general series solution takes on a simpler and more amenable form.

Taking up the third item next, it turns out that in the three-dimensional Laplace equation $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$, there are some coordinate systems in which the solution takes on the more complex form $R(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)X_1(\xi_1)X_2(\xi_2)X_3(\xi_3)$, where the additional factor $R$ (which might be called a *modulation factor*) is independent of the separation constants. For these systems there is a measure of separation, and boundary conditions can be satisfied, for the common factor $R$ can be taken outside the summation over allowed values of the separation constant and the sum has the same general form as for the cases without a modulation factor.

Returning to the second item enumerated above, the term in the three-dimensional partial differential equation corresponding to the term $k^2 |dz/du|^2$ for two dimensions does not need to be simply a sum of functions each of only one coordinate; separation may be attained for more complicated cases than this.
§5.1]  

Separable Coordinates

The Stäckel Determinant. The general technique for separating our standard three-dimensional partial differential equation

$$\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0$$

depends on the properties of three-row determinants. The relation between such a determinant $S$ and its elements $\Phi_{mn}$ is given by the equation

$$S = |\Phi_{mn}| = \begin{vmatrix} \Phi_{11} & \Phi_{12} & \Phi_{13} \\ \Phi_{21} & \Phi_{22} & \Phi_{23} \\ \Phi_{31} & \Phi_{32} & \Phi_{33} \end{vmatrix} = \Phi_{11}\Phi_{22}\Phi_{33} + \Phi_{12}\Phi_{23}\Phi_{31} + \Phi_{13}\Phi_{21}\Phi_{32} - \Phi_{11}\Phi_{23}\Phi_{32} - \Phi_{12}\Phi_{21}\Phi_{33} - \Phi_{13}\Phi_{22}\Phi_{31} \tag{5.1.25}$$

The first minor of the determinant for the element $\Phi_{mn}$ is the factor which multiplies the element $\Phi_{mn}$ in the expression for the determinant. For instance, the first minors for the elements in the first column, $\Phi_{11}$, $\Phi_{21}$, $\Phi_{31}$, are

$$M_1 = \delta S/\delta \Phi_{11} = \Phi_{22}\Phi_{33} - \Phi_{23}\Phi_{32}$$
$$M_2 = \delta S/\delta \Phi_{21} = \Phi_{11}\Phi_{33} - \Phi_{13}\Phi_{31}$$
$$M_3 = \delta S/\delta \Phi_{31} = \Phi_{12}\Phi_{23} - \Phi_{13}\Phi_{22} \tag{5.1.26}$$

Since we need here only minors for the first column, we need not give the $M$'s two subscripts.

The important property of determinants, which we shall use in separating three-dimensional equations, is the orthogonality property relating elements and first minors. For instance, for the minors defined in Eq. (5.1.26) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} M_n \Phi_{n1} = S; \quad \sum_{n=1}^{3} M_n \Phi_{nm} = 0; \quad m = 2, 3 \tag{5.1.27}$$

as though there were vectors with components $\Phi_{n2}$ or $\Phi_{n3}$ which were perpendicular to a vector with components $M_n$.

Therefore if the separated equations for the three-dimensional case were

$$\frac{1}{f_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{\partial X_n}{\partial \xi_n} \right] + [k_1^2 \Phi_{n1} + k_2^2 \Phi_{n2} + k_3^2 \Phi_{n3}] X_n = 0 \tag{5.1.28}$$

we could combine the three equations in such a manner as to eliminate the separation constants $k_2$ and $k_3$. For by multiplying the equation for $X_1$ by $(M_1/S)X_2X_3$, and so on, and adding, we obtain

$$\sum_n M_n \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} \right] + k_1^2 \psi = 0; \quad \psi = X_1X_2X_3 \tag{5.1.29}$$

This equation would correspond to our standard equation $\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0$. 
Ordinary Differential Equations

if the expression for the Laplacian in the \( \xi \) coordinates,

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = \sum_n \frac{1}{h_{\xi_n} h_{\xi_{\xi_n}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ \frac{h_{\xi_n} h_{\xi_{\xi_n}} \partial \psi}{h_n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\xi_n}} \right] \tag{5.1.30}
\]

were equal to the first expression of Eq. (5.1.29).

In order to have this happen, several restrictions must be placed on the scale factors \( h \) and on the elements \( \Phi_{\xi m} \) of the determinant \( S \). In the first place, since Eq. (5.1.28) is supposed to be a separated equation, the functions \( f_n, \Phi_{\xi_1}, \Phi_{\xi_2}, \) and \( \Phi_{\xi_3} \) must all be functions of \( \xi_1 \) alone. A determinant with elements \( \Phi_{\xi m} \) in the top row which are functions of \( \xi_1 \), with elements \( \Phi_{\xi m} \) in the second row functions of \( \xi_2 \) and with elements \( \Phi_{\xi m} \) in the bottom row functions of \( \xi_3 \) only, is called a Stäckel determinant. It is basic for the study of separation in three dimensions. We also note that, if \( \Phi_{\xi m} \) is a function of \( \xi_1 \), etc., the first minor \( M_1 \) is a function of \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \), but not of \( \xi_1 \), etc.

Next, we must have the quantity \( h_{\xi_1} h_{\xi_2}/h_n^2 \) be equal to the product of the function \( f_n \) of \( \xi_1 \) alone times some function \( g_n \) of the other \( \xi \)'s. Then, for instance, the term in the Laplacian for \( \xi_1 \) becomes

\[
\frac{1}{h_{\xi_1} h_{\xi_2}/h_n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \left[ h_{\xi_1} h_{\xi_2}/h_n^2 \partial \psi \right] = \frac{g_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)}{h_{\xi_1} h_{\xi_2}/h_n^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_1} = 1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \left[ f_1 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_1} \right]
\]

which has the general form of the term \( (M_1/S) \partial(f \partial \psi/\partial \xi_1)/\partial \xi_1 \) in Eq. (5.1.29). In fact in order to have the terms identical, we must have

\[
1/h_n^2 = M_n/S \tag{5.1.31}
\]

and this, together with the original restriction on \( h_{\xi_1} h_{\xi_2}/h_n^2 \), leads to the Robertson condition

\[
h_{\xi_1} h_{\xi_2}/S = f_1(\xi_1)f_2(\xi_2)f_3(\xi_3) \tag{5.1.32}
\]

which at the same time determines the Stäckel determinant and limits the kinds of coordinate systems which will allow separation. When it holds, the quantity \( h_{\xi_1} h_{\xi_2}/h_n^2 \) is equal to \( f_1 \), a function of \( \xi_1 \), times \( M_1f_2f_3 \), a function of \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \) but not of \( \xi_1 \), thus fulfilling the requirement stated earlier in this paragraph.

These interrelated requirements on the scale factors severely limit the number of independent coordinate systems which answer the specifications. The detailed analysis necessary to determine which systems do satisfy the requirements is much more tedious than was the corresponding analysis, given on page 500, for the two-dimensional case. There we showed that the separable coordinate systems (for the wave equation) consisted of confocal conic sections (ellipses and hyperbolas) or their
degenerate forms (circles and radii, confocal parabolas, or parallel lines). The detailed analysis for the three-dimensional case reveals a corresponding limitation; for Euclidean space, the separable coordinates for the wave equation are confocal quadric surfaces or their degenerate forms.

**Confocal Quadric Surfaces.** The equation

\[ \frac{x^2}{\xi^2 - a^2} + \frac{y^2}{\xi^2 - b^2} + \frac{z^2}{\xi^2 - c^2} = 1; \quad a \geq b \geq c \geq 0 \]  

(5.1.33)

for different values of the parameter \( \xi \), represents three families of confocal quadric surfaces. For \( \xi > a \) we have a complete family of confocal ellipsoids, with traces on the \( y, z \) plane which are ellipses with foci at \( y = 0, z = \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c^2} \), traces on the \( x, z \) plane which are ellipses with foci at \( x = 0, z = \pm \sqrt{a^2 - c^2} \) and traces on the \( x, y \) plane which are ellipses with foci at \( x = 0, y = \pm \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \). The limiting surface of this family is for \( \xi \to a \), which is the part of the \( y, z \) plane *inside* an ellipse having major axis \( 2 \sqrt{a^2 - c^2} \) along the \( z \) axis and minor axis \( 2 \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \) along the \( y \) axis.

For \( a > \xi > b \) we have a complete family of confocal hyperboloids of one sheet, with traces on the \( y, z \) plane which are ellipses with foci at \( y = 0, z = \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c^2} \), with traces on the \( x, z \) plane which are hyperbolae with foci at \( x = 0, z = \pm \sqrt{a^2 - c^2} \) and with traces on the \( x, y \) plane which are hyperbolae with foci at \( x = 0, y = \pm \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \). The limiting surfaces are for \( \xi \to a \), which is the part of the \( y, z \) plane *outside* the ellipse having major axis \( 2 \sqrt{a^2 - c^2} \) along the \( z \) axis and minor axis \( 2 \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \) along the \( y \) axis, and for \( \xi \to b \), which is the part of the \( x, z \) plane outside the hyperbolae having transverse axis \( 2 \sqrt{b^2 - c^2} \) along \( z \) and conjugate axis \( 2 \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \) along \( x \).

Finally, for \( b > \xi > c \) we have a complete family of confocal hyperboloids of two sheets, with traces on the \( y, z \) plane which are hyperbolae with foci at \( y = 0, z = \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c^2} \), with traces on the \( x, z \) plane which are hyperbolae with foci at \( x = 0, z = \pm \sqrt{a^2 - c^2} \) and with no traces on the \( x, y \) plane. The limiting surfaces here are for \( \xi \to b \), which is the part of the \( x, z \) plane inside the hyperbolae having transverse axis \( 2 \sqrt{b^2 - c^2} \) along \( z \) and conjugate axis \( 2 \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \) along the \( x \) axis, and for \( \xi \to c \), which is the \( x, y \) plane. Incidentally, we can set \( c = 0 \) without any loss of generality.

Since the three families of surfaces are mutually orthogonal, we can consider the three ranges for the parameter \( \xi \) to correspond to three families of coordinate surfaces, with \( \xi_1 (\xi_1 > a) \) corresponding to the ellipsoids, \( \xi_2 (a > \xi_2 > b) \) to the hyperboloids of one sheet, and \( \xi_3 (b > \xi_3 > c) \) to the hyperboloids of two sheets. It can easily be verified that the relation between the coordinates \( x, y, z \) and the *ellipsoidal coordinates* \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) (for \( c = 0 \)) with their scale factors are
\[ x = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_1 - a)(\xi_2 - a)(\xi_3 - a)}{(\xi_1 - b)(\xi_2 - b)(\xi_3 - b)}}; \quad \xi_1 > a > \xi_2 > b > \xi_3 > 0 \]

\[ y = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_2 - b)(\xi_3 - b)}{(\xi_2 - a)(\xi_3 - a)}}; \quad z = \frac{\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3}{ab} \]

\[ h_1 = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)(\xi_1 - \xi_3)}{(\xi_1 - a)(\xi_1 - b)}}; \quad h_2 = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_3 - \xi_2)(\xi_3 - \xi_1)}{(\xi_3 - a)(\xi_3 - b)}}; \quad \text{etc.} \quad (5.1.34) \]

Following our earlier discussion, we find that \( h_1 h_2 h_3 / h_2^2 \) equals

\[ \sqrt{(\xi_1 - a)(\xi_1 - b)} \]

times the function

\[ -\sqrt{(\xi_2 - \xi_3)(\xi_2 - a)(\xi_2 - b)(\xi_3 - a)(\xi_3 - b)}, \]

which does not contain \( \xi_1 \). Consequently the function

\[ f_\alpha(\xi_\alpha) = \sqrt{(\xi_\alpha - a)(\xi_\alpha - b)} \quad (5.1.35) \]

is the one defined in Eq. (5.1.32). This in turn fixes the Stäckel determinant:

\[ S = \frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{f_1 f_2 f_3} = \frac{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)(\xi_1 - \xi_3)(\xi_2 - \xi_3)}{(\xi_1 - a)(\xi_1 - b)(\xi_2 - a)(\xi_2 - b)(\xi_3 - a)(\xi_3 - b)(\xi_3 - b)(\xi_3 - b)} \]

and thence, together with Eqs (5.1.31),

\[ M_1 = \frac{S}{h_1^2} = -\frac{\xi_2 - \xi_3}{(\xi_1 - a)(\xi_1 - b)(\xi_2 - a)(\xi_2 - b)(\xi_3 - a)(\xi_3 - b)}; \quad \text{etc.} \]

enables us to compute the elements of the Stäckel determinant. They are

\[ \Phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi_\alpha) = 1; \quad \Phi_{\alpha\alpha}(\xi_\alpha) = \frac{1}{(\xi_\alpha - a); \quad \Phi_{\alpha\beta}(\xi_\beta) = \frac{1}{(\xi_\beta - a)}} \quad (5.1.36) \]

The Helmholtz equation and the resulting separated ordinary differential equations for these coordinates are therefore

\[ \sum_n \frac{G_n f_n}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)(\xi_2 - \xi_3)(\xi_3 - \xi_1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \xi_n} \right] + h_1^2 \Psi = 0; \]

\[ G_1 = (\xi_1 - \xi_2); \quad G_2 = (\xi_2 - \xi_3); \quad G_3 = (\xi_3 - \xi_1) \]

and

\[ \frac{1}{h_1^2} \sqrt{(\xi_1 - a)(\xi_1 - b)} \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \left[ \sqrt{(\xi_1 - a)(\xi_1 - b)} \frac{dX_n}{d\xi_1} \right] \]

\[ + \left[ k_1^2 + \frac{k_2^2}{(\xi_2 - a)} + \frac{k_3^2}{(\xi_3 - a)} \right] X_n = 0 \quad (5.1.37) \]

This is the sort of ordinary differential equation we shall encounter in our future studies.

We note that in the three-dimensional Schroedinger equation for a particle the term \( k_1^2 \) (which we have been calling \( k_1^2 \)) is not a constant but is the difference between a constant \( k_1^2 \) (the total energy of the particle) and the potential energy of the particle as a function of the \( \xi \)'s. To have separability the potential energy of the particle must be such as
to subtract some function $\mu_\alpha(\xi_n)$ of $\xi_n$ alone from the coefficient of $X_n$ in Eq. (5.1.37). This means that the allowed form for the potential energy is

$$V = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_\alpha(\xi_n)}{k^2_n} \tag{5.1.38}$$

We note also that for ellipsoidal coordinates each of the three separated ordinary differential equations contains $k_1$ and both separation constants $k_2$ and $k_3$. Recalling our discussion of quantum mechanics in Chap. 2, we can consider the process of separation a process of rotation, in abstract vector space, from a vector set specified by the coordinates $(x, y, z$ or $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ to one specified by the parameters $k_1, k_2, k_3$. The factored solutions are the transformation functions (direction cosines) going from the eigenvalues for coordinate position to the eigenvalues for the $k$'s. What we have found is that this transformation, for ellipsoidal coordinates, yields transformation functions which are separated for the coordinates (into factors) but which are not separated for the parameters $k$.

For some of the degenerate forms of ellipsoidal coordinates the factored solutions are also separated with regard to the parameters, which adds considerably to the ease of manipulating the solutions.

It is these degenerate forms of the ellipsoidal coordinates, obtained by setting $a, b, c$ equal or zero or infinity, which are of more use and interest than the general form. There are 10 such forms which are recognized as "different" coordinate systems and given special names. These 11 systems (the general ellipsoidal system plus the 10 degenerate forms) are the only systems which allow separation of the wave equation or the Schroedinger equation in three dimensions (even with these the Schroedinger equation separates only if the potential energy has a certain functional form, see Eq. (5.1.38)). These forms, with the related forms for scale factors $h$, the determinant $S$, and so on, are given in the table at the end of this chapter.

**Degenerate Forms of Ellipsoidal Coordinates.** Starting from the transformation

$$x' = \sqrt{\frac{(x_1^2 - \alpha^2)(x_2^2 - \alpha^2)(x_3^2 - \alpha^2)}{\alpha^2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)}}$$

$$y' = \sqrt{\frac{(x_1^2 - \beta^2)(x_2^2 - \beta^2)(x_3^2 - \beta^2)}{\beta^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)}}$$

$$z' = \frac{x_4 \xi_1 x_3^3}{\alpha \beta}$$

for the generalized ellipsoidal coordinates, we can obtain all the ten degenerate forms listed at the end of the chapter by stretching, compressing, and translating. For instance, stretching all focal distances indefinitely gives, at the center of the ellipsoids.

1. **Rectangular Coordinates.** We set $x_4^2$ in the equations above equal to $\alpha^2 + \xi_1^2$, $x_2^2 = \beta^2 + \xi_2^2$, and $x_3^2 = \xi_3^2$; we let $\beta = \alpha \sin \phi$, where $\phi$ can be arbitrary, and then allow $\alpha$ to become infinite. This will give the
coordinates defined under I at the end of the chapter. On the other hand, letting \( \beta \) go to zero symmetrizes the ellipsoids into flattened figures of revolution:

**IX. Oblate Spheroidal Coordinates.** Setting \( \alpha = a, x_1^2 = a^2 + \xi_2^2, \)
\( x_2^2 = a^2 - \xi_2^2, x_3 = \beta \xi_3, \) and setting \( \beta \) go to zero, we change from ellipsoids to oblate (flattened) spheroids, from hyperboloids of one sheet to hyperboloids of revolution (still of one sheet) and from hyperboloids of two sheets to pairs of planes going through the axis of revolution.

In order to have the form given in the table at the end of the chapter, we must set \( x' = z, y' = y, z' = x, \) which makes \( z \) the axis of revolution. Making the ellipsoid into a figure of revolution about the longer axis gives us

**VIII. Prolate Spheroidal Coordinates.** This is done by letting \( \beta \to \alpha, \)
according to the following formulas, \( \alpha = a, \beta^2 = a^2 - \epsilon, x_1 = \xi_1, x_2^2 = a^2 - \epsilon \xi_2^2, x_3 = \xi_3, \) let \( \epsilon \to 0 \) and then \( \alpha \to \infty, \) giving the simplest rotational coordinates. If we had stretched the long axis out indefinitely, we would have obtained

**II. Circular Cylinder Coordinates.** We set \( \alpha = a, \beta^2 = a^2 - \epsilon, x_1^2 = a^2 + \xi_1^2, x_2^2 = a^2 - \epsilon \xi_2^2, x_3 = \xi_3, \) let \( \epsilon \to 0 \) and then \( \alpha \to \infty, \) giving the simplest rotational coordinates. If we had stretched the long axis out indefinitely before symmetrizing, we would have obtained

**III. Elliptic Cylinder Coordinates.** Setting \( \beta^2 = a^2 + \alpha^2, x_1^2 = a^2 + \xi_1^2, \)
\( x_2^2 = a^2 - \epsilon \xi_2^2, x_3 = \xi_3, \) and letting \( \alpha \to \infty \) but keeping \( \alpha \) finite, results in this system. On the other hand if we shorten the long axis of the prolate spheroidal coordinates, instead of stretching it, we arrive at the completely symmetric

**V. Spherical Coordinates.** Setting \( \alpha = a, \beta^2 = a^2 - \epsilon, x_1 = \xi_1, \)
\( x_2^2 = a^2 - \epsilon \xi_2^2, x_3 = \xi_3, \) we first let \( \epsilon \to 0, \) then let \( \alpha \to 0, \) which produces complete symmetry. Finally, if we let \( \beta \) be proportional to \( \alpha \) and have both go to zero at the same time, we obtain

**VI. Conical Coordinates.** These have spheres and cones of elliptic cross section as coordinate surfaces. They are obtained by setting \( \alpha = k_4, \beta = k_4 a, \) \( k_4^2 + k_4^2 = 1, \)
\( x_1^2 = \xi_1^2/(k_4^2 - k_4^2), x_2^2 = a^2(2k_4^2k_4^2 + (k_4^2 - k_4^2) \xi_3^2), \) \( x_3 = a^2(2k_4^2k_4^2 - (k_4^2 - k_4^2) \xi_3^2) \) and then letting \( a \to 0. \)

The parabolic systems are obtained by changing the position of their origin to the “edge” of the ellipsoid before stretching. The most general case is

**Paraboloidal Coordinates.** Here we set \( \alpha = d^2 + a^2 d, \beta^2 = d^2 + b^2 d \)
and set the new origin at \( x' = d, \) so that \( x = x', y = y', \) and \( z = z' - d. \)

We let \( x_1^2 = d^2 + \gamma d, x_2^2 = d^2 + \gamma d, \) and \( x_3^2 = d^2 + \gamma d d \) and then finally allow \( d \to \infty. \) The new coordinates are

\[
x = \sqrt{(\gamma^2 - a^2)(\gamma^2 - b^2)(\gamma^2 - c^2)}; \quad y = \sqrt{(\gamma^2 - b^2)(\gamma^2 - d^2)(\gamma^2 - c^2)}; \quad z = \frac{1}{2} \gamma \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^2 + c^2 - a^2 - b^2)
\]
which correspond to the surfaces
\[ \frac{x^2}{\eta^2 - a^2} + \frac{y^2}{\eta^2 - b^2} = \eta^2 - 2z \]

For \( \eta = \eta_1 > a \) they are a family of elliptic paraboloids with traces which are parabolas on the \( x, z \) and \( y, z \) planes but which are ellipses on the \( x, y \) plane. For \( \eta = \eta_2 \) (where \( u > \eta_2 > b \)) the surfaces are hyperbolic paraboloids, with traces which are parabolas in the \( x, z \) and \( y, z \) planes but which are hyperbolas in the \( x, y \) plane. Finally for \( \eta = \eta_3 < b \) (we must let \( \eta_3 \) become negative to cover all of this family) the surfaces are again elliptic paraboloids, pointed in the opposite direction with respect to the \( z \) axis.

The limiting surfaces are for \( \eta_1 \to a \), which is that part of the \( u, z \) plane which is inside the parabola with vertex at \( z = \frac{1}{2}a^2 \) and focus at \( z = \frac{1}{2}b^2 \); for \( \eta_3 \to a \), which is the rest of the \( u, z \) plane; and for \( \eta_3 \to b \), which is the part of the \( x, z \) plane outside the parabola with vertex at \( z = \frac{1}{2}b^2 \) and focus at \( \frac{1}{2}a^2 \); while the limiting surface for \( \eta_3 \to b \) is the rest of the \( x, z \) plane. The scale factors and related functions for these coordinates are given on page 166.

As before, other coordinate systems can be obtained by modification of interfocal distances. For instance, if we set \( a = b \), we obtain the rotational

**VII. Parabolic Coordinates.** We set \( b^2 = a^2 + \epsilon, \eta_1^2 = \xi_3 + \epsilon^3, \eta_2^2 = b^2 - \xi_3^2 \) and then let \( \epsilon \to 0 \), giving the simpler system. On the other hand, if we pull out the longer elliptic axis, we eventually obtain

**IV. Parabolic Cylinder Coordinates.** Here we set \( \eta_1^2 = a^2 + \xi_3^2, \eta_2^2 = b^2 + \xi_3^2, \eta_3^2 = b^2 - \xi_3^2 \) and \( x = x' - \frac{1}{2}b^2, y = y', z = x'/a \) and then let \( a \to \infty \).

This completes all the different degenerate systems which can be obtained from ellipsoidal coordinates. It is of interest to examine the Stieckel determinants and the finally separated equations for these cases to see whether there are systematic trends.

**Confluence of Singularities.** We have arranged the scale of all these coordinates so that the functions \( f_n \) and \( \Phi_{nm} \) are algebraic functions of the coordinate \( \xi_n \) and so that, when the separated equation is put in the form

\[
\frac{d^2 X_n}{d\xi_n^2} + p_n(\xi_n) \frac{dX_n}{d\xi_n} + q_n(\xi_n)X_n = 0; \quad p_n = \frac{d}{d\xi_n}[\ln f_n(\xi_n)]; \\
q_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_n^2 \Phi_{nm}(\xi_n) \quad (5.1.39)
\]

the functions \( p \) and \( q \) have singularities at the concentration points for the corresponding coordinates. For instance, for the ellipsoidal coordinates,
$p$ and $q$ have poles at $\xi = \pm a$, $\pm b$, and at infinity (i.e., if we change to the variable $u = 1/\xi$ the corresponding functions $p$ and $q$ have poles at $u = 0$, or $\xi = \infty$). We obtain the degenerate forms of the coordinate systems by merging two or more of these singularities. A point which is a singularity for $p$ or $q$ is called a singular point of the corresponding equation, and a merging of singular points is called a confluence of singular points.

In the case of prolate spheroidal coordinates, for instance, there is confluence between the singular points at $a$ and $b$ and at $-a$ and $-b$ which, together with a change of scale, puts the singular points for the $\xi_1$ equation at $\pm a$ and infinity, for the $\xi_2$ and $\xi_3$ equations at $\pm 1$ and $\infty$. In the spherical coordinates we let $a$ go to zero, so that the singular points for the $\xi$ equation are at $0$ and $\infty$, and so on.

Wherever there is a singular point of the differential equation, there is a singularity (pole, branch point, or essential singularity) of the general solution of the equation. Consequently we can say that the factored solution $\psi = X_1X_2X_3$ usually has a singularity at all the concentration points of the corresponding coordinate system. We can also say that all the ordinary differential equations obtained by separating the equation $\nabla\psi + k^2\psi = 0$ (which includes a large part of the equations we shall study) are obtained from the general equation with five singular points by confluence of the five singular points to form four, three, or two. Just as the specification of zeros and singularities specifies a function of a complex variable, so a specification of the position and nature of the singular points of a differential equation specifies the equation and its solutions, as we shall see later in this chapter. This is, of course, just another way of saying that the geometry of the coordinate system determines the nature of the solutions of the separated equations, a not surprising result.

**Separation Constants.** Examination of the Stäckel determinants of the 11 coordinate systems tabulated at the end of the chapter shows that there are quite a number with some of their elements equal to zero. For instance all the rotational coordinates have $\Phi_{31} = \Phi_{22} = 0$. This means that the factors $X_3(\xi_3)$, for rotational coordinates involve only the separation constant $k_3$. Since $\xi_3$ for rotational coordinates corresponds to the angle about the rotational axis, it is not surprising that this factor is particularly simple. We also see that all the cylindrical coordinates have two of the three elements $\Phi_{n1}(\xi_n)$ equal to zero, which means that only one of the factors $X_n$ depends on $k_1$.

Therefore for some of the degenerate forms of the ellipsoidal coordinates, the factored solutions achieve a certain amount of separation of the parameters $k$.

Another way of stating this is in terms of the actual process of separating the equation, as it is usually done. One takes the equation
\[ \nabla \psi + k_1^2 \psi = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ \frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{h_2^3} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} \right] + k_1^2 \psi = 0 \]

and divides by \( \psi = X_1 X_2 X_3 \). If the coordinates are separable, so that Eqs. (5.1.29) and (5.1.31) hold, we have

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{1}{h_1^2 f_2(X_2)} \frac{d}{d \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{dX_n}{d \xi_n} \right] + k_1^2 = 0 \]  

(5.1.40)

In some cases it will be possible to multiply this equation by some function of the \( \xi \)'s so that \textit{at least one} of the four terms in the resulting equation depends only on one coordinate and the other terms do not depend on this coordinate. We can then set this term equal to a constant \( \alpha \) (for a function of \( \xi \), alone to be equal to a function of the other \( \xi \)'s can only be if the function is independent of all \( \xi \)'s constant), and in such a case the corresponding factor \( X \) depends only on the one constant \( \alpha \) (which is a separation constant, either \( k_1^2 \) or \( k_2^2 \)).

The possibility of separating the equation in this simple manner depends on the nature of the scale factors \( h_n \), as Eq. (5.1.40) clearly indicates (all other factors in the \( n \)th term are functions of \( \xi_n \) alone, so that, if \( h_n \) were a constant or a function of \( \xi_n \), this term would be all ready for separation without further manipulation). Three cases may be distinguished:

A. Solution Completely Separable for Separation Constants. In this case one can find a multiplier \( \mu(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \) such that \textit{two} of the terms are functions of one coordinate each (suppose that they are for \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \)). Then the term for \( \xi_1 \), \( (\mu/\xi_1^2 f_1(X_2)[d(f_2 dX_2)/d \xi_2)] \) may be set equal to the constant \( k_1^2 \) and the corresponding term for \( X_1 \) may be set equal to the constant \( k_2^2 \). Consequently the remaining equation for \( X_1 \) is

\[ \frac{\mu}{k_1^2 f_1^2} \frac{d}{d \xi_1} \left( f_1 \frac{dX_1}{d \xi_1} \right) + k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \mu k_1^2 = 0 \]

and the factored solution takes the form

\[ \psi = X_1(\xi_1; k_1, k_2, k_3) X_2(\xi_2; k_2) X_3(\xi_3; k_3) \]  

(5.1.41)

where two of the factors depend only on one parameter \( k \) as well as on just one coordinate \( \xi \). Comparison with the Stäckel determinant method of separation indicates that the solution can have the form of Eq. (5.1.41) only if two rows of the Stäckel determinant each have two zeros, and reference to the table at the end of this chapter indicates that only solutions for rectangular and circular cylinder coordinates have this simple behavior. [Spherical coordinates give a solution of the form \( X_1(\xi_1; k_1, k_2) X_2(\xi_2, k_2, k_3) X_3(\xi_3, k_3) \), which is as simple as Eq. (5.1.41) for
the Laplace equation, when \( k_1 = 0 \). This type of separation requires a high degree of symmetry in the coordinate system.

**B. Solution Partially Separable for Separation Constants.** In this case only one term (the one for \( \xi_1 \), for example) can be separated the first time round; the remaining equation

\[
\frac{\mu}{h_1^2 f_1 X_1} \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \left( f_1 \frac{dX_1}{d\xi_1} \right) + \frac{\mu}{h_2^2 f_2 X_2} \frac{d}{d\xi_2} \left( f_2 \frac{dX_2}{d\xi_2} \right) + \mu k_1^2 + k_2^2 = 0
\]

must be multiplied by still another factor, \( \psi(\xi_1, \xi_2) \), in order that a second term can be split off. The factored solutions, therefore, have one of the following forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
(B_1) & \quad \psi = X_1(\xi_1; k_1, k_2, k_3) X_2(\xi_2; k_4, k_5, k_6) X_3(\xi_3; \tilde{k}_1, \tilde{k}_2) \\
(B_2) & \quad \psi = X_1(\xi_1; k_1, k_2, k_3) X_2(\xi_2; k_4, k_5, k_6) X_3(\xi_3; k_7)
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1.42)

Reference to the table shows that parabolic cylinder coordinates correspond to \( (B_1) \) and elliptic cylinder, parabolic, oblate, and prolate spherical coordinates (all the rest of the cylindrical and rotational coordinates) correspond to \( (B_2) \). Here only the last row of the \( \text{Stäckel determinant} \) has two zeros.

**C. Solution Nonseparable for Separation Constants.** In this case no \( \text{Stäckel element} \) in the second or third column is equal to zero and the full machinery of the \( \text{Stäckel determinant} \) must be used to achieve separation. The possible forms are

\[
\begin{align*}
(C_1) & \quad \psi = X_1(\xi_1; k_1, k_2, k_3) X_2(\xi_2; k_4, k_5, k_6) X_3(\xi_3; k_7, k_8) \\
(C_2) & \quad \psi = X_1(\xi_1; k_1, k_2, k_3) X_2(\xi_2; k_4, k_5, k_6) X_3(\xi_3; k_1, k_7, k_8)
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1.43)

Only conical coordinates give form \( (C_1) \). Ellipsoidal and paraboloidal coordinates result in form \( (C_2) \), where no \( \text{Stäckel element} \) is zero.

It should be obvious that forms \( (A) \) are comparatively simple to apply to a given problem, forms \( (B) \) are more difficult, and forms \( (C) \) are very much more difficult to use.

**Laplace Equation in Three Dimensions, Modulation Factor.** It is obvious that the Laplace equation, \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \), to which our standard equation reduces when \( k_1 = 0 \), is separable in all the 11 coordinate systems enumerated in the table. But since the Laplace equation in two dimensions separates in more systems than did the two-dimensional wave equation, we may well inquire whether this is also true for three dimensions. Investigations show that there are no more coordinate systems for which solutions of the Laplace equation can take on the form \( X_1(\xi_1) X_2(\xi_2) X_3(\xi_3) \) of type \( (A) \), \( (B) \), or \( (C) \). However, it turns out that other systems can be found for which a series of solutions of the Laplace equation can be found having the more general form

\[
\psi = X_1(\xi_1) X_2(\xi_2) X_3(\xi_3) / R(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)
\]

(5.1.14)
where $R$ is independent of the separation constants $h_2$ and $h_3$ (see page 509). The same investigation shows that the wave equation is not amenable to this same generalization, so that the additional coordinate systems are separable only for the Laplace equation.

The factor $R$ can be called a modulation factor; it modifies all the family of factored solutions in the same way. Its presence modifies the formalism of the Stäckel determinant to some extent. For instance, we now set [instead of Eq. (5.1.32)]

$$h_1 h_3 / S = f_1 (\xi_1) f_3 (\xi_3) f_5 (\xi_5) R^2 u$$  \hfill (5.1.45)

where $u$ is a function of $\xi_1$, $\xi_2$, $\xi_4$. We also require that [instead of Eq. (5.1.31)]

$$1/h_2^2 = M_\nu / S u$$  \hfill (5.1.46)

where, in these two equations, the Stäckel determinant $S$ and its first minors $M_\nu$ satisfy the same requirements as before (the elements $\Phi_{\nu m}$ of $S$ are functions of $\xi_\nu$ only and therefore the minors $M_\nu$ do not depend on $\xi_\nu$). Inserting all this into Laplace equation we first obtain the equation

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{h_2^2 f_n X_n d\xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{dX_n}{d\xi_n} \right] = \sum_n \frac{1}{h_2^2 f_n R \partial \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{\partial R}{\partial \xi_n} \right]$$

This separation of terms for $X$ and terms for $R$ is the reason for the insertion of $R$ in both Eqs. (5.1.44) and (5.1.45). If now we can find a form for $R$ which satisfies the equation

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{h_2^2 f_n \partial \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{\partial R}{\partial \xi_n} \right] + \frac{k_R}{u} = 0$$  \hfill (5.1.47)

then, using Eq. (5.1.46), we finally obtain

$$\sum_n \frac{M_\nu}{S f_n X_n d\xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{dX_n}{d\xi_n} \right] + k_\nu^2 = 0$$  \hfill (5.1.48)

which separates, like the wave equation, into the ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{1}{f_n} \frac{d}{d\xi_n} f_n \frac{dX_n}{d\xi_n} + \left[ k_\nu^2 \Phi_{\nu 1} + k_\nu^2 \Phi_{\nu 2} + k_\nu^2 \Phi_{\nu 3} \right] X_n = 0$$  \hfill (5.1.49)

from which the factors $X_n$ can be determined.

Confocal Cyclides. The fourth-order surfaces most nearly analogous to the quadric surfaces (ellipsoids, hyperboloids, paraboloids) are the cyclides. One interesting property of such a surface is that its inversion in a sphere is also a cyclide. The equation for the surface can most simply be expressed in terms of homogeneous coordinates $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$

$$x = \lambda / \rho; \quad y = \mu / \rho; \quad z = \nu / \rho$$  \hfill (5.1.50)
or in terms of "penta-spherical coordinates"

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 &= i(\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 + \rho^2) = i\rho^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 1) \\
    x_2 &= (\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 - \rho^2) = \rho^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1) \\
    x_3 &= 2i\lambda = 2\rho^2x; \\
    x_4 &= 2i\mu = 2\rho^2y; \\
    x_5 &= 2i\nu = 2\rho^2z
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1.51)

The surface defined by the equation

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{5} \frac{x_n^2}{\xi - a_n} = 0: \quad a_{n+1} \geq a_n \tag{5.1.52}
\]

where \( \xi \) and the \( a_n's \) are constant, is a *cycloid*. The surfaces obtained by taking different values of \( \xi \), keeping the \( a_n's \) constant, is a family of surfaces which may be called a family of confocal cycloids.

One complete family is generated by taking all values of \( \xi \) between \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \), another by taking values between \( a_2 \) and \( a_4 \), and a third corresponds to the range between \( a_4 \) and \( a_5 \). Furthermore these families are mutually orthogonal, so they can be used for coordinate surfaces. We take for \( \xi_1 \) the range between \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \), for \( \xi_2 \) the range \( a_3 \) to \( a_4 \), and for \( \xi_3 \) the range \( a_4 \) to \( a_5 \).

The Laplace equation \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \) is equivalent to the equation

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_3^2} = 0
\]

since \( x = x_1/2\rho^2, \quad y = x_2/2\rho^2, \quad z = x_3/2\rho^2, \quad \rho = -(x_2 + ix_1)/2\rho \) when \( \psi \) depends only on \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \) alone. But also, since \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) enter in the combination \( x_2 + ix_1 \), we can say that, when \( \psi \) also depends on \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) (or on \( \rho \)),

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} = 0
\]

The result can be generalized, to say that if \( x_1, \ldots, x_5 \) are penta-spherical coordinates, related to the four homogeneous coordinates \( \lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho \) through second-order equations in such a manner that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{5} x_n^2 = 0 \tag{5.1.53}
\]

then a solution of Laplace's equation is also a solution of the equation

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{5} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_n^2} = 0 \tag{5.1.54}
\]

To finish our discussion, we must transform from penta-spherical coordinates to the confocal cycloidal coordinates \( \xi \). To do this, we first consider the \( x_n \) coordinates as ordinary orthogonal coordinates in five dimensions, without attempting to satisfy Eq. (5.1.53) as yet. We then
transform to another set of five coordinates defined by the five equations

\[ x_1^2 = \xi_0 \frac{(\xi_1 - a_1)(\xi_2 - a_2)(\xi_3 - a_3)(\xi_4 - a_4)(\xi_5 - a_5)}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_4)(a_1 - a_5)}; \text{ etc.} \quad (5.1.55) \]

or else by the equations

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{5} \frac{x_n^2}{\xi_n - a_n} = 0; \quad m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \]

which are equivalent. We note that \( \xi_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{5} x_n^2 \), which will eventually go to zero.

The equation corresponding to Eq. (5.1.54) is obtained after a great deal of algebraic manipulation,

\[
\frac{\sqrt{f(\xi_1)}}{(\xi_2 - \xi_3)(\xi_4 - \xi_5)(\xi_1 - \xi_3)\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \left[ \sqrt{f(\xi_1)} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_1} \right] + \\
+ \frac{\sqrt{f(\xi_2)}}{(\xi_1 - \xi_3)(\xi_2 - \xi_4)(\xi_2 - \xi_5)\partial \xi_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \left[ \sqrt{f(\xi_2)} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_2} \right] + \\
+ \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\xi_3}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_3} = 0 \quad (5.1.56)
\]

where \( f(x) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)(x - a_4)(x - a_5) \). This is the equivalent of a five-dimensional Laplace equation in the new coordinates.

But we are not concerned with a five-dimensional equation; what we want to arrive at eventually is a three-dimensional equation in \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \). The coordinates are closely related, however, for we can solve the equations

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{5} \frac{x_n^2}{\xi_n - a_n} = 0; \quad m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \]

and obtain the equations

\[ x_1^2 = \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{5} a_n x_n^2 \right] \frac{(\xi_1 - a_1)(\xi_2 - a_2)(\xi_3 - a_3)}{(a_1 - a_2)(a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_4)(a_1 - a_5)}; \text{ etc.} \quad (5.1.57) \]

which give the \( x_n \)'s in terms of the three-dimensional coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) and, eventually, give \( x, y, z \) in terms of these cylindrical coordinates.

We notice that the transformation of Eq. (5.1.57) is related to that of Eq. (5.1.55). In the latter case only three coordinates are in evidence, so we can say that the transformation of Eq. (5.1.57) represents a three-dimensional subspace of the transformation given in Eq. (5.1.55). We have known this right along, since we were aware that
\( \xi_t = \Sigma x^2 \) would have to be set equal to zero, and the coordinate \( \xi_t \) will also have to be set equal to some constant in order that we might finally obtain a three-dimensional equation. Comparison between Eqs. (5.1.57) and (5.1.55) indicates that, if we let \( \xi_t \) go to zero and \( \xi_t \) simultaneously go to infinity such that \( \xi_t \xi_t \to -\Sigma a_n x^2_n \), we should arrive at the correct cyclidal coordinates.

Presumably \( \psi \) will be a function of \( \xi_t \) and \( \xi_t \). If it is a function of \((\xi_t \xi_t)\), then it will not be complicated by additional zeros or infinities. In particular, if \( \psi \) contains \((\xi_t \xi_t)^n\) as a factor, then in the limit the factor will become \([\Sigma a_n x^2_n]^n\). If we wish the potential to go to zero at large distance as \(1/r\), then the power \( n \) can be \(-\frac{1}{2}\), and we can set

\[
\psi = (\xi_t \xi_t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(\xi_t, \xi_t, \xi_t) = \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{5} a_n x^2_n \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(\xi_t, \xi_t, \xi_t) \quad (5.1.58)
\]

Setting this form for the solution into Eq. (5.1.56) and letting \( \xi_t \) go to infinity results finally in a partial differential equation for \( \varphi \). We multiply through by \((\xi_t)^3\) and expand in powers of \(1/\xi_t\). The terms in the first power of \( \xi_t \) cancel automatically. Those in the zeroth power of \( \xi_t \) give the equation for \( \varphi \):

\[
\frac{\sqrt{f(\xi_t)}}{(\xi_t - \xi_t)(\xi_t - \xi_t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_t} \left[ \sqrt{f(\xi_t)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_t} \right] + \frac{\sqrt{f(\xi_t)}}{(\xi_t - \xi_t)(\xi_t - \xi_t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_t} \left[ \sqrt{f(\xi_t)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_t} \right] + \frac{\sqrt{f(\xi_t)}}{(\xi_t - \xi_t)(\xi_t - \xi_t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_t} \left[ \sqrt{f(\xi_t)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_t} \right] + \left[ \frac{\xi_t}{5} (\xi_t + \xi_t + \xi_t) - \frac{3}{5} \sum_{n=1}^{5} a_n \right] \varphi = 0 \quad (5.1.59)
\]

where \( f(x) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)(x - a_4)(x - a_5) \)

This equation can be separated by means of a Stäckel determinant, just as was the equation for ellipsoidal coordinates. In this case, however, the complete solution \( \psi \) is the product of a nonseparable part \([\Sigma a_n x^2_n]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1/R\) times a separable part \( \varphi \), as we have already stated.

Various degenerate forms of cyclides can be produced by allowing one or more of the constants \( a_n \) to become equal or go to infinity. They include the ellipsoidal coordinates and all their degenerate forms. In addition they include the toroidal coordinates defined by the following equations:

\[
\frac{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2)^2}{\xi_1^4} - \frac{4a^2(x^2 + y^2)}{\xi_1^4 - 1} = 0; \quad \frac{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2)^2}{\xi_2^4} + \frac{4a^2z^2}{\xi_2^4 - 1} = 0 \quad (5.1.60)
\]
These are degenerate forms of Eqs. (5.1.52) (where we have used \(a^2\) and \(a^4\) instead of \(\xi_n^2\) and \(a_n^4\), they correspond (for \(\xi_1 = \text{constant}\)) to toroids formed by rotating the circle of radius \(a/\sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1}\) with center at \(z = 0\), \(x = a\xi_1/\sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1}\), about the \(z\) axis, and (for \(\xi_2 = \text{constant}\)) to spheres of radius \(a/\sqrt{1 - \xi_2^2}\) with center at \(x = y = 0\), \(z = a\xi_2/\sqrt{1 - \xi_2^2}\) (all these spheres pass through the circle \(z = 0\), \(x^2 + y^2 = a^2\)), which corresponds to the limiting toroid \(\xi_1 = \infty\).

The equations giving \(x, y, z\) in terms of the \(\xi_i\)'s, together with the forms for the scale factors \(h\), the modulation factor \(R\), and the Stackel determinant \(S\) for this useful special case are all given on pages 665 and 666 of this chapter. Another useful special case, bispherical coordinates, is also given in these pages.

It appears that the general cycloidal coordinates, including all the degenerate forms, contain all the coordinate systems for which the Laplace equation separates, with or without a modulation factor \(R\); just as the ellipsoidal coordinates contain all the systems for which the wave equation separates. We must now turn to the examination of the ordinary differential equations resulting from the separation.

5.2 General Properties, Series Solutions

We must now study the ordinary differential equations obtained by separating the partial differential equation \(\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0\) in the various separable coordinates. As we showed on page 509, all the separated equations have the general form

\[
\mathcal{L}(\psi) = \frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + p(z) \frac{d \psi}{dz} + q(z) \psi = 0 = \frac{1}{f_n} \frac{d}{dz} \left( f_n \frac{d \psi}{dz} \right) + q \psi \tag{5.2.1}
\]

where

\[
p = \frac{d}{dz} \ln f_n = \left( \frac{1}{f_n} \right) \left( \frac{df_n}{dz} \right), \quad q = \sum_m k_m^2 \Phi_{mn}
\]

where \(f_n\) and \(\Phi_{mn}\) are functions of \(\xi_n\) (here labeled \(z\)). As we have chosen the scale of the coordinates, the functions \(p\) and \(q\) are simple algebraic functions of \(z\), with a finite number of poles, corresponding to the concentration points of the coordinate system.

Equation (5.2.1) is a second-order, linear, homogeneous differential equation. As we indicated on page 493, such equations may have several solutions. If \(\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_n\) are solutions of \(\mathcal{L}(\psi) = 0\), then

\[
\sum_{m} A_m \psi_m \quad \text{where the } A_m \text{ are arbitrary constant coefficients}
\]

is also a solution. The corresponding inhomogeneous equation \(\mathcal{L}(\psi) = r(z)\) sometimes occurs. We have pointed out earlier that, if \(\Psi_n\) is a solution of
\( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = r_n \), then \( \psi_n + \sum m A_m \psi_m \) is also a solution of \( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = r_n \) and

\[
\left[ \sum \psi_n + \sum B_m \psi_m \right] \text{ is a solution of } \mathcal{L}(\psi) = \sum r_n .
\]

Consequently we can have an infinite number of different solutions of Eq. (5.2.1), obtained by different choice of the constants \( A_n \). Actually a great number of these differ only by a constant factor. The situation is perhaps clearer if we consider the matter from the point of view of abstract vector space. Any function \( y(z) \) can be considered as corresponding to a vector \( \mathbf{Y} \) in a non-denumerably infinite-dimensional space, where each \( y(z) \) for each value of \( z \) is the component of \( \mathbf{Y} \) along the direction corresponding to that value of \( z \) (see, for example, page 135). The differential operator \( \mathcal{L} \) corresponds to a vector operation which, in general, transforms any vector \( \mathbf{A}(z) \) into another vector. If \( y \) is a solution of \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \), however, the corresponding vector \( \mathbf{Y} \) gives zero when operated upon by the vector operator corresponding to \( \mathcal{L} \). Any solution \( u(z) \) which is simply a constant times \( y(z) \) has a vector \( \mathbf{U} \) which is of different length from \( \mathbf{Y} \) but is in the same direction as \( \mathbf{Y} \). The question we are trying to ask is: In how many different directions can we have vectors corresponding to solutions of Eq. (5.2.1)?

**The Wronskian.** If two solutions \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) correspond to vectors in the same direction, then \( y_2 = ay_1 \) and \( y'_2 = ay'_1 \) (in this chapter we shall use the shorthand notation \( y' = dy/dz \) and \( y'' = d^2y/dz^2 \)) and the expression

\[
\Delta(y_1,y_2) = y_1y'_2 - y_2y'_1
\]

(5.2.2)

will be zero for all values of \( z \). On the other hand, if \( \mathbf{Y}_1 \) is in a different direction from \( \mathbf{Y}_2 \), then \( \Delta(y_1,y_2) \) will not be zero everywhere. The expression \( \Delta(y_1,y_2) \) defined in Eq. (5.2.2) (where \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are both solutions of \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \)) is called the Wronskian of \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) for the given homogeneous equation. If the Wronskian is zero, then \( y_2 \) equals a constant times \( y_1 \). If the Wronskian differs from zero for any range of values of \( z \), then \( \mathbf{Y}_2 \) is in a different direction from \( \mathbf{Y}_1 \) and the two solutions \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are said to be independent.

That there can be at least one solution which is independent of \( y_1 \) can be shown fairly directly by use of the properties of the Wronskian. Suppose that we know the solution \( y_1 \) and from \( z = z_0 \) as a starting point we try to build out another solution \( y_2 \) which is to be related to \( y_1 \) at \( z_0 \) by the equations \( y_2 = ay_1, y'_2 = \beta y'_1 (\alpha \neq \beta) \). The Wronskian \( \Delta(y_1,y_2) = (\beta - \alpha) y_1y'_1 \) will differ from zero at \( z = z_0 \) (we assume that neither \( y_1 \) nor \( y'_1 \) is zero at \( z = z_0 \)). Let us see what value \( \Delta \) has for other values of \( z \) when \( y_2 \) is supposed to be a solution of \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \). Taking the derivative of \( \Delta \) with respect to \( z \) and utilizing Eq. (5.2.1), we have
\[ \frac{d\Delta}{dz} = y_1 y_2' - y_2 y_1' = -y_1(p y_2' + q y_2) + y_2(p y_1' + q y_1) = -p \Delta = -\frac{d}{dz} (\ln f) \]

This equation for \( \Delta \) can be integrated, giving

\[ \Delta(z) = \Delta(z_0) e^{-\int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{p}{f} dz} = \Delta(z_0) \frac{f(z_0)}{f(z)} \quad (5.2.3) \]

Therefore, unless we were unlucky enough to pick a \( z_0 \) where \( f \) is zero, if \( \Delta \) differs from zero at \( z = z_0 \), it will differ from zero for other values of \( z \); so it appears to be possible to have a second solution, independent of \( y_1 \).

Since \[ \Delta(z) = y_1 y_2' - y_2 y_1' = y_1^2(z)(d/dz)[y_2(z)/y_1(z)] \]
we can immediately find that

\[ y_2(z) = y_1(z) \int_{x_0}^{z} \frac{\Delta(u)}{y_1^2(u)} \, du = \Delta(z_0) y_1(z) \int_{x_0}^{z} e^{-\int_{x_0}^{u} \frac{p(w)}{f(w)} \, dw} \, du \]

\[ = \Delta(z_0) f(z_0) y_1(z) \int_{x_0}^{z} \frac{du}{f(u) y_1^2(u)} \quad (5.2.4) \]

It is not difficult to verify that Eq. (5.2.4) is a solution of Eq. (5.2.1), for if we set \( y = w \), then Eq. (5.2.1) becomes

\[ w^2(u) + w'' + p(u) w + 2w' = 0; \quad y = uw; \quad \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \quad (5.2.5) \]

Letting \( u = y_1, \mathcal{L}(u) = 0, \) and \( v = \int (\Delta/y_1^2) \, dz, \) we soon see that Eq. (5.2.5) is satisfied. Therefore \( y_2 \), as defined in Eq. (5.2.4), is a solution of \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \) which is independent of \( y_1 \); its vector \( Y_2 \) in abstract vector space is in a different direction from \( Y_1 \). If we have two independent solutions, since Eq. (5.2.1) is linear, any combination \( A y_1 + B y_2 \) is also a solution. Hence any vector in the plane defined by \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \) corresponds to a solution of the corresponding equation.

**Independent Solutions.** We have just seen that, if one solution, \( y_1(z) \), of \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \) is known, a second solution can be obtained by integration,

\[ y_2(z) = B y_1(z) \int_{x_0}^{z} e^{-\int_{x_0}^{s} p \, ds} \, ds \quad (5.2.6) \]

This solution is independent of \( y_1(z) \), for the Wronskian \( \lambda(y_1, y_2) \) is equal to \( B e^{-\int_{x_0}^{z} p \, ds} \). The next question is whether all possible solutions of Eq. (5.2.1) can be expressed in terms of the combination \( A y_1 + B y_2 \) or we could have still another solution with related vector which does not lie in the plane defined by \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \). Suppose that we pick a solution \( y_2 \) and see if we can always express it in terms of \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \). Starting at the
point \( z = z_0 \), we can always find values of \( A \) and \( B \) such that

\[
y'_{3}(z_0) = Ay'_1(z_0) + By'_2(z_0); \quad y_{3}(z_0) = Ay'_1(z_0) + By'_2(z_0)
\]  

(5.2.7)

This can be done as long as the Wronskian \( \Delta(y_1, y_2) \) is not zero, in other words as \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are independent solutions.

Therefore it is always possible to find a combination of \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) such that the Wronskian of \( y_1 \) and the combination are zero at \( z = z_0 \). But to be sure that the function \( y_3 \) is really equal to \( Ay_1 + By_2 \), we should be sure that the higher derivatives at \( z = z_0 \) are equal. By use of Eqs. (5.2.1) and (5.2.7) we can see that

\[
y''_{3}(z_0) = -py''_1 - qy''_2 = -p[Ay'_1 + By'_2] - q[Ay_1 + By_2]
\]

\[
= Ay''_1(z_0) + By''_2(z_0)
\]

Continued differentiation and use of Eq. (5.2.1) show that, if Eqs. (5.2.7) hold, then the \( n \)th derivative of \( y_3 \) at \( z_0 \) is equal to the same combination of \( n \)th derivatives of \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \). Consequently a Taylor series about \( z - z_0 \) is:

\[
y_3(z) = [y_3(z_0) + (z - z_0)y'_3(z_0) + \frac{1}{2}(z - z_0)^2y''_3(z) + \cdots]
\]

\[
= A[y_1(z_0) + (z - z_0)y'_1(z_0) + \frac{1}{2}(z - z_0)^2y''_1(z) + \cdots]
\]

\[
= By_2(z_0) + (z - z_0)y'_2(z_0) + \cdots
\]

so that \( y_3 \) is actually represented by the combination \( Ay_1 + By_2 \) over the whole region of \( z \) where the Taylor series converges.

In this sense, therefore, any solution \( y_3 \) of \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \) can be represented in terms of a linear combination of two independent solutions \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \).

From the point of view of abstract vector space, the vectors representing the solutions \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \) all lie in a plane. It is not hard to see that, if we were dealing with third-order equations, we should need three independent solutions to form a basic set in terms of which we could express all solutions; in other words the vectors corresponding to the solutions would all lie within a three-dimensional subspace of abstract vector space; and so on, for higher order differential equations, the number of dimensions of the subspace being equal to the order of the linear differential equation which generates the solutions.

**Integration Factors and Adjoint Equations.** The solution of an unfamiliar differential equation in terms of known algebraic or transcendental functions is usually a more difficult task than the integration of an unfamiliar function; indeed the determination of the functional form of \( u = \int P \, dz \) corresponds to the finding of the solution of the very simple, first-order, differential equation \((du/dz) - v(z) = 0\). What is usually done to perform an integration in closed form is to try a number of possible solutions \( u \) to see if the derivative \( u' \) of any of them is equal to \( v \). The results of such exploration are compiled in tables of integrals.
If the required integral is not in the tables, one is usually forced to resort
to a series expansion (with consequent limitation of the region of applicability of the series to its region of convergence) or else to numerical computation (with similar or more stringent limitations).

Our chief task in this chapter will be to classify equations (i.e., make a list, similar to a table of integrals) so that one can recognize the variant forms of equations having known and tabulated solutions and to discuss various general techniques of solving such equations, so that we can find the general behavior of solutions of unfamiliar equations. In many cases we shall consider the solution found if we can express it in terms of one or more integrals, even if the integration can only be performed by expanding in series (or computing numerically). This is called "reducing to quadratures," a phrase by which the mathematician symbolically washes his hands of the remainder of the task of finding the solution.

For instance, the simplest linear differential equation

\[ \frac{dy}{dz} + p(z)y = 0 \]  

(5.2.8)
can be reduced to quadratures by rearrangement of terms

\[ f(dy/dz) = -f(p(z))dz; \quad y = -\int p dz + C; \quad y = Ae^{-\int p dz} \]  

(5.2.9)
or by the use of an integration factor. We notice that, if we multiply Eq. (5.2.8) by the factor \( e^{\int p dz} \), the result is a complete differential, which may be integrated immediately:

\[ e^{\int p dz}y'' + e^{\int p dz}yp' = (d/dz)[ye^{\int p dz}] = 0; \quad ye^{\int p dz} = A; \quad y = Ae^{-\int p dz} \]

An extensive literature has developed, reporting useful techniques for finding integration factors for more complicated first-order equations.

Second-order equations of the form of Eq. (5.2.1) may sometimes be reduced to quadrature by the use of an integration factor. Utilizing the identities

\[ y'' - v''y - (ii/dz)(y'y - v'y); \quad (ii/dz)(pyv) = vpy' + y(pv)' \]

we obtain the following equation, for any reasonable functions \( y \) and \( v \) of \( z \),

\[ v[y'' + py' + qy] - y[v'' - (pv)' + qv] = (d/dz)[vy' - pv + vpy] \]

which may be represented symbolically as

\[ v\mathcal{L}(y) - y\mathcal{L}(v) = (d/dz)P(v, y) \]  

(5.2.10)

where the original differential equation is \( \mathcal{L}(y) = y'' + py' + qy = 0 \).

The differential operator which operates on \( v \),

\[ \mathcal{L}(v) = \frac{d^2v}{dz^2} - \frac{d}{dz}(pv) + qv = v'' - pv' + (q - p')v \]  

(5.2.11)
is said to be \textit{adjoint} to the operator \( \mathcal{L}(y) \) which operates on \( y \), and the quantity which is differentiated by \( z \),

\[
P = vy' - v'y + vpy = vy' \left[ \frac{y'}{y} - \frac{v'}{v} + p \right] \tag{5.2.12}
\]

is called the \textit{bilinear concomitant}, a function of the functions \( v \) and \( y \) and of the variable \( z \).

If, now, it is easy to solve the adjoint equation \( \dot{\mathcal{L}}(v) = 0 \), then the solution of the original equation, \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \), is equivalent to solving the first-order equation

\[
P = vy\left(\frac{y'}{y}\right) - \left(\frac{v'}{v}\right) + p = \text{constant}
\]

Since any solution will suffice, we choose the easiest one by setting the constant equal to zero, so that, if \( v \) is a solution of \( \dot{\mathcal{L}}(v) = 0 \), then

\[
\frac{dy}{y} = \frac{dv}{v} - p
dz; \quad y_1 = ve^{-\int p
dz} \tag{5.2.13}
\]

(we pay no attention to arbitrary constants, since we can introduce them later). A second solution independent of \( y_1 \) can be found by using Eq. (5.2.6):

\[
y_2 = ve^{-\int p
dz} \int \frac{e^{\int p
dz} dz}{v'}
\]  

(5.2.14)

where we have to perform another integration. Then the general solution is \( \psi = Ay_1 + By_2 \).

A general type of second-order differential equation which may be solved in this manner is the case where \( q = -q'p'/dz \), for then the adjoint equation (5.2.11) for \( v \) takes on the simple form

\[
v'' - qv = 0; \quad v' = \int p
dz; \quad v = \int e^{\int p
dz} dz
\]

and two independent solutions for \( y \) are

\[
y_1 = e^{-\int p
dz} \int e^{\int p
dz} dz = \frac{v}{v'}
\]

\[
y_0 = -e^{-\int p
dz} \left[ \int e^{\int p
dz} dz \right] \int \frac{e^{\int p
dz} dz}{\left[ e^{\int p
dz} dz \right]'} = \frac{1}{v'}
\]

for proper choice of the constants of integration.

In some of our \textit{separated} equations the factor \( f_a \) has the form \((x - \alpha)^a\) so that the corresponding expression \( p \) for the equation, is \( d \ln f/d\xi = \alpha/(x - \alpha) \). If now the elements of the Stäckel determinant are such as to make \( q = -\alpha/(x - \alpha)^2 \), then the equation \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \) has the form

\[
y'' + (\alpha/z)y' - (\alpha/z^2)y = 0; \quad z = x - \alpha
\]
the requirement \( q = p' \) is satisfied, and we can use Eqs. (5.2.15). In this case \( \int p \, dz = \alpha \ln z, \, v' = ze^\alpha, \, v = ze^{\alpha+1}/(\alpha + 1) \), so that the general solution of \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \) is

\[
\psi = A'y_1 + B'y_2 = Az + (B/z^\alpha) \tag{5.2.16}
\]

which has a branch point (or pole, if \( \alpha \) is an integer) of order \( \alpha \) at \( z = 0 \) (\( \xi = \alpha \), a concentration point of the coordinates) and a simple pole at \( z = \infty \) (i.e., inserting \( z = 1/w, \psi \) has a simple pole at \( w = 0 \)).

This equation is adequate unless \( \alpha = -1 \), when the term \( Bz^{-\alpha} \) is not independent of the first solution \( Az \). When this is the case, \( p = -(1/z) \) and the second solution may be obtained directly from Eq. (5.2.6):

\[
y_2 = y_1 \int \frac{e^{-\int p \, ds}}{y_1^2} \, dz = z \int \frac{dz}{z^2} = z \ln z
\]

so that the general solution is then

\[
\psi = z(A + B \ln z) \tag{5.2.17}
\]

again having a branch point at \( z = 0 \).

**Solution of Inhomogeneous Equation.** Once we know two independent solutions \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) of the homogeneous equation \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \), we can find the general solution of the inhomogeneous equation \( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = r(z) \) in terms of an additional integration. Analogously to Eq. (5.2.5), we set \( \psi = u \) in \( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = r \), obtaining

\[
v\mathcal{L}(u) + u'' + (up + 2u')v' = r
\]

If now we set \( u \) equal to one of the solutions \( y_1 \) of the homogeneous equation, \( \mathcal{L}(y) = 0 \), we obtain

\[
v'' + [p + 2(y_1'/y_1)]v' = r/y_1 \tag{5.2.18}
\]

However, a reference to the discussion of page 525 about the Wronskian shows that, for a second solution and Wronskian related by the equation \((y_2/y_1)' = \Delta/y_1^2\), we have

\[
\left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)' = \left(\frac{\Delta}{y_1^2}\right)' = \frac{\Delta'}{y_1^2} - \frac{\Delta' y_1'}{y_1 y_2'} = -\frac{\Delta}{y_1^2} - \frac{2 y_1' \Delta}{y_1 y_2'}
\]

so that

\[
(y_2/y_1)'' + [p + 2(y_1'/y_1)](y_2/y_1)' = 0
\]

Multiplying this equation by \( v' \) and multiplying Eq. (5.2.18) by \((y_2/y_1)'\) and subtracting, we obtain

\[
\left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)' v'' - v' \left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)' = \left(\frac{r}{y_1}\right) \left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)'
\]

By this manipulation we have reduced our original second-order inhomogeneous equation \( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = r \) to a simple first-order inhomogeneous
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\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{v'}{(y_2/y_1)} \right) = \frac{ry_1}{\Delta} \tag{5.2.19}
\]

where \(y_1, y_2,\) and \(\Delta = y_1y_2' - y_2y_1'\) are obtained from the homogeneous equation \(\mathcal{L}(y) = 0\) and are supposed to be known functions of \(z\). Integrating this first-order equation without more ado, we obtain

\[v' = \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{y_2}{y_1} \right) \int \frac{ry_1}{\Delta} \, dz \quad \text{or} \quad v' + \frac{ry_1}{\Delta} = \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{y_2}{y_1} \int \frac{ry_1}{\Delta} \, dz \right)\]

Since \(v = \psi/y_1\), we therefore finally arrive at a formal solution of the inhomogeneous equation \(\mathcal{L}(\psi) = r\):

\[
\psi = ry_1 = y_1 \left[ c_1 - \int \frac{ry_1 \, dz}{\Delta(y_1,y_2)} \right] + y_2 \left[ c_2 + \int \frac{ry_1 \, dz}{\Delta(y_1,y_2)} \right]
\]

where the integrals are indefinite integrals and the constants \(c\) may be adjusted to fit the boundary conditions. In conformity with the discussion on page 524, this solution consists of the particular solution,

\[
\int^w r(w) \, dw \left[ \frac{y_1(w)y_2(z) - y_2(w)y_1(z)}{y_1(w)y_2'(w) - y_2(w)y_1'(w)} \right]
\]

plus an arbitrary amount of the solution of the homogeneous equation \(\mathcal{L}(y) = 0\).

**Series Solutions about Ordinary Points.** As we pointed out several times before and shall prove shortly, the general solution of \(\mathcal{L}(y) = 0\) has its singularities at the points where the functions \(p\) and \(q\) have their poles. All other values of \(z\), where \(p\) and \(q\) are analytic functions, are called **ordinary points for the equation**. The points where \(p\) or \(q\) (or both) have singularities are called **singular points for the equation**.

To show that the general solution is analytic at an ordinary point and also to illustrate one of the techniques for solving differential equations, we shall compute the series expansion for the solution of Eq. (5.2.1) at an ordinary point \(z = a\). Since \(z = a\) is an ordinary point, both \(p\) and \(q\) are analytic and can be expanded into Taylor's series about \(z = a\):

\[
p(z) = p(a) + (z - a)p'(a) + \frac{(z - a)^2}{2!}p''(a) + \cdots
\]

\[
q(z) = q(a) + (z - a)q'(a) + \frac{(z - a)^2}{2!}q''(a) + \cdots
\]

The solution \(y\) (if it is analytic) can also be expressed as a series

\[
y = a_0 + a_1(z - a) + a_2(z - a)^2 + \cdots
\]

Substituting this into Eq. (5.2.1), we obtain

\[
0 = [2a_2 + a_2p(a) + a_0q(a)]
\]

\[
+ [6a_3 + 2a_2p(a) + a_2p'(a) + a_0q'(a) + a_1q(a)](z - a) + \cdots
\]
Equating coefficients of each power of \((\varepsilon - a)\) to zero, we have a series of equations for determination of the coefficients \(a_n\) in the series for the solution. The first equation gives \(a_2\) in terms of \(a_0\) and \(a_1\) [and the known quantities \(p(a)\) and \(q(a)\)]. The second gives \(a_3\) in terms of \(a_2\), \(a_1\), and \(a_0\), and therefore in terms of \(a_0\) and \(a_1\), and so on. These equations can be solved, so the series for \(y\) is valid.

The series expansion for \(y\) can thus be written

\[
y = a_0 y_1 + a_1 y_2
\]
\[
y_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{2}q(a)(\varepsilon - a)^2 + \frac{1}{4}q(a)p(a) - q'(a))(\varepsilon - a)^3 + \cdots
\]
\[
y_2 = (\varepsilon - a) - \frac{1}{2}p(a)(\varepsilon - a)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(p^2(a) - y'(a) - q(a))(\varepsilon - a)^3 + \cdots
\]

(5.2.20)

where \(y_1\) and \(y_2\) are independent solutions. They are, in fact, the particularly useful pair of solutions, one having unit value and zero slope at \(z = a\) and the other having zero value and unit slope, which are called the basic set of solutions for the ordinary point \(z = a\). Any boundary condition of the type \(y(a) = A, y'(a) = B\) can easily be satisfied by setting \(y = A y_1 + B y_2\). This series solution will hold within a circle of convergence of radius equal to the distance between \(a\) and the nearest singular point of the differential equation. For instance, the basic set of solutions of the differential equation \(y'' + y = 0\) at the ordinary point \(z = 0\) is \(y_1 = \cos z, y_2 = \sin z\). Since the nearest singular point is at infinity, the series expansions for cosine and sine are valid over the entire finite part of the \(z\) plane.

It is of interest to note that, when \(p\) has a pole at \(z = a\) but \(q\) is analytic at \(a\), one solution of the equation is analytic, though the second solution has a singularity. Setting \(p = F(z)/(z - a)^\eta\), where \(F\) is an analytic function which is not zero at \(z = a\) and then carrying out the same series expansion as above, we find in general that \(a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 0\) but that \(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \ldots\) can be expressed in terms of \(a_0\). For instance, if \(n = 2\) in the expression for \(p\), the series corresponding to the equation \(F(y) = 0\) is

\[
0 = \frac{1}{(z - a)^2} a_1 F(a) + \frac{1}{(z - a)^3} [2a_2 F' (a) + a_1 F''(a)]
\]
\[
+ [2a_3 + q(a) a_2 + 2a_2 F'(a) + 2a_1 F''(a)] \]
\[
+ \cdots
\]

so that one solution of the equation is (letting \(a_0 = 1\))

\[
y_1 = 1 - \frac{q(a)}{3F(a)} (z - a)^\eta + \left[ \frac{q(a)}{2F^2(a)} + \frac{q'(a)}{4F^2(a)} - \frac{q''(a)}{6F(a)} \right] (z - a)^\eta + \cdots
\]

The second solution may be calculated by using Eq. (5.2.6). Since

\[
\int p \, dz = - \frac{F(a)}{(z - a)} + F'(a) \ln (z - a) + \frac{1}{2} F''(a)(z - a) + \cdots
\]
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integral \( \int dz \, e^{-f a z / b^2} \) will have an essential singularity of general form \((z - a) e^{r(z-a)/b^3} \). Therefore the general solution \( Ay_1 + By_2 \) has an essential singularity at \( z = a \).

On the other hand if \( p \) has a simple pole at \( z = a \) (for example, \( n = 1 \)), then \( \int dz \, e^{-f a z / b^2} \ln (z - a) + \cdots \) and the second solution will have a branch point of the form \((z - a)^{-r-1}\) times an analytic function.

**Singular Points, Indicial Equation.** We have just seen that, near these singular points where \( q \) is analytic but \( p \) has a pole, one solution is analytic and the second solution has a branch point if \( p \) has a simple pole or has an essential singularity if \( p \) has a pole of higher order. This distinction is of general utility; we can define a **regular singular point** as one where the general solution has a pole or branch point, an **irregular singular point** as one where the general solution has an essential singularity.

To find out what behavior of \( p \) and \( q \) produces which type of singular point, we shall separate off the singularity in \( y \) by setting \( y_1 = v u \), where \( u \) is supposed to be analytic. Using Eq. (5.2.5) we have

\[
w'' + Hu' + J u = 0; \quad H = p + 2(v'/v); \quad J = q + (v''/v) + p(v'/v)
\]

(5.2.21)

Now let us assume that a **singular** point for \( f(y) = 0 \) is at \( z = a \), which means that \( p = F(z)/(z - a)^m, q = G(z)/(z - a)^n \), where \( F \) and \( G \) are analytic at \( z = a \). In order that \( u \) be analytic, we must have that the coefficient \( J \) be analytic, \( u \) can then be the analytic solution of the equation \( w'' + Hu' + J u = 0 \) with \( J \) analytic and \( H \) having a pole.

First we ask what are the restrictions placed on \( p \) and \( q \) (i.e., on \( m \) and \( n \)) in order that \( z = a \) be regular singular point. In this case, by definition, \( v = (z - a)^s, \quad v'/v = s/(z - a); \quad v''/v = s(s - 1)/(z - a)^2 \). In order that \( J \) be analytic, the pole for \( q \) must be second order or less \((n - 2)\) and the pole for \( p \) first order or less \((m = 1) \). Consequently if \( p \) has the form \( F(z)/(z - a) \) and \( q \) the form \( G(z)/(z - a)^2 \), where \( F \) and \( G \) are analytic, then the point \( z = a \) is a **regular singular point** for the equation \( f(y) = 0 \) and the general solution has a branch point there. The equation determining \( s \) comes from Eq. (5.2.21),

\[
s^2 + [F(a) - 1] s + G(a) = 0
\]

(5.2.22)

and is called the **indicial equation** for the solution. The two roots \( s_1 \) and \( s_2(s_1 > s_2) \) correspond to the two solutions \( y_1 = (z - a)^n u_1, y_2 = (z - a)^s u_2 \), where \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) are functions analytic at \( a \).

When \( s_1 = s_2 \) and, in most cases, when \( |s_1 - s_2| \) is an integer, \( u_2 \) turns out to be \((z - a)^{s_1} u_1 \), so that the indicial equation and the series solution for \( u \) give one solution but not a second one. In this case we use Eq. (5.2.6) to obtain \( y_2 \). Since \( e^{-f a z} (z - a)^{-r(\infty)} \) times an analytic function and since \( 1 - F(a) = s_1 + s_2 \), the integrand of Eq.
(5.2.6) is then
\[
\frac{(z - a)^{s_1 + s_2 - 1} \text{(analytic function)}}{(z - a)^{s_1 + s_2} u_1^2} = \frac{\text{analytic function}}{(z - a)^{s_1 - s_2 + 1}}
\]

If \(s_1 - s_2\) is an integer, this integrand has a pole at \(z = a\) rather than a branch point, and when the analytic function is expanded in a series \( [b_0 + b_1(z - a) + \ldots] \), the series for the second solution will be
\[
(z - a)^{s_1} u_1 \left[ \frac{h_{s_1}}{s_1 - s_2} + \frac{h_{s_1}(z - a)}{s_1 - s_2 - 1} + \ldots + b_{s_1 - s_2}(z - a)^{s_1 - s_2} \ln (z - a) + \ldots \right]
\]
which has the distinguishing term \(u_1(z - a)^{s_1} \ln (z - a)\).

This logarithmic term always appears in the second solution when \(s_1 = s_2\) (see page 529) and nearly always appears when \((s_1 - s_2)\) is an integer. Therefore we can say that the general solution of Eq. (5.2.1) has a branch point at a regular singular point of the equation, for when \(s_1\) and \(s_2\) are both integers, so that we might expect no branch point to occur, in just these cases a logarithmic term will enter the second solution, bringing with it a branch point of its own. One of the exceptions to this rule is given in Eq. (5.2.16).

When \(q\) has a pole of higher order than second or when \(p\) has a pole of order higher than first, or both, one or both solutions must have an essential singularity and we have an irregular singular point. When \(q\) has a pole of order one higher than \(p\) plus a term in \((z - a)^{-2}\), only one of the solutions has an essential singularity.

A simple way of showing this is to insert the series
\[
y = (z - a)^r \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i(z - a)^i; \text{ that is, } v = (z - a)^r; \text{ } u = \sum c_i(z - a)^i
\]
into Eq. (5.2.1). Then, if \(p\) and \(q\) can be represented by the Laurent series
\[
p = a_{-m}(z - a)^{-m} + a_{-m+1}(z - a)^{-m+1} + \ldots \text{, } m, n \text{ integers}
\]
\[
q = b_{-m}(z - a)^{-m} + b_{-m+1}(z - a)^{-m+1} + \ldots
\]
the following series:
\[
c_0 a_0 (s - 1)(z - a)^{s-2} + c_1 a_1 (s + 1)(z - a)^{s-3} + \ldots
\]
\[
+ c_0 a_{-m}(z - a)^{s-m} + c_1 a_{-m+1}(z - a)^{s-m} + \ldots
\]
\[
+ c_0 b_{-m}(z - a)^{s-m} + c_1 b_{-m+1}(z - a)^{s-m} + \ldots
\]
must be zero in the range of \(z\) where the series for \(u\) converges. Consequently the coefficient of each power of \((z - a)\) must be zero in turn. If the resulting infinite set of equations cannot be solved, then our
original assumption as to the form of \( y \) is not adequate and \( y \) must have an essential singularity at \( z = a \).

It turns out that, if the coefficient of the lowest power of \((z - a)\) can be made zero, then all the other equations can be satisfied by proper choice of the coefficients \( \epsilon_n \). This crucial equation, for the lowest power of \((z - a)\), is just the indicial equation \((5.2.22)\) if \( m \) and \( n \) have such values that \( s \) enters this equation at all. We see that, if \( m \leq 1 \) and \( n < 2 \), this equation is a quadratic in \( s \); for example, for \( m = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \) we have

\[
\epsilon_n [a^2 + (a - 1)s + b_0] = 0
\]

which allows two roots for \( s \); consequently both independent solutions \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) have the assumed form (branch point at \( z = a \)) and the point \( z = a \) is by definition a regular singular point. (If the roots are equal, we have already seen that the second solution has a logarithmic term.)

If \( 1 < m \geq n - 1 \), the equation for the lowest power of \((z - a)\) is linear in \( s \), so that only one solution \( y \) can have the assumed form, and if \( n > m + 1 \geq 2 \), there is no indicial equation and neither solution can have the assumed form. Such a point is an irregular singular point; one or both solutions must have essential singularities at \( z = a \). There is a definite hierarchy of irregular singular points, depending on what sort of essential singularity the solution has. For example, the form

\[
y = [c_0(z - a)^s + c_1(z - a)^{s+1} + \cdots] \cdot \exp \left[ A_0(z - a)^{-k} + A_1(z - a)^{-k+1} + \cdots \right]
\]

is a solution at some irregular singular points; the nature of the essential singularity of \( y \) at \( z = a \) will be determined by the value of \( k \) required.

One can order the singular points into different species according to the value of \( k \) required. When \( p \) or \( q \) has branch points or essential singularities, the singularities of \( y \) are even more "pathological." Luckily we need not penetrate this jungle of complications to solve the equations we are to work with.

**Classification of Equations, Standard Forms.** It should be apparent by now that the first thing to do when attempting to solve a linear differential equation of unfamiliar form is to locate the position of all the singularities of the functions \( p \) and \( q \). If all these singularities are poles, we can go on to the next step; if some of them are branch points or essential singularities, we try to change the independent variable \( z \) so they all become poles (if this cannot be done, we are reduced to numerical integration; this sometimes happens!). We then distinguish the regular singular points from the irregular ones, and by solving the indicial equations for all the regular points, we find the values of the indices \( s \), which determine the nature of the branch points in the solutions at these places. If there are irregular singular points, we also determine the nature of the essential singularity there by the methods discussed above.
The nature of the point at infinity is determined by setting $z = 1/w$; the resulting equation is

$$\frac{d^3y}{dw^3} + P(w) \frac{dy}{dw} + Q(w)y = 0; \quad P(w) = \frac{2}{w} - \frac{1}{w^3} \ln \left( \frac{1}{w} \right); \quad Q = \frac{1}{w^4} q \left( \frac{1}{w} \right)$$

(5.2.23)

The nature of the poles of $P$ and $Q$ for $w = 0$ tells us the nature of the singular point at infinity. For instance, if a solution of the indicial equation for $w = 0$ is $s_i$, then the solution has the form $w^{s_i} F(w) = (1/z)^{s_i} F(1/z)$, where $F(w)$ is analytic at $w = 0$.

The singular points of all the equations arising from the separation of the equation $\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0$ are listed in the table at the end of this chapter.

It is usually best next to transform the equation into a standard form, with standard positions for the singular points and with indices $s$ for the solutions which are as simple as can be. For instance, if there are but two singular points, it is usually best to place them at zero and infinity; if there are three, the usual location is zero, unity, and infinity. This is done by changing the independent variable. If the points are originally at $a, b,$ and $c$, the transformation

$$z = (w - a) \gamma / (w - c); \quad w = (\gamma a - cz) / (\gamma - z); \quad \gamma = (b - c) / (b - a)$$

(5.2.24)

will change the position of the singular points but will not change their nature or the indicial equations at each point. The related equations are

$$\frac{d^3\psi}{dw^3} + P(w) \frac{d\psi}{dw} + Q(w)\psi = 0; \quad \frac{d^3\psi}{dz^3} + p(z) \frac{d\psi}{dz} + q(z)\psi = 0$$

$$p(z) = \frac{\gamma^2 (a - c)}{(\gamma - z)^3} P \left( \frac{\gamma a - cz}{\gamma - z} \right) - \frac{2}{\gamma - z}$$

$$q(z) = \frac{\gamma^2 (a - c)^2}{(\gamma - z)^4} Q \left( \frac{\gamma a - cz}{\gamma - z} \right)$$

(5.2.25)

Here, and for the rest of this chapter, we use $\psi$ for the general solution, $y$ for specific solutions.

It is impossible to devise a transformation which will change four arbitrary points to four standard positions and not change the indices, so there is no single standard form for equations with more than three singular points. We usually set the irregular singular point at infinity (if there is only one), for the factor $v$ discussed above then has the comparatively simple form exp $(a_0 z^k + a_{k-1} z^{k-1} + \cdots + a_{-k} z^{-k})$.

If the singular point at zero is regular, it is usually advisable next to change the dependent variable, by setting $\psi = vu$ and using Eq. (5.2.21), in order to obtain an equation for $u$ which is comparatively easy to solve. Usually this involves letting $v$ have a branch point corresponding to the lowest root of the indicial equation for the point at zero, so that
one solution of the equation for \( u \) is analytic at \( z = 0 \). But the detailed considerations guiding the choice of transformations for both independent and dependent variable are best shown in terms of examples.

For these and other reasons it is useful to discuss some of the less complicated equations in a more or less systematic way so we can become familiar with their form and can learn something of the behavior of their solutions. We shall take the cases up in order of increasing number and complexity of singular points. In each case we discuss the general form first, then transform to a standard form, for which we will define standard solutions. The simplest case is, of course, that for one regular singular point. If this point is at \( z = a \), the equation is

\[
\psi'' + \frac{2}{(z - a)}\psi' = 0
\]

(5.2.26)

where the coefficient of the term \( \psi'/(z - a) \) must be 2 in order that there be no singular point at infinity [see Eq. (5.2.23)]. The general solution is \( \psi = A + B/(z - a) \). The standard form for the equation could be with the singular point at infinity, the equation and solutions are then

\[
\psi'' = 0; \quad \psi = A + Bz
\]

(5.2.27)

Two Regular Singular Points. Here the general form of the equation is

\[
\frac{d^2\psi}{dw^2} + \frac{\lambda \mu (a - c)z}{(w - a)^2 (w - c)^2} \psi = 0
\]

(5.2.28)

where the form of \( P(w) \) is closely specified (particularly the \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) in the numerator) in order that the point at infinity be an ordinary point. The indicial equation (5.2.22) for \( w = a \) is \( \lambda^2 - (\lambda + \mu) \alpha + \lambda \mu = 0 \), with roots \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \), so that the solutions are \( y_1 = (w - a)^{\lambda} u_1^a \), \( y_2 = (w - a)^{\lambda} u_2^a \). The indicial equation for \( w = c \) is \( \alpha^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \alpha + \lambda \mu = 0 \), with roots \( -\lambda \) and \( -\mu \), so that the solutions are \( y_3 = (w - c)^{-\lambda} u_1^c \), \( y_4 = (w - c)^{-\lambda} u_2^c \). Direct substitution (or series solution) shows that

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_1 &= (w - a)^{\lambda} \left( \frac{w - a}{w - c} \right)^{\lambda} + B \left( \frac{w - a}{w - c} \right)^{\mu} \\
\psi_2 &= (w - a)^{\lambda} \left( \frac{w - a}{w - c} \right)^{\mu}
\end{align*}
\]

(5.2.29)

The task of solution would have been easier, however, if we had made the transformation \( z = (w - a)/(w - c) \), placing one singular point at zero and one at infinity. The transformed equation is then

\[
\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} - \left( \frac{\lambda + \mu + 1}{z} \right) \frac{d\psi}{dz} + \left( \frac{\lambda \mu}{z^2} \right) \psi = 0
\]

(5.2.30)

From this standard form it is not difficult to show that the solution is \( \psi = A z^\lambda + B z^\mu \). With only two singular points and these regular, the solution is forced to have a particularly simple form, and the indices
at one of the two singular points must be the negatives of the indices at the other.

The one exceptional case here is when \( \lambda = \mu \). In this case the solution, obtained from Eq. (5.2.6), is

\[
\psi = z^\lambda(A + B \ln z) = \left(\frac{w-a}{w-c}\right)^\lambda \left[A + B \ln \left(\frac{w-a}{w-c}\right)\right]
\]  

(5.2.31)

**One Irregular Singular Point.** The equation for a single irregular point at \( w = a \) turns out to be

\[
\frac{d^2\psi}{dw^2} + \frac{2}{w-a} \frac{d\psi}{dw} - \frac{k^2}{(w-a)^4} \psi = 0
\]

(5.2.32)

The term \( (w-a)^{-4} \) is responsible for the irregular singular point, and the term \( 2/(w-a) \) prevents a singular point from occurring at \( w = \infty \).

The solution of this equation is

\[
\psi = A e^{i\sqrt{k/(w-a)}} + B e^{-i\sqrt{k/(w-a)}}
\]

(5.2.33)

as can be found by direct substitution or else by transformation to \( z = 1/(w-a) \), for the standard form of this type of equation is obtained by setting the irregular singular point at infinity:

\[
(d^2\psi/dz^2) - k^2\psi = 0
\]

(5.2.34)

We should note that Eq. (5.2.34) is not the only equation having one irregular singular point at \( z \), for there can be different species of irregular singular points. For instance, the equation coming from the wave equation in parabolic cylinder coordinates,

\[
y^{(\nu)} + (a^2 + b^2z^2)y = 0
\]

has one irregular singular point, at \( \infty \), but its solutions are not the simple exponentials.

An interesting interrelationship between regular and irregular singular points is illustrated if we see what the case for two regular singular points becomes when we merge the singular points, at the same time keeping the term multiplying \( \psi \) finite. In Eq. (5.2.28) we set \( c = a + \epsilon \), \( \lambda = -\mu = k/\epsilon \), and then let \( \epsilon \) go to zero; we obtain Eq. (5.2.32). The solution

\[
\psi = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ A \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\omega - c}\right)^{1/\epsilon} + B \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\omega - c}\right)^{-1/\epsilon}\right]
\]

corresponds to one of the familiar definitions of the exponential:

\[
e^x = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (1 - \epsilon x)^{1/\epsilon}
\]

Such a merging of two singular points, with corresponding modification of indices, is called a **confluence** of singular points.

**Three Regular Singular Points.** Equations with more complexity than the former have solutions which cannot be expressed in terms of ele-
mentary functions. Reference to the table at the end of this chapter indicates, however, that a majority of the separated equations listed there have either three regular singular points or one regular and one irregular singular point, so it behooves us to analyze these cases in detail.

As a means of further familiarizing ourselves with the techniques of analysis of singular points of equations, let us construct the equation (in the variable \( w \)) for three regular singular points at the positions \( w = a, b, c \). This means that the function \( p(w) \) must have simple poles at \( w = a, b, c \) but nowhere else. This means that \( p(w) \) must have either of the two equivalent forms

\[
p(w) = \frac{Aw^3 + Bw^2 + Cw + D}{(w - a)(w - b)(w - c)} = \frac{\alpha}{w - a} + \frac{\beta}{w - b} + \frac{\gamma}{w - c} + \delta
\]

We shall use the second form because it is easier to work with. Referring to Eq. (5.2.23) we see that, if infinity is to be an ordinary point, the function \( w = 1/u \)

\[
\frac{2}{u} - \frac{1}{u^2} P\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) = \frac{2}{u} - \frac{\alpha/u}{1 - au} - \frac{\beta/u}{1 - bu} - \frac{\gamma/u}{1 - cu} - \frac{\delta}{u^2}
\]

must be analytic at \( u = 0 \). This means that \( \delta = 0 \) and that \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 2 \).

In a similar manner, since \( q \) can have poles of order no higher than second order at \( a, b, c \) and since \( q(1/u)/u^4 \) must be analytic at \( u = 0 \), its form must be

\[
q(w) = \frac{1}{(w - a)(w - b)(w - c)} \left[ \frac{d}{w} + \frac{e}{w - b} + \frac{f}{w - c} \right]
\]

To find the relation between the indices of the solution at \( w = a \) and the constants \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, d, e, f \), we refer to Eq. (5.2.22). Near \( w = a \),

\[
p = \frac{F(w)}{w - a}; \quad F(a) = \alpha; \quad q = \frac{G(w)}{(w - a)^2}; \quad G(a) = \frac{d}{(w - b)(a - c)}
\]

If the two indices at \( w = a \) are to be \( s = \lambda \) and \( s = \lambda' \) \( \text{i.e.,} \) if the two solutions at \( w = a \) are \( y_1 = (w - a)^\lambda y_1(w) \) and \( y_2 = (w - a)^\lambda' y_2(w) \) with \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) analytic, then in the equation \( s^2 + [F(a) - 1]s + G(a) = 0 \) the term \( 1 - F(a) \) must equal the sum of the roots \( \lambda + \lambda' \) and the term \( G(a) \) must equal the product of the roots \( \lambda \lambda' \). Therefore \( \alpha = 1 - \lambda - \lambda' \) and \( d = \lambda \lambda'(a - b)(a - c) \). If the indices at \( b \) are \( \mu \) and \( \mu' \) and those at \( c \) are \( \nu \) and \( \nu' \), then the rest of the constants are also given specifically in terms of these indices. But, as we have shown, in order that the point at infinity be an ordinary point, we must have \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 2 \) or

\[
\lambda + \lambda' + \mu + \mu' + \nu + \nu' = 1 \quad (5.2.35)
\]

With this restriction understood, we can now write the most general
equation for three regular singularities,
\[
\frac{d^3\psi}{dw^3} = \left[ \frac{\lambda + \lambda' - 1}{w-a} + \frac{\mu + \mu' - 1}{w-b} + \frac{\nu + \nu' - 1}{w-c} \right] \frac{d\psi}{dw}
+ \left[ \frac{\lambda\lambda'(a-b)(a-c)}{(w-a)^2(w-b)(w-c)} + \frac{\mu\mu'(b-a)(b-c)}{(w-a)(w-b)(w-c)^2} 
+ \frac{\nu\nu'(c-a)(c-b)}{(w-a)(w-b)(w-c)^2} \right] \psi = 0
\tag{5.2.36}
\]

This is called the equation of Papperitz. As we have seen, this equation (and therefore its solutions) is completely determined by specifying the position of its three singular points and the values of the two indices at each of the three points [or, rather, five out of the six indices, the sixth being fixed by Eq. (5.2.35)]. In other words the symbolic tabular display
\[
\psi = P \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha & b & c \\
\lambda & \mu & \nu & w \\
\lambda' & \mu' & \nu'
\end{array} \right)
\tag{5.2.37}
\]
is completely equivalent (if the sum of the second and third rows is unity) to a statement that \( \psi \) is a solution of Eq. (5.2.36). This symbol, due to Riemann, will occasionally be a space saver.

A series solution of Eq. (5.2.36) would be a very tedious affair, so we shall next alter the singular points to their standard positions. Letting \( a = 0, b = 1, \) and \( c \to \infty, \) we obtain (letting \( w \to z \))
\[
\frac{d^3\psi}{dz^3} = \left[ \frac{\lambda + \lambda' - 1}{z} + \frac{\mu + \mu' - 1}{z-1} \right] \frac{d\psi}{dz}
- \left[ \frac{\lambda\lambda'}{z} - \frac{\mu\mu'}{z-1} + \nu(\lambda + \lambda' + \mu + \mu' + \nu - 1) \right] \frac{\psi}{z(z-1)} = 0
\tag{5.2.38}
\]
or
\[
\psi = P \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \infty \\
\lambda & \mu & \nu & z \\
\lambda' & \mu' & \nu'
\end{array} \right)
\tag{5.2.38}
\]

Recursion Formulas. We have now learned that we can express \( \psi \) in terms of the following expansions about the singular points
\[
\psi = z^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n + z^{\alpha'} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n
= (z-1)^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-1)^n + (z-1)^{\alpha'} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (z-1)^n
= \frac{1}{(1/z)^\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left( \frac{1}{z} \right)^n + \frac{1}{(1/z)^{\alpha'}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \left( \frac{1}{z} \right)^n
\tag{5.2.39}
\]
or else in terms of a fundamental set of solutions about any ordinary point (see page 531). What we have to do next is to determine the relationship between the series coefficients \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) for different \( n \)'s so we can compute \( a_n \) in terms of \( a_0 \) and \( b_n \) in terms of \( b_0 \), and therefore obtain the series for the solution. Insertion of any one of the six possible series into the equation and equating coefficients of powers of \( z(z-1) \), or \( 1/z \) to zero will give us a whole series of equations of the general form

\[
D_n(a_n) = \Gamma_n a_{n-k+1} + \Gamma_{n+k-2} a_{n-k+4} + \cdots + \Gamma_{n-k} a_n = 0 \quad (5.2.40)
\]

which are to be solved to obtain \( a_n \) in terms of \( a_0 \) (or \( b_n \) in terms of \( b_0 \)). These equations are called \( k \)-term recursion formulas. The coefficients \( \Gamma \) are functions of the constants in the equation, are different for the different singular point and index chosen, and also depend on their subscripts \( n \) and \( j \) (\( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \)). Since the series (5.2.39) are the correct forms, we shall find that \( \Gamma_{00} = 0 \), which ensures that there need not be any \( a \)'s with negative subscripts.

For Eq. (5.2.38), for instance, for \( z = 0 \) and for the index \( \lambda \), the recursion formula is

\[
D_n(a_n) = [n(n + \lambda - \lambda' - \mu + \mu' + 1) \\
- (\nu + \lambda)(\lambda' + \mu + \mu' - \nu + 1)]a_n \\
- [(n + 1)(2n + 2\lambda - 2\lambda' - \mu - \mu' + 3) - \mu \mu'] \\
- (\nu + \lambda)(\lambda' + \mu + \mu' - \nu + 1)]a_{n+1} \\
+ (n + 2)(n + \lambda - \lambda' + 2)a_{n+2} = 0 \quad (5.2.41)
\]

With such a three-term recursion formula, an explicit formula for \( a_n/a_0 \) is an extremely complicated thing, however.

At any rate we have reduced the problem of finding the solution of the differential equation to one of solving an infinite sequence of algebraic equations determining the successive coefficients of the series solution. In other words, we have made yet another transformation, from the continuous variable \( z \) to the sequence of integer values of the subscripts of the coefficients of the power series, the \( n \) of \( a_n \) or \( b_n \); correspondingly the equation has changed from the differential equation \( L_n(y) = 0 \) to the difference equation \( D_n(a_n) = 0 \). The coefficients in the difference equation correspond to and are determined by the coefficients \( p \) and \( q \) in the differential equation. The interrelation between differential equation and recursion formula is given its most suggestive form when we express \( D_n \) in terms of the difference operators:

\[
\delta(a_n) = a_n - a_{n+1}; \quad \delta(na_n) = na_n - (n+1)a_{n+1}; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

\[
\delta^2(a_n) = \delta(ba_n) = a_n - 2a_{n+1} + a_{n+2}; \quad \text{etc.}
\]

For instance, the recursion formula for the series solution of Eq. (5.2.38), given in Eq. (5.2.41), can be written
§5.2] General Properties, Series Solutions

\[ D_n(a_n) = (n + \lambda - \lambda' + 2)\delta(na_n) - (\mu + \mu' + 3)\delta(na_n) + (\lambda + \nu)(\lambda + \nu')\delta(a_n) - \mu\nu\delta(a_n) \uparrow (\mu \mu' \mid 2n)a_n = 0 \\
(\nu' = 1 - \lambda - \lambda' - \mu - \mu' - \nu) \]

As long as we are limiting ourselves to the use of power series for the solutions, it behooves us to transform the independent and dependent variables of the differential equation into the form which will give the simplest recursion formula possible. The three-term recursion formula of Eq. (5.2.41), for instance, corresponds to a second-order difference equation; perhaps a transformation of dependent variable will change this to a two-term recursion formula, which would correspond to a first-order difference equation and which would be very much simpler to solve.

The Hypergeometric Equation. Having transformed the independent variable to shift the positions of the singular points to their standard position, we next transform the dependent variable so that the indices at the singular points in the finite part of the plane are as simple as possible. Such a change of \( \psi \) can change only the sum of the indices at the singular point; it cannot change their difference. We can, however, divide out by \( z^2(z - 1)^\nu \), so that one solution at each point is analytic, the other having the index \( \lambda' - \lambda \) or \( \mu' - \mu \). In other words, our solution is \( \psi = z^\nu(z - 1)^\mu \), where the Riemann symbol for \( \psi \) is

\[
y = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ 0 & 0 & \nu + \lambda + \mu \\ \lambda' - \lambda & \mu' - \mu & 1 - \lambda' - \mu' - \nu \end{array} \right\} \]

The corresponding equation for \( y \) [obtained by substituting \( \psi = z^\nu(z - 1)^\mu \psi \) into Eq. (5.2.38) or by modifying Eq. (5.2.38) to correspond to the new \( P \) symbol] is

\[
y'' + \left[ \frac{\lambda - \lambda' + 1}{z} + \frac{\mu - \mu' + 1}{z - 1} \right] y' \]

\[ + \frac{(\nu + \lambda + \mu)(1 - \lambda' - \mu' - \nu)}{z(z - 1)} y = 0 \]

But we have now too many constants for the quantities we have to fix. Only three constants are needed to fix the indices; there are four indices which have not been set zero (one at 0, one at 1, and two at \( \infty \)), but the sum of these four must equal unity, so three constants are enough. It will be found most convenient to set the two indices at \( \infty \) equal to \( a \) and \( b \), the one at 0 equal to \( 1 - c \), so that the one at 1 equals \( c - a - b \). The Riemann \( P \) symbol is then

\[
F = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ 0 & 0 & a \\ 1 - c & c - a - b & b \end{array} \right\} \]
and the corresponding differential equation

\[ z(z - 1)F'' + [(a + b + 1)z - c]F' + abF = 0 \]  

(5.2.42)

called the hypergeometric equation, is the standard equation for three regular singular points.

The analytic solution of this equation about \( z = 0 \) is called the hypergeometric function. To obtain its series expansion we set \( F = \sum a_n z^n \) and insert in Eq. (5.2.42). The coefficient of \( z^n \) gives the recursion formula for the series

\[
D_n(a_n) = (n + a)(n + b)a_n - (n + 1)(n + c)a_{n+1} \\
= (n + c)(n + 1)a_n + [n(a + b - 1) - (n + 1)c + ab]a_n = 0
\]  

(5.2.43)

This is a two-term recursion formula, a first-order difference equation, with the simple solution

\[
a_0 = 1; \quad a_n = \frac{a(a + 1) \cdots (a + n - 1)b(b + 1) \cdots (b + n - 1)}{[1 \cdot 2 \cdots n] c(c + 1) \cdots (c + n - 1)}
\]

The corresponding series

\[
F(a,b;c;z) = 1 + \frac{ab}{c} z + \frac{a(a + 1)b(b + 1)}{2!c(c + 1)} z^2 + \cdots
\]  

(5.2.44)

is called the hypergeometric series (see page 388). It is the analytic solution of Eq. (5.2.42) at \( z = 0 \). It converges as long as \( |z| < 1 \), for the next singular point is at \( z = 1 \). All the solutions of Eq. (5.2.42) about all its singularities can be expressed in terms of such series.

For instance, if we insert the function \( z^{1-c}F_2 \) (where \( F_2 \) can be analytic, for the second index at \( z = 0 \) is \( 1 - c \)) into Eq. (5.2.42), we obtain

\[
z(z - 1)F'' + [(a + b - 2c + 3)z - 2 + c]F' \\
+ (a - c + 1)(b - c + 1)F_2 = 0
\]

which is another hypergeometric equation with analytic solution the hypergeometric series \( F_2 = F(b - c + 1, a - c + 1; 2 - c; z) \). The general solution of Eq. (5.2.42) is therefore

\[
AF(a,b;c;z) + Bz^{1-c}F(b - c + 1, a - c + 1; 2 - c; z)
\]  

(5.2.45)

Working backward now, the general solution of Eq. (5.2.38) at \( z = 0 \) is

\[
A\delta^\lambda(z - 1)^{\lambda + \mu + \nu, 1 - \nu - \lambda' - \mu'} \lambda - \lambda' + 1 | z \\
+ B\delta^\lambda(z - 1)^{\lambda' + \mu + \nu, 1 - \nu - \lambda - \mu'} \lambda' - \lambda + 1 | z
\]
and the general solution of Papperitz equation (5.2.36) at \( w = a \) is
\[
A \frac{(w-a)^\alpha (w-b)^\beta}{(w-c)^\gamma} F \left( \lambda + \mu + \nu, 1 - \nu - \lambda' - \mu' | \lambda - \lambda' + 1 \right) \frac{w-a b-c}{w-c b-a} \\
+ B \frac{(w-a)^\alpha (w-b)^\beta}{(w-c)^\gamma} F \left( \lambda' + \mu + \nu, 1 - \nu - \lambda - \mu | \lambda' - \lambda - \mu - \nu \right) \frac{w-a b-c}{w-c b-a}
\] (5.2.46)

Solutions of Papperitz equation at \( w = b \) or \( w = c \) can be obtained by interchanging \( \lambda, \lambda' \) with \( \mu, \mu' \), etc., since the equation is symmetrical for interchange of singular points and corresponding indices (if we remember that \( \nu' = 1 - \lambda - \lambda' - \mu - \mu' - \nu \)).

The formula (5.2.45) expresses the general solution unless \( |1 - c| \) is zero or an integer, in which case the series for one or the other of the solutions will have a zero factor in the denominator of all terms above a certain term in the series. For instance, if \( c = 3 \), the series for the second solution will have its first two terms finite but all the higher terms will be infinite because of the term \((2 - c + 1)\) in the denominator. This is an example of the special case, mentioned on page 529, where the indices for a given singular point differ by an integer. As mentioned on page 532, we must then find the second solution by means of Eq. (5.2.6).

Here \( y_1 = F(a,b|cz) \) and \( p = (c/z) + [(a + b + 1 - c)/(z - 1)] \) so that \( e^{-pz} = z^{-\gamma}(1 - z)^{\gamma-2} \). The second solution is
\[
y_2 = F(a,b|cz) \int F(a,b|cz) z^{-\gamma-2}(1 - z)^{\gamma-2} dz
\]
As long as \( |z| < 1 \), we can expand \((1 - z)^{\gamma-2}\) in a power series in \( z \), which we can write \( g_0 + g_1 z + \cdots \). The series for the integrand is then
\[
g_0 \frac{g_1}{z} + \frac{g_2}{z^2} + \cdots + \frac{g_{\nu-1}}{z^\nu} + g_0 + g_1 z + \cdots
\]
where we have assumed that \( c \) is a positive integer, so that \( g_0 \) is multiplied by the zero power of \( z \) and \( g_{\nu-1} \) is divided by the first power of \( z \), and so on, with no fractional powers entering. In this case \( y_{\nu-1}/z \) will integrate to a logarithmic term, and the second solution will be
\[
y_2 = F(a,b|cz) g_{\nu-1} \ln z \\
+ (1/z^{\nu-1})(h_0 + h_1 z + \cdots + h_{\nu-2} z^{\nu-2} + h_{\nu} z^\nu + \cdots)
\]
where the coefficients \( h_n \) are functions of \( a, b, c, \) and \( n \).

**Functions Expressible by Hypergeometric Series.** The series of Eq. (5.2.44) can express a great variety of functions. For instance, some
of the simpler cases are

\[(1 + z)^n = P(-n, b; |b| - z); \quad \ln(1 + z) = zP(1, 1; |z| - 1)\]

The separated equation for \(\xi_z\) for circular cylinder coordinates and for \(\xi_z\) for spherical, paraboloid, prolate, and oblate spheroidal coordinates is

\[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \frac{dX}{d\xi} \right] + \frac{k^2}{1 - \xi^2} X = 0\]

or

\[\frac{d^2X}{d\xi^2} + \left[ \frac{1}{\xi + 1} + \frac{1}{\xi - 1} \right] \frac{dX}{d\xi} - \frac{k^2}{\xi^2 - 1} X = 0\]

This has the form of Eq. (5.2.36) with \(a = -1, b = -1, c = \infty, \lambda + \lambda' = 1\), \(\lambda = \lambda' = 0 = \mu = \mu' = -v = -v' = k^2\) [and, of course, the usual Eq. (5.2.35), \(\lambda + \lambda' = \mu + \mu' = v + v' = 1\)]. The Riemann symbol for the solution is therefore

\[X = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -1 & \infty \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & k_z \\ 0 & 0 & -k_z \end{array} \right\} \xi\]

and the general solution about \(\xi = +1\) is (from Eq. 5.2.46)

\[X = A \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} F \left( 1 + k_3, 1 - k_3; \frac{1 - \xi}{2} \right) + B \sqrt{1 + \xi} \left( 1 + k_3, \frac{1 - \xi}{2} \right)\]

These solutions are called Tchebycheff functions. They turn out [see Eq. (5.2.54)] to be proportional to \(\sin(k_\varphi)\) and \(\cos(k_\varphi)\), respectively, where \(\xi = \cos \varphi\), as a transformation of the differential equation above will show. These functions are discussed in Sec. 5.3.

The separated equation for \(\xi_z\) for spherical coordinates and also for spheroidal coordinates when \(k_1 = 0\) is

\[\frac{d^2X}{d\xi^2} + \left[ \frac{1}{\xi - 1} + \frac{1}{\xi + 1} \right] \frac{dX}{d\xi} - \left[ \frac{k^2}{\xi^2 - 1} + \frac{k^2/2}{(\xi^2 - 1)(\xi + 1)} - \frac{k^2/2}{(\xi^2 - 1)(\xi - 1)} \right] X = 0\]

which is called the Legendre equation. It corresponds to Eq. (5.2.36) if \(a = -1, b = -1, c = \infty\), \(\lambda = -\lambda' = m/2 = \mu = -\mu'\), \(v = -n, v' = n + 1\), where we have set \(k_3 = m\) and \(k_2 = n(n + 1)\) in order to make the results easier to write out. Therefore the solution corresponds to the Riemann symbol

\[X = P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -1 & \infty \\ m/2 & m/2 & -n \\ -m/2 & -m/2 & n + 1 \end{array} \right\} \xi\]
and the general solution about $z = 1$ is [see also Eq. (5.2.52)]

$$
X = A (1 - z^2)^{m/2} F \left( \frac{m - n}{2}, m + n + 1 | 1 + m \left| \frac{1 - z}{2} \right. \right) \\
+ B \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)^{m/2} F \left( -n, n + 1 | 1 - m \left| \frac{1 - z}{2} \right. \right)
$$

(5.2.47)

The first solution is known as the Legendre function of the first kind, and the second solution is called the Legendre function of the second kind. If $m$ is a positive integer, this second solution, as written, will “blow up” and a second solution will have to be constructed by use of Eq. (5.2.6) (see page 532). These Legendre functions and the related Gegenbauer functions will be discussed again in a few pages and in very considerable detail in Secs. 5.3 and 10.3, for they are of very great importance in our future discussions.

Analytic Continuation of Hypergeometric Series. As an exercise in dealing with these solutions we can derive an expression for the behavior of the hypergeometric series as $z$ approaches unity. By referring to the Kummer symbol above Eq. (5.2.42), we see that the indices for the singular point $z = 1$ are 0 and $a - b - c$. Using Eq. (5.2.46) with $a = 1$, $b = 0$, $c = \infty$, $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda' = c - a - b$, $\mu = 0$, $\mu' = 1 - c$, $\nu - a$, $\nu' - b$ (in other words, exchanging the two singular points 0 and 1), we have that the general solution of the hypergeometric equation (5.2.42) about $z = 1$ is

$$
AF(a, b | a + b + 1 | 1 - z) \\
+ B(1 - z)^{c - a - b} F(c - b, c - a | c - a - b + 1 | 1 - z)
$$

Now the hypergeometric series $F(a, b | c | z)$ is a solution of Eq. (5.2.42), and by analytic continuation, it must be some combination of the two solutions about $z = 1$. In other words we can be sure that

$$
F(a, b | c | z) = \alpha F(a, b | a + b - c + 1 | 1 - z) \\
+ \beta (1 - z)^{c - a - b} F(c - b, c - a | c - a - b + 1 | 1 - z)
$$

(5.2.48)

If we could somehow determine the values of the coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we should have a means of computing the exact behavior of $F(a, b | c | z)$ at $z = 1$ and even beyond, almost out to $z = 2$.

On page 388 we showed that, when $b < c < a + b$,

$$
F(a, b | c | z) \xrightarrow{z \to 1} \frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} (1 - z)^{c - a - b}
$$

where we have substituted gamma functions for the factorials, so that $a$, $b$, and $c$ can have nonintegral values. All that this equation shows is that, when $c < a + b$, the highest order infinity at $z = 1$ is of the form $(1 - z)^{c - a - b}$, and it gives the coefficient of this term. There are,
of course, other terms of the form \((1 - z)^{e-a-b+1}\), \((1 - z)^{e-a-b+2}\), etc., some of which may also go to infinity at \(z = 1\), but very close to \(z = 1\) they are "drowned out" by the \((1 - z)^{e-a-b}\) term. The rest of the terms can be supplied, however, by use of Eq. (5.2.48).

Since \(F(c - b, c - a| c - a - b + 1| 1 - z) \rightarrow 1\) as \(z \rightarrow 1\), we have that the principal term on the right-hand side of Eq. (5.2.48), as \(z \rightarrow 1\), is 

\[
\beta(1 - z)^{e-a-b}
\]

as long as \(c < a + b\). Comparing this with the results referred to above we see that

\[
\beta = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}
\]

which fixes the coefficients of all the terms in negative powers of \((1 - z)\).

But we can go further than this in the case that \(c < 1\). We can use the limiting formula above in reverse by seeing what happens to Eq. (5.2.48) when \(z\) is allowed to go to zero. In this case the left-hand side goes to unity, of course. Using the limiting formula of page 388 on the right-hand side, we have eventually

\[
1 \xrightarrow{z \to 0} \alpha \frac{\Gamma(a + b - c + 1)\Gamma(c - 1)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} z^{1-e} + \beta \frac{\Gamma(c - a - b + 1)\Gamma(c - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} z^{1-e}
\]

If \(c < 1\), the right-hand side will become infinite at \(z = 0\) unless the two terms just cancel each other. This gives a relation between \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) which enables us to solve the problem. Inserting the value of \(\beta\) already obtained and utilizing the property of the gamma function, \(\Gamma(n + 1) = n\Gamma(n)\), we obtain

\[
1 \xrightarrow{z \to 0} (a + b - c) \frac{\Gamma(a + b - c)\Gamma(c - 1)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \left[ \alpha - \frac{\Gamma(c - a - b)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} \right] z^{1-e} + \text{finite terms}
\]

In order that this be true for \(c < 1\), we must have the quantity in square brackets equal to zero, which gives an equation for \(\alpha\). Consequently, at least for \(c < 1\), \(e < a + b\), we have the useful formula

\[
F(a, b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} F(a, b| a + b - c + 1| 1 - z) + \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} (1 - z)^{e-a-b} F(c - a, c - b| c - a - b + 1| 1 - z)
\]

(5.2.49)

which enables us to extend the solution through the singular point at \(z = 1\). In the next section we shall show that the equation is valid over a much wider range of values of \(c\) than our present derivation would lead us to believe (for \(c\) larger than \(a + b\), for instance). In fact, except
for the places where the gamma functions in the numerators go to infinity, this formula is universally valid.

Another set of useful formulas relating hypergeometric series may be obtained by use of this "joining equation" plus a certain amount of manipulation of the hypergeometric equation (5.2.42). In this equation we set $a = 2a$, $b = 2b$, $c = a + b + 1/2$ and change the independent variable from $z$ to $u = 4z(1 - z)$, giving the equation

$$u(u - 1) \frac{d^2F}{du^2} + [(\alpha + \beta + 1)u - (\alpha + \beta + 1/2)] \frac{dF}{du} + a\beta F = 0$$

which is the hypergeometric equation again, with new parameters $a$, $b$ and $(\alpha + \beta + 1/2)$ instead of $a$, $b$, and $c$. Therefore we have shown that the function $F(\alpha, \beta|\alpha + \beta + 1/2|4z - 4z^2)$ is a solution of Eq. (5.2.42) for $a = 2a$, $b = 2b$, $c = a + \beta + 1/2$ and must be expressible in terms of the two solutions about $z = 0$ [see Eq. (5.2.45)]:

$$AF(2a, 2b|\alpha + \beta + 1/2|z) + B4\Gamma(a - \alpha + 1, \alpha - \beta + 1/2|3/8 - \alpha - \beta|z)$$

However, $F(\alpha, \beta|\alpha + \beta + 1/2|4z^2 - 4z^2)$ is an analytic function of $z$ at $z = 0$; therefore the second solution, having a branch point, cannot enter and $B$ is zero. Also, since $F = 1$ for $z = 0$, $\Gamma$ must equal unity, We therefore have

$$F(2a, 2b|\alpha + \beta + 1/2|z) = F(\alpha, \beta|\alpha + \beta + 1/2|4z - 4z^2) \quad (5.2.50)$$

This formula, relating an $F$ for $2a$, $2b$, and $z$ to an $F$ for $a$, $b$, and $z^2$ might be called a duplication formula for the hypergeometric function.

Gegenbauer Functions. We mentioned earlier that it is sometimes desirable to have the canonical form for three regular singular points so that two of them are at $\pm 1$ rather than 0 and 1. This is particularly true when the indices at $+1$ are the same as the indices for $-1$. This suggests the Riemann symbol

$$\psi = P \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha \\ -\beta & -\beta & \alpha + 2\beta + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding equation

$$(z^2 - 1)\psi'' + 2(\beta + 1)z\psi' - \alpha(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)\psi = 0 \quad (5.2.51)$$

is called Gegenbauer's equation [compared with the Legendre equation on page 544]. It is a satisfactory form for the equations for $\xi_5$ for circular cylinder and spherical coordinates. The solutions can, of course, be expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions:
\[ AF \left( -\alpha, \alpha + 2\beta + 1 \mid 1 + \beta \left( \frac{1 + z}{2} \right) \right) + B(1 + z)^{-\#F} \left( -\alpha - \beta, \alpha + \beta + 1 \mid 1 - \beta \left( \frac{1 + z}{2} \right) \right) \]

or
\[ aF \left( -\alpha, \alpha + 2\beta + 1 \mid 1 + \beta \left( \frac{1 - z}{2} \right) \right) + b(1 - z)^{-\#F} \left( -\alpha - \beta, \alpha + \beta + 1 \mid 1 - \beta \left( \frac{1 - z}{2} \right) \right) \]

The solution which will be most useful to us has the various forms

\[ T_u(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^\# \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + 1)} F(\alpha + 2\beta + 1, -\alpha | 1 + \beta | \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}z) \quad (5.2.52) \]

\[ T_u(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^\# \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + 1)} \frac{\sin(\pi(\alpha + \beta))}{\sin(\pi\beta)} F(\alpha + 2\beta + 1, -\alpha | 1 + \beta | \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}z) \]

where we have used Eq. (5.2.19) and the relation \( \sin(\pi u) \Gamma(u) \Gamma(1 - u) = \pi \) in order to obtain the second form. The function \( T_u(z) \) is called a Gegenbauer function. If \( \alpha \) is not an integer, \( T \) has a branch point at \( z = -1 \) (unless \( \beta \) is an integer). When \( \alpha \) is zero or a positive integer, \( T \) is a finite polynomial in \( z \) and, of course, is analytic at \( z = \pm 1 \).

The second solution about \( z = 1 \) is also sometimes used. As a matter of fact \( (1 - z)^{\#} \) times this second solution,

\[ P_{\alpha+\beta}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)} \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)^{\beta} \frac{\sin(\pi(\alpha + \beta))}{\sin(\pi\beta)} F(\alpha - \beta, \alpha + \beta + 1 | 1 - \beta | \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}z) \]

is often called the generalized Legendre function of \( z \).

When \( \alpha \) is an integer \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), it can be shown by expansion of the polynomial and term-by-term comparison that

\[ F(n + 2\beta + 1, -n | 1 + \beta | \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}z) = (-1)^n F(n + 2\beta + 1, -n | 1 + \beta | \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}z) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{2^\# \Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (1 - z^2)^{n+\#} \]

and, consequently,

\[ T_u(z) = \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(n + 2\beta + 1)}{2^{n+\#} \Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} (1 - z^2)^{-\#} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (1 - z^2)^{n+\#} \quad (5.2.53) \]
which can be called a Gegenbauer polynomial (it differs, by a numerical factor, from the polynomial $C_n^\alpha(z)$ often called a Gegenbauer polynomial).

When $\beta$ is an integer $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ but $\alpha$ is not, it will be shown in the next section that $T_\alpha^m(z)$ has logarithmic branch points at $z = \pm 1$ but that $(1 - z^2)^{-m} T_\alpha^m(z)$ is analytic over the whole range $1 \leq z \leq 1$. Finally, when both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are positive integers (or zero), $T_\alpha^m(z) = (1 - z^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} T_\alpha^m(z)$ and both functions are analytic over this range of $z$.

Since

$$
\frac{1}{(1 - z^2)^{n}} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (1 - z^2)^{n+m} = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{(n + 2m)!} \frac{d^{n+2m}}{dz^{n+2m}} (z^2 - 1)^{n+m}
$$

we have

$$
T_\alpha^m(z) = \frac{1}{2^{n+m}(n + m)!} \frac{d^{n+2m}}{dz^{n+2m}} (z^2 - 1)^{n+m}
$$

which is sometimes called a tesseral polynomial. The case of $m = 0$ is of particular importance, enough importance to merit giving the polynomial a special symbol and name. The function

$$
P_n(z) = T_\alpha^0(z) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (z^2 - 1)^n
$$

is called a Legendre polynomial [see Eq. (5.2.47)]. We shall meet it often in the rest of the book. We see that

$$
T_\alpha^m(z) = \frac{d^m}{dz^m} P_{n+m}(z)
$$

We shall have much more to say about these functions in the next section.

In the special case $\beta = \pm \frac{1}{2}, \alpha = n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, the polynomials $T^\pm_\alpha$ can be shown (by direct expansion) to have the following forms:

$$
T^\pm_\alpha(z) = \frac{\beta}{\pi} \cos \left[ n \cosh^{-1} (z) \right]
$$

$$
T^\pm_\alpha(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{z^2 - 1}} \sinh \left[ (n + 1) \cosh^{-1} (z) \right]
$$

called Tschirnhaus polynomials.

Incidentally, the general solution of the separated equation for $\xi_2$ in circular cylinder coordinates and for $\xi_3$ in parabolic, prolate, and oblate spheroidal coordinates,

$$(z^2 - 1)\psi'' + z\psi' - \alpha^2 \psi = 0$$

has for general solution

$$
\psi = A T^{-\frac{1}{2}}_\alpha(z) + B \sqrt{1 - z^2} T^\frac{1}{2}_{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}(z)
$$

as a comparison with the equation for $T^\pm_\alpha$ will show.
One Regular and One Irregular Singular Point. For this equation it is usual to put the regular singular point at \( z = 0 \) and the irregular one at \( z = \infty \). Equations of this sort occur for the following separable coordinates:

1. For the wave equation in circular cylinder \((z = k_1 \xi_1, \psi = X_1)\), spherical \((z = k_1 \xi_1, \psi = \sqrt{z} X_1)\), and conical \((z = k_1 \xi_1, \psi = \sqrt{z} X_1)\) coordinates the equation is the Bessel equation

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{z} \frac{d \psi}{dz} + \left( 1 - \frac{n^2}{z^2} \right) \psi = 0
\]

2. For the wave equation in parabolic cylinder coordinates \((z = \frac{1}{2} \xi_1^2, \frac{1}{2} \xi_2^2, \psi = X_1, X_2)\)

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + \frac{2}{z} \frac{d \psi}{dz} + \left( k^2 + \frac{2 \alpha}{z} \right) \psi = 0
\]

3. For the wave equation in parabolic coordinates \((z = \xi_1, \xi_2, \psi = X_1, X_2)\)

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{z} \frac{d \psi}{dz} + \left( k^2 + \frac{2 \alpha}{z} - \frac{m^2}{z^2} \right) \psi
\]

which includes the parabolic cylinder function as a special case.

4. For the Schroedinger equation for one particle in a coulomb \(1/r\) field, in spherical coordinates, for the radial factor \((z = \xi_1, \varphi = X_1)\)

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + \frac{2}{z} \frac{d \psi}{dz} + \left[ -E + \frac{2 \alpha}{z} - \frac{n(n + 1)}{z^2} \right] \psi = 0
\]

This suggests that we study the general equation

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + p(z) \frac{d \psi}{dz} + q(z) \psi = 0; \quad p = \frac{(1 - \lambda - \lambda')}{z}; \quad q = -k^2 + \left( \frac{2 \alpha}{z} \right) + \left( \frac{\lambda \lambda'}{z^2} \right)
\]  

(5.2.55)

This is not the most general equation with a regular singular point at \( z = 0 \) and an irregular one at infinity. The function \( p \) could have a constant, and both \( p \) and \( q \) could have terms like \( az \) or \( bx \), etc., added to the expressions shown in Eq. (5.2.55). But these additional terms would make the essential singularity in the solution at \( z = \infty \) more "singular," and since none of the equations coming from the separation of the wave equation exhibit such additional terms, we shall not include them here. A few more complicated cases will be included in the problems, however!

Returning to Eq. (5.2.55), we see that the indicial equation indicates that the expansions about the regular singular point at \( z = 0 \) are \( z^p u_1(z) \) and \( z^{p+1} u_2(z) \), where \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) are analytic functions at \( z = 0 \). As before,
we arrange it so that one solution is analytic, by setting $\psi = z^k f(z)$, so that $f(z) = u_1(z) + z^{\lambda - \lambda_1} u_2(z)$. The equation for $f$ is then

$$f'' + \left(1 + \lambda - \lambda_1\right) f' + \left(2\alpha/z - k^2\right) f = 0 \quad (5.2.56)$$

Setting $z = 1/w$, we next examine the singular point at infinity. The equation, in terms of $w$, becomes

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dw^2} + \left[\frac{1 + \lambda - \lambda_1}{w}\right] \frac{df}{dw} + \left[\frac{2\alpha}{w^2} - \frac{k^2}{w^4}\right] f = 0$$

which indicates an irregular singular point at $w = 0$, due to the terms $2\alpha/w^2$ and $k^2/w^4$. This equation has no indicial equation, so both solutions have essential singularities at $w = 0$. However, setting $f = e^{-k\omega F}$, we can arrive at an equation

$$F'' + \left[\frac{2k}{w^2} + \frac{1 - \lambda + \lambda_1}{w}\right] F' - \left[\frac{k(1 + \lambda - \lambda') - 2\alpha}{w^2}\right] F = 0$$

which does have an indicial equation, with one root,

$$2k^2 - 2\alpha(1 + \lambda - \lambda') = 0$$

Therefore a solution for $F$ is $w^\beta v_1(w)$, where $v_1$ is an analytic function at $w = 0$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda - \lambda') - (\alpha/k)$. Another solution for $f$ is $e^{k\omega w^\beta} v_2(w)$, where $\beta' = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \lambda - \lambda') + (\alpha/k)$ and $v_2$ is analytic at $w = 0$. Thus the essential singularity at $w = 0$ ($z \to \infty$) is of the form $e^{k\omega w} = e^{kz}$.

We can now return to Eq. (5.2.56) in $z$ and set $f = e^{c z} F(z)$ (or $\psi = z^c x^\gamma F$) and be sure that one solution for $F$ is analytic at $z = 0$ and has a branch point only at $z \to \infty$. The equation for $F$ in terms of $z$ is

$$F'' + \left[\frac{1 + \lambda - \lambda'}{z} - 2k\right] F' - \left[\frac{k(1 + \lambda - \lambda') - 2\alpha}{z}\right] F = 0$$

which reduces to its simplest form when we set $z = x/2k$, $c = 1 + \lambda - \lambda'$,

$$x^c \frac{d^2 F}{dx^2} + (c - x) \frac{dF}{dx} - a F = 0 \quad (5.2.57)$$

which is called the confluent hypergeometric equation. This name is chosen because Eq. (5.2.57) comes from the hypergeometric equation (5.2.42) by suitable confluence of the singular points at $z = 1$ and $z = \infty$.

The confluence may be more easily seen by starting with the Papperitz equation (5.2.36) for the case $\alpha = 0$, $c = \infty$,

$$\psi'' + \left[\frac{1 - \lambda - \lambda'}{z} + \frac{1 - \mu - \mu'}{z - b}\right] \psi'$$

$$+ \left[\frac{-\lambda\lambda'}{z^2} + \frac{\mu\mu'}{z(z - b)} + \frac{\nu(1 - \lambda - \lambda' - \mu - \mu' - \nu)}{z(z - b)}\right] \psi = 0$$
We now let \( \lambda = 0, 1 - \lambda' = c \) (using \( c \) now for another constant, not the position of the third singular point which went to infinity), \( \mu' = -b \), and \( \mu = a - \nu \) (not the \( a \) which went to zero). We finally arrive at the confluent hypergeometric equation (5.2.57) by allowing the second singular point to merge with the third at infinity, that is, we let \( b \to \infty \).

At the same time that the singular points merge, one of the indices at each of these points (that is, \( \nu' \) and \( \nu' \)) also tends to infinity.

The one solution of Eq. (5.2.57) which is analytic at \( z = 0 \) may be found by substituting the general series form \( F = \sum a_n x^n \) into the equation. The coefficient of the power \( x^n \) gives the recursion formula

\[
(n + 1)(n + a) a_{n+1} - (n + a) a_n = 0 \tag{5.2.58}
\]

which is again a two-term recursion formula, with a simple solution. Therefore the solution which is analytic at \( z = 0 \) is given by the series

\[
F(a|c|z) = 1 + \frac{a}{c} x + \frac{a(a + 1)}{2c(c + 1)} x^2 + \frac{a(a + 1)(a + 2)}{3c(c + 1)(c + 2)} x^3 + \ldots
\]

which is called the confluent hypergeometric series. This series converges in the range \(-\infty < x < \infty\). Using the methods discussed on page 388 we can obtain an indication of the asymptotic behavior of this series. For large values of \( z \) the terms in the higher powers of \( x \) preponderate.

But to the first approximation in \( x, n \) and when \( a \) and \( c \) are integers, the term in the series with \( x^n \) (\( n \) large) becomes

\[
\left( \frac{c - 1}{(a - 1)!} \right) \left( \frac{a + n}{n!} \right) x^n \sim \frac{(c - 1)!}{(a - 1)!} \frac{x^n}{n!} \sim \frac{(c - 1)!}{(a - 1)!} \frac{x^n}{n!} \sim \frac{n^n}{(a - 1)!} \frac{z^n}{(n - a + c)!}
\]

Therefore when \( x \) is large enough, the series approaches the series

\[
\left( \frac{c - 1}{(a - 1)!} \right) \sum_n \frac{x^n}{(n - a + c)!} \sim \frac{(c - 1)!}{(a - 1)!} \frac{z^n}{(n - a + c)!} \sum_m \frac{z^m}{(m - a)!} = \frac{(c - 1)!}{(a - 1)!} \frac{z^n}{e^z}
\]

Inserting gamma functions instead of factorials we finally arrive at the indication that

\[
F(a|c|z) \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} e^{-z} \tag{5.2.60}
\]

In the next section we shall show that this asymptotic formula is valid over a wider range of values of \( a \) and \( c \) and \( z \) than the present derivation would concede.

By reversing the procedure by which we obtained \( F \) from \( \psi \), we see that the general solution of Eq. (5.2.55) about \( z = 0 \) is

\[
\psi = A e^{kz^2} F \left( \frac{1 + \lambda - \lambda'}{2} \frac{1}{k} \left| 1 + \lambda - \lambda' \right| 2kz \right) + B e^{kz^2} F \left( \frac{1 - \lambda + \lambda'}{2} \frac{1}{k} \left| 1 - \lambda + \lambda' \right| 2kz \right) \tag{5.2.61}
\]
unless \( \lambda - \lambda' \) is an integer, in which case the second solution contains a logarithmic term and must be obtained by use of Eq. (5.2.6).

From this general solution, we can also see that a second solution of the confluent hypergeometric equation is

\[
x^{\alpha+1}F(a - c + 1, 2 - c|x)
\]

However, we can also see that, if we set \( z = -t \) in Eq. (5.2.57) and set \( F = e^{-t}F \), the equation for \( F \) is also that for a confluent hypergeometric function. In other words, another solution of Eq. (5.2.57) is \( e^{t}F(c - a|c - x) \). This is not a third independent solution, however, for series expansion and series multiplication show that

\[
e^{t}F(c - a|c - x) = F(a|c|x)
\]  

(5.2.62)

Incidentally, comparison with Eq. (5.2.60) indicates that an asymptotic formula which might be satisfactory for \( z \) large and positive might not be satisfactory at all for \( z \) large and negative. In fact if Eq. (5.2.62) is correct and Eq. (5.2.60) is valid for Re \( z \to \infty \), then

\[
F(a|c|x) \xrightarrow{z \to -\infty} \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c - a)} (-z)^{-a}
\]  

(5.2.63)

However, we should postpone further discussion of this matter until next section, when we shall be much better equipped for the discussion.

Comparison of the equations on page 330 with Eq. (5.2.61) shows that the general solution of the Bessel equation is

\[
\psi = e^{-i\alpha}[Ae^{n}F(\frac{1}{2} + n|1 + 2n|2iz) + Be^{-n}F(\frac{1}{2} - n|1 - 2n|2iz)]
\]

or, using Eq. (5.2.62),

\[
\psi = Ae^{-i\alpha}e^{n}F(\frac{1}{2} + n|1 + 2n|2iz) + Be^{-i\alpha}e^{-n}F(\frac{1}{2} - n|1 - 2n|2iz)
\]

The general solution for the wave equation in parabolic coordinates, which includes that for the parabolic cylinder, is

\[
\psi = Ae^{-i\alpha}e^{n}F\left(\frac{1}{2} + m + \frac{i\alpha}{k} |1 + 2m|2ikz\right) + Re^{i\alpha}e^{-n}F\left(\frac{1}{2} - m - \frac{i\alpha}{k}|1 - 2m|2ikz\right)
\]

and the general solution of the Schroedinger equation for a particle in a coulomb potential field is, for \( E = -k^{2} \),

\[
\psi = Ae^{-i\alpha}e^{n}F\left(n + 1 + \frac{i\alpha}{k} |2n + 2|2ikz\right) + Be^{i\alpha}e^{-n-1}F\left(-n - \frac{i\alpha}{k}|1 - 2n|2ikz\right)
\]
Many other functions (such as the error function and the incomplete gamma function) can be expressed in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function.

**Asymptotic Series.** Although we shall postpone a complete discussion of the behavior of the confluent hypergeometric function about \( z = \infty \), we should investigate the series expansion of the solutions suitable about the singular point at \( z = \infty \). Making the transformation \( w = 1/x \) in Eq. (5.2.57), we see that the confluent hypergeometric equation has the form

\[
\frac{d^2F}{dw^2} + \left[ \frac{2 - \sigma}{w} + \frac{1}{w^2} \right] \frac{dF}{dw} - \frac{\alpha}{w^3} F = 0
\]

(5.2.64)

about the point at infinity. Although this is an irregular singular point, there is one solution of the indicial equation, \( s = a \). Inserting \( F = \sum a_n e^{\sigma a n} \) into Eq. (5.2.64) we find again a two-term recursion formula for the \( \sigma e \)

\[
(n + 1)a_{n+1} + (n + a)(n + a - c + 1)a_n = 0
\]

Therefore a series expansion for \( F \) about \( w = 0 \) \( (z = \infty) \) is

\[
F_1 = A z^{-\sigma} \left[ 1 - \frac{a(a - c + 1)}{1!} \frac{1}{z} + \frac{a(a + 1)(a - c + 1)(a - c + 2)}{2!} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2} - \cdot \cdot \right]
\]

(5.2.65)

where we have inserted \( 1/z \) for \( w \) again. This should be compared with Eq. (5.2.63). Comparison with Eq. (5.2.60) suggests that a second solution should be of the form \( w^{-a} e^{\nu w} \sum b_n w^n \). Solving for the \( b \)'s results in a two-term recursion formula similar to the one above. The second series solution about \( z = \infty \) is then

\[
F_2 = B z^{-\sigma} \left[ 1 + \frac{(1 - a)(c - a)}{1!} \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1 - a)(2 - a)(c - a)(c - a + 1)}{2!} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2} + \cdot \right]
\]

The chief trouble with these two series solutions is that they do not converge, except at \( z = \infty \). The divergence is of a peculiar type, however, for when \( z \) is large but finite, the series first converges and then, as we take more and more terms, eventually diverges. To be specific, we find that the difference \( \Delta_n(z) \) between \( F_1 \) and the first \( n \) terms of the series in Eq. (5.2.65) first diminishes as \( n \) is increased and then increases without limit. For small values of \( z \) the minimum value for \( \Delta_n \) comes at a relatively small value of \( n \), and this minimum value is relatively large. As \( z \) is increased, the minimum for \( \Delta_n \) comes for larger and larger \( n \) and the value of the minimum gets smaller and smaller. For any finite
value of \( z \), therefore, it is possible to obtain a fairly accurate value of \( F \) by taking a finite number of terms, whereas many more terms would result in a less accurate answer. As long as \( z \) is finite, there is a certain irreducible error in the computed result even if the optimum number of terms is used, but this error rapidly diminishes as \( z \) increases. In many cases of interest, this irreducible error is already smaller than 0.1 by the time \( z \) is as large as 10. In many such cases the first term only in the expansion is a satisfactory approximation for \( z > 20 \).

Such series, which are divergent but which can be used to compute a value that never exactly equals the “correct” value but rapidly approaches this “correct” value as \( z \) is increased, are called asymptotic series. They were discussed in some detail in Sec. 4.6. In some respects they turn out to be more useful than convergent series as long as they are handled with tact and understanding. Some of the requisite understanding will be supplied in next section; the tact must be left to the user.

**Two Regular, One Irregular Singular Point.** The equation for \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) for elliptic cylinder coordinates has the form \((\psi = X, X, z = \xi_1/d, \xi_2)\)

\[
(z^2 - 1)\psi'' + z\psi' + (h'z^2 - b)\psi = 0
\]

and the equations for \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) for prolate and oblate spheroidal coordinates have the form \([X = (z^2 - 1)b\psi]\)

\[
(z^2 - 1)\psi'' + 2(a + 1)z\psi' + (h'z^2 - b)\psi = 0 \quad (5.2.66)
\]

The first equation is but a special case of the second equation \((a = -\frac{1}{2})\). To show the singular points we rewrite the second equation as

\[
\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + \left[ \frac{a + 1}{z - 1} + \frac{a + 1}{z + 1} \right] \frac{d\psi}{dz} + \left[ \frac{h'}{z^2 - 1} + \frac{h'}{z^2 - 1} \right] \psi = 0
\]

It has regular singular points at \( z = \pm 1 \), with indices 0 and \(-\alpha\) at both and an irregular singular point at \( z = \infty \). The equation is not the most general one having two regular and one irregular singular points, but it is the equation which is encountered in our work. The singular points are at standard positions (we could change the regular ones to 0 and 1, but \( \pm 1 \) is more convenient), and one solution at each regular point is analytic, as we have previously required for a canonical form; therefore we shall consider Eq. (5.2.66) as the canonical form for this type of equation.

If we insert a power series for \( z \) in Eq. (5.2.66), we obtain the three-term recursion formula

\[
(n + 1)(n + 2)\alpha_{n+2} + [b - n(n + 2a + 1)]\alpha_n + h\alpha_{n-2} = 0
\]

from which we can obtain the two fundamental solutions about the regular points \( z = 0 \). The series expansions about the singular points,
in powers of $1 - z$ or $1 + z$, produce four-term recursion formulas, which are even more difficult to compute and analyze.

In such cases we try expansions in series of appropriate functions rather than in series of powers of $(1 \pm z)$. For instance, by transforming the elliptic variable in the elliptic cylinder case ($a = -\frac{1}{2}$) we can obtain

$$z = \cos \phi; \quad \frac{d^2\psi}{d\phi^2} + \left(b - h^2 \cos^2 \phi\right)\psi = 0$$

$$z = \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right); \quad x = e^{i\phi}$$

$$x^2 \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + x \frac{d\psi}{dx} + \left(h^2 - b + \frac{1}{4} \frac{h^2}{x^2}\right) \psi = 0$$

The first of these equations is called Mathieu’s equation. The second is quite interesting, for it is algebraic in form but the transformation from $z$ to $x$ has changed the singular points so it now has two irregular singular points, one at 0 and the other at $\infty$.

The first form of the equation can be used to bring out an interesting property of the solutions. Since $\cos^2 \phi$ is periodic in $\phi$ with period $\pi$, if $\psi(\phi)$ is a solution of the equation, then $\psi(\phi + \pi)$ is also a solution. For instance, if $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ are two independent solutions, we shall have, in general,

$$\psi_1(\phi + \pi) = \alpha_1\psi_1(\phi) + \alpha_2\psi_2(\phi)$$

and

$$\psi_2(\phi + \pi) = \alpha_3\psi_1(\phi) + \alpha_4\psi_2(\phi)$$

where the $\alpha$'s are constants determined by the parameters $b$ and $h$ and by the particular set of solutions $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$.

From this fact it can be shown that it is possible to find a solution of Eq. (5.2.67) which has the form $e^{i\omega t}$ times a function which is periodic in $\phi$. This solution (call it $\Psi$) would be, of course, some combination of $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$, $\Psi = A\psi_1(\phi) + B\psi_2(\phi) = e^{i\omega t}F(\phi)$, where $F$ is periodic in $\phi$ with period $\pi$, that is, $F(\phi + \pi) = F(\phi)$. Using the properties of the $\psi$'s we have that

$$e^{i(\phi + \pi)}F(\phi + \pi) = A\psi_1(\phi + \pi) + B\psi_2(\phi + \pi)$$

$$= (A\alpha_{11} + B\alpha_{21})\psi_1(\phi) + (A\alpha_{12} + B\alpha_{22})\psi_2(\phi)$$

$$= e^{i\omega t}e^{i\omega t}F(\phi)$$

$$= e^{i\omega t}[A\psi_1(\phi) + B\psi_2(\phi)]$$

Equating the coefficients of $\psi_1(\phi)$ and $\psi_2(\phi)$ we have two simultaneous equations for $A$ and $B$ and $e^{i\omega}$:

$$A(\alpha_{11} - e^{i\omega}) + B\alpha_{21} = 0; \quad A\alpha_{12} + B(\alpha_{22} - e^{i\omega}) = 0$$

For this to be soluble, the determinant of the coefficients must be zero:

$$\left|\begin{array}{cc}
(\alpha_{11} - e^{i\omega}) & \alpha_{21} \\
\alpha_{12} & (\alpha_{22} - e^{i\omega})
\end{array}\right| = 0$$
This is a quadratic equation in $e^{ix}$ with two roots, corresponding to two independent solutions of Eq. (5.2.66). The possibility of such solutions of Eq. (5.2.67) is called Floquet's theorem.

Referring back to the second form of Eq. (5.2.67), Floquet's theorem states that it should be possible to set up two independent solutions of the form $x'(x = e^{i\phi})$ times a Laurent series in $x^s$, for a Laurent series in $x$ is a series of positive and negative integral powers of $x$, that is, a Fourier series in $2\phi$. Such a series is periodic in $\phi$, with period $\pi$, as we have just shown it must be, and it can represent the function around both irregular singular points $x = 0$ and $x = \infty$. We therefore choose as a solution

$$\psi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+2\alpha} = e^{i\phi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2n\phi} \quad (5.2.68)$$

Setting this into the second Eq. (5.2.67) we arrive at the basic recursion formula

$$h^2 a_{n+1} + [2h^2 - 4b + 16(n + \frac{1}{2}s)^2]a_n + h^2 a_{n-1} = 0$$

$$\delta^n a_n + \frac{4}{h^2} [h^2 - b + 4(n + \frac{1}{2}s)]a_n = 0 \quad (5.2.69)$$

This is a three-term recursion formula for the unknowns $a_n$ and $s$. It would be much more desirable to make other transformations of dependent or independent variable to obtain a two-term recursion formula. Unfortunately, as will be shown later in this section, such a pleasant outcome is not possible for equations of this complexity, so we are forced to undertake the analysis of three-term recursion formulas.

If we start with arbitrarily chosen values of $a_n, a_1, s$ and $\delta$, we can compute all the other $a'$s for positive and negative $n$'s. But in such a case the $a'$s will not necessarily become smaller as $n$ increases, so the series will not, in general, converge. Only for certain values of $s$ and of $a_1/a_0$ will the series converge, and we must find a way to compute these certain values.

Continued Fractions. First, of course, we must make sure that the series can converge for some values of $a_1/a_0$ and of $s$. To do this we compute the value of $a_n/a_{n-1}$ for large positive $n$ and of $a_n/a_{n+1}$ for large negative $n$. If these approach zero as $n \to \pm \infty$, we can be sure the series converges over the range $0 < x < \infty$.

$$a_n = \frac{16(n + \frac{1}{2}s)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b + h^2(a_{n+1}/a_n)}{-h^2}$$

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{16(n + \frac{1}{2}s)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b + h^2(a_{n-1}/a_n)}{-h^2} \quad (5.2.70)$$

The first of these equations shows that, when $n$ is large and positive, $a_n/a_{n-1}$ is small and approaches zero proportional to $1/n^2$ if the next ratio $a_{n+1}/a_n$ also approaches zero for large $n$, for then for large enough $n$ the
term \(16(n + \frac{1}{2})^2\) is much larger than all the other terms in the denominator and \(a_n/a_{n-1} \to -(h^2/16n^2)\). Use of the methods of page 388 indicates that for large values of \(x\) (\(\phi\) large and along the negative imaginary axis) \(\psi\) is approximately proportional to \(x^4\) \(\cos(hx/4)\).

Likewise the second of Eqs. (5.2.70) shows that, when \(a_{n-1}/a_n\) is small for large negative values of \(n\), then \(a_n/a_{n+1} \to -(h^2/16n^2)\). Therefore for very small values of \(x\) (\(\phi\) large and along the positive imaginary axis) \(\psi\) is approximately proportional to \(x^4\) \(\cos(h/4x)\). However, we still must find out how to compute the ratios \(a_n/a_{n-1}\) for small values of \(n\) and also how to determine the correct value of \(s\).

Equations (5.2.70) can give us the hint which sets us on the right track, however. If we cannot start from \(a_0, a_1\) and work outward, perhaps we can start from very large values of \(n\) and work inward. Suppose that we start from a value of \(n\) that is so large that \(a_{n+1}/a_n\) is very nearly 

\[-h^2/16(n + \frac{1}{2})^2.\]  
Substituting in the first equation gives a fairly accurate expression for \(a_n/a_{n-1}\); then substituting this in again into the equation for \(a_{n-1}/a_{n-2}\) gives us a still more accurate expression for \(a_{n-1}/a_{n-2}\); and so on, until we arrive at a value for \(a_1/a_0\)

\[
\frac{a_1}{a_0} = \frac{-h^2}{16(1 + \frac{1}{2} \phi)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b \quad h^4}{16(2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b \quad h^4} \quad \frac{h^4}{16(3 + \frac{1}{2} \phi)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b}.
\]

Similar use of the second equation for negative \(n\)'s gives us

\[
\frac{a_{-1}}{a_0} = \frac{-h^2}{16(1 - \frac{1}{2} \phi)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b \quad h^4}{16(2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b \quad h^4} \quad \frac{h^4}{16(3 - \frac{1}{2} \phi)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b}.
\]

These expressions are called continued fractions. Questions of convergence can be answered by adaptation of rules for series.

Using Eq. (5.2.69) for \(n = 0\) gives us a formula relating \(a_1/a_0\) and \(a_{-1}/a_0\):  

\[
S^2 = b - \frac{h^2}{4} \left[2 + \frac{a_1}{a_0} + \frac{a_{-1}}{a_0}\right] \tag{5.2.71}
\]

This, together with the continued fraction equations above, results in an equation from which \(S\) can be determined in terms of \(b\) and \(h\). We compute the continued fractions for assumed values of \(s\) and then check it by means of Eq. (5.2.71). If it does not check exactly, we use the square root of the right-hand side of the equation for a new value of \(s\) to insert in the continued fraction. Unless we have made too bad a guess at first, this process of reinsertion converges rapidly and a value correct to five or six places can usually be obtained in less than a dozen runs.
Of course, if $h$ is small, we can perform this iterative process analytically. To the first order in $h^2$, we have $s = \sqrt{b} - (h^2/4 \sqrt{b})$. Inserting this into the two continued fractions (and omitting the $h^4$ terms in them), we have

$$s^2 \approx b - \frac{h^2}{2b} + \frac{(h^4/64)}{1 + \sqrt{b} + \frac{(h^4/64)}{1 - \sqrt{b}}}$$

or

$$s \approx \sqrt{b} \left[ 1 - \frac{h^2}{4b} - \frac{h^4}{64b^3} \left( \frac{2 - 3b}{1 - b} \right) \right]$$

unless $b$ is nearly equal to 1, in which case higher powers of $h^2$ must be included.

We note, from the symmetry of Eq. (5.2.71) and the continued fractions [or from Eq. (5.2.68)], that, if $s$ is a solution then $-s$ is also a solution; indeed $\pm s \pm 2m$, where $m$ is any integer, is also a solution.

When $s$ is computed, $a_1$ and $a_{-1}$ can be calculated in terms of $a_0$ (which can be set equal to 1) and the other $a$'s can be computed by the auxiliary continued fractions

$$\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} = \frac{-h^2}{16(b + n)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b - \frac{h^4}{16(b + n + 1)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b - \frac{h^4}{\iddots}}}$$

$$\frac{a_{-n}}{a_{-n+1}} = \frac{-h^2}{16(b - n)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b - \frac{h^4}{16(b - n - 1)^2 + 2h^2 - 4b - \frac{h^4}{\iddots}}}$$

and therefore the whole series can be computed. The corresponding function

$$S(b,h,e^{i\phi}) = e^{i\phi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2i\phi}$$

(5.2.72)

using these values of $s$ and the $a$'s is one solution of Eq. (5.2.66). The other solution is for the opposite sign of $s$, where $a_n$ and $a_{-n}$ change places:

$$S(b,h,e^{-i\phi}) = e^{-i\phi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{-2i\phi}$$

which is the complex conjugate of the first series. The corresponding real functions are obtained by addition or subtraction:

$$S_r(b,h,z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \cos [(s + 2n)\phi]; \quad z = \cos \phi;$$

$$S_o(b,h,z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \sin [(s + 2n)\phi]$$

(5.2.73)

These functions are even or odd about $\phi = 0$ but are not periodic in $\phi$ with period $\pi$ or $2\pi$ unless $s$ is an integer or zero.
For certain ranges of values of $b$ (for negative values of $b$, for instance, or for $b$ near 1 or near 4 or near 9, etc.) $s$ turns out to be a complex number. In this case the real solutions $S_i$ and $S_{-i}$ have somewhat more complicated forms. The larger $h$ is, the larger the ranges of $b$ where $s$ is complex. These ranges are called the ranges of instability of the solutions, so named because the real exponential factor, which then is present in the solutions, becomes extremely large for large values of $z$, positive or negative.

**The Hill Determinant.** Before we continue with our discussion of the solution of Mathieu’s equation, we shall indicate a quite different method of computing $s$ and the coefficients $a_n$, which is successful because of the particular symmetry of the recursion formulas (5.2.60). These equations are, of course, a set of homogeneous simultaneous differential equations for the $a_i$’s (an infinite number because the $a_i$’s are infinite). In order that they be solved to find the $a_n$’s in terms of $a_0$, for instance, the determinant of the coefficients must be zero. This is an infinite determinant, so that we must watch its convergence. However, we can divide the $n$th recursion formula by $2h^2 - 4h + 10a^2$ before we form the determinant, which will improve convergence. The resulting determinant

\[
\Delta(s) = \begin{vmatrix} (\sigma + 2) - \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \alpha^2} & (\sigma + 1)^2 - \alpha^2 & \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \alpha^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} & (\sigma - 1)^2 - \alpha^2 & \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\beta^2}{1 - \alpha^2} & \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \end{vmatrix}
\]

(5.2.74)

where $\sigma = \frac{3}{4}h$, $\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{16}b - \frac{1}{8}h^2$, and $\beta = h/4$, is called Hill’s determinant. All we have to do is to solve it for $\sigma$!

Astonishingly enough such solution is possible because of the periodic nature of the dependence on $\alpha$, which relates $\Delta$ to the trigonometric functions. First of all we simplify our determinant by multiplying the $n$th row (measured from the row $n = 0$) by $[n^2 - \alpha^2]/[(\sigma + n)^2 - \alpha^2]$, and so on, obtaining a new determinant $D(\sigma)$, where

\[
\Delta(\sigma) = D(\sigma) \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma + n)^2 - \alpha^2}{n^2 - \alpha^2} - \beta^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 - (\sigma + \alpha)/n] [1 - (\sigma - \alpha)/n]}{[1 - (\alpha/n)]^2}
\]
The determinant $D(\sigma)$ has a sequence of unities along the main diagonal, flanked by a sequence of the sort $\beta^2/[(\sigma + n)^2 - \alpha^2]$ on both neighboring diagonals, with all other terms zero. Referring to Eq. (4.3.9) we see that
\[
\Delta(\sigma) = -D(\sigma) \frac{\sin \pi(\sigma + \alpha) \sin \pi(\sigma - \alpha)}{\sin^2(\pi \alpha)} = D(\sigma) \frac{\sin^2(\pi \alpha) - \sin^2(\pi \sigma)}{\sin^2(\pi \alpha)}
\]
which displays some of the periodic dependence on $\alpha$ and $\sigma$.

But determinant $D(\sigma)$ also has definite periodicity in $\sigma$, having simple poles at $\sigma = \pm n \pm \alpha$, due to the elements $\beta^2/[(\sigma + n)^2 - \alpha^2]$. In fact the only poles of $D(\sigma)$ are at these points, and the function also is bounded at infinity ($D \to 1$ as $\sigma \to \infty$), so it is an obvious function on which to practice the legendum of pages 381 to 385. We first subtract off all the poles:

\[
K(\sigma) = D(\sigma) - C \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sigma + n)^2 - \alpha^2}
\]

\[
= D(\sigma) - \frac{C'}{2\alpha} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma + \alpha + n} - \frac{1}{\sigma - \alpha + n} \right]
\]

where $C$ equals the residue at each of the poles of $D$. However analysis of the sort resulting in Eq. (4.3.7) (see Prob. 4.21, page 474) gives

\[
\pi \cot(\pi x) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2x}{x^2 - 1} + \frac{2x}{x^2 - 4} + \cdots = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x + n}
\]

so that the function $K(\sigma)$ can be rewritten. This function

\[
K(\sigma) = D(\sigma) - \frac{\pi C'}{2\alpha} \left[ \cot(\pi(\sigma + \alpha)) - \cot(\pi(\sigma - \alpha)) \right]
\]

has no poles for any value of $s$ and is bounded at $s \to \infty$. By Liouville's theorem (page 381) it must be a constant, and by letting $\sigma \to \infty$, we see the constant $K$ is unity. Therefore, amazingly enough,

\[
D(\sigma) = 1 + \frac{\pi C'}{2\alpha} \left[ \cot(\pi(\sigma + \alpha)) - \cot(\pi(\sigma - \alpha)) \right]
\]

Returning to Eq. (5.2.75) we see that

\[
\Delta(\sigma) = 1 - \frac{\sin^2(\pi \sigma)}{\sin^2(\pi \alpha)} + \frac{\pi C}{\alpha} \cot(\pi \alpha)
\]

where the only constant as yet undetermined is the constant $C$, the residue at the poles of $D(\sigma)$. This can be computed by...
\( (\pi C/\alpha) \cot(\pi \alpha) = \Delta(0) - 1 \). Therefore, returning to the original notation, we have that the original determinant has the relatively simple functional dependence on \( s \):

\[
\Delta(s) = \Delta(0) - \frac{\sin^2(\pi s/2)}{\sin^2(\frac{3}{2} \pi \sqrt{b - \frac{b}{h^2}})}
\]

(5.2.76)

where

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\cdot & & & & & \\
\cdot & & \frac{h^2}{144 + 2h^2 - 4b} & & 0 & 0 \\
\cdot & \frac{h^2}{64 + 2h^2 - 4b} & 1 & \frac{h^2}{64 + 2h^2 - 4b} & 0 & \\
\cdot & 0 & \frac{h^2}{16 + 2h^2 - 4b} & 1 & \frac{h^2}{16 + 2h^2 - 4b} & \\
\cdot & 0 & 0 & \frac{h^2}{2h^2 - 4b} & 1 & \\
\cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{h^2}{16 + 2h^2 - 4b} & \\
\end{array}
\]

is a convergent determinant which is independent of \( s \). Since \( \Delta(s) \) is to be zero, the equivalent of Eq. (5.2.71) for determining \( s \) is

\[
\sin^2(\pi s/2) = \Delta(0) \sin^2(\frac{3}{2} \pi \sqrt{b - \frac{b}{h^2}})
\]

Mathieu Functions. We are now ready to return to our previous discussion of the allowed values of \( s \) and the solutions \( S \). The quantity \( \Delta(0) \sin^2(\frac{3}{2} \pi \sqrt{b - \frac{b}{h^2}}) \) is a periodic function of \( \alpha = \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{b - \frac{b}{h^2}} \) with period 1. For \( h = 0 \), \( \Delta = 1 \) and \( s = \pm 2\alpha = \pm \sqrt{b} \); this is the limiting case where Eq. (5.2.66) reduces to \((d^2\psi/d\phi^2) + b\psi = 0\). When \( b - \frac{b}{h^2} \) is large enough negative, \( \Delta(0) \sin^2(\pi \alpha) \) is negative and \( s \) is pure imaginary. The whole range of values of \( h \) and \( b \) shown shaded, to the left of line 0 in Fig. 5.4, corresponds to unstable solutions, with a real exponential factor.

For some value of \( b \), depending on the value of \( h \) and plotted as curve 0 in Fig. 5.4, \( \Delta(0) \sin^2(\pi \alpha) \) is zero, so that \( s \) is zero. In this case the solution \( \xi(b, h \gamma \phi) \) is real, symmetrical in \( \phi \), and periodic in \( \phi \) with period \( \pi \) [for \( a_n = a_{-n} \) and \( \xi \) is a Fourier series in \( \cos(2n\phi) \)]. This function is called the Mathieu function of zero order and is given the special symbol

\[
S\xi_0(h, x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} B_n \cos(2n\phi); \quad z = \cos \phi
\]

where the \( B_n \)'s are proportional to the \( a_n \)'s, but adjusted so that \( S\xi_0(h, 1) = 1 \). When \( s = 0 \), the two solutions \( \xi(b, h \gamma \psi) \) and \( \xi(b, h \gamma^{-\psi}) \) are equal and the second solution, independent of \( S\xi_0 \), must be obtained by means
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of Eq. (5.2.6). It has a logarithmic term in it and hence is not periodic in \( \phi \).

Over the range of \( b \) and \( h \) indicated in Fig. 5.4 by the unshaded area between the line marked 0 and the line 1 as expression \( \Delta(0) \sin^2(\pi a) \) is less than unity and thus there is a solution for \( s \) which is real and less than unity. So and So as given in Eq. (5.2.73) are independent solutions, and the best way to compute \( s \) and the coefficients \( a_n \) is by means of the continued fraction of Eq. (5.2.71).

![Graph showing stability regions for Mathieu equation solutions]

**Fig. 5.4** Values of separation constants for periodic solutions of the Mathieu equation.

For the set of values of \( b \) and \( h \) given by the curve marked as 1 as, \( \Delta(0) \sin^2(\pi a) \) and \( s \) are unity. It turns out that \( a_n = -a_{n-1} \) so that both \( S(b, h, e^{ib}) \) and \( S(b, h, e^{-ib}) \) are proportional to

\[
S_0(h, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n+1} \sin(2n + 1) \phi
\]

which is called the *odd Mathieu function of the first order*. Above this, in the next shaded region, \( \Delta(0) \sin^2(\pi a) \) is larger than unity, \( s \) is complex, having the value \( 1 + \epsilon i \), and consequently the solution is unstable. At the upper edge of this region of instability \( s \) is again unity, but this time \( a_n = a_{n-1} \), so that both \( S \) solutions are proportional to

\[
S_1(h, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n+1} \cos(2n + 1) \phi
\]

the *even Mathieu function of the first order*. The second solution again has a logarithmic term.

This behavior continues for increasing values of \( b \): alternating regions of stability and instability, the boundary lines between, corresponding
to the special case where $s$ is an integer and where one solution is periodic, either an even function (using cosines in the Fourier series) or an odd function (using sines), and the other solution is nonperiodic, containing a logarithm. For the rest of the range of $h$ and $h$, exclusive of the boundary lines, the solution is nonperiodic but oscillating, with solutions of the form given in Eq. (5.2.73), or else nonperiodic and unstable, with solutions containing a complex exponential factor times a Fourier series.

In many cases of physical interest the coordinate corresponding to $\phi$ is a periodic one, returning on itself as $\phi$ increases by $2\pi$. This being the case the only useful solutions are the periodic ones, which we have called Mathieu functions, for integral values of $s$ (one odd function $\mathcal{S}_m$ and one even function $\mathcal{E}_m$ for each integral value $m$ of $s$). When $h$ goes to zero $\mathcal{E}_m$ reduces to $\cos(m\phi)$ and $\mathcal{S}_m$ reduces to $\sin(m\phi)$.

To compute the allowed values of the separation constant $b$ corresponding to these periodic functions we can use, instead of the Hill determinant, the continued fraction equation (5.2.71) in reverse to find $b$ when $s$ is given. We let $s - m$, an integer, and find, by successive approximations, a consistent solution of

$$b = m^2 + \frac{1}{2}h^2 \left[ 2 + \frac{a_1}{a_0} + \frac{a_{-1}}{a_0} \right]$$

where the ratios $a_1/a_0$, $a_{-1}/a_0$ are given in terms of continued fractions on page 569. Except for $m = 0$, there are two different solutions for each value of $m$, one giving rise to a sine series and one giving a cosine series (that is, $a_n = \pm a_{m-n}$).

When $s = 0$, $a_1/a_0 = a_{-1}/a_0$, and we solve the equation

$$2b = \frac{h^4}{16 + 2h^2 - 4b} - \frac{h^4}{16 - 4h^2 - 16 - \frac{h^4}{2h^2 - 1b}} \cdots ; \text{ etc.}$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}_m(h,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} \cos(2n\phi); \quad B_{2n} = a_n / \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \right]$$

where the coefficients $D$ are normalized so that $\mathcal{S}_0 = 1$ for $\phi = 0$. The allowed value of $b$ for this case can be labeled $b\mathcal{S}_0(h)$.

However if we are interested only in the Mathieu functions, the periodic solutions, we can simplify the calculations considerably by making use of the fact that the solutions are Fourier series. We transform the first of Eqs. (5.2.67) into

$$(d^2\psi/d\phi^2) + \left( b - \frac{1}{2}h^2 - \frac{1}{2}h^2 \cos 2\phi \right) \psi = 0$$

As we have been showing, the periodic solutions of this equation are of four different types:

I. Even solutions of period $\pi$, $s = \text{even integer} = 2m$, allowed values
\[ \text{II. Even solutions of period } 2\pi, \ s = \text{odd integer} = 2m + 1, \text{ for } b = b_0_{2m+1} \]
\[ S_{c_{2m}}(h, \cos \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} B_{2n} \cos(2n\phi) \]

\[ \text{III. Odd solutions of period } 2\pi, \ s = \text{even integer} = 2m, \text{ for } b = b_0_{2m} \]
\[ S_{0_{2m}}(h, \cos \phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} B_{2n} \sin(2n\phi) \]

\[ \text{IV. Odd solutions of period } 2\pi, \ s = \text{odd integer} = 2m + 1, \text{ for } b = b_0_{2m+1} \]
\[ S_{0_{2m+1}}(h, \cos \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} B_{2n+1} \sin(2n + 1)\phi \]

where the coefficients \( B \) depend on \( h \), on \( m \) (i.e., on the value of \( s \) and the related value of \( b \)) and, of course, on \( n \).

Inserting the Fourier series of type 1 into the differential equation and utilizing the identity \( \cos a \cos b = \frac{1}{2} \cos(a + b) + \frac{1}{2} \cos(a - b) \), we have

\[ B_2 = k_2 B_4; \quad B_4 = k_2 B_2 - 2B_2; \quad k_2 B_2 = B_{2n+2} + B_{2n-2} \]

\[ k_m = h^{-2}(4b - 2h^2 - 4m^2) \]

From these equations, by rearranging into continued fractions, we can compute the ratio of coefficients and also the allowed value of \( b \), which is \( b_0_{2m} \). Letting the ratio be represented by

\[ G_n = B_n/B_{n-2}; \quad (1, G_0) = 0 \]

we have two alternative sets of equations for the \( G \)’s:

\[ G_2 = k_2 - \frac{1}{k_4} - \frac{1}{k_6} - \ldots \quad G_{2n} = \frac{1}{k_{2n}} - \frac{1}{k_{2n+2}} - \frac{1}{k_{2n+4}} - \ldots \quad n > 1 \quad (5.277) \]

or

\[ G_2 = k_2; \quad G_4 = k_2 - (2/k_0) \]

\[ G_{2n} = k_{2n-2} - \frac{1}{k_{2n-4}} - \frac{1}{k_{2n-6}} - \ldots - \frac{1}{k_{2n-2(n-1)}} - \ldots \quad n > 2 \quad (5.278) \]

either of which can be used, depending on the relative ease of calculation and speed of convergence.
Equating the two expressions for \( G_2 \) gives a continued fraction equation for determination of the allowed value of \( b \). Setting \( \alpha = b - \frac{1}{4} h^2 \), \( \theta = \frac{1}{4} h^2 \), we have

\[
\alpha = \frac{2\theta^2}{\alpha - 4} - \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 10} - \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 25} - \cdots
\]

which is equivalent to the equation of page 564. An infinite sequence of solutions of \( \alpha \) as functions of \( \theta \) can be found, from which values of \( bc_{2n} \) can be determined. Some of the values are given in the tables. Values are also shown graphically in Fig. 5.4.

For solutions of type II, by the same methods, we can arrive at the following equations for the coefficient ratios \( G \) and the separation constant \( bc_{2n+1} = \alpha + \frac{1}{4} h^2 \):

\[
G_2 = \frac{B_3}{B_1} = k_1 - 1; \quad G_{2n+1} = k_{2n-1} - \frac{1}{k_{2n-3}} - \frac{1}{k_{2n-5}} - \cdots - \frac{1}{k_1 - 1};
\]

\[
G_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{k_{2n-1}} - \frac{1}{k_{2n-3}} - \cdots - \frac{1}{k_1 - 1}; \quad n > 1
\]

\[
\alpha = 1 + \theta + \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 9} - \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 25} - \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 49} - \cdots \quad n > 0
\]

In both of these cases it is convenient to normalize the function so that \( E_{2n} = 1 \) at \( \phi = 0 \). This means that \( \Sigma B_n = 1 \).

For type III solutions we have \( B_0 = 0 \), and the equations for the \( G_i \) and \( bc_i \) are

\[
\frac{1}{G_2} = 0; \quad G_4 = k_2; \quad G_{2n} = k_{2n-4} - \frac{1}{k_{2n-2}} - \cdots - \frac{1}{k_2}; \quad n > 2
\]

\[
G_{2n} = \frac{1}{k_{2n}} - \frac{1}{k_{2n+2}} - \cdots \quad k_m = \frac{\alpha - n^2}{\theta}
\]

\[
\alpha = 4 + \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 16} - \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 36} - \cdots \quad ; n > 0
\]

Finally for type IV solutions we have

\[
G_4 = k_1 + 1; \quad G_{2n+1} = k_{2n+1} - \frac{1}{k_{2n+3}} - \cdots - \frac{1}{k_1 + 1}; \quad n > 1
\]

\[
G_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{k_{2n-1}} - \frac{1}{k_{2n+1}} - \cdots \quad n > 0
\]

\[
\alpha = 1 + \theta - \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 9} - \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 25} - \cdots \quad ; n > 0
\]
For both sine series it is convenient to normalize so that the slope of the function, \( dS_0 / d\phi \), is unity at \( \phi = 0 \). This means that \( \Sigma nB_n = 1 \).

When computing values of \( a \) (and thus of \( b \)) we can juggle the continued fractions to ease the work. For instance, for the type I solutions for the value of \( a \) near 16, we can invert Eq. (5.2.77) twice, to obtain

\[
\alpha = 16 + \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 4 - (2\theta^2/\alpha)} + \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 36 - \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha - 64 - \cdots}}
\]

It turns out that (unless \( h \) is quite large) the values of \( B_n \) are largest for \( n \approx m \). Consequently \( G_n = B_n / B_{n-2} \) is small for \( n > m \) and is large for \( n < m \). Experience will show that the finite continued fractions, like Eq. (5.2.77), are best to use when computing \( G_n \) for \( n < m \) and the infinite fractions, like Eq. (3.2.78), are best for the values of \( n \) larger than \( m \).

**Mathieu Functions of the Second Kind.** As we have mentioned on page 539, when \( s \) is an integer both \( g(b, h, e^{i\phi}) \) and \( g'(b, h, e^{-i\phi}) \) are proportional to either \( S_{cm} \) or \( S_{0m} \), the Mathieu function of the first kind, corresponding to \( b = bc_m \) or \( bo_m \), respectively. For these particular values of \( b \) the second solution has a logarithmic singularity in \( z = e^{i\phi} \) (in other words, it is not periodic in \( \phi \)), and we must set up special solutions for these special cases. We indicate here the method for obtaining the second solutions corresponding to the even functions \( Sc_{2m}(h, \cos \phi) \).

Since \( \phi = 0 \) is an ordinary point for the Mathieu equation

\[
\psi'' + (b - \frac{1}{2}h^2 - \frac{1}{2}h^2 \cos 2\phi)\psi = 0
\]

one should be able to set up a fundamental set of solutions, one with unit value and zero slope and the other with zero value and unit slope at \( \phi = 0 \). For \( b = bc_{2m} \), the first solution is \( Sc_{2m}(h, \cos \phi) \), which has unit value and zero slope. The second solution should be of the general form

\[
Fe_{2m}(h, \cos \phi) = \gamma_{2m} \left[ \phi \cdot Sc_{2m}(h, \cos \phi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{2n} \sin(2n\phi) \right] \quad (5.2.79)
\]

which has a logarithmic singularity in \( z \) at \( \phi = \cos^{-1}(z) = 0 \). It is also not periodic in \( \phi \). Inserting this into the Mathieu equation and remembering that \( Sc_{2m} = \Sigma B_{2n} \cos(2n\phi) \) is a solution of the same equation for the same value of \( b \), we finally obtain

\[
\gamma_{2m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ -4nB_{2n} - (2n)^2D_{2n} + \frac{1}{2}h^2D_{2n-2} + (bc_{2n} - \frac{1}{2}h^2)D_{2n} + \frac{1}{2}h^2D_{2n+2} \right] \sin(2n\phi) = 0
\]

with the term in \( D_{2n-2} \) being absent when \( n = 1 \). This gives rise to a
set of simultaneous equations

\[ [b_2 - \frac{1}{3}k^2 - 4]D_2 + \frac{1}{4}k^2 D_4 = 4B_2 \]
\[ \frac{1}{2}k^2 D_2 + [b_2 + \frac{1}{3}k^2 - 16]D_4 + \frac{1}{4}k^2 D_6 = 8B_4; \text{ etc.} \]

from which the \( D \)'s can be obtained in terms of the \( B \)'s (this is not simple, but we can find a solution for which the series converges). We fix the value of the constant \( \gamma_{2n} \) by requiring that the slope of \( Fe \) at \( \phi = 0 \), which is \( \gamma_{2n}[1 + \Sigma 2nD_{2n}] \), be unity.

\[ \gamma_{2n} = \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2nD_{2n} \right]^{-1} \]

Therefore we have the fundamental set for \( \phi \),

\[
\begin{align*}
S&_{2n} = 1; \quad (d/d\phi)S&_{2n} = 0 \\
F&_{2n} = 0; \quad (d/d\phi)F&_{2n} = 1
\end{align*}
\]

The Wronskian \( \Delta(S_e,F_e) \), with respect to \( \phi \), is a constant, and therefore

\[ S_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) \frac{d}{d\phi} F_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) - F_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) \frac{d}{d\phi} S_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) = 1 \]

for all values of \( \phi \). See also Eq. (5.3.91).

The second solutions for the other Mathieu functions are obtained in a similar manner. For instance, for \( b = b_{2n+1} \), the second solution is

\[ F_{2n+1}(h, \cos \phi) = b_{2n+1} \left[ \phi S_{2n+1}(h, \cos \phi) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{2n+1} \cos(2n + 1) \phi \right] \]

with equations for the \( D \)'s similar to those written above. In this case we normalize so that \( F_{2n+1} \) at \( \phi = 0 \) (it has a zero slope there), which results in an equation for

\[ b_{2n+1} = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{2n+1} \right]^{-1} \]

and the Wronskian for this pair of solutions equals \(-1\).

Therefore we have (at least) indicated the form of the second solutions for those values of \( b \) for which \( S(h,c e^{i\phi}) \) is not independent of \( S(h,c e^{i\phi}) \). For all other values of \( b \) the two \( S \) functions are independent and constitute a satisfactory pair.

**More on Recursion Formulas.** We are now in a position to be a little more sophisticated about series solutions of differential equations and their related recursion formulas. Suppose that we have a differential equation \( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = \psi'' + p\psi' + q\psi = 0 \), for which we wish to obtain a series solution about one of its singular points. For ease in computation we shall make the origin coincide with the singular point in question,
which can be done without disturbing the other singular points. Then \( p \) or \( q \) or both have poles at \( z = 0 \). If \( p \) has no more than a simple pole and \( q \) no more than a pole of second order at \( z = 0 \), then the singular point there is regular, and we can, if we wish, expand the solution directly in a double power series in \( z \). Each series is of the form \( z^s \sum a_n z^n \), where \( s \) is one of the two roots of the indicial equation

\[ s^2 + (P - 1)s + Q = 0 \]

where \( P = \lim_{z \to 0} z p(z) \) and \( Q = \lim_{z \to 0} z^2 q(z) \). The coefficient of \( z^{n+s} \) in the series resulting when \( \mathcal{E}(\psi) \) is applied to the series \( \sum a_n z^{n+s} \) is the recursion formula \( D_s(a_n) \) for the power series about the singularity at \( z = 0 \). It, with its fellows, for other values of \( n \), constitutes an infinite sequence of linear equations for the unknown coefficients \( a_n \). If either \( p \) or \( q \), or both, is a function of \( z \) which requires an infinite series to represent it, then each recursion formula \( D_s \) involves all the \( a \)'s from \( a_0 \) to \( a_n \) (or perhaps even higher).

In principle these simultaneous equations can always be solved, to obtain the ratios between \( a_n \) and \( a_0 \). But if the recursion formulas have more than two terms apiece (i.e., involve more than a pair of adjacent \( a \)'s), then the task of computing the series and testing it for convergence, for asymptotic behavior, and so on, becomes very much more difficult. Let us see what we can say about the possibility of obtaining a set of two-term formulas.

Short recursion formulas can be obtained only when \( p \) and \( q \) are rational functions of \( z \), i.e., are ratios of polynomials in \( z \) (see page 382). If they are not rational functions, we can try to transform the independent variable so that the new \( p \) and \( q \) are rational functions: if this can be done, we can then proceed; if not, we are left to struggle with infinite recursion formulas.

The denominators of \( p \) and \( q \), if they are rational functions, are determined by the position of the singular points of the equation. At least one of the two denominators has \( z \) as a factor, for one of the two functions has a pole at \( z = 0 \). If there are other singular points for finite values of \( z \) (say at \( z = z_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \)), then there must be factors of the form \((z - z_i)\) in the denominators of either \( p \) or \( q \) or both. At any rate, when we clear the equation \( \mathcal{E}(\psi) = 0 \) of fractions, it will have the form

\[ \prod_{i=0}^{N} (z - z_i)^{n_i} \psi'' + F(z) \psi' + G(z) \psi = 0; \quad M = \sum_{i=0}^{N} n_i; \quad z_0 = 0 \]

where \( F \) and \( G \) are polynomials in \( z \). Parenthetically, if there is to be no singular point at infinity, \( G(z) \) must be a polynomial in \( z \) of order \( M - 4 \) or less and \( F \) must be a polynomial of order \( M - 1 \), with its highest order term equal to \( 2z^{M-4} \)(why?).
It is not difficult to see that, in general, such an equation will have an \(M\)-term recursion formula. If the point at infinity is a regular point, this can be reduced by one or two terms by transforming the independent variable [by the transformation \(\psi = z/(z - z_i)\)] so that the \(j\)th singular point is placed at infinity. When this is done (if it can be done) and there is a singular point at zero and at infinity, the equation will still have the form given above but the order of the polynomials multiplying \(\psi''\), \(\psi'\), and \(\psi\) will be the smallest possible for the particular equation chosen.

We now can see that usually it is possible to have a two-term recursion formula only if there is but one other singular point beside the ones at zero and infinity, for the coefficient of \(\psi''\) must have the form \(z^m(z - z_1)\), \(F\) must have the form as \(az^m + bz^{m-1}\), and \(G\) the form \(az^{m-1} + bx^{m-2}\) in order that the powers of \(z\) arrange themselves in the series for \(E(\psi) = 0\) so that two-term recursion formulas will result. One other, rather unlikely, case is for there to be two other singular points, symmetrically placed (that is, \(z_2 = -z_1\)) so that the coefficient of \(\psi''\) is \(z^m(z^2 - z_1^2)\). If then \(F = az^m + b\) and \(G\) has the unlikely form \(az^m + b\), a two-term recursion formula results, relating \(a_n\) and \(a_{n+2}\) (rather than \(a_n\) and \(a_{n+1}\)).

Even if there is only one other singular point, the functions \(F\) and \(G\) may not have the requisite simple forms. In this case we can sometimes help by transforming the dependent variable, letting \(\psi = u(z)f(z)\), where \(u\) is some power of \(z\) times some power of \((z - z_1)\). Usually the proper powers are one of the indices \(s\) at each of the singular points, so that the new dependent variable \(f\) has one analytic solution both at \(z = 0\) and \(z = z_1\). This often reduces the order of the polynomial \(G\) and produces a two-term recursion formula. It is the trick which was used successfully on the Papperitz equation, to result in the hypergeometric equation.

When more than one singular point is irregular, \(F\) or \(G\) does not have the right form for a two-term recursion formula to result. As we have seen, the best we can do for the case of two irregular as well as the case of two regular and one irregular points is to obtain a three-term formula. Larger numbers of singular points or a higher species of irregularity will result in still more complex formulas. Luckily such cases have not as yet turned out to be of great practical importance, so we shall dismiss them without further ado, beyond remarking that, if they become important, further research will be needed to establish techniques for handling these more complicated recursion formulas.

**Functional Series.** But after all, it is not necessary to confine ourselves to a series of powers of \(z\); a series of terms containing a set of functions \(f_n\): \[
\psi = \sum A_n f_n(z)
\]
can also be used. To see how this generalization may be carried out, we return to the power series method and ask why the set of functions
\[ f_n = z^n \]
was so useful. One obvious reply is that \( f_n \), for this case, satisfies eminently simple recurrence relations:
\[ zf_n = f_{n+1}; \quad f'_n = nf_n, \quad -1 \]
Using these relations it is possible to reduce a differential operator \( \mathcal{L} \) to a form in which only different powers of \( z^n \) are involved. In order to use another set of \( f_n \)'s to represent the solution, the new set of functions must also satisfy recurrence relations.

Another important and useful property of power series is its property of completeness. By completeness we mean that, subject to certain conditions, a linear combination of the powers of \( z \) may be used to represent any function. This statement is a consequence of Laurent’s theorem [Eq. (4.3.4)] and is subject to the conditions involved in Laurent’s theorem. Before we can use other sets of functions, we must also show what functions may be represented by their means and what others cannot be so represented. Later on, in the chapter on eigenfunctions, we shall devote considerable space to a discussion of this question. However, it is worth while discussing whatever can be done at this point with the techniques we have already developed. We shall give a few examples and then return to the original question of the solving of equations by the functional series.

The method generally employed involves relating the functional series to be used to the power series. Then, by using the known properties of the power series, it is possible to obtain information about the set \( f_n \). As a first example, we can establish the completeness of the Fourier series in \( e^{in\theta} \) directly from the Laurent series. From Eq. (4.3.4)
\[ \psi(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n \]
Consider now the values of \( \psi(z) \) on the unit circle, \( z = e^{i\theta} \).
Then
\[ \psi(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta} \]
From the completeness property of the power series, we may now conclude that the functional set \( e^{in\theta} \) will represent any reasonable periodic function of \( \theta \) of period \( 2\pi \). The necessity for the periodicity is a consequence of the fact that we are representing by the Fourier series the values of \( \psi \) on a circle and \( \psi \) repeats itself as we complete the circuit.
By considering even or odd functions of \( \theta \), we immediately generate the sine or cosine Fourier series.

As a second example, let us examine the first solution of Legendre's equation (5.2.49) for \( m = 0 \) and integer values of \( n \).

\[
X = F(-n, n+1; 1; -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} z)
\]

For integer values of \( n \), \( X \) is a polynomial in \( n \), the Legendre polynomials \( P_n \) to be discussed in more detail later on page 595. Let us tabulate the first few of these functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_0 &= 1 \\
P_1 &= z \\
P_2 &= (3z^2 - 1)/2 \\
P_3 &= (5z^2 - 3z)/2 \\
P_4 &= (35z^4 - 30z^2 + 3)/8 \\
P_5 &= (63z^5 - 70z^3 + 15z)/8; \ etc.
\end{align*}
\]

From this sequence, we may prove that any \( z^n \) may be expressed as a linear combination of \( P_n \). For 1 and \( z \) it is obvious. We write out the answer for the next few powers:

\[
\begin{align*}
1 &= P_0 \\
z &= P_1 \\
z^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(2P_2 + P_0) \\
z^3 &= \frac{1}{3}(3P_3 + 3P_1) \\
z^4 &= \frac{1}{4}(8P_4 + 20P_2 + 7P_0) \\
z^5 &= \frac{1}{5}(8P_5 + 25P_3 + 27P_1); \ etc.
\end{align*}
\]

From the fact that the power series in positive powers of \( z \) is complete for functions which are not singular, we may conclude that these functions may equally well be expressed in terms of the Legendre polynomials. In order to include singular functions, it would be necessary to include the second solution of the Legendre equation in our discussion (corresponding to the negative powers of \( z \)).

For present purposes it is not necessary to determine coefficients in the above expressions explicitly. It is enough to demonstrate the possibility of such a representation. For example, in the case of the solution of Bessel's equation for integer \( n \) (5.2.62) we have one set of solutions

\[
J_n(z) = e^{-iz}z^nF\left(\frac{1}{2} + n|1 + 2n|2iz\right) \quad z \to 0 \quad z^n(1 + \cdot \cdot)
\]

[see Eqs. (5.2.63) et seq.]. We may expect that by suitable combinations of these functions it would be possible to represent \( z^n \). Similarly for \( z^{-n} \), the second solutions, the Neumann functions (see page 625) would be useful. Again one sees that these functions are as complete as the power series. This statement is somewhat more difficult to verify than the similar one for the Legendre functions because the Bessel functions are not polynomials but rather infinite series. However, it is relatively easy to demonstrate that in principle a Bessel function representation is
possible. We now are able to turn to some examples of the use of functional series, from which we shall be able to deduce the sort of maneuvers which must be usually employed. As a first example, consider the Mathieu equation discussed earlier (page 556):

\[ \psi'' + \left( b - \frac{h^2}{2} - \frac{h^2}{2} \cos 2\phi \right) \psi = 0 \]

This equation resembles to some extent the equation satisfied by the exponential functions

\[ f_n = e^{i2(n+s)\phi}; \quad f_n'' + [4(n + s)^2]f_n = 0 \]

(the \( \cos 2\phi \) term is not present). We substitute \( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n f_n \) into the Mathieu equation:

\[
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n \left\{ \left[ -4(n + s)^2 + \left( b - \frac{h^2}{2} \right) \right] e^{2i(n+s)\theta} - \frac{h^2}{4} e^{2i(n+s+1)\theta} \right. \\
\left. - \frac{h^2}{4} e^{2i(n+s-1)\theta} \right\} = 0
\]

Rearranging terms so that \( e^{2i(n+s)\theta} \) is a common factor, we obtain

\[
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ - \frac{h^2}{4} A_{n-1} - \frac{h^2}{4} A_{n+1} + A_n \left[ b - \frac{h^2}{2} - 4(n + s)^2 \right] \right\} e^{2i(n+s)\theta} = 0
\]

From the completeness of \( e^{i(n+s)\theta} \), the coefficient of each term must be zero. (Where we are using the result that, if a power series is identically zero, the coefficient of each power must be zero.) We thus obtain the three-term recursion formula:

\[
\frac{h^2}{4} A_{n+1} + A_n \left[ 4(n + s)^2 - b + \frac{h^2}{2} \right] + \frac{h^2}{4} A_{n-1} = 0
\]

This is identical with (5.2.69) (of course).

As a second example, consider a special case of the equation resulting from the separation of spheroidal coordinates:

\[(s^2 - 1)\psi'' + 2s\psi' + (h^2s^2 - b)\psi = 0\]

Compare this with the equation satisfied by the Legendre polynomials \( P_n \):

\[(s^2 - 1)P''_n + 2sP'_n - n(n + 1)P_n - 0\]

If we insist that \( \psi \) be nonsingular at \( \pm 1 \), the singular points of its differential equation, the use of \( P_n = f_n \) is suggested; i.e., let

\[ \psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n P_n \]
The following recurrence relation, which will be derived later, will be useful here:

\[ z^2 P_n = \frac{n(n - 1)}{4n^2 - 1} P_{n-2} + \left[ \frac{n^2}{4n^2 - 1} + \frac{(n + 1)^2}{(2n + 1)(2n + 3)} \right] P_n + \frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)}{(2n + 1)(2n + 3)} P_{n+2} \]

Substituting in the differential equation yields

\[ \sum_{0}^{\infty} P_n \left( A_{n+1} - \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{(2n+3)(2n+5)} A_n \right) + A_n \left( (n+1) - b + h^2 \left( \frac{n^2}{4n^2 - 1} + \frac{(n+1)^2}{(2n+1)(2n+3)} \right) \right) + A_{n-1} \frac{h^2(n-1)(n)}{(2n-1)(2n-3)} = 0 \]

This is a three-term recursion formula which must now be solved for \( A_n \), subject to the conditions \( A_{-1} = A_{-2} = 0 \).

What we have done here is to find some complete set of functions \( f_n(z) \), in terms of which we choose to expand our solution. In practice we choose \( f \)'s such that their differential equation \( \mathcal{M}_n(f_n) = 0 \) is not very different from the equation we wish to solve, \( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = 0 \). We then use the relations between successive \( f \)'s in order to express the difference between \( \mathcal{L}(f_n) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_n(f_n) \) in terms of a series of \( f \)'s.

\[ [\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{M}_n]f_n = \sum_{m} \gamma_m f_m \tag{5.2.80} \]

For instance, for the Legendre polynomial series discussed above, \( [\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{M}_n]P_n = [h^2x^2 - b + n(n + 1)]P_n \), which can be set into the series form of Eq. (5.2.80), with only three terms in the series (for \( P_{n-3}, P_n \), and \( P_{n+2} \)).

If we have chosen well, the series in \( f \) will be finite, with a few terms. We call these equations, relating simple operators operating on \( f \) to simple series of \( f \)'s, recursion formulas in order to distinguish them from the recursion formulas of Eq. (5.2.40). Setting our series into the operator \( \mathcal{L} \), we have

\[ \mathcal{L}(\Sigma a_m f_m) = \left[ \sum_{m} (\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{M}_n)a_m f_m + \sum_{m} a_m \mathcal{M}_n(f_m) \right] \]

\[ = \sum_{m} a_m \left[ \sum_{n} \gamma_m f_n \right] = \sum_{m} \left[ \sum_{n} a_m \gamma_m n \right] f_n = 0 \]

where \( \mathcal{M}_n(f_m) = 0 \) by definition. If the set \( f \) is complete, we can equate the coefficients of \( f_n \) in the last series to zero separately:

\[ \sum_{n} a_m \gamma_m n = 0 \]
which are the recursion formulas for the coefficients $a_n$. If these can be solved, we have obtained a solution for the equation $L(\psi) = 0$.

The general usefulness of the expansion in question depends, of course, on its rate of convergence, which in turn depends on the behavior of $a_n$ as $n \to \infty$. To obtain this, consider the above equation in the limit $n \to \infty$:

$$A_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{h^2}{4} + A_n \left[ n^2 - b + \frac{h^2}{2} \right] + h^2 \frac{A_{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{4} = 0; \quad \text{for } n \to \infty$$

This is just the recursion relation (5.2.69) derived for Mathieu functions if the substitution

$$s = 0; \quad A_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{4} C_{n+\frac{3}{2}}; \quad n = 2\beta$$

is made. It may be recalled that the Mathieu recursion relation has a convergent result for $a_n$ as $n \to \infty$ if, for a given $s$, $b$ takes on only certain special values. These values must be determined from the recursion formulas for $a_n$ by the methods outlined in the section on continued fractions.

Many other cases of series expansion of a function in terms of other functions will be found later in this book. Series of Legendre functions (hypergeometric functions, see page 538) and of Bessel functions (confluent hypergeometric functions, see page 619) will be particularly useful.

In general, what we can try to do with such series is to use solutions of equations with a given set of singular points to express solutions of equations with one more singular point (or with more complicated singular points). For instance, according to Eq. (5.2.30) a power of $z$ is a solution of a differential equation with two regular singular points, at 0 and $\infty$. Therefore solutions of an equation with three regular points (hypergeometric function) or of one with one regular and one irregular point (confluent hypergeometric function) can be expressed in terms of a power series in $z$ with comparative ease. On the other hand solutions of an equation with two regular points and one irregular point (spheroidal functions) can most easily be expressed in terms of a series of hypergeometric functions (Gegenbauer functions) or of confluent hypergeometric functions (Bessel functions). We defer the Bessel function series and any discussion of series of more complex functions until later in the book [see Eqs. (5.3.83) and (11.3.87)].

As a final remark, note that the series $\Sigma a_n f_n(z)$ may be generalized into an integral as the Fourier series was generalized into a Fourier integral. For example, instead of $\psi(z) = \sum_n a_n f_n(z)$ we could write

$$\psi(z) = \int K(z,\ell) \varphi(\ell) \, d\ell$$

It is clear that the variable integer $n$ has been replaced by the con-
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Continuous variable \( t \), that the functions \( f_n(z) \) have gone over into \( K(z,t) \), and that the coefficients \( a_n \) have become \( v(t) \). We may, by comparison with the procedure for the series form, outline the manner in which we obtain the integral representation of \( \psi \) (as the above integral is called).

First we apply the operator \( \mathcal{L} \), where now, to indicate that \( \mathcal{L} \) operates on the \( z \) variable only, we write \( \mathcal{L}_z \) for \( \mathcal{L} \). Then

\[
\mathcal{L}_z \psi = \int \mathcal{L}_z[K(z,t)]v(t) \, dt = 0
\]

In our treatment of \( \mathcal{L}_z[f_n(z)] \), we made use of the recurrence relations of \( f_n \) to change the differential operator into a set of difference operators, by the recurrence relations

\[
\mathcal{L}_z[f_n] = \sum_{\tau} \gamma_{\tau} f_{\tau}
\]

where \( \gamma_{\tau} \) are numerical coefficients. This amounted to replacing the operation on \( z \) by an operation on the subscript \( n \). In the case of the integral representation, this means that we could arrange to have \( \mathcal{L}_z[K(z,t)] = \mathfrak{R}_z[K(z,t)] \), where \( \mathfrak{R}_z \) is a differential operator in \( t \), so that

\[
0 = \int \mathfrak{R}_z[K(z,t)]v(t) \, dt
\]

The next step in the series representation in terms of \( f_n \) was to rearrange the series so that \( f_n \) was a common factor; the coefficient of \( f_n \), involving several \( a_n \)’s, was then put equal to zero, yielding a recursion relation for \( a_n \). Thus the operation on \( f_n \) was transformed to an operation on \( a_n \). Similarly here the operation \( \mathfrak{R}_z \) must now be transformed over to an operation on \( v \). This may be accomplished by integrating by parts or equivalently by means of the adjoint to \( \mathfrak{R}_z \) defined earlier (page 528). We recall that

\[
v_t \mathfrak{R}_z[u] - u \mathfrak{R}_z^* v = \frac{d}{dt}P(u,v)
\]

Therefore

\[
0 = \int K(z,t)\mathfrak{R}_z^* v(t) \, dt + [P(u,v)]
\]

with the second term evaluated at the limits of integration. We now choose the limits or contour of integration so that the \( P(u,v) \) term is zero; then the original differential equation is satisfied if the “amplitude” \( v(t) \) in the integral representation satisfies the equation

\[
\mathfrak{R}_z^* v = 0
\]

This equation is the analogue of the recursion formulas for \( a_n \). If we can solve the differential equation for \( v(t) \), we shall then have a solution of the original differential equation for \( \psi(z) \), which has some points of advantage over a series representation. But this subject is a voluminous one, and we had better devote a separate section to it.
5.3 Integral Representations

We have now proceeded far enough to see the way series solutions go. Expansion about an ordinary point is straightforward. The unusual cases are about the singular points of the differential equation, where the general solution has a singularity. We have indicated how we can obtain series solutions for two independent solutions about each singular point, which will converge out to the next singular point (or else are asymptotic series, from which reasonably accurate values of the solution can be computed over a more restricted range). In other words, we have worked out a means of calculating the behavior of any solution of the second-order, linear, differential equation in the immediate neighborhood of any point in the complex plane. In particular we can set up the series expansion for the particular solution which satisfies any reasonable boundary condition at any given point (what constitutes "reasonableness" in boundary conditions will be discussed in Chap. 6).

Quite often these boundary conditions are applied at the singular points of the differential equation. We have seen that such singular points correspond to the geometrical "concentration points" of the corresponding coordinate system. Often the shape of the physical boundary can be idealized to fit the simple shape corresponding to the singular point of one of the dimensions (for instance, the origin corresponding to \( r = 0 \) in spherical coordinates, the disk corresponding to \( \mu = 0 \) for oblate spheroidal coordinates, the slit corresponding to \( \phi = 0 \), \( \pi \) for elliptic cylinder coordinates, etc.). Often only one of the solutions, for only one of the indices (if the singular point is regular), can fit the boundary conditions, so one of the solutions discussed in the previous section will be satisfactory.

If we wish values of the allowed solution nearby, the series expansion is satisfactory and, indeed, is the only way whereby these intermediate values can be computed. But quite often we wish to calculate values and slopes near the next singular point, where the series which is satisfactory for the first singular point either converges extremely slowly or else diverges. For instance, we often must satisfy boundary conditions at both ends of the range for a coordinate corresponding to two consecutive singular points. What is needed is a joining factor, relating one of the series about one singular point with the two solutions about the other point, for then we should not need to stretch the convergence of the series. Suppose \( u_1, v_1 \) are the two independent series expanded about the singular point \( z = a_1 \) and \( u_2, v_2 \) are the series about \( z = a_2 \). If we can find relations of the sort, \( u_1 = \gamma_1 u_2 + \gamma_2 v_2 \), etc., we can then use \( u_1, v_1 \) when we wish to put boundary conditions at \( a_1 \) and \( u_2, v_2 \) for putting conditions at \( a_2 \). We shall have no convergence problems if we
can express each solution at one end in terms of the solutions at the other.

For the simplest sorts of differential equation this joining of the behavior about one singular point to the behavior at another is easy. As long as the solutions are either rational functions (Eq. 5.2.29) or elementary transcendental functions [Eq. (5.2.33)], we know the behavior of the solutions at both ends of the range; the “joining” has already been done for us. For the next more complex equations this joining is not so simple. Equations (5.2.51) and (5.2.60) are examples of such joining equations, but our derivation of these formulas from the series solutions was not rigorous, nor was it even valid for much of the ranges of the parameters. Series solutions, as Stokes put it, “have the advantage of being generally applicable, but are wholly devoid of elegance.” What we should prefer would be to express the solutions in terms of the rational functions or the elementary transcendental in some finite way, which would be just as convergent at one singular point as at another. This can be done for some equations by the use of integrals, instead of series.

The expression

$$\psi(z) = \int K(z, t) v(t) \, dt$$

(5.3.1)

is general enough to express nearly any solution. If the functions $K$ and $v$ turn out to be rational functions or elementary transcendental, then we have a “closed” form which can be used to calculate the solution anywhere on the complex plane. All we need is to determine how we are to find the correct forms for $K$ and $v$ for a given equation.

Some Simple Examples. Our acquaintance with the techniques of contour integration enables us to work out a few simple cases to illustrate the relationship between integral representation and series solution. For instance, since

$$\pi \cot(\pi t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - n} \quad \text{or} \quad \pi \coth(\pi t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - \frac{1}{i^n}}$$

(see page 501), we can utilize the residues of this function to produce a Fourier series. For instance, the integral

$$I(\sigma) = \oint_C \coth(\pi t) F(t) e^{i\sigma t} \, dt$$

can be developed into a series if $F$ is a rational function of $t$ (see page 413) with all its poles to the right of the imaginary axis, which behaves at infinity such that $\Im F(t)$ stays finite or goes to zero as $t \to \infty$. The contour $C$ includes a line just to the right of the imaginary axis, going from $\epsilon - i\infty$ to $\epsilon + i\infty$, and then returns to $-i\infty$ by going along the semicircle of infinite radius inscribed about the negative half of the $t$ plane. Since $\Im F(t)$ does not become infinite at $|t| \to \infty$, the contour integral
about the infinite semicircle is zero [since $\coth(\pi t) \to 1$ when $|t| \to \infty$ if $\Re t > 0$] as long as $\Re z > 0$.

The poles inside the contour are therefore those of $\coth(\pi t)$, which are $\pm in$. Inside the contour we have required that $F$ be analytic everywhere. Along the imaginary axis $F$ has a symmetric and unsymmetric part.

\[
F(t) = s(t) + u(t); \quad s(t) = \frac{1}{2}F(t) + \frac{i}{2}F'(t) = \Re F;
\]

\[
u(t) = \frac{1}{2}F(t) - \frac{i}{2}F'(t) = i \Im (F)
\]

The contour integral is $2\pi i$ times the sum of the residues for all the poles of $\coth(\pi x)$:

\[
\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \coth(\pi x) F(t)e^{zt} \, dt = 4i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \Re F_n \cos(nz) - \Im F_n \sin(nz) \right]
\]

(5.3.2)

where $F_n = F(in)$.

A more immediately useful integral representation can be obtained from the properties of the gamma function $\Gamma(-t)$. The function

\[
\Gamma'(-t) = \frac{-\pi}{\Gamma(t+1) \sin(\pi t)} \xrightarrow{t \to n} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(l-n)\Gamma(l+1)}
\]

\[n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\]

has simple poles at $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. If we include in the integrand a factor $z^n$, and if the whole integrand converges, then the sum of the residues will turn out to be a series in integral powers of $z$. As was shown on page 166, the asymptotic expression for $\Gamma(t+1)$ is

\[
\Gamma(t+1) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-t} t^{t+1}
\]

Therefore if $G(t)$ is such a function that $[G(t)z^n/\Gamma(t+1)] \to 0$ when $t \to \infty$ for $\Re t > 1$, and if all the singularities of $G$ are to the left of the imaginary axis, then the integral

\[
\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} G(t)\Gamma(-t)(-z)^t \, dt = 2\pi i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G(n)z^n/n!
\]

(5.3.3)

where the contour passes to the left of the pole at $t = 0$ and is completed along the semicircle of infinite radius surrounding the positive half of the $t$ plane, going from $+i\infty$ back around to $-i\infty$. Thus we have a fairly direct means of going from a series to an integral. If $G(n)$ is a "closed function" of $n$ (i.e., if the successive coefficients are related by a simple formula such as a two-term recursion formula), then the integrand is a closed form.

The uses of such a formula and the precautions necessary to ensure convergence are well illustrated by applying it to the hypergeometric
series [see Eq. (5.2.44)]

\[ F(a, b | c | z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + n) \Gamma(b + n)}{\Gamma(c + n)n!} z^n \]

It appears that the function \( G \) should be defined by

\[ \frac{\Gamma(c)/2\pi i}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(a + t) \Gamma(b + t)}{\Gamma(c + t)} \]

if this function should have its poles to the left of the imaginary axis and if \( Ge^{i\theta}/\Gamma(t + 1) \sin(\pi t) \) converges. Using the asymptotic formula for the gamma function, we find that, if \( t = Re^{i\theta} = R \cos \theta + iR \sin \theta \), for \( R \) large enough

\[ \frac{\Gamma(a + t) \Gamma(b + t)}{\Gamma(c + t) \Gamma(t + 1)} \sim e^{\frac{\pi^2}{4} + \frac{1}{4} i\pi + \frac{1}{4} i^4} e^{R \cos \theta + iR \sin \theta} \]

Also if \( z = re^{i\phi} \) and \( (-z)^t = r e^{i\phi - i\pi} = e^{i(\pi + \phi)} \)

\[ (-z)^t = \exp[2i \phi \cos \theta + (\pi - \phi) \sin \theta] \]

and

\[ \frac{1}{\sin(\pi t)} = \begin{cases} 2i \exp[i\pi R \cos \theta - \pi R \sin \theta], & 0 < \theta < \pi \\ 2i \exp[i\pi R \cos \theta + \pi R \sin \theta], & 0 > \theta > -\pi \end{cases} \]

Therefore, discarding the imaginary exponentials by taking the magnitude of the integrand

\[ \left| \frac{\Gamma(a + 1) \Gamma(b + t)}{\Gamma(c + t) \Gamma(t + 1)} (-z)^t \frac{1}{\sin(\pi t)} \right| \sim 2 \begin{cases} \frac{R}{c} e^{2c-1} \frac{1}{c \Gamma(2c)} \Gamma(\phi \sin \theta), & 0 < \theta < (\pi/2) \\ e^{i\pi \phi \sin \theta}, & 0 > \theta > -(\pi/2) \end{cases} \]

Therefore as long as the magnitude of \( z \) is less than unity (i.e., \( \ln r < 0 \)) and the argument of \( z \) larger than zero and less than \( 2\pi (0 < \phi < 2\pi) \), the integrand will vanish along the semi-circle part of the contour \( |R| \to \infty, -\pi/2 < \theta < (\pi/2) \). As long as these conditions hold, an integral representation for the hypergeometric series is

\[ F(a, b | c | z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + t) \Gamma(b + t)}{\Gamma(c + t) 2\pi i} (-z)^t dt \quad (5.3.4) \]

if we can draw the contour between \(-i\infty\) and \(+i\infty\) so that all the poles of \( \Gamma(-t) \) are to the right and the poles of \( \Gamma(a + t) \Gamma(b + t) \) are to the left. Figure (5.5) shows that this may be done, even if \( \text{Re } a \) and \( \text{Re } b \) are negative, as long as neither \( a \) nor \( b \) are negative integers. If either \( a \) or \( b \) is a negative integer, Eq. (5.2.44) shows that \( F \) is a finite polynomial, not an infinite series (it also indicates that \( c \) should not be a negative integer).

This integral representation does not seem to be a useful or elegant result; in fact at first sight it appears less elegant than the expression for
the series. It is not difficult to show, however, that the possibilities for rearranging the contour without changing the value of the integral make for considerable flexibility in expanding the result, just the flexibility needed to relate the expansion about one singularity to expansions about another singularity.

For instance, further examination of the asymptotic behavior of the integrand of Eq. (5.3.4) shows that it goes to zero when \( R \to \infty \) for the range \( (\pi/2) < \theta < (3\pi/2) \), \( (t = Re^\theta) \), i.e., for the infinite semicircle enclosing the left-hand part of the \( t \) plane. Therefore the contour enclosing all the poles of \( \Gamma(-t) \) (which results in the hypergeometric series above) is equal to the contour enclosing all the poles of \( \Gamma(a + t) \Gamma(b + t) \). Using the equations \( \Gamma(a + t) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(1 - a - t) \sin \pi(a + t)} \), etc., and evaluating residues at the pairs of poles, we have

\[
\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(c)} F(a,b;c|x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n) \Gamma(1-c+a+n)}{\Gamma(1+n) \Gamma(1+a-b+n)} \cdot \frac{\sin \pi(c-a-n)}{\cos(n\pi) \sin \pi(b-a-n)} (-z)^{-a-n} \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(b+n) \Gamma(1-c+b+n)}{\Gamma(1+n) \Gamma(1-a+b+n)} \frac{\sin \pi(c-b-n)}{\cos(n\pi) \sin \pi(a-b-n)} (-z)^{-b-n} \\
= \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(c-a)} (-z)^{-a} F \left( a, 1 - c + a; 1 - b + a; \frac{1}{z} \right) \\
+ \frac{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(c-b)} (-z)^{-b} F \left( b, 1 - c + b; 1 - a + b; \frac{1}{z} \right) \quad (5.3.5)
\]

If \(|a - b|\) is an integer or zero, one of these series "blows up," for the second solution should contain a logarithmic term.
The series on the left-hand side converges when \(|z| < 1\), whereas the series on the right converges when \(|z| > 1\). Strictly speaking, the two series should not be equated; what we should say is that the integral representation given in Eq. (5.3.4) has a series expansion in terms of \(F(a,b|c;z)\) which is valid for \(|z| < 1\); it also has another series expansion, given by the right-hand side of Eq. (5.3.5), which is valid for \(|z| > 1\). The integral representation has validity for all (or nearly all) values of \(z\) and can be considered as the "real solution" of Eq. (5.2.42). The series expansions can be considered as partial representations of this "real solution," valid over limited ranges of \(z\). By means of the integral representation we can perform the analytic continuation of the solution from one region of convergence around one singularity to another region of convergence about another.

Therefore we might call the integral on the right-hand side of Eq. (5.3.4) the hypergeometric function, \(F(a,b|c;z)\), one solution of Eq. (5.2.42). When \(|z| < 1\), we can compute this function by using the series representation given in Eq. (5.2.44), the hypergeometric series in \(z\). For other ranges of \(z\) the hypergeometric function can be computed by using hypergeometric series in \(1/z\), as given in Eq. (5.3.5), or in \((1 - z)\), as given later, and so on. The function itself, exhibiting different facets from different points of view, is the integral representation.

**General Equations for the Integrand.** The characteristics exhibited by the representation for the hypergeometric function are typical of integral representations in general. They make analytic continuation of a solution almost a tautology. When such a representation can be found, it is usually not difficult to fit boundary conditions at both ends of a range of \(z\). When a representation cannot be found, such fitting is difficult and "untidy."

The methods used to obtain the integral representations of the previous subsection were far from straightforward; what is needed is a technique of proceeding directly from the differential equation to the form for the integrand. We shall first use the form given in Eq. (5.3.1), with a kernel \(K(z,t)\) and a modulation factor \(\nu(t)\). We choose a form for \(K\) which seems appropriate and then find out what differential equation \(\nu\) satisfies. If this equation is simple enough (enough simpler than the equation for \(\psi\), then a closed form for \(\nu\) can be obtained and then the integral representation is manageable.

The differential equation to be solved is a second-order equation, with the independent variable transformed so that the coefficients are algebraic. Rather than using the form given in Eq. (5.2.1), it is better to clear of fractions, using the form

\[
\mathcal{L}(\psi) - f(z) \frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + g(z) \frac{d\psi}{dz} + h(z)\psi = 0 \tag{5.3.6}
\]
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where \( f, u, \) and \( h \) are then polynomials in \( z \). When the differential operator \( \mathfrak{L} \) is applied to the integral form of Eq. (5.3.1), the operator can be taken inside the integral, to operate on the \( z \) part of the kernel \( K \),

\[
\mathfrak{L}\left( \int K u \, dt \right) = \int \mathfrak{L}(K(z,t))u(t) \, dt
\]

if the integral is a reasonably convergent one. Operation by \( \mathfrak{L} \) on \( K(z,t) \) produces another function of \( z \) and \( t \). If we have picked a satisfactory form for \( K \), this new function of \( z \) and \( t \) is also equal to some operator function of \( t \), operating on \( K(z,t) \).

\[
\mathfrak{L}_z(K) = \mathfrak{M}_t(K)
\]

[In some cases it is sufficient to have \( \mathfrak{L}_z(K) \) equal to an operator \( \mathfrak{M}_t \), operating on some other kernel \( K'(z,t) \).]

It is this equivalence between an operator in \( z \) and an operator in \( t \), when operating on the kernel \( K \), which at the same time makes possible the calculation of \( v(t) \) and also severely limits the choice of forms for \( K(z,t) \). Not many functions of \( z \) and \( t \) possess a simple reciprocal relation such as that exhibited by the exponential kernel \( e^{zt} \) [used in Eq. (5.3.2)]

\[
(d/dz)e^{zt} = te^{zt}; \quad ze^{zt} = (d/dt)e^{zt}
\]

where a differential operator involving derivatives in \( z \) and powers of \( z \) is transformed into an operator involving corresponding powers of \( t \) and derivatives in \( t \). Nevertheless a number of other kernels have been found useful in various cases: \( z^p \) (used in Eq. 5.3.3), \( (z - t)^p \), various functions of the product \( (zt) \), etc. In many cases several different kernels can be used, giving rise to several alternative integral representations of the same solution. Which kernel is likely to produce the most useful representation depends on the relation between the singularities of the kernel and the singular points of the differential equation. For instance, for the hypergeometric equation, with three regular singular points, one might expect a kernel of the form \( (z - t)^p \) to be better than \( e^{zt} \), which has an essential singularity at infinity. On the other hand, the confluent hypergeometric equation, having an irregular singular point at infinity, would appear to be suitable for the kernel \( e^{zt} \).

But let us return to the immediate subject, which is the setting up of an equation for \( v(t) \). We have reached the point where the integrand consists of a function of \( t \) multiplied by a differential operator \( \mathfrak{M}_t \) operating on the \( t \) part of the kernel \( K(z,t) \). Referring to Eq. (5.2.10), we see that we can next transform this to \( K \) times the adjoint (see page 527) differential operator \( \mathfrak{M}_t \) operating on \( v \), plus the derivative of a bilinear concomitant \( \mathcal{P} \). Symbolically it goes as follows:
Ordinary Differential Equations

\( \mathcal{L}_a(y) = f(z) \frac{d^2 y}{dz^2} + g(z) \frac{dy}{dz} + h(z)y = 0; \quad y = \int \mathcal{K}(z,\xi) \psi(\xi) \, d\xi \)

\( \mathcal{L}_a(y) = \int \mathcal{L}_a(K) \, v \, dt = \int \mathcal{M}_a(K) \, v \, dt \)

\[ = \int \left[ K \mathcal{M}_a(v) + \frac{d}{dt} P(v, K) \right] \, dt = \int K(z, t) \mathcal{M}_a(v) \, dt + \left[ P(v, K) \right] \]

where, if \( \mathcal{M}(K) = \alpha(t)(d^2 K/dt^2) + \beta(t)(dK/dt) + \gamma(t)K \), the adjoint operator is

\[ \mathcal{M}(v) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\alpha v) - \frac{d}{dt} (\beta v) + \gamma v \]

and the corresponding bilinear concomitant is

\[ P(v, K) = \alpha v \frac{d}{dt} K - K \frac{d}{dt} (\alpha v) + \beta v K \]

If now the limits of integration and the contour along which the integral is taken are such that \( P \) returns to its initial value at the end of the contour, the integral of \( dP/dt \) is zero and

\[ \mathcal{L}_a(y) = \int K \mathcal{M}_a(v) \, dt \]

Therefore if \( v(t) \) is a solution of the differential equation \( \mathcal{M}_a(v) = 0 \), the integral \( y = \int K v \, dt \) is a solution of the differential equation \( \mathcal{L}_a(y) = 0 \), which is the equation we wish to solve. If we have been lucky in our choice of kernel \( K \), the equation \( \mathcal{M}_a(v) = 0 \) will be simpler than \( \mathcal{L}_a(y) = 0 \) and \( v \) will be a simple function of \( t \). Usually there are several different possible integration paths and limits, any one of which makes \( \int (dP/dt) \, dt = 0 \). These different integrals correspond to different independent solutions of \( \mathcal{L}_a(y) = 0 \).

From another point of view, what we are doing here is investigating integral transforms, of the sort of the Fourier transform

\[ f(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\mu) e^{i\omega \mu} \, d\mu; \quad F(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v) e^{-i\omega v} \, dv \]

discussed in Sec. 4.8. We transform from function \( \psi(z) \) to function \( v(t) \) by means of the kernel \( K(z, t) \),

\[ \psi(z) = \int v(t) K(z, t) \, dt \]

and try to find a type of transformation for which \( v \), the transform, is a simpler function than \( \psi \).

For instance, when the kernel is \( e^{zt} \) the relation is called the Laplace transform. This can be quickly obtained from the Fourier transform
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above; by setting \( \mu = -\mu, \nu = z, F(-\mu) = -\mu(t), \) and \( f(\nu) = \psi(z) \), we have

\[
\psi(z) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} v(t) e^{zt} \, dt; \quad v(t) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(z) e^{-zt} \, dz \tag{5.3.8}
\]

And when the kernel is \( z \), the relation is called the Mellin transform. It also can be obtained from the Fourier transform by setting \( \mu = -i\mu, \nu = \ln z, f(\ln z) = \psi(z), \) and \( F(-i\mu) = -\mu(t), \) giving

\[
\psi(z) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} v(t) z^t \, dt; \quad v(t) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(z) z^{-t-1} \, dz \tag{5.3.9}
\]

Still other transforms can be devised, not all of them as closely related to the Fourier transform as these two. The transform \( \int_{0}^{\infty} v(t) (z - t)^\mu \, dt, \) for instance, is called the Euler transform (see also Sec. 4.8).

The rest of this section will be devoted to the study of a number of examples of integral representations, both to illustrate the techniques of obtaining such solutions and the methods of using the results and also with an aim to make more familiar certain functions which will be generally useful later in this volume. Two types of kernel will be studied in considerable detail:

\( (z - t)^\mu; \) the Euler transform
\( e^{zt}; \) the Laplace transform

Other types of transforms, of less general utility, will be discussed in less detail.

The Euler Transform. As mentioned previously, we should expect that the kernel \( (z - t)^\mu \) would be a satisfactory representation for solutions of equations having only regular singular points, such as the Papperitz equation (5.2.36) or the corresponding canonical form, the hypergeometric equation (5.2.22). This restriction of the form of equation for which the Euler transform is applicable evidences itself in a somewhat unfamiliar way when we come to apply the differential operator \( \mathcal{L} \) to the kernel \( (z - t)^\mu. \)

The form for \( \mathcal{L} \) is that given in Eq. (5.3.6), the form in which the hypergeometric equation (5.2.22) is already given. When \( \mathcal{L} \) is applied to the kernel \( (z - t)^{\mu+1}, \) there results a complicated algebraic function of \( z \) and \( t. \)

\[
\mathcal{L}_1((z - t)^\mu) = \mu(\mu - 1)f(z)(z - t)^{\mu-2} + \mu\phi(z)(z - t)^{\mu-1} + h(z)(z - t)^{\mu}
\]

This is now to be converted into some differential operator \( \mathfrak{M}_k, \) operating on some power of \( z - t. \) The form of \( \mathfrak{M} \) could be worked out painfully by dividing out \( (z - t)^{\mu-2}, \) expanding the rest in a bilinear form of \( z \) and \( t, \) and then trying to work out the form for \( \mathfrak{M} \) which would give the result. A more orderly procedure results when we expand the functions
\( f, g, h \) in a Taylor's series about \( z = t \) (since \( f, g, \) and \( h \) are polynomials in \( z \) this can always be done). For instance, \( f(z) = f(t) + (z - t)f'(t) + \frac{1}{2}(z - t)^2f''(t) + \ldots \), and so on. The result is

\[
\mathcal{L}_\mu((z - t)^\mu) = \mu(\mu - 1)f(t)(z - t)^{\mu - 2} + (\mu(\mu - 1)f'(t) + \mu g(t))(z - t)^{\mu - 1} + (\mu(\mu - 1)f''(t) + \mu g'(t) + h(t))(z - t)^\mu + \ldots
\]

where the fourth term in the series involves the third derivative of \( f \), the second derivative of \( g \), the first derivative of \( h \), and so on.

In order to have this form represent a second-order operator, operating on \((z - t)^\mu\), we must arrange that the fourth and all higher terms in this series be zero. There are many ways of adjusting the functions \( f, g, h \) so that this is so, but the simplest way (and sufficient for our needs here) is to require that all derivatives of \( f \) higher than the second, of \( g \) higher than the first, and of \( h \) higher than the zeroth be zero. In other words, if \( f(z) \) is a second-order polynomial in \( z \), \( g(z) \) a first-order polynomial, and \( h(z) \) is a constant, then the expression above will have only the three terms which are written out; all higher terms will vanish.

We see that this automatically restricts us to an equation with three regular singular points; for \( f \) being a quadratic function, will have two zeros, and when the equation is written in the form of Eq. (5.2.1)

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} \frac{d \psi}{dz} + \frac{h(z)}{f(z)} \psi = 0
\]

we can soon verify that, in general, there are three regular singular points: two at the roots of \( f(z) = 0 \), and one at infinity. The hypergeometric equation is of just this form (as, of course, it must be). Referring to Eq. (5.2.42) we see that \( f = z(z - 1) \), \( g = [(a + b + 1)z - c] \), and \( h = ab \).

But having gone this far, we can go further toward simplifying the equation, for we have the liberty of choosing the value of \( \mu \). The coefficient of \((z - t)^\mu\) is now independent of \( z \) and \( t \), for \( f'', g', \) and \( h \) are constants. Consequently if we set

\[
\frac{1}{2}\mu(\mu - 1)f'' + \mu g' + h = 0
\]

we obtain two solutions for \( \mu \), either of which can be used in the integral representation.

The differential form \( \mathfrak{R}_t \) is then

\[
\mathfrak{R}_t = \alpha(t) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \beta(t) \frac{d}{dt} + \gamma
\]

where \( \alpha = f(t) ; \quad \beta = (\mu - 1)f''(t) + g(t) ; \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}\mu(\mu - 1)f'' + \mu g' + h \)

\[ (5.3.11) \]
and the adjoint equation and the bilinear concomitant are

\[
\mathfrak{A}v = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [f(t)v] + \frac{d}{dt} [\beta(t)v] + \gamma v = 0
\]

\[
P(v, K) = f^2 \frac{d}{dt} (z - t) - (z - t)^r \frac{d}{dt} (fv) - \beta v(z - t)^r = -\mu v(z - t)^{r-1} - (\mu f'v + f'v + \gamma) (z - t)^r
\]

(5.3.12)

If \( \mu \) is adjusted so that Eq. (5.3.10) holds, then \( \gamma = 0 \) and the equation for \( v \) can quickly be solved:

\[
y = fv; \quad \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = -\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\beta}{f} y \right); \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = -\frac{\beta}{f} y; \quad \ln y = -\int \frac{\beta}{f} dt + \ln A
\]

or

\[
v(t) = A \frac{\beta}{f} \exp \left\{ -\int \left[ (\mu - 1) \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{\beta}{f} \right] dt \right\} = Af^{-\mu} \int (\omega(t)) \psi
\]

and

\[
P(v, K) = -\mu f(t)v(z - t)^{r-1}; \quad \psi = \int (z - t)^{r} v(t) dt
\]

(5.3.13)

where the integral for \( \psi \) is such that \( P \) has the same value at the beginning and end of the path of integration.

We note that it is possible to make the differential equation, which is to be solved by the Euler transform, have four regular singular points, one at infinity, by letting \( f \) be a third-order polynomial, \( g \) a second-, and \( h \) a first-order polynomial and then arranging that that coefficient of \( (z - t)^{r+1} \) in the series for \( L_r(z - t)^r \) be zero:

\[
\frac{1}{\mu} (\mu - 1) f'' + \frac{1}{\mu} g' + h' = 0
\]

Since \( f'', g' \), and \( h' \) are constants, this equation can serve to determine \( \mu \), instead of Eq. (5.3.8), and we shall then have to solve Eq. (5.3.10) for \( v \), when \( \gamma \) is not zero. The fly in the ointment is that in this case the equation \( \mathfrak{A}v \) is just as complicated as equation \( L_r(\psi) \), having just as many singular points. Consequently the Euler transform does not save us any work, as it does in the case of the equation with three regular points, when \( \gamma \) can be set equal to zero. The solution \( v \) does not have a simple form of the sort given in Eq. (5.3.11); it still must be expanded in an infinite series.

We can therefore say that the Euler transform is of especial utility for equations of the Papperitz form [Eq. (5.2.36)] and, in particular, for the hypergeometric function and its special cases, the Legendre functions [Eq. (5.2.47)] and the Gegenbauer functions [Eq. (5.2.52)].

**Euler Transform for the Hypergeometric Function.** The hypergeometric equation

\[
L_r(\psi) = (z^2 - 1)\psi'' + [(a + b + 1)z - c]\psi' + ab\psi = 0
\]

lends itself to solution by means of the Euler transform. The expressions for the coefficients in the operator \( \mathfrak{A}v \), are

\[
\alpha = f(t) = t(t - 1); \quad \beta = (a + b + 2\mu - 1)t - (c + \mu - 1);
\]

\[
\gamma = \mu(\mu - 1) + \mu(a + b + 1) + ab
\]
and the roots of the equation \( v = 0 \) are \( \mu = -a, \mu = -b \). We will choose \( \mu = -a \); substitution in Eq. (5.3.13) [for \( y = (a + b + 1)x - c \)] results in

\[
v = A t^e (t - 1)^e Z^{-1}; \quad P = a A t^{e+1} (t - 1)^e Z^{-1}(z - t)^{-1}
\]

Therefore a solution of the hypergeometric equation is

\[
\psi = A \int (t - z)^{-e} (t - 1)^e Z^{-1} dt
\]

taken between limits and over a contour which makes the corresponding integral of \( dP/dt \) equal to zero. The integrand in this case has branch points (unless \( a, b, \) and \( c \) are integers) at \( t = 0, 1, z, \) and \( \infty \). If \( P \) vanishes at two of these, we can make these the limits of integration; otherwise we can make the integral over a closed contour such that \( P \) returns to its original value at the end of the circuit. For instance, if \( c > b > 0 \), \( P \) goes to zero at \( t = 1 \) and \( t = \infty \).

To obtain a power series expansion for the integral to compare with the hypergeometric series, we expand \((t - z)^{-e}\) in the integrand

\[
(t - z)^{-e} = t^{-e} \left[ \frac{2}{e} \left( \frac{2}{t} \right) + \frac{a(a + 1)}{2!} \left( \frac{2}{t} \right)^2 + \cdots \right]
\]

and utilize the formula [see Eq. (4.5.54)]

\[
\frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m + n)} = \int_0^1 x^m (1 - x)^{n-1} dx = \int_1^\infty t^{-n} (t - 1)^{m-1} dt
\]

Comparison with the definition of the hypergeometric series given in Eq. (5.2.44) shows that

\[
F(a,b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - b)} \int_1^\infty (t - z)^{-c} (t - 1)^{c-b-1} dt \quad (5.3.14)
\]

which is valid as long as \( \text{Re} \, c > \text{Re} \, b > 0 \) and as long as \( z \) is not a real number larger than unity. For cases where \( \text{Re} \, b \leq 0 \), we can interchange \( a \) and \( b \) in this formula and obtain another, equally valid representation, since \( F(a,b|c|z) = F(b,a|c|z) \).

The integral of Eq. (5.3.14) can then be considered to be the "real" hypergeometric function, from which one obtains series expansions about any desired point. It is interesting to note that this integral representation has a very different appearance from the one given in Eq. (5.3.4), which is equally valid. The difference is only superficial, however, for there is a close connection between gamma functions and the Euler transform, as will be indicated in some of the problems.

An interesting extension of this formula is the expression for one of the solutions of the Papperitz equation (5.2.36), corresponding to Eq. (5.2.46). Setting \( t = [(u - a)(b - c)/(a - c)(b - a)] \) (where \( a, b, \)
and $c$ are now the positions of the singular points, not the indices as above) we have
\[
\left(\frac{z-a}{z-c}b-c\right)^{\lambda} \left(\frac{z-b}{z-c}a-c\right)^{\lambda'}
\cdot {}_F^P \left(\lambda + \mu + \nu, \lambda + \mu + \nu'; \lambda - \lambda' + 1; \frac{z-a}{z-c}b-c\right) = 
\frac{(-1)^{\mu'}\Gamma(\lambda - \lambda' + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu + \nu')\Gamma(\lambda + \mu' + \nu)} \cdot (z-a)^{\lambda}(x-b)^{\lambda}(x-c)^{\lambda}(a-c)^{\lambda}(c-b)^{\lambda}(b-a)^{\lambda'}
\cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} (u-z)^{\lambda'-\lambda-x}(u-a)^{\lambda'-\lambda-x}(u-b)^{\lambda'-\lambda-x}(u-c)^{\lambda'-\lambda-x}(b-a)^{\lambda'-\lambda-x} \, du \quad (5.3.15)
\]
where $\lambda + \lambda' + \mu + \nu + \nu' = 1$. This has a suggestive symmetry with respect to singular points and to indices. From it, by setting $a, b, c$

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{fig5_6}
\caption{Limiting contour for obtaining joining relations for hypergeometric function. Integrals is real at point $A$ when $z$ is real.}
\end{figure}

equal to 0, 1, $\infty$ in different orders, one can write out a whole series of useful integral representations for different solutions of the hypergeometric equation about each of the singular points.

The integral of Eq. (5.3.14) may be modified and manipulated to give a large number of useful and interesting relationships. For instance, Eq. (5.2.49) joining the solution about $z = 0$ with the solutions about $z = 1$ can be derived in a much more satisfactory manner than was given on page 546, with different restrictions from these which seemed to limit the validity of the result there. We start with a contour integral
\[
\mathcal{F}(z-t)^{-\mu-\nu}(1-t)^{-\lambda-\nu} \, dt = 0
\]
where the contour is the one shown in Fig. 5.6, carefully omitting all the singularities of the integrand. We make the integrand as shown real at the point $A$, for $t$ on the real axis a little smaller than $z$ but larger
than zero (we assume here that \( z \) is real, which is not necessary but makes the arrangements somewhat less complicated to describe; all that really is necessary is that \( z \) not lie on that portion of the real axis between \(+1\) and \(+\infty\)).

Now if \( \Re b \leq \Re c \leq 1 + \Re a \leq 2 \), we can use the methods described on page 410 to show that the equation above is equivalent to

\[
\sin(\pi u) \int_1^\infty (t - z)^{-a} e^{-c(1 - t)^{c - b - 1}} \, dt \\
- \sin \pi(a + b - c) \int_1^\infty (t - z)^{-a} e^{-c(1 - t)^{c - b - 1}} \, dt \\
- \sin \pi(a - c) \int_0^\infty (z - t)^{-a} e^{-(1 - t)^{c - b - 1}} \, dt = 0
\]

We now make use of the formula \( \Gamma(z) \Gamma(1 - z) = \pi / \sin(\pi z) \) to convert

\[
\frac{\sin \pi(c - a)}{\Gamma(c - a - b) \Gamma(c - a) \Gamma(c - a + 1)} \text{ and } \frac{\sin \pi(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a + b - c + 1) \Gamma(a - c + 1) \Gamma(1 - a)}
\]

into \( \frac{\Gamma(c - a - b) \Gamma(c + a + b - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a) \Gamma(a - c) \Gamma(1 - a)} \). In the first integral we set \( t = (w + z - 1)/w \), and in the third integral we set \( t = 1 - w \); this eventually gives us

\[
\int_1^\infty (t - z)^{-a} e^{-c(1 - t)^{c - b - 1}} \, dt = \frac{\Gamma(c - a - b) \Gamma(c + a + b - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a) \Gamma(a - c) \Gamma(1 - a)} \\
\cdot \int_1^\infty (u - 1 + z)^{-a} w^{a - b - 1}(u - 1)^{a - b} \, du \\
+ \frac{\Gamma(a + b - c) \Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(1 - a)} (1 - z)^{c - a - b} \\
\cdot \int_1^\infty (w - 1 + z)^{a - b - 1}(w - 1)^{-a} \, dw
\]

which can quickly be converted into Eq. (5.2.49) by using Eq. (5.3.14) in reverse. In this case our restrictions are that \( \Re b \leq \Re c \leq 1 + \Re a \leq 2 \). Since the result is obtained by rearrangement of contours of integration and substitution of gamma functions and other finite procedures, it has, perhaps, a more dependable "feel" to it than does the juggling with infinite series required in the earlier derivation.

Between the two derivations and by use of analytic continuation, we can extend this joining formula to cover a still wider range of \( a, b, \) and \( c \). For instance, our previous derivation is valid for \( \Re b > \Re c \) and \( \Re a \), whereas the present one is valid for \( \Re b \leq \Re c \) so that, between the two, the relation is valid over the whole range of \( b \) for which the functions are analytic. As long as \( z \) is not equal to unity, the function \( F(a, b, c|z) \) is an analytic function of \( a, b, \) and \( c \), except for \( a \) and \( b = \infty \) and for \( c \) zero or a negative integer. The right-hand side of Eq. (5.2.49) is an analytic function of \( a, b, \) and \( c \) except for \( c \) zero or a negative integer.
or for \( a + b - c \) a positive or negative integer or zero. For any one of these parameters we can find a region for which one or the other of the restrictions on the derivation of Eq. (5.2.19) is complied with and which at the same time overlaps the wider range over which \( F \) is analytic. Consequently we can extend the equation, by analytic continuation, eventually to cover the whole range of values of \( a, b, \) and \( c \) for which the left- and right-hand sides are analytic. The other joining formula (5.3.5) may likewise be extended. Between the two, it is possible to express any solution of the hypergeometric function around one of the three singular points in terms of the solutions about any of the other two points. Thus we have completely solved the problem of the joining factors, mentioned on page 577, for the case of equations with three regular singular points.

**Analytic Continuation of the Hypergeometric Series.** Another set of formulas, useful in later calculations, may be obtained by further contractions of the integral expression (5.3.14). Let \( t - 1/u \) and \( w - 1 - u \),

\[
F(a, b; c | z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b)} \int_0^1 u^{b-1}(1 - u)^{c-b-1}(1 - uz)^{-a} \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(c)(1 - z)^{-a}}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b)} \int_0^1 w^{b-1}(1 - w)^{c-b-1} \left(1 - \frac{wz}{z - 1}\right)^{-a} \, dw
\]

\[
= (1 - z)^{-a} F\left(a, c - b; c \mid \frac{z}{z - 1}\right)
\] (5.3.16)

Finally, by applying this equation to the right-hand side of Eq. (5.2.50), we can obtain the further specialized relation

\[
F\left(a, b \mid \frac{a + b + 1}{2} \left| \frac{1 - z}{2}\right.\right) = (z/c) F\left(a + 1, b + 1 \mid c + 1 \mid z\right) - F(a + 1, b \mid c \mid z)
\] (5.3.17)

The integral representation for \( F \) can also be used to derive various recurrence relations between contiguous functions. For instance, since

\[
(z - t)^{-a} \left(t - 1\right)^{-b-1} = (z - t)^{-a} (t - 1)^{-b-1}
\]

\[
- \left(z - t\right)^{-a} \left(t - 1\right)^{-b-1}
\]

therefore

\[-F(a, b; c | z) = (bz/c) F(a + 1, b + 1 | c + 1 | z) - F(a + 1, b | c | z)\]

Also, since

\[
(d/dz)(t - z)^{-a} - (t - 1)^{-b-1} = a(t - z)^{-a} (t - 1)^{-b-1}
\]

we have

\[
\frac{d}{dz} F(a, b; c | z) = \frac{ab}{c} F(a + 1, b + 1 | c + 1 | z)
\]

both of which, of course, can be easily obtained by manipulation of the hypergeometric series.

Before we finish our discussion of the hypergeometric function, it would be well to verify a statement made on page 581 that the different independent solutions for a differential equation may be obtained by
changing the allowed limits of integration of the integral representation without changing the form of the integrand. In the case of the hypergeometric equation, the second solution about \( z = 0 \) is, according to Eq. (5.2.45),

\[
y_2 = z^{1-c} F(b - c + 1, a - c + 1; 2 - c; z)
\]

when the first solution is \( F(a, b | c | z) \). Use of Eq. (5.3.14) to obtain an integral representation for the new \( F \) gives us

\[
A y_2 = \frac{A \Gamma(2 - c)}{\Gamma(a - c + 1) \Gamma(1 - a)} \int_1^w (u - z)^{c-b-1} w^{b-1}(u - 1)^{-c} \, du
\]

which has a different integrand from the one for the first solution given in Eq. (5.3.14). However, by letting \( u = z/t \) and

\[
A = \frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(a - c + 1) \Gamma(1 - a)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b) \Gamma(2 - c)}
\]

we finally obtain an integral representation for the second solution

\[
A y_2 = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b)} \int_0^z (z - t)^{-b-c}(1 - t)^{c-b-1} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(1 - a)}{\Gamma(c - b) \Gamma(2 - c)} z^{1-c} F(b - c + 1, a - c + 1; 2 - c; z)
\]

(5.3.18)

which is valid for \( \text{Re} \, c < \text{Re} \, a + 1 < 2 \). This formula for the second solution differs from the formula (5.3.14) for the first solution only in the difference in limits of integration, thus verifying our earlier statement, at least as far as the hypergeometric equation goes.

Changing \( 2 - c \) to \( c, b - c + 1 \) to \( a, \) and \( a - c + 1 \) to \( b \) we find that

\[
F(a, b | c | z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b)} \int_0^z (z - t)^{c-b-1} t^{1-c-(1-t)} \, dt
\]

(5.3.19)

thus obtaining still another representation for the first solution, for \( \text{Re} \, c > \text{Re} \, b > 0 \) as before. This integral can be changed into a contour integral about 0 and \( z \) if we can arrange the contour so as to return the integral to its initial value at the end of the circuit. This requires a double circuit, as shown in Fig. (5.7), going around both points once in each direction. Denoting the integral in Eq. (5.3.19) by \( J \), we have

\[
\oint_c (t - z)^{-b-1} \Gamma(1 - t)^{-c} \, dt = e^{i\pi b} [e^{i\pi(c-b)} - e^{i\pi} + e^{-i\pi(c-b)} - e^{-i\pi}] J
\]

\[= 4 e^{i\pi b} \sin(\pi b) \sin(\pi(c-b)) J = \frac{4 \pi^2 e^{i\pi b}}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b) \Gamma(1 - b) \Gamma(1 + b - c)}
\]

Therefore a contour integral for \( F \) is

\[
F(a, b | c | z) = \frac{e^{i\pi b} z^{1-c}}{4 \pi z^c} \Gamma(c) \Gamma(1 + b - c) \Gamma(1 - b)
\]

\[
\cdot \oint_c (t - z)^{c-b-1} \Gamma(1 - t)^{-c} \, dt
\]

(5.3.20)
This equation may now be extended by analytic continuation to the whole range for \( a, b, \text{ and } c \) except that which makes the gamma functions go to infinity.

Finally, by making the substitution \( t = (u - z)/(1 - u) \) in Eq. (5.3.19) we can obtain

\[
F(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)z^{1-c}(1 - z)^{c-a-b}}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \cdot \int_0^1 (z - u)^{b-1}u^{c-b-1}(1 - u)^{c-a}du \tag{5.3.21}
\]

which may also be converted into a contour integral similar to Eq. (5.3.20).

We have therefore found integral representations for both solutions of the hypergeometric equation which are valid over rather wide ranges of values of the parameters \( a, b, \text{ and } c \). We could obtain other representations which are valid over other ranges by juggling the interrelations between \( F \)'s. This is usually not necessary, however, for the integral representations are chiefly useful in obtaining other formulas, such as recursion relations, series expansions, and the like. Once these formulas are obtained by using the integral representations, they can be extended for other ranges of the parameters beyond the range of validity of the representation, by analytic continuation, if need be and if the formulas themselves allow it.

Rather than go into further detail in the properties of the general hypergeometric function, it will be more useful to study some of the special cases of particular interest later in this book.

**Legendre Functions.** The Gegenbauer functions, defined on page 547, represent a specialization of the hypergeometric function, since there are only two parameters, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), instead of the three, \( a, b, \text{ and } c \). However, these functions include the great majority of the functions of hypergeometric type actually encountered in mathematical physics, so it is important to discuss their special properties in some detail. The equation is

\[
(z^2 - 1)\psi'' + 2(\beta + 1)z\psi' - \alpha(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)\psi = 0 \tag{5.3.22}
\]

having three regular singular points, at \( z = 1, \pm 1, \text{ and } \infty \), with indices \( (0, -\beta), (0, +\beta), \) and \( (-\alpha, \alpha + 2\beta + 1) \), respectively.

It is interesting and useful to note that direct differentiation of the equation yields

\[
(z^2 - 1)\psi'' + 2(\beta + 2)z\psi' - (\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 2\beta + 2)\psi' = 0
\]
which shows that, if $T_\alpha^\beta(z)$ is a solution of Eq. (5.3.22), $T_{\alpha+1}^\beta(z)$ is a solution of the equation directly above. This indicates that solutions for integral values of $\beta$ can be obtained from the functions $T_\alpha^\beta(z)$ by differentiation. We also see that, if $T_\alpha^\beta(z)$ is a solution of Eq. (5.3.22), $T_{-\alpha-2\beta+1}^\beta(z)$ is likewise a solution of the same equation.

The equation for $T_\alpha^\beta(z)$,

$$(z^2 - 1)\psi'' + 2z\psi' - \alpha(\alpha + 1)\psi = 0$$

is called Legendre’s equation. If $\alpha$ is a positive integer, it can be obtained from the equation

$$(z^2 - 1)(dV/dz) - 2\alpha V = 0$$

where $V = (z^2 - 1)^\alpha$, by differentiation $(n + 1)$ times, the final equation having $d^nV/dz^n$ for $\psi$. Therefore one solution of Legendre’s equation is proportional to $d^n(z^2 - 1)^\alpha/dz^n$ when $\alpha$ is a positive integer.

With these simple properties pointed out, let us use the machinery of the present section to determine solutions of Eq. (5.3.22) for all values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and expansions for these functions about the three singular points.

Reverting to page 386 for the general procedure in setting up integral representations for equations with three regular singular points, we set down, for this equation, $f(t) = (t^2 - 1)$, $g(t) = 2(\beta + 1)t$, $h = -\alpha(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)$. The equation corresponding to (5.3.10), determining $\mu$, is

$$\mu^2 + (2\beta + 1)\mu - \alpha(\alpha + 2\beta + 1) = 0$$

so that $\mu = \alpha$ or $-\alpha - 2\beta - 1$. From Eq. (5.3.13) we then have the two alternative integral expressions for the solution and for the bilinear concomitant:

$$
\psi = A \int \frac{(z - t)\alpha}{(z^2 - 1)^{\alpha+2\beta+1}} \, dt; \quad P = -\alpha \frac{(z - t)^{\alpha-\beta}}{(z^2 - 1)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}; \quad \mu = \alpha
$$

$$
\psi = B \int \frac{(t^2 - 1)\alpha}{(z - t)^{\alpha+2\beta+1}} \, dt; \quad P = (\alpha + 2\beta + 1) \frac{(t^2 - 1)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{(z - t)^{\alpha+2\beta+1}}; \quad \mu = -\alpha - 2\beta - 1
$$

as is usual with such representations, one integral can be changed into the other by suitable transformation of the integration variable and the limits of integration. We might as well consider that the real parts of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are positive to start with, for we can obtain the negative cases by analytic continuation later. When $\text{Re} \alpha$ and $\text{Re} \beta > 0$, proper limits for a line integral for the first alternative are $l = z$ and $l = \alpha$, or the integral can be a contour integral about $-1, +1$ and $z$ in the proper order so that $P$ returns to its original value after the circuit.

Starting with the case $\beta = 0$, we wish first to find the solution which is proportional to the $\alpha$th derivative of $(z^2 - 1)^\alpha$ when $\alpha$ is an integer.
Referring to Eq. (4.3.1) we see that a contour integral, using the second form, enclosing the point \( t = z \) and (for instance) the point \( t = 1 \), is the simplest means. We accordingly set

\[
T^n_\alpha(z) = P_\alpha(z) = A \oint_{\text{C}} \frac{(t^2 - 1)^{\alpha}}{n!(t - z)^{\alpha + 1}} \, dt = \frac{2\pi i A}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (z^2 - 1)^{\alpha}
\]

when \( \alpha = n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where the contour goes counterclockwise about both \( t = 1 \) and \( t = z \), as shown in Fig. 5.8. The integrand is supposed to be real at point \( A \) when \( z \) is on the real axis between \( 1 \) and \( -1 \). We note that for \( z \) on the real axis to the left of \(-1\) the integral has a different value (when \( \alpha \) is not an integer), depending on whether the contour is passed above or below the point \( t = -1 \); therefore we make a cut along the negative real axis from \(-1\) to \(-\infty\) to keep the function \( T^n_\alpha(z) \) single-valued.

For \(-1 < \text{Re}\ \alpha < 0\) we can change the contour integral into a line integral from \( t = z \) to \( t = 1 \). Then by letting \( t = 1 - 2u \) and by use of Eq. (5.3.19), we obtain a series expansion for \( P_\alpha \):

\[
T^n_\alpha(z) = -2\pi i \sin(\pi\alpha) \int_z^1 (t - z)^{-\alpha} (1 - t)^{\alpha}(1 + t)^{\alpha} \, dt = \frac{2\pi i A 2^n}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^{1/2} \left( \frac{1 - z}{2} - u \right)^{-1} u^{\alpha - 1} (1 - u)^{\alpha} \, du = 2\pi i \sin(\pi\alpha) \Gamma(-\alpha) F(-\alpha\alpha + 1|1|(1 - z)/2)
\]

which final result may then be extended by analytic continuation to all ranges of \( \alpha \) for which the hypergeometric series is analytic. Since it is convenient to have \( T^n_\alpha(1) = 1 \), we set \( A = 1/2^{\alpha+1}\pi i \), so that

\[
P_\alpha(z) = T^n_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+1}\pi i} \oint_{\text{C}} \frac{(t^2 - 1)^{\alpha}}{n!(t - z)^{\alpha + 1}} \, dt = F\left(-\alpha\alpha + 1|1|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{2}\right)
\]

which can be taken to be the fundamental definition of the Legendre function \( P_\alpha(z) \). We note, because of the symmetry of \( F \), that \( P_{-\alpha-1}(z) = P_\alpha(z) \).
This formula also allows us to calculate the behavior of \( P_\alpha(z) \) for very large values of \( z \). Referring to Eq. (5.3.17),

\[
P_\alpha(z) = F\left(-\alpha, \alpha + 1\bigg| \frac{1 - z}{2}\right) = z^\alpha F\left(-\alpha, 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \bigg| \frac{1 - 1}{2}\right) \tag{5.3.24}
\]

By using Eq. (5.2.49) and the equations \( \Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \pi / \sin(\pi x) \) and \( \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2x) = 2^{2x-1} \Gamma(x) \Gamma(x + \frac{1}{2}) \), we finally arrive at

\[
P_\alpha(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (2z)^\alpha F\left(-\alpha, \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}, 1, -\frac{2\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \bigg| \frac{1 - z^2}{2}\right) + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{3}{2})} (2z)^{\alpha + 1} F \left(2 + \alpha, 1 + \alpha, \frac{2\alpha + 3}{2}, 2 \bigg| \frac{1 - z^2}{2}\right) \tag{5.3.25}
\]

so that, when \( \alpha \) is positive, \( P_\alpha \propto z^\alpha \) when \( z \) is very large.

\[\text{Fig. 5.9 Contour } E \text{ for integral representation of Legendre function of first kind.}\]

Another useful integral representation can be obtained from Eq. (5.3.24) by setting \( u - \frac{1}{2}(i^t - i) / (i - \varepsilon) \) or \( i = u + \sqrt{u^2 - 2uz + 1} \), where \( t \) is real for \( z \) and \( u \) real, \( |z| < 1 \) and \( u > 1 \). The transformed integral is

\[
P_\alpha(z) = T_\alpha^u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_E \frac{u^\alpha du}{\sqrt{1 - 2uz + u^2}}
\]

where the contour \( E \) is the one shown in Fig. 5.9; the part \( A \), corresponding to the circuit about \( t = 1 \) going into a circuit about \( u = 0 \), and the part \( B \), which was the circuit about \( t = z \) going into a circuit a very large distance about \( u = 0 \), outside \( u = 1 \) or \( u = z \). The two zeros of \( \sqrt{1 - 2uz + u^2} \) are at \( u = z \pm \sqrt{z^2 - 1} \) (the points \( P \) and \( P' \) in Fig. 5.9), so the contour reduces to the one marked \( E \), about these two points.

Since \( P_\alpha(z) = P_{-\alpha-1}(z) \), we also have

\[
P_\alpha(z) = T_\alpha^u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_E \frac{u^{-\alpha-1} du}{\sqrt{u^2 - 2iz + 1}} \tag{5.3.26}
\]
When \( \alpha \) is a positive integer, the integral about the large circle \( B \) goes to zero and \( E \) reduces to the circle \( A \) about \( u = 0 \), so by Eq. (4.3.1)

\[
P_n(z) = \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \frac{d^n}{du^n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2 - 2uz + 1}} \right]_{u=0}
\]

and, by use of Taylor's series (4.3.3), we have

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2hz + h^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h^n P_n(z)
\]

(5.3.27)

where \(|h|\) must be smaller than the distance from the origin to the points \( P \) or \( P' \) of Fig. 5.9 and \(|z| < 1\). Also, by use of the Laurent series and the integral with \( w^n \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2hz + h^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h^{n+1}} P_n(z)
\]

where \(|h|\) must be larger than either \(|z \pm \sqrt{z^2 - 1}|\) or \(|z| < 1\). Therefore we obtain the useful general formula

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1^2 - 2r_1r_2 \cos \theta + r_2^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r_2^n}{r_1^{n+1}} \right) P_n(\cos \theta); \quad r_2 < r_1
\]

(5.3.28)

Legendre Functions of the Second Kind. The second solution of Legendre's equation must be found by using a different contour for the integral expression (5.3.24). We cannot simply use the second solution of the hypergeometric functions given in Eq. (5.3.18), for with \( \epsilon = 1 \), \( y_2 = y_1 \). In the present case, since the bilinear concomitant for (5.3.24) goes to zero at \( t = \pm 1 \), we can use the integral

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t)^n (z - t)^{-\alpha-1} dt
\]

to form a second solution. Consequently we define the function

\[
Q_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+i}} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t)^n (z - t)^{\alpha+i} dt
\]

(5.3.29)

as the Legendre function of the second kind. For this form \( \text{Re} \ \alpha > -1 \) and \( z \) cannot be a real number between \(-1 \) and \(+1\).

For negative values of \( \alpha (\text{Re} \ \alpha < -1) \), we must take a contour integral about \(+1\) and \(-1\). In order to bring the bilinear concomitant back to its original value, we make it a figure-eight contour, going around \( t = -1 \) in a positive direction and around \( t = +1 \) in a negative direction.
Hence, working out the details

\[ Q_\alpha(z) = \frac{-1}{2^{\alpha+1} \sin(\pi\alpha)} \oint_C \frac{(t^2 - 1)^\alpha}{(z - t)^{\alpha+1}} dt \]

unless \( \alpha \) is an integer or zero. The remaining case, \( \alpha \) a negative integer, can be taken care of by setting \( Q_{-\alpha}(z) = Q_{\alpha-1}(z) \), which is allowable according to our discussion on page 595. Both integral formulas make it clear that, in order that \( Q \) be single-valued, a cut must be made between \( z = +1 \) and \( z = -1 \).

When \( \alpha \) is a positive integer or zero, Eq. (5.3.29) may be integrated directly, giving

\[ Q_0(z) = \frac{1}{2} \ln [(z + 1)/(z - 1)]; \quad Q_1(z) = \frac{1}{2} \ln [(z + 1)/(z - 1)] - 1; \quad \text{etc.} \]

Even when \( \alpha \) is not an integer, \( Q_\alpha(z) \) has logarithmic singularities at \( z = \pm 1 \). For large values of \( z \), we can develop a series in powers of \( 1/z \) as follows:

\[ Q_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{(2z)^{\alpha+1}} \int_{-1}^{1} dt \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + m + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) m!} \left( \frac{1}{z^m} \right)(1 - t^2)^m \right\} \]

The integrals for \( m \) odd are zero, so that we can set \( u = t^2 \) and obtain

\[ Q_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{(2z)^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2n + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(2n + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{z^{2n}} \right) \int_{0}^{1} u^{n-1} (1 - u)^\alpha du; \quad m = 2n \]

Using Eq. 4.5.54 for evaluating the integrals and using the formula \( \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2z) = 2^{z-1} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2}) \) several times, we finally arrive at an expression for \( Q_\alpha \) which is useful for large values of \( z \).

\[ Q_\alpha(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(2^{\alpha+1} \pi)^{\alpha/2}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 3/2)} F \left( \frac{2 + \alpha}{2}, \frac{1 + \alpha}{2}, 1 + \alpha; \left| \frac{3}{2} \right| \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \]  

(5.3.30)

as long as \( \alpha \) is not a negative integer (in which case \( Q_{-\alpha} = Q_{\alpha+1} \), a special case). We see, therefore, that \( Q_\alpha(z) \rightarrow 0 \) whenever \( \text{Re} \alpha > -1 \).

Compare this with the corresponding Eq. (5.3.25) for \( P_\alpha(z) \).

There are several interesting interrelations between the Legendre functions of the first and second kind. One may be obtained by manipulating the contour for \( P_\alpha(-z) \) as shown in Fig. 5.10. We first set \( t = -u \) in the contour integral for \( P_\alpha(-z) \) and then change the contours as shown:

\[ P_\alpha(-z) = -\frac{1}{2^\alpha \pi^{\alpha+1}} \oint_C \frac{(u^2 - 1)^\alpha}{(z - u)^{\alpha+1}} du \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2^\alpha \pi^{\alpha+1}} \oint_C \frac{(u^2 - 1)^\alpha}{(z - u)^{\alpha+1}} du - \frac{1}{2^\alpha \pi^{\alpha+1}} \oint_C \frac{(u^2 - 1)^\alpha}{(z - u)^{\alpha+1}} du \]
§5.3] Integral Representations

But the contour $C$ is just that for the function $Q_\alpha$ and contour $B$ is that for $P_\alpha$. In addition $(z - u)$ in the second integral must be changed to $(u - z)$ in order to compare with that in Eq. (5.3.24). If $\text{Im } z > 0$, as shown in the figure, $(z - u) = e^{i\pi}(u - z)$; if $\text{Im } z < 0$, then $(z - u) = e^{-i\pi}(u - z)$. Therefore we obtain

$$P_\alpha(-z) = -[2 \sin(\pi \alpha)/\pi] Q_\alpha(z) + e^{i\pi \alpha} P_\alpha(z) \quad (5.3.31)$$

with the negative exponential used when $\text{Im } z > 0$ and the positive when $\text{Im } z < 0$. This equation shows the nature of the singularity of $P_\alpha(z)$.

Unless $\alpha$ is an integer or zero, $P_\alpha(z)$ (which is unity at $z = 1$) has a logarithmic singularity at $z = -1$; if $n$ is an integer, $P_{-n}(1) = (-1)^n P_n(1) - (-1)^n$.

This formula also allows us to obtain an expression for $Q_{-\alpha-1}$ in terms of $Q_\alpha$ and $P_\alpha$ for any values of $\alpha$. Since for all $\alpha$ we have $P_{\alpha}(z) = P_{-\alpha-1}(z)$, the above equation can be rearranged to obtain

$$Q_{-\alpha-1}(z) = Q_\alpha(z) - \pi \cot(\pi \alpha) P_\alpha(z) \quad (5.3.32)$$

which is valid for all but integer values of $\alpha$. For $\alpha = n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, we simply use the formula $Q_{\alpha}(z) = Q_{n}(z)$.

Since $P$ and $Q$ are independent solutions, their Wronskian $P_\alpha Q'_\alpha - P'_\alpha Q_\alpha$ should not be zero. Referring to Eq. (5.2.23), since $p = 2z/(z^2 - 1) = d \ln (z^2 - 1)/dz$, we obtain

$$\Delta(P_\alpha, Q_\alpha) = C/(z^2 - 1)$$

where the constant $C$ can be obtained by calculating the value for some specific value of $z$. We choose the point at infinity, where we can use Eqs. (5.2.25) and (5.2.30). Since, for $z$ very large,

$$P_\alpha(z) \to 2\pi \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})z^{\alpha}/\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)$$

and

$$Q_\alpha(z) \to 2\pi \Gamma(\alpha + 1)/2\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})z^{-\alpha-1}$$

we calculate that

$$[P_\alpha(z)Q'_\alpha(z) - P'_\alpha(z)Q_\alpha(z)] \to (-\alpha - 1 - \alpha)/((2\alpha + 1)z^2); \quad z \to \infty$$

so that $C = -1$ and we have, for all values of $z$,

$$\Delta(P_\alpha, Q_\alpha) = [P_\alpha(z)Q'_\alpha(z) - Q_\alpha(z)P'_\alpha(z)] = 1/(1 - z^2) \quad (5.3.33)$$

Consequently, from Eq. (5.2.1),

$$Q_\alpha(z) = P_\alpha(z) \int_z^\infty \frac{du}{[P_\alpha(u)]^2} (u^2 - 1)$$
Finally, we can utilize the integral representations (5.3.21) or (5.3.29) for $P$ or $Q$ to obtain recurrence formulas for the Legendre functions. Let $\rho_{\alpha}(z)$ be $P_\alpha(z)$ or $Q_\alpha(z)$ or any linear combination of these two functions (when the coefficients of $P$ and $Q$ are independent of $\alpha$). Then

$$p_\alpha(z) = \frac{K}{2\pi} \int \frac{(t^2 - 1)^\alpha}{(t - z)^{\alpha + 1}} \, dt$$

where the integral is over any of a number of allowed contours, depending on the linear combination involved. In any case the contour is such that $\int dt(t^2 - 1)^{\alpha + 1}(t - z)^{-\alpha - 1}$ is zero. Therefore

$$0 = \frac{K}{2^{\alpha + 1}} \int \frac{dt}{dt} \left( \frac{(i^2 - 1)^{\alpha - 1}}{(t - z)^{\alpha + 1}} \right) \, dt$$

$$= \frac{K(\alpha + 1)}{2^{\alpha + 1}} \int \left( \frac{2(t^2 - 1)^\alpha}{(t - z)^{\alpha + 1}} - \frac{(t^2 - 1)^{\alpha + 1}}{(t - z)^{\alpha + 2}} \right) \, dt$$

$$= \frac{K(\alpha + 1)}{2\pi} \int \frac{(t^2 - 1)^\alpha}{(t - z)^\alpha} \, dt + \frac{K(\alpha + 1)}{2\pi} \int \frac{(t^2 - 1)^\alpha}{(t - z)^{\alpha + 1}} \, dt$$

Differentiating by $z$ and dividing out by $(\alpha + 1)$, we have

$$p_{\alpha + 1}(z) - z p'_\alpha(z) = (\alpha + 1) p_\alpha(z)$$

where the prime, as usual, indicates differentiation by $z$.

For another equation, we expand $\int dt(t^2 - 1)^{\alpha}/(t - z)^{\alpha + 1}$, obtaining

$$(\alpha + 1)p_{\alpha + 1}(z) - (2\alpha + 1)z p_\alpha(z) + \alpha z p_{\alpha - 1}(z) = 0$$

From these, by combination and differentiation, we obtain

$$p_{\alpha + 1}(z) - p_{\alpha - 1}(z) = [(\alpha + 1)p_{\alpha + 1}(z) + \alpha p_{\alpha - 1}(z)]$$

$$p'_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha}/(2\alpha + 1)\left[(\alpha + 1)p_{\alpha + 1}(z) + \alpha p_{\alpha - 1}(z)\right]$$

$$p''_\alpha(z) = \frac{\alpha}{(2\alpha + 1)}[z p_\alpha(z) - p_{\alpha - 1}(z)]$$

where $p_\alpha$ is $P_\alpha$ or $Q_\alpha$ or a linear combination of both. Finally, using Eq. (5.3.33), we have another relation between $P$ and $Q$:

$$\alpha[P_\alpha(z)Q_{\alpha - 1}(z) - P_{\alpha - 1}(z)Q_\alpha(z)] = 1$$

Gegenbauer Polynomials. It is now fairly easy to extend our calculations to the more general functions defined in Eqs. (5.2.52) et seq. We could use, as primary solution, either the function $T_\nu(z)$, which is finite when $\alpha$ is an integer, or the function $(1 - z^2)^{1/2}P_\nu^{(a, b)}$, which simplifies when $\beta$ is an integer (the two functions are equal when both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are integers). We prefer to make the first choice, since we are more often interested in the case $\alpha$ an integer $\beta$ not an integer than we are in the
Inverse case. We accordingly define (see Eq. 5.3.21)

$$T_n^\alpha(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^n \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + 1)} F(\alpha + 2\beta + 1, -\alpha| 1 + \beta| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}z)$$

$$= \frac{e^{i\pi(\alpha+\beta)} \Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^n \pi \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1) \sin(\pi(\alpha + \beta))] \int_C \frac{(t^2 - 1)^{\alpha+\beta}}{(t - z)^{\alpha+\beta + 1}} \, dt}$$  (5.3.35)

where the contour C is the one given in Fig. 5.7, going around t = 1 and t = \mu in both positive and negative directions. When \alpha is an integer, the integral may be changed into the differential form given in Eq. (5.2.53).

On the other hand, if we had preferred the other solution, we could define

$$(1 - z^2)^{-\alpha} P_{n+\alpha}(z) = \binom{\alpha}{-\alpha} (-1)^{-\alpha} F(-\alpha - \beta, \alpha + \beta + 1| 1 - \beta| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}z)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-i\pi(\alpha+\beta)} \Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^{n+\alpha+\beta} \pi \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1) \sin(\pi(\alpha + \beta))] \int_C \frac{(t^2 - 1)^{\alpha+\beta}}{(t - z)^{\alpha+\beta + 1}} \, dt}$$  (5.3.36)

which, when \beta is a positive integer m, is equal to \(T_n^\alpha(z)\), which, in this case, equals the nth derivative of the Legendre function \(P_{n+m}(z)\). When both \alpha and \beta are integers,

$$T_n^\alpha(z) = (1 - z^2)^{-\alpha} P_{n+\alpha}(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} T_{m+n}(z)$$

$$- \frac{(n + 2m)!}{2^{n+2m}!(n + m)!} (z^2 - 1)^m d^n \frac{1}{dz^n} T_{m+n}(z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n+m}[(n + m)!]^{1/2}} (z^2 - 1)^{n+m}$$  (5.3.37)

as indicated in Eq. (5.2.53). These polynomials are called associated Legendre polynomials.

From the symmetry of the hypergeometric functions we have

$$T_{n-\alpha-1}^B(z) = \left[ \frac{\sin(\pi(\alpha + 2\beta))}{\sin(\pi\alpha)} \right] T_{n-\alpha-1}^\alpha(z); \quad P_{n-\alpha-1}^B(z) = P_{n-\alpha-1}^{\alpha-\beta+1}(z)$$

and for \beta = m, an integer, we use the relation \(F(a,b|c|w) = (1 - w)^a \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \···
An analogous set of formulas to Eqs. (5.3.26) and (5.3.27) may also
be found, showing that the generating function for the Gegenbauer
polynomials is

\[ \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}} (\beta + \frac{1}{2})}{(1 + h^2)^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h^n T_n^\beta (z); \quad |h| < 1 \]  

(5.3.39)

By using Eq. (5.3.5) and the relation

\[ F\left(\alpha, \beta, 2h \left| \frac{2}{z - 1}\right.\right) = \left(\frac{z - 1}{z}\right)^\alpha F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \beta + \frac{1}{2}, b + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{z^2}\right.\right) \]

which is closely related to Eq. (5.3.17), we obtain the expansion for these
solutions for large values of \( z \):

\[ T_n^\beta (z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1) \sin(\pi \alpha) \, z^{-\alpha-2\beta-1}}{\sqrt{\pi} \, 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \cos(\pi(\alpha + \beta)) \, \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{2})} \]
\[ \cdot F\left(\frac{\alpha + 2\beta + 1}{2}, \frac{\alpha + 2\beta + 2}{2} \left| \alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{1}{z^2}\right.\right.\right) \]
\[ \quad + \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \, \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \, z^{\alpha+\beta} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \alpha, \frac{1}{2} \beta - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left| \frac{1}{2} \beta - \alpha \left| \frac{1}{2}\right.\right.\right) \]  

(5.3.10)

\[ (1 - z^2)^{-1} \binom{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} (z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1) \sin(\pi(\alpha + 2\beta)) \, z^{-\alpha-2\beta-1}}{\sqrt{\pi} \, 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \cos(\pi(\alpha + \beta)) \, \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{2})} \]
\[ \cdot F\left(\frac{\alpha + 2\beta + 1}{2}, \frac{\alpha + 2\beta + 2}{2} \left| \alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{1}{z^2}\right.\right.\right) \]
\[ \quad + \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \, \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \, z^{\alpha+\beta} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \alpha, \frac{1}{2} \beta - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left| \frac{1}{2} \beta - \alpha \left| \frac{1}{2}\right.\right.\right) \]

which shows that both of these solutions go to infinity at \( z \to \infty \) unless
\( 0 > \alpha > -2\beta - 1 \).

If we consider the function \( T_n^\beta (z) \) to be the first solution of Eq. (5.2.51),
we now have collected an embarrassing richness of second solutions.
The function \( T_n^{\alpha, -\beta-1} (z) \) is, of course, proportional to \( T_n^\beta (z) \) and thus
is not independent, but the function variously labeled

\[ (1 - z^2)^{-1} \binom{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} (z) = (z^2 - 1)^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1) \sin(\pi(\alpha + 2\beta))}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \]
\[ \cdot \binom{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} (z) = (z^2 - 1)^{-\alpha-2\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \]
\[ \binom{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} (z) \]

is independent of \( T_n^\beta (z) \) (unless \( \beta \) is an integer) as are likewise \( T_n^\beta (-z) \) and \( (1 - z^2)^{-1} \binom{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} (-z) \). In addition, there are the functions with the
sign of the argument reversed. Equation (5.2.49) can be used to show that

\[ \sin(\pi \beta) \, T_n^\beta (z) = \sin(\pi(\alpha + \beta)) \, T_n^\beta (-z) - \sin(\pi \alpha) \, (1 - z^2)^{-1} \binom{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} (-z) \]
which also shows the special relationships occurring when either \( \beta \) or \( \alpha \) is an integer.

All these functions, however, go to infinity at \( z \to \infty \) (except when \( 0 > \alpha > -2\beta - 1 \)). We shall often prefer to have a second solution which goes to zero as \( z \to \infty \) when \( \alpha > 0 \), as do the \( Q \) functions defined in Eq. (5.3.26). Also we should prefer a solution which stays independent of \( T^\beta_\alpha(z) \) even when \( \beta \) is an integer.

Such a function may be obtained from Eq. (5.3.39). We set

\[
T^\beta_\alpha(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^{\alpha + 2\beta + 1} \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \int_0^1 (t - 1)^{2\alpha + \beta} \frac{t^{2\beta + 1}}{(t - z)^{2\beta + 1}} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^{\alpha + 2\beta + 1} \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1, \frac{1}{2} \Omega(2\beta + 1, 1, \frac{1}{2} \Omega(2\beta + 1, 1))
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^{\alpha + 2\beta + 1} \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \left[ T^\beta_\alpha(z) - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} T^-\beta_\alpha(z) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{2^{\alpha + 2\beta + 1} \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \left[ T^\beta_\alpha(z) - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} T^-\beta_\alpha(z) \right]
\]

The contour for the integral is the figure eight, about \( +1 \) and \( -1 \), which was used for \( Q(z) \). The second line shows that \( T \) goes to zero when \( z \to \infty \) as long as \( \text{Re}(\alpha + 2\beta + 1) > 0 \), as desired. The third line shows the relationship between \( T \) and the two independent \( T \) solutions. The fourth line, to be compared with Eq. (5.3.31), relates the solutions for \(+z\) with those for \(-z\); the upper sign is to be used when \( \text{Im} \ z > 0 \), the lower when \( \text{Im} \ z < 0 \). The last line shows that this second solution is adjusted so that change of sign of superscript \( \beta \) does not produce an independent function, in contrast to \( T^\beta_\alpha \), where Eq. (5.3.38) holds only for \( \beta \) an integer. On the other hand, \( V^\beta_{\alpha-\beta-1} \) is not proportional to \( T^\beta_\alpha \) but

\[
V^\beta_{\alpha-\beta-1}(z) = V^\beta_{\alpha-\beta-1}(z) + [\pi e^{i\pi} \cos(\pi(\alpha + \beta)) T^\beta_\alpha(z)
\]

When \( \beta = m \), an integer, the third relation in Eqs. (5.3.41) goes to a finite limit, but in this case the following, simpler relations hold:

\[
V^m_\alpha(z) - \frac{d}{dz} Q_{\alpha + m}(z); \quad V^m_{\alpha - 2m - 1} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2m + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)(2z^2 - 1)^m} V^m_{\alpha - 2m - 1}
\]

The case of \( \beta = \pm \frac{1}{2} \) is of some interest, both because of the resulting polynomials and also because of the special properties of the hypergeometric functions which are displayed:
\[ T_{a+4}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \cosh \left[ \alpha \cosh^{-1} \frac{z}{\alpha} \right] = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{z^2 - 1}{z^2 + 1}} \left. P_{a+4}'(z) \right|_{z = \alpha} \]

\[ T_{a+4}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \sinh \left[ (\alpha + 1) \cosh^{-1} \frac{z}{\alpha} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{z^2 - 1}} \left. P_{a+4}'(z) \right|_{z = \alpha} \]  

\[ V_{a+4}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \exp \left[ -\alpha \cosh^{-1} \frac{z}{\alpha} \right] = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{z^2 - 1}{z^2 + 1}} V_{a+4}(z) \] 

which, for \( \alpha \) an integer, are proportional to the Chebyshev polynomials.

The **Confluent Hypergeometric Function.** The hypergeometric equation for \( F(a, b + c | z/b) \) is

\[ z \left( 1 - \frac{z}{b} \right) F'' + \left[ c - (a + b + c + 1) \frac{z}{b} \right] F' - \left( 1 + \frac{c}{b} \right) F = 0 \]

This equation has regular singular points at 0, \( b \), and \( \infty \) with indices \( 0, 1 - c \), \( 0, -a - b \), and \( a, b + c \), respectively. If we let \( b \) go to infinity, we shall at the same time merge two singular points (the ones originally at \( b \) and \( \infty \)) and make one index about each of the merged points go to infinity (the index \( -a - b \) at the point \( b \) and the index \( b + c \) at the point \( \infty \)). This double-barreled process is called a **confluence** of singular points; it has been mentioned before on page 537.

The resulting equation

\[ z F'' + (c - z) F' - a F = 0 \]  

is called the **confluent hypergeometric equation** [see Eq. (5.2.57)]. The solution analytic at \( z = 0 \) is given by the limiting form of \( F(a, b + c | z/b) \) when \( b \) goes to infinity;

\[ F(a | z) = 1 + \frac{a}{c} z + \frac{a(a + 1)}{2c(c + 1)} z^2 + \frac{a(a + 1)(a + 2)}{3c(c + 1)(c + 2)} z^3 + \cdots \]  

(5.3.45)

is called the **confluent hypergeometric series**.

To see how the confluence affects the integral representation, we start with a form derivable from Eq. (5.3.14) by changing \( t \) into \( 1/t \):

\[ F(a, b + c | z) = F \left( b + c, a | z \right) \]

\[ \quad = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c - a)} \int_0^1 \left( 1 - \frac{zt}{b} \right)^{-b-c} t^{a-1}(1 - t)^{c-a-1} dt \]

Letting \( b \) go to infinity changes the nature of the kernel \( [1 - (zt/b)]^{-b-c} \) from an algebraic to an exponential function, for

\[ \left[ 1 - \frac{x}{b} \right] \xrightarrow{b \to \infty} e^x \]

The singularity changes from a branch point at \( x = b \) to an essential singularity at \( x = \infty \), and the resulting integral representation for the
confluent hypergeometric function is then

\[ F(a,c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 e^{zt} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1} dt \quad (5.3.46) \]

as long as \( \Re c > \Re a > 0 \). For other ranges of \( a \) and \( c \) we can devise a corresponding contour integral which will be valid except when \( c \) is a negative integer or zero, in which case even the series expansion "blows up." In these cases, which will be discussed later, the solution has a logarithmic branch point at \( z = 0 \).

The second solution of Eq. (5.3.44) may be found by performing the confluence on the second solution of the hypergeometric equation \( z^{1-c} F(a-c+1, b+1; 2-c; \frac{z}{b}) \) or may be found by remembering that \( z^{1-c} f(z) \) is a solution and, by inserting this in Eq. (5.3.44), showing that the equation for \( f \) is also of the form of (5.3.44). In either case the second solution is found to be

\[ z^{1-c} F(a-c+1, b+1; 2-c; z) \]

which is valid unless \( c \) is 2, 3, 4, . . . If \( c = 1 \), this series is convergent but it is identical with the first solution \( F(a|1z) \), so that the above expression does not represent a second solution whenever \( c \) is a positive integer. The second solution in these special cases will be obtained later. There is no need to investigate the case of \( c < 1 \) separately, for if \( c = 2 - c' < 1 \), we can multiply our solutions by \( z^{c' - 1} = z^{1-c} \) and call the original second solution the first solution, and vice versa, and then \( c' \), which is the new \( c \), is greater than 1.

An interesting interrelation, first pointed out in Eq. (5.2.62), may be derived from the integral representation, for since

\[ \int_0^1 e^{zt} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1} dt = e^z \int_0^1 e^{-u} u^{c-a-1} (1 - u)^{a-1} du \]

we must have \( F(a|c|z) = e^z F(c-a|c|z) \). Similarly another form of the second solution is \( z^{1-c} F(1 - a|1; 2 - c - z) \).

The Laplace Transform. But before we go further with a discussion of the solution, we should examine more carefully the new form of the integral representation, for we have now changed from an Euler transform to a Laplace transform, mentioned on page 534. The new kernel is \( e^t \), not \( (t-z)^c \). Referring to page 583, where we discussed integral representations in general, we see that the exponential kernel has certain advantages. For instance, since \( de^t/dz = te^t \) and \( z e^t = de^t/dt \), if our original equation is

\[
0 = \mathcal{L}_t(f) = f \frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + g \frac{d \psi}{dz} + h \psi = \sum_{m,n} A_{mn} z^m \frac{d^m \psi}{dz^m} + \sum_{m} A_{m} z^m; \quad g = \sum_m A_m z^m; \quad h = \sum_m A_m z^m
\]
then the corresponding transformed expression will be
\[ \mathcal{M}(e^z) = \sum_{m,n} A_{mn} t^m \frac{d^n}{dt^n} e^z \]

and the adjoint to this will give the equation
\[ \mathcal{M}^*(v) = \sum_{m,n} (-1)^n A_{nm} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} (t^m v) = 0 \]

If this is a simpler equation to solve than \( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = 0 \), then the Laplace transform will be a suitable means for integral representation.

For instance, if in the original equation \( \mathcal{L}(\psi) = f\psi'' + g\psi' + h\psi = 0 \) the polynomials \( f, g, \) and \( h \) are none higher than the first order in \( z \), then in the differential operator \( \mathcal{M} \) there will be no derivatives in \( t \) higher than the first and \( v(t) \) can be easily found. The most general type of this sort of equation is
\[ (z - \delta)y'' + (2\alpha - \xi)y' + (\mu - \tau)y = 0 \]
where \( \delta \) can be eliminated by proper choice of origin for \( z \). This sort of equation for \( y \) may be obtained from the following equation for \( \psi \), where \( \psi = z^\tau y \):
\[ \psi'' + \left( 2\epsilon - \frac{2\alpha + \xi}{z} \right) \psi' + \left[ \eta - \frac{2\alpha + \xi}{z} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha + \xi + 1)}{z^2} \right] \psi = 0 \]
which is of the general form of Eq. (5.2.55). This equation has a regular singular point at \( z = 0 \) with indices \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha + \xi + 1 \) and an irregular point at \( z = \infty \). The substitution \( y = F \exp \left[ (-\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon - \tau})z \right] \) results in a confluent hypergeometric equation for \( F \). Consequently the confluent hypergeometric equation is particularly amenable to the use of the Laplace transform for its solution.

Other equations, having \( f, g, \) or \( h \) polynomials of higher order than the first, may be solved by a Laplace transform, but the resulting equation for \( v \) will be a second-order (or higher) one, which would have to be particularly simple for its solution to have a closed form.

At any rate we shall commence our study of the Laplace integral representation by applying it to the confluent hypergeometric equation. Referring to the above equation, if we set \( F = \int e^w(t) \, dt \), the expression \( \mathcal{M}(e^z) \) corresponding to Eq. (5.3.44), is
\[ (t^\epsilon - t)(d/dt)e^{\tau} + (ct - a)e^{\tau} \]
and the adjoint equation is
\[ \mathcal{M}^*(v) = (d/dt)(t^\epsilon - t)v + (ct - a)v = 0 \]
with solution and bilinear concomitant
\[ v = A t^{\epsilon-1}(1-t)^{\epsilon-\alpha-1}; \quad P = -A t^{\epsilon}(1-t)^{\epsilon-\alpha} e^{\tau} \]
The possible paths of integration are therefore from 0 to 1 for \( \Re c > \Re a > 0 \), as was given in Eq. (5.3.46), or from \(-\infty\) to 0 for \( \Re a > 0 \) and \( \Re z > 0 \), or else a double contour about 0 and 1 which brings \( P \) back to its original value. Each of these integrals will, of course, represent a different solution. The one representing the confluent hyper-geometric series is that given in Eq. (5.3.46) or by the contour integral

\[
F(a|c|z) = \frac{e^{-ic\theta}}{4\pi^2} \Gamma(c) \Gamma(1 - a) \Gamma(a - c + 1) \oint_C e^{it} (t - 1)^{c-\alpha-1} dt \tag{5.3.47}
\]

where the integrand is real for \( \varepsilon \) real and \( t \) on the real axis to the right of \( t = 1 \) and the contour goes counterclockwise about \( t = 1 \) and \( t = 0 \) and then clockwise about both, as in Fig. 5.7. This representation is valid except for the points where the gamma functions are not analytic (i.e., when \( 1 - c, a, \) or \( a - c \) are negative integers).

**Asymptotic Expansion.** Let us next investigate the behavior of \( F(a|c|z) \) for very large values of \( z \), using Eq. (5.3.46) for the suitable representation. On page 552 we have already discussed the complications inherent in asymptotic expansions about irregular singular points and have indicated that, when the real part of \( z \) is large and positive,

\[
F(a|c|z) \xrightarrow{\Re z \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} z^{c-\alpha} e^z
\]

We have also indicated that this expression is not correct for \( \Re z \) large and negative but that the correct form for this case is probably

\[
F(a|c|z) \xrightarrow{\Re z \to -\infty} \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c - a)} (-z)^{-a}
\]

We must now verify these tentative results and try to understand what properties of the asymptotic expansion are responsible for this curious, indecisive behavior of the function which makes it have one form for \( z \) large and positive and another for \( z \) large and negative.

Referring to Eq. (5.3.46) we see that, if \( z \) is real, positive, and large, the most important part of the integrand is near \( t = 1 \) and that it does not change the integral appreciably if we extend the integration from 0 to \( -\infty \) for \( t \). To be more precise, we can write

\[
F(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c - a)} \cdot \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{1} e^{it} t^{c-\alpha-1} (1 - t)^{c-\alpha-1} dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{it} t^{c-\alpha-1} (1 - t)^{c-\alpha-1} dt \right]
\]

which is valid as long as \( \Re z \) is positive. Setting \( t = 1 - (u/z) \) in the first integral and \( t = -(u/z) \) in the second integral, we have
\[ F(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} \left\{ z^{c-a} \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu \xi} \left( 1 - \frac{\nu}{z} \right)^{a-1} d\nu \right\} + (-z)^{a} \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu \xi} \left( 1 + \frac{\nu}{z} \right)^{a-1} d\nu \right\} \] (5.3.48)

The two integrals are now of the same form and will be shown to be approximately equal to \( \Gamma(c-a) \) and \( \Gamma(a) \), respectively. Therefore the first term, due to the factor \( e^z \), is vastly larger than the second term whenever \( \text{Re} \ z \) is large and positive, and the second term may then be neglected (though we must keep in mind the fact we have neglected it, for it is the essential clue to the indecisive behavior of \( F \) mentioned above).

An adaptation of the argument used on page 376 for the Taylor’s series expansion shows that

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{\nu}{z} \right)^{\alpha-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(\alpha-m)} \left( -\frac{\nu}{z} \right)^m + D_n
\]

where \( D_n \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha-n)} \left| -\frac{\nu}{z} \right|^{n+1} \); when \( \text{Re} \ a > 0 \).

Inserting this in the integral form we have

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-\nu \xi} \left( 1 - \frac{\nu}{z} \right)^{a-1} d\nu
\]

\[
= \Gamma(a) \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(c-a+m)}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(c-a-m)} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \right)^m + R_n
\]

\[
= \Gamma(c-a) \left[ 1 + \frac{(c-a)(1-a) 1}{1!} \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(c-a)(c-a+1)(1-a)(1-a)(2-a) 1}{2!} \frac{1}{z^2} + \cdots \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{(c-a) \cdots (c+n-a-1)(1-a) \cdots (n-a) 1}{z^n} R_n
\]

where \( R_n \leq \frac{|1-a| \cdots |n-a|\Gamma(c+n-a-1) 1}{n!z^{n+1}} \) (5.3.49)

We see that for finite values of \( z \) the series diverges but that the sum of the first \( n \) terms of the series approaches the “true” value as \( n \) is held constant and \( z \) is increased without limit. For instance, for \( z = 10 \) and \( |c-a| < 2 \) and \( |1-a| < 1 \) the first four terms of the series give a value for the integral which is correct to about one-tenth of a per cent whereas the first hundred terms for the same values of \( z \) and the parameters have a value which is far from the correct value. This property is typical of asymptotic series, as discussed on page 434. From the first
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few terms we get a fairly accurate value, but the error can be reduced to zero only when \( z \) is made infinite.

Usually we are interested in values for asymptotic series for values of \( z \) very much larger than unity (\( z > 1000 \), for instance). In this case the first term in the series is sufficient for our purpose. In the present case we can write, therefore, that \( F(a|c|z) \to \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)}|z|^{-a}e^{-z/c} \), for \( z \) real, positive, and large. This corresponds to Eq. (5.2.60). We have not included the term \( \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)}|z|^{-a} \) coming from the second integral because this is, in general, smaller than the error inherent in the first asymptotic series and it thus would be senseless to include it.

The situation is quite different when \( z \) is real, negative, and large. In this case the integrand in Eq. (5.3.46) is largest for \( t \) near zero and the second integral in Eq. (5.3.48) is much larger than the first. In this case, starting from Eq. (5.3.46) and saving only the dominant term, we have (where \( z = -|z| \) and setting \( t = u/|z| \))

\[
F(a|c|z) \to \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u}u^{a-1} \left(1 - \frac{u}{|z|}\right)^{-s-1} du \to \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)}|z|^{-a}
\]

for \( z \) real, negative, and large. This corresponds to Eq. (5.2.63). Here we have omitted the term in \( e^z = e^{-|z|} \) coming from the first integral of Eq. (5.3.48) because it is, in general, smaller than the error inherent in the asymptotic expansion of the second integral.

Stokes' Phenomenon. We should have been able to see, by simple examination of Eq. (5.2.60), that this asymptotic form for \( F \) could not be an accurate expression for all positions of \( z \) along the circle at infinity. If we set \( z = |z|e^{i\phi} \), where \( |z| \) is very large, into the expression \( \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)}|z|^{-a}e^{-z/c} \), we see that the expression does not return to its original value as \( \phi \) is increased from 0 to \( 2\pi \). But since \( F(a|c|z) \) is analytic over the whole finite part of the \( z \) plane, a correct formula for large \( z \) must predict that \( F \) returns to its initial value when \( \phi \) goes from 0 to \( 2\pi \), describing a circle of large radius about the origin. An expression involving \( z^{-a} \), as Eq. (5.2.60) does, cannot be a correct expression for \( F \) for \( |z| \) large for all phase angles \( \phi \) of \( z \). And we have just seen that it is not; for \( z \) real and negative the expression \( \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)}(-z)^{-a} \) is the proper one (and this second expression cannot, in turn, be valid for all \( \phi \)'s, for it has a term \( z^{-a} \) which would produce multivaluedness).

Just to see how this goes in more detail, let us take the case of \( z = |z|e^{i\phi} \) where \( |z| \) is very large. We first take \( \phi \) between zero and \( \pi \) (\( z \) in the upper half plane). The path of integration in Eq. (5.3.46) is then deformed to that shown by the solid lines in Fig. 5.11, going from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = -\infty e^{-i\phi} \) and from thence back to \( t = 1 \). Thus again the integral splits into two integrals. For the first let \( t = -we^{-i\phi}/|z| = we^{-i\phi}/|z| \), and for the second let \( t = 1 - we^{-i\phi}/|z| \), where both \( u \) and \( w \) are real. The integral representation then becomes
\[
\tilde{F}(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c-a)} \left\{ \frac{e^{\phi} - e^{(-\phi)}}{|z|^a} \right\} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-w} e^{-a-1}}{|z|^a} \left( 1 - \frac{w}{z} \right)^{a-1} dw + \frac{\phi}{|z|^a} \int_0^\infty e^{-w} w^{a-1} \left( 1 + \frac{w}{z} \right)^{c-a-1} dw
\]

(5.3.50)

for \(0 < \phi < \pi\). Writing only the dominant terms in the expansions of 
\([1 \pm (w \text{ or } u/z)]\) (i.e., assuming that these quantities are practically equal to 1 over the range of values of \(w\) or \(u\) for which the integrand is not negligible) we have for the asymptotic formula for \(z = |z| e^{i\phi}, |z| \text{ large, 0 < } \phi < \pi\)

\[
F(a|c|z) \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} |z|^{a-c-\phi} e^{-z \phi} + \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)} |z|^{-c-\phi} e^{-z \phi}
\]

Each of these terms has an unavoidable error, inherent in asymptotic series, which is small when \(z\) is large but which is zero only when \(z\) is infinite. When \(\phi\) is zero (\(z\) real and positive), the second term in this expression is smaller than the unavoidable error in the first term (and thus should not be included), and when \(\phi = \pi\)

(z real and negative), the first term is smaller than the unavoidable error in the second (and thus should not be included). When \(\phi = \pi/2\) (\(z\) positive imaginary), both terms are of about equal size and both must be used to get the correct value.

To find the expression for \(0 > \phi > -\pi\) we use the dashed path of integration shown in Fig. 5.11, with \(t = -we^{i\phi}/|z| = we^{i(\phi + \pi)}/|z|\) and \(t = 1 - we^{-i\phi}/|z|\), respectively. Here we have let \(1/\zeta = e^{-i\phi}/|z|\) in the expression for \(w\), instead of the expression \(e^{i(\phi + \pi)}/|z|\) used before, because we want \(w\) to be real and positive when \(t\) is along the dashed line and because we have taken the cut for the integrand from \(t = 0\) to \(t = -\infty\). With this change we find that

\[
F(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} |z|^{\phi-\pi} e^{(c-a)\phi} + \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)} |z|^{-\pi-\phi} e^{(c-a)\phi}
\]

when \(z = |z| e^{i\phi}\) and \(0 > \phi > -\pi\). We note that, as \(\phi\) goes negative from 0 to \(-\pi/2\), the second term emerges from its “eclipse” at \(\phi = 0\), differing from the corresponding term at \(\phi = +\pi/2\) by a factor \(e^{-2\pi i u}\). This sudden change in the second term at \(\phi = 0\) does not require a discontinuity in \(F\) as \(\phi\) goes through zero, for it is just at \(\phi = 0\) that the
second term is smaller than the inherent error in the first term, so any change in the second term does not count.

However, the change is just what is required to make the second term real for both \( \phi = -\pi \) and \( \phi = -\pi \). If the additional factor \( e^{-\pi x} \) had not been included in the expression for the range \( 0 > \phi > -\pi \), the asymptotic formula for \( \phi = -\pi \) would have been \( e^{2\pi y} \) times the formula for \( \phi = +\pi \), which would have been wrong because \( F \) is analytic about \( z = 0 \). In order to maintain this analyticity the two terms in the asymptotic expansion play “hide and seek” with each other; as each goes into “eclipse,” becoming smaller than the error in the other, it changes phase, emerging from obscurity with enough of an additional phase factor to ensure continuity over the range of \( \phi \) where it is large.

This “hide-and-seek” behavior of the terms in an asymptotic expansion is called the Stokes’ phenomenon, after the person who first called attention to it. It must be displayed by the first term at \( \phi = \pi \), where the first term is smaller than the error in the second. For instance, in the range \( \pi < \phi < 2\pi \), in order that \( F \) for \( \phi = 2\pi \) equal \( F \) for \( \phi = 0 \), we must have

\[
F(a|e^z) \to \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} |e^{\pi i(\phi - 2\pi)}| \int_{-z}^{e^z} \frac{\Gamma(c - a)}{\Gamma(c)} |z|^{-\phi} e^{\pi i(\phi - \phi)}
\]

with an additional factor \( e^{2\pi y} \) in the first term. This result, of course, comes out from the asymptotic calculation of the integrals, for in the range \( \pi < \phi < 2\pi \) we must use \( t = 1 - \Omega e^{iy(x - \pi)}/|z| \) for the first integral in order to avoid the cut from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = -\infty \).

Collecting all our formulas, we can write, for \( z = |z|e^{i\phi} \) with \( |z| \) large,

\[
\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{-z}^{e^z} \frac{\Gamma(c - a)}{\Gamma(c - a)} |z|^{-\phi} e^{\pi i(\phi - \phi)}
\]

which shows the Stokes’ phenomenon clearly, each term vanishing in turn as \( \phi \) becomes an integral multiple of \( \pi \), emerging on the other side with just enough phase change to keep the function single-valued as the circuit is made.

**Solutions of the Third Kind.** For fitting boundary conditions for large values of \( z \) it would be more satisfactory to use solutions which tend
either to \( z^{-a} \) or to \( z^{-a}e^{z} \), but not both. Reference to Eq. (5.3.50) shows how this can be done. For \( z = |z|e^{i\phi} \) we define

\[
U_1(c|z) = \frac{e^{z^{-a}}}{\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u_1z^{-a-1}} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{z} \right)^{a-1} \, du \rightarrow z^{-a}e^z; \quad 0 < \phi < 2\pi \tag{5.3.52}
\]

\[
U_2(c|z) = \frac{e^{u_2z^{-a}}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u_2z^{-a-1}} \left( 1 + \frac{u}{z} \right)^{-a} \, du \rightarrow e^{u_2z^{-a}}; \quad -\pi < \phi < \pi
\]

When \( \Re(c-a) > 1 \), the integral for \( U_1 \) is not valid and we must use a contour around the branch point at \( u = 0 \). The contour which
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\[\text{Fig. 5.12 Contour for integral representation of confluent hypergeometric function of the third kind. Integrand is real at A when } z \text{ is real and positive.}\]

is useful is the one shown in Fig. 5.12, with the integrand real at point A when \( z \) is on the negative real axis. The corresponding contour integrals for the \( U_1 \)s are

\[
U_1(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(a - c + 1)}{2\pi i} \int_F e^{u_1z} \frac{e^{u_1z^{-a-1}}}{u_1} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{z} \right)^{a-1} \, du \tag{5.3.53}
\]

\[
U_2(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - a)}{2\pi i} \int_F e^{u_2z} \frac{e^{u_2z^{-a}}}{u_2} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{z} \right)^{-a} \, du
\]

which are valid unless \( c - a \) (or \( a \), respectively) is a positive integer. Since the point \( u = \mp z \) should be outside the contour, we see that the cut for \( U_1 \) is the positive real axis, for \( U_2 \) the negative real axis. This makes the Stokes' phenomenon for the \( U \)s rather different than that for the \( F \)s; it will be discussed on page 615.

These solutions may be called the confluent hypergeometric functions of the third kind. They are just as good solutions of Eq. (5.3.44) as are \( F(a|c|z) \) and \( z^{-c}F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z) \), and any solution may be expressed in their terms. The value of the Wronskian for two independent solutions of Eq. (5.3.44) is [see Eq. (5.2.3)]

\[\Delta = 1e^{i(c-a)\text{d}a} = Ae^{-c\text{d}a}\]

Use of the asymptotic forms fixes the value of \( A \) for the solutions \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) for
\[ U_1 U'_2 - U_2 U'_1 \rightarrow \left[ -u \frac{1}{z} - (u - c) \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right] \frac{e^{\pi i \alpha}}{z} \]

and since this is an asymptotic formula, we must neglect the terms in \(1/\pi\) compared with the \(-1\). Therefore

\[ \Delta(U_1, U'_2) = -e^{-\pi i \alpha} \quad (5.3.54) \]

for all values of \(z\). The usual confluent hypergeometric function can be expressed in terms of them, of course:

\[ F(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} U_1(a|c|z) + \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c - a)} U_2(a|c|z) \quad (5.3.55) \]

for \(0 < \phi < \pi\).

Parenthetically it might be pertinent to point out that we do not have to devise new sorts of forms of solutions to express the independent solutions of the ordinary hypergeometric equation about the other singular points. All three of the singular points were regular, and so each pair of solutions could be expressed in terms of the series \(F(a, b|c|z)\) with different expressions for \(a, b, c,\) and \(z\). In the case of the confluent equation one singular point is regular and the other is irregular, and it is not surprising that the pair of solutions suitable for the irregular point, \(U'_1\) and \(U'_2\), have a different form from the pair suitable for the regular point, \(F(a|c|z)\) and \(z^{-\alpha} F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z)\).

To finish our discussion we should express \(z^{-\alpha} F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z)\) in terms of solutions \(U_1\) and \(U_2\). Using Eq. (5.3.46) and making the same separation as was done for Eq. (5.3.50), we obtain

\[ z^{-\alpha} F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(2 - c)}{\Gamma(a - c + 1) \Gamma(1 - a)} \left( \frac{e^{\pi i (a - c + 1)}}{z^a} \right) \int_0^\infty e^{-u} e^{-e^{-\phi} \left( 1 + \frac{u}{z} \right) - \phi} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int \frac{du}{u} \right) \]

But this should also be a combination of \(U_1\) and \(U_2\). Comparing asymptotic behavior, we see that the first term should be proportional to \(U'_2\) and the second to \(U'_1\). In this way we obtain a new set of integral representations for the \(U'_1\):

\[ U_1 = \frac{e^{\pi i \alpha}}{\Gamma(1 - a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} e^{-a \left( 1 - \frac{u}{z} \right) - \alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int \frac{du}{u} \]

\[ U_2 = \frac{e^{\pi i \alpha}}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} e^{-a \left( 1 + \frac{u}{z} \right) - \alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int \frac{du}{u} \]

and also obtain an expression for \(z^{-\alpha} F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z)\) in terms of \(U_1\) and \(U'_2\), for \(0 < \phi < \pi\).
\[ z^{1-c}F(a - c + 1; 2 - c |z) = \frac{\Gamma(2 - c)}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} U_1(a,c|z) - \frac{\Gamma(2 - c)}{\Gamma(1 - a)} e^{-\imath \pi} U_2(a,c|z) \]  

(5.3.57)

which is valid for the phase angle for \( z \) over the range \( 0 < \phi < \pi \). The Stokes’ phenomenon for this function must be worked out by using the integral representations (5.3.56) for the asymptotic integrals. The results are

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(1 - a) \Gamma(a - c + 1) & \frac{z^{1-c}F(a - c + 1; 2 - c |z)}{\Gamma(2 - c)} \\
& \rightarrow \Gamma(1 - a) \frac{z^{1-c}e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} |z|^{-a}}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)}; \quad \phi = -\pi \\
& \rightarrow \Gamma(1 - a) \frac{z^{1-c}e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} |z|^{-a}}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} + \Gamma(a - c + 1) |z|^{-a} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)}; \quad -\pi < \phi < 0 \\
& \rightarrow \Gamma(1 - a) \frac{z^{1-c}e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} |z|^{-a}}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} + \Gamma(a - c + 1) |z|^{-a} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)}; \quad 0 < \phi < \pi \\
& \rightarrow \Gamma(1 - a) \frac{z^{1-c}e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} |z|^{-a}}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} + \Gamma(a - c + 1) |z|^{-a} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)}; \quad \pi < \phi < 2\pi \\
\end{align*}
\]

and so on. Due to the fact that this second solution is \( z^{1-c} \) times an analytic function, the asymptotic value, which is real for \( \phi = 0 \), must be \( e^{-\imath \pi(1-c)} \) times a real number for \( \phi = -\pi \), must be \( e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} \) times a real number for \( \phi = \pi \), must be \( e^{2\imath \pi(1-c)} \) times a real number for \( \phi = 2\pi \), and so on, and the phase angles generated by the Stokes’ phenomenon produce this result.

For completeness we should now express the solutions of the third kind in terms of the solutions of the first kind. These equations are obtained by solving Eqs. (5.3.55) and (5.3.57) simultaneously. The manipulations are somewhat tedious and involve the use of the formula

\[
\sin(\pi \eta) \Gamma(\xi) \Gamma(1 - \xi) = \pi. \quad \text{For instance, we have}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Gamma(c - a)}{\Gamma(c)} F(a,c|z) + \frac{\Gamma(1 - a)}{\Gamma(2 - a)} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} F(a - c + 1; 2 - c |z) \\
= \left[ \frac{\Gamma(c - a)}{\Gamma(a)} + \frac{\Gamma(1 - a)}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} \right] U_1 = \Gamma(c - a) \Gamma(1 - a) \frac{\sin(\pi \eta)}{\pi} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} U_1
\end{align*}
\]

Finally

\[
\begin{align*}
U_1(a,c|z) &= \frac{\Gamma(1 - a)}{\Gamma(c - a)} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} F(a,c|z) \\
&- \frac{\Gamma(1 - c)}{\Gamma(c - a)} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} F(a - c + 1; 2 - c |z); \quad 0 < \phi < 2\pi \\
U_2(a,c|z) &= \frac{\Gamma(1 - a)}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} F(a,c|z) \\
&+ \frac{\Gamma(1 - c)}{\Gamma(c - a)} e^{\imath \pi(1-c)} F(a - c + 1; 2 - c |z); \quad -\pi < \phi < \pi
\end{align*}
\]

(5.3.58)
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The Stokes' phenomenon for the \(U\)'s (and for the equations relating the \(U\)'s and \(F\)'s) can be obtained from these equations, by using the schedules for the phase changes of \(F\) and \(z^{\phi}F\). If \(U_1, U_2\) are to be the solutions which are asymptotically proportional to \(z^{\phi}e^{\pm z}\) and \(z^{-\phi}\), respectively, for all values of the phase angle \(\phi\), and if Eqs. (5.3.58) are supposed to define \(U_1\) and \(U_2\) for \(0 < \phi < \pi\), then one can devise rules governing the phase angles in Eqs. (5.3.55), (5.3.57), and (5.3.58) and for the \(U\)'s. For instance, for \(-2\pi < \phi < 0\),

\[
U_1 = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(1 - a)} e^{\phi(a - \epsilon)} F(a|\epsilon|z) - \frac{\Gamma(1 - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(1 - a)} e^{\phi(a - 2\epsilon)} z^{1-\epsilon} F(a - \epsilon + 1|2 - \epsilon|z)
\rightarrow e^{2\phi(a - \epsilon)z^{\phi} - \epsilon z^a}
\]

(Since there is a cut for \(U_1\) along the positive real axis, to go from plus to minus values of \(\phi\) we must go from \(0 + \epsilon\) to \(2\pi - \epsilon\).) A similar jump occurs for \(U_2\) at \(\phi = \pi\) or \(-\pi\).

The Solution of the Second Kind. So far we have avoided the question of what to do about the solution independent of \(F(a|\epsilon|z)\) when \(\epsilon\) is a negative integer. When \(\epsilon = n + \epsilon\), where \(n\) is a positive integer greater than 1 and \(\epsilon\) vanishes, it turns out that the first \(n - 1\) terms of the series for \(z^{\phi}F(a - \epsilon + 1|2 - \epsilon|z)\) stay finite but the remaining terms have a factor \(1/(-\epsilon)\) and so go to infinity. The obvious gambit of multiplying through by \(\epsilon\) before going to the limit does not help, for, as we shall see, the limiting form for \((n - \epsilon)z^{\phi}F(a - \epsilon + 1|2 - \epsilon|z)\), when \(c \rightarrow n\), turns out to be proportional to the first solution \(F(a|\epsilon|z)\) and is therefore not an independent solution. This dilemma is also displayed in Eq. (5.3.57), which has a factor \(\Gamma(2 - \epsilon)\), going to infinity when \(c \rightarrow 2, 3, 4, \ldots\).

The solutions \(U_1\) and \(U_2\) do not become infinite in this way, as the asymptotic expressions show; therefore we should be able to construct another solution out of the \(U\)'s. A fairly simple way to do this would be to reverse the sign of one of the terms in Eq. (5.3.55), which gives \(F(a|\epsilon|z)\) in terms of the \(U\)'s.

We therefore define the confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind by the equation

\[
G(a|\epsilon|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} U_1(a|\epsilon|z) - \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c - a)} U_2(a|\epsilon|z) \quad (5.3.59)
\]

for \(0 < \phi < \pi\). This function is independent of \(F\), as the asymptotic expression shows. It is a useful form, for it produces simple formulas for the \(U\)'s in terms of \(F\) and \(G\); for instance, \(U_1 = [\Gamma(a)/\Gamma(c)] [F + G]\) and \(U_2 = [\Gamma(c - a)/\Gamma(c)] [F - G]\). It also stays finite when \(\epsilon\) is an integer, as will shortly be shown, though in these cases it turns out to have a logarithmic singularity at \(z = 0\). But this is just what is to be expected, for when \(\epsilon\) is an integer, the indicies of the confluent hypergeometric equation at \(z = 0\) differ by an integer and, as we have seen
on pages 533 and 543, we should expect the second solution to have a logarithmic singularity.

To obtain an expansion of $G$ about $z = 0$, we express the $U$'s in Eq. (5.3.59) in terms of $F$ and $z^{1-c}F$, by using Eqs. (5.3.58). After manipulating the gamma functions we finally obtain

$$G(a|c|z) = e^{\mu a} \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(1 - c)}{\Gamma(1 - a)} \left(e^{-\mu a} \frac{\sin \pi (a - c)}{\sin \pi a} \right) F(a'|c|z) \right. $$

$$\left. \quad - 2 \frac{\Gamma(c - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a)} z^{1-c} F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z) \right] \quad (5.3.60)$$

This does not look too promising, for now both terms in the braces go to infinity as $c \to n$, an integer. However, as we mentioned previously, the two solutions equal each other when $c = n$, and the coefficients of the expression above are so designed that the infinite parts just cancel out, leaving a finite expression which is proportional to the differential of $F(a|c|z)$ with respect to $c$. This derivative of $F$ with respect to the parameters is a solution of Eq. (5.3.51) when $c$ is an integer, and it is just the second solution we are seeking.

It now behoves us to prove the statements we have been making and actually to compute the expansion of this solution of the second kind about $z = 0$. First, we have to prove that $z^{1-c}F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z)$ is proportional to $F(a|c|z)$ when $c \to n$, an integer. This is simple when $c \to 1$, for $z^{1-c}F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z) \to F(a|1|z)$ when $c \to 1$. Therefore [since $\Gamma(c - 1) \to 1/(c - 1)$ and $\Gamma(1 - c) \to 1/(1 - c)$]

$$G(a|1|z) = e^{\mu a} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(a)} \lim_{c \to 1} \left\{ - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - a)(c - 1)} \cdot \left[ \left(e^{-\mu a} \frac{\sin \pi (a - c)}{\sin \pi a} \right) F(a|c|z) + 2F(a|1|z) \right] \right.$$

$$\left. \quad - \frac{2}{(c - 1)} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(c - a)} z^{1-c} F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - a)} F(a|1|z) \right] \right\}$$

Each of the terms in square brackets is of the form $f(c) - f(1)$, so that the limiting form of the quantity, divided by $(c - 1)$, is the derivative of $f(c)$ with respect to $c$ at $c = 1$. Therefore

$$G(a|1|z) = \frac{e^{\mu a}}{\Gamma(a)} \left\{ - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - a)} \frac{d}{dc} \left[ e^{-\mu a} \frac{\sin \pi (a - c)}{\sin \pi a} \right] F(a|c|z) \right.$$}

$$\left. \quad - 2 \frac{d}{dc} \left[ \frac{z^{1-c}}{\Gamma(c - a)} F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z) \right] \right\}_{c=1}$$

But $dz/dc = z \ln z$ and $d\Gamma(\alpha + c)/dc = \psi(\alpha + c)\Gamma(\alpha + c)$, where $\psi(\alpha)$ is the logarithmic derivative of $\Gamma(\alpha)$,
\[ \psi(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \Gamma(x) = -\gamma + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{r + 1} - \frac{1}{r + x} \right]; \]
\[ \psi(x + n) = \psi(x) + \frac{1}{x + 1} + \frac{1}{x + 2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{x + n - 1}; \]
\[ \gamma = -\psi(1) = 0.5772; \]
\[ \psi(1 - c) = \psi(c) + \pi \cot(\pi c) \]

Therefore
\[ U(a|1|z) \]
\[ = e^{i\alpha} \left\{ - \frac{d}{dc} \left[ e^{-i\alpha} \frac{\sin \pi(a - c)}{\sin (\pi a)} \right] \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + m)\Gamma(c)z^m}{m!\Gamma(a - c + 1)} \right\} \]
\[ - 2\Gamma(1 - a) \left. \frac{d}{dc} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(c - a)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a - c + 1 + m)\Gamma(2 - c)z^{m+1}}{m!\Gamma(a - c + 1)\Gamma(2 - c + m)} \right] \right|_{c=1} \]
\[ = e^{i\alpha} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \left[ -i\pi - \pi \cot(\pi a) + 2\psi(1) - 2\psi(m + 1) \right] \right. \]
\[ - 2\left[ -\psi(1 - a) - \ln z + \psi(a) \right. \]
\[ + \psi(m + 1) - \psi(a + m) - \psi(1) \right\] \[ \Gamma(a + m)\Gamma(1) m! \Gamma(a - c + 1) \Gamma(2 - c + m) z^{m} \]
\[ = e^{i\alpha} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \left[ 2\ln z + \pi \cot(\pi a) - i\pi + 2\psi(a) \right] F(a|1|z) \right. \]
\[ + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + m)}{\Gamma(a)[m!z]} \left[ \psi(a + m) - \psi(a) + 2\psi(1) - 2\psi(m + 1) \right] z^{m} \right\} \quad (5.3.61) \]

This solution is independent of \( F(a|1|c) \) (the logarithmic term shows this even if the other part is not so obvious about it). The form is interesting, being the logarithm of a quantity proportional to \( z \) multiplied by the first solution, plus an infinite series, which is convergent for all finite values of \( z \), which also is independent of \( F(a|1|z) \).

For \( c = 2, 3, \ldots \), we must work a little harder, but the procedure is quite similar. Expansion of the series shows that for \( c - n \) vanishingly small \((n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots)\) the function
\[ \Phi(a|c|z) = (c - n)z^{1-c}F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z) \]
\[ = (c - n) \sum_{m=0}^{n-2} \frac{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - m - 1)}{m!\Gamma(c - a - m)\Gamma(c - 1)} z^{m+1} \]
\[ + (c - n) \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(2 - c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a - c + 1)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + n - c + r)\Gamma(n)z^{r+m}}{\Gamma(r + 1 + n - c)\Gamma(n + r)} \]

This solution is independent of \( F(a|1|c) \) (the logarithmic term shows this even if the other part is not so obvious about it). The form is interesting, being the logarithm of a quantity proportional to \( z \) multiplied by the first solution, plus an infinite series, which is convergent for all finite values of \( z \), which also is independent of \( F(a|1|z) \).
becomes the function
\[ \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(n - a)\sin(\pi a)}{\pi\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n - 1)} F(a|n|z); \quad \text{since } (c - n)\Gamma(2 - c) \xrightarrow{c\to n} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(c - 1)} \]
and therefore the first term in Eq. (5.3.60) approaches the second term, but both become infinitely large. Adding and subtracting the term
\[ \frac{2[\Gamma(n - 1)\Gamma(n - a)]\theta_n(a|n|z)}{(c - n)} \] inside the braces, we have
\[ G(a|n|z) = e^{i\pi a} \Gamma(n) \lim_{c\to n} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(a)\sin(\pi a)}{\Gamma(n)\pi(c - n)} \left[ e^{i\pi(c - a)} + \frac{\sin\pi(a - c)}{\sin\pi(a - n)} \right] F(a|c|z) \right. \]
\[ - 2 \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi} \frac{d}{dc} \Gamma(c) \left[ e^{i\pi(c - a)} + \frac{\sin\pi(a - c)}{\sin\pi(a - n)} \right] F(a|c|z) \]
\[ + 2 \frac{\Gamma(n - 1)}{\Gamma(n - a)} \frac{1}{(c - n)} \theta_n(a|n|z) \] \[ \left. + 2 \frac{\Gamma(n - 1)}{\Gamma(n - a)} \frac{1}{(c - n)} \theta_n(a|n|z) \right\} \]
Therefore
\[ G(a|n|z) = \frac{e^{i\pi a}}{2\pi i} \left\{ [2\ln z + \pi \cot(\pi n) - i\pi] F(a|n|z) \right. \]
\[ - 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \psi(m + 1) + \psi(n + m) \right] \frac{\Gamma(a + m)\Gamma(n)z^m}{m!\Gamma(a)\Gamma(n + m)} \]
\[ - \psi(a + n) \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(n)z^n}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(n + m)} \]
\[ - \frac{2e^{i\pi a}}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(r)z^r}{\Gamma(n - r)\Gamma(r - a + 1)} \] \[ (5.3.62) \]
This expression differs from the general form for \( G(a|1|z) \) by the presence of a finite sum of negative powers of \( z \). This, plus the logarithmic term, ensures that \( G \) is not analytic at \( z = 0 \), whereas \( F \) is. There is no need to investigate \( G \)'s for \( c = 0 \) or for negative integers, for if we have an equation which is satisfied by \( z^{1+c}F(a + n + 1|2 + n|z) \), we use this as a first solution, with \( c = 2 + n \), and go ahead as outlined above. Since the indices of the confluent hypergeometric equation for \( z = 0 \) are 0 and 1 - c, if, at first try, we find c less than 1, we shall find that the equation for \( z^{1+c}z \) has a new c larger than 1, and we work with it.
This formula completes our primary task as far as the confluent hypergeometric function is concerned, for we have now written down expressions for both solutions for large and small values of \( z \) and for all values of the parameters [the series expressions for the \( U_n \) may be obtained in terms of the series for \( F \) and \( G \) by use of Eqs. (5.3.55) and (5.3.50)].
including those for which the second solution has a logarithmic singularity. All that remains for the present is a discussion of some of the more useful special cases of these functions.

**Bessel Functions.** The most useful special function which can be represented in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function is the Bessel function, mentioned on page 550. It is a solution of the equation

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{z} \frac{d \psi}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{z^2}\right) \psi = 0
\]

called Bessel’s equation. It has a regular singular point at \( z = 0 \) with indices \( \nu \) and \( -\nu \). The substitution \( \psi = z^\nu e^{i\Phi} \) yields an equation of confluent hypergeometric form for \( F \)

\[
z^F'' + [(2\nu + 1) - 2iz]F' - i(2\nu + 1)F = 0
\]

with solution \( F(\nu + \frac{1}{2} | 2\nu + 1 | 2iz) \). The solution of this equation which remains finite at \( z = 0 \) is called the Bessel function of the first kind,

\[
J_\nu(z) = \frac{z^\nu e^{-iz}}{2\Gamma(\nu + 1)} F(\nu + \frac{1}{2} | 2\nu + 1 | 2iz) \xrightarrow{z \to 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\nu \\
= \frac{z^\nu}{2\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \left(\frac{z^2}{4}\right)\nu \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)(\nu + 2)} \left(\frac{z^4}{4}\right)\nu - \cdots \right] \tag{5.3.63}
\]

The integral representations may be obtained from that for \( F \) [by use of the equation \( \Gamma(2\nu + 1) = (2\nu/\sqrt{\pi})\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\nu + 1) \) and by letting \( t = \frac{1}{2}(1 - iu) \) or \( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \cos \theta \)] or by going through the Laplace transform derivation directly. The series may be obtained from the integrals or by multiplying out the series for the exponential and for \( F \) (it is interesting to note that the product of a confluent hypergeometric series for imaginary argument and an imaginary exponential can be a real function). When \( \text{Re} \nu - \frac{1}{2} < 0 \), the line integral may be replaced by a contour integral going around \( u = i \) in the positive direction and around \(-i\) in the negative direction.

By manipulating the integral representation or the series we can obtain the following recurrence formulas for the Bessel function:

\[
J_{\nu-1}(z) + J_{\nu+1}(z) = (2\nu/z)J_{\nu}(z) \\
J_{\nu-1}(z) - J_{\nu+1}(z) = 2(d/dz)J_{\nu}(z) \tag{5.3.64}
\]
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Since the formulas can be obtained from the integral representation, they will hold for other solutions of the Bessel equation obtained by changing the limits of integration; i.e., they will be valid for solutions of the second and third kind.

Another form of integral representation, obtained after much manipulation from the last of Eqs. (5.3.63), which will be useful in many applications later, is expressible in various forms (for \( n \) an integer)

\[
J_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} u^{-n-1} e^{z u} u^{n-1} du
\]

\[
= \frac{e^{-inz/2}}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} e^{iz \cos \phi} \cos(n\phi) \, d\phi
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [n\theta - z \sin \theta] \, d\theta
\]

(5.3.65)

where the contour for the first equation is a circle about the origin in a positive direction. That this representation is indeed the Bessel function may be shown by expanding it in series and comparing with the series of Eq. (5.3.63) or by showing that the integral satisfies Bessel's equation and has the correct value at \( z = 0 \).

This representation, with the aid of Eq. (4.3.4), which defines the Laurent series about an essential singularity at \( \alpha \), can be used to generate a very useful series expansion. The function \( e^{e^{iz}} \) has an essential singularity at \( t = 0 \). Therefore its expansion about \( t = 0 \) is a Laurent series with coefficients

\[
a_n = (1/2\pi i) \oint_{\Gamma} t^{-n} e^{e^{iz}} dt = J_n(z)
\]

The series is therefore

\[
e^{e^{iz}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t^n J_n(z)
\]

which may be used to define the properties of the Bessel functions of integral order. For instance, the recursion formulas (5.3.64) may be obtained by differentiation by \( z \) or \( t \), etc. We shall discuss this further in Chap. 11.

This expansion may be used to obtain others. For instance, there is the simple one

\[
e^{iz \sin \theta} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n \theta} J_n(z)
\]

A more complex but more useful relation may be obtained by expanding the following, where \( Z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \phi} \), \( Z \sin \alpha = x - y \cos \phi \), \( Z \cos \alpha = y \sin \phi \):
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\[
J_0(Z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{iZ \cos \phi} \, d\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{iZ \cos (\phi - \omega)} \, d\phi
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left[ i y \sin \phi \cos \delta + i (x - y \cos \phi) \sin \delta \right] \, d\delta
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(x) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i y \sin (\theta - \phi + in \theta)} \, d\theta
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I_n(x) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i y \cos \theta + i n (\theta + \phi - 2\pi)} \, d\theta
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(x) J_n(y) e^{i\omega \phi} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(x) J_n(y) \cos (n \phi)
\]

(5.3.66)

By using other contours of integration for \(\phi\), we obtain the general formula

\[
Y_n(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \phi}) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} Y_m(x) Y_m(y) \cos (m \phi)
\]

where the symbol \(Y\) may stand for any of the kinds of Bessel functions, \(J, N, H^{(1)}, \text{ or } H^{(2)}\), to be defined shortly. Many other similar expansions will be developed and used later in this book. The present one is worked out here for its usefulness later in this chapter.

Another formula, of use later, relating Bessel functions and Gegenbauer polynomials, can be obtained by using Eq. (5.2.58) and by integrating by parts a modification of the integral representation of Eq. (5.3.63):

\[
J_{\nu + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2}}(z) = \frac{(z/2)^{\nu + \frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{it(1 - t^2)^{\nu + n}} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{2^n \Gamma(n)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + n + 1)} \int_{-1}^{1} t^n \frac{d^n}{dt^n} (1 - t^2)^{\nu + n} \, dt
\]

\[
- \frac{n \Gamma(n + \beta + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} (n + 2\beta + 1)} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{int(1 - t^2)} \, dt
\]

(5.3.67)

When \(\nu\) is a half integer, \(n + \frac{1}{2}\), the resulting Bessel functions have a particularly simple form. By comparing the series expansions we can see that

\[
J_1(z) = \sqrt{2/\pi z} \sin z \quad \text{and} \quad J_{-1}(z) = \sqrt{2/\pi z} \cos z
\]

We shall see later that for the wave equation in spherical coordinates it is convenient to use the **spherical Bessel functions** defined by
\[ j_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} J_{n+1}(z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{z} \cos \left[ z - \frac{1}{2} \pi (n + 1) \right] \]

\[ n_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} N_{n+1}(z) = (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} J_{-n-4}(z) \rightarrow \frac{1}{z} \sin \left[ z - \frac{1}{2} \pi (n + 1) \right] \]

\[ h_n(z) = j_n(z) + in_n(z) \rightarrow (1/z) \exp \left[ iz - \frac{1}{2} \pi (n + 1) \right] \]

Some recurrence formulas for these functions are

\[
\begin{align*}
(2m + 1)/z \ f_m(z) &= f_{m-1}(z) + f_{m+1}(z) \\
(2m + 1)(d/dz)f_m(z) &= mf_{m-1}(z) - (m + 1)f_{m+1}(z) \\
(d/dz)[z^m f_m(z)] &= z^{m+1} f_m(z) \\
(d/dz)[z^{-m} f_m(z)] &= -z^{-m+1} f_m(z)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f_m(z) \) is \( j_m(z) \) or \( n_m(z) \) or \( h_m(z) \). By use of Eq. (5.3.67) we can show that an integral representation for \( j_m(z) \) is

\[ j_m(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{iz\cos\theta} P_a(\cos\theta) \sin\theta \ d\theta \]

The differential equation which these functions satisfy is

\[
\frac{d^2f}{dz^2} + \frac{2}{z} \frac{df}{dz} + \left[ 1 - \frac{n(n + 1)}{z^2} \right] f = 0
\]

Bessel's equation has an irregular singular point at \( z = \infty \). As is to be expected with such equations, the expansion about this point is an asymptotic type, which diverges for finite values of \( z \) when too many terms are taken but which approaches the correct value as \( z \) is increased indefinitely if only a finite number of terms are used. The limiting form (the first term in the asymptotic series) can be obtained from Eq. (5.3.51) giving the asymptotic form for \( j_m(z) \), or it can be obtained directly from the integral representation by deforming the path of integration [going from \( -i \) to \( -\infty \) and from \( -\infty \) to \( +1 \) for the first representation in Eq. (5.3.63) for instance]. The schedule of asymptotic forms, displaying the Stokes' phenomenon for the Bessel function of the first kind, is (for \( z = |z|e^{i\phi} \))

\[
\begin{align*}
J_m(z) &\rightarrow e^{i\pi m} \sqrt{2/\pi z} \cos \left[ z + \frac{1}{2} \pi (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \right]; \quad -\frac{3}{2} \pi < \phi < -\frac{1}{2} \pi \\
&\rightarrow \sqrt{2/\pi z} \cos \left[ z - \frac{1}{2} \pi (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \right]; \quad -\frac{1}{2} \pi < \phi < \frac{1}{2} \pi \quad (5.3.68) \\
&\rightarrow e^{i\pi m} \sqrt{2/\pi z} \cos \left[ z + \frac{1}{2} \pi (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \right]; \quad \frac{1}{2} \pi < \phi < \frac{3}{2} \pi; \quad \text{etc.}
\end{align*}
\]

The independent solution about \( z = 0 \) can be taken to be

\[ J_{-\nu}(z) = \frac{2^{\nu} z^{\nu} e^{-iz\csc\theta}}{\Gamma(1 - \nu)} \left[ (2iz)^{-\nu} F\left(\frac{1}{2} - \nu|1 - 2z|2iz\right) \right] \]

except when \( \nu \) is zero or a positive integer. It goes to infinity as \( z^{-\nu} \) when \( z \) goes to zero.
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\textbf{Hankel Functions.} The functions corresponding to \(U_1\) and \(U_2\) of Eq. (5.3.52), suitable for fitting boundary conditions for large values of \(z\), are likewise obtained by pulling the path of integration in Eq. (5.3.63) out to \(-\infty\) and cutting it in two. The upper half gives the function with the positive exponential (we multiply by an extra 2 for reasons apparent later)

\[
H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = \frac{2(\frac{i}{2}z)^\nu}{i\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{-\infty}^{i} e^{it}(1 + t^2)^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dt
= \frac{2^{\nu+1/2} e^{-iz}}{\sqrt{\pi}} U_1(\nu + \frac{1}{2}|2\nu + 1|2iz)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{\pi} \int_B e^{iz \cos \phi + i(\pi - 4\nu) \phi} d\phi
\]

\[
\rightarrow \sqrt{2/\pi z} e^{iz\cos(\nu+1)}; \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \phi < \frac{\pi}{2}
\]

which is called the \textit{Hankel function of the first kind} (or the first Bessel function of the third kind). The path of integration of the second integral [which is derived from Eq. (5.3.65)] is shown in Fig. 5.13, going from \(+i\infty\) just to the left of the imaginary axis to \(-i\infty\) just to the right of the imaginary axis (the reason for such a choice is that in the shaded segments of the \(\phi\) plane the integrand increases without limit as we go away from the real axis, in the unshaded areas the integrand goes to zero, and we thus should terminate our integrals in the unshaded regions). This same integral also represents the other functions, for

\[
J_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_A e^{iz \cos \phi + i(\pi - 4\nu) \phi} d\phi
\]

and the contour \(C\) represents the other solution for large values of \(z\):
Ordinary Differential Equations

\[ H_\nu^{(n)}(z) = \frac{2^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it}(1 + F)^{-1} dt \]
\[ = \frac{2^{\nu+1}e^{-iz}}{\sqrt{\pi}} I_\nu(\nu + \frac{3}{2}z) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_C e^{iz\cos \phi + i\nu(\phi - \frac{1}{2})} \, d\phi \]
\[ = \sqrt{2/\pi z} e^{-iz + i\nu(\nu + 1)} \quad (5.3.70) \]

This function is called the Hankel function of the second kind. In many cases, when we are dealing only with the first of these functions, we shall omit the superscript; \( H_\nu \) always means \( H_\nu^{(0)} \) and will be called the Hankel function when there is no chance of confusion.

These two solutions are independent, as their asymptotic forms indicate. The Wronskian for independent solutions of the Bessel equation is \( \lambda/2 \) [by use of Eq. (5.2.3)]. The constant for these two solutions may be fixed by use of their asymptotic forms, and we finally obtain

\[ \Delta(H_\nu^{(n)}, H_\nu^{(m)}) - H_\nu^{(n)} \frac{d}{dz} H_\nu^{(m)} - H_\nu^{(m)} \frac{d}{dz} H_\nu^{(n)} = \frac{4}{z\nu} \quad (5.3.71) \]

Since both \( H_\nu^{(n)} \) and \( H_\nu^{(m)} \) satisfy the recurrence formulas (5.3.64) (and others which may be found by combining them), we can express the relationship of Eq. (5.3.71) in several ways, such as

\[ H_\nu^{(n)}(z)H_{\nu+1}^{(n)}(z) - H_\nu^{(n)}(z)H_{\nu+1}^{(m)}(z) = \frac{4}{\pi z} \; \text{etc.} \]

There is a close connection between the Hankel functions and the imaginary exponentials on the one hand and the Bessel function \( J \) and the cosine function on the other. Just as we have

\[ \cos x = (e^{ix} + e^{-ix}) \]

so here we have

\[ J_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2}[H_\nu^{(n)}(x) + H_\nu^{(m)}(x)] \quad (5.3.72) \]

as we can see from the definitions above or by simple inspection of Fig. 5.10.

Similarly, from Eqs. (5.3.58) and the definitions of \( J \) and the \( H \)'s in terms of \( F \) and the \( U \)'s, we obtain

\[ H_\nu^{(0)}(z) = \frac{i}{\sin (\pi \nu)} [e^{i\pi} J_\nu(z) - J_{\nu}(z)] ; \]
\[ H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = \frac{-i}{\sin (\pi \nu)} [e^{i\pi} J_\nu(z) - J_{\nu}(z)] \quad (5.3.73) \]

from which we can get the expansion for the Hankel functions near \( z = 0 \). But before we carry out this calculation we had better examine the behavior of the second solution in case \( \nu \) is an integer.
Neumann Functions. An examination of the series expansion for \( J_n(z) \) [Eq. (5.3.63)] shows that, when \( \nu \) is a negative quantity, \( J_n(z) \) becomes infinite at \( z = 0 \) except when \( \nu \) is a negative integer. To examine these special cases, we set \( \nu = -n - \epsilon \),

\[
J_{-n}(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1} \frac{(z/2)^{n-m+2+2m}}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(m-n-\epsilon)}
\]

\[
\xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} (-1)^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(s+\epsilon)}{\Gamma(n-s+1)} \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{n-s-2n}
\]

\[
+ (-1)^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}(z/2)^{n-r-2n}}{\Gamma(r-\epsilon)\Gamma(r-n)}
\]

which goes, in the limit of \( \epsilon = 0 \), to the simple relation

\[
J_{-n}(z) = (-1)^{n} J_n(z); \quad n = 0, 1, 2,
\]

(5.3.74)

Therefore when \( n \) is zero or an integer, the solution \( J_{-n}(z) \) is no longer independent of \( J_n(z) \) and we must look further for a second solution.

This situation should be familiar by now; when \( n \) is an integer, the indices for the Bessel equation for \( z = 0 \) differ by an integer and the second solution should have a logarithmic singularity. Neither \( J_n \) nor \( J_{-n} \) have such a singularity, so we must look elsewhere. Of course, the two Hankel functions do not become proportional to each other when \( \nu = n \), so one or both of them must have the logarithmic singularity there (as a matter of fact, both do). But we wish to have a second solution to go along with \( J_n \). The analogy between \( J_n \) and a cosine supplies the suggestion: we define the Bessel function of the second kind, the Neumann function, as being analogous to the sine,

\[
N_n(z) = (1/2i)[H_n^0(z) - H_n^1(z)]
\]

\[
= \cot(\pi \nu) J_n(z) - \csc(\pi \nu) J_{-n}(z)
\]

\[
= \frac{(z/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} e^{i\pi\nu} G(\nu + \frac{1}{2}, 2\nu + 1; 2iz)
\]

\[
\xrightarrow{z \to \infty} \sqrt{2/\pi \zeta} \sin[z - \frac{1}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})]; \quad -\frac{1}{2} \pi < \phi < \frac{1}{2} \pi
\]

(5.3.75)

This function is satisfactory for all values of \( \nu \) (we need only consider values for \( \text{Re} \nu > 0 \), of course, otherwise we take \( J_{-n} \) for the first solution). When \( \nu \) is not zero or an integer, the individual terms are finite, and since the function contains some amount of \( J_{-n} \) (when \( \nu = n + \frac{1}{2} \), the function is all \( J_{-n} \)), it must be independent of \( J_n \). When \( \nu \) is zero or an integer, the individual terms are infinite but cancel in such a way as to produce a finite solution having a logarithmic singularity at \( z = 0 \).
We can utilize Eq. (5.3.61) to obtain this solution, or we can recalculate the series. Since the present case is simpler, we shall perform the calculation again to illustrate again the method. Setting $\nu = n + \epsilon$ and letting $\epsilon$ go to zero,

$$N_n(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \frac{1}{\pi \epsilon} \left( J_{n+\epsilon}(z) - \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi \epsilon} J_{n-\epsilon}(z) \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \frac{J_{n+\epsilon} - J_n}{\epsilon} - \frac{(-1)^n}{\epsilon} \frac{J_{n-\epsilon} - J_n}{\epsilon} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \frac{d}{d\nu} J_n(z) - (-1)^n \frac{d}{d\nu} J_n(z) \right]_{\nu=n}$$

where we have added and subtracted $J_n = (-1)^n J_n$. The derivative of $J_n$ at $\nu = n$ is

$$\left[ \frac{d}{d\nu} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (-z/2)^{n+2m-2}}{\Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(\nu+1)} \right]_{\nu=n}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) - \psi(m+n) \right] \frac{(-z/2)^{n+2m-2}}{\Gamma(m) \Gamma(\nu+1)}$$

$$- \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) - \psi(n+1) \right] J_n(z) - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{(-z/2)^{r+2\nu}}{r!(n+1)!} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{r+n} \frac{1}{s} \right]$$

where

$$\psi(\nu + n) = -\gamma + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left[ -\frac{1}{r+1} - \frac{1}{\nu + n + r} \right]$$

$$= \psi(\nu) + \frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{1}{\nu + 1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\nu + n}$$

and $\gamma = 0.5772$.

Similarly the derivative of $(-1)^n J_n$ is

$$\left[ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon(-z/2)^{n+2s-2}}{\Gamma(n-s+1)} \right] + \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{(-z/2)^{r+2\nu-2}}{\Gamma(r-\epsilon) \Gamma(\nu+1)} \right]_{\epsilon=0}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-m-1)!}{m!(z/2)^{n-2m}} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-1)^r \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) - \psi(r) \right] \frac{(-z/2)^{r+2\nu-2}}{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(n+1)}$$

$$= -\left[ \ln \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) + \gamma \right] J_n(z) + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-m-1)!}{m!(z/2)^{n-2m}}$$

$$+ \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m \frac{(z/2)^{n+2m}}{m!(n+m)!} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{m} \frac{1}{s} \right]$$
Putting these two together we finally arrive at the expansion of the Neumann function about \( z = 0 \):

\[
N_n(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ 2 \ln \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) + \gamma - \psi(n + 1) \right] J_n(z) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n - m - 1)!}{m!(z/2)^{n-m}} \\
- \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{(z/2)^{n+m}}{m!(n+m)!} \sum_{s=1}^{m} \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s + n} \right] \tag{5.3.76}
\]

\[
\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{2}{\pi} \ln \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) + \gamma \quad ; \quad n = 0
\]

\[
\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi(n-1)!} \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^n \quad ; \quad n > 0
\]

When \( n = 0 \), the finite sum (from 0 to \( n - 1 \)) is not present. This expansion has the same general form as Eq. (5.3.61) for the confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind; it has a term involving the product of \( \ln z \), a finite series involving negative powers of \( z \) (except for \( n = 0 \) when this is absent), and an additional infinite series which starts with a term in \( z^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \).

**Approximate Formulas for Large Order.** The asymptotic formulas given in Eqs. (5.3.68), (5.3.69), (5.3.70), and (5.3.75) assume that the argument \( z \) is very much larger than the order \( \nu \) of the Bessel functions. Useful formulas for \( J_n(z) \), when both \( z \) and \( \nu \) are large, may be obtained by using the method of steepest descents (see Sec. 4.6). When both \( z \) and \( \nu \) are large, the integral \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\nu\lambda} (1 + \lambda)^{\nu-1} d\lambda \) from which we obtained the earlier asymptotic expansions, does not have the largest value of its integrand near \( \lambda = \tau \), as we assumed heretofore. Consequently the first term in the asymptotic expansion is not a good approximation, and we must look for another formula. It turns out that the integral representation

\[
(e^{-\nu \pi i/\pi}) \int e^{(z \cos \omega + \nu \omega)} dw
\]

mentioned on page 623 with the contours shown in Fig. 5.13 is the most suitable for our present purpose. For instance, if the contour is \( A \), the integral is \( 2J_n(z) \); if it is \( B \), the integral is \( H_n^{(1)}(z) \); and if it is a double contour \( B \) and \( C \) (with \( B \) taken in the reverse order, from top to bottom), then it is \( 2N_n(z) \).

Each of these contours goes from a region where the integrand is vanishingly small (the unshaded areas in Fig. 5.13, far from the real axis) across a region where the integrand is large to another region where the integrand is vanishingly small. If we draw a contour of arbitrary shape between the specified limits, the integrand will vary in a complicated manner, reversing sign often and often having large imaginary parts, but these additional complexities all cancels each other out in the integration, and the result is the same no matter how we have drawn the
intermediate part of the contour (for the integrand only has singularities at $w = \infty$). If we are to calculate an approximate value for the integral, we should first pick out the path along which the integrand has the simplest behavior possible. For example, since the integrand is an exponential function, the real part of the exponent controls the magnitude of the integrand and the imaginary part controls the phase. To eliminate undue fluctuations of the integrand we should arrange to perform the integration along a contour for which this phase is constant. We should next try to find a route for which the integrand is large only over one short range of the route, so that calculation in this restricted range is sufficient to give a good approximate answer.

Any one of the contours shown in Fig. 5.13 is a path over a mountain range. We wish to stay in the plains as long as possible in order to cross via the lowest pass and to regain the plains on the other side as fast as possible. It turns out that the path which does this is also the path for which the phase of the integrand stays constant, as was shown in Sec. 4.6.

For our integrand is the exponential of a function of a complex variable $w = u + iv$; the real part of this function controls the magnitude of the integrand and the imaginary part is the phase

$$F(w) = i(z \cos w + v \sin u) = f(u,v) + ig(u,v); \quad f = z \sin u \sinh v + w; \quad g = -z \cos u \cosh v + v$$

The white areas of Fig. 5.13 are where $f$ becomes large negative, and the shaded areas are where $f$ becomes very large positive. We wish to go over the lowest pass in the $(f(u,v)$ surface.

Since $f$ is the real part of a function of a complex variable, it therefore is a solution of Laplace's equation in $u$ and $v$ (see page 353) and it can have no maxima or minima in the finite part of the plane. This means that the top of the lowest pass must be a saddle point, where the surface curves downward in one direction and curves upward in a direction at right angles. In other words the top of the pass is the point where $df/du = 0$ and near this point

$$F = \frac{F_0 + b(w - w_0)^2 +}{= f_0 + a[(x^2 - y^2) \cos 2\theta - 2xy \sin 2\theta + \cdots]} + i[(x^2 - y^2) \sin 2\theta + 2xy \cos 2\theta + \cdots]$$

where $b = ae^{2\delta} = (df/dw^2)_0$, and $x = u - u_0, y = v - v_0$. Along the lines $y = -x \tan \delta$ and $y = x \cot \nu$ (at angles $\delta$ and $\frac{3}{2}\pi - \delta$ to the real axis) the imaginary part of $F$ is constant ($g = g_0$) and the real part has its greatest curvature. Along the line $y = -x \tan \delta$ the real part of $F'$ is

$$Re F = f_0 + \frac{1}{2}a x^2 \sec^2 \delta + = f_0 + \frac{1}{2}ad^2 +$$

where $d = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ is the distance along the line $y = -x \tan \delta$. Therefore along the line at an angle $-\delta$ with the real axis, $F$ (and there-
fore the integrand) rises as we go away from the saddle point \( v = v_s \). Hence this line is along the axis of the mountain range and is not the direction to take, for it will lead us among the high peaks.

However, along the line \( y = x \cot \delta \) the real part of \( f \) is

\[
f = f_s - \frac{1}{2}ax^2 \csc^2 \delta + \cdots = f_s - \frac{1}{2}as^2 + \cdots
\]

where \( s = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \) is the distance along this line from the saddle point. Therefore along this path we head downward toward the plane in either direction from the top of the pass. If we have no other mountain ranges to cross with our contour, an approximate expression for the integral will then be

\[
\int e^{f(w)} \, dw \simeq e^{f_s + \nu a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax^2 + \nu x} \, dx = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{a} e^{f_s + \nu a - i\frac{\pi}{2} \nu a i}
\]

If the contour (in the direction of integration) makes an angle \( \frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta \) with the real axis near \( w = w_s \), the element \( dw = e^{f(w)} \, ds \) where \( ds \) is real. [If the integral is in the opposite direction, a factor \((-1)\) must be added to the final result or the term \( \frac{1}{2}\pi i \) in the exponent should be changed to \( -\frac{1}{2}\pi i \).] This expression will approach the correct value for the integral the more closely the higher and narrower the pass over which we must go (i.e., the larger \( f_s \) and \( a \) are).

To apply the technique to the Bessel function integral we set \( F(w) = vz \cos w + \nu w \). The saddle points, where \( dF/dw = 0 \), are the points where \( \sin w_s = \nu/z \). When \( z \) is real, there are two cases: one where \( z > \nu \) when \( w_s \) is along the real axis at the points \( \sin^{-1}(\nu/z) \); the other where \( z < \nu \) when \( w_s \) is complex, at points \( (2n + 1)\pi + i \cosh^{-1}(\nu/z) \).

The two cases are shown in Fig. 5.14, the drawing at the right for \( z = 0.866\nu \) and that at the left for \( z = 1.543\nu \), both plotted on the \( w = u + i\nu \) plane. The light lines are contours for equal magnitudes of \( f = \Re F \); the heavy lines are the contours which go through one or both of the saddle points \( S, S' \) and along which \( y = \Im F \) is constant. The contour \( A \), coming from \( i\infty - \frac{1}{2}\pi \), going through \( S \) (or through \( S \) and \( S' \) on the right) and going to \( i\infty + \frac{3}{2}\pi \), gives \( 2J_\nu(z) \). The contour \( C \), going from \( i\infty - \frac{1}{2}\pi \) through \( S' \) (on the left) or \( S \) (on the right) to \( -i\infty + \frac{1}{2}\pi \) gives \( H^{(1)}_\nu(z) \), and the contour \( D \) gives \( H^{(2)}_\nu(z) \). If both \( C \) and \( D \) are used, starting at \( i\infty - \frac{1}{2}\pi \) and \( i\infty + \frac{3}{2}\pi \) and ending both at \( -i\infty + \frac{1}{2}\pi \), we obtain \( 2N_\nu(z) \). The contours \( B \) and \( B' \) are for \( y \) constant, but for these cases \( f \) increases as we go away from the saddle point. If both \( v \) and \( z \) are large, the value of \( f \) at the saddle point is much larger than its value anywhere else along \( A \), for instance, and we can neglect all the integrand except that quite close to \( S \).

Taking first the case of \( z < \nu \) (we set \( z = \nu \) sech \( \alpha \) for convenience), we find that \( dF/dw = 0 \) at \( w = w_s = \frac{1}{2}\pi \pm i\alpha \). The upper point, \( S \), is the one crossed by the contour \( A \), suitable for \( 2J_\nu(\nu \ \text{sech} \ \alpha) \). The
value of \( f \) at \( w_0 \) is \( f_s = \nu (\tanh \alpha - a) \), and the value of \( g \) there is \( g_s = \frac{1}{2} \pi \nu \). The second derivative, \( d^2F/dw^2 \), at \( w = w_0 \) is \( -\nu \tanh \alpha \), so near the point \( S \) an approximate value for \( F \) is

\[
F \approx \nu (\tanh \alpha - a) + \frac{1}{2} \nu \tanh \alpha (w - w_0)^2 = F_s + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \nu (w - w_0)^2;
\]

\( a = \nu \tanh \alpha \); \( \theta = \frac{1}{2} \pi \)

By our earlier discussion the contour which comes down off the pass is at an angle \( \frac{1}{2} \pi - \theta = 0 \) to the real axis and the approximate value of the integral is

\[
2J_s(\nu \text{ sech } \alpha) \approx \frac{e^{-i\nu \theta}}{\pi} \int_A e^{\nu w} dw = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \nu \tanh \alpha}} e^{\nu (\tanh \alpha - a)}
\]

The contours for the Hankel functions go through \( S' \), where

\[
f_s = \nu (\alpha - \tanh \alpha); \quad g_s = \frac{1}{2} \nu \pi; \quad (d^2F/dw^2)_s = \nu \tanh \alpha; \quad \theta = 0;
\]

\( a = \nu \tanh \alpha \)

For the first Hankel function the contour goes in the negative direction through \( S' \); for the second function it goes in the positive direction, so

\[
H^{(1)}_s(\nu \text{ sech } \alpha) \approx -H^{(3)}_s(\nu \text{ sech } \alpha) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \nu \tanh \alpha}} e^{\nu (\alpha - \tanh \alpha) + i \nu \pi}
\]

and therefore the corresponding expression for the Neumann function is

\[
- N_s(\nu \text{ sech } \alpha) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \nu \tanh \alpha}} e^{\nu (\alpha - \tanh \alpha)}
\]

When \( \nu > \nu \), we set \( z = \nu \sec \beta \), and the saddle points are at \( w_0 = \frac{1}{2} \pi \pm \beta \). The second derivative \( (d^2F/dw^2) = \pm \nu \tan \beta \), so that
\[ a = \tau \tan \beta \text{ and } \nu = \pm (\tau/4); \text{ also } f_s = 0 \text{ and } g_s = \frac{1}{2}\nu + \frac{1}{2}(\tan \beta - \beta). \]

Contour \( C_s \) for the first Hankel function, crosses \( S(w_s = \frac{1}{2}\tau - \beta) \) in the negative direction, so that

\[
H^{11}_\nu(\nu \sec \beta) \sim \frac{\sin \nu \pi}{\pi \tan \beta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\nu \tan \beta}} e^{\nu i(\tau \tan \beta - \beta - \frac{1}{4}i)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\nu \tan \beta}} e^{\nu i(\tau \tan \beta - \beta - \frac{1}{4}i)}
\]

on the other hand the second function becomes

\[
H^{22}_\nu(\nu \sec \beta) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\nu \tan \beta}} e^{-\nu i(\tau \tan \beta - \beta + \frac{1}{4}i)}
\]

From these two we can compute \( J_s \) and \( N_s \).

When \( \tau \) is very nearly equal to \( \nu \), the two saddle points approach each other and eventually become indistinguishable. For \( \tau = \nu \), at the point \( w = \frac{1}{2}\tau \) both \( dF/dz \) and \( d^2F/dz^2 \) are zero, so the zero is of higher order and we should expect threefold symmetry of axes. The contours which correspond to \( \varphi = \text{constant} \) approach \( w = \frac{1}{2}\tau \) along the imaginary axis or along the directions \( \pm (\pi/6) \) or \( \pi \pm (\pi/6) \). The directions \( \pi/6, \pi - (\pi/6), 3\pi/2 \) have \( \nu \) decreasing as the distance from the "saddle point" (a saddle for a three-legged rider!) is increased. For \( J_s(\nu) \) we use the first two directions, the first in reverse. The integral turns out to be

\[
J_s(\nu) \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta}{4}\right)}{2\theta^4 \nu^{\frac{3}{2}}}
\]

When \( \tau - \nu \) is very small compared with \( \nu \), we can still use this path of integration and a small correction term can be computed.

We can therefore write the following approximate formulas for large values of \( \nu \) and of \( \tau \) for different ranges of \( \tau \) with respect to \( \nu \) (for \( \nu \) real):

\[
J_s(\tau) \sim \frac{e^{\nu i(\tau \tan \alpha - \alpha)}}{\sqrt{2\pi \nu \tan \alpha}}; \quad \tau < \nu; \quad \tan \alpha = \sqrt{1 - (\tau/\nu)^2}\]

\[
\sim \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{3\pi (\tau/6)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{3\pi (\tau/6)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\tau - \nu); \quad \tau \approx \nu
\]

\[
\sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\nu \tan \beta}} \cos \left[ \nu \tan \beta - \nu \beta - \frac{1}{4}i \right]; \quad \tau > \nu; \quad \tan \beta = \sqrt{(\tau/\nu)^2 - 1} \tag{5.3.77}
\]

\[
N_s(\tau) \sim -\frac{2 e^{\nu i(\tau \tan \alpha - \beta)}}{\sqrt{2\pi \nu \tan \alpha}}; \quad \tau < \nu
\]

\[
\sim -\frac{2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{3\pi (\tau/6)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2 \sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{3\pi (\tau/6)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\tau - \nu); \quad \tau \approx \nu
\]

\[
\sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\nu \tan \beta}} \sin \left[ \nu \tan \beta - \nu \beta - \frac{1}{4}i \right]; \quad \tau > \nu
\]

The **Coulomb Wave Function**. The radial part of the Schroedinger equation for an electron of mass \( m \), charge \( -e \), and total energy \( E \) in a
centrally symmetric potential field \( V(r) \) is

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r^2 \frac{dR}{dr} \right) - \frac{l(l + 1)}{r^2} R + \frac{2\mu}{k^2} [E - V(r)] R = 0
\]

where the term \( l(l + 1) \) is the separation constant coming from the angular factor; if the potential is a function of \( r \) alone, then \( l \) is an integer. If the potential \( V \) is the coulomb field due to a nucleus of charge \( Z_i \) (heavy enough so that the nucleus is at the center of gravity), \( V \) is then equal to \(-\frac{e^2Z_i}{r}\). Setting \( E = -\left(\frac{mc^2Z_i}{2\hbar^2}\right)k^2 \) and \( x = 2\varepsilon = (2\kappa mc^2Z_i/k^2)r \) and \( R = e^{\lambda x}F(x) \), the equation for \( F \) is

\[
x^2 \frac{dF}{dx^2} + [2l + 1 - x] \frac{dF}{dx} - \left[ l + 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \right] F = 0
\]

which is a confluent hypergeometric equation with \( \alpha = [l + 1 - (1/\kappa)] \) and \( r = 2l + 2 \). The solution of the above equation, which is finite at \( z = 0 \), is therefore

\[
C_\ell(k,x) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{2l+1} \Gamma(l + \frac{3}{2})} \Gamma(l + 1) \Gamma(l + 1 - (1/\kappa)) \Gamma(l + 1 + (1/\kappa))
\]

\[
\frac{(2\varepsilon)l}{1 - (1/\kappa)} \left[ (l + 1 + \frac{i}{k}) \right] \left[ 2l + 2|2ik\varepsilon| \right] e^{-ik \varepsilon} (5.3.78)
\]

When \( \kappa \) is real (i.e., for energy \( E \) negative), this solution, though finite at \( z = 0 \), becomes infinite at \( z \to \infty \) unless \( \Gamma[l + 1 - (1/\kappa)] \) is infinite. If \( 1/\kappa \) is a positive integer \( n \) larger than \( l \), then \( \Gamma[l + 1 - (1/\kappa)] \) is the gamma function of zero or a negative integer, which is infinite. Only in these cases is there a solution which is finite from \( r = 0 \) to \( r = \infty \). Thus the allowed negative values of energy are

\[
E_n = -\left(\frac{mc^2Z_i}{2\hbar^2}\right)^2; \quad n = l + 1, l + 2, l + 3, \ldots
\]

which are the values first computed by Bohr.

When \( \kappa \) is imaginary (i.e., for positive energy), \( C_\ell \) is finite for the whole range of \( z \) for any real value of \( k \) (any positive value of energy). In this case the first (finite) solution takes on the various forms

\[
C_\ell(ik,z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{2l+1} \Gamma(l + \frac{3}{2})} \left[ 1 - \frac{z}{l + 1} + \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2} (l + 1) k^2}{2l(l + 1)k^2} z^2 - \right]
\]

\[
= (2ik\varepsilon)l \Gamma(l + 1) \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(l + 1 + (1/\kappa))} \right] \left[ (l + 1 + \frac{i}{k}) \right] \left[ 2l + 2|2ik\varepsilon| \right] e^{-ik\varepsilon} (5.3.79)
\]
where \[ \Gamma \left( l + 1 + \frac{i}{k} \right) / \Gamma \left( l + 1 - \frac{i}{k} \right) = e^{\Phi(k)} \]
and
\[ \Gamma \left( l + 1 + \frac{i}{k} \right)^2 = \left[ \Gamma^2 + \frac{1}{k^2} \right] \left[ (l - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{k^2} \right] \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{k^2} \right] \frac{z/k}{\sinh(\pi/k)} \]

The second solution, which has a singularity at \( z = 0 \), can be written

\[
D_i(ikz) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} (2ikz) e^{-iv}}{2^{i+l+1}\Gamma(l + \frac{1}{2} + i/k)} G \left( l + 1 + \frac{i}{k}, 2l + 2|2ikz| \right)
\]

\[
\lim_{z \to \infty} \Gamma(l + 1)e^{(iv/2) - (iv/2k)} \left[ kz + \frac{1}{k} \ln(2kz) - \frac{i}{2}k - \Phi(k) \right]
\]

The series expansion about \( z = 0 \), showing the nature of the singularity there, can be obtained from Eq. (5.3.62).

Other solutions, suitable for other equations of interest, will be discusses when the problems are taken up. Thus the wave functions for parabolic and parabolic cylinder coordinates can be expressed in terms of the \( F \)'s and \( G \)'s. They will be treated in Chap. 11.

**Mathieu Functions.** We have indicated on page 587 that the integral representation is most useful for the case of equations with three regular singular points or with one regular and one irregular point. We shall not be disappointed, therefore, to find it not quite so useful with more complex equations. To illustrate the difficulties and to show that a measure of utility still survives, let us apply the Laplace transform to the Mathieu equation (one of its algebraic forms):

\[
(x^2 - 1)\psi'' + x\psi' + (h^2x^2 - b)\psi = 0 \quad (5.3.80)
\]

We can see by inspection that the application of the Laplace transform will give an equation for \( v(t) \) of the same general form:

\[
(t^2 + h^2)v'' + 3tv' - (t^2 + b - 1)v = 0
\]

It thus requires as much work to solve the equation for \( v \) as it does to solve for \( \psi \); \( v \) is also proportional to a Mathieu function, and our integral representation reduces to an integral equation.

But an integral equation can be of some value, so it is worth while exploring further. It is more satisfactory to change the scale of \( t \) so that the equation for \( v \) comes as close as possible to that for \( \psi \). We set \( \psi(x) = e^{iht} v(t) dt \) and obtain for an equation for \( v \) and for the bilinear concomitant

\[
(t^2 - 1)v'' + 3tv' + (h^2t^2 - b + 1)v = 0
\]

\[
P(v, e^{iht}) = -ihe^{iht} \left[ (t^2 - 1)v'' + [t - ihz(t^2 - 1)]v \right]
\]
If \( v \) is set equal to \( f(0)/\sqrt{1 - \ell^2} \), the equation for \( f \) turns out to be just the same as Eq. (5.3.80) for \( \psi \). Consequently we can say that, if \( f \) is a solution of Mathieu's equation (5.3.80), then another solution of the same equation is

\[
\psi(z) = A \int e^{i\beta f(t)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - \ell^2}}
\]

If the constant \( A \) and the limits of integration are chosen properly, then \( \psi \) can equal \( f \) and we have an integral equation for \( \psi \).

But even in its present form we can use the integral to help us in the analytic continuation of the solution, which is why we need an integral representation.

For instance, a solution of Eq. (5.3.80) (see page 565) for a particular value of \( b(= b_{2n}) \) is

\[
S_{2n}(h, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{n} B_{2n} \cos(2n\phi); \quad z = \cos \phi
\]

From Eq. (5.3.81), we see that another solution of Eq. (5.3.80) is

\[
\psi(z) = A \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i\beta \cos \phi} \sum_{n=0}^{n} B_{2n} \cos(2n\phi) \, d\phi = 2\pi A \sum_{n=0}^{n} (-1)^{n} B_{2n} J_{2n}(hz)
\]

where we have used Eq. (5.3.65) to obtain the Bessel functions. This is an extremely interesting and important relation; that a solution of the Mathieu equation can be expressed in terms of a series of Bessel functions is interesting in itself but that the numerical coefficients for the series are equal (with alternating signs) to the coefficients in the corresponding Fourier series solution is one of those satisfactory phenomena which renew one's faith in the essential unity and simplicity of mathematics.

We could, of course, have given ourselves this pleasant surprise in the last section, when we were talking about the series expansion of Mathieu functions. We could have tried using a series of Bessel functions to solve Eq. (5.3.80) (see page 575), and we should have found that the recursion formula for the coefficients is exactly the same as the formula (5.2.69) for the Fourier series coefficients (with alternating signs for the \( a_i \)'s). Then we should have said that the recurrence formulas (5.3.64) for the Bessel functions, which lead to the recursion formula for the coefficients, are closely related to the recurrence formulas for the trigonometric functions, so that for an equation of the particular symmetry of Mathieu's equation the recursion formulas turn out to be equivalent. Since we waited to demonstrate the property until the present (in order to be better acquainted with Bessel functions), we should now be inclined to say that the close integral relationship between trigonometric and
Bessel functions, via the Laplace transform, is the property which is responsible for the coincidence. The two statements are but two aspects of the same general property of course.

As yet we have shown only that the Bessel function series is a solution of Eq. (5.3.80): we have not shown how it is related to \( Se_m(hz) \), which is also a series (the series which is analytic at \( z = \pm 1 \)). To do this we change from \( z = \cos \phi \) to \( z = \cosh \theta \) (\( \theta = i \phi \)) in \( Se \) and then use Eqs. (5.2.54) relating the hyperbolic functions to the Gegenbauer functions

\[
Se_m(hz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} \cosh((2n)\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} T_{2n}^{-1}(z)
\]

By expanding this in powers of \( z \) and expanding the Bessel function also in powers of \( z \), we can show that the two series are proportional and are therefore the same solution. Thus we have obtained an analytic continuation of the solution \( Se \), defined for the range \(-1 < z < 1\), into the range \( 1 < z < \infty \).

For the range \( 1 < z < \infty \) we shall prefer to use a function which has simple asymptotic properties. Using the asymptotic behavior of the Bessel functions [Eq. (6.3.66)], we define

\[
Je_m(hz) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} J_{2n}(hz) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{hz}} \cos [hz - \frac{\pi}{4} (2n + \frac{1}{2})]
\]

\[
(5.3.82)
\]

Since \( \Sigma B_{2n} = 1 \). The asymptotic expression is valid for the argument of \( z \) between \(-\frac{3}{4} \pi \) and \(+\frac{3}{4} \pi \). Since this solution is proportional to \( Se_m \), we can find the factor of proportionality by comparing values at any satisfactory values of \( z \). The value \( z = 0 \) is most satisfactory, for

\[
Je_m(h,0) = (-1)^n B_n \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \text{ and } Se_m(h,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n}
\]

Therefore

\[
\left[ \sum_{n} (-1)^n B_{2n} \right] Je_m(h,z) = (-1)^n B_n \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} Se_m(h,z) \quad (5.3.83)
\]

We are also now in a position to obtain a second solution for Eq. (5.3.80), by replacing the Bessel by Neumann functions:

\[
Ne_m(hz) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} N_{2n}(hz) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{hz}} \sin [hz - \frac{\pi}{4} (2n + \frac{1}{2})]
\]

\[
(5.3.84)
\]
This solution has singularities at \( z = \pm 1 \) and is, of course, independent of \( S_{\pm m} \) and \( J_{\pm m} \). The series of Eq. (5.3.84) cannot be used for \( |z| \leq 1 \) (as a matter of fact it does not converge well for small values of \( z \) just greater than 1), and a different expansion must be used. Since the second solution for the range \( |z| \leq 1 \) is rarely needed in physical problems (since they are not periodic in \( \phi \)), we shall not go into the matter further.

The Laplace Transform and the Separated Wave Equation. Before we continue with our discussion of the Mathieu and spheroidal functions, it will be illuminating to introduce an extremely important technique of solution of the wave equation, which will be used extensively in later chapters. Here we shall use it only to bring out a new point of view with regard to the Laplace transform which will enable us to set up new integral representations practically at will. To do this we return to the discussion of the separation of the wave equation in two dimensions, discussed on pages 498 to 504.

If the coordinates \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) are separable and represent a conformal transformation from \( x, y \), the Helmholtz equation can be put into the form

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_2^2} + k^2 g_{\xi_1}(\xi_1) + o(\xi_2) |\psi| = 0
\]

(5.3.85)

Any solution of the Helmholtz equation, whether it separates into factors in \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \), or not, must be a solution of this equation. For instance, \( e^{ik_1x} \) or \( J_0(kr) \), when \( x \) or \( r \) is expressed in terms of \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \), satisfies Eq. (5.3.85).

If the solution happens to separate in these coordinates, \( \psi = X_1(\xi_1)X_2(\xi_2) \), then \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are solutions of the separated equations

\[
(d^2X_1/d\xi_1^2) + k^2 |g_{\xi_1}(\xi_1) - \alpha^2|X_1 = 0; \quad (d^2X_2/d\xi_2^2) + k^2 |g_{\xi_2}(\xi_2) + \alpha^2|X_2 = 0
\]

where \( \alpha^2 \) is the separation constant.

The point of reviewing this material here is that we can now show that any solution of the Helmholtz equation is a suitable kernel for the integral representation of one of the separated solutions \( X_1 \) in terms of the other \( X_2 \). Suppose that \( K(z,t) \) is a solution of the Helmholtz equation \( \nabla^2 K + k^2 K = 0 \), expressed in terms of the coordinates \((z = \xi_1, t = \xi_2)\), to obviate subscripts) in which the solutions \( X_1, X_2 \) separate. For instance, \( K \) can be \( e^{i\alpha z} \), and the coordinates for separation can be polar coordinates \((z = \ln r, \ t = \phi)\); then \( K = e^{i\alpha \omega t} \). Then \( K \) satisfies Eq. (5.3.85)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial z^2} + k^2 g_1(z)K = - \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial t^2} - k^2 g_2(t)K
\]

If now the separated function \( X_1(z) \) is to satisfy the equation

\[
\mathcal{L}_z(X_1) = (d^2X_1/dz^2) + k^2 (g_1 - \alpha^2)X_1 = 0
\]
Integral Representations

then the integral representation $X_1(x) = \int K(x,\theta)\psi(\theta)\,d\theta$ can be used. For

$L_x(K) = \mathfrak{M}_x(K) = - (d^2 K/d\theta^2) - k [g_2(\theta) + c^2] K$

and because of the absence of the first derivative term and the fact that the second derivative has no factor in $t$, the adjoint operator $\mathfrak{M}_x = 2\pi$. Therefore the equation for $\psi$,

$\left( d^2 \psi/d\theta^2 \right) + k^2 [g_2(\theta) + c^2] \psi = 0$

is just the equation satisfied by the separated factor $X_2$, which goes along with $X_1$ to form the factored solution of the Helmholtz equation.

What we have just demonstrated is that, if $K(x,\theta)$ is any solution of $(\nabla^2 + k^2)K$, expressed in terms of separable coordinates $x$ and $\theta$, and if a separated solution in the coordinate $t$ is $X_2(t)$, a separated solution in the coordinate $x$ is given by the representation

$X_1(x) = \int K(x,\theta)X_2(\theta)\,d\theta \tag{5.3.86}$

and $X_1(x)X_2(\theta)$ is a separated solution of the equation $(\nabla^2 + k^2)X_1X_2 = 0$ in the coordinates $x, \theta$. If $X_2$ is a simpler function than $X_1$, we have obtained an integral representation of a complicated function in terms of simpler ones (if $K$ is sufficiently simple).

As a first example of this we can take the polar coordinates $\xi_1 = \ln r$, and $\xi_2 = \phi$, where Eq. (5.3.83) takes the form [see Eq. (5.1.13)]

$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi_2^2} + k^2 \sin \phi \psi = 0$

A solution of $(\nabla^2 + k^2)K = 0$ is $K = e^{ikr} = \exp (ikr \cos \phi) = e^{ikr \cos \phi}$ and a solution of the equation for the $\phi$ factor is $\cos(\alpha \phi)$ (where $\alpha$ is the separation constant). Therefore a solution of the equation for the $r$ factor is

$X_2(r) = \int e^{ikr \cos \phi} \cos(\alpha \phi)\,d\phi$

and from Eq. (5.3.65), we see that this is, indeed, an integral representation of the Bessel function $J_\alpha(kr)$ if the integration is between 0 and $2\pi$. A complete separated solution for the separation constant $\alpha$ is $J_\alpha(kr) \cos(\alpha \phi)$.

We could, of course, have used any of the other solutions for $X_2$, such as $\sin(\alpha \phi)$ or $e^{i\alpha \phi}$, etc., to obtain other representations of Bessel or Neumann functions. Or we could have used other wave solutions for the kernel. Or we could have turned the factors around and expressed the trigonometric functions in terms of an integral of Bessel functions of the sort

$\int e^{ikr \cos \phi} J_\alpha(kr^2)\,dz = \int e^{ikr \cos \phi} J_\alpha(kr) \frac{dr}{r}$
which turns out, if the integration is from zero to infinity, to be proportional to $e^{i\theta}$. To express a simple exponential in terms of a Bessel integral, however, is somewhat of a work of supererogation.

Moving on to the Mathieu functions, we can use the coordinates $i\theta = \xi_1 - \beta$, $\phi = \xi_2$ given in Eq. (5.1.16) where $x = d \cos \delta \cos \phi$, $y = i d \sin \delta \cos \phi$. We again use the kernel $e^{ik\xi} = e^{ik\cos \delta \cos \phi (h - k\cos \phi)}$ and obtain the integral representation

$$X_1(\theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\xi_1 \cos \phi} X_1(\phi) \, d\phi$$

where both $X_1$ and $X_2$ satisfy the equation [see Eq. (5.2.66)]

$$(d^2X/d\phi^2) + [b - h^2 \cos^2 \phi]X = 0$$

where $\phi$ is either $\phi$ or $\theta$ and $X$ is either $X_1$ or $X_2$. Here we have complete symmetry, so that, if limits of integration and constant factors are fixed properly, $X_1 = X_2$ and the equation is an *integral equation* for $X$ instead of an integral representation. From Eqs. (5.3.81) to (5.3.83) we see that the solution $S\xi_2n(h, \cos \delta)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$S\xi_2n(h, \cos \delta) = \lambda_{2n} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2i\xi_1 \cos \phi} S\xi_2n(h, \cos \phi) \, d\phi$$

$$\lambda_{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi B_{2n}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\mu B_{2n} = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi B_{2n}} \right) S\xi_2n(h, \cos \delta)$$

(5.3.87)

We could just as well have used $e^{i\theta} = e^{i\xi_1 \cos \phi \cos \phi}$ for our kernel. In fact it is possible to show by this means (see analogous derivation on page 635) that

$$J\xi_2n(h, \cosh \mu) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{S\xi_2n(h, \cosh \mu)} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\mu B_{2n} J\xi_2n(h, \cosh \mu)$$

(5.3.88)

where $\mu_1 = \xi_1 - \beta = i\theta$.

A much more important and useful expansion for $J\xi$ is obtained by using an integral representation with a Bessel function kernel. A solution of the Heineholtz equation, in polar coordinates, is

$$J\xi(kr) = J\xi(k \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) = J\xi(h \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + \cos^2 \phi} - 1) = J\xi(h \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\phi})$$

Therefore another solution of the Mathieu equation (5.2.66) is the integral

$$\int_0^{2\pi} J\xi(h \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\phi}) S\xi_2n(h, \cos \phi) \, d\phi$$

It is finite for all real values of $\phi$, is periodic in $\phi$, and is even in $\phi$ (i.e., its value does not change on reversal of the sign of $\phi$). Therefore it must be proportional to $S\xi_2n(h, \cos \delta)$. That is, we have obtained
another integral equation

$$S_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}(h, \cos \vartheta) = \nu_{2n} \int_0^{2\pi} J_n(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \cos 2\vartheta + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\varphi}) \, d\varphi$$

(5.3.89)

In order to determine \(\nu_{2n}\), what is more important, to develop a new expansion of \(J_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}\), we utilize Eq. (5.3.66) to expand the kernel. In Eq. (5.3.66) we set \(\phi = 2\varphi, x = \frac{1}{2}he^{i\vartheta}, y = -\frac{1}{2}he^{-i\varphi}, \) obtaining

$$J_n(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \cos 2\vartheta + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\varphi}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n J_n(\frac{1}{2}he^{i\vartheta}) J_n(\frac{1}{2}he^{-i\varphi}) \cos(2n\varphi)$$

combining this with the Fourier series for \(S_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}\) (see page 634) we finally obtain

$$S_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}(h, \cos \vartheta) = 2\pi \nu_{2n} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} J_n(\frac{1}{2}he^{i\vartheta}) J_n(\frac{1}{2}he^{-i\varphi})$$

Setting \(\vartheta = 0\) gives us an expression for the constant

$$\nu_{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} J_n^2 \left( \frac{h}{2} \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

since \(S_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}(h, 1) = 1\).

It can be shown that \(\int_0^{2\pi} J_n(\vartheta) \, d\vartheta = 2\pi J_n^2 \left( \frac{h}{2} \right)\). From Eqs. (5.3.82) and (5.3.83), with \(z = \cos \vartheta\), by integrating over \(\vartheta\) we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} S_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}(h, \cos \vartheta) \, d\vartheta = 2\pi R_{2n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{B_0} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} \int_0^{2\pi} J_n(\cos \vartheta) \, d\vartheta$$

$$= 2\pi \frac{R_{2n}}{B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} J_n^2 \left( \frac{h}{2} \right)$$

from which we can finally obtain an expression for \(\nu_{2n}\) in terms of the \(B_{2n}\)'s alone:

$$\nu_{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi B_0} S_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}(h, 0) S_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}(h, 1)$$

**More on Mathieu Functions.** Collecting all our results for the even periodic functions of even order, we have that the angle function is

$$S_{\varepsilon_{\phi}}(h, \cos \varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} \cos(2n\varphi)$$
where the $B$’s are computed by the methods discussed on pages 564 to 567
and are normalized so that $\Sigma B_{2n} = 1$. The “radial” functions of the
first kind are

$$
Je_{2m}(h, \cosh \mu) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{S e_{2m}(h, 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-m} B_{2n} J_{2n}(h \cosh \mu)
$$

$$
= (-1)^m \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{S e_{2m}(h, 1) S e_{2m}(h, 0)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} \cosh(2n\mu)
$$

$$
= (-1)^m \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{S e_{2m}(h, 0)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} J_{2n}(h \sinh \mu)
$$

$$
= \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-m} B_{2n} J_n(\frac{k}{2} h \mu) J_n(\frac{k}{2} h \mu^{-1})
$$

(5.3.90)

Similarly, for the second radial solution we have

$$
N e_{2m}(h, \cosh \mu) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{S e_{2m}(h, 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-m} B_{2n} N_{2n}(h \cosh \mu)
$$

$$
= (-1)^m \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{S e_{2m}(h, 0)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} N_{2n}(h \sinh \mu)
$$

$$
= \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-m} B_{2n} N_n(\frac{k}{2} h \mu) J_n(\frac{k}{2} h \mu^{-1})
$$

(5.3.91)

The second series does not converge except for $\sinh \mu > 1$; the third
series converges quite satisfactorily for $\mu \geq 0$. For the normalization
of $Se_{2m}$ which we have used here, $Se_{2m}(h, 1) = \Sigma B_{2n} = 1$ and $Se_{2m}(h, 0) = \Sigma(-1)^kB_{2n}$, but the formulas have been written to hold for any
normalization.

In order to fit boundary conditions it is often important to know the
values of the slope and magnitude of both $Je$ and $N e$ at $\mu = 0$ (which
corresponds to the limiting elliptic cylinder, i.e., a strip of width $d = h/k$).
For the first solution we can easily see that for $\mu = 0$

$$
Je_{2m}(h, \cosh \mu) = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{B_0}{\Sigma(-1)^kB_{2n}} \frac{d}{d\mu} Je_{2m}(h, \cosh \mu) = 0
$$

To obtain the slope of $Ne$, we utilize the value of the Wronskian

$$
\Delta(Je, Ne) = Je_{2m} \frac{d}{d\mu} Ne_{2m} - Ne_{2m} \frac{d}{d\mu} Je_{2m} = 1
$$
which can be verified by using the asymptotic forms for $J_e, N_e$. Since
the second term is zero at $\mu = 0$, we must have that the slope of $N_e$
must equal the reciprocal of the value of $J_e$ at $\mu = 0$.

To find the value of $N_e$ at $\mu = 0$ is more difficult, for we must use
the expansion of the Neumann function about the origin. The most
straightforward method is to use values of $J$ and $N$ in the expansion

$$N c_{2n}(h, 1) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi / 2}}{B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} N_n \left( \frac{h}{2} \right) J_n \left( \frac{h}{2} \right)$$

which converges fairly well. Alternatively, according to page 568, $N_{c_{2n}}$
is a linear combination of $S c_{2n}$ and the second solution $F r_{2n}$, defined in Eq.
(5.2.79). Comparing slopes and values at $\mu = 0$, we have

$$N c_{2n}(h, \cosh \mu) = \left[ (-1)^n \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n B_{2n} \right] F c_{2n}(h, \cosh \mu)
+ [N c_{2n}(h, 1)] S c_{2n}(h, \cosh \mu)$$

which indicates how the solution behaves near $\mu = 0$.

So far we have discussed only the even Mathieu functions, the $S c$
functions, and their related solutions. There are also odd solutions for
different values of the separation constant ($b = b_{o_n}$). The analysis of
these solutions goes in an analogous way, with a few modifications due to
the change in symmetry. For instance, we cannot use the same integral
equation (5.3.80), for the integral over the sine series would be zero.

Returning to Eq. (5.3.81), we notice that, if $F(t)$ is a solution of Mathieu's
equation, then

$$\Psi(z) = A \sqrt{z^2 - 1} \int e^{i z t} F(t) \, dt$$

is also a solution. Changing to $z = \cosh \mu$, $t = \cosh \phi$ and integrating
once by parts we have (for odd values of $m$, for example)

$$J o_{2m+1}(h, \cosh \mu) = A \sinh \mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n+1} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin \phi e^{i \phi \cosh \mu \cos \phi} \sin (2n + 1) \phi \, d\phi$$

$$= \left( \frac{2\pi}{i h} \right) \cosh \mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n+1} e^{i (2n + 1)(2n + 1)} \cosh (2n + 1) J_{2n+1}(h \cosh \mu)$$

The rest of the procedure is carried through with similar changes and
results in
\[ J_{n+1}(h, \cosh \mu) = \tanh \mu \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} B_{2n+1}(h \cosh \mu) \]

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{m} \sqrt{\pi} S_{0}'(h,1)}{S_{0}(h,1) S_{0}(h,0)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n}(h \sinh [(2n + 1)\mu]) \]

\[ = \frac{(-1)^{m} \sqrt{\pi} S_{0}'(h,0)}{S_{0}(h,1) S_{0}(h,0)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n+1}(h \sinh \mu) \]

\[ = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{B_{1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} B_{2n+1}(\frac{1}{2}h \cosh \mu)J_{n}(\frac{1}{2}h \cosh \mu) - J_{n+1}(\frac{1}{2}h \cosh \mu) \]

\[ \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{h \cosh \mu}} \cos \left[ h \cosh \mu - \frac{1}{2}(2m + \frac{3}{2}) \right] \] (5.3.93)

where \( S_{0}' \) is the derivative of \( S_{0}(h \cos \phi) \) with respect to \( \phi \).

The second solutions \( N_{0} \) are built in an analogous manner. Since the first solution \( J_{0} \) has zero value at \( \mu = 0 \), the magnitude of \( N_{0} \) there is related to the slope of \( J_{0} \) through the Wronskian. The slope of \( N_{0} \) at \( \mu = 0 \) may be calculated by means of the double-Bessel series

\[ N_{2n+1}(h, \cosh \mu) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{B_{1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} B_{2n+1}(\frac{1}{2}h \cosh \mu)N_{n+1}(\frac{1}{2}h \cosh \mu) \]

\[ - J_{n+1}(\frac{1}{2}h \cosh \mu)N_{n}(\frac{1}{2}h \cosh \mu) \]

as was suggested for the values of the functions \( N_{e} \).

It is also possible to devise Mathieu functions of the third kind, similar to the Hankel functions, by combining the functions of first and second kind. For instance,

\[ H_{2n}(h, z) = J_{2n}(h, z) + i N_{2n}(h, z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} B_{2n}N_{2n}(hz) \]

and so on. But further discussion of the special properties of Mathieu functions may better be deferred to a later chapter, when we have a broader background of technique and have a more immediate need for the functions.

**Spheroidal Wave Functions.** The more general equation (5.2.60)

\[ (\frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} - 1)\psi'' + 2(a + 1)\psi' + (\frac{\alpha^{2}}{z^{2}} - b)\psi = 0 \]

turns up in the case of the spheroidal coordinates. If, for instance, the prolate spheroidal coordinates are \( \mu, \vartheta, \phi \), the separated equations are
\[ (d^2\Phi/d\delta^2) + m^2\Phi = 0 \]

\[
\frac{1}{\sin \delta} \frac{d}{d\delta} \left( \sin \delta \frac{dN}{d\delta} \right) - \left[ b + \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \delta} + h^2 \cos^2 \delta \right] N = 0
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\sinh \mu} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( \sinh \mu \frac{dR}{d\mu} \right) + \left[ b - \frac{m^2}{\sinh^2 \mu} + h^2 \cosh^2 \mu \right] R = 0
\]

(5.3.94)

The solution of the first equation requires that \( m \) be an integer if the solution is to be periodic. If in the second equation we set \( \cos \delta = z \), \( S = \sin^2 \delta \psi(z) \) or in the third we set \( \cosh \mu = z \), \( R = \sinh^2 \mu \psi(z) \), the equation for \( \psi \) will be of the form of Eq. (5.2.60), repeated above, with \( a \) equal to the integer \( m \).

On pages 573 and 575 we discussed the possibility of solving this equation in terms of a series of Gegenbauer functions. We indicated that a solution could be written in the form

\[
S_{m}(h, z) = (1 - z^2)^{m/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{2n} T_{2n}^{m}(z)
\]

(5.3.95)

when \( l \) is an even integer. When \( l \) is odd the sum is over \( d_{2n+1} T_{2n+1}^{m}(z) \). The corresponding values of the separation constant for which \( S \) is finite over the range \(-1 \leq z \leq 1\) are called \( b_{nl} \). The normalization of the \( d^{s} \)'s is to be such that \( S_{m} \) has the same value or slope at \( z = 1 \) as does \( T_{2n}^{m}(z) \). For instance, when \( m = 0 \), we require that \( S_{0}(h, 1) = T_{0}^{0}(1) = P_{0}(1) = 1 \) so that, for \( m = 0 \), \( \sum_{n} d_{2n} = 1 \) or \( \sum_{n} d_{2n+1} = 1 \).

The “radial” solutions, analogous to the \( J_{e}, J_{o} \) functions for the elliptic cylinder case, are obtained by using the integral of (5.3.67) together with the integral equation for these functions (which may be obtained by the Laplace transform or by the method of pages 636 to 639):

\[
\psi(h, z) = A (z^2 - 1)^{m/2} \int e^{iat} (1 - t^2)^{m/2} f(t) \, dt
\]

If \( f(t) \) is a solution of Eq. (5.2.60) for \( a = m \), then \( \psi \) is also a solution of the same equation. From Eq. (5.3.67), which can be rewritten for \( a = m \) to be

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} e^{iat} (1 - t^2)^{m} T_{2n}^{m}(t) \, dt = \frac{2(2n + 2m)!(-1)^{n}}{(2n)!(hz)^{m}} j_{2n+m}(hz)
\]

where \( j_{e}(x) = \sqrt{\pi/2x} J_{e+1}(x) \) is the spherical Bessel function mentioned on page 622. Combining these two equations, by setting in for \( f(t) \) the series for \( S_{m}(h, t) \), we can show that a solution of the “radial” equation for \( b = b_{nl} \) is
\[ j_{\nu+\mu}(h, \cosh \mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (2n + 2\mu)!}{(2n)!} \frac{(2n + 2\mu - 2\nu - 2\mu)!}{(2n + 2\mu)!} d_{2n} j_{\nu+2n}(h, \cosh \mu) \]

\[ \rightarrow \frac{1}{h \cosh \mu} \sin [h \cosh \mu - \frac{1}{2} \pi (\nu + m)] \] (5.3.96)

A second solution, \( n_{\nu+\mu}(h, \cosh \mu) \), may be obtained by substituting \( n_{\nu+2n}(h, \cosh \mu) \) for \( j_{\nu+2n}(h, \cosh \mu) \), where \( n \) is the spherical Neumann function defined on page 622.

Bessel function integral equations may also be obtained for these functions, but we shall find them easier to develop and discuss later in the book (Chap. 11). We shall conclude this section by a discussion of other types of integral representations which occasionally are useful.

Kernels Which Are Functions of \( zt \). We have already discussed the properties of the nucleus \( e^z \), connected with the Laplace transform. However, any nucleus of the form \( K(zt) \) has the property that \( z(dK/zt) = t(dK/zt) \). This, by itself, is not particularly useful, but if \( K(w) \) satisfies the differential equation

\[ \Re \left( w \frac{d}{dw} \right) K(w) = w^\nu \frac{d}{dw} \left( w \frac{d}{dw} \right) K(w) \]

where \( \Re[w(d/\nu)] \) is a comparatively simple differential operator, then we can use \( K \) as a kernel for some integral representations. A few examples will show the technique.

The Bessel function \( J_\nu(w) \) satisfies the equation

\[ \left[ w^2 \frac{d^2}{dw^2} + w \frac{d}{dw} - \nu^2 \right] J_\nu(w) = -w^\nu J_\nu(w) \]

Therefore any equation of the form

\[ \mathcal{L}_\nu(\psi) = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \left( \alpha z + \frac{1}{z} \right) \frac{d}{dz} + \left[ \alpha \mu - \frac{\nu^2}{z^2} \right] \psi = 0 \] (5.3.97)

may be solved by setting \( \psi = \int J_\nu(zt)v(t) \, dt \), for \( \mathcal{L}_\nu \) operating on \( J_\nu(zt) \) produces

\[ \rho \left. J''_\nu(w) + \frac{1}{w} J'_\nu(w) - \frac{\nu^2}{w^2} J_\nu(w) \right] + \alpha \frac{d}{dt} J_\nu(w) + \alpha \mu J_\nu(w) = 0 \]

The expression in the brackets is just equal to \( -J_\nu(w) \) so that

\[ \mathcal{L}_\nu(J_\nu, v) \, dt = \int \mathcal{L}_\nu(J_\nu) v \, dt \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{L}_\nu(J_\nu) = \alpha(t/dt)J_\nu + (\alpha \mu - \nu \rho)J_\nu \]
The adjoint equation is
\[ \mathfrak{M}v = -(d/dt)(av) + [\alpha - \beta^2]v = 0 \]
with solution \( v(t) = A t^{\nu-1} e^{-\nu^2a} \) and bilinear concomitant
\[ P = \lambda \alpha t^{\nu-1} e^{-\nu^2a} J_\nu(tz) \]

Therefore a solution of Eq. (5.3.97) is
\[ \psi(z) = A \int_0^a t^{\nu-1} e^{-\nu^2a} J_\nu(tz) \, dt \quad (5.3.98) \]
as long as \( \text{Re} \mu + \text{Re} \nu > 0 \). This solution is of some interest, for the wave equation for parabolic coordinates, which can be written as
\[ F''' + \frac{1}{z} F' + \left( \gamma^2 + \frac{\nu^2}{z^2} - \beta^2 z^2 \right) F = 0 \]
has a solution
\[ F = \lambda e^{-\nu^2a} \int_0^a t^{\nu-1} e^{-\nu^2a} J_\nu(tz) \, dt \quad (5.3.99) \]
Finally, the equation
\[ (z^2 - 1)\psi'' + \left( z - \frac{1}{z} \right) \psi' + \left( -\nu^2 + \frac{\nu^2}{z^2} \right) \psi = 0 \]
which has three regular singular points, at \( z = 0 \) and \( \pm 1 \), and one irregular point at infinity, also has a solution of the form
\[ \psi(z) = J_\nu(zt) v(t) \, dt \]
In this case the operator \( \mathfrak{M}(J) \) is
\[ \mathfrak{M}(J) = \lambda t^{\nu-1} \frac{d^3 J}{dt^3} + t \frac{dJ}{dt} + (t^2 - \nu^2)J \]
and the adjoint equation
\[ \mathfrak{M}(v) = \lambda \left[ \frac{d^2 v}{dt^2} + 3 \frac{dv}{dt} + \left( 1 - \frac{\nu^2 - 1}{t^2} \right) v \right] = 0 \]
is related to the Bessel equation again, having a solution \((1/t)J_\nu(t)\). The bilinear concomitant is
\[ P(J_\nu, v) = J_\nu(zt) J_\nu(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \left[ J_\nu(zt) J_\nu(t) \right] - 2J_\nu(zt) \frac{d}{dt} [tJ_\nu(t)] \]
and as long as \( \text{Re} \mu + \text{Re} \nu > -1 \), we can have that
\[ \psi(z) = \int_0^a J_\nu(zt) J_\nu(t) \, dt \]
This quantity is known to have a discontinuity at \( z = 1 \).
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There are a number of other integral representations which are of some value in quite special cases but are of little use on any other equation. Such solutions usually have to be found by trial and error or by "hunch." In any case there is little point in our spending time cataloging them or in trying to give recipes for when to use which. We have covered the field of the most useful transforms, and the others can be discovered in the literature.

Problems for Chapter 5

5.1 Set up the Helmholtz equation in conical coordinates and separate it. What are the shapes of the coordinate surfaces? For what physical problems would the equation be useful?

5.2 Set up the Laplace equation in bispherical coordinates and separate it. Show that the constant $k^2$ of Eq. (5.1.17) is $\frac{1}{4}$ and that $R = (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

5.3 Set up the Schrödinger equation for an electron in a diatomic molecule in the prolate spheroidal coordinates

$$\xi = \frac{(r_1 + r_2)}{a}; \quad \eta = \frac{(r_1 - r_2)}{a}; \quad \varphi = \tan^{-1}(y/x)$$

where $r_1$ is the distance from one nucleus and $r_2$ the distance from the other and the nuclei are supposed to lie at the points $z = \pm \frac{1}{2}a, x = y = 0$. Express $x, y, z$ in terms of $\xi, \eta, \varphi$, obtain the scale factors; set up the Schrödinger equation and the Stäckel determinant. Show that for the potential function $-(c_1/r_1) - (c_2/r_2)$ the Schrödinger equation separates. Obtain the separated equations.

5.4 The exponential coordinates of Prob. 1.9 are

$$\xi = \ln(x^2 + y^2) - z; \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) + z; \quad \varphi = \tan^{-1}(y/x)$$

Sketch the surfaces, find the scale factors, set up the wave equation, and show that the equation does not separate.

5.5 Hyperboloidal coordinates are defined by the equations

$$\lambda^2 = z^2(x^2 + y^2); \quad \mu^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - x^2 - y^2); \quad \varphi = \tan^{-1}(y/x)$$

Sketch some of the coordinate surfaces, compute the scale factors, set up the wave equation, and show that it does not separate.

5.6 Rotational coordinates are characterized by having an axis of rotational symmetry (the $z$ axis, for example), the coordinates being $\lambda(r, \varphi), \mu(r, \varphi)$, and $\varphi = \tan^{-1}(x/y) (r^2 = y^2 + z^2)$. The $\varphi$ factor, when $\varphi$ is unobstructed, is $\sin(m\varphi)$ or $\cos(m\varphi)$, and if we set the solution $\phi$ of the three-dimensional Laplace equation equal to $e^{\pm im\varphi(r, \varphi)/r}$, the equation for $\varphi$ is
Investigate the separability of this equation, for the coordinates \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \), as follows: Set \( z = x + \text{i}y \) and \( w = \lambda + \text{i}\mu \), so that \( z \) is a function of \( w \) or vice versa. Use the techniques of Eqs. (5.1.6) et seq. to show that the requirement that \( |z'|^2/r^2 - 4z'z''/(z - \bar{z})^2 \) be equal to \( f(\lambda) + g(\mu) \) gives rise to the equation

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial y^2} + \left( \frac{1 - m^2}{r^2} \right) \varphi = 0
\]

where

\[
F + 2\bar{z}G + z\bar{H} = \bar{F} + 2zG + z\bar{H}
\]

\[
F = (1/\varphi)(\varphi'' + 6(\varphi')^2 + \text{i}\varphi''\varphi') \quad \quad G = [2\varphi'' - (\varphi''/\varphi')] \quad \quad H = \varphi''/\varphi'
\]

and \( z' = dz/dw \), etc. Show that the most general solution of this equation is for

\[
d^2F/dz^2 = c_1; \quad d^2G/dz^2 = c_2; \quad d^2H/dz^2 = c_3
\]

which eventually results in the solution

\[
(dz/dw)^2 = a_0 + a_2 + a_2z^2 + a_3z^2 + a_4z^4
\]

Solve this equation for the various different sorts of coordinate systems \( z(w) \) which allow separation of the \( \lambda, \mu \) part of the Laplace equation:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \lambda^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \mu^2} + \left( \frac{1 - m^2}{r^2} \right) \varphi = 0
\]

Show that the case \( z = w \) is the usual cylindrical coordinate system; \( (z')^2 = z, \ z = \frac{1}{2}w^2 \) is the parabolic system; \( z' = z, \ z = e^w \) the spherical system; \( (z')^2 = z^2 \pm 1 \) the two spheroidal systems; and \( z' = 1 \pm z^2 \) the bispherical and the toroidal coordinate systems. Sketch the coordinate systems corresponding to \( (z')^2 = z^2 \) and \( (z')^2 = z^2 \).

**5.7** For the rotational coordinates discussed in Prob. 5.6 discuss the case \( (z')^2 = a(1 - z^2)(1 - k^2z^2) \), which results in \( z = a \text{sn}(w,k) \) [see Eq. (4.5.74)]. Sketch on the \( z = x + \text{i}y \) plane, for \( k' = 0.6 \), enough of the coordinate lines \( \lambda = \) constant, \( \mu = \) constant to indicate the nature of the system. Set up the \( \lambda, \mu \) part of the Laplace equation and separate it. For what physical problem would this coordinate system be useful?

**5.8** Analyze the rotational coordinate system (see Prob. 5.6) corresponding to the relation \( z = a \text{cn}(w,k) \; (z = x + \text{i}y; \; w = \lambda + \text{i}\mu) \). Sketch the shape of the coordinate lines, \( \lambda, \mu = \) constant on the \( z \) plane. Separate the Laplace equation in these coordinates. For what physical situation would this system be useful? [See Eq. (4.5.77) for definition of cn].

**5.9** Analyze the rotational coordinate system (see Prob. 5.6) corresponding to the relation \( z = a \text{dn}(w,k) \; (z = x + \text{i}y; \; w = \lambda + \text{i}\mu) \) [see Eq. (4.5.77)]. Sketch the coordinate system, separate the Laplace
equation, and indicate the physical situation for which this system would be appropriate.

5.10 Set up the Laplace equation in the polar coordinates \( r, \phi \), and separate it. Find the basic fundamental set of solutions of these two equations at the points \( r = a, \phi = 0 \).

5.11 The Schroedinger equation for an electron in the one-dimensional potential field \( V = (h^2/2M)x^2 \) is \( \psi'' + (k - x^2)\psi = 0 \), where \( k = 2M\hbar^2/h^2 \). One solution of this equation, for \( k = 1 \), is \( \psi_1 = \exp(-x^2) \). Find the basic fundamental set of solutions at \( x = 0 \).

5.12 Find the general solution of

\[
(d^2\psi/dx^2) - (6/x^2)\psi = x \ln x
\]

5.13 A solution of the Legendre equation

\[
(1 - x^2)\psi'' - 2x\psi' + 2\psi = 0
\]

is \( \psi = x \). Find the basic fundamental set at \( x = 0 \). What is the Wronskian for this set? What solution has the value 2 at \( x = 1 \)? What solutions have the value 2 at \( x = 0 \)? Why is the answer unique in one case and not in the other?

5.14 The Lame equation is

\[
\psi'' + \left[ \frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{\nu}{x^2} + \frac{\nu^2}{x^2 - \beta^2} \right] \psi' + \frac{k - m(m + 1)x^2}{(x^2 - \alpha^2)(x^2 - \beta^2)} \psi = 0
\]

Locate the singular points of this equation, and give the indices of the solutions at each point. What is the basic fundamental set of solutions about \( x = 0 \)? What is the Wronskian for this set?

5.15 Show that the only singular point of

\[
\psi'' - 2ax\psi' + [E + 2bcz - (a^2 - b^2)x^2]\psi = 0
\]

is the irregular point at \( x \to \infty \). Show that, by setting \( \psi = \exp(ax + \beta z^2)F(z) \) and adjusting the values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), an equation for \( F \) will be obtained which will allow a series expansion of \( F \) about \( x \to \infty \). Write out three terms of this series. Write down an equation which will have only an irregular singular point of infinity, for which the solution will be \( \psi = \exp(ax + \beta z^2 + \gamma x^2)G(z) \) where \( G(z) \) is a series of the form \( a_0x^2 + a_1x^3 + \cdots \). Compare this equation with the above and with

\[
\psi'' + bx^2\psi = 0,
\]

which also has an irregular singular point at \( x \to \infty \). What can you suggest concerning the classification of irregular singular points?

5.16 In Eq. (5.2.26), with one regular singular point, the exponents of the two solutions about this point add up to \(-1\). For the equation with two regular singular points (5.2.28) the sum of the exponents of the solution about one point plus the sum of those for the solutions about the other \((\lambda + \mu - \lambda - \mu)\) add up to zero. What is the corresponding
statement concerning Eq. (5.2.36) for three regular singular points. What is the corresponding equation for four regular singular points and no irregular points, and what is the corresponding statement? By induction, what is the sum of the exponents about all singular points for an equation with $N$ regular points and no irregular points?

5.17 Show that a solution of

$$\psi'' + \left\{ -\frac{3}{4}(c-1)^2 + (ab - \frac{3}{4}c(a + b - c + 1)) \left( \frac{e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} \right) \right. $$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{4}(a + b - c + 1)(a + b - c - 1) \left( \frac{e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} \right)^3 \right\} \psi = 0$$

is

$$\psi = [1 - e^{-x}]^{(a+b+c-1)/2} \cdot F(a,b,c|e^{-x})$$

For what values of $a$, $b$, and $c$ is the hypergeometric series a finite polynomial and is $\psi$ finite in the range $0 \leq x \leq \infty$?

5.18 Set up the Schrödinger equation in one dimension for a particle of mass $M$ in a potential field $-(\hbar^2 A^2/2M) \text{sech}^2(x/d)$. Changing the independent variable into $z = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \tanh(x/d)$, show that the resulting equation in $z$ has three regular singular points. Express the solutions of this equation in terms of hypergeometric functions of $z$. What solution stays finite when $x \to -\infty$? Find the values of the energy for which this solution is a finite polynomial in $z$. Is this solution finite at $z \to \infty$?

5.19 Show that the equation for $\psi(x) = [x^c e^{-x^2}/\sqrt{x}] \cdot F(a|c|x)$, where $z$ is a function of $x$, is

$$\psi'' + \left\{ -\frac{3}{4}(c-1)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{x''}{x'} \right)^2 - c(a - 1) \left( \frac{x'}{x} \right)^2 + (c - a) \left( \frac{x'}{x} \right)^2 \right. $$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x'''}{x'} \right) \right\} \psi = 0$$

where $x' = dx/dx$, etc. Show that the equation for

$$\psi(x) = x^c(1 - z)^{(a+b-c+1)/2} \cdot F(a,b,c|x)$$

is

$$\psi'' + \left\{ -\frac{1}{4}c(2 - c) \left( \frac{x'}{x} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(a + b - c + 1)(a + b - c - 1) \left( \frac{x'}{x - 1} \right)^2 \right. $$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x''}{x'} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{x'''}{x'} \right) + [ab - \frac{3}{4}c(a + b - c + 1)] \left( \frac{x'}{x(z - 1)} \right) \right\} \psi = 0$$

What is the form of these equations for $z = x^n$? For $z = e^{-x}$?

5.20 Separate the Helmholtz equation in spherical coordinates, and show that the radial equation for $x = kr$,

$$\frac{1}{x^2} \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^2 \frac{d\psi}{dx} \right) + \left[ 1 - \frac{n(n + 1)}{x^2} \right] \psi = 0$$
has solutions \( j_n(x) = \sqrt{\pi/2} x J_{n+1/2}(x) \), \( n_n(x) = \sqrt{\pi/2} x N_{n+1/2}(x) \). Show that a solution of this equation is

\[
h_n(x) = \frac{(2n)!}{i^{2n+1} \pi^{n+1}} F(-n, -2n - 2i) \]

Show that its asymptotic form is \( e^{i\varphi} / x^{n+1} \pi \) and therefore that \( h_n = j_n + in_n \).

5.21 Set up the Schroedinger equation in the spherical coordinates \( r, \theta, \varphi \) for an electron in the potential field \( V = -e^2 Z/r \). Separate the equation, and show that the solution of the radial equation can be expressed in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function. Find the two asymptotic series for the solution which is independent of \( \theta \) and \( \varphi \). Find what values of the energy makes the asymptotic series break off and become a finite polynomial (in which case it is not an asymptotic series, it is an exact solution). For what energies is this solution finite for all values of \( r \) (0 \( \leq r \leq \infty \))?

5.22 What is the asymptotic series about \( \varphi = \infty \) for the spheroidal equation

\[
(x^2 - 1)\psi'' + 2(a + 1)\psi' + (h^2 x^2 - b)\psi = 0
\]

What is the asymptotic series about \( \varphi = 0 \) for the equation

\[
\psi'' + (2/x)\psi' + [(a/x^2) - (b/x^6)]\psi = 0
\]

5.23 A very approximate expression for the potential of a conduction electron in a metallic lattice is

\[
V = \frac{h^2}{2\mu} \int_0^L \left[ \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{L} \right) + \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi y}{L} \right) + \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi z}{L} \right) \right]
\]

Show that the separated equations all have the form of the Mathieu equation (5.2.67) with \( h^2 = VU/\pi^2 \) and \( b \) proportional to the energy of the electron. Using Eq. (5.2.71) compute values of the phase factor \( s \) for \( h = 1 \), for \( b = 0.3, 0.469, 1.0, 1.242, \) and 1.5. Which of these values of \( b \) results in an allowed solution (one which is finite for \( -\infty \leq x \leq \infty \))?

5.24 Using Eqs. (2.2.11) et seq., compute \( b_{\xi_0} \) and the Fourier coefficients of \( S_{\xi_0}(h, \cos \varphi) \) for \( h = 2 \).

5.25 Set up the Helmholtz equation in prolate spheroidal coordinates (VIII) and show that, if the solution is independent of \( \xi_0 \), then the equations for the \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) factors have the form

\[
(x^2 - 1)\psi'' + 2x\psi' + (h^2 x^2 - b)\psi = 0
\]

Discuss the singular points of this equation, and set up the three-term recursion formula for the coefficients of the series expansion about \( x = 0 \). Set up the continued fraction equation relating \( b \) and \( a \) which must hold if the series is to converge at \( x = \pm 1 \) (no negative powers of \( x \) and the series must converge) for the solution having zero slope at \( x = 0 \) for \( h = 1 \).
5.26 Expand the solution of the equation of Prob. 5.25 in spherical harmonics \( S_n(x) \) (see page 573), and obtain the three-term recursion formula for the coefficients. Obtain from this a continued fraction equation relating \( c_n \) and \( a \). Solve it for \( b \) for \( k = 1 \), and compare with the results of Prob. 5.25.

5.27 Use the Laplace transform to show that a solution of the equation

\[
[x f(D) + F(D)]\psi = 0
\]

where \( D\psi = d\psi/dx \), \( D^2\psi = d^2\psi/dx^2 \), etc., and where \( f \) and \( F \) are finite polynomials in powers of \( D \), is

\[
\psi = \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{ xt + \int f(t) dt \right\} \, dt
\]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are chosen so that

\[
\left[ \exp(xt + \int f \, dt) \right]_a^b = 0
\]

for all values of \( x \). Use this formula to compute the integral representation of the confluent hypergeometric function.

5.28 Show that a solution of the equation

\[
x(z - 1)(z - a)\psi'' - (\alpha - 1)x(2z - a)\psi' + \alpha(\alpha - 1)(z + 1)\psi = 0
\]

has the form

\[
\psi = A \int (z - t)^{\alpha - 1} (t - a)^{\beta - \alpha - 1} (t + 1)^{\alpha + \beta - 1} dt
\]

where \( \beta = (a + 1)/(a - 1) \). What must be the limits of integration in order that \( \psi \) be a solution? Which choice of limits and of \( A \) yields the solution which is unity at \( z = 0 \)? What is the behavior of this solution near the three other singular points?

5.29 Show that the solution of the equation

\[
(d^{n-1}\psi/dz^{n-1}) - z\psi = a
\]

is

\[
\psi = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} A_s e^{z \text{cis} \pi/n} \int_0^\infty \exp[z t \text{cis} \pi/n - (t^n/n)] dt
\]

where

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} A_s = a
\]

5.30 Show that a solution of the equation

\[
x(d^2\psi/dz^2) - \psi = 0
\]

is

\[
\psi = \int_0^\infty \sin(\pi u) \ e^{-u} u \ du
\]

5.31 Taking the definition of the gamma function and by changing variables of integration, show that

\[
\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q) = \Gamma(p + q) \int_0^1 u^{p-1}(1 - u)^{q-1} \, du = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\sin(\pi p) \sin(\pi q)} \int_0^\infty w^{p-q} (1 - w)^{q-1} \, dw
\]
where contour \(C\) is similar to that shown in Fig. 5.7, but about 0 and 1 (where is the integrand real?). Expand \(F(a,b|c|z)\) about \(z = 0\), and utilize the above equation to substitute for \(\Gamma(b+n)/\Gamma(c+n)\) in the series (set \(p = b + n, q = c - b\)), thus obtaining a series in \((uz)^n\) inside the contour integral. Show that this series may be summed, eventually obtaining

\[
F(a,b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(1-b)}{4\pi \sin \pi(c-b)} e^{\pi i c} \frac{\Gamma(1-a)}{\Gamma(a-b)} \frac{\Gamma(a-b-1)(1-u)^{a-1}(1-uz)^{-a}}{\int_C e^{t(z)} dt}
\]

Show that this is equivalent to the first part of Eq. (5.3.16).

5.32 Apply the Euler transform to show that a solution of

\[
(1 - z^2)y'' - 2zy' + [n(n + 1) - m^2/(1 - z^2)]y = 0
\]

is the associated Legendre function \((n, m\) not necessarily integers)

\[
P_n^m(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n + m + 1)}{2^n \pi^{n+1} (n + 1)} \int_0^\pi \frac{(\cos \varphi)^n \sin \varphi \cos \left(m, \alpha \right) \cos \left(m, \beta \right)}{(1 - \cos \varphi)^{n+1}} d\varphi
\]

where the contour goes about both \(+1\) and \(z\) in a positive direction. By modification of the integration variable show that

\[
P_n^m(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n + m + 1)}{\pi \Gamma(n + 1)} \int_0^\pi \frac{[z + (z^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \varphi]^{n+1} \cos \left(m, \alpha \right) \cos \left(m, \beta \right)}{(1 - \cos \varphi)^{n+1}} d\varphi
\]

Show that this function is equal to the one defined in Eq. (5.3.36). Show that these functions are involved in all potential and wave problems in spherical coordinates.

5.33 By use of Eqs. (5.3.33) et seq., show that, for \(n\) an integer,

\[
Q_n(z) = \frac{i}{\pi} P_n(z) \ln \left[\frac{(1 + z)/(1 - z)}{(1 + z)/(1 - z)}\right] - W_{n-1}(z)
\]

where \(W_{n-1}(z)\) is a polynomial in \(z\) of degree \(n - 1\). Thus show that, when \(z\) is a real quantity between \(-1\) and \(+1\),

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} [Q_n(z + \epsilon) - Q_n(z - \epsilon)] = \pi i P_n(x)
\]

5.34 Use Cauchy's theorem to show that

\[
Q_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \oint_{C_1} \frac{Q_n(w)}{w - z} dw - \oint_{C_2} \frac{Q_n(w)}{w - z} dw \right]
\]

where contour \(C_1\) is around \(w = \pm 1\) but is inside \(w = z\) and contour \(C_2\) is a circle of radius \(R \gg |z|\) and 1. Show that the integral around \(C_2\) is zero for \(n = 0\) or a positive integer. Reduce the contour \(C_1\) to a circuit close to the line between \(\pm 1\), and by use of the second result of Prob. 5.33, show that

\[
Q_n(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} P_n(w) \frac{dw}{(z-w)}
\]
5.35 Prove that polynomial \(W_n(z)\) of Prob. 5.33 is
\[
W_n(z) = \frac{2n-1}{n} P_{n-1}(z) + \frac{2n-5}{3(n-1)} P_{n-2}(z) + \frac{2n-9}{5(n-2)} P_{n-3}(z) + \ldots
\]

5.36 We may define the second solution of the hypergeometric equation about \(z = 0\) as
\[
u_2(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)} F(a, b; a + b - c + 1; 1 - z) - \frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(a - c - b)}{\Gamma(c - a) \Gamma(c - b)} (1 - z)^{a - c + b} F(a, b; c - a, c - b; c - a - b + 1; 1 - z)
\]
Show that this is equal to
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left[ \sin \pi(c - a) \sin \pi(c - b) + \sin \pi a \sin \pi b \right] F(a, b; c; z) \\
&+ \left[ \frac{2\pi z^{1-\varepsilon} \sin \pi c \Gamma(c) \Gamma(c - 1)}{\sin \pi(c - a) \sin \pi(c - b) - \sin \pi a \sin \pi b} \right] \frac{\Gamma(a - c + 1, b - c + 1; 2 - c; z)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - a) \Gamma(c - b)}
\end{align*}
\]
Show that the limiting form of this function, as \(c \to 1\), is the series given on page 668.

5.37 Prove that
\[
J_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\zeta - z\sin \zeta} \cos(m\zeta) \, d\zeta
\]

5.38 Find the asymptotic series for the Whittaker function \(U_{\frac{1}{2}}(a|c)\). From it, by the use of Eq. (5.3.3), obtain the relation
\[
U_{\frac{1}{2}}(a|c) = \frac{e^{-a\pi i}}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(t + a - c + 1) \Gamma(t + a)}{\Gamma(a - c + 1) \Gamma(t)} z^{-t} \frac{dt}{dt}
\]
What is the exact path of the contour? Duplicating the procedure used to obtain Eq. (5.3.5), obtain Eq. (5.3.58) (discuss the limits of convergence at each step).

5.39 Show that the radial factor for solutions of the Helmholtz equation in polar, spherical, and conical coordinates satisfies the Bessel equation
\[
\frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{dz} \left( z \frac{dJ}{dz} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{z^2} \right) J = 0
\]
Locate and describe the singular points; work out the first three terms in the series expansion for solution \(J_\lambda\) regular at \(z = 0\), about each. Use the Laplace transform to derive the integral representation of Eq. (5.3.53). Show that a second solution of this equation is
\[
N_\lambda(z) = \cot(\pi \lambda) J_\lambda(z) - \csc(\pi \lambda) J_{-\lambda}(z)
\]
Compute the first three terms in the series expansion of $N$, (for $\lambda$ not
an integer) about $z = 0$ and the first three terms in its asymptotic
expansion. Show that, for $\lambda = 0$,

$$N_0(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \left[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} J_\lambda(z) - (-1)^\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} J_{-\lambda}(z) \right]$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 + \lambda^2}} \right) + \gamma \right] J_0(z) - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)^m}{(m!)} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 + \lambda^2}} \right)^{2m} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{m} \frac{1}{s} \right]$$

5.40 Show that a solution of the Schrödinger equation in parabolic
coordinates $x = \sqrt{\lambda} \mu \cos \varphi$, $y = \sqrt{\lambda} \mu \sin \varphi$, $z = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \mu)$, $r = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu)$
for a particle of mass $M$ in a potential $V = \eta^2/r$ is

$$\psi = N e^{-i\varphi/\eta} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \mu)r}$$

\[ F \left( \frac{\lambda m + \frac{1}{2} - \sigma}{\lambda^2} + 1 | i k \right) F \left( \frac{\lambda m + \frac{1}{2} - \tau}{\lambda^2} + 1 | i k \right) \]

where $\lambda + \tau = -i(M\eta^2/\hbar)$ and where $\lambda^2 = (2ME/\hbar)^2 = (M\mu/\hbar)^2$.

Show that, for $m = 0$, $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$, and $N = \frac{1}{2} - i(\eta^2/\hbar^2) e^{i\theta(\eta^2/r)}$, the
solution has an asymptotic form

$$\psi \rightarrow \exp \left[ ikz - i(\eta^2/\hbar) \ln k(r - z) \right]$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{\eta^2 \exp \left[ i(\eta^2/\hbar) \ln (1 - z/r - 2id) \right]}{M\eta^2(r - z)} \right\} \exp \left[ ikr + \left( \frac{i\eta^2}{\hbar} \right) \ln (kr) \right]$$

where $1[1 - i(\eta^2/\hbar)] = |1=e^{i\theta}$. Discuss the physical significance of this
result and obtain the Rutherford scattering law.

5.41 In a manner analogous to that indicated in the text for
$J_{2m_0}(h, \cosh \mu)$, prove the series expansions for the “radial” function $J_{2m+1}$:

$$J_{2m+1}(h, \cosh \mu) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{B_1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1)^n w_n B_{2n+1} J_{2n+1}(h, \cosh \mu)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{B_1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1)^n w_n B_{2n+1} \left[ J_n(\sqrt{h} e^{-\mu}) J_{n+1}(\sqrt{h} e^{-\mu}) - J_{n+1}(\sqrt{h} e^{-\mu}) J_n(\sqrt{h} e^{-\mu}) \right]$$

where $B_{2n+1}$ are the coefficients of the Fourier series for the “angle”
function $\phi_{2n+1}(h, \cos \theta)$ as defined on page 505.

5.42 By the use of the Laplace transform, show that, if $u(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} K(t) \, dt$ and $v(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt$, then $f(t) = \int_0^\infty K(x - t) \, dt$.

From this, prove that

$$n \int_0^\infty J_n(x - t) J_n(t) \left( \frac{dt}{t} \right) = J_{n+1}(x)$$

where $J_n$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order $n$. 

Original document page 504.
Table of Separable Coordinates in Three Dimensions

The coordinate system is defined by the interrelations between the rectangular coordinates \( x, y, z \) and the curvilinear coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) or by the scale factors \( h_n = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^2} \), etc., having the property (see page 24)

\[
ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 = \sum_n h_n^2 (d\xi_n)^2
\]

The expressions for the Laplacian, gradient, curl, etc., in terms of the \( h_n \)'s are given in the table on page 115. The standard partial differential equation \( \nabla^2 \psi + k_n^2 \psi = 0 \) becomes

\[
\sum_n \frac{1}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ \frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{h_n^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} \right] + k_n^2 \psi = 0
\]

where \( k_n^2 = 0 \) for the Laplace equation, \( k_n^2 = \text{constant} \) for the wave equation, and \( k_n^2 = \epsilon - V(\xi) \) for the Schrödinger equation for a single particle in a potential field \( V \).

For separation the quantity \( h_1 h_2 h_3 / h_n^2 \) must factor as follows:

\[
h_1 h_2 h_3 / h_n^2 = g_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) f_1(\xi_3); \quad \text{etc.}
\]

The Stäckel determinant is

\[
S = \begin{vmatrix}
\Phi_{11}(\xi_1) & \Phi_{12}(\xi_1) & \Phi_{13}(\xi_1) \\
\Phi_{21}(\xi_2) & \Phi_{22}(\xi_2) & \Phi_{23}(\xi_2) \\
\Phi_{31}(\xi_3) & \Phi_{32}(\xi_3) & \Phi_{33}(\xi_3)
\end{vmatrix}
= \frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{f_1(\xi_1) f_2(\xi_2) f_3(\xi_3)}
\]

The first minor of \( S \) for the element \( \Phi_{m1}(\xi_m) \) is related to the scale factors by the equation

\[
M_m = \partial S / \partial \Phi_{m1} - S / h_m^2
\]

where

\[
M_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \Phi_{22} \Phi_{33} - \Phi_{23} \Phi_{32}; \quad M_2(\xi_1, \xi_3) = \Phi_{13} \Phi_{33} - \Phi_{13} \Phi_{33}; \quad M_3(\xi_1, \xi_3) = \Phi_{12} \Phi_{33} - \Phi_{12} \Phi_{33};
\]

Therefore

\[
\sum_m \frac{\Phi_{m1}(\xi_m)}{h_m^2} = \frac{1}{S} \sum_m \Phi_{m1} M_m = \delta_{m1}
\]

and also

\[
g_1(\xi_2, \xi_3) = \frac{h_1 h_2 h_3}{f_1 h_1^2} \left( M_1 \right) \left( \frac{S f_2 f_3}{f_1} \right) = M_1(\xi_2, \xi_3) f_2(\xi_3) f_3(\xi_3); \quad \text{etc.}
\]

The standard partial differential equation then becomes

\[
\sum_m \frac{M_m}{S} \left[ \frac{1}{f_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_m} \left( f_m \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_m} \right) \right] + k_n^2 \psi = 0
\]
and the three separated equations, for the wave equation \((k^2 - \text{constant})\) are, \(\psi = X_1(\xi_1)X_2(\xi_2)X_3(\xi_3)\),
\[
\frac{1}{f_m(\xi_m)} \frac{d}{d\xi_m} \left[ f_m(\xi_m) \frac{dX_m}{d\xi_m} \right] + \sum_n \Phi_{mn}(\xi_m)k_m^2X_m = 0
\]
where \(k_1^2\) and \(k_2^2\) are the separation constants. The partial differential equation is obtained by multiplying the \(m = 1\) equation by \((M/S)X_2X_3\), and so on, for \(m = 2\) and \(3\), and then summing over \(m\).

For the Schroedinger equation to separate the potential \(V\) must have the form
\[
V = \sum_m \frac{v_m(\xi_m)}{h_m^2} = \sum_m \left( \frac{M_m}{N} \right) v_m(\xi_m)
\]
where \(v_m\) is a function of \(\xi_m\) alone. The separated equations are, in this case,
\[
\frac{1}{f_m} \frac{d}{d\xi_m} \left[ f_m \frac{dX_m}{d\xi_m} \right] + \left[ \sum_n \Phi_{mn} \xi_n - v_m \right] X_m = 0
\]
where \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_3\) are the separation constants.

The following table lists the scale factors \(h_m\), the related functions \(f_m\), and the Stäckel determinant for the 11 different separable three-dimensional coordinates for the wave equation. The singular points of the three separated equations in their canonical forms are also given. In the cases where alternate scales for the coordinates are sometimes used, these alternate expressions are also given. The general forms for the potential function \(V\) for separation of the Schroedinger equation are also given.

**I Rectangular Coordinates**
\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \xi_1, & y &= \xi_2, & z &= \xi_3, & h_1 &= h_2 = h_3 = 1; & f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 1 \\
S &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & 1/S &= 1 \\
\end{align*}
\]
Irregular singular point at infinity all three equations.

General form for \(V = u(x) + v(y) + w(z)\)

**II Circular Cylinder Coordinates** (rotational) (Fig. 5.15)
\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \xi_1 \xi_2; & y &= \xi_1 \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}; & z &= \xi_3; & h_1 &= h_2 = 1; & h_3 = \xi_1 / \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2} \\
f_1 &= \xi_1; & f_2 &= \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}; & f_3 &= 1; & \xi_1 = r; & \xi_2 = \cos \phi; & \xi_3 = z \\
S &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(1/\xi_2^2) & -1 \\ 0 & 1/(1 - \xi_3^2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & 1/S &= \frac{1}{1 - \xi_3^2} \\
\end{align*}
\]
Equation for \(\xi_1\), regular singular point at 0, irregular singular point at \(\infty\).

Equation for \(\xi_2\), regular singular points at \(-1, +1, \infty\).

Equation for \(\xi_3\), irregular singular point at \(\infty\).

General form for \(V = u(r) + (1/r^2)v(\phi) + w(z)\)
## III Elliptic Cylinder Coordinates

\[ x = \xi_1 \xi_2; \quad y = \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 - d^2)(1 - \xi_2^2)}; \quad z = \xi_3; \]

\[ h_1 = \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 - d^2)(1 - \xi_2^2)}; \quad h_2 = \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 - d^2\xi_2^2)/(1 - \xi_2^2)}; \quad h_3 = 1 \]

\[ f_1 = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 - d^2}; \quad f_2 = \sqrt{1 - \xi_2^2}; \quad f_3 = 1 \]

\[ \xi_1 = d \cosh \mu = \frac{1}{2}(r_1 + r_2); \quad \xi_3 = z; \quad \xi_2 = \cos \varphi = (1/2d)(r_1 - r_2) \] (see page 556)

\[ S = \begin{vmatrix}
1 & -1/(\xi_1^2 - d^2) & -1 \\
\xi_1^2 & 1/(1 - \xi_1^2) & -d^2 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{vmatrix} = (\xi_1^2 - d^2)(1 - \xi_2^2) \]

Equation for $\xi_1$ has regular singular points at $d, -d$, irregular singular point at $\infty$.

Equation for $\xi_2$ has regular singular points at $-1, +1$, irregular singular point at $\infty$.

Equation for $\xi_3$ has irregular singular point at $\infty$.

General form for $V = \{u(r_1 + r_2) + v(r_1 - r_2)\}/r_1r_2 + w(z)$. 

---

\[ \text{Fig. 5.15.} \]

\[ \text{Fig. 5.16.} \]
IV Parabolic Cylinder Coordinates

\[ x = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2); \quad y = \xi_1\xi_2; \quad z = \xi_3; \quad h_1 = h_2 = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}; \quad h_3 = 1; \]
\[ f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 1 \]
\[ S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \xi_1^2 & -1 \\ \xi_1^2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 \]

Equations for \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) have irregular singular points at \( \infty \).

General form for \( V = \{u(\xi_1) + v(\xi_2)/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + w(\xi_3) \}

V Spherical Coordinates (rotational)

\[ x = \xi_1\xi_2\sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}; \quad y = \xi_1\sqrt{(1 - \xi_2^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)}; \quad z = \xi_1\xi_2 \]
\[ h_1 = 1; \quad h_2 = \xi_1\sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}; \quad h_3 = \xi_1\sqrt{(1 - \xi_2^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)} \]
\[ f_1 = \xi_1^2; \quad f_2 = 1 - \xi_2^2; \quad f_3 = \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2} \]
\[ \xi_1 = r; \quad \xi_2 = \cos \delta; \quad \xi_3 = \cos \phi \]
\[ S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/\xi_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/(\xi_2^2 - 1) & 1/(\xi_3^2 - 1) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(1 - \xi_3^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)} \]

Equation for \( \xi_1 \) has regular singular point at 0, irregular singular point at \( \infty \).

Equations for \( \xi_2, \xi_3 \) have regular singular points at \( 1, 1, \infty \).

General form for \( V = u(r) + (1/r^2)v(\delta) + (1/r^2 \sin^2 \delta)w(\phi) \).
VI Conical Coordinates

\[ x = (\xi_1 / \alpha) \sqrt{(\alpha^2 - \xi_1^2) (\alpha^2 + \xi_1^2)}; \quad y = (\xi_1 / \beta) \sqrt{(\beta^2 + \xi_1^2) (\beta^2 - \xi_1^2)} \]
\[ z = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 / \alpha \beta; \quad \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1 \]
\[ h_1 = 1; \quad h_2 = \xi_1 \sqrt{ \frac{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}{(\alpha^2 - \xi_1^2)(\beta^2 + \xi_1^2)} }; \quad h_3 = \xi_1 \sqrt{ \frac{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}{(\alpha^2 + \xi_1^2)(\beta^2 - \xi_1^2)} }; \]
\[ f_1 = \xi_1^2; \quad f_2 = \sqrt{ (\alpha^2 - \xi_1^2)(\beta^2 + \xi_1^2) }; \quad f_3 = \sqrt{ (\alpha^2 + \xi_1^2)(\beta^2 - \xi_1^2) } \]
\[ \xi_1 = r; \quad \xi_2 = \alpha \text{cn}(\lambda, \alpha); \quad \xi_3 = \beta \text{cn}(\mu, \beta); \quad \text{cn} \text{ is one of the elliptic functions so that} \]
\[ x = r \text{dn}(\lambda, \alpha) \text{sn}(\mu, \beta); \quad y = r \text{sn}(\lambda, \alpha) \text{dn}(\mu, \beta); \quad z = r \text{cn}(\lambda, \alpha) \text{cn}(\mu, \beta) \]
\[ S = \begin{vmatrix}
1 & \frac{1}{\xi_1^2} & \frac{1}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \xi_1^2} \\
0 & \frac{1}{\xi_2^2 - \alpha^2} & \frac{1}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(\xi_1^2 + \beta^2)} \\
0 & \frac{1}{\xi_3^2 + \alpha^2} & \frac{1}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(\xi_1^2 - \beta^2)} 
\end{vmatrix} = \frac{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}{(\alpha^2 - \xi_1^2)(\beta^2 + \xi_1^2)(\alpha^2 + \xi_1^2)(\beta^2 - \xi_1^2)} \]

Equation for \( \xi_1 \) has regular singular point at 0, irregular singular point at \( \infty \).

Equation for \( \xi_2 \) has regular singular points at \( \pm \alpha, \pm \beta, \infty \).

Equation for \( \xi_3 \) has regular singular points at \( \pm i \alpha, \pm i \beta, \infty \).

General form for \( V = u(r) + [v(\xi_1) + w(\xi_2)]/(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) \).
VII Parabolic Coordinates (rotational)

\[ x = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3; \quad y = \xi_1 \xi_2 \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}; \quad z = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) \]

\[ h_1 = h_2 = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}; \quad h_3 = \xi_1 \xi_2 / \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2} \]

\[ f_1 = \xi_1; \quad f_2 = \xi_2; \quad f_3 = \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2} \]

\[ \xi_1 = \lambda; \quad \xi_2 = \mu; \quad \xi_3 = \cos \varphi \]

If \( r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \), then \( \xi_1^2 = r + z \) and \( \xi_2^2 = r - z \).

\[ S = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1/\xi_3^2 & 1/\xi_3^2 \\ 1/\xi_3^2 & 1 & 1/\xi_3^2 \\ 1/\xi_3^2 - 1 & 1/\xi_3^2 & 1 - \xi_3^2 \end{vmatrix} = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 \]

Equations for \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \) have regular singular points at 0, irregular singular points at \( \infty \).

Equation for \( \xi_3 \) has regular singular points at \(-1, +1, \infty \).

General form for \( V = \frac{[u(\xi_1) + v(\xi_2)]}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} + \frac{w(\xi_3)}{x^2 + y^2} \).
VIII Prolate Spheroidal Coordinates (rotational)

![Diagram of prolate spheroidal coordinates]

Fig. 5.21.

\[ x = \xi_1 \sqrt{\xi_1^2 - d^2} (1 - \xi_2^2); \quad y = \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 - d^2)(1 - \xi_2^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)}; \quad z = \xi_1 \xi_2 \]

\[ h_1 = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 - d^2}; \quad h_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\xi_1^2 - d^2 \xi_2^2}{1 - \xi_2^2}}; \quad h_3 = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_1^2 - d^2)(1 - \xi_2^2)}{(1 - \xi_3^2)}} \]

\[ \xi_1 = d \cosh \mu = \frac{1}{2}(r_1 + r_2); \quad \xi_2 = \cos \vartheta = (1/2d)(r_1 - r_2); \quad \xi_3 = \cos \varphi \]

\[ S = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & d^2 \\ \xi_1^2 - d^2 & (\xi_1^2 - d^2)^2 \\ 1 & (\xi_1^2 - 1)^2 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{(\xi_1^2 - d^2 \xi_2^2)}{(\xi_1^2 - d^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)(1 - \xi_2^2)} \]

Equation for \( \xi_1 \) has regular singular points at \(-d, +d\), irregular singular point at \( \infty \).

Equation for \( \xi_2 \) has regular singular points at \(-1, +1\), irregular singular point at \( \infty \).

Equation for \( \xi_3 \) has regular singular points at \(-1, +1, \infty \).

General form for \( V = \frac{u(r_1 + r_2) + v(r_1 - r_2)}{r d_3} + \frac{w(\varphi)}{\sinh \mu \sin \vartheta} \).
IX. Oblate Spheroidal Coordinates (rotational)

\[ x = \xi_1 \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + d^2} \left( 1 - \xi_2^2 \right); \quad y = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + d^2} \left( 1 - \xi_3^2 \right); \quad z = \xi_3 \xi_2 \]

\[ h_1 = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + d^2}; \quad h_2 = \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}; \quad h_3 = \sqrt{\left( \xi_1^2 + d^2 \right) \left( 1 - \xi_3^2 \right)} \]

\[ f_1 = \xi_1^2 + d^2; \quad f_2 = 1 - \xi_3^2; \quad f_3 = \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2} \]

\[ \xi_1 = d \sinh \mu; \quad \xi_2 = \cos \theta; \quad \xi_3 = \cos \varphi \]

\[
S = \begin{vmatrix}
1 & \frac{1}{\xi_1 + d^2} & -d^2 \\
\frac{1}{\xi_2^2 - 1} & \frac{1}{(\xi_2^2 - 1)^2} & \frac{(\xi_1^2 + d^2)\xi_2^2}{(\xi_1^2 + d^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{vmatrix}
\]

Equation for \( \xi_1 \) has regular singular points at \(-d, +d\), irregular singular point at \( \infty \).

Equation for \( \xi_2 \) has regular singular points at \(-1, +1\), irregular singular point at \( \infty \).

Equation for \( \xi_3 \) has regular singular points at \(-1, +1, \infty \).

General form for \( V = \frac{u(\xi_1) + v(\xi_2)}{\xi_1^2 + d^2\xi_2^2} + \frac{w(\xi_3)}{(\xi_1^2 + d^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)} \).
X Ellipsoidal Coordinates

\[ z = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_1^2 - a^2)(\xi_2^2 - a^2)(\xi_3^2 - b^2)}{a^2(a^2 - b^2)}}, \quad y = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_1^2 - b^2)(\xi_2^2 - b^2)(\xi_3^2 - a^2)}{b^2(a^2 - b^2)}}, \]

\[ z = \frac{\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3}{ab}, \quad h_1 = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_1^2 - a^2)(\xi_2^2 - a^2)(\xi_3^2 - b^2)}{(a^2 - b^2)}}, \quad h_2 = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_1^2 - a^2)(\xi_2^2 - b^2)}{(a^2 - b^2)}}, \]

\[ h_3 = \sqrt{\frac{(\xi_1^2 - a^2)(\xi_3^2 - b^2)}{(a^2 - b^2)}}, \]

\[ f_1 = \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 - a^2)(\xi_3^2 - b^2)}; \quad f_2 = \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 - b^2)(\xi_3^2 - a^2)}; \]

\[ f_3 = \sqrt{(\xi_2^2 - a^2)(\xi_3^2 - b^2)}; \]

\[ \frac{1}{(\xi_1^2 - a^2)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \xi_3^2 - a^2 & (\xi_3^2 - b^2)(a^2 - b^2) \\ \xi_2^2 - a^2 & 1 \\ \xi_2^2 - b^2 & (a^2 - b^2) \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ S = \frac{1}{(\xi_1^2 - a^2)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \xi_2^2 - a^2 & (\xi_2^2 - b^2)(a^2 - b^2) \\ \xi_3^2 - a^2 & 1 \\ \xi_3^2 - b^2 & (a^2 - b^2) \end{pmatrix} \]

Equations for \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) have regular singular points at \(-a, -b, +b, +a, \infty\).

General form for \( V = \frac{(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2)u(\xi_1) + (\xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2)u(\xi_2) + (\xi_3^2 - \xi_1^2)u(\xi_3)}{(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2)(\xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2)(\xi_3^2 - \xi_1^2)} \)

\[ \xi_1 = a \frac{dn(\lambda,k)}{cn(\lambda,k)}; \quad \xi_2 = a \frac{dn(\mu,k')}{cn(\lambda,k)}; \quad \xi_3 = b \frac{sn(\nu,k')}{cn(\lambda,k)}; \]

\[ b = ka; \quad \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} = k'u = d \]

\[ x = d \frac{sn(\lambda,k) sn(\mu,k') dn(\nu,k)}{cn(\lambda,k)}; \quad y = d \frac{cn(\mu,k') cn(\nu,k)}{cn(\lambda,k)}; \]

\[ z = a \frac{dn(\lambda,k) dn(\mu,k') sn(\nu,k)}{cn(\lambda,k)} \]
XI Paraboloidal Coordinates

\[
x = \sqrt{\left(\xi_1^2 - a^2\right)\left(\xi_2^2 - a^2\right)\left(\xi_3^2 - a^2\right)}; \\
y = \sqrt{\left(\xi_1^2 - b^2\right)\left(\xi_3^2 - b^2\right)}; \\
z = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 - a^2 - b^2)
\]

\[
h_1 = \xi_1 \sqrt{\left(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2\right)\left(\xi_1^2 - \xi_3^2\right)/\left(\xi_1^2 - a^2\right)\left(\xi_1^2 - b^2\right)}; \\
h_2 = \xi_2 \sqrt{\left(\xi_2^2 - \xi_1^2\right)\left(\xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2\right)/\left(\xi_2^2 - a^2\right)\left(\xi_2^2 - b^2\right)}; \\
h_3 = \xi_3 \sqrt{\left(\xi_3^2 - \xi_1^2\right)\left(\xi_3^2 - \xi_2^2\right)/\left(\xi_3^2 - a^2\right)\left(\xi_3^2 - b^2\right)}
\]

\[
f_1 = (1/\xi_1) \sqrt{\left(\xi_1^2 - a^2\right)\left(\xi_1^2 - b^2\right)}; \\
f_2 = (1/\xi_2) \sqrt{\left(\xi_2^2 - a^2\right)\left(\xi_2^2 - b^2\right)}; \\
f_3 = (1/\xi_3) \sqrt{\left(\xi_3^2 - a^2\right)\left(\xi_3^2 - b^2\right)}
\]

\[
S = \begin{vmatrix}
\xi_1^2 & \xi_1 & \xi_1^2 \\
\xi_2^2 & \xi_2 & \xi_2^2 \\
\xi_3^2 & \xi_3 & \xi_3^2 \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
\left(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2\right)\left(\xi_1^2 - \xi_3^2\right)\left(\xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2\right)
\]

Equations for \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\) have regular singular points at \(-a, -b, 0, +a, +b, +b\).

General form for \(V = \left(\xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2\right)u(\xi_1) + \left(\xi_3^2 - \xi_1^2\right)u(\xi_2) + \left(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2\right)u(\xi_3)
\]

\[
\xi_1 = a \frac{\text{dn}(\lambda, k)}{\text{cn}(\lambda, k)}; \\
\xi_2 = a \frac{\text{dn}(\nu, k')}{\text{cn}(\nu, k')}; \\
\xi_3 = a \sqrt{1 - \frac{k'^2}{\text{sn}^2(\mu, k)}}
\]

\[
b = ka; \\
\sqrt{a^2 - b^2} = k'a = \sqrt{d}; \\
x = d \frac{\text{sn}(\lambda, k) \text{sn}(\nu, k')}{\text{cn}(\lambda, k) \text{cn}(\nu, k')}; \\
y = d \frac{\text{sn}(\mu, k) \text{cn}(\nu, k')}{\text{cn}(\lambda, k) \text{cn}(\nu, k')}; \\
z = d \left[ \frac{\text{sn}(\lambda, k)}{\text{cn}(\lambda, k)} - \frac{\text{sn}(\nu, k')}{\text{cn}(\nu, k')} + \frac{\text{dn}(\mu, k)}{k'^2} \right]
\]
The Laplace equation in two dimensions separates in any coordinate system which is a conformal transform from the rectangular system, \(x, y\).

The Laplace equation in three dimensions separates in all the 11 coordinates, listed above, for which the wave equation separates. In addition, the solution of the Laplace equation can be separated into the following form:

\[
\psi = [X_1(\xi_1) X_2(\xi_2) X_3(\xi_3)/R(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)]
\]

and separated equations for the \(X\)'s can be found if the preceding equations are modified somewhat. We set

\[
h_1 h_2 h_3 / h_1^2 = g_1(\xi_3) f_1(\xi_1) R^2
\]

The Laplace equation then becomes

\[
\sum_n \frac{1}{h_n^2 X_n} \left[ \frac{1}{f_n} \frac{d}{d \xi_n} \left( f_n \frac{dX_n}{d \xi_n} \right) \right] = \sum_n \frac{1}{h_n^2 R} \left[ \frac{1}{f_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left( f_n \frac{\partial R}{\partial \xi_n} \right) \right]
\]

If the right-hand side of this equation is equal to \([-k_1^2/u(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)]\), where \(k_1\) is a constant, and if

\[
h_1 h_2 h_3 = S f_1 f_2 f_3 R^2 u
\]

where \(S\) is the Stäckel determinant, then the equation reduces to one where the previous techniques can be employed.

Two coordinate systems for which the Laplace equation separates in this sense are the bispherical and the toroidal systems:

**Bispherical Coordinates** (Fig. 5.25)

\[
x = a (1 - \xi_3); \quad y = a \sqrt{(1 - \xi_3)(1 - \xi_3)}; \quad z = a \frac{\sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1}}{\xi_1 - \xi_2};
\]

\[
h_1 = \frac{a}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1}}; \quad h_2 = \frac{a}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \sqrt{1 - \xi_2^2}};
\]

\[
h_3 = \frac{a}{\xi_1 - \xi_2} \sqrt{\frac{1 - \xi_2^2}{\xi_1^2 - 1}};
\]

\[
f_1 = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1}; \quad f_2 = 1 - \xi_3; \quad f_3 = \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2};
\]

\[
r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} = a \sqrt{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)/(|\xi_1 - \xi_2|)}; \quad k_1^2 = \frac{1}{a}
\]

\[
R^2 = \frac{a^2}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2}; \quad u = \frac{a^2}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2}; \quad \xi_1 = \cosh \mu; \quad \xi_2 = \cos \eta; \quad \xi_3 = \cos \varphi
\]

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\xi_1^2 - 1} & \frac{1}{\xi_1^2 - 1} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{1 - \xi_2^2} & \frac{1}{(1 - \xi_3^2)^2} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{1 - \xi_3^2}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{(\xi_1^2 - 1)(1 - \xi_3^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Toroidal Coordinates

\[ x = a \xi_3 \sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1}, \quad y = a \xi_2 \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 - 1)(1 - \xi_3^2)}, \quad z = a \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}, \]

\[ h_1 = \frac{a}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1}}, \quad h_2 = \frac{a}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}}, \]

\[ h_3 = -\frac{a}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2}}, \]

\[ f_1 = \xi_1^2 - 1; \quad f_2 = \sqrt{1 - \xi_2^2}; \quad f_3 = \sqrt{1 - \xi_3^2} \]

\[ r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} = a \sqrt{\xi_1 + \xi_2}(\xi_1 - \xi_3) \]

\[ R^* = \frac{a}{\xi_1 - \xi_2}; \quad u = \frac{a^2}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2}; \quad \lambda^* = \frac{1}{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^2}; \]

\[ \xi_1 = \cosh \mu; \quad \xi_2 = \cos \phi; \quad \xi_3 = \cos \varphi \]

\[ S = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 & -1 \\
(\xi_1^2 - 1) & (\xi_2^2 - 1) & (\xi_3^2 - 1) \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{1 - \xi_3^2}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
(\xi_1^2 - 1)(1 - \xi_3^2)(1 - \xi_3^2)
\end{bmatrix} \]
One Regular Singular Point (see page 536):
 Canonical form, point at $\infty$:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dz^2} = 0; \quad \text{solutions } y_1(z) = 1; \quad y_2(z) = z$$

General form, point at $a$:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dw^2} + \frac{2}{w-a} \frac{dy}{dw} = 0; \quad \text{solutions } y_1 = 1; \quad y_2 = \frac{1}{w-a}$$

One Irregular Singular Point (see page 537):
 Canonical form, point at $\infty$:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dz^2} - ky^2 = 0; \quad \text{solutions } y_1 = e^{kz}; \quad y_2 = e^{-kz}$$

General form, point at $a$:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dw^2} + \frac{2}{w-a} \frac{dy}{dw} - \frac{k^2y}{(w-a)^4} = 0; \quad \text{solutions } y_1 = e^{j(w-a)}, \quad y_2 = e^{-k(w-a)}$$

We do not consider equations with an irregular singular point of higher species.

Two Regular Singular Points (see page 536):
 Canonical form, points at $0, \infty$, indices $0$ and $-a \ (a \geq 0)$:

$$z \frac{d^2y}{dz^2} + (1 + a) \frac{dy}{dz} = 0; \quad \text{solutions } y_1 = 1; \quad y_2 = z^a$$

when $a = 0$, $y_2 = \ln z$.

General form, points at $a$ and $c$, indices $\lambda$ and $\mu \ (\lambda \neq \mu)$:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dw^2} + \frac{2w + c(\lambda + \mu - 1) - a(\lambda + \mu + 1)}{(w-a)(w-c)} \frac{dy}{dw} + \frac{\lambda \mu (a-c)^2}{(w-a)^2(w-c)} y = 0$$

Solutions $y_1 = \left(\frac{w-a}{w-c}\right)^\lambda$; \quad $y_2 = \left(\frac{w-a}{w-c}\right)^\mu$

when $\mu = \lambda$; $y_2 = \ln \left(\frac{w-a}{w-c}\right)$.

Three Regular Singular Points (see pages 538 and 589):
 Canonical form; points $0, 1, \infty$; indices $0, 1 - c$ (at $0$); $0, c - a - b$ (at $1$); and $a, b$ (at $\infty$). (We can always arrange it so that $\Re c \geq 1$, just as with the confluent hypergeometric function.)
\[ z(z-1) \frac{d^2y}{dz^2} + [(a+b+1)z - a] \frac{dy}{dz} + aby = 0 \]

(hypergeometric equation)

Solutions \( y_1(a,b,c|z) \) and \( y_2(a,b,c|z) \) about the singular points

Series expansion for \( y_1 \) for \(|z| < 1\), valid for Re \( c > 0 \)

\[
y_1^2 = F(a,b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)\Gamma(b+n)z^n}{\Gamma(c+n)n!}
\]

(hypergeometric series)

\[
F(a,b|c|z) = (1 - z)^{-c} F(c-a, c-b|c|z)
\]

\[
= (1 - z)^{-c} F\left( a, c - b, c \mid \frac{z}{z - 1} \right)
\]

\[
= (1 - z)^{-c} F\left( c - a, b | c \mid \frac{z}{z - 1} \right) \quad \text{for } z < \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
F(a,b|c|1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}
\]

\[
F(a,b|2b|z) = (1 - \frac{1}{2}z)^{-a} F\left[ \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2} \mid \frac{1}{2}|z| \right]
\]

\[
F(2\alpha,2\beta|\alpha+eta+\frac{1}{2}|z) = F(\alpha,\beta|\alpha+\beta+\frac{1}{2}|4z - 4z^2)
\]

\[
zF(a,b|c|z) = (c-1)/(a-b)[F(a-1, b|c-1|z) - F(a, b-1|c-1|z)]
\]

\[
F(a,b|c|z) = 1/(a-b)[aF(a+1, b|c|z) - bF(a, b+1|c|z)]
\]

\[
(d/dz)F(a,b|c|z) = (ab/c)F(a+1, b+1|c+1|z)
\]

A second solution about \( z = 0 \) is \( z^{-c} F(a - c + 1, b - c + 1|2 - c|z) \), but this is not independent of \( y_1^2 \) when \( c = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). An independent solution for all values of \( \text{Re} \, c \geq 1 \) is

\[
y_2^2 = G(a,b|c|z) = \left( \frac{\sin \pi(c-a) \sin \pi(c-b)}{\sin \pi(c-a) \sin \pi(c-b) - \sin \pi a \sin \pi b} \right) F(a,b|c|z)
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{2\pi^{-1-\epsilon} \sin \pi c \Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-1)}{\sin \pi(c-a) \sin \pi(c-b) - \sin \pi a \sin \pi b} \right) \cdot \frac{F(a-c+1, b-c+1|2-c|z)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}
\]

The series can be constructed from the definition of \( F \) except when \( c \) is an integer, by a limiting process we can show that

\[
G(a,b|1|z) = \frac{2 \sin (\pi a) \sin (\pi b)}{\pi \sin \pi(a+b)} \left\{ \ln z + 2\gamma + \psi(a) + \psi(b) + \frac{1}{2} \pi \cot(\pi a) + \frac{1}{2} \pi \cot(\pi b) \right\} F(a,b|1|z)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)\Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)n!} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{a+r} + \frac{1}{b+r} - \frac{2}{r+1} \right) z^n \right]
\]
For $m = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$

\[
G(a, b|m|z) = \frac{2 \sin(\pi a) \sin(\pi b)}{\pi \sin \pi(n + \frac{1}{2})} \left[ \ln z + \gamma + \psi(a) + \psi(b) - \psi(m) + \frac{1}{2} \pi \cot(\pi a) + \frac{1}{2} \pi \cot(\pi b) \right] F(a, b|m|z) \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + n) \Gamma(b + n) \Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(n + m) n!} \\
\cdot \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{a + r} + \frac{1}{b + r} - \frac{1}{r + 1} + \frac{1}{r + m} \right) \right] z^n \\
- \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(a - n) \Gamma(b - n) \Gamma(n) \Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(m - n)} (-z)^{-n}
\]

Integral representations for the first solution about $z = 0$:

\[
y_1(a, b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + b + t)}{2\pi i \Gamma(c + t)} \Gamma(-t) (-z)^t dt
\]

where the contour goes to the left of the points $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and to the right of the points $-a, -a - 1, -a - 2, \ldots$, and $-b, -b - 1, -b - 2, \ldots$ ($a, b$ cannot be negative integers).

\[
y_2(a, b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b)} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(t - z)^{-\alpha - \beta} (t - 1)^{-\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(c - t) \Gamma(t)} dt
\]

or

\[
y_3(a, b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)(1 - z)^{-\alpha - \beta}}{\Gamma(c - b) \Gamma(b)} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(t - z)^{-\alpha} t^{-\beta}(t - 1)^{-\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(1 - t) \Gamma(t)} dt
\]

when $\text{Re } c > \text{Re } b > 0$ and (for the second representation) when $b + 1$ is not a real number larger than unity. The letters $a$ and $b$ may be interchanged in these integrals to give representations of $y_1$ when $\text{Re } c > \text{Re } a > 0$.

\[
y_4(a, b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c) z^{1-\epsilon}}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b)} \int_{0}^{\infty} (z - t)^{-\beta - 1} t^{-\alpha - 1} (1 - t)^{-\alpha} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha - (1 - \beta - \alpha)}}{4\pi^2} \Gamma(c) \Gamma(1 - b) \Gamma(1 + b - c) z^{\beta - (1 - \alpha)}
\]

\[
\oint_{C} (t - z)^{-\beta - 1} t^{-\alpha - 1} (1 - t)^{-\alpha} dt
\]

where the contours $C$ are folded figure eights, going around $t = z$ in a positive direction (counterclockwise) around $t = 0$ in a positive direction,
then around $t = z$ and $t = 0$ in a negative direction. Range of validity for the contour integral is for any values of the parameters except for $-c + 1$, $+b$, and $c - b$ positive integers.

Solutions about $z = 1$ and $z = \infty$:

\[
y_1^1(a,b|c|z) = F(a,b|a + b - c + 1|1 - z); \quad \text{series valid for } |1 - z| < 1
\]

\[
y_2^1(a,b|c|z) = (1 - z)^{-c+b}F(c - a, c - b|c - a - b + 1|1 - z)
\]

though we should have to use $G(a,b|a + b - c + 1|1 - z)$ if $a + b - c + i = i, 2, 3, \ldots$

\[
y_2^2(a,b|c|z) = z^{-c}F(a, 1 - c + a|1 - b + a|1/z); \quad \text{series valid for } |z| > 1
\]

\[
y_2^3(a,b|c|z) = z^{-b}F(b, 1 - c + b|1 - a + b|1/z)
\]

though we should have to use $z^{-a}G(a, 1 - c + a|1 - b + a|1/z)$ if $a - b = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, or $z^{-a}G(\frac{1}{2} - c + b|1 - a + b|1/z)$ if $a - b = 0, -1, -2, \ldots$

The primary formulas relating the series solutions about one singularity to those about the other are

\[
F(a,b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} F(a,b|a + b - c + 1|1 - z)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b)} (1 - z)^{c-b}F(c - a, c - b|c - a - b + 1|1 - z)
\]

\[
z^{k-1}F(a - c + 1, b - c + 1|2 - c|z)
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(2-c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(1 - c)\Gamma(1 - b)} F(a,b|a + b - c + 1|1 - z)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\Gamma(2 - c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(b - c + 1)\Gamma(a - c + 1)} \cdot (1 - z)^{-c+b}F(c - a, c - b|c - a - b + 1|1 - z)
\]

\[
F(a,b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b - a)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - a)} (-z)^{-a}F(a, 1 - c + a|1 - b + a|1/z)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c - b)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - a)} (-z)^{-b}F(b, 1 - c + b|1 - a + b|1/z)
\]

Consequently, the joining equations, relating solutions for $z = 0$ with those for $z = 1$ and $\infty$, are

\[
y_1^1(a,b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} y_1^1(a,b|c|z) + \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} y_1^1(a,b|c|z)
\]

\[
y_2^2(a,b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a + b - c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} y_2^2(a,b|c|z) + \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)} y_2^2(a,b|c|z)
\]

\[
y_2^3(a,b|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a - b)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a - c)} e^{-iz\rho}y_2^3(a,b|c|z) + \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b - a)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b - c)} e^{iz\rho}y_2^3(a,b|c|z)
\]
\[ [\sin \pi(c - a) \sin \pi(c - b) - \sin \pi a \sin \pi b] y^2(a, b | c | z) \]
\[ = \left\{ \sin \pi(c - a) \sin \pi(c - b) \left[ 1 + e^{i\pi c} \frac{\Gamma(a - c + 1)\Gamma(a - c - 1)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a - c - 1)} \right] \right. \]
\[ + \sin \pi a \sin \pi b \left( \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b - c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - b)} \right) e^{-i\pi b} y^2(a, b | c | z) \]
\[ + \left\{ \sin \pi(c - a) \sin \pi(c - b) \left[ 1 + e^{i\pi c} \frac{\Gamma(b - c + 1)\Gamma(b - c - 1)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(b - c - 1)} \right] \right. \]
\[ + \sin \pi a \sin \pi b \left( \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a - b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a - b)} \right) e^{-i\pi a} y^2(a, b | c | z) \]

**One Regular, One Irregular Singular Points** (see pages 550 and 604):
Canonical form, points 0 (regular), \( \infty \) (irregular), indices at 0 are
0 and 1 - c \((c \geq 1)\):

\[ z \frac{d^2 y}{dz^2} + (c - z) \frac{dy}{dz} - ay = 0; \] confluent hypergeometric equation

If it should not happen that \( \Re c \geq 1 \), we set \( y = z^{1-c}F \) and the
equation for \( F \) will have the same form, with the real part of a new \( c \geq 1 \).

Solutions \( y_1(a|c|z) \) and \( y_2(a|c|z) \) about \( z = 0 \)
Series expansion for \( y_1 \) about 0, valid for \(|z| \) finite:

\[ y_1 = F(a|c|z) - \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + n) z^n}{\Gamma(c + n)n!}; \] confluent hypergeometric series

\[ = e^{i\pi c} F(c - a|c|z) = \lim_{b \to \infty} [F(a, b + c|c|z/b)] \]

\[ F(a|c|z) = \frac{1}{(c - a - 1)} [F(a|c - 1|z) - aF(a + 1|c|z)] \]

\[ zF(a|c|z) = (c - 1) [F(a|c - 1|z) - F(a - 1|c - 1|z)] \]

\[ (d/dz) F(a|c|z) = (a/c) F(a + 1|c + 1|z) \]

Series expansion for \( y_2 \) about 0 (\( G \) is the Gordon function):

\[ y_2 = G(a|c|z) = e^{i\pi c} \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(1 - c)}{\Gamma(1 - a)} \right] e^{-i\pi c} \frac{\sin \pi a}{\sin \pi c} F(a|c|z) \]

\[ - 2 \frac{\Gamma(c - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a)} \frac{z^{1-c}F(a - c + 1|2 - c|z)}{2} \]

The series can be constructed from the definition of \( F \) above except when \( c = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

By a limiting process (see page 616) we can show that the formula
for \( G \), for \( c \) an integer, becomes

\[ G(a|1|z) = \frac{e^{i\pi a}}{\pi} \sin(\pi a) \left[ 2 \ln z + \pi \cot(\pi a) - i\pi + 2\psi(a) \right] F(a|1|z) \]

\[ + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + m)}{\Gamma(a)[m!]^2} [\psi(a + m) - \psi(a + 2) - 2\psi(m + 1)] z^m \]
When \( c = n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots \).

\[
G(a|n|z) = \frac{e^{i\pi n}}{\pi} \sin(n\pi a) \left\{[2\ln z + \pi \cot(\pi a) - i\pi]P(a|n|z) \right.
- 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\psi(m+1) + \psi(n+m) - \psi(a+m)\right] \frac{\Gamma(a+m)(n-1)!z^m}{m!\Gamma(a)(n+m-1)!} \\
- 2e^{ira} \frac{(n-1)!}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{(r-1)!P(a|\alpha|z)}{(n-r-1)!\Gamma(r-a+1)}
\]

Integral representations for \( y_t^a \):

\[
y_t^a(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{2\pi i \Gamma(a)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+t)}{\Gamma(c+t)} \Gamma(-t)(-z)^t \, dt
\]

where the contour goes to the left of \( t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and to the right of \(-a, -a-1, \ldots \) Valid for \( a \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots \).

\[
y_t^a(a|c|z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 e^{tue^{-\phi}}(1-t)e^{-ue^{-\phi}} \, dt; \quad \text{Re } c > \text{Re } a > 0
\]

where the contour is a folded figure eight, going in a positive direction about 1 and 0, then in a negative direction about both. The integrand is real for \( z \) real and \( t \) real and \( >1 \). Valid for all values of \( a \) and \( c \) for which the gamma functions are analytic. Asymptotic expansions for \( z = |z|e^{i\phi} \gg 1, a \) or \( c, \) for \( 0 < \phi < \pi \):

\[
y_t^a(a|c|z) \to \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} z^{-c} \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)} (-z)^{-c}; \quad (-z) = |z|e^{i\phi} \to \phi
\]

For other ranges of \( \phi \) see pages 611 and 614.

Integral representations for solutions about \( z = \infty \) (the Whittaker functions):

\[
y_1^a = U_1(a|c|z) = \frac{z^{a-c}e^{-z}}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-ue^{-\phi}} \left(1 - \frac{u}{z}\right)^{a-1} \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{z^{a-c}e^{-z}}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-ue^{-\phi}} \left(1 - \frac{u}{z}\right)^{a-1} \, du \to z^{a-c}e^{-z}; \quad 0 < \phi < 2\pi
\]

\[
y_2^a = U_2(a|c|z) = \frac{(-z)^{-a}e^{-z}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-ue^{-\phi}} \left(1 + \frac{u}{z}\right)^{a-1} \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{(-z)^{-a}}{\Gamma(a-c+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-ue^{-\phi}} \left(1 + \frac{u}{z}\right)^{a-1} \, du \to (-z)^{-a}; \quad -\pi < \phi < \pi
\]
First integral valid for \( \text{Re } c > \text{Re } a \), second for \( \text{Re } a < 1 \), etc. For other ranges see contour integrals of Eqs. (5.3.53) and (5.3.56).

Joining equations connecting solutions about 0 with those about \( \infty \):

\[
U_1(a | c | z) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - c)}{\Gamma(1 - a)} e^{\pi \omega} a F(a | c | z) - \frac{\Gamma(c - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a)} e^{\pi \omega \pi + c - 1|2 - c|z} \\
U_2(a | c | z) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - c)}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} e^{\pi \omega} a F(a | c | z) + \frac{\Gamma(c - 1)}{\Gamma(a - c + 1)} e^{\pi \omega \pi + c - 1|2 - c|z} \\
y_1'(a | c | z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} y_1''(a | c | z) + \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c - a)} y_1''(a | c | z) \\
y_2'(a | c | z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} y_2''(a | c | z) - \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c - a)} y_2''(a | c | z)
\]

**Two Irregular Singular Points** (see pages 556 and 638):

Canonical form, points at 0, \( \infty \):

\[
e^x \frac{d^2 y}{dz^2} + x \frac{dy}{dz} + \left( \frac{1}{2} h^2 z^2 - a + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \frac{1}{x^2} \right) y = 0
\]

Setting \( z = e^{ix} \), we obtain the Mathieu equation

\[
\frac{d^2 y}{d\phi^2} + [a - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \cos(2\phi)] y = 0; \quad a = b - \frac{1}{2} h^2
\]

(See also the equation for two regular and one irregular point.)

For general values of \( a \) (or \( b \)), the two solutions are

\[
S(b, h, e^{i\phi}) = e^{i\phi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2i\phi}; \quad S(b, h, e^{-i\phi}) = e^{-i\phi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{-2i\phi}
\]

with the coefficients \( a_n \) computed by continued-fraction formulas (see page 559).

When \( b \) has the particular values which make \( s \) an integer, these two solutions are both periodic and not independent. For these periodic cases we use the functions (called Mathieu functions) defined as follows:

Even angular functions about \( \phi = 0 \); \( b = 2m \) or \( b = 2m + 1 \):

\[
S_{2m}(h, \cos \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n} \cos(2n\phi); \quad \sum_{n} B_{2n} = 1 \\
S_{2m+1}(h, \cos \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n+1} \cos(2n + 1)\phi; \quad \sum_{n} B_{2n+1} = 1
\]
Odd angular functions about \( \phi = 0, \ b = bo_{2n} \ or \ bo_{2n+1} \):

\[
S_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{2n} \sin(2n\phi); \quad \sum_{n} (2n)B_{2n} = 1
\]

\[
S_{2n+1}(h, \cos \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{2n+1} \sin((2n + 1)\phi); \quad \sum_{n} (2n + 1)B_{2n+1} = 1
\]

where the coefficients \( B \) are functions of \( h \) and are different for each different \( Se \) or \( So \).

The second solutions, for the same values of the separation constant, are [see Eq. (5.2.79)]

\[
Fe_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) = \gamma_{2n}^2 \left\{ \phi Se_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{2n} \sin(2n\phi) \right\};
\]

\[
\gamma_{2n}^2 = \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2nD_{2n} \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
Fe_{2n+1}(h, \cos \phi) = \gamma_{2n+1}^2 \left\{ \phi Se_{2n+1}(h, \cos \phi) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{2n+1} \sin((2n + 1)\phi) \right\};
\]

\[
\gamma_{2n+1}^2 = \left[ 1 + \sum_{n} (2n + 1)D_{2n+1} \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
F_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) = \gamma_{2n}^2 \left\{ \phi So_{2n}(h, \cos \phi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{2n} \cos(2n\phi) \right\};
\]

\[
\gamma_{2n}^2 = \left[ \sum_{n} D_{2n} \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
F_{2n+1}(h, \cos \phi) = \gamma_{2n+1}^2 \left\{ \phi So_{2n+1}(h, \cos \phi) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{2n+1} \cos((2n + 1)\phi) \right\};
\]

\[
\gamma_{2n+1}^2 = \left[ \sum_{n} D_{2n+1} \right]^{-1}
\]

For further details of computation of the coefficients \( B \) and \( D \), see pages 565 \textit{et seq.} For behavior of solutions for complex values of \( \phi \), see pages 635 \textit{et seq.}, and also the tables at the end of Chap. 11.
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CHAPTER 6

Boundary Conditions and Eigenfunctions

We have now discussed the methods of solving the ordinary differential equations which will come up in the solution of many problems in the behavior of continuous media. As we have seen, a problem is not uniquely specified if we simply give the differential equation which the solution must satisfy, for there are an infinite number of solutions of every equation of the type we have studied. In order to make the problem a definite one, with a unique answer, we must pick, out of the mass of possible solutions, the one which has certain definite properties along definite boundary surfaces. Any physical problem must state not only the differential equation which is to be solved but also the boundary conditions which the solution must satisfy. The satisfying of the boundary conditions is often as difficult a task as the solving of the differential equation.

The first fact which we must notice is that we cannot try to make the solutions of a given equation satisfy any sort of boundary conditions; we should not try to “squeeze a right-hand foot into a left-hand shoe,” so to speak. For each type of equation which we have discussed in Chap. 2, there is a definite set of boundary conditions which will give unique answers, and any other sort of conditions will give nonunique or impossible answers. Now, of course, an actual physical problem will always have the right sort of boundary conditions to give it a unique answer (or, at least, so we all hope!), and if we make our statement of the problem correspond to the actualities, we shall always have the right boundary conditions for the equations. But it is not always easy to tell just what boundary conditions correspond to “actuality,” and it is well for us to know what conditions are suitable for what equations so we can be guided in making our mathematical problems fit the physical problems as closely as possible.

6.1 Types of Equations and of Boundary Conditions

Let us first discuss a two-dimensional example in order to bring out the concepts without confusing by complexity. All the two-dimensional
Types of Equations and of Boundary Conditions

partial differential equations for scalar fields, which we discussed in Chaps. 2 and 3, and many of the equations for components of vector fields have the general form

\[ A(x,y) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + 2B(x,y) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} + C(x,y) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = F\left(x,y,\psi, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}\right) \] (6.1.1)

where, if the equation is linear in \( \psi \), \( F \) has the form

\[ D(x,y) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + E(x,y) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + G(x,y)\psi + H(x,y) \]

This is, of course, the most general linear partial differential equation in the two variables, \( x \) and \( y \). These two coordinates may be either two space coordinates or one space coordinate plus time.

![Fig. 6.1 Boundary conditions in two dimensions. Surface \( s = \psi(x,y) \), boundary curve \( x = \xi(s), y = \eta(s) \), unit vectors \( \mathbf{a}_s \) and \( \mathbf{a}_n \) in \( x, y \) plane; \( \mathbf{a}_t \) a tangent to surface at boundary.](image)

There is a nondenumerable infinity of solutions of this equation; the additional conditions imposed by the problem, which serve to fix on one particular solution as being appropriate, are called boundary conditions. Usually they take the form of the specification of the behavior of the solution on or near some boundary line (or surface, in three dimensions). (From this point of view, initial conditions are just boundary conditions in time.) It naturally is of interest to see what sort of curves these boundary curves may be and what sort of specification of the field along the line there must be in order that a unique answer result.

For a two-dimensional problem the solution \( \psi(x,y) \) may be represented by the surface \( z = \psi(x,y) \). The boundary is a specified curve on the \( (x,y) \) plane, not the edge of the surface \( z = \psi(x,y) \) which is above the boundary curve. The boundary conditions are then represented by the height of the \( \psi \) surface above the boundary curve and/or the slope of the \( \psi \) surface normal to the boundary curve (see Fig. 6.1). The edge of the \( \psi \) surface just above the boundary curve (which is in general not a plane curve) is sometimes called the supporting curve for the boundary.

If the distance along the boundary from some origin is \( s \) and the parametric equations for the boundary curve are \( x = \xi(s), y = \eta(s), \)
then the equation for the supporting curve is \( z = \psi(\xi, \eta) - \psi(s) \). The unit vector tangent to the boundary at the point \( s \) on the boundary is \( a_t = i(\xi/\eta) + j(\eta/\xi) \), and the unit vector normal to the curve is \( a_n = a_t \times k = [-j(\xi/\eta) + i(\eta/\xi)] \). The expressions for these vectors are particularly simple because we have said that \( s \) is the distance along the boundary curve, so that \( (\xi/\eta)^2 + (\eta/\xi)^2 = 1 \) (why?). Since \( a_n \) is an axial vector, having a choice of directions, we choose axes and directions (for this section, not for Chap. 7) so that \( a_n \) points inward (on the side of the boundary which contains the solution). In terms of these vectors and derivatives the gradient of \( \psi \) normal to the boundary at \( s \) is

\[
a_n \cdot \text{grad} \psi = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{d\xi}{ds} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{d\eta}{ds} = N(s)
\]

where \( \partial \psi/\partial y \) and \( \partial \psi/\partial x \) are taken at the points \( x = \xi(s), y = \eta(s) \).

In terms of these definitions we can now visualize the various types of boundary conditions.

**Types of Boundary Conditions.** In every case, of course, we must specify the shape of the boundary. It may be a closed curve for the Laplace equation in two space dimensions, or it may be an open, U-shaped boundary consisting of a line parallel to the space axis and two lines parallel to the time axis for a string (wave equation in time and one space dimension) fixed at the ends and given a specified start at a given time, and so on. As mentioned on page 66, the boundary is closed if it completely surrounds the solution (even if part of the boundary is at infinity); it is open if the boundary goes to infinity and no boundary conditions are imposed along the part at infinity.

In a one-dimensional case, the solution of a second-order equation is uniquely specified if we specify its initial value and slope. By analogy we might expect that, if the boundary were parallel to one of the axes, specification of the value of \( \psi \) along the boundary \( [i.e., \text{specifying } \psi(s)] \) and of the gradient of \( \psi \) normal to the boundary \( [i.e., \text{specifying } N(s)] \) in this case \( \partial \psi/\partial y \) will uniquely fix the solution. This is correct, as will be shown later, but it is too special a case to satisfy us. We should sometimes like to have a boundary which is not contiguous with a coordinate line but is free to take any reasonable shape. It is not quite so obvious that specification of value and normal gradient on a boundary of any shape will give a unique result (nor is it true!), and we shall have to determine the sort of boundaries which are satisfactory.

The type of boundary condition mentioned in the last paragraph, the specifying of value and normal gradient, is called the Cauchy boundary condition, and the problem of determining the shape of boundary and type of equation which yields unique and reasonable solutions for Cauchy conditions is called the Cauchy problem, after the investigator who first studied it in detail. Specifying the initial shape and velocity of an
infinite flexible string corresponds to Cauchy conditions along the line \( t = \text{constant} \). As we know, this uniquely specifies the solution.

On the other hand if the solution is to be set up inside a closed boundary, it might be expected that Cauchy conditions are too much requirement and might rule out all solutions. Perhaps one only needs to specify the value \( \psi(s) \) alone or the normal gradient \( N(s) \) alone along the boundary in order to obtain a unique answer.

The specifying only of values along the boundary is called Dirichlet conditions, and the specifying only of slopes is called Neumann conditions. A potential problem, such as the determination of electric potential inside a sequence of conductors at specified potentials, corresponds to Dirichlet conditions. On the other hand the determination of velocity potential around solid bodies, where the fluid must flow tangential to the surface of the solids and the normal potential gradient at the surface is zero, corresponds to Neumann conditions. Alternatively we may, at times, need to specify the value of some linear combination of value and slope, a single boundary condition which is intermediate between Dirichlet and Neumann conditions.

In terms of our supporting line in Fig. 6.1, Cauchy conditions correspond to our specifying not only the line \( \psi(s) = z \) but also the normal slope at the edge of the surface \( \psi(x,y) - z \). It is as though, instead of a line, we had a thin ribbon as a support to the \( \psi \) surface, a twisted ribbon which specified slope perpendicular to its axis as well as height above the \( z \) axis (but not higher derivatives). For Dirichlet conditions the supporting line is really a line, not a ribbon. For Neumann conditions the ribbon is free to move up and down, only the “slant” of the ribbon is fixed. Sometimes these two conditions are homogeneous, when \( \alpha \psi(s) + \beta N(s) = 0 \), for \( \alpha, \beta \) specified but independent of \( s \), and sometimes the conditions are inhomogeneous, when \( \alpha \psi(s) + \beta N(s) = F(s) \). The distinction will be of interest in Sec. 6.3.

But we now must go back to our general equation (6.1.1) and see under what circumstances Cauchy conditions along the curve \( x = \xi(s) \), \( y = \eta(s) \) will result in a unique solution.

**Cauchy’s Problem and Characteristic Curves.** In order to compute \( \psi \) at some distance away from the boundary we can have recourse to some two-dimensional power series, analogous to Taylor’s series:

\[
\psi(x,y) = \psi(\xi,\eta) + \left[ (x - \xi) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + (y - \eta) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right] \\
+ \psi \left[ (x - \xi)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + 2(x - \xi)(y - \eta) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} + (y - \eta)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right] + \cdots
\]  

(6.1.2)

where \( \psi \) and all its derivatives on the right-hand side of the equation are evaluated at the boundary point \((\xi,\eta)\). Once these partial derivatives
of \( \psi \) are all evaluated at the boundary, then \( \psi \) is uniquely specified within
the radius of convergence of the series, i.e., over all of a strip contiguous
to the boundary line, which may be infinite in width depending on the
nature of the equation. If we can work out a recipe for computing the
partial derivatives, we shall have the Cauchy problem well along toward
solution. This is not so straightforward as it may at first seem, for
we are given only the equation for \( \psi \), the parametric equations for the
boundary, and the values of \( \psi(x) \) and \( N(s) \) on the boundary and from
these data are to compute all the double infinity of values of the partial
derivatives for each point \((\xi, \eta)\) on the boundary.

It is not too difficult to express the first derivatives in terms of known
quantities. There are two of them, and there are two equations, one
giving the specified normal gradient \( N(s) \) and the other the rate of change
of the known value \( \psi(s) \) along the boundary:

\[
N(s) = \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \right) \frac{d\eta}{ds} - \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \right) \frac{d\xi}{ds} = a_n \cdot \text{grad} \psi; \quad \text{at } x = \xi, \ y = \eta
\]

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \psi(s) = \left( \frac{d\xi}{ds} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \right) + \left( \frac{d\eta}{ds} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \right) = a_s \cdot \text{grad} \psi; \quad \text{at } x = \xi, \ y = \eta
\]

Since the determinant of the coefficients \((d\xi/ds)^2 + (d\eta/ds)^2 = 1\), there is
always a solution for these equations:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \right)_{\xi, \eta} = N(s) \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial s} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial s} \right) = p(s);
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \right)_{\xi, \eta} = \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial s} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial s} \right) N(s) = q(s) \quad (6.1.3)
\]

But the next step, to obtain the second derivatives, is not so simple.
It is also the crucial step, for if we can find the three second partials,
we shall find that solving for the higher derivatives is simply “more of
the same.” Now that we have solved for the first derivatives, we know
\( p \) and \( q \), given in Eq. \((6.1.3)\) as functions of the parameter \( s \). Two of
the needed three equations for the second derivatives are obtained by
writing down the expression for the known rate of change of \( p \) and \( q \)
with \( s \) in terms of these second derivatives; the third equation is the
differential equation \( \psi \) must satisfy. Eq \((6.1.1)\) itself:

\[
\left( \frac{d\xi}{ds} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta^2} \right) + \left( \frac{d\eta}{ds} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \right) = \frac{dp}{ds}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{d\xi}{ds} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi^2} \right) + \left( \frac{d\eta}{ds} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta^2} \right) = \frac{dq}{ds}
\]

\[
A(s) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi^2} \right) + 2B(s) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \right) + C(s) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta^2} \right) = \rho(s)
\]
§6.1] Types of Equations and of Boundary Conditions 681

where $A(s)$, etc., are the known values of the coefficients at the point $\xi(s)$, $\eta(s)$ on the boundary.

These three equations can be solved, to find the three partials, unless the determinant of the coefficients

$$
\Delta = \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{d\xi}{ds} & \frac{d\eta}{ds} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{d\xi}{ds} & \frac{d\eta}{ds} \\
A & 2B & C
\end{vmatrix}
= C \left( \frac{d\xi}{ds} \right)^2 - 2B \left( \frac{d\xi}{ds} \right) \left( \frac{d\eta}{ds} \right) + A \left( \frac{d\eta}{ds} \right)^2 
$$

is zero. If the determinant $\Delta$ is not zero, all the higher partials can be solved for by successive differentiations of known quantities with respect to $s$, the distance along the boundary, and the resulting Taylor’s series will uniquely specify the resulting solution, within some finite area of convergence. Thus we have shown that Cauchy conditions on a boundary do choose a particular solution unless the boundary is such that the determinant $\Delta$ is zero along it.

The equation $\Delta = 0$ is the equation of a curve

$$
U(x,y)(dx)^2 - 2B(x,y)\, dx \, dy + A(x,y)(dy)^2 = 0
$$

(6.1.5)

(where we have changed the differentials $d\xi$, $d\eta$ into the more familiar $dx$, $dy$ or, rather, of two families of curves, for this equation may be factored, giving

$$
A \, dy = (B + \sqrt{B^2 - A\, C}) \, dx; \quad A \, dy = (B - \sqrt{B^2 - A\, C}) \, dx
$$

(6.1.6)

These curves are characteristic of the partial differential equation (6.1.1) and are called the characteristics of the equation. If the boundary line happens to coincide with one of them, then specifying Cauchy conditions along it will not uniquely specify the solution; if the boundary cuts each curve of each family once, then Cauchy conditions along it will uniquely specify a solution.

Hyperbolic Equations. In order to have this statement mean anything physically, the two families of characteristics must be real curves. This means that our statement (as it stands) applies only to those partial differential equations for which $B^2(x,y) > A(x,y)C(x,y)$ everywhere. Such equations are called hyperbolic equations. The wave equation

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = 0
$$

is a hyperbolic equation if $t$ is considered as being the second coordinate $y$. Equation (2.3.29), for supersonic flow, is also a hyperbolic equation.

For hyperbolic equations the natural coordinate system is formed from the two families of characteristics, which are real. Integration of the
first of Eqs. (6.1.6) gives a solution \( \lambda(x, y) = \text{constant} \); integration of the second gives \( \mu(x, y) = \text{constant} \); and \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are the natural coordinates. Since motion along one of the characteristics \( \lambda = \text{constant} \) corresponds to 
\[
(\partial \lambda / \partial x) \, dx + (\partial \lambda / \partial y) \, dy = 0
\]
(the gradient of \( \lambda \) is perpendicular to the vector \( i \, dx + j \, dy \) for motion along the characteristic), substituting this equation back into Eq. (6.1.5) shows that
\[
A \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2B \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \right) + C \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (6.1.7)
\]
with a similar equation for the partials of \( \mu \), for the other family.

We now go back to the original equation (6.1.1) and express it in the new coordinates. For instance,
\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda^2} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda^3} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \mu^2} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \right)^2
\]
plus terms in \( \partial \psi / \partial x \) and \( \partial \psi / \partial y \). We finally obtain
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda^2} \left[ A \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2B \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \right) + C \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu} \left[ A \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \right) + B \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \right) + C \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \mu^2} \left[ A \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2B \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} \right) + C \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] = 0
\]
But the first and third expressions in brackets are zero because \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are characteristic functions of the equation. If the equation is homogeneous, \( G \) can be put into the form \( a(\partial \psi / \partial \lambda) + b(\partial \psi / \partial \mu) + c \psi \), and the second bracket expression (which is not zero) and \( a, b, \) and \( c \) can be made functions of \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \).

We thus arrive at the normal form of the hyperbolic equation
\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} + P \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} \right) + Q \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mu} \right) + P^2 \psi = 0 \quad (6.1.8)
\]
where \( I^*, \psi, \) and \( R \) are functions of \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \). If these quantities \( (P, Q, R) \) are zero, as they often are (for the wave equation in one space dimension and the equation for supersonic flow, for instance), the solution of Eq. (6.1.8) is
\[
\psi = f(\lambda) + g(\mu) \quad (6.1.9)
\]
where \( f \) can be any function of \( \lambda \) and \( g \) any function of \( \mu \). For the wave equation, for example, \( \lambda = x - ct \) and \( \mu = x + ct \), so that \( \psi = f(x - ct) + g(x + ct) \), corresponding to waves of arbitrary shape traveling to the right and to the left with velocity \( c \) and \( -c \). We shall discuss a case where \( P \) and \( Q \) are not zero on page 687.
We have thus shown that solutions of at least some hyperbolic equations are similar to traveling waves and that the families of characteristics correspond to the wave fronts. When the normal form of the equation has the particularly simple form
\[ \partial^2 \psi / \partial \lambda \partial \mu = 0 \quad (6.1.10) \]
waves of any shape may be present with fronts along \( \lambda \) and along \( \mu \).

When the boundary crosses both families of characteristics (as in the first of Fig. 6.2), then the Cauchy conditions will uniquely determine both \( f(\lambda) \) and \( g(\mu) \). Each point on the boundary, labeled by the distance \( s \) from an origin, corresponds to a given value of \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \). Specifying \( \psi(s) \) and \( N(s) \) at this point gives two simultaneous equations which serve to determine both \( f \) and \( g \) for this pair of values of \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \). If the boundary crosses all of both families of characteristics, then \( f \) and \( g \) will be specified for all values of \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) and the field will be uniquely determined everywhere. When the characteristics are everywhere real, if \( \psi(s) \) and \( N(s) \) are bounded and continuous, then \( f \) and \( g \) will also be bounded and continuous and so will \( \psi(s) \).

**Cauchy Conditions and Hyperbolic Equations.** We now see why Cauchy conditions do not specify the solution when the boundary coincides with a characteristic. If the boundary is along \( \mu = \mu_0 \) say, then Cauchy conditions give data concerning only \( g(\mu) \) and the slope of \( g(\mu) \) at \( \mu = \mu_0 \) and nothing at all about the behavior of \( g \) for any other \( \mu \). In this case \( f(\lambda) \) is determined, because the line \( \mu = \mu_0 \) cuts all the family of \( \lambda \) characteristics, so the value of \( \psi(s) \) [which in this case can be written \( \psi(\lambda) \)] is \( f(\lambda) \). The normal slope \( N(s) \) determines \( dg/d\mu \) at \( \mu = \mu_0 \) but no higher derivatives can be determined, and so \( g(\mu) \), for any other \( \mu \), is wholly undetermined. In general the values of \( f \) and \( g \) are determined only for those values of \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) which are crossed by the boundary line.

To put it still another way, a boundary which coincides with a characteristic is a boundary which travels along with a wave front. Since it
never comes in contact with any of the rest of the wave traveling its
direction, it can affect only the wave traveling in the opposite
direction (i.e., it can determine only $f$, not $g$). The close relation between these
statements and the discussion on page 168, of shock waves which appear
when a fluid flows past a boundary at a speed greater than the speed of
sound, should be apparent by now.

We also can see now what happens when the boundary curves around
so that it crosses a family of characteristics twice, as in the second part of
Fig. 6.2. At the point $P(\lambda, \mu)$ the boundary is tangent to the charac-
teristic $\mu = \mu_1$; for all values of $\mu > \mu_1$ the boundary cuts the $\mu$
characteristics twice and the characteristics for $\mu < \mu_1$ are not cut at all. Sup-
pose that Cauchy conditions are given on the $PA$ part of the boundary.
This determines $f(\lambda)$ for $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and $g(\mu)$ for $\mu > \mu_1$. It does not matter
that $g(\mu)$ is undetermined for $\mu < \mu_1$ for these values of $\mu$ are outside
the boundary, but we do need to know values of $f(\lambda)$ for $\lambda > \lambda_1$. These
must be determined by boundary conditions along the $PB$ part of the
boundary.

If Cauchy conditions (both $\psi(s)$ and $N(s)$) are given along the portion
$PB$, the solution will be "overdetermined," for along this portion $g(\mu)$ is
already fixed, being determined by the Cauchy conditions on $PA$, and
only $f(\lambda)$ for $\lambda > \lambda_1$ needs to be determined by the conditions on $PB$.
This may be done by specifying either $\psi(s)$ or $N(s)$ along $PB$ (or a linear
combination of $\psi$ and $N$) but not both. Consequently Dirichlet or Neu-
mann conditions (or the intermediate combination) are sufficient for $PB$.
Of course, we could also obtain a unique answer by setting Cauchy con-
ditions on $PB$ and Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on $PA$.

In general, we can say that, if the boundary is curved so that it cuts
a family of characteristics twice, then Cauchy conditions are needed on
the part of the boundary on one side of the point which is tangent to a
characteristic and Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on the other side are
sufficient. It is not difficult to carry this reasoning on to the case where
there is more than one point of tangency. For instance, for a U-shaped
boundary, Cauchy conditions are needed along the base of the $U$ and
Dirichlet or Neumann conditions are enough along the sides, and for a
Z-shaped boundary, Cauchy conditions along the top and bottom of the
$Z$, with Dirichlet or Neumann conditions along the diagonal part, will be
proper.

It is also not difficult to see that, when the boundary is closed, so
that every interior characteristic crosses the boundary twice, Cauchy
conditions on any finite part of the boundary overdetermine the solu-
tion. It is not too easy to see, however, whether Dirichlet conditions
(or Neumann) all around the boundary are sufficient, and we shall have
to postpone our discussion of this until later in the chapter.

It might be well to discuss a simple case of the above problem in
order to see how it works out in practice. The case of the flexible string is the simplest hyperbolic equation, where the displacement \( \psi \) of the string depends on \( x \) and \( t \) according to the equation (see page 124)

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = 0
\]

The characteristic functions are \( \lambda = x - ct \) and \( \mu = x + ct \), the equation having its normal form

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu} = 0
\]

with solution \( \psi = f(\lambda) + g(\mu) \).

Specifying initial value and slope of an infinite string corresponds to Cauchy conditions on a simple, open boundary cutting the characteristics but once. If the initial shape, at \( t = 0 \), is \( \psi_0(x) \) \([= \psi(x)]\) and the initial velocity is \( V_0(x) \) \([= N(x)]\), we must arrange the functions \( f \) and \( g \) so that

\[
f(x) \uparrow \psi_0(x) - \psi_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad -f(x) \downarrow g'(x) = (1/c)V_0(x)
\]

where the prime indicates differentiation with respect to the argument.

It is not difficult to see that

\[
f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_0(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2c} \int_{0}^{\lambda} V_0(w) \, dw; \quad g(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_0(\mu) + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{0}^{\mu} V_0(w) \, dw
\]

(6.1.11)

The solution is then \( \psi(x,t) = f(x - ct) + g(x + ct) \), consisting of the sum of two waves traveling in opposite directions with velocity \( c \) and \(-c\). This is shown in the first part of Fig. 6.3.

If now the string is clamped at \( x = 0 \), the boundary is L-shaped and cuts the \( \mu \) characteristics at two points. The values of \( f(\lambda) \) and \( g(\mu) \) for \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) larger than zero are fixed by the Cauchy conditions of initial value and velocity along the \( t = 0, x > 0 \) part of the boundary, and the value of \( f(\lambda) \) for \( \lambda < 0 \) is determined by the Dirichlet condition that \( \psi = 0 \) for the \( x = 0, t > 0 \) part of the boundary. Values of \( g \) for \( \mu < 0 \) are not fixed by the boundary conditions, nor are they needed.
The procedure for fitting these boundary conditions is to choose a value for \( f(-\lambda) \) which is an "image" of \( g(\mu) \), so that for any value of \( t \) the two will just cancel at \( x = 0 \). For initial shape and velocity \( \psi_0(x) \) and \( V_0(x) \) we can see that the proper solution is \( \psi = f(x - ct) + g(x + ct) \), where

\[
\begin{align*}
g(\mu) &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^\mu V_0(w) \, dw; \quad \mu > 0 \\
f(\lambda) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2c} \int_0^\lambda V_0(w) \, dw; & \lambda > 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2c} \int_0^{-\lambda} V_0(w) \, dw; & \lambda < 0
\end{array} \right. \quad (6.1.12)
\end{align*}
\]

This is illustrated in the second part of Fig. 6.3. We see that the values of \( f(\lambda) \) for negative \( \lambda \) produce a reflected wave, the reverse of the \( \mu \) wave reflected in the end support.

Clamps at both ends of the string correspond to a U-shaped boundary, which causes a periodicity in the reflections, for the initial (Cauchy) conditions along the finite part of the string are reflected first at one end and then at the other. A closed boundary for this case would be to set "final conditions" at time \( t_i \), as well as initial conditions at \( t = 0 \). If we specify Cauchy conditions (both value and velocity) at \( t = 0 \), then the shape and velocity are fixed at \( t = t_i \) and our final conditions cannot be arbitrary values of \( \psi_0 \) and \( V_0 \) or we shall have a contradiction. We might expect that specifying \( \psi_0 \) only at \( t = 0 \) and \( \psi_1 \) only at \( t = t_i \) (Dirichlet conditions) would uniquely specify the solution, but the periodic motion of the finite string enters to confound us. As we know, such a finite string has free vibrations of period \( 2\pi/c \), where \( \pi \) is the distance between the rigid supports and where \( \pi \) is any integer. If \( t_i \) is equal to any multiple of any of these periods (i.e., is any rational number time \( 2\pi/c \)), then the string could have had a periodic vibration (of any amplitude) of the right frequency to go through zero at \( t = 0 \) and also go through zero at \( t = t_i \) and this would not have registered in the value of \( \psi \) at either the beginning or the end.

We therefore see that Dirichlet (or Neumann) conditions on a closed boundary do not uniquely specify the solution of this simple hyperbolic equation. In fact it is difficult to see what sort of boundary conditions on a closed boundary do not over- or underdetermine the solution. But we also see that a closed boundary is not a very "natural" boundary for a hyperbolic equation, so the puzzle need not distract us.

**Waves in Several Space Dimensions.** The extension to several space dimensions of the general concepts discussed above is not very difficult. In an equation of hyperbolic type there is one coordinate which has its second derivative term of opposite sign from the rest. This results in equations for real characteristic surfaces, which often correspond to wave
surfaces. The discussion of boundary surfaces and boundary conditions can easily be generalized, though a detailed discussion would necessarily be prolix.

It will be of interest, however, to discuss the generalization of the type of boundary fitting for the wave equation which was exemplified in Eqs. (6.1.11) and (6.1.12). In the two-dimensional case (one space dimension) a disturbance at time $t$ and position $x$ is propagated outward in both directions with finite velocity but without change in shape as the wave travels. The wave represented by $f(x - ct)$ moves to the right with unchanged shape as it travels, and similarly for $g(x + ct)$, going to the left. We might ask if waves from a point disturbance travel outward with unchanged shape in any number of dimensions.

Anticipating the discussions of Chap. 7, we state that a disturbance at point $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ in $n$ dimensions, at time $t$, propagates outward equally in all directions from the point. Therefore we are here interested in a solution of the wave equation depending only on time and on the distance $r$ from one point to another in $n$ dimensions. The hyperspherical coordinates in $n$ dimensions are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= r \cos \theta_1 \\
x_2 &= r \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \\
&\quad \vdots \\
x_{n-1} &= r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1} \\
x_n &= r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Discarding derivatives with respect to any of the angles, we find that the wave equation for a "spherically symmetric" wave in $n$ space dimensions is

$$
\frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[ r^n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right] - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = - \frac{n-1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}
$$

(6.1.14)

This last is obviously a hyperbolic equation of the form of Eq. (6.1.1), and it will be of interest to treat it as such. The characteristics, as before, are $\lambda = r - ct$ and $\mu = r + ct$. The normal form of the equation is

$$
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu} = - \frac{1}{2} \frac{n-1}{\lambda + \mu} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mu} \right]
$$

(6.1.15)

Only when $n = 1$ is the solution $\psi = f(\lambda) + g(\mu)$; this is the case already discussed. If a solution giving waves which propagate outward and inward without change of shape is impossible except for one space dimension, we might try to see whether we can find a solution which changes only by diminishing its amplitude as it moves away from the point disturbance. In other words we try the solution $\psi = F(\lambda, \mu)(\lambda + \mu)^a = F(\lambda, \mu)(2r)^a$ in the hopes that $F$ will turn out to be $f(\lambda) + g(\mu)$. 
Substituting in Eq. (6.1.15) shows that, unless \( a = \frac{1}{4}(1 - n) \), the equation for \( F \) is worse than that for \( \psi \). When \( a = \frac{1}{4}(1 - n) \), in other words when \( \psi = F/(2r)^{(n-3)} \), the equation for \( F \) is

\[
\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu} = \frac{1}{4}(n - 1)(n - 3)F/\lambda + \mu^n
\]

Only for \( n = 1 \) or \( n = 3 \) will \( F \) be simply the sum of a function of \( \lambda \) and a function of \( \mu \). We have treated the case of \( n = 1 \); it now seems that for three space dimensions we can obtain a solution

\[
\psi(x,t) = (1/r)[f(r - ct) + g(r + ct)]; \quad r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \quad (6.1.16)
\]

which represents outgoing and incoming waves propagated with no change of shape other than diminution of amplitude as \( r \) increases. In two space dimensions evidently waves radiating out from a point change shape even more drastically than this.

To go further in this direction will encroach on Chap. 7 overmuch. Suffice it to say here that explosive (single-pulse) waves spread out radially in an odd number of space dimensions \((n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots)\) as sharp pulses, diminishing in amplitude but maintaining their sharp fronts and rears, giving no warning of their onset and leaving no "wake" behind them after they have passed. In contrast, waves from a sharp pulse in space of an even number of dimensions \((n = 2, 4, 6, \ldots)\) spread out radially and maintain a sharp wave front, giving no warning ahead of the time \( t = r/c \), but they leave a "wake" behind, the disturbance continuing long after the crest has passed. The reasons for this interesting difference will also be touched upon in Chap. 11.

Elliptic Equations and Complex Variables. We must now return to Eq. (6.1.6) for the characteristic, to see what must be done when the characteristics are not real curves. When \( A(x,y)C(x,y) > B^2(x,y) \) for all values of \( x \) and \( y \), Eq. (6.1.1) is called an elliptic equation. The equations for the characteristics are complex conjugates of each other, and if the characteristic function is \( \lambda(x,y) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y) \), then the other function is its complex conjugate, \( \mu(x,y) = u(x,y) - iv(x,y) \) (where \( u \) and \( v \) are real functions of \( x,y \)). Changing Eq. (6.1.1) to the form of Eq. (6.1.8),

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu} = P \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} \right) + Q \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mu} \right) + R \psi
\]

is not quite so useful here, for \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are complex variables. It is generally more useful to use the real and imaginary parts of \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \), \( u \) and \( v \), for the natural coordinates.

Consequently the normal form of the elliptic equation is

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v^2} = S \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \right) + T \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \right) + U \psi \quad (6.1.17)
\]
The Laplace equation is an elliptic equation, as is the equation for subsonic (Mach number < 1) flow of a compressible fluid (see page 168) and also the Helmholtz equation. The Poisson equation is an inhomogeneous form of the elliptic equation, with an additional term, $\rho(x,y)$, on the right-hand side.

When $P$, $Q$, and $R$ (or $S$, $T$, and $U$) are zero, the solutions can again be expressed in the form

$$\psi = f(\lambda) + g(\mu) = f(u + iv) + g(u - iv) \quad (6.1.18)$$

In other words $\psi$ is any function of the complex variable $u + iv$ plus any function of its conjugate. The applications of the theory of functions to solutions of the two-dimensional Laplace equation have been touched on in Chap. 4 and will be discussed in more detail in Chap. 10.

The connection between analytic functions and solutions of a two-dimensional elliptic equation sheds some light on the relations between these solutions and their boundary conditions. To illustrate, let us take the Laplace equation $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$ in two dimensions and try to apply Cauchy conditions along the $x$ axis to determine $\psi$ in the upper half plane. The characteristic functions for the Laplace equation are $\lambda = x + iy$, $\mu = x - iy$, and the general solution is $\psi = f(x + iy) + g(x - iy)$. The boundary conditions to be tried are $\psi = \phi_0(x)$ and $\partial \psi / \partial y = N_0(x)$ when $y = 0$. A solution is

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \phi_0(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2i} \int_0^\lambda N_0(z) \, dz$$

$$g(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \phi_0(\mu) - \frac{1}{2i} \int_0^\mu N_0(z) \, dz$$

$$\psi = \text{Re} \left[ \phi_0(x + iy) \right] - \text{Im} \left[ \chi_0(x + iy) \right] \quad (6.1.19)$$

$$x_0(z) = \int_0^z N_0(\xi) \, d\xi$$

Therefore $\psi$ is the real part of the function $\phi_0(x) + i \chi_0(x)$ of the variable $x = x + iy$. For physically sensible boundary conditions $\phi_0$ and $\chi_0$ are reasonable functions along the real axis (i.e., along the boundary). But there are many functions of $z$ which are finite along the real axis yet which have poles and/or essential singularities somewhere off the real axis. In fact we have proved, in Chap. 4, that the only function of $z$ which stays finite everywhere is a constant. Therefore unless our boundary conditions are that $\phi_0 = \text{constant}$, $N_0 = 0$, we are sure that $\psi(x,y)$ has an infinity somewhere on the complex plane. Of course all the infinities may be in the lower half of the plane, outside the boundary and therefore harmless, but only a very small fluctuation of the "wrong sort" in $\phi_0$ or $N_0$ in some region of the boundary will result in an infinity somewhere in the positive half plane. In other words, a function of $x + iy$ is just too sensitive to small fluctuations of its value or slope along the real axis to be amenable to control by boundary conditions of this sort.
The contrast to the hyperbolic equation becomes apparent also. Equation (6.1.11) gives values of $\psi(x,y)$ for the hyperbolic equation in terms of $\psi_0$ and $N_0$ for real values of the argument, and if $\psi_0$ and the integral of $N_0$ are bounded and continuous along the boundary, $\psi$ will also be bounded and continuous everywhere in space; but Eq. (6.1.19) shows that $\psi(x,y)$ for an elliptic equation is expressed in terms of $\psi_0$ and $N_0$ for complex values of the argument, and just because $\psi_0$ and the integral of $N_0$ are bounded and continuous along the real axis is no guarantee that they will be bounded everywhere over the upper half of the $z$ plane.

Another way of looking at this fundamental difference between hyperbolic and elliptic equations lies in the general behavior of the two solutions. A solution of Laplace's equation (which is an elliptic equation), for instance, can have no maxima or minima (see page 7); therefore, if the boundary conditions start the solution increasing in a given direction, the solution has no choice but to keep on increasing until it goes to infinity at some point (this is not a maximum; it is a singularity!) unless the other edge of the boundary intervenes in time. On the other hand, solutions of the wave equation (which is a hyperbolic equation) can have maxima and minima; therefore if the boundary conditions start the solution increasing in a given direction, the solution will eventually change its gradient, describing a wave crest in that direction. We shall return later to this oversensitivity of solutions of elliptic equations to Cauchy conditions on an open boundary.

But if Cauchy conditions on an open boundary are too critical, for solutions of elliptic equations, to be used in physical problems, Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on an open boundary are not sufficient to give a unique answer. So it seems that closed boundaries are preferable for elliptic equations, with Dirichlet or Neumann conditions (since Cauchy conditions overspecify the solution for closed boundaries). The difficulty we ran into with the solution of the hyperbolic equation with closed boundaries (due to the possibility of waves being present which are not perceptible at the boundaries) is not present with solutions of elliptic equations, for wave motion is not present. We shall indicate in more detail later that Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on a closed boundary usually give a unique solution for elliptic equations.

It must, of course, be pointed out that the "closed boundary" for these cases may go to infinity for part of the circuit. The point is that $\psi_0$ (or $N_0$) must be given even for the part of the boundary at infinity in order that a unique solution be obtained. On the other hand, boundary conditions at infinity for the case of the hyperbolic equation, discussed earlier, are not necessary and in fact are redundant if Cauchy conditions are used along the finite part of the boundary.

Similarly, solutions of the form of Eq. (6.1.16) are not particularly useful for elliptic equations, partly because of the difficulties of the com-
plex characteristics, mentioned above, but also because of the fact that no one coordinate differs from the other in sign of second derivative as does the time term in the wave equation. Accordingly, for the elliptic equation (such as the Laplace equation) it is advisable to consider all coordinates on a par. For a point source in $n$ dimensions, the equation for the "spherically symmetric" solution is

$$\frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{n-1} \frac{d\psi}{dr} \right] = 0; \quad r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$$

The solution is $\psi = a + (b/r^{n-2})$, where $a$ and $b$ are constants (the exception is for $n = 2$, where the solution is $a + b \ln r$). For the elliptic equation this is a satisfactory solution, for it is finite everywhere except at the source, $r = 0$. A similar solution can be set up for the wave equation, with $r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ but because of the last term the solution is infinite whenever $c^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$; it has not the usefulness which the elliptic equation solution has.

### Parabolic Equations.

One limiting case of Eq. (6.1.1) should be discussed, that for which $B^2(x,y) = A(x,y)C(x,y)$ everywhere. In this case there is only one family of characteristics, the integrals of the equation $A \ dy = B \ dx$ [see Eqs. (6.1.6)], which may be called $\lambda(x,y)$. Expressing Eq. (6.1.1) in terms of new coordinates $\lambda$ and $x$, we have, eventually, for the normal form of the parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = K(x,\lambda) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} + L(x,\lambda) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + M(x,\lambda)\psi \quad (6.1.20)$$

since both the terms

$$A \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2B \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \right) + C \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \right)^2$$

and

$$A \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right) + B \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} \right)$$

are zero. In this case there is only one second derivative term; only the first derivative with respect to the characteristic function enters.

The diffusion equation is of this form, with $t$ taking the place of $\lambda$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = a^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$$

This equation is "lopsided" with respect to time; if the sign of $t$ is reversed, we obtain a different sort of solution, whereas the wave equation is symmetric with respect to time. This difference is primarily due to the fact that the diffusion equation is not a "conservative" equation. Entropy increases continually as time goes on (and usually free energy decreases), whereas for the wave equation the energy remains constant (unless friction is included). As one might expect (see page 138), as time increases, all irregularities in the diffusion solution are "ironed out"; for
near a maximum in $\psi$, there the curvature $\delta^2 \psi / \delta x^2$ is negative and $\psi$ decreases with time there. Only when all curvature (and therefore irregularity) of $\psi$ is zero can $\psi$ be independent of time.

It should appear reasonable that Dirichlet conditions are satisfactory for this equation and that a boundary should be used which is open in the direction of increasing $t$. Going backward in time tends to increase the irregularities in the solution; the shorter the range of the irregularity the faster the increase; so, though we can predict what a given $\psi$ will become a time $t$ later, we cannot be sure what the same $\psi$ was a time $t$ earlier (in other words the solution for $t$ negative is oversensitive to boundary values at $t = 0$). This difference between prophecy and history is typical of the parabolic equation and is not typical of the wave equation, for instance. For the wave equation one can look into the past just as easily as into the future.

For the $n$-dimensional parabolic equation one of the coordinates (call it $x_n$) enters in the first derivative term only and all the rest enter as second derivatives. The equation for a "spherically symmetric" solution in $n$ space dimensions [compare with Eq. (6.1.14)] is

$$\frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^{n-1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right] - \alpha s \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = 0$$

(6.1.21)

which is itself a parabolic equation. A solution in an inverse power of $r$ is possible but not particularly useful if there is dependence on $t$. We shall show, in Sec. 7.4, that the most useful "primitive" solution is one which is very concentrated at $t = 0$ but which diffuses outward as $t$ increases. Choosing a form $\psi = F(t) r^{-n/2}$, which has such properties, we soon find that $F$ is inversely proportional to $t^{n/2}$. So, finally we find that a solution of Eq. (6.1.21) is

$$\psi = [a/2 \sqrt{\pi t}] e^{-a \cdot r^2 / 4} t > 0$$

(6.1.22)

where $r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$. This has been so normalized that its integral over all $n$ dimensional space is unity. The function is very concentrated for small values of $t$, is quite "spread out" for large values of $t$, and is not valid for $t$ negative. Its properties will be more thoroughly explored in Sec. 7.4.

6.2 Difference Equations and Boundary Conditions

We have several times (pages 132 and 235) utilized the limiting relationship between continuous variables and quantities defined only for integral values of some parameter, between series and integrals, between particles and continuum. There is a corresponding relation between
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Differential equations and difference equations which we touched upon when discussing recursion formulas (see page 540) and which is apparent from the limiting definition of the derivative. Related to the quantity \( y_n \), which is a denumerable infinity of values of \( y \) for each integral value of \( n \), is the continuous function \( y(x) \) of the continuous variable \( x \). Related to the first, second, etc., differences of \( y_n \)

\[
\Delta(y_n) = y_{n+1} - y_n; \quad \Delta^2(y_n) = \Delta[\Delta(y_n)] = y_{n+2} - 2y_{n+1} + y_n; \quad \text{etc.}
\]  

(6.2.1)

are the various derivatives of \( y(x) \). (The relationship would be somewhat closer if we should discuss \( \Delta(y_n)/h \), etc., where \( h \) is related to the unit change in the index \( n \) and goes to zero as the "spacing" in \( n \) goes to zero, but we can dispense with \( h \) for the preliminary analysis.)

Corresponding to the differential equations we discussed in the previous chapter there are difference equations which are, in principle, easier to analyze but often, in practice, more difficult to solve. Some of the behavior of the solutions, however, is useful to contrast and compare with behavior of the solutions of the corresponding differential equation. This is particularly true in regard to the relationship between solutions and boundary conditions, so it will be profitable to digress here to discuss the general properties of difference equations.

First-order Linear Difference Equations. In the previous chapter we found several recursion formulas (see, for instance, page 542) which involved only two successive coefficients for the series expansion

\[
A_n a_n + D_n a_{n+1} = 0
\]

from which the coefficients \( a_n \) can be determined in terms of \( a_0 \) for instance. This is equivalent to the first-order difference equation

\[
\Delta(y_n) = C_n y_n; \quad C_n = -1 - (A_n/D_n)
\]  

(6.2.2)

It is obvious that definite solutions for \( y_n \) may be obtained once the value of one \( a_n \) is fixed. Usually this boundary condition (equivalent to Dirichlet conditions) is expressed by giving the value of \( y_0 \). Then the \( y \) for larger values of \( n \) is the product

\[
y_n = y_0 \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (C_r + 1) = y_0 \exp \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \ln (1 + C_r) \right\}
\]  

(6.2.3)

The corresponding differential equation is \( dy/dx = f(x)y \), having a solution

\[
y(x) = y_0 \exp \left\{ \int_{0}^{x} f(x) \, dx \right\}
\]
The relation between the two is apparent. For the inhomogeneous equation

$$\Delta(y_n) - C_m y_n = B_n$$ (6.2.4)

we can try a solution

$$y_n - A_n \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (C_r + 1)$$

Setting this in Eq. (6.2.4),

$$A_{n+1} \prod_{r=0}^{n} (C_r + 1) - A_n \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (C_r + 1) - C_n A_n \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (C_r + 1) = B_n$$

$$\Delta(A_n) \prod_{r=0}^{n} (C_r + 1) = B_n \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta(A_n) = \frac{B_n}{\prod_{r=0}^{n} (C_r + 1)}$$

Therefore the complete solution of Eq. (6.2.4) is

$$y_n = \left[ \prod_{r=0}^{n} (1 + C_r) \right] \left[ y_0 + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \prod_{r=0}^{s} \frac{B_r}{(1 + C_r)} \right]$$ (6.2.5)

which is closely related to the solution

$$y(x) = e^{\int F dx} [y_0 + \int e^{-\int F dx} dx]$$

of the differential equation

$$(dy/dx) + F(x)y = r(x)$$

For example if $C_n = c$ and $B_n = b$, independent of $n$, the solution is

$$y_n = (1 + c)^{n-1} \left[ y_0 + b \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{b}{(1 + c)^s} \right]$$

$$= (1 + c)^{n-1} \left[ y_0 + b \frac{1 - (1 + c)^{-n}}{1 - (1 + c)^{-1}} \right]$$

$$= y_0(1 + c)^{n-1} + b \frac{(1 + c)^n - 1}{c}$$

We could go on to second-order difference equations, paralleling the discussion of Chap. 5 in almost complete detail. But we are interested now in using difference equations to give us a better insight into the behavior of partial differential equations.

**Difference Equations for Several Dimensions.** A very useful method for calculation of approximations to the solution of ordinary and partial differential equations is to replace the continuous independent variables by discontinuous variables, having values only at integral values of some spacing $h$. For the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^n \psi}{\partial x^n} + \frac{\partial^n \psi}{\partial y^n} = 0$$
for instance, we mark off a rectangular grid or net of spacing $h$ in both directions and compute $\psi$ only at the net points where the grid lines cross. The value of $\psi$ at $x = mh, y = nh$ is then labeled $\psi(m,n)$. If $h$

is small enough, a good approximation to the differential equation will be the difference equation

$$(1/h^2)[\Delta_x^2 \psi + \Delta_y^2 \psi] = 0$$

or

$$\frac{1}{4}[\psi(m - 1, n) + \psi(m + 1, n) + \psi(m, n - 1) + \psi(m, n + 1)] = \psi(m,n)$$

(6.2.6)

it is possible to develop methods for solving such equations numerically, for use when the related differential equations cannot be solved exactly; here we wish to study the problem only enough to see the parallelism between differential and difference equations with regard to their reaction to boundary conditions.

What we shall do in this section is to consider the medium, or field, not to be continuous at first, but to be a sort of lattice of spacing $h$, where $h$ is finite though small. The field $\psi$ will have meaning only at the net points, and the finite differences between the $\psi$'s for neighboring points will be governed by difference equations. We shall derive results about the solutions of boundary-value problems for the difference equations corresponding to the three types of partial differential equations discussed in the preceding section. Having proved the results for a lattice of finite spacing, we can then make the spacing infinitely minute and can be sure that the conclusions will usually hold for the corresponding differential equations. The process of going to the limit is not always so simple as it might seem; it can be shown that for the theorems we are to consider no unforeseen complications arise.

We need use only two dimensions to demonstrate these theorems; more dimensions only increase complexity. And we shall also use only the simplest forms for the three types of equation. For example, the simplest elliptic equation is the Laplace equation; its correlated difference equation has been given in Eq. (6.2.6). For a nonhomogeneous elliptic equation we can use Poisson’s equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = -F(x,y)$$

Its difference equation is

$$\frac{1}{4}[\psi(m + 1, n) + \psi(m - 1, n) + \psi(m, n + 1) + \psi(m, n - 1)] - \psi(m,n) = -(h^2/4)F(m,n)$$

(6.2.7)

The simplest hyperbolic equation in two dimensions is the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = 0; \quad y = ct$$
having a difference equation of the form
\[ \psi(m + 1, n) + \psi(m - 1, n) - \psi(m, n + 1) + \psi(m, n - 1) \]  
(6.2.8)
Likewise the simplest parabolic equation is the diffusion equation
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = a^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \]
having a related difference equation which may be written
\[ \psi(m, n + 1) = C[\psi(m + 1, n) + \psi(m - 1, n) - 2\psi(m, n)] + \psi(m, n) \]
or
\[ \psi(m, n - 1) = -C[\psi(m + 1, n) + \psi(m - 1, n) - 2\psi(m, n)] + \psi(m, n) \]  
(6.2.9)
depending on whether we wish to work backward or forward in \( t \) (the time dimension). We have made \( C = 1/\Delta t^2 \).

The Elliptic Equation and Dirichlet Conditions. As an example of this type of analysis let us consider the net-point analogue of an elliptic equation with Dirichlet conditions along a closed boundary. We stated on page 690 that these boundary conditions for this equation would result in a unique solution; let us see whether the net-point method can help us prove the statement. The fundamental lattice is shown in Fig. 6.4. The boundary points (open circles) are the rows \( m = 0, n = 0, m = M \), and \( n = N \); we specify values for \( \psi \) for all these points. Given these boundary values, we wish to determine unique values for \( \psi \) for all the interior points (black dots) by use of the difference equation (6.2.6).

If a convergent procedure can be developed for computing each \( \psi \) in terms of the boundary \( \psi \)'s, which gives a unique answer for all interior points, we can consider the problem solved and the fact proved that Dirichlet conditions give a unique answer.

A physical example of this net problem is a lattice of rubber bands stretched between equally spaced boundary pegs, each extending a different height above a plane. The height of each net point above the plane corresponds to the value of \( \psi(n, m) \). The difference equation (and also the rubber-band model) states that the value of \( \psi \) at the net


\section{Difference Equations and Boundary Conditions}

point \((m,n)\) is the average of the values of \(\psi\) at the four neighboring net points. We have already (page 7) said that this is the physical significance of the Laplace equation. The statement can be used to compute the values of the interior \(\psi^{'s}\).

For instance, the value of \(\psi\) at the interior point \((2,1)\) can be computed in terms of the values of its neighbors:

\[
\psi(2,1) = \frac{1}{4}[\psi(3,1) + \psi(1,1) + \psi(2,2)] + \frac{1}{4}\psi(2,0)
\]

where \(\psi(2,0)\) is a value of \(\psi\) at the boundary point \((2,0)\) and is therefore specified. The other three \(\psi^{'s}\) on the right are for interior points and are not yet known. But we can express them in terms of the average of values at their neighbors, giving

\[
\frac{1}{4}\psi(2,1) = \frac{1}{16}[\psi(4,1) + \psi(2,3) + 2\psi(3,2) + 2\psi(1,2)] \\
+ \frac{1}{4}\psi(2,0) + \frac{1}{8}[\psi(0,1) + \psi(1,0) + \psi(3,0)]
\]

giving \(\psi(2,1)\) in terms of still more interior points and boundary values.

Again expressing the \(\psi^{'s}\) for interior points in terms of the average value of their neighbors, we obtain for a third equation in the sequence

\[
\frac{1}{4}\psi(2,1) - \frac{1}{4}\psi(5,1) + \psi(2,4) + 2\psi(3,1) + 3\psi(1,2) + 3\psi(3,3) \\
+ 3\psi(1,3) + 4\psi(2,2) + \frac{1}{4}\psi(2,0) \\
+ \frac{1}{8}[\psi(0,1) + \psi(1,0) + \psi(3,0)] + \frac{1}{2}\psi(0,2) + \frac{3}{8}\psi(1,0)
\]

and so on, for the other equations in the sequence. After each substitution, \(\psi(2,1)\) will be expressed in terms of coefficients times other net potentials and other coefficients times boundary values (which have specified values). As we keep on with the substitutions, we notice two important facts: The coefficients of the interior potentials approach zero rapidly (so rapidly that even the sum of all the coefficients of all the interior potentials, in any one of the sequence of equations, approaches zero), whereas the coefficients of the boundary potentials approach finite values. For instance, the value of the coefficient of \(\psi(3,1)\) is \(\frac{1}{4}\) for the first equation, \(0\) for the second, \(\frac{1}{8}\) for the third in the sequence, and so on, whereas the coefficient of the boundary value \(\psi(2,0)\) is \(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \text{and so on.}

This means that, if we continue with the substitutions long enough, the term, in the eventual equation for \(\psi(2,1)\) concerned with the \(\psi\) for another interior point, can be made as small as we please compared with the corresponding term for a boundary value. In the limit, therefore, \(\psi(2,1)\) can be expressed explicitly in terms of just boundary potentials, and if all of these are specified, the value of \(\psi(2,1)\) will be uniquely specified. The same argument can be used to show that every net potential is uniquely determined as soon as boundary potentials are
specified all along a closed boundary enclosing the net. If part of the boundary is at infinity, this does not invalidate the argument; as a matter of fact all we usually need to know about the boundary \( \psi \)'s at infinity to obtain a unique value of \( \psi(m,n) \) is that they are all finite in value (i.e., not infinite).

There has been elaborated a procedure, called the relaxation method, by which this sequence of calculations can be made in an orderly and quickly convergent manner. All we need to know here, however, is that it can be done, which has just been demonstrated. Now, by letting \( h \) go to zero, it can be proved that Dirichlet conditions on a closed boundary give unique results for solutions of the Laplace equation. A simple generalization of the same argument will suffice to extend this statement to the general elliptic equation (6.1.17) and to more than two dimensions.

It is not much more difficult to carry through the same argument for Neumann conditions. For a net these are that the differences between each boundary \( \psi \) and that for the net point next in from the boundary are all specified. We can show that, as long as these differences satisfy a single, over-all limitation, then the procedure outlined in the preceding pages converges here also and we can obtain unique values for the interior \( \psi \)'s (except for an arbitrary, additive constant).

The nature of the over-all limitation to the Neumann conditions is indicated by the following examples. Suppose that all the boundary differences are specified to make the solution increase toward the interior; how is one to find a solution which has no maximum in the interior [for Eq. (6.2.6) allows no maximum]? Obviously not all the boundary gradients can have the same sign. The limitation can be more clearly indicated by remembering that the velocity potential of an incompressible fluid obeys the Laplace equation and that Neumann conditions on a surface then correspond to specifying the fluid flux across the surface. To specify that this flux be all inward across a closed surface would be to specify a net positive rate of increase of fluid inside the surface, an impossible requirement if the fluid is incompressible. In this case, therefore, we should have to require that the integral of the specified gradient, over the whole boundary surface, be zero; beside this single limitation any values of the normal gradient may be assigned on the boundary.

We shall expect, therefore, that correct boundary conditions for the Laplace equation may be Neumann conditions on a closed boundary, provided that the specified boundary gradients satisfy some integral requirement over the boundary surface. Also, since the boundary conditions are gradients, not values, we shall need to specify the value of \( \psi \) at some single point to obtain a unique answer.

**Eigenfunctions.** Let us discuss a particularly simple case, just to show what can be done by net-point calculations. We take the lattice
shown in Fig. 6.5, with four interior points and eight boundary points. We shall now proceed to build up our solution for any boundary conditions in terms of solutions for simple boundary conditions. This can be done because our difference equation is linear: if \( \psi(m,n) \) is the solution when the boundary values are \( \psi_0(0,n) \) or \( \psi_0(m,0) \), etc., then \( A\psi(m,n) \) is the solution for boundary values \( A\psi_0 \); and if \( \psi'(m,n) \) is the solution for boundary values \( \psi'_0 \), then the solution for boundary values \( \psi_0 + \psi'_0 \) is \( \psi(m,n) + \psi'(m,n) \).

For instance, we can solve the difference equation for the simple case \( \psi(1,0) = \psi_0(2,0) = 1 \), all other boundary \( \psi \)'s equal zero. For then, by symmetry, \( \psi(1,1) = \psi(2,1) \) and \( \psi(1,2) = \psi(2,2) \). Setting down the difference equations for two interior points, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(1,1) &= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\psi(2,1) + \frac{1}{3}\psi(1,2) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{3}\psi(1,1) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\psi(1,2), \\
\psi(1,2) &= \frac{1}{3}\psi(1,1) + \frac{1}{3}\psi(2,2) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{3}\psi(1,2) = \frac{1}{3}\psi(1,1).
\end{align*}
\]

These can be solved to obtain

\[
\psi(1,1) - \psi(2,1) = \frac{2}{3}; \quad \psi(1,2) = \psi(2,2) = \frac{1}{3}
\]

(6.2.10)

This matrix of values may be called \( \psi_1(m,n) \).

We can also solve for the case \( \psi_1(1,0) = -\psi_2(2,0) = 1 \), other boundary \( \psi \)'s zero. This will give

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(1,1) &= -\psi(2,1) = \frac{1}{3}; \quad \psi(1,2) = -\psi(2,2) = \frac{1}{3},
\end{align*}
\]

(6.2.11)

which matrix of values may be called \( \psi_2(m,n) \).

It is not difficult now to see that the solution corresponding to \( \psi_1(1,0) = a; \psi_2(2,0) = b \), all other boundary \( \psi \)'s = 0, is

\[
\psi(m,n) = \frac{a + b}{2} \psi_1(m,n) + \frac{a - b}{2} \psi_2(m,n)
\]

Solutions for the other three parts of the boundary may be obtained by rotating these solutions by 90, 180, or 270°. By suitable additions we can obtain a solution for any sorts of assumed values of the boundary potentials.

This method can be extended to regions bounded by a rectangular boundary of any size. We first assume boundary values along one side of a particularly simple type, all other boundary values to be zero. For instance, we set \( \psi(m,0) - \psi(m) \) (where \( \phi \) is to be determined), \( \psi(0,n) = \psi(m,V) = \psi(M,n) = 0 \). We then separate the difference
equation, by setting \( \psi(m,n) = f(n)\phi(m) \), where the difference equations for \( f \) and \( \phi \) are

\[
\phi(m) = \frac{1}{2}[\phi(m + 1) + \phi(m - 1)] + C\phi(m)
\]
and
\[
f(n) = \frac{1}{2}[f(n + 1) + f(n - 1)] - Cf(n)
\]  
(6.2.12)

where \( C \) is the separation constant. The difference equation (6.2.6) is obtained by multiplying the first of these equations by \( f(n) \), the second by \( \phi(m) \), adding, and dividing by 2. The analogy between this and the process of separation of partial differential equations is obvious.

We see that for this technique to be simple the functions \( \phi_\nu(m) \), describing the simple types of boundary values along one side when the other sides are zero, must satisfy the difference equation

\[
\phi_\nu(m) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_\nu(m - 1) + \frac{1}{2}\phi_\nu(m + 1) + C_\nu\phi_\nu(m)
\]
with \( \phi_\nu(0) \) and \( \phi_\nu(M) \) equal to zero. Reference to page 133 indicates that possible solutions are

\[
\phi_\nu(m) = \sin(\pi \nu m / M); \quad C_\nu = 2 \sin^2(\pi \nu / 2M); \quad \nu = 1, 2, \ldots, M
\]  
(6.2.13)

These \( M \) different functions of \( m \) have the property that a linear combination can be found to fit any set of values for the boundary potentials we wish along the \( n = 0 \) part of the boundary, keeping the rest of the boundary zero.

We must next solve for the corresponding functions \( f_\nu(n) \), by finding the solutions of

\[
f_\nu(n) = \frac{1}{2}f_\nu(n - 1) + \frac{1}{2}f_\nu(n + 1) - 2 \sin^2(\pi \nu / 2M)f_\nu(n)
\]  
(6.2.14)

which is zero at \( n = N \) and unity at \( n = 0 \). The general solution for arbitrary boundary values along the \( n = 0 \) part of the boundary is a sum of the products \( \phi_\nu(m)f_\nu(n) \) over the \( M \) allowed values of \( \nu \).

Functions of the sort defined by Eq. (6.2.13) are called eigenfunctions; they will be discussed later in the chapter in relation to differential equations. By their means we can obtain solutions satisfying any boundary conditions on boundary surfaces of particularly simple shape.

**Green's Functions.** But we can also rearrange the functions discussed above to give a different aspect to the solutions. The solutions of Eqs. (6.2.10) and (6.2.11) for the simple lattice of Fig. 6.5 can be combined to give the special one

\[
G_1(m,n) = \frac{1}{2}\psi_1(m,n) + \frac{1}{2}\psi_2(m,n)
\]
\[
G_1(1,1) = \frac{1}{2}; \quad G_1(2,1) = \frac{1}{12} = G_1(1,2); \quad G_1(2,2) = \frac{1}{12}
\]  
(6.2.15)

which is a solution of difference equation (6.2.6) satisfying the simple boundary condition that \( \psi \) at boundary point 1 (1,0) is 1 and all others are zero. The solution for boundary point 2 (2,0) to be 1 and all others
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zero is \( G_1(m,n) = \frac{1}{2} \Psi_1(m,n) - \frac{1}{2} \Psi_2(m,n) \), which is the function \( G_1 \) reflected in the vertical bisector of the lattice (that is, \( G_1(1,1) = \frac{1}{2} \), \( G_1(2,1) = \frac{1}{2} \), \( G_1(1,2) = \frac{1}{2} \)) and the functions for the other boundary points in turn can be obtained by reflecting the first solution in the various axes of symmetry of the lattice.

These quantities, which can be considered to be functions of the boundary point and of the interior point, are called Green's functions for the boundary. Since \( G_i(m,n) \) corresponds to the boundary condition \( \psi_s = 1 \) and all other boundary \( \psi's \) \( = 0 \), we can quickly build up the solution for the boundary conditions, \( \psi \) at the \( s \)th boundary point = \( \psi_s \), by the sum

\[
\Psi(m,n) = \sum_{s=1} G_i(m,n)
\]

We multiply the Green's function for the \( s \)th boundary point by the boundary value at that point and sum over all boundary points. In Chap. 7 we shall discuss the generalization of this technique to differential equation solutions.

The solution of the Poisson difference equation (6.2.7) may be found by a very similar technique. We solve this equation for the boundary potentials all zero and for \( F(1,1) = 4/\pi^2 \), all other \( F \)'s = 0.

\[
G(1,1|1,1) = \frac{1}{2} \quad G(1,1|1,2) = G(1,1|2,1) = \frac{1}{4} \quad G(1,1|2,2) = \frac{1}{8}
\]

The solution for all boundary values zero and for the function \( F(m,n) \) any fixed set of values is therefore

\[
\Psi(m,n) = \sum_{r,s} F(r,s)G(r,s|m,n)
\]

a sum of values of \( F \) for the different interior net points, each multiplied by the solution for \( \psi \) for that net point. If we also have some of the boundary potentials different from zero, we can add to the function of Eq. (6.2.17) enough of Eqs. (6.2.10) to fit these boundary conditions.

The solution \( G(r,s|m,n) \) is called the Green's function for the pair of interior points \( (r,s) \) and \( (m,n) \). It turns out to be symmetric for interchange of the points; i.e., the potential at point \( (m,n) \) due to unit "charge" \( F \) at point \( (r,s) \) is equal to the potential at \( (r,s) \) due to unit charge at \( (m,n) \). This is an aspect of the principle of reciprocity to be discussed several times later.

Incidentally the solution \( G \) for a lattice boundary of any shape represents the following, purely imaginary problem: We let the lattice represent the streets of a symmetrically laid-out city. A saloon is located at the corner of \( r \) Street and \( s \) Avenue. At some early hour in the morning a person is ejected from the saloon and starts at random along either \( r \) Street or \( s \) Avenue. The streets are somewhat slippery, and each time
the person crosses a street or an avenue (i.e., at each net point he encounters), he slips and falls down. Such is the efficacy of his previous potions, he completely forgets the direction he has been traveling by the time he regains his feet, so his next block-long stroll is equally likely to be in any of the four directions away from his last spill. This continues, more and more bruises being collected, several streets and avenues being traversed (some of them several times), until he finally arrives at a boundary point. At each boundary intersection a policeman is stationed, one of whom promptly claps the befuddled wanderer in the nearest calaboose.

Of course there are many possible paths which the person might take between the saloon and his arrest, and we naturally cannot predict exactly which path he will take. We can, however, predict the probability that he will fall down at the corner of $m$ Street and $n$ Avenue. [Technically speaking, we can compute the probability density of falls there, the chance that he falls once plus twice the chance that he falls twice there, etc. Put another way, if the stroll is habitual, this probability is the ratio of times he falls at $(m,n)$ to his total falls averaged over all evenings.] This probability is just the Green’s function $G(r,s|m,n)$ which we have been computing. The example we have discussed here is a special case of the problem of random flight, which has engaged the study of several eminent mathematicians and which is of interest in the study of Brownian motion and also of the motion of stars in a galaxy.

**The Elliptic Equation and Cauchy Conditions.** To complete the story about the elliptic equation we should see what happens when Cauchy conditions are applied along the boundary $n = 0$. This means that $\psi(m,0)$ and $\psi(m,1)$ are specified for all values of $m$. From Eq. (6.2.6) we can then find all the $\psi(m,2)$’s,

$$\psi(m,2) = 4\psi(m,1) - \psi(m,0) - \psi(m + 1, 1) - \psi(m - 1, 1)$$

and so on for all $n$’s successively. If there are side boundaries (say at $m = 0$ and $m = M$), they cannot have Cauchy conditions along them or some $\psi$’s (the ones for $m - 1$ and $m - M - 1$) would be overspecified, Dirichlet or Neumann conditions are all that are allowed.

Since the $\psi$’s are already specified by setting the Cauchy conditions on $n = 0$, we cannot have an upper boundary at, say, $n = N$, with arbitrarily set boundary conditions of any kind. For an elliptic equation inside a closed boundary Cauchy conditions on any portion of the boundary are too many conditions.

On the other hand, if we do not have an upper boundary, then $\psi(m,n)$ will, in general, increase without limit as $n$ increases. For $\psi$ cannot have a maximum or minimum, and if $\psi$ starts up or down, as $n$ is increased, it must keep on increasing or decreasing indefinitely as $n$ continues to increase. Any infinitesimal change in the Cauchy conditions at $n = 0$
will cause an indefinitely large change in \( \psi \) for very large values of \( n \). Such a sensitivity to the boundary conditions is not physically sensible.

All the arguments given above hold no matter what value the net spacing has, so they will be also true when \( h \) goes to zero. Consequently, no solution of the Laplace equation can have a maximum or minimum; Dirichlet or Neumann conditions over a closed boundary are the correct conditions to use; Cauchy conditions over even a portion of a closed boundary are too much and over an open boundary result in too great a sensitivity to small changes in conditions to be physically satisfactory. These conclusions about boundary conditions also apply to the Poisson equation and, indeed, to any elliptic equation, though the solution of Poisson's equation may have a maximum or minimum.

![Figure 6.6: Alternate lattices for hyperbolic equation.](image)

**Fig. 6.6** Alternate lattices for hyperbolic equation. Rows

\[ \xi = \frac{1}{2}(m + n) = \text{constant and } \eta = \frac{1}{2}(m - n) = \text{constant} \]

are the characteristics.

**The Hyperbolic Difference Equation.** For the hyperbolic equation we can trace our net in the \( x, y \) directions as given in Eq. (6.2.8) or we can draw the lattice along the characteristics and make the difference equation analogous to the normal form of Eq. (6.1.8), which is \( \partial^2 \psi / \partial \xi \partial \eta = 0 \), for the wave equation

\[
\psi(\kappa + 1, \eta + 1) + \psi(\kappa, \eta) = \psi(\kappa + 1, \eta) + \psi(\kappa, \eta + 1) \quad (6.2.18)
\]

where the characteristics are the lattice lines \( \kappa = \text{constant or } \eta = \text{constant} \) (see Fig. 6.6). Here potentials at the corners of a diamond-shaped figure are related; Eq. (6.2.18) states that the average value of the potentials at the ends of the horizontal diagonal equals the average of the values at the ends of the vertical diagonal. Cauchy conditions would correspond to the specifying of values at the circled points, a double row along the bottom. With these values specified, Eq. (6.2.18) can be used to compute the potentials at the first row of black points, then the next, and so on. If the function begins to increase at some point (for instance, if \( \psi \) at \( a \) is larger than the average at \( b \) and \( c \), then \( \psi \) at \( d \) will be smaller again (for the average at \( a \) and \( d \) must equal the average at \( b \) and \( c \)). Consequently the function keeps itself within bounds and cannot increase without limit as does the solution of the Laplace equation.
Therefore slight changes in the boundary conditions produce only slight changes in the function, which become imperceptible as we move away from the boundary; in other words, the solution is stable for Cauchy conditions on an open boundary.

The difficulties encountered when the boundary coincides with a characteristic can also be exemplified. Suppose that values are specified along the lines \( \nu = 0 \) and \( \nu = 1 \). Applying Eq. (6.2.18) we see that the equations for \( \nu = 0 \) just check the internal consistency of the boundary values. The next set, of the form

\[
\psi(x + 1, 2) - \psi(x, 2) = \psi(x + 1, 1) - \psi(x, 1)
\]

cannot give us a unique solution because each equation contains two unknowns, and if the boundary extends to infinity in both directions, the solution of the infinite number of simultaneous equations does not converge (the determinant of the coefficients does not converge). If the boundary bends around at some point, so that a value of one \( \psi(x, 2) \) is given, the rest of the \( \psi(x, 2) \)’s can be obtained. But this possibility has come about because the boundary does not everywhere correspond to the characteristic; as long as it coincides with the characteristic, no unique solution can be found.

Return to the \((\pi, y)\) form of the difference equation, given in Eq. (6.2.8), according to the second lattice shown in Fig. 6.6. Here again the net points related are those in a diamond-shaped figure, the average at the ends of the horizontal diagonal equaling the average at the ends of the vertical diagonal. This persistence of form of the requirements should not be surprising, for the wave equation should represent the same interrelationship no matter what coordinates we express it in. In the horizontal network this means that the equations omit mentioning the net value at the center of the diamond (this was true for the \(x, \nu \) representation also, but it was not so noticeable, since the net was so drawn that center points were omitted). The omission of the center point is one aspect of the fundamental difference between the Laplace and the wave equation. It is the basic reason why Dirichlet conditions on a closed boundary do not result in a unique answer for the wave equation but do result in a unique answer for the Laplace equation.

To make this still clearer we can simplify the net-point Dirichlet problem for closed boundaries to the very simplest case shown in Fig. 6.7, for four boundary points and one lattice point. If the equation is the Laplace equation, the value of \( \psi \) at the center point is equal to the average
of the values at the four boundary points, and if these are all given, a unique solution results. If, however, the equation is the wave equation, this equation relates the values of the boundary points and says nothing whatever about the value at the central point. Therefore if the values at the four boundary points are given, they may or may not be consistent with the requirements of the wave equation, but in either case no unique answer for the lattice point is obtained.

Returning to the lattice for \( m, n \) at the right in Fig. 6.6, we can fit boundary conditions by separating Eq. (6.2.8) into a difference equation in \( m \) and one in \( n \),

\[
\psi(m,n) = \phi(m)f(n)
\]

\[
\phi(m + 1) + \phi(m - 1) + (k^2 - 2)\phi(m) = \Delta^2 \phi + k^2 \phi = 0 \quad (6.2.19)
\]

\[
f(n + 1) + f(n - 1) + (k^2 - 2)f(n) = \Delta^2 f + k^2 f = 0
\]

solve the one for \( m \) for particularly simple types of functions [in terms of which we can fit boundary conditions easily, as that given in Eq. (6.2.13) for instance], and then solve for the corresponding \( f \) factors; the final solution will be the sum of such products which fit the boundary conditions at \( n = 0 \) and \( 1 \). Or else we can solve the problem for the boundary conditions of unit value or slope at \( m = M \) and for zero value and slope elsewhere on the boundary, thus obtaining Green's functions for the boundary. The final solution will again be a sum of these Green's functions times the boundary values along the boundary points.

**The Parabolic Difference Equation.** Taking up finally Eq. (6.2.9) for the simplest parabolic equation, we see that, if Dirichlet conditions are set along the horizontal boundary \( n = 0 \), the first equation allows a unique solution for the lattice points \( n = 1 \), then \( n = 2 \), and so on. Whether the solution will be stable or not will depend on the value of \( C \). If \( \psi(m,n) \) for \( m = m_0 \) is larger than either \( \psi(m_0 + 1, n) \) or \( \psi(m_0 - 1, n) \), then \( \psi(m, n + 1) \) will have a smaller value than \( \psi(m, n) \), since

\[
\psi(m, n + 1) = \psi(m, n) + 2C[\frac{1}{2}[\psi(m - 1, n) + \psi(m + 1, n)] - \psi(m, n)]
\]

If \( C \) is not so large as to make \( \psi(m, n + 1) \) go negative and start unstable oscillations, this will tend to even out irregularities in the solution and eventually, for large enough values of \( n \), tend to bring all the \( \psi \)'s to the same value (see Prob. 6.3).

If, on the other hand, we use the second form of the equation, to work backward in the \( n \) (time) direction, we shall find that irregularities in value of \( \psi \) tend to magnify any slight irregularity in the boundary values, resulting eventually in a very large irregularity in the function for large enough negative \( n \)'s. The solution is therefore unstable for any boundary conditions (it is unstable for Dirichlet and Neumann conditions; Cauchy conditions overspecify the solution). By going to the limit, we can say that solutions of parabolic equations give stable and
unique results for Dirichlet conditions on an open boundary if we are working in the positive direction along the characteristic but are unstable in the negative direction.

Physically this comes about because parabolic equations (the diffusion equation is an example) represent situations where the entropy is increasing as time increases. Therefore irregularities in the field \( \psi \) will tend to "iron out" as time increases; the sharper the irregularity, the more rapidly will it disappear. If we wish to work backward in time to find out what field, a minute (or an hour) before, eventually diffused into the specified distribution, we shall not be able to tell how many sharp irregularities there had been, at this earlier time, which had practically disappeared by the later time and thus did not show up to an appreciable extent in the specified distribution.

The results of the discussion of this section may be summed up in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>Hyperbolic equation</th>
<th>Elliptic equation</th>
<th>Parabolic equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Insufficient</td>
<td>Insufficient</td>
<td>Unique, stable solution in positive direction, unstable in negative direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirichlet or Neumann (Value or slope specified)</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Solution not unique</td>
<td>Unique, stable solution (see page 698 for Neumann conditions)</td>
<td>Solution over-specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauchy (Value and slope specified)</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Unique, stable solution</td>
<td>Solution unstable</td>
<td>Solution over-specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Solution over-specified</td>
<td>Solution over-specified</td>
<td>Solution over-specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The satisfactory combinations of equations and boundary conditions are those indicated by boldface type. We note again that Dirichlet-Neumann conditions may be homogeneous \([\alpha \phi(s) + \beta N(s) = 0]\) or inhomogeneous \([\alpha \phi(s) + \beta N(s) = F(s)]\). Homogeneous Dirichlet conditions mean that \( \psi \) will be zero on the boundary; inhomogeneous Dirichlet conditions mean that \( \psi \) will have specified nonzero values on the boundary, and so on.

6.3 Eigenfunctions and Their Uses

We have now reached a point where we must cease dealing with generalities and begin to get down to cases. We have spent the first
two sections of this chapter showing, in general, what kinds of boundary conditions are suitable to what partial differential equations and under what conditions we might expect a unique solution to correspond to our specifications. We now must study in detail the techniques for generating these unique solutions to fit specific cases.

The process of fitting of boundary conditions is somewhat analogous to the process of solving an ordinary differential equation. In neither case is the procedure straightforward; we must assume some general form, which seems likely to satisfy our requirements, and then adjust things to satisfy them in detail (if, indeed, this turns out to be possible!) Even for the comparatively simple process of integrating a function $f(x)$ with respect to $x$, for instance, we must, basically, guess the form of the integral and then show its correctness by differentiation. Many of our guesses for solutions of differential equations are very general in form, such as power series or integral representations, but we find the specific solution by inserting the assumed form into the differential equation and then trying to make it fit.

The general forms for the fitting of boundary conditions are also either in terms of a series of functions or else in terms of an integral of some function over the boundary. As with the solutions of ordinary differential equations we shall start with a discussion of the use of series; the next chapter will be devoted to the fitting of boundary conditions by integration. In practice the application of the series method usually requires the separation of the partial differential equation in coordinates suitable for the boundaries, and before we plunge into technical details, we shall work through a simple example to see how it goes.

**Fourier Series.** Suppose we wish to solve the two-dimensional Laplace equation

\[ \nabla^2 \psi = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = 0 \]

subject to boundary conditions on a rectangular boundary enclosing the area within the lines $x = 0$, $x = a$, $y = 0$, $y = b$. It is obvious that the rectangular coordinates $x$, $y$ are suitable for this boundary, so we can separate the equation into the ordinary equations

\[ \psi = X(x)Y(y); \quad (d^2X/dx^2) + k^2X = 0; \quad (d^2Y/dy^2) - k^2Y = 0 \]

where $k^2$ is the separation constant. This is an elliptic equation, so Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on a closed boundary are suitable. See the discussion for the lattice case on page 697.

Suppose, to begin with, that our boundary conditions are the particularly simple Dirichlet conditions that $\psi$ is to be zero (homogeneous conditions) along $x = 0$, $x = a$, and $y = b$ and to have an arbitrary sequence of values $\psi_a(x)$ (inhomogeneous conditions) along $y = 0$. 

\[ \text{§6.3] Eigenfunctions and Their Uses} \]
The solution of the $x$ equation which is zero at $x = 0$ and at $x = a$ is $\sin(\pi nx/a)$, where $n$ is integer (we have set $k = \pi n/a$), and the solution of the $y$ equation which is zero at $y = b$ is $\sinh[k(b - y)]$. In other words the only values of $k$ for which the solution can satisfy zero boundary conditions on three sides are the values $k = \pi n/a$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$), and the only solutions, for these values, which fit these conditions are the ones mentioned in the previous sentence.

Consequently the most general solution of $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$, in two dimensions, which satisfies the homogeneous conditions that $\psi$ be zero along $x = 0$, $x = a$, $y = b$ can be represented by the series

$$\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sinh \left( \frac{\pi n}{a} (b - y) \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi n x}{a} \right)$$

(6.3.1)

To make as categorical a statement as this requires that we be sure that this series form can represent all possible solutions satisfying the zero conditions along the three sides, no matter what (inhomogeneous) boundary condition it satisfies along the fourth side, $y = 0$. To do this we shall have to prove that the series of Eq. (6.3.1) can be made to fit all possible boundary conditions along $y = 0$. Since Dirichlet conditions along a closed boundary specify a unique solution, we can then be sure that such a series represents the solution corresponding to the specified boundary conditions along $y = 0$. Then if we find another form of function (a closed form or integral form) which also satisfies the same conditions, we can be sure that this new form corresponds to the series and, vice versa, that the series can represent the new form.

Consequently the crucial step in justifying our statement above is to prove that series (6.3.1) can satisfy all possible Dirichlet conditions along $y = 0$. Of course the phrase “all possible” is pretty optimistic in its generality, and we may have to “whittle it down” a bit by means of more exact definitions.

However, for the simple case we are now considering, series (6.3.1) for $y = 0$ is a Fourier series. We shall see later in this chapter that such a series can be fitted to any function which is continuous over the range $0 < x < a$ with the exception of a finite number of discontinuities in value or slope (and which goes to zero at $x = 0$ and $x = a$, of course). Such functions are said to be piecewise continuous. The Fourier series will fit all the continuous parts of such an arbitrary function, though if we wish to be captious and specify arbitrary peaks (in both value and slope) of zero breadth at the discontinuities, the series may not be able to fit all these peaks as well. But such arbitrary peaks of zero breadth are mathematical niceties of little physical significance; consequently we can define “all possible” as being “all piecewise continuous” and satisfy all but the most exacting.
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Being still more specific, we can say that the statement that the series
\( \phi(x) = \Sigma B_n \sin \left( \frac{\pi nx}{a} \right) \)
can fit the piecewise continuous function \( f(x) \) over the range \( 0 < x < a \) means that we can choose values of the \( B_n \)’s such that
\[
\int_0^a [\phi(x) - f(x)]^2 \, dx = 0
\]

This requirement would, of course, overlook discrepancies in noninfinite peaks of zero width at the discontinuities, since the area under such peaks is zero. From a physical point of view this is satisfactory. We might say that our requirement of fit for the series is a least-squares requirement. We shall have to justify our statement concerning the least-squares fit for Fourier series, as well as for other functions, later in this section.

When we are satisfied that the series can fit the required boundary conditions, it is not difficult to compute the required values of the coefficients \( A_n \). We set up the equation corresponding to the boundary condition along \( y = 0 \),

\[
\psi_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sinh \left( \frac{\pi nx}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi ny}{a} \right)
\]

multiply both sides by \( \sin \left( \frac{\pi nx}{a} \right) \), and integrate over \( x \) from 0 to \( a \). All but one of the integrals on the right turn out to be zero because of the properties of the sine function when integrated over an integral number of periods. The one left, for \( n = \psi_k \), is just \( \frac{1}{2} a A_n \sinh(\pi nb/a) \). Consequently the coefficients \( A_n \) in Eq. (6.3.1) can be easily determined and the solution of \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \) which satisfies the boundary conditions \( \psi = 0 \) at \( x = 0 \), \( x = a \), \( y = b \), \( \psi = \psi_1(x) \) at \( y = 0 \), is the series

\[
\psi(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a \psi_n(\xi) \sin \left( \frac{\pi n \xi}{a} \right) \, d\xi \right] \sin \left( \frac{\pi nx}{a} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi ny}{a} \right)
\]

(6.3.2)

This series satisfies the boundary conditions and is a solution of the Laplace equation in \( x \) and \( y \). Therefore it must be the unique solution we are seeking.

In the limiting case of \( b \to \infty \) the region inside the boundary becomes infinite, but according to page 690, the Dirichlet conditions must still be imposed over the whole boundary in order to obtain a unique result. The hyperbolic sine ratio reduces to the simple exponential \( \exp \left[ -(\pi ny/a) \right] \), but otherwise the series remains the same. If now we expand the boundaries in the \( x \) direction, we eventually change from a Fourier series to a Fourier integral. Following the discussion in Sec. 4.8, we find the solution of the two-dimensional Laplace equation valid in the upper
half plane and satisfying the boundary conditions $\psi = \varphi(x)$ at $y = 0$ and $\psi = 0$ at infinity to be

$$
\psi(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{ikx-ky} \, dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\xi)e^{-ikt} \, d\xi \right. \\
+ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{ikx-ky} \, dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\xi)e^{-ikt} \, d\xi \left. \right\} \\
- \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ky} \, dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\xi) \cos[k(x-\xi)] \, d\xi
$$

(6.3.3)

where the integration over $k$ is split into two parts so that the dependence on $y$ always vanishes at $y \to \infty$ (that is, we use $e^{iky}$ for negative $k$ and $e^{-ky}$ for positive $k$). When $y = 0$, we obtain the usual Fourier integral (4.8.2).

The Green's Function. We notice that, both for finite boundaries [using series (6.3.2)] and for infinite boundaries [using integral (6.3.3)], we can rearrange the solution to be an integral over the boundary $y = 0$:

$$
\psi(x,y) = \int_{S} \varphi(\xi)G(x,y|\xi) \, d\xi
$$

(6.3.4)

where for a finite boundary the integration is between 0 and $a$, the ends of the boundary, and the function $G$ is the series

$$
G(x,y|\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(\pi n/a) (h-y) \sinh(\pi h/a)}{\sinh(\pi n b/a) \sin(\pi n a/a)} \sin\left(\frac{\pi n x}{a}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi n y}{a}\right)
$$

whereas for an infinite boundary the integration over the boundary $S$ is from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ and the function $G$ is

$$
G(x,y|\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ky} \cos[k(x-\xi)] \, dk - \frac{(y/\pi)}{(x-\xi)^2 + y^2}
$$

The function $G$ is called the Green's function for the boundary surface $S$ ($y = 0$ in this case).

We thus see that our solution of the Dirichlet problem can be expressed in terms of an integral of a Green's function, suitable to the equation and boundary shape, multiplied by the specified boundary-value function, integrated over the boundary. But the ramifications of this idea are to be worked out in the next chapter; we are to concentrate here on the process of determining the functions forming the series and how the series are formed.

We have so far considered only the portion $x = 0$ of the boundary to have boundary values $\varphi$, different from zero. To fit the conditions where $\psi$ is different from zero along other parts of the rectangular boundary we use obvious modifications of the functions used in series (6.3.2)
or integral (6.3.3). For instance, for fitting conditions along $y = 0$ we use the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \sinh \left( \frac{\pi n}{b} (a - x) \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi n y}{b} \right)$$

and so on, for $x = a$ and $y = b$, adding the individual series to obtain the final solution. Therefore we can set up a Green's function to use in the integrand for any boundary values at any point along the rectangular boundary. See page 700 for the analogous case for a lattice.

**Eigenfunctions.** The functions $\sin(\pi n x / a)$ for integral values of $n$ are the simplest examples of a sequence of eigenfunctions, which form the basis of the series method of fitting boundary conditions. We see that this method involves separating the partial differential equation in terms of coordinates $\xi$, such that the boundary corresponds to one or more coordinate surfaces, $\xi = \text{constant}$. The factor in the solution giving its dependence along the boundary surface [the factor $\sin(\pi n x / a)$ in the example] depends on a separation constant as well as on position $x$ along the boundary (the boundary $y = 0$ in the example). This factor must also satisfy some simple boundary conditions (at the two ends $x = 0$ and $x = a$ in the example), and we find that only for certain values of the separation constant ($n$ an integer in the example) will this factor fit the boundary conditions. The other factor ($\sin [ (\pi n / a) (b - x) ]$ in the example) is then adjusted to fit the conditions at the other end of the enclosed region ($y = b$ in the example), and the complete solution is then a sum of these products for all allowed values of the separation constant.

The crucial part of the method, we can see, is the determination of the form of the factor giving dependence along the boundary [the factor $\sin(\pi n x / a)$ in the example] and the corresponding allowed values of the separation constant, which fit the conditions at the ends $0, a$ of the boundary. Solutions of an ordinary differential equation, containing a separation constant, which satisfy simple boundary conditions at two ends of a range of the independent variable are called eigenfunctions, and the values of separation constant which allow it to fit the conditions are called eigenvalues (sometimes the terms used are characteristic functions and characteristic values). In the example above the functions $\sin(\pi n x / a)$ are the eigenfunctions and the values $(\pi n / a)^2$ ($n$ an integer) are the eigenvalues. Presumably a series of these eigenfunctions, for all eigenvalues of the separation constant, should be able to represent any arbitrarily chosen sequence of boundary values. We must show in general that such a series can represent any chosen function over the important range of the independent value, and we must also show how we compute the coefficients in the series. (It should be noted that we
can have eigenfunction for more than a single dimension and that we can have eigenfunction solutions for integral, as well as differential, equations.)

In a general two-dimensional example, for instance, we choose the coordinates $\xi_1, \xi_2$ such that the boundary corresponds to $\xi_1 = a_1, \xi_1 = a_2,$ $\xi_2 = b_1, \xi_2 = b_2.$ We next set up the solution corresponding to $\psi = 0$ along three parts of the boundary ($\xi_1 = a_1, \xi_2 = a_2; \xi_2 = b_2,$ for instance) and $\psi$ equal to some arbitrary sequence of boundary values $f(\xi_1)$ along the fourth part $\xi_1 = b_1.$ This can be done if we can separate the equation for the coordinates $\xi.$ Solutions of the $\xi_1$ equation which go to zero at $\xi_1 = a_1$ and $\xi_1 = a_2$ are then the eigenfunctions for the problem.

Types of Boundary Conditions. We have so far discussed the case of Dirichlet conditions on a closed boundary, but the same technique can be used for other types of boundary conditions. For instance, for Neumann conditions on a boundary enclosing the rectangle of dimensions $a$ and $b$ we first fit the conditions along the side $y = 0,$ making the conditions along the other three sides the homogeneous ones that the normal gradient be zero. The proper eigenfunctions are then $\cos(\pi n x / a),$ with $n$ any integer, and the series which has normal gradient equal to $N_s(x)$ along the $x$ axis from $0$ to $a$ and zero normal gradient along $y = b,$ $x = 0$, and $x = a$ is

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{2}{\pi n} \int_0^a N_s(\xi) \cos \left( \frac{\pi n \xi}{a} \right) d\xi \right] \cos \left( \frac{\pi n x}{a} \right) \frac{\cosh[(\pi n / a)(b - y)]}{\sinh(\pi n b / a)}$$

In this case, as we noted in page 698, we have had to require that

$$\int_0^a N_s(\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

If we do not have closed boundaries, we might need to use Cauchy conditions over part of the boundary if the equation were a hyperbolic one. For instance, for a flexible string of length $a,$ clamped at $x = 0$ and $x = a,$ the boundary conditions are homogeneous Dirichlet conditions ($\psi = 0$) at $x = 0$ and $x = a$ for all values of time $t,$ but the initial conditions (boundary conditions along $t = 0$) must specify both value, $\psi = \psi_0(x),$ and initial velocity, $\partial \psi / \partial t = U_t(x)$ (see page 586). The proper series would be

$$\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin \left( \frac{\pi n x}{a} \right) \left[ A_n \cos \left( \frac{\pi n c t}{a} \right) + B_n \sin \left( \frac{\pi n c t}{a} \right) \right]$$

which is a solution of the wave equation $c^2(\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2) = \partial^2 \psi / \partial t^2.$ The functions $\sin(\pi n x / a)$ are the eigenfunctions, and the values $\pi n / a$ are the eigenvalues. The values of the coefficients $A_n, B_n$ are determined from $\psi_0, U_0$ in a manner similar to that used on page 709.
Eigenfunctions and Their Uses

Sometimes the boundary conditions determining the eigenfunctions are not the specifying of zero value or slope at the two ends of the range. The requirement may be the general homogeneous one that the ratio of value to slope be constant, independent of the value of the separation constant; for instance,

\[ \alpha (\partial \psi / \partial x) - \beta \psi \text{ at } x = 0 \text{ and } x = a \]

When \( \alpha = 0 \), this reduces to homogeneous Dirichlet conditions; when \( \beta = 0 \), it reduces to homogeneous Neumann conditions. We note that boundary conditions for eigenfunctions are always homogeneous (see page 679) when the boundary point is an ordinary point.

Another type of boundary condition is exemplified by the case of the solution of the Laplace equation inside a circle of radius \( a \), with Dirichlet conditions applied along the circle. The appropriate coordinates are, of course, the polar coordinates \( r, \phi \), and the Laplace equation, and the separated equations are

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} = 0; \quad \psi = R(r)\Phi(\phi);
\]

\[
\frac{d^2 \Phi}{d\phi^2} + m^2 \Phi = 0; \quad \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dR}{dr} \right) - \frac{m^2}{r^2} R = 0
\]

The solution of the equation in \( \phi \) is \( [a \cos(m\phi) + b \sin(m\phi)] \), with arbitrary values for \( a \), \( b \), and \( m \). \( m \) is an integer. But if we are to have a physically sensible solution inside the circle \( r = a \), we must have the solution continuous and finite in this region. The angle \( \phi \) runs continuously from 0 to \( 2\pi \), coming back to 0 as the radius vector is swung in a complete revolution. Therefore the function \( \Phi(2\pi) \) must equal the function \( \Phi(0) \), and in general, \( \Phi(\phi) = \Phi(\phi + 2\pi) \). In order that this be possible, the separation constant \( m \) must be an integer, and the eigenfunctions for \( \phi \) are therefore both \( \sin(m\phi) \) and \( \cos(m\phi) \), with \( m \) an integer. In this case there was no specifying of values of the function at the two ends of the range, \( \phi = 0 \) and \( \phi = 2\pi \). The condition was simply one of continuity, which corresponded to the requirement that \( \Phi \) be periodic in \( \phi \) with period \( 2\pi \). We shall often encounter the requirement of periodicity as a boundary condition in later chapters.

Formally, the interesting range for \( r \) is bounded at both ends, \( r = 0 \) and \( r = a \), although the physical boundary is only at \( r = a \). We might begin to worry as to what boundary condition to apply at \( r = 0 \), where there is no physical boundary but only a concentration point for the coordinate system, if we did not remember that a concentration point corresponds to a singular point for the differential equation, and the simple requirement that \( \psi \) be finite or continuous or analytic there is sufficient to limit our choice of function. The general solution of the
equation for $R$ is

$$
R = \begin{cases}
  a_0 + b_0 \ln r; & m = 0 \\
  a_m r^m + (b_m/r^m); & m > 0
\end{cases}
$$

and the second term must be omitted in order that $R$ be finite and continuous inside the boundary. (We note in passing that, if the circle $r = a$ were the inner boundary and if $\psi$ is to be finite at $r = \infty$, then the first term must be omitted for $m > 0$ and the $\ln r$ term must be omitted for $m = 0$.)

The solution of the Laplace equation inside the circle $r = a$, with $\psi = \psi_s(\phi)$ along the circular boundary, is then given by the series

$$
\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( A_n \cos(n \phi) + B_n \sin(n \phi) \right) \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^n
$$

where

$$
A_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi_s(\alpha) \, d\alpha; \quad B_0 = 0
$$

$$
A_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi_s(\alpha) \cos(n \alpha) \, d\alpha; \quad B_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi_s(\alpha) \sin(n \alpha) \, d\alpha
$$

In still other cases the boundary extends from one concentration point to another, so that the two boundary points are adjacent singular points of the differential equation for the eigenfunction, and the boundary conditions may simply be that the solution remain finite at both singular points. The Laplace equation in spherical coordinates $r, \theta, \phi,$

$$
\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} = 0
$$

separates into

$$
\psi = R(r) \Theta(\theta) \Phi(\phi)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dr} \left( r^2 \frac{dR}{dr} \right) - \frac{n(n+1)}{r^2} R = 0
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dz} \left( (1 - z^2) \frac{d\Theta}{dz} \right) + \left[ n(n+1) \frac{m^2}{1 - z^2} \right] \Theta = 0; \quad z = \cos \theta
$$

$$
\frac{d^2 \Phi}{d\phi^2} + m^2 \Phi = 0
$$

If the boundary is the sphere $r = a$ surrounding the interior space, then the last two equations will serve to determine eigenfunctions and the boundary conditions of continuity and finiteness serve to fix the allowed values of $n$ and $m$. Since the axial angle $\phi$ is continuous from 0 to $2\pi$, determination that $m$ should be an integer and that the eigenfunctions are $\sin m \phi, \cos m \phi,$ follow the same line as that given above for the circular boundary. Solutions of the equation for $\theta$ are the Legendre functions (see page 548). We saw in Chap. 5 that only when
$n$ is an integer can there be a solution which stays finite at both singular points $z = 1$ and $z = -1$. The restrictions on the $R$ factor that it be finite at the singular point $r = 0$ fix its form also. Therefore the solution must be expressible in terms of the series

$$\psi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-m}^{m} \left[ A_{mn} \cos(m\phi) + B_{mn} \sin(m\phi) \right] \sin^n\vartheta \quad T_{m-n}^{m-n}(\cos \vartheta) \quad \left( \frac{r}{\sigma} \right)^n$$

where the $T$'s are the Gegenbauer polynomials defined in Eqs. (5.2.53) and (5.3.30). The functions $\sin^n\vartheta \quad T_{m-n}^{m-n}(\cos \vartheta)$ are sometimes called the associated Legendre functions.

The boundary conditions may be Dirichlet ones on the sphere $r = a$. These may be expressed by requiring that $\psi = \psi_\delta(\delta, \phi)$, where $\psi_\delta$ is a piecewise continuous function of $\vartheta$ and $\phi$. We shall show later that the integral

$$\int_0^\pi \sin^{n+1}\vartheta \quad T_{m-n}^{m-n}(\cos \vartheta) \quad T_{m-n}^{m-n}(\cos \vartheta) \quad d\vartheta$$

is zero if $k$ differs from $n$ and shall later compute the value of the integral when $k = n$. At present all we need to know is that, if we multiply both sides of the series for $\psi$ by

$$\cos(l\phi) \quad \sin^{l+1}\vartheta \quad T_{l-1}^{l-1}(\cos \vartheta) \quad d\phi \quad d\vartheta$$

and integrate over the surface of the sphere $r = a$, all terms in the series vanish except the one for which $m = l$ and $n = k$, and this one can be written as $A_{l \lambda} \lambda_{l-1}$, with $\lambda_{l-1}$ a constant of known value. Therefore the series coefficients can be shown to be

$$A_{mn} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{mn}} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(m\phi) \quad d\phi \int_0^\pi \psi_\delta(\delta, \phi) \quad \sin^{n+1}\vartheta \quad T_{m-n}^{m-n}(\cos \vartheta) \quad d\vartheta$$

$$B_{mn} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{mn}} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(m\phi) \quad d\phi \int_0^\pi \psi_\delta(\delta, \phi) \quad \sin^{n+1}\vartheta \quad T_{m-n}^{m-n}(\cos \vartheta) \quad d\vartheta$$

which finally determines the coefficients of the series in terms of the boundary values $\psi_\delta(\delta, \phi)$.

In these examples we have indicated the general properties of some eigenfunctions and some of their uses. They may be solutions of the ordinary differential equations which arise from the separation of one of the partial differential equations derived earlier, corresponding to particular values of the separation constants (eigenvalues). The eigenvalues are then fixed by the requirement that the eigenfunctions satisfy some sort of boundary conditions at the two ends of a given range of values of the independent variable. If these end points are singular points of the differential equation, the boundary conditions may be simply that the solution remain finite there. If the end points are
ordinary points, the conditions may be the homogeneous ones that the ratio between value and slope of the function equal a certain constant, independent of the separation constant, or that the solution be periodic, with period equal to some constant value, and so on.

We must find how to determine eigenvalues and eigenfunctions from boundary conditions, but more important still, we must show that the sequence of eigenfunctions so determined can be formed into a series which can fit any piecewise continuous function in the range between the boundary points. The rest of this section will be devoted to a discussion of these general points, with frequent pauses to illustrate concepts by means of specific examples. The properties of eigenfunction solutions of integral equations will be taken up in Chap. 8.

Abstract Vector Space. The eigenfunctions we have so far used as examples, the terms \( \sin(\pi nx/a) \) of a Fourier series, for instance, have certain properties distantly analogous to the components of vectors, properties which we have already discussed in Secs. 1.6 and 2.0. The components of a vector \( F_k \) in \( n \)-dimensional space can be written \( F_{kn} \) (that is, if \( a_m \) is a unit vector in the direction of the \( x_m \) axis, where \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) are mutually orthogonal, then \( F_{km} = F_k \cdot a_m \)). Then the scalar product of \( F_k \) with another vector \( F_l \) is

\[
(F_k \cdot F_l) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} F_{kn} F_{lm}
\]

which is zero if \( F_k \) and \( F_l \) are mutually orthogonal (perpendicular to each other). In particular the magnitude of the vector \( F_k \) is equal to the square root of the scalar product of the vector with itself:

\[
F_k = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n} F_{km}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{F_k \cdot F_k}
\]

The function \( \sin(\pi nx/a) \) is a function of the integer \( m \) and of the continuous variable \( x \). It can be considered as a representation of a vector \( S_m \) in an abstract vector space of an infinite number of dimensions. The scalar product of two such vectors, \( S_m \) and \( S_n \), can be defined by the equation

\[
(S_m \cdot S_n) = \int_0^a \sin \left( \frac{\pi mx}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi nx}{a} \right) \, dx
\]

which is a typical limiting form of the usual sum of component products. It is as though for each value of \( x \) in the range \( 0 < x < a \) there corresponded a different direction and a different unit vector \( \mathbf{e}(x) \) in function space, each \( \mathbf{e} \) for each \( x \) being perpendicular to every other \( \mathbf{e} \) for every other \( x \), and the quantity \( \sin(\pi nx/a) \), for a given \( x \), being somehow the component of \( S_n \) in the direction given by \( \mathbf{e}(x) \).
The magnitude of $S_n$ is then the square root of the scalar product of $S_n$ with itself:

$$S_n = \left[ \int_{0}^{a} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi n x}{a} \right) dx \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.3.6)

The eigenfunctions $\sin(\frac{\pi n x}{a})$ thus define an eigenvector $S_n$. Because of the integral properties of the eigenfunctions, each eigenvector is orthogonal to the other, for the scalar product $(S_m \cdot S_n)$ defined in Eq. (6.3.5) is zero unless $m = n$. If we divide each $S_n$ by $\sqrt{a/2}$, we obtain a set of mutually orthogonal unit vectors

$$e_n = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} S_n$$

which define a set of normal coordinates in function space, which are just as useful as the original coordinates and unit vectors $e(x)$.

In particular, the unit vector $e(x)$ can be represented by the new unit vectors

$$e(x) = \sum_{n} e_n \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin \left( \frac{\pi n x}{a} \right) = \frac{2}{a} \sum_{n} S_n \sin \left( \frac{\pi n x}{a} \right) \hspace{1cm} (6.3.7)$$

and the normalized eigenfunctions $\sqrt{2/a} \sin(\frac{\pi n x}{a})$ are analogous to the direction cosines defining one set of axes in terms of another [see Eq. (1.3.1)].

It may seem rather difficult to "swallow" that a space characterized by the nondenumerable infinity of unit vectors $e(x)$ should be completely represented by the denumerable infinity of eigenvectors $e_n$. Actually this is not true, of course, for an arbitrarily chosen vector in $e(x)$ space would be represented by a "function" of $x$ with an infinite number of discontinuities. Somehow, we have picked out of the nondenumerably infinite-dimensional space a subspace representing all functions which are continuous, except for a finite number of discontinuities (called piecewise continuous functions). These drastically specialized choices out of all possible, infinitely discontinuous functions may then be represented by the denumerably infinite set of eigenvectors $e_n$. This limitation to our transformation should be kept in mind as we go ahead with our investigation.

Within this limitation, however, we should be able to express any arbitrary vector $F$ in abstract vector space in terms of its components along the normal axes:

$$F = \sum_{n} F_n e_n$$

This same vector can also be represented in terms of the original unit vectors $e(x)$, with components $F(x)$, which are related to $F_n$ by the equations
Boundary Conditions and Eigenfunctions

\[ F_n = |F \cdot e_n| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \int_0^a F(x) \sin \left(\frac{\pi n x}{a}\right) \, dx; \]
\[ F'(x) = |F \cdot e(x)| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sum_{n=1}^\infty F_n \sin \left(\frac{\pi n x}{a}\right) \]

(6.3.8)

These are just the equations defining the Fourier series expansion of a function \( F(x) \).

The directions given by the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{S}_n \) (or \( e_n \)) are somehow determined by the differential equation

\[ \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} = -k^2 \psi \]

for the sine functions and by the homogeneous boundary conditions that \( \psi = 0 \) at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = a \). Therefore, corresponding to this differential equation and these boundary conditions there is an operator equation (see Sec. 2.6)

\[ \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{S} = -k^2 \mathbf{S} \]

with corresponding boundary conditions, which serves to fix normal axes for the operator \( \mathbf{a} \) and eigenvalues for the constant \( k \).

Another differential equation and/or other boundary conditions determine other normal axes, corresponding to new eigenvectors \( \mathbf{E}_n \) defined by new eigenfunctions \( \psi_n(x) \) (which may be complex). These vectors are also orthogonal, so that (see p. 60)

\[ (\mathbf{E}_n^* \cdot \mathbf{E}_m) = \int \psi_n(x) \psi_m(x) \, dx = \begin{cases} 0; & n \neq m \\ E_n^2; & n = m \end{cases} \]

(6.3.9)

where \( E_n \) is the magnitude of \( \mathbf{E}_n \). Again, any vector \( \mathbf{F} \) may be expressed in terms of these eigenvectors, and the relation between the components of \( \mathbf{F} \) along the new normal axes and its components \( F(x) \) along the directions \( e(x) \) are

\[ F(x) = [\mathbf{F} \cdot e(x)] = \sum_n \frac{F_n}{E_n} \psi_n(x); \quad F_n = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{E}_n^* \\ E_n^2 \end{array} \right] \cdot \mathbf{F} - \frac{1}{E_n} \int F(x) \psi_n(x) \, dx \]

(6.3.10)

which gives equations by means of which we can expand any function \( F(x) \) in terms of the eigenfunctions \( \psi_n(x) \).

Finally, we can compute the scalar product of the eigenvector corresponding to \( \psi_n(x) \) and the eigenvector corresponding to \( \sin (\frac{\pi x}{a}) \) (we can if the function space for the two is identical, i.e., if the boundaries for both sets of eigenfunctions are 0 and \( a \)). This scalar product is

\[ (\mathbf{E}_n^* \cdot e_n) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \int_0^a \psi_n(x) \sin \left(\frac{\pi n x}{a}\right) \, dx \]
One other property of these eigenvectors will be useful later. Since $e(x)$ is orthogonal to $e(x')$ as long as $x' \neq x$, we have, by using an equation analogous to (6.3.7),

$$[e^*(x) \cdot e(x')] = \sum_n 1 \tilde{f}_n(x)e_n(x') = \delta(x - x') \quad (6.3.11)$$

This quantity is zero when $x' \neq x$ and has such a value at $x = x'$ that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - x') \, dx = 1$$

In other words it is the Dirac delta function defined on page 22. Naturally there are questions of convergence connected with this series representation, questions we shall take up later.

But we still have not come to grips with details. The vector analogy is fruitful of ideas and helpful in broadening concepts, but it is very abstract. In order fully to understand all of its implications we must return to our differential equations and our boundary conditions.

**Sturm-Liouville Problem.** The ordinary differential equation arising from the separation of the general partial differential equation

$$\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0$$

can [see Eq. (5.1.28)] be written in the form

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left[ p(z) \frac{d\psi}{dz} \right] + [q(z) + \lambda r(z)]\psi = 0 \quad (6.3.12)$$

This equation is called the **Liouville equation.** The parameter $\lambda$ is the separation constant (in some cases more than one separation constant appears; we shall postpone consideration of these cases till later). Each of the functions $p$, $q$, $r$ are characteristic of the coordinates used in the separation, and for the separable coordinates we studied in Sec. 3.1. $p$ and $r$ are simple algebraic functions of $x$, with a finite number of zeros and poles. The function $q$, particularly for the Schrödinger equation, is a more complicated function, but not even $q$ will have a singularity inside the range of $z$ (though it may have a singularity at one or both of the boundaries). The points where $p(z)$ is zero are singular points of the equation, and the useful range of $z$ usually extends from one such point to the next; at any rate a singular point may be at the beginning or end of the range, but never in the middle. In other words $p(z)$ does not change sign anywhere in the whole range of $z$, and therefore $p$ can always be taken as positive. It also turns out that $r$, too, does not change sign (in the cases of interest), so $r$ can be considered as always positive.

The Sturm-Liouville problem is essentially the problem of determining the dependence of the general behavior of $\psi$ on the parameter $\lambda$ and the dependence of the eigenvalues of $\lambda$ on the homogeneous boundary conditions imposed on $\psi$. 
In part of our discussion we shall be comparing solutions for different values of the separation constant \( \lambda \); for instance, the solution for \( \lambda = \lambda_n \) could be called \( \psi_n \). The procedure which is of greatest use in such a comparison is to multiply the equation for \( \psi_1 \) by \( \psi_2 \) and the equation for \( \psi_2 \) by \( \psi_1 \) and subtract:

\[
\psi_2 \frac{d}{dz} \left[ P \frac{d\psi_1}{dz} \right] + \psi_1 R + r_1 \alpha_1 \psi_1 - \psi_1 \frac{d}{dz} \left[ P \frac{d\psi_1}{dz} \right] - \psi_2 R + r_2 \alpha_2 \psi_2 = 0
\]

or

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left[ \psi_2 \frac{d\psi_1}{dz} - \psi_1 \frac{d\psi_2}{dz} \right] = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) r_1 \psi_1 \psi_2 \quad (6.3.13)
\]

The reason we can obtain so simple a relation for comparison is because the Liouville equation is self-adjoint. If it were of the more general type

\[
\mathcal{L}_u(\psi) = f(z) \frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + g(z) \frac{d\psi}{dz} + [h(z) + \lambda j(z)]\psi = 0
\]

for \( \psi_1 \), we should have to use the adjoint equation

\[
\mathcal{L}_u(\psi) = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} [\psi] - \frac{d}{dz} [\psi] + [k + \lambda l]\psi = 0
\]

for \( \psi_2 \) in order to express the difference as a total derivative plus \((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)\) times a function (see page 527). The equation adjoint to the Liouville equation is the same equation (try it!).

Now let us integrate Eq. (6.3.13) over \( z \), beginning at the left-hand boundary point (which we shall call \( a \)) and ending at some arbitrary point \( z \), before we reach the right-hand boundary point. We have

\[
p \left( \int_a^z \frac{d\psi_1}{dz} - \frac{d\psi_2}{dz} \right) - \left[ p \left( \psi_2 \frac{d\psi_1}{dz} - \psi_1 \frac{d\psi_2}{dz} \right) \right]_{z=a} = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \int_a^z r \psi_1 \psi_2 \, dz
\]

where the quantity in the parentheses would be the Wronskian for \( \psi_1 \) and \( \psi_2 \) if \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \) (but for our purposes here \( \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \)).

If \( a \) is a singular point of the differential equation, \( p \) is zero and the quantity in square brackets is zero as long as \( \psi_1 \) and \( \psi_2 \) are finite at the singular point. If \( a \) is a regular point and the boundary condition is the homogeneous one that \( \alpha (d\psi/dz) - \beta \psi = 0 \) at \( z = a \) (where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are independent of \( \lambda \), see page 713), then \( \psi_1(d\psi_2/dz) - \psi_2(d\psi_1/dz) = (\beta/\alpha)(\psi_1\psi_2 - \psi_2\psi_1) = 0 \) at \( z = a \), and again the quantity in square brackets is zero. Therefore for nearly any of the usual boundary conditions at \( z = a \), we have

\[
p \left( \frac{d\psi_1}{dz} - \frac{d\psi_2}{dz} \right) = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \int_a^z r \psi_1 \psi_2 \, dz \quad (6.3.14)
\]

For some range of values of \( \lambda \), the solution \( \psi \) which satisfies the boundary condition at \( z = a \) oscillates; i.e., it rises to a maximum as \( z \) is
made larger than \( \alpha \), and then it reduces in value, finally going through zero and on to a negative maximum, and so on. Suppose that we choose \( \lambda_i \) to be in the range of \( \lambda \) for which \( \psi \) oscillates, and suppose that we choose \( \zeta \) to be the smallest value of \( z \) (the value closest to \( z = \alpha \)) for which \( \psi_1 = 0 \). Then Eq. (6.3.14) becomes

\[
\left( p \psi_2 \frac{d\psi_2}{dz} \right)_{z=\zeta} = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \int_{\alpha}^{\zeta} r \psi_2 \, dx; \quad \psi_1(\zeta) = 0 \quad (6.3.15)
\]

Since \( \zeta \) is the smallest zero of \( \psi_1 \), \( \psi_1 \) does not change sign between \( z = \alpha \) and \( z = \zeta \) and therefore \( \psi_1 \) can be taken to be positive over the range \( \alpha < z < \zeta \). We have already shown, on page 719, that for the equations we are concerned with both \( p \) and \( r \) are positive over the whole range \( \alpha < z < b \), where \( b \) is the upper boundary point. We can also say that \( d\psi_1/dz \) is negative at \( z = \zeta \), since this is the place where \( \psi_1 \) goes from positive values through zero to negative values.

Let us choose \( \lambda_2 \) to be greater than \( \lambda_1 \), so that \( (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \) is a positive quantity. What now does Eq. (6.3.15) tell us of the behavior of \( \psi_2 \) (assuming that \( \psi_2 \) satisfies the same boundary conditions at \( z = \alpha \) and corresponds to \( \lambda_2 \))? Does \( \psi_2 \) go to zero in the range \( \alpha < z < \zeta \) or not?

Suppose \( \psi_2 \) did not go to zero in the range \( \alpha < z < \zeta \). In this case we could assume that \( \psi_2 \) also is positive in this whole range and is still positive at \( z = \zeta \). Then the quantity on the left in Eq. (6.3.15) will be negative (\( p \) is positive, \( d\psi_2/dz \) is negative, \( \psi_2 \) is positive, at \( z = \zeta \)), whereas the quantity on the right will be positive (\( (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \) positive; \( \psi_1, \psi_2, \) and \( r \) positive). This contradiction indicates that \( \psi_2 \) must go through zero somewhere in the range \( \alpha < z < \zeta \). Further application of the same reasoning for ranges of \( z \) from this first zero of \( \psi_1 \) to the second zero, and so on, shows that the distance between successive zeros of \( \psi_2 \) is smaller than the distance between successive zeros of \( \psi_1 \) if \( \lambda_2 > \lambda_1 \).

In other words, the larger the value of \( \lambda \), the closer together lie the zeros of \( \psi \) (assuming that \( p \) and \( r \) are everywhere positive in the range \( \alpha < z < b \)). Or, vice versa, as the value of \( \lambda \) is decreased, the distance between successive zeros of \( \psi \) increases.

For a low enough value of \( \lambda \) (this value may be negative) there will be no zero value of \( \psi \) inside the range \( \alpha < z < b \). For some value \( \lambda_0 \) of \( \lambda \) the function \( \psi \) will have a zero at \( z = b \), the other boundary point, and no zero between \( a \) and \( b \); for \( \lambda < \lambda_0 \) there will be no zeros in the range \( \alpha < z < b \). If the boundary condition at \( z = b \) is that \( \psi = 0 \), then \( \lambda_0 \) is an eigenvalue of \( \lambda \) and there are no other eigenvalues less than \( \lambda_0 \). Similarly, by juggling Eq. (6.3.14) around, we can show that, no matter what the boundary condition is, there will be some lowest eigenvalue of \( \lambda \), which can be called \( \lambda_0 \). All other eigenvalues of \( \lambda \) are larger than \( \lambda_0 \).

It is not difficult to see that the eigenfunction \( \psi_0 \) corresponding to \( \lambda_0 \) has the least possible number of zeros between the boundary points \( a \) and \( b \);
in fact in the majority of cases \( \psi_0 \) has no zeros between \( a \) and \( b \) (though it may be zero at \( a \) and/or \( b \) if the boundary conditions so require).

It is often useful to rearrange the functions \( q \) and \( r \) in the Liouville equation (6.3.12) so that \( \lambda_0 \) is zero. This can be done, for in the expression \( \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \) we can always add \( \lambda_0 \psi(z) \) to \( q(z) \) and subtract it from \( \lambda \psi(z) \), producing a new \( q \), independent of \( \lambda \), and a new \( \lambda \), equal to the old \( \lambda \) minus \( \lambda_0 \). Naturally the lowest eigenvalue for the new equation would be zero, and therefore all other eigenvalues are positive.

If we now increase the value of \( \lambda \) from \( \lambda_0 \), the corresponding \( \psi \), if it fits the boundary conditions at \( z = a \), will not fit the conditions at \( b \). As we increase \( \lambda \), still further, however, we shall eventually find the next eigenvalue \( \lambda_1 \) corresponding to an eigenfunction \( \psi_1 \), which has one more zero than \( \psi_0 \) (in most cases this means one zero, since \( \psi_0 \) usually has no zeros). As \( \lambda \) is further increased, the distance between nodes (another word for zeros of \( \psi \) ) grows still smaller until, at the next eigenvalue \( \lambda_2 \), there is one more node inside the range \( a \to b \). This is shown graphically in Fig. 6.8. Thus it is possible to set up a sequence of eigenfunctions \( \psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \ldots \), each satisfying the specified homogeneous boundary conditions at \( a \) and \( b \) and so ordered that the corresponding eigenvalues \( \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots \) form a continuously increasing sequence of values, so that \( \lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n \). When this is done, our previous discussion shows that the number of nodes in the eigenfunctions \( \psi_n \) between \( a \) and \( b \) also form a continuously increasing sequence, so that \( \psi_{n+1} \) has one more node in the range \( a \to b \) than does \( \psi_n \). We have tacitly assumed here that all the allowed values of \( \lambda \) are real, so we could order them in a straightforward way. That eigenvalues for Eq. (6.3.12) are all real will be proved on page 726.

A graphical presentation may make this sequence of arguments more understandable. In Fig. 6.8 we show a typical case: The two boundary points are at \( x = a \) and \( x = b \), and the eigenfunction \( \psi \) is to be zero at both these points. Curves for \( p, r, \) and \( q \) are shown in the top graph; both \( p \) and \( r \) are positive over the range \( a < x < b \), but \( q \) is not. The
middle graph shows curves for \((\lambda r + q)\) for a sequence of values of \(\lambda\), that for the lowest value being the lowest curve, and so on. The bottom graph shows curves for the solution of the Liouville equation which is zero at \(x = a\) for the values of \(\lambda\) giving the plots in the middle set of curves.

We notice several interesting points about the relation between \(\psi\) and the curve for the corresponding \((\lambda r + q)\). Wherever \((\lambda r + q)\) is negative \(\psi\) curves away from the \(\psi = 0\) line. This property, that slope increases with increase of \(x\) if \(\psi\) is positive and decreases with \(x\) if \(\psi\) is negative, is characteristic of any combination of the exponentials \(e^{\alpha x}, e^{-\alpha x}\) and will be called exponential behavior. Second, wherever \((\lambda r + q)\) is positive, \(\psi\) curves toward the axis; i.e., if \(\psi\) is positive, the slope decreases with \(x\) and vice versa. This property is characteristic of trigonometric functions and will be called sinusoidal behavior.

These characteristics of \(\psi\) can be shown by integrating the Liouville equation once:

\[
\left. \frac{d\psi}{dx} \right|_{x_1}^{x_2} = -\int_{x_1}^{x_2} (\lambda r + q)\psi \, dx; \quad x_2 > x_1, \quad p > 0
\]

If \((\lambda r + q)\) is negative in \(x_1 < x < x_2\), then when \(\psi\) is positive in that range, \(p(d\psi/dx)\) for \(x_2\) is larger than \(p(d\psi/dx)\) for \(x_1\), and so on.

We have taken case I in Fig. 6.8 for a value of \(\lambda\) such that \((\lambda r + q)\) is everywhere negative; the corresponding \(\psi\), having exponential behavior, has no chance to "turn back" again and come to zero at \(x = b\). In case II \((\lambda r + q)\) is negative over a short range of \(x\), enough to curve the corresponding \(\psi\) over somewhat, but not yet enough to bring it to zero. In case III we have hit just the right value of \(\lambda\) (the eigenvalue \(\lambda_0\)) which allows enough region where \((\lambda r + q)\) is positive to curve \(\psi\) around so it comes right back to zero at \(x = b\). Case IV is for a still higher value of \(\lambda\), where the oscillation of \(\psi\) is more marked. One node has appeared. But it has not "slid over" toward \(x = a\) far enough to allow another half cycle of oscillation before \(x\) reaches \(b\). Finally, for case V, \(\lambda\) has reached the value for which \(\psi\) can go through zero and bend back again so as to become exactly zero at exactly \(x = b\).

The continuation of the argument is clear; it is also clear that the same sort of argument can be made for other boundary conditions. It is also not difficult to show that the difference \((\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)\) must always be finite if the distance \((b - a)\) is finite, even in the limit of large \(n\). Utilizing Eq. (6.3.14) again, we set the limits of integration \(a\) and \(b\). We set \(\lambda_1 = \lambda_n\), an eigenvalue, and \(\psi_n\) the corresponding eigenfunction (with \(\psi = 0\) boundary conditions, for instance). Suppose that we take \(\lambda_2\) to be intermediate between \(\lambda_n\) and \(\lambda_{n+1}\), such that \(\psi_2 = 0\) at \(x = a\) but \(d\psi_2/dx = 0\) at \(x = b\). Consideration of the \(\psi\) curves of Fig. 6.8 shows that, if there is an even number of nodes of \(\psi\) between \(a\) and \(b\), then
\( \frac{d\psi_n}{dx} \) is negative at \( x = b \) and \( \psi_2 \) (defined in the last sentence) is also negative at \( x = b \); if the number of nodes in \( \psi_n \) is odd, then both \( \frac{d\psi_n}{dx} \) and \( \psi_2 \) are positive at \( x = b \). Therefore in the equation

\[
(\lambda_2 - \lambda_n) \int_a^b \psi_n(x)\psi_2(x)r(x) \, dx = \psi_2(b)\psi_n'(b)p(b)
\]

the quantity on the right-hand side is always positive and is not infinitesimal no matter how large \( n \) is.

Since \( \lambda_2 \), by definition, larger than \( \lambda_n \) (but smaller than \( \lambda_{n+1} \)), it follows that the integral is always positive. If \( (b - a) \) is not infinite, the integral cannot be infinite in magnitude, for neither of the \( \psi \)'s (or \( r \)) is infinite anywhere. Consequently the difference \( \lambda_2 - \lambda_n \) cannot be infinitesimal small unless \( (b - a) \) is infinite. Since \( \lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_2 \), we have the useful result that the difference \( \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \) cannot be infinitesimal if \( (b - a) \) is not infinite, no matter how large \( n \) is. Therefore the sequence of values \( \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}, \ldots \), can have no limit point nor any upper bound but must continue on to \(+\infty\). This result will be useful in our later analysis. We thus can have a continuous distribution of eigenvalues only if \( (b - a) \) is infinite.

These conclusions, that there is a lowest eigenvalue \( \lambda_0 \) and that ordering the eigenfunctions in order of increasing eigenvalues also orders them in order of increasing number of nodes in the range \( a, b \), are the useful results of a study of the Sturm-Liouville problem. They depend on our assumption that \( r \) is positive everywhere in the range \( a < z < b \) and that \( p \) is also positive everywhere in this range (this last is equivalent to the statement that there is no singular point of the differential equation inside the range \( a, b \)). These assumptions are true for all the separated equations obtained in Sec. 5.1. The results have been obtained by the use of the theorem stating that, the larger the value of the separation constant \( \lambda \), the smaller are the internodal distances of the corresponding solution \( \psi(z) \) (this theorem is called Sturm's first comparison theorem).

Although there is a lowest eigenvalue, \( \lambda_0 \), we have already seen that there is no greatest eigenvalue, that for each eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \), with eigenfunction \( \psi_n \), there can always be a next larger eigenvalue \( \lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n \), with eigenfunction \( \psi_{n+1} \), which has one more node in the range \( a, b \) than does \( \psi_n \). Therefore the sequence of eigenvalues is infinite in number, extending from the lowest, \( \lambda_0 \), to infinity.

As an example of this behavior we can consider the case of the equation \( (d^2\psi/dx^2) + \lambda\psi = 0 \), with the Neumann boundary condition that \( d\psi/dx = 0 \) at \( z = 0 \) and the more complicated homogeneous condition that \( d\psi/dx = \psi/a \) at \( z = a \). Here neither end point is a singular point of the equation.

When \( \lambda \) is negative, the solution which has zero slope at \( z = 0 \) is \( \psi = \cosh(kz) \), where \( \lambda = -k^2 \). The value of \( k \) for which \( \psi \) satisfies the
condition at \( z = a \) is obtained from the solution of transcendental equation

\[
\frac{1}{w} \coth(w) = 1; \quad w = ka
\]

A similar function has been discussed in Sec. 4.4 (see Fig. 4.19). We can easily show that there is only one real root for \( w \); all other roots are imaginary if \( a \) is real and positive. The one real solution, \( k_0 \), is, approximately, \( k_0 \approx 1.200/a \). Therefore the one negative value of \( \lambda \) (which must be the lowest eigenvalue) is, approximately,

\[
\lambda = \lambda_0 = -k_0^2 \approx -(1.440/a^2)
\]

(to four significant figures) and the corresponding eigenfunction is

\[
\psi(z) \approx \cosh(1.200z/a)
\]

which has no nodes in the range \( 0, a \).

All other eigenvalues must be for positive values of \( \lambda \), for which we can set \( \psi = \cos(kz) \), \( \lambda = k^2 \). This cosine function satisfies the Neumann conditions at \( z = 0 \); to satisfy the conditions at \( z = a \), we must adjust \( k \) so that

\[
\frac{d\psi}{dz}_a = -k \sin(ka) = \frac{\psi}{a} = \frac{\cos(ka)}{a} \quad \text{or} \quad \cot(w) = -w; \quad w = ka
\]

There is an infinite sequence of solutions of this equation,

\[
k_1 \approx 2.798/a = (\pi - .344)/a
\]

\[
k_2 \approx 6.121/a = (2\pi - .162)/a
\]

\[
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots
\]

or to somewhat lesser accuracy,

\[
k_n \approx (n\pi/a) - (1/n\pi a), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

where

\[
\lambda_n = k_n^2 \approx \left(\frac{n\pi}{a}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n = \cos(k_nz) \approx \cos \left[ (n\pi - \frac{1}{n\pi}) \frac{z}{a} \right]
\]

It is not difficult to prove that \( \psi_n \) has just \( n \) nodes in the range \( 0 < z < a \). Except for the negative value of the lowest eigenvalue \( \lambda_0 \), all the other eigenvalues are positive, having no upper bound. Of course, we could rearrange our equation, by writing it

\[
(d^p\psi/dz^p) + [k^2 - \lambda q] \psi = 0; \quad p = 1; \quad q = -k^2; \quad r = 1
\]

where the new eigenfunctions are \( \lambda_n = \lambda_n + k_n^2 \). By this readjustment we have made the lowest eigenvalue \( \lambda_0 \) equal to zero and have ensured that no eigenvalues are negative.

**Degeneracy.** Some boundary conditions may not be exclusive enough to result in a unique solution for each allowed value of \( \lambda \). For
instance, for the equation \( (d^2\psi/d\phi^2) + \lambda \psi = 0 \), in some cases (see pages 507 and 713) the side boundary condition is that \( \psi \) be periodic in \( \phi \) with period \( 2\pi \). In this case the allowed values of \( \lambda \) turn out to be \( m^2 \) (\( m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)), but for each value of \( m \) any linear combination of \( \sin(m\phi) \) and \( \cos(m\phi) \) will satisfy the periodicity conditions, so there is an infinity of independent solutions. Such cases, where more than one eigenfunction belongs to a single eigenvalue, are called degenerate cases.

In cases of double degeneracy any pair of independent solutions \( \chi_n \) and \( \Omega_n \), corresponding to a given eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \), can be used to express the most general eigenfunction for this eigenvalue. Usually, however, it is best to choose the two solutions so they are orthogonal (see page 718) to each other, i.e., so that

\[
\int_a^b \chi_n(\phi)\Omega_n(\phi) \, d\phi = 0
\]

This can usually be done by adding certain symmetry requirements over and above the boundary conditions, which pick either one or the other set of independent solutions.

For instance, for the equation \( (d^2\psi/d\phi^2) + m^2\psi = 0 \) and for periodic conditions, the functions \( \sin(m\phi) \) are odd functions of \( \phi \) and the functions \( \cos(m\phi) \) are even functions of \( \phi \) and they are mutually orthogonal, for

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \sin(m\phi) \cos(n\phi) \, d\phi = 0
\]

The usefulness of this orthogonality requirement will shortly become evident. At any rate we could label the functions \( \cos(m\phi) \) with the notation \( \psi_o(\phi) \) (\( \phi \) for even) and the functions \( \sin(m\phi) \) with the notation \( \psi_d(\phi) \) (\( \phi \) for odd), and we should have the array \( \psi_n(\phi) \) for \( \lambda_n = 0 \), \( \psi_{c1} \) and \( \psi_{c2} \) for \( \lambda_1 = 1 \), and so on, two eigenfunctions for each eigenvalue except the lowest.

**Series of Eigenfunctions.** As we have seen earlier in this section, eigenfunctions enable us to fit boundary conditions by means of series. Once we have obtained our sequence of eigenfunctions \( \psi_n(\phi) \), we should be able to express any piecewise continuous function \( F(\phi) \) in terms of a series

\[
F(\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \psi_n(\phi)
\]

between the boundary points \( a \), \( b \) [see Eq. (6.3.8)]. In order that this be possible, we must show that the sequence of eigenfunctions is a complete set. This somehow is analogous to a requirement that the set of eigenvectors in function space, corresponding to the set of eigenfunctions, cover all the "dimensions" in function space which are required by the arbitrary vector corresponding to \( F(\phi) \) [see discussion following Eq.
(6.3.7). If $F(z)$ is analytic in a certain region around $z = 0$, an 

necessary is to show that the eigenfunction series corresponds to a power series.

For instance, if every power of $z$ can be expressed in terms of a convergent series of eigenfunctions, we can transform directly from the power series to the eigenfunction series and be sure that the second series will converge in the region where $F(z)$ is analytic.

But we can often go further than this. For instance, we shall show that a function with a finite number of discontinuities in the range $(a,b)$ (such piecewise continuous functions are, of course, not analytic at their discontinuities) can be represented by a series of eigenfunctions, giving a “least-squares” fit in the sense of page 769. Such series cannot be differentiated (for obvious reasons), and usually they are not uniformly convergent. But they usually can be integrated, and the resulting integral series will converge uniformly. Therefore eigenfunction series can go further than power series and can represent a certain class of nonanalytic functions, though the resulting series must be dealt with carefully.

In order that least-squares representation of $F(z)$ by a series of eigenfunctions be easy to accomplish, we should have that the sequence be mutually orthogonal, i.e., that the corresponding eigenvectors in function space be mutually perpendicular [see Eq. (6.3.5)]. This means that

$$
\int_a^b \psi_n(z)\psi_m(z) r(z) \, dz = \begin{cases} 
0 & m \neq n \\
B_n & m = n \end{cases}
$$

Equation (6.3.14) will enable us to prove this important property if we set the upper limit of integration equal to the upper boundary point $b$; for then if $\psi_n$ satisfies reasonable boundary conditions at $b$ (finiteness if $b$ is a singular point; fixed relation between value and slope, independent of $\lambda$, if $b$ is an ordinary point), the left-hand side of the equation is zero and

$$(\lambda_n - \lambda_m) \int_a^b \psi_n \psi_m r \, dz = 0$$

showing that, if $\psi_n$ and $\psi_m$ belong to different eigenvalues ($\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$) they are orthogonal. We note here that in Sec. 11.1 we shall show that, when the boundary conditions vary as $\lambda$ is varied, the set of eigenfunctions is not mutually orthogonal.

Even for the general periodic boundary condition the resulting eigenfunctions, if they belong to a different eigenvalue, are orthogonal, for if we integrate Eq. (6.3.13) between $a$ and $b$, we obtain

$$
\left[ \psi_n \frac{d\psi_m}{dz} - \psi_m \frac{d\psi_n}{dz} \right]_a^b = (\lambda_n - \lambda_m) \int_a^b \psi_n \psi_m r \, dz = 0
$$
the expression in square brackets being the same at \( b \) as it is at \( a \), because of the periodicity, so the two cancel. This does not prove that, in degenerate cases, the several eigenfunctions belonging to the same eigenfunctions are orthogonal, but we have indicated on page 716 that we can always choose functions which are mutually orthogonal. Consequently our sequence of eigenfunctions \( \psi_n \) may be made all mutually orthogonal, whether the case is a degenerate one or not, and any arbitrary, piecewise continuous function \( F(z) \) can be represented by the series

\[
F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_n}{E_n} \psi_n(z); \quad F_n = \frac{1}{E_n} \int_{a}^{b} F(x) \psi_n(x)r(x) \, dx
\]  

(6.3.17)

in the range \( a < z < b \) (if the set \( \psi_n \) is a complete set).

Incidentally this orthogonality ensures that the eigenvalues of the Liouville equation (6.3.12) are real as long as the functions \( p, q, r \) are real along the useful range of \( z \). If there were an allowed value of \( \lambda \) which was complex, then, by symmetry, its complex conjugate would also be an eigenvalue. The two eigenfunctions would also be complex conjugates of each other, so that, if \( \psi_n \), say, were \( u + iv \), then \( \psi_m \) would be \( u - iv \). However, it still would be true that these two eigenfunctions, corresponding to different eigenvalues, would have to be orthogonal, so we should have to have

\[
\int_{a}^{b} (u^2 + v^2)r \, dz = 0
\]

which would be inconsistent with our assumptions about \( r, u, \) and \( v \). Consequently we cannot have complex eigenvalues of the Liouville equation, and our worries, expressed on page 722, were groundless.

In accordance with our discussion on page 717, we can say that the density of unit vectors \( e(z) \) between \( z \) and \( z + dz \) is proportional to \( r(z) \, dz \), rather than just \( dz \) (for this reason \( r \) is sometimes called the density function). Thus the scalar product of two vectors \( F \) and \( G \) will be

\[
(F^* \cdot G) = \int_{a}^{b} F(z)G(z)r(z) \, dz
\]

where \( F(z) \) and \( G(z) \) are the components of \( F^* \) and \( G \) along the various directions given by \( e(z) \). The eigenfunctions \( \psi_n \) are components of the mutually orthogonal eigenvectors \( E_n \), of magnitude

\[
E_n = \left[ \int_{a}^{b} |\psi_n|^2 r \, dz \right]^{1/2}
\]

called the normalizing factor for \( \psi_n \).

The components of the unit eigenvectors \( e_n \) are then \( \psi_n(x)/E_n \) which are direction cosines of \( e(x) \) to the normal axes defined by the eigen-
vectors \( e_n \). Consequently, by a generalization of Eq. (0.3.11)

\[
\frac{1}{E_n E_m} \int_a^b \Psi_n \Psi_m \, dz = \delta_{nm}; \quad \sum_n \frac{r}{E_n^2} \Psi_n(x) \psi_n(z) = \delta(x - z)
\]

where \( \delta(x - z) \) is the Dirac delta function (see page 122). The series in the second equation is not absolutely convergent, so that it must be used only in cases where subsequent integration will ensure convergence. For instance, from the equation

\[
\int_a^b F(x) \delta(x - z) \, dx = F(z)
\]

defining \( \delta(x - z) \), by use of the symmetric series of eigenfunctions we obtain Eq. (0.3.17) immediately.

Eigenfunctions which are divided by the amplitude \( E_n \) so that they are direction cosines, components of unit vectors, are said to be normalized. A set of eigenfunctions which are mutually orthogonal and which are also normalized is called an orthonormal set. The property of orthogonality is quite important and useful, but the property of normalization is only of formal utility. We shall nearly always be using orthogonal sets of eigenfunctions, but we shall seldom bother to normalize them, preferring to include the normalization constants \( E_n \) explicitly in our equations.

**Factorization of the Sturm-Liouville Equation.** We first encountered the eigenvalue problem in Chap. 1, in connection with the determination of the principal axes of a linear vector operator. The discussion there, particularly the part concerning operators in abstract vector space, corresponds closely with the discussion in this chapter as we have just seen. This correspondence can be brought out still more clearly by a further examination of the operator techniques outlined on pages 208 and 244. There it was shown that a purely operator calculation, using "factored" operators which raised or lowered the eigenvalue, also provided solutions of the corresponding Schroedinger equation, which is a Sturm-Liouville equation. We shall now show that, in a number of instances, the Sturm-Liouville equation may be "factorized" into linear differential operators which can be used to obtain eigenvalues and eigenfunctions by methods analogous to those of Chap. 1.

The process of obtaining these linear differential operators from the differential equation is called factorization. Turning back to the derivation of the harmonic oscillator problem, on pages 244 et seq., we see that the equation

\[
(d^2 \psi_n/dx^2) + (2n + 1 - x^2) \psi_n = 0
\]

is equivalent to the pair of first order differential recurrence equations

\[
\left( \frac{d}{dx} - x \right) \psi_n = \sqrt{2(n + 1)} \psi_{n+1}; \quad \left( \frac{d}{dx} + x \right) \psi_n = - \sqrt{2n} \psi_{n-1}
\]
relating the eigenfunction \( \psi_n \) to its "next neighbors" \( \psi_{n-1} \) and \( \psi_{n+1} \). Vice versa, from these two first-order equations we can obtain the eigenvalues \( (2n + 1) \) for the original second-order equation and can obtain explicit differential expressions for the eigenfunctions, properly normalized.

To generalize this, we change the Sturm-Liouville equation,

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left( p \frac{d\phi}{dz} \right) + (q + \lambda r)\phi = 0
\]

into what we will call the modified Sturm-Liouville equation,

\[
(\frac{d^2 \Phi}{dx^2}) + [\lambda - V(x)]\Phi = 0
\]

where

\[\Phi = (pr)^\frac{\lambda}{2} \phi; \quad x = \int \frac{\sqrt{r}}{p} ds\]

\[-V(x) = \left( \frac{q}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{p' r}{p r^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{r'}{r} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p'}{p} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{r''}{r} \right) + \left( \frac{r'}{r} \right)^2 \right] \]

This has the general form of a one-dimensional Schroedinger equation, with \( V \) the potential. We shall consider here the case where the two limits of the range of \( x \) (a and b) are contiguous singular points of the equation. In this case neither \( p \) nor \( r \) is zero in the range \( a < x < b \), and the only requirement on \( \Phi \) is that it be finite at \( a \) and \( b \) or that \( \int_a^b \phi^2 ds \) be bounded (in other words: that \( \phi \) be quadratically integrable).

We now inquire whether part of the equation for \( \Phi \) can be factorized into the pair of differential operators

\[\Sigma^{-} = [u(x) - (d/dx)]; \quad \Sigma^{+} = [u(x) + (d/dx)]\]

such that

\[\Sigma^{+} \Sigma^{-} \Phi_n = (\lambda_n + a)\Phi_n; \quad \Sigma^{-} \Sigma^{+} \Phi_n = (\lambda_n - a)\Phi_n\]

Adding and subtracting the two assumed equations, we see that

\[u^2(x) - (d^2/dx^2)\Phi_n = \lambda \Phi_n; \quad a = \frac{du}{dx}\]

Consequently if \( a \) is to be independent of \( x \), \( u \) must be linearly dependent on \( x \) and thus \( u^2 \), which equals \( V \), must be proportional to \( x^2 \), bringing us to the equation for the harmonic oscillator. The differential operators \( \Sigma^{-} \) and \( \Sigma^{+} \) correspond to the abstract vector operators defined in Eq. (2.630) and are to be manipulated in the way outlined in Chap. 2 to obtain the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions.

This process can be generalized, to correspond to other forms for \( V \), when \( V \) is (or can be formally made) dependent on some parameter \( m \), which may be allowed to take on values differing by unity for the purposes of the analysis. We consider \( a \) and \( a \) also to be functions of this parameter and set up the two factorized equations

\[\Sigma^{-}_{m+1} \Phi_n(m|x) = [u_{m+1}(x) - (d/dx)]\Phi_n(m|x) = \sqrt{\lambda_n - a_{m+1}} \Phi_n(m + 1|x)\]

\[\Sigma^{+}_{m} \Phi_n(m|x) = [u_{m}(x) + (d/dx)]\Phi_n(m|x) = \sqrt{\lambda_n - a_{m}} \Phi_n(m - 1|x)\]
or the equivalent pair
\[ G_{m+1}^- G_{m+1}^+ \Phi_n(m|x) = (\lambda_n - a_{m+1}) \Phi_n(m|x) \]
\[ G_m^+ G_m^- \Phi_n(m|x) = (\lambda_n - a_m) \Phi_n(m|x) \]
(6.3.18)
which are to be adjusted so that they are equivalent to the modified
Sturm-Liouville equation
\[ |V_m(x) - (d^2/dx^2)] \Psi_n(m|x) = \lambda_n \Psi_n(m|x) \]
To determine the relation between \( u_m(x) \) and \( a_m \) and the potential
function \( V_m(x) \), we subtract and add the second pair of equations (reducing
\( m \) in the first to \( m - 1 \)) and compare with the corresponding
difference and sum for the equation with \( V_m \),
\[ dV_m/dx = \frac{1}{2} [V_{m-1}(x) - V_m(x)]; \quad u_m^0 + a_m = \frac{1}{2} [V_{m-1}(x) + V_m(x)] \]
if \( \lambda \) is considered to be independent of \( m \). Since \( a_m \) is supposed to be
independent of \( x \), we can differentiate the second equation, substitute
the first one, and finally obtain
\[ u_m(x) = \frac{1}{2} [(V_m - V_{m-1})/(V_m - V_{m-1})] \]
\[ a_m = \frac{1}{2} (V_m + V_{m-1} - u_m^0) \]
(6.3.19)
where \( V' = dV/dx \). Consequently the modified Sturm-Liouville equation
is equivalent to the factorized equations (6.3.18) with the quantities
\( u_m \) and \( a_m \) related to the potential function \( V_m \) by Eqs. (6.3.19).
It is obvious that not all forms of \( V \) will give a satisfactory factorization.
For one thing, we have assumed that the allowed values of \( \lambda \)
are independent of \( m \), and this, as we shall see, requires that \( a_m \) be
independent of \( x \). Consequently \( V_m(x) \) must be such a function of \( x \)
and \( m \) that the expression for \( a_m \) given in Eq. (6.3.19) is independent of \( x \).
This results in a limited number of possibilities, several of which result in
useful sequences of eigenfunctions. A number of the possibilities are
tabulated at the end of this chapter; here we shall discuss only one
example, resulting in the Gegenbauer polynomials (or spherical harmonics)
defined in Eq. (5.2.52) or (5.3.35) and at the end of this chapter.
The equation for these polynomials is
\[ (x^2 - 1) \frac{d^2 T}{dx^2} + 2(m + 1)x \frac{dT}{dx} - \lambda^0 T = 0 \]
where, if \( T = T^0(x) \), the eigenvalue \( \lambda^0 \) will turn out to be \( l(l + 2m + 1) \)
where \( l = n - m \). Let us note here that the parameter \( m \) is not necessarily
restricted to integral values. Our factorizing relates the eigenfunction
for a given value of \( m \) to those differing by unity in \( m \), but often
we can start from any value of \( m \) and travel up or down by unit steps.
Other conditions may limit the values of \( m \); this process does not.
The modified form of the equation is obtained by setting
\[ z = \cos x; \quad \Phi = \sin^{m+1} x \quad T \]
and is
\[ \frac{d^2\Phi}{dx^2} + \lambda \Phi - \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{\sin^2 x} \Phi = 0; \quad \lambda = \lambda^2 + (m + \frac{1}{2})^2 \]
In this case the potential function is
\[ V_m(x) = (m + \frac{1}{2})(m - \frac{1}{2}) \csc^2 x \]
and consequently \( \psi_n(x) = (m - \frac{1}{2}) \cot(x); \quad a_m = (m - \frac{1}{2})^2 \)
so that, for this case, \( a_m \) is independent of \( x \) as required.
Once we have factorized the specific Sturm-Liouville equation under consideration, if it turns out to be factorizable and \( a_m \) is independent of \( x \), we can then proceed to solve by methods analogous to those employed in Sec. 2.6. We can show, for example, that the differential operator \( S^+ \)
is the adjoint of the operator \( S^- \), so that
\[ \int_a^b f S^- \psi \ dx = \int_a^b \psi S^+ f \ dx \]
as long as \( f \) and \( \psi \) are quadratically integrable over the range of \( x \) between the limits \( a \) and \( b \) (which we have assumed are singular points of the equation).
Equations (6.3.18) then indicate that, if \( y_n(m|x) \) is an eigenfunction of the modified Sturm-Liouville equation (i.e., is quadratically integrable over \( a \leq x \leq b \) for eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \), then \( S^+ y_n(m|x) \) is an eigenfunction (i.e., also quadratically integrable) for the same eigenfunction \( \lambda_n \) but for a value of \( m \) reduced by unity, which can be called \( y_n(m - 1|x) \).
(The functions \( y \) are not necessarily normalized, we reserve the symbol \( \Phi \) for the eigenfunction which is normalized to unity over the range \( a \leq x \leq b \).) In other words
\[ S^+ y_n(m|x) = y_n(m - 1|x); \quad \text{also} \quad S^- y_n(m|x) = y_n(m + 1|x) \]
unless either of these is identically zero.
We next apply the technique of Sec. 2.6 to obtain the allowed values of \( \lambda_n \). When \( a_m \) is an increasing function of \( m \), that is, when \( a_{m+1} > a_m \), we set
\[
\int_a^b [y_n(m + 1|x)]^2 \ dx = \int_a^b \{S^- y_n(m|x)\}[S^- y_n(m|x)] \ dx
= \int_a^b y_n(m|x)[S^+ y_n(m+1|x)] \ dx
= (\lambda_n - a_{m+1}) \int_a^b [y_n(m|x)]^2 \ dx
\]
so that, if \( y_n(m|x) \) is quadratically integrable, so is \( y_n(m + 1|x) \) unless \( (\lambda_n - a_{m+1}) \) is negative, which would be impossible, since the \( y \)'s are real. Consequently there can be no eigenfunctions for \( m \) larger than a certain maximum value \( n \); to ensure this we have only to make \( \lambda_n = a_{n+1} \)
which fixes the value of the eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \), since \( a_{n+1} \) is known.
To determine the eigenfunctions we note that
\[ S_{n+1}^{-} y_n(n|x) = [u_{n+1}(x) - (d/dx)y_n(n|x)] = 0 \]
since \( \lambda_n = a_{n+1} \) and thus \( y_n(n + 1|x) \) is identically zero. Consequently
\[ y_n(n|x) = \exp \{ \int u_{n+1}(x) \, dx \} \]
and
\[ y_n(m|x) = S_{m+1}^{+} S_{m+2}^{+} \cdots S_{n}^{+} \exp \{ \int u_{n+1}(x) \, dx \} \]
Furthermore, from the \( y \)'s we can obtain the properly normalized eigenfunctions \( \Phi \). We have
\[ \Phi_n(n|x) = C_n \exp \{ \int u_{n+1} \, dx \}; \quad C_n = \left[ \int_a^b \exp \{ 2 \int u_{n+1} \, dx \} \, dx \right]^{-\frac{1}{4}} \]
and if \( \int_a^b |\Phi_n(m|x)|^2 \, dx = 1 \), we can see that
\[ \int_a^b |S_{m}^{+} \Phi_n(m|x)|^2 \, dx = (\lambda_n - a_m) \]
Thus we have shown that Eqs. (6.3.18) are equivalent to the pair of equations just preceding (6.3.18) if the functions \( \Phi \) are normalized and that the second of this pair provides a means to obtain the normalized eigenfunction \( \Phi_n(m|x) \) from the known function \( \Phi_n(n|x) \):
\[ \Phi_n(m|x) = \frac{C_n}{\sqrt{(a_{n+1} - a_m) \cdots (a_{n+1} - a_{n+1})}} \cdot \prod_{m=0}^{n} S_{m+1}^{+} S_{m+2}^{+} \cdots S_{n}^{+} \exp \{ \int u_{n+1} \, dx \}; \]
\[ m = n, n - 1, n - 2, \ldots \]
On the other hand, when \( a_m \) is a decreasing function of \( m \) \( (a_{m+1} < a_m) \), we turn the argument around and show that there must be some lower limit \( n \) of \( m \), such that \( S_{m}^{+} y_n(n|x) \) is identically zero. Then \( \lambda_n = a_n \) and
\[ \Phi_n(m|x) = \frac{C_n}{\sqrt{(a_{n} - a_{n+1}) \cdots (a_{n} - a_m)}} \cdot \prod_{m=0}^{n} S_{m+1}^{+} \exp \{ \int u_{n} \, dx \}; \]
\[ m = n, n + 1, n + 2, \ldots \]
where here
\[ C_n = \left[ \int_a^b \exp \left\{ -2 \int u_n \, dx \right\} \, dx \right]^{-\frac{1}{4}} \]
Thus both of the pair of equations preceding (6.3.18) hold for normalized eigenfunctions, no matter what functions \( a_m \) is of \( n \), but we use the first to obtain \( \Phi_n(m|x) \) when \( a_{m+1} < a_m \), the second when \( a_{m+1} > a_m \).
Returning to our example of the associated Legendre functions (or Gegenbauer polynomials) we see that the limits for \( x \) are 0 and \( \pi \) and that \( a_{n+1} = (m + \frac{1}{2})^2 > a_m \). Therefore the eigenvalues are
\[ \lambda_n = a_{n+1} = (n + \frac{1}{2})^2; \quad \lambda^0_{nm} = a_n - (m + \frac{1}{2})^2 = \ell (\ell + 2m + 1) \]
where \( \ell = n - m \). Since \( m = n, n - 1, \ldots, \ell \) can never be negative. The starting function is
\[ \Phi_n(x|x) = C_n \exp \left[ (n + \frac{1}{2}) \int \cot(x) \, dx \right] - C_n \sin^{n+1} x \]
\[ C_n = \left[ \int_0^x \sin^{2n+1} x \, dx \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{n!} (2n + 1)!}{2^{2n}} \]

and the other eigenfunctions are

\[ \Phi_n(m|x) = \frac{\sqrt{n + \frac{1}{2}}(n + m)!}{2^{2n} m!} \left[ (m + \frac{1}{2}) \cot x + \frac{d}{dx} \right] \cdots \]
\[ \cdot \left[ (n - \frac{1}{2}) \cot x + \frac{d}{dx} \right] \sin^{n+1} x \]
\[ = (-1)^{n-m} \frac{\sqrt{n + \frac{1}{2}}(n + m)!}{2^{2n} (1 - z^2)^{m-1}} \frac{d^{n-m}}{dx^{n-m}} \Phi_{n-m}(m|\cos^{-1} z) \]

where \( z = \cos x \). Comparing this with the tables at the end of this chapter shows that, for \( m \) and \( n \) integers and \( l = n - m \), the Gegenbauer polynomials and associated Legendre functions are related to the \( \Phi \)'s by the equations

\[ \Phi_n(m|x) = (-1)^{n-m} \sqrt{\frac{(n - m)! (n + \frac{1}{2})}{(n + m)!}} \sqrt{\sin x} P_n^m(\cos x) \]
\[ T_l^m(x) = (-1)^l \sqrt{\frac{(l + 2m)!}{(l + m + \frac{1}{2})}} (1 - z^2)^{-l-m} \Phi_{l+m}(m|\cos^{-1} z) \]

In the tables at the end of this chapter we shall enumerate the other forms for \( V_n(x) \) and the corresponding \( u_n(x) \) which result in \( a_n \) independent of \( x \) and which consequently allow factorization in the manner outlined above. It is clear that this method of calculating eigenfunctions is, of course, closely related to the abstract vector representation. The operators \( \Phi \) can also be used to prove the orthogonality of the eigenfunctions. The techniques outlined give us directly various recurrence formulas relating the various eigenfunctions, which are useful for further calculations.

Many equations can be factorized in several different ways. For example, the equation for \( P_n^m(\cos x) = \sin^m x T_n^m(\cos x) \),

\[ \frac{1}{\sin x} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sin x \frac{dP}{dx} \right) + \left[ n(n + 1) - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 x} \right] P = 0 \]

has already been factorized with respect to the parameter \( m \), by setting \( \lambda = n(n + 1) \) and \( \Phi_n(m|x) = C \sqrt{\sin x} P_n^m(\cos x) \). But we can also consider \( -m^2 \) as our eigenvalue \( \lambda \) and factorize with respect to \( n \), by setting \( t = \ln \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \) we obtain

\[ \frac{d^2 \psi}{dt^2} + \left[ \frac{n(n + 1)}{\cosh^2 t} + \lambda \right] \psi = 0; \quad \psi \cdot CP; \quad \cosh t \cdot \cosh x \]

which may be factorized by setting \( u_n(t) = n \tanh t, \quad a_n = -n^2 \). In this case \( a_n \) is a decreasing function of \( n \), so that the second form for
\[ \psi_m(n|t) \text{ is used, the values of } n \text{ never being less than some chosen value } n, \]

where \( \lambda_m = -m^2 \) and the first normalized eigenfunction is

\[
\psi_m(n|t) = \sqrt{\frac{m(2m-1)!}{2^{2m}(m!)^2}} \cosh^{-m} t; \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\psi_m(n|t)]^2 dt = 1
\]

The other eigenfunctions, for \( n = m, m+1, m+2, \ldots \), are

\[
\psi_m(n|t) = \frac{(2m)!}{2^n m!} \frac{1}{(n-m)!(n+m)!} \left[ n \tanh t - \frac{d}{dt} \right] \cdots \frac{1}{(m+1)\tanh t - \frac{d}{dt}} \cosh^{-m} t
\]

The recurrence relations for the \( \psi \)'s may be expressed in terms of the variable \( x = 2 \tan^{-1} t \),

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-n \cos x - \sin x \frac{d}{dx}
\end{bmatrix} \psi_m(n-1|t) = \sqrt{(n-m)(n+m)} \psi_m(n|t)
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-n \cos x + \sin x \frac{d}{dx}
\end{bmatrix} \psi_m(n|t) = \sqrt{(n-m)(n+m)} \psi_m(n-1|t)
\]

Since

\[
1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\psi_m(n|t)]^2 dt = C^2 \int_0^\pi [P_n^m(\cos x)]^2 \frac{dx}{\sin x} = C^2 \frac{(n+m)!}{m(n-m)!}
\]

we see that

\[
\psi_m(n|t) = \sqrt{\frac{m(n-m)!}{(n+m)!}} P_n^m(\tanh t)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{n-m} \sqrt{\frac{m}{(n+\frac{1}{2}) \sin x}} \phi_m(m|\cos^{-1} \tanh t)
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the eigenfunction obtained from the first factorization.

We thus have obtained four differential-recurrence relations for the \( \Phi \) eigenfunctions or the related \( \psi \) functions (we prefer to deal with the \( \Phi \) functions because they are normalized with respect to the angle \( x \), which is the variable in most physical problems). From these we have obtained other useful recurrence relations. For example, from the set

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
(n+\frac{3}{2}) \cos x - \sin x \frac{d}{dx}
\end{bmatrix} \phi_n(m|x) = \sqrt{\frac{(n+m+1)(n-m+1)(2n+1)}{(2n+3)}} \phi_{n+1}(m|x)
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
(n+\frac{1}{2}) \cos x - \sin x \frac{d}{dx}
\end{bmatrix} \phi_n(m|x) = \sqrt{\frac{(n+m)(n-m)(2n+1)}{(2n-1)}} \phi_{n-1}(m|x)
\]
which are derived from the equations for the $\phi$'s, by addition we obtain the usual recurrence relation for the normalized Legendre functions

$$\cos x \Phi_n(m|x) = \sqrt{\frac{(n + m + 1)(n - m + 1)}{(2n + 1)(2n + 3)}} \Phi_{n+1}(m|x)$$

$$+ \sqrt{\frac{(n + m)(n - m)}{(2n - 1)(2n + 1)}} \Phi_{n-1}(m|x)$$

and by inserting this into one of the equations derived earlier for the $\phi$'s,

$$[(m + \frac{1}{2}) \cos x - \sin x \frac{d}{dx}]\Phi_n(m|x)$$

$$= \sqrt{(n + m + 1)(n - m)} \sin x \Phi_n(m + 1|x)$$

or

$$\sqrt{(n + m + 1)(n - m)} \sin x \Phi_n(m|x) = (n + m + 1) \cos x \Phi_n(m + 1|x)$$

$$- [(n + \frac{1}{2}) \cos x - \sin x \frac{d}{dx}]\Phi_n(m + 1|x)$$

we obtain

$$\sin x \Phi_n(m|x) = \sqrt{\frac{(n + m + 1)(n + m + 2)}{(2n + 1)(2n + 3)}} \Phi_{n+1}(m + 1|x)$$

$$- \sqrt{\frac{(n - m - 1)(n - m)}{(2n - 1)(2n + 1)}} \Phi_{n-1}(m + 1|x)$$

These and many other relations of use can be similarly derived. Some of these relations will be derived by other methods later in this section (see page 749). But we must now return to our main investigation, that of the completeness of a set of eigenfunctions.

**Eigenfunctions and the Variational Principle.** A complete set of eigenfunctions is one which can provide a “least-squares” representation (see page 709) of any piecewise continuous function. To show that the sets of eigenfunctions we have been considering are complete, we turn to the variational techniques developed in Chap. 3. Reference to Eqs. (3.1.7) et seq. shows that the solution of the Sturm-Liouville equation (6.3.12) is the same as the minimizing of the following integral:

$$\int_a^b \left[ p(x) \left( \frac{d\phi}{dz} \right)^2 - q(x)\phi^2 \right] dx$$

subject to the auxiliary condition that

$$\int_a^b \phi^2 \phi(x) \, dz = \text{constant}$$

In other words, the Euler equation for this variational problem is just the Liouville equation (6.3.12).

Let us examine this statement in somewhat greater detail. Suppose that we choose for a trial function, $\phi(x)$, one which satisfies the boundary
conditions at \(a\) and \(b\) and which is normalized with respect to the density function \(r\),

\[
\int_a^b \psi^2 r \, dz = 1
\]

(this is one of the few places where it is definitely advantageous to normalize the functions). We then compute the integral

\[
\Omega(\psi) = \int_a^b \left[ p \left( \frac{d\psi}{dz} \right)^2 + q \psi^2 \right] \, dz \tag{6.3.20}
\]

and vary the trial function in every possible way (subject to the restriction that \(\psi\) is normalized and satisfies the boundary conditions) until we find the \(\psi\) which results in the lowest possible value of \(\Omega\). The fact that the Liouville equation corresponds to the variational equation ensures that the \(\psi\) for which \(\Omega\) is lowest possible is \(\psi_0\), the lowest eigenfunction, and the corresponding value of \(\Omega\) is

\[
\Omega(\psi_0) = \int_a^b \left[ p \left( \frac{d\psi_0}{dz} \right)^2 + q \psi_0^2 \right] \, dz - \left[ p \psi_0 \left. \frac{d\psi_0}{dz} \right|_a^b \right]
\]

\[
- \int_a^b \psi_0 \left( \frac{d}{dz} \left( p \frac{d\psi_0}{dz} \right) + q \psi_0 \right) \, dz
\]

\[
= \int_a^b \psi_0 [\lambda \sigma \psi_0] \, dz = \lambda_0
\]

the lowest eigenvalue. We obtain this result (as shown in the equations) by integrating the term \(p(d\psi/dz)^2\) by parts, by using Eq. (6.3.12) to obtain the last integrand and by utilizing the boundary conditions at \(a\) and \(b\) to show that the quantity in brackets is zero. For the discussion to follow, we assume that we have rearranged \(q\) and \(\lambda\) so that \(\lambda_0 = 0\).

To find the next eigenfunction and eigenvalue, we minimize \(\Omega\) for a normalized trial function \(\psi\) subject to the boundary conditions at \(a\) and \(b\), which, in addition, is orthogonal to \(\psi_0\) found above. This minimum value of \(\Omega\) is \(\lambda_1\) and the corresponding trial function is \(\psi_1\). So we can proceed; to find \(\psi_n\) and \(\lambda_n\) we compute \(\Omega\) for a trial function satisfying the boundary conditions at \(a\) and \(b\), which is normalized and which is orthogonal to \(\psi_0, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{n-1}\), which have all been previously computed. The function which then makes \(\Omega\) a minimum is \(\psi_n\) and \(\Omega\) for \(\psi_n\) is equal to \(\lambda_n\).

For degenerate cases we shall find a whole sequence of functions which will give the same minimum value of \(\Omega\). However, we can always pick out, by symmetry or other additional requirements, a set of mutually orthogonal solutions, which can be considered to be the standard solutions for the one degenerate value of \(\lambda_n\).
The Completeness of a Set of Eigenfunctions. We can reword our conclusions of the previous paragraphs as follows: suppose that \( F \) is any function satisfying the boundary conditions and normalized in the range \( a - b \); then the integral \( \Omega(F) \), defined in Eq. (6.3.20), is never smaller than \( \lambda_n \). Since we have adjusted \( q \) and \( \lambda \) so that \( \lambda_n = 0 \), we have that \( \Omega(F) \) is never negative. Likewise if \( F_n \) is a function such that

\[
\int_a^b F_n^2 r \, dz = 1; \quad \int_a^b F_n \psi_n r \, dz = 0; \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1, n
\]

then the integral \( \Omega(F_n) \) is never smaller than \( \lambda_{n+1} \).

The series

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{n} C_m \psi_m(z); \quad C_m = \frac{1}{E_n^2} \int_a^b f(z) \psi_m(z) \psi(z) \, dz \quad (6.3.21)
\]

is supposed to equal (on the average) the function \( f(z) \) over the range \( a, b \). If it is to do so, the difference between the function \( f \) and the first \( n \) terms of the series

\[
f_n(z) = f(z) - \sum_{m=0}^{n} C_m \psi_m(z)
\]

must approach zero (on the average) as \( n \) approaches infinity. In other words, if the series is to be a good least squares fit, according to page 700, the quantity

\[
a_n^2 = \int_a^b f_n^2(z) r(z) \, dz = \int_a^b f(z)^2 r(z) \, dz - \sum_{m=0}^{n} E_n^2 C_m^2
\]

should approach zero as \( n \) approaches infinity.

We can now apply our variational argument to obtain a measure of the size of \( a_n \), for the function

\[
F_n = f_n(z) / a_n
\]

has the properties specified on this page:

\[
\int_a^b F_n^2 r \, dz = 1; \quad \text{by definition of } a_n
\]

\[
\int_a^b F_n \psi_n r \, dz = \begin{cases} (E_n^2 / a_n)(C_m - C_m) = 0; & m \leq n \\ (E_n^2 / a_n)(C_m) \neq 0; & m > n \end{cases}
\]

because of the orthogonality of the \( \psi \)'s and the definition of \( C_m \). Therefore, according to the findings of the previous page.

\[
\Omega(F_n) = \frac{1}{a_n^2} \int_a^b \left[ p \left( \frac{df}{dz} \right)^2 - qf^2 \right] \, dz - \frac{1}{a_n^2} \int_a^b \sum_{m=0}^{n} C_m \left[ p \frac{df}{dz} \psi_m + q \psi_m \right] \, dz
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{a_n^2} \sum_{m=0}^{n} C_m \int_a^b \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{C_m}{E_n^2} \left[ p \frac{df}{dz} \psi_m + q \psi_m \right] \, dz \geq \lambda_{n+1}
\]
The first term in this integral is $\Omega(f)/a_n^2$, which, as we have seen above, is never negative. The first terms in the second integral can be integrated by parts, and by using the facts that $\psi_m$ satisfies the Liouville equation and that both $f$ and the $\psi$'s satisfy the boundary conditions, we obtain for the second integral

$$
\frac{2}{a_n^2} \int_a^b f \sum_{m=0}^n C_m \left[ \frac{d}{dz} \left( p \frac{d\psi_n}{dz} \right) + q \psi_n \right] dz = \frac{2}{a_n^2} \sum_{m=0}^n C_m p \frac{d\psi_m}{dz} \int_a^b
$$

$$
= -\frac{2}{a_n^2} \sum_{m=0}^n C_m \lambda_m \int r\psi_m dz = -\frac{2}{a_n^2} \sum_{m=0}^n \psi_m^2 \lambda_m E_m^2
$$

Similarly the third integral equals \( \left( \frac{1}{a_n^2} \right) \sum_{m=0}^n C_m^2 \lambda_m E_m^2 \) so that

$$
\Omega(f) = \frac{1}{a_n^2} \left[ \Omega(f) - \sum_{m=0}^n E_m^2 C_m^2 \lambda_m \right] \geq \lambda_{n+1}
$$

Since $\sum E_m^2 C_m^2 \lambda_m$ must be positive (none of the $\lambda$'s is negative), we therefore have that

$$
\Omega(f) > a_n^2 \lambda_{n+1} \quad \text{or} \quad a_n^2 < [\Omega(f)/\lambda_{n+1}]
$$

But $\Omega(f)$ is a positive quantity, independent of $n$, and we have proved that $\lambda_{n+1}$ approaches infinity as $n$ approaches infinity. Therefore $a_n$ approaches zero as $n$ approaches infinity, and we have, by this procedure, proved that the series (6.3.21) is a least-squares fit for the function $f$ in the range $a < z < b$. Therefore if $\psi_n$ is a sequence of eigenfunctions, solutions of Eq. (6.3.12) (which can be adjusted so that $\lambda_0 = 0$), and satisfying boundary conditions such that $[p\psi_n(\psi_n/\psi_n)]_a^b$ vanishes, then the set $\psi_n$ is a complete set. This proof completes our study of the fundamental characteristics of eigenfunctions. We can now turn to special cases and to the discussion of general techniques which will be useful later.

**Asymptotic Formulas.** It is sometimes useful to obtain approximate expressions for the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of high order ($n$ large). We return to the Liouville equation

$$
\frac{d}{dz} \left( p \frac{d\psi}{dz} \right) + (\lambda r + q)\psi = 0
$$

and make the substitutions

$$
y = (pr)\psi; \quad \xi - 1 = \int_a^z \sqrt{\frac{r}{p}} dz; \quad J = \int_a^b \sqrt{\frac{r}{p}} dz
$$

to obtain the transformed equation

$$
(\frac{d\psi}{d\xi}^2) + [k^2 - m(\xi)]\psi = 0 \quad (6.3.22)
$$

where $k^2 = J^2 \lambda$ and $w = \left[ \frac{1}{(pr)^2} \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} (pr)^2 - J^2 \frac{\xi}{r} \right]$. 

when expressed in terms of the new variable \( \xi \). The range of the new independent variable is \( 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi \).

When \( \lambda \) is large, \( k^2 \) is large compared with \( w \), and we would expect \( y \) to approximate a sinusoidal dependence on \( \xi \). To make this specific, we can rearrange the equation as though it were an inhomogeneous equation:

\[
\left( \frac{d^2 y}{d \xi^2} \right) + k^2 y = wy
\]

and solve it as though \( wy \) were the inhomogeneous part. Referring to Eq. (5.2.19) we see that an expression for \( y \) is

\[
y(\xi) = A \sin(k\xi) + B \cos(k\xi) + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\xi_0}^{\xi} \sin[k(\xi - t)]w(t)y(t) \, dt \tag{6.3.23}
\]

where \( \xi_0 \) is some suitable lower limit for integration. This is, of course, an integral equation for \( y \) and not a solution. In Chap. 8 we shall study its solution in greater detail. Here, however, we are concerned only with the solutions when \( \lambda \) is very large, large enough so that we can neglect the integral compared with the first two terms (if \( \lambda \) is large, \( k \) is large, and the integrand, times \( 1/k \), vanishes). The values of \( A \) and \( B \) and of \( k \) must be adjusted so that the boundary conditions are satisfied.

If neither \( a \) nor \( b \) is a singular point, the problem of fitting our asymptotic form to the boundary conditions is fairly simple. If the requirements are that \( y \) be zero at \( a \) and \( b \), the first approximation, obtained by neglecting the integral in Eq. (6.3.23), is simply

\[
k = n; \quad \psi \sim \frac{1}{(pr)^{1/2}} \sin(n\xi); \quad \lambda_n = (n/J)^2 \tag{6.3.24}
\]

which is valid as long as \( n^2 \) is larger than \( w \) everywhere in the range \( 0 < \xi < \pi \). A better approximation can then be obtained by setting this back into the integral in Eq. (6.3.23) and computing a correction term, but we shall avoid such details for a moment.

If the boundary conditions are that \( a\psi + \beta(d\psi/dz) = 0 \) at \( z = a \) and a similar relation, with \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) possibly different constants, at \( z = b \), the procedure is somewhat more complicated. We set, for our first approximation,

\[
\psi \sim \frac{1}{(pr)^{1/2}} \cos(k\xi + \theta)
\]

where \( \theta \) is a phase angle to be determined from the boundary conditions. Since \( k^2 \) is to be larger than \( w(\xi) \) everywhere in the range \( 0 < \xi < \pi \), we have

\[
\frac{d\psi}{dz} \sim \frac{1}{(pr)^{1/2}} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{d}{d\xi} [\cos(k\xi + \theta)] = -\frac{1}{(pr)^{1/2}} \frac{k}{J} \sqrt{p} \sin(k\xi + \theta)
\]

since the derivative of the \( (pr)^{-1/2} \) factor is small compared with the derivative of the cosine factor for large \( k \). The solution for \( \theta \) is obtained
from the equation
\[ \cot \theta \simeq \frac{k}{J} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{p}} \right]_{a}^{b} \]

If \( k \) is large enough, this reduces to
\[ \theta(k) \simeq \frac{J}{k} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{p}{r}} \right]_{a}^{b}; \quad \text{evaluated at } z = a \]

Similar fitting at \( z = b \) determines the allowed values of \( k \) and therefore of \( \lambda = (k/J)^{2} \):

\[ k_{n} \simeq n + \frac{J}{\pi n} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{p}{r}} \right]_{a}^{b}; \quad \psi_{n} = \frac{1}{(pr)^{n}} \cos \left[ k_{n}z + \theta(n) \right] \quad (6.3.25) \]

where \( n \) is an integer.

Thus when \( a \) and \( b \) are ordinary points, the higher eigenvalues of the Liouville equation are approximately equal to the square of \( \pi \) times a large integer \( n \) divided by the square of the integral of \( \sqrt{r/p} \) between \( a \) and \( b \). The corresponding eigenfunction is approximately equal to the cosine of \( (\pi n) \) times the ratio between the integral of \( \sqrt{r/p} \) from \( a \) to \( z \) and the integral of \( \sqrt{r/p} \) from \( a \) to \( b \) [with an additional “amplitude factor” \( (pr)^{-1} \) modifying the amplitude].

When \( a \) or \( b \), or both, are singular points, where \( p \) goes to zero, the integrals defining \( J \) and \( \xi \) [given just above Eq. (6.3.22)] may diverge, and we may have to modify our definitions somewhat. In addition the function \( q \) may go to infinity at such points; in any case the function \( w \) will become infinite there. We can, of course, solve the Liouville equation near the singular point in terms of a power series and find the nature of the singularities of the two solutions there. If our equation is sensible physically, one of the solutions will be finite there.

To see the way things go, let us take a specific example: that of the Bessel equation, resulting from the separation of the wave equation in polar coordinates (see pages 550 and 619),

\[ \frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} \left( \frac{\psi}{dz^{2}} \right) + \left( \lambda z - \frac{m^{2}}{z} \right) \psi = 0 \quad (6.3.26) \]

where \( z = r = \xi \), where \( m \) is an integer (determined by periodic boundary conditions on the \( \xi \) factor) and where \( \lambda \) is the separation constant. In this case we have \( p = z, r = z, q = -\left( m^{2}/z \right) \). Suppose we take the singular point \( z = 0 \) as the limit \( a \).

Making the substitution for Eq. (6.3.22) we have

\[ \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \phi; \quad J = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{b} dz = b, \quad \xi = \frac{\pi z}{b}, \quad \lambda = \left( b^{2}/\pi^{2} \right) \lambda \]
\( (d^2y/dt^2) + [k^2 - (m^2 - 4)/t^2]y = 0 \) and the integral equation for \( y \) is

\[
y(t) = \cos(kt + \theta) - \frac{1}{k} \int_0^\infty \sin(k(t - \tau)) y(\tau) \left[ \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{t^2} \right] d\tau
\]

where the limits of the integral were chosen to ensure convergence. For large values of \( k \) and \( t \), the first term is sufficiently accurate and we need not worry about the integral except when \( t \) is near zero. As long as we can find the value of the phase angle \( \theta \) which corresponds to the requirement that \( y \) is finite when \( \tau = 0 \), we need never use the asymptotic form for \( t \) small (where it is inaccurate).

We find the value of \( \theta \) by means of the Stokes’ phenomenon (see page 609), by requiring that the change in the asymptotic formula for \( \psi \), as \( t \) is taken in a circle about \( \zeta = 0 \), correspond to the change in the series solution about \( \zeta = 0 \). Examination of the indicial equation (see page 532) for Eq. (6.3.26) shows that the finite solution at \( z = 0 \) has the form \( z^\alpha \) times a Taylor’s series in \( z \). Consequently, if \( \psi \) is real for \( z \) large along the positive real axis (phase angle \( \phi = 0 \)), it is \( e^{i\alpha z} \) times a real function when \( \phi = \pi \) (\( z \) negative and large), and its leading term should be \( e^{i\alpha z} \) times a real function when \( \phi \) is not an integral multiple of \( \pi \) (\( z \) complex and large).

Setting \( \psi \approx (1/2 \sqrt{z})[\cos(kz + \theta) + e^{-ikz} - ikz], \) which is real for \( \phi = 0 \), we first examine the behavior for \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \) (\( t = i|\zeta| \)). Here the first term in the brackets is negligible for \( t \) large, and the asymptotic formula gives

\[
\psi \approx e^{ikz/2} \text{ real function}
\]

\[
\approx (1/2 \sqrt{|z|}) e^{ikz - \theta - \frac{1}{2}i\pi}; \quad z = |z|e^{i\theta}
\]

so that \( \theta = -\frac{1}{2}i(m + \frac{1}{2}) \). To check this we also try \( \phi = -\frac{\pi}{2} \) (\( t = -i|\zeta| \)). Here the second term is negligible and

\[
\psi \approx e^{-ikz/2} \text{ real function}
\]

\[
\approx (1/2 \sqrt{|z|}) e^{ikz + \theta + \frac{1}{2}i\pi}; \quad z = |z|e^{-i\theta}
\]

so again \( \theta = -\frac{1}{2}i(m + \frac{1}{2}) \), which checks. Therefore the asymptotic form for the eigenfunctions in this case is

\[
\psi \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \cos \left[ \frac{\pi k z}{b} - \frac{1}{2}i(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right]; \quad -\frac{1}{2}i < \phi < \frac{1}{2}i
\]

which is the asymptotic behavior given in Eq. (5.3.68). If the boundary condition at \( z = b \) is that \( \psi = 0 \), the asymptotic expression for the eigenvalues is

\[
e_n \approx n + \frac{1}{2}(m + \frac{1}{2}); \quad \lambda_n = (\pi k_n/b)^2; \quad n \text{ large}
\]

This same technique can be carried through for other cases where one or both limits are singular points. A more complete discussion of
asymptotic formulas will be given in Chap. 9. From our discussion here we can say that any of the usual sorts of boundary conditions (whether at singular or ordinary points) can be fitted to the order of approximation suitable for asymptotic formulas by adjusting the phase angle \( \theta \) in the expression

\[
\psi \sim \frac{1}{(pr)^{1/2}} \cos \left[ \sqrt{\lambda} \int_a^x \frac{r}{p} \, dz + \theta \right]
\]

(6.3.27)

Likewise the asymptotic formula for the higher eigenvalues will turn out to be

\[
\lambda_n \sim \left( \int_a^b \frac{r}{p} \, dz \right)^{-2} [n\pi + \alpha]^2
\]

where the value of \( \alpha \) depends on the specific kind of boundary conditions at both \( a \) and \( b \).

**Comparison with Fourier Series.** We see from this discussion that every eigenfunction series behaves like a Fourier series for the higher terms in the series. In fact we can prove that the difference between the first \( n \) terms of an eigenfunction series and the first \( n \) terms in a Fourier series, covering the same range and for the same function, is uniformly convergent as \( n \) is increased indefinitely.

To show this we transfer to the new independent variable \( \xi \) and new dependent variable \( y \) as defined in Eq. (6.3.22). The function we wish to represent is \( F(z) \), which is multiplied by \((pr)^{1/2}\), and this function, expressed in terms of \( \xi \), will be called \( f(\xi) \). No new singularities or discontinuities are introduced in \( f \) by this procedure. The expansion of \( f \) in terms of \( \xi \) is given by the formula [see Eqs. (6.3.17) and (6.3.18)]

\[
f(\xi) = (p(z)r(z))^{1/2} \int_a^b F(t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{E_m^2} \psi_m(z) \psi_m(t) r(t) \, dt
\]

\[
= \int_a^b f(\tau) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{E_m^2} y_m(\xi) y_m(\tau) \frac{r(\tau)}{p(\tau)} \, d\tau
\]

\[
= \int_0^b f(\tau) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_m^2} y_m(\xi) y_m(\tau) \, d\tau
\]

(6.3.28)

where \( \psi_m(z) = y_m(\xi)/(pr)^{1/2} \); \( F(t) = f(\tau)/(pr)^{1/2} \)

\[
\xi = \frac{\pi}{J} \int_a^x \sqrt{\frac{r(z)}{p(z)}} \, dz; \quad \tau = \frac{\pi}{J} \int_a^t \sqrt{\frac{r(t)}{p(t)}} \, d\tau; \quad J = \int_a^b \sqrt{\frac{r}{p}} \, dz
\]

\[
E_m^2 = \int_a^b \psi_m^2 \, dz; \quad N_m^2 = \int_0^b \psi_m^2(\xi) \, d\xi = \frac{\pi E_m^2}{J}
\]
But the function $f$ can be expressed in terms of a Fourier series in the range $0 < \xi < \pi$. For instance, we can use the cosine series

$$f(\xi) = \int_0^\pi f(\tau) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_m}{\pi} \cos(m\xi) \cos(m\tau) \, d\tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.3.29)

where $c_0 = 1$, $c_n = 2$ ($n > 0$) is called the Neumann factor.

If the boundary conditions on $\psi$ are such that the asymptotic form for $\psi_n$ is that given by Eq. (6.3.25), then the form of the $y$ terms in the series will approach the form of the cosine terms as $n$ increases. The two series will approach each other, term by term, and eventually the individual terms will be alike, a much more detailed correspondence than one might expect. Many series of eigenfunctions are only conditionally convergent (i.e., converge only because the terms alternate in sign and partially cancel out). Many of the difficulties attending discussions of the representation of peculiar functions by means of eigenfunctions come about due to this weaker convergence. These difficult cases have been worked out in some detail for Fourier series, so if we can show that what holds for Fourier series also holds for other eigenfunction series, we shall have saved ourselves a great deal of work. If it turns out that the difference between the first $n$ terms of series (6.3.28) and the first $n$ terms of series (6.3.29) is absolutely convergent, as $n$ goes to infinity (i.e., the magnitude of the difference converges), then we shall have established the detailed correspondence we need.

For example, according to Eq. (6.3.18) the series

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_n^2} y_m(\xi)y_m(\tau)$$

somehow represents the delta function $\delta(\xi - \tau)$. Such a series is far from being absolutely convergent, but we can show that, if the boundary conditions are those resulting in Eq. (6.3.25), then the function

$$\Phi_n(\xi, \tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{N_n^2} y_m(\xi)y_m(\tau) - \frac{c_m}{\pi} \cos(m\xi) \cos(m\tau) \right]$$

is bounded as $n$ goes to infinity. We prove this interesting correspondence by referring once again to the asymptotic form for $y_m$ obtained from Eq. (6.3.25):

$$y_m(\xi) \cong \cos \left( m\xi \pm \frac{\xi}{\pi m} (B - A) + \frac{A}{m} \right),$$

where

$$A = \frac{J\alpha}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\tau}} a,$$

$B$ is same at $z = b$.
\[ y_n(\xi) \approx \cos \left[ \frac{\xi}{m} (B - A) + \frac{A}{m} \right] \cos(m\xi) \]

\[ - \sin \frac{1}{m} \left[ \frac{\xi}{m} (B - A) + A \right] \sin(m\xi) \]

\[ \rightarrow \cos(m\xi) \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2m^2} \left[ \frac{\xi}{m} (B - A) + A \right] \right\} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{m} \left[ \frac{\xi}{m} (B - A) + A \right] \sin(m\xi) \]

and the limiting form for the normalizing constant is \( N_n^2 \approx \pi + (\text{terms in } 1/m^2 \text{ and higher}) \). The difference between the terms in brackets in \( \Phi_n \) is large for small values of \( m \), but for larger and larger values of \( n \) (when \( n \) is large enough), the difference approaches the small quantity

\[ - \frac{1}{m} \left[ \frac{\xi}{m} (B - A) + A \right] \sin(m\xi) \cos(m\xi) \]

\[ + \left[ \frac{\pi}{m} (B - A) + A \right] \sin(m\xi) \cos(m\xi) \left\{ \text{ (terms in } 1/m^2 \text{ and higher)} \right\} \]

The \( 1/m^2 \) terms converge absolutely, and therefore their sum is bounded; the series \( \sum \frac{\sin(m\xi)}{m} \) converges, and therefore the sum of the terms multiplied by \( 1/m \) is also bounded, which proves that the function \( \Phi_n \) is bounded as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). We can say that it is always less than some finite quantity \( D \), no matter what value \( n \) or \( \xi \) or \( \tau \) has (as long as \( 0 < \xi < \pi \), \( 0 < \tau < \pi \)).

By the same argument we can show that the difference between the first \( n \) terms of the expansion of a continuous function \( f(\xi) \) in terms of the eigenfunctions \( y_n \) and the first \( n \) terms of the expansion of \( f(\xi) \) in terms of cosines,

\[ \int_0^\pi \Phi_n(\xi, \tau) f(\tau) \, d\tau \]

approaches zero uniformly as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). We have here compared our eigenfunction series with a cosine series. For some other boundary conditions we should have to compare with a sine series, etc., but it turns out in each case that we can devise a Fourier series having the same close correspondence as that exhibited above.

To state our conclusions in formal language: The expansion of any continuous function in eigenfunctions converges or diverges at any point as the related Fourier series converges or diverges at that point. It converges absolutely in any interval when and only when the corresponding Fourier series converges absolutely in the same interval.

The Gibbs' Phenomenon. We have shown that a properly chosen series of eigenfunctions can give a least-squares fit, even for functions
which have a finite number of discontinuities. Certain peculiarities of this fit near the discontinuities should be pointed out, however, which indicate the difficulties arising when such series are used to compute values of the function near a discontinuity.

Figure 6.9 shows a function $F(x)$, with a discontinuity at $x = x_0$. The first $n$ terms in the corresponding eigenfunction series

$$S_n(x) = \int_a^b F(u) \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1}{F_{m,n}} \psi_m(x) \phi_m(u) r(u) \, du$$

cannot fit the discontinuity, for a finite number of terms of a convergent series cannot have the infinite slope required by the discontinuity. As indicated by the lighter line in the figure, the finite series $S_n(x)$ tries to achieve the infinite slope at $x = x_0$, but in the attempt, it overshoots the discontinuity by a certain amount. The resulting curve for $S_n$ resembles the intensity curve for light diffracted at the edge of a screen, the wavelength of the light being inversely proportional to $n$. Even in the limit of $n$ infinite this "overshooting" persists and the complete series has "flanges" on the ends of the discontinuity, as shown by $D^+$ and $D^-$ in the second part of Fig. 6.9. These additional peaks, being of zero width, make no difference to a least-squares fit, but they do indicate the limitations of the process of representing functions by series of eigenfunctions.

We can be more specific in our discussion of the Gibbs’ phenomenon if we take a Fourier series as an example. The representation of the function

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + 1; & 0 < x < \pi \\ -1; & \pi < x < 2\pi \end{cases}$$

by a series periodic in $x$ with period $2\pi$ is

$$S(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \int_0^x dt - \int_x^{2\pi} dt \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left[ \cos(mx) \cos(mt) \right. \\
\left. \left. + \sin(mx) \sin(mt) \right] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{s=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(2s+1)} \sin \left[(2s+1)x\right]$$
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We have here a degenerate case, but the use of the sum of products of all eigenfunctions inside the integral still holds.

In this case, if we wish to compute the sum of all terms between \( \sin x \) and \( \sin (n\pi x) \) (which is not the first \( n \) terms for in this case every other term, for \( n \) even, is missing), we can sum inside the integral before integrating. The integrand is

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \cos \left[ n(x - t) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} e^{in(x-t)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-in(x-t)} \sum_{n=1}^{2n} \left[ e^{in(x-t)} \right]^* 
\]

\[
= \frac{e^{-in(x-t)}}{2\pi} \left[ 1 - e^{i(2n+1)(x-t)} \right] = \frac{\sin \left[ \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) (x - t) \right]}{2\pi \sin \left[ \frac{1}{2} (x - t) \right]}
\]

so that the sum, up to \( \sin(n\pi x) \), is

\[
S_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \left[ \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) (t - x) \right]}{\sin \left[ \frac{1}{2} (t - x) \right]} \, dt - \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{\sin \left[ \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) (t - x) \right]}{\sin \left[ \frac{1}{2} (t - x) \right]} \, dt \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta}{\sin \left( \theta/2 \right)} \, d\theta - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta}{\cos \left( \theta/2 \right)} \, d\theta \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta}{\sin \left( \theta/2 \right)} \, d\theta - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \theta}{\cos \left( \theta/2 \right)} \, d\theta \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-(n+1)x}^{+(n+1)x} \frac{\sin u}{u} \, du - \int_{(n+1)(x-\pi)}^{(n+1)(x+\pi)} \frac{\sin u}{u} \, du \right\} \frac{\sin u}{u} (2n + 1) \sin \left[ u/(2n + 1) \right]
\]

(6.3.31)

When \( 0 < x < \pi \) and \( n \) is large, the first of the two integrals of the last two forms is much larger than the second. The last form shows that, when \( n \to \infty \), as long as \( x \) does not simultaneously go to zero (that is, \( x \) is some finite quantity larger than zero and less than \( \pi \)), the integral tends to zero and the first integral tends to

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin u}{u} \, du = 1
\]

Therefore in the range \( \epsilon < x < (\pi - \epsilon) \), where \( \epsilon \) is small but finite, the sum \( S_n \) converges to 1 as \( n \to \infty \); likewise for \( \pi + \epsilon < x < 2\pi - \epsilon \) and also for \( -\pi + \epsilon < x < -\epsilon \) \( S_n \to -1 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

But suppose we compute the value of \( S_n \) for \( x = \pi/(2n + 1) \) as \( n \) goes to infinity. Neglecting the second integral, which still goes to zero, we have

\[
S_n \left( \frac{\pi}{2n + 1} \right) \to \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin u}{u} \, du = 1.179; \text{ as } n \to \infty
\]

Consequently the series, in trying to follow the discontinuity at \( x = 0 \), overshoots the mark by about 18 per cent over a region of vanishingly small width, before settling down to the correct value of unity.
We have already shown that other eigenfunction series behave like Fourier series with respect to convergence. We should expect a similar sort of overshooting at discontinuities for other series. Since the region of overshooting is of vanishingly small width, an integral of the series would give correct values, even when the integration was carried through a discontinuity. But we shall need to exercise care in differentiating such series. If a given series converges, its integral will certainly converge, but its derivative may not.

**Generating Functions, Legendre Polynomials.** Most sets of eigenfunctions have simple equations relating one function with adjacent functions (recurrence formulas), and many have fairly simple expressions for normalization constants. Many of these useful equations can be found most easily by detailed study of the expansion of a fairly simple function in terms of the eigenfunctions. We search for a function of two variables \( \Phi(t,z) \), such that the expansion comes out of the form

\[
\Phi(t,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \psi_n(z) \tag{6.3.32}
\]

where \( \psi_n \) is one of the set of eigenfunctions under study and the coefficient \( a_n \) is independent of \( z \) and \( t \). In other words, the function should be so related to the set \( \psi_n \), that the terms in the expansion are a power of \( t \), times the corresponding eigenfunction of \( z \), times a coefficient independent of \( z \) and \( t \). Such a function \( \Phi \) is called a generating function for the set of eigenfunctions \( \psi_n \). A comparison between Eq. (6.3.32) and Eqs. (4.3.3) and (4.3.1) will indicate that the generating function is related to one or more integral representations of the eigenfunction.

Generating functions are often closely related to the Green's function solutions mentioned on pages 701 and 710 and to be discussed in the next chapter. As an example of the sort of help generating functions can be, we shall derive the properties of the Legendre polynomials (see page 597). These turn up in the solution of the Laplace equation in spherical coordinates and are related to the Green's function \( 1/R \), where \( R^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2 r_1 r_2 \cos \delta \), by the equation [see Eq. (5.3.28)]

\[
\frac{1}{R} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r_2^n}{r_1^{n+1}} \right) P_n(\cos \delta); \quad r_1 > r_2
\]

We simplify this to obtain the generating function for \( P_n(z) \)

\[
\Phi(t,z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2 - 2tz}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n P_n(z); \quad |t| < 1
\]
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From this, by differentiation by \( t \), we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = \frac{z - t}{(1 + t^2 - 2tz)^3} \quad \text{or} \quad (1 + t^2 - 2tz)\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + (t - z)\Phi = 0
\]

Substituting the series expression into this equation, we obtain

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} mt^{m-1}P_m(z) + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} st^{s+1}P_s(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2nt^n P_n(z)
\]

\[
\quad + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} t^{s+1}P_s(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} zt^n P_n(z) = 0
\]

Equating the coefficients of \( r^s \), we obtain the recurrence equation

\[
(2n + 1)zP_n(z) = (n + 1)P_{n+1}(z) + nP_{n-1}(z); \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{6.3.30}
\]

Differentiating \( \Phi \) by \( z \) gives us another relation,

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} = \frac{t}{(1 + t^2 - 2tz)^3} \quad \text{or} \quad (1 + t^2 - 2tz)\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} = t\Phi
\]

from which one obtains

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} t^s P_s^* + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t^{m+2}P_m^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n+1}[2sP_n^* + P_n^*]; \quad \text{where } P_n^* = \frac{d}{dz} P_n(z)
\]

or, equating coefficients of powers of \( t^{n+1} \), we obtain

\[
P_0' = 0; \quad P_1' = P_0; \quad P_{n+1}' + P_{n-1}' = 2zP_n' + P_n; \quad n > 0 \tag{6.3.34}
\]

We can also obtain

\[
2t^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + t\Phi = (1 - t^2)\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}
\]

which results in the recurrence equations

\[
P_n' = 0; \quad P_1' = P_0; \quad (2n + 1)P_n = P_{n+1} - P_{n-1}; \quad n > 0 \tag{6.3.35}
\]

By juggling these three equations around or else by further shuffling of the generating function, we can obtain the further formulas

\[
P_{n+1}' = (n + 1)P_n + zP_n^*; \quad P_{n-1}' = -nP_n + zP_n^*
\]

\[
(1 - z^2)P_n' = nP_{n-1} - nzP_n
\]

and finally

\[
(1 - z^2)P_n' - 2zP_n' + n(n + 1)P_n = 0
\]

This last is, of course, the differential equation [see Eq. (5.3.23)] for the Legendre functions \( P_n(z) \).

By actual expansion of \( \Phi(t,z) \), we obtain \( P_0(z) = 1, P_1(z) = z \); the rest of the \( P_n \)'s can be obtained from Eq. (6.3.33). Tedium but straight-
Boundary Conditions and Eigenfunctions

Forward algebra gives us the general formula

\[ P_n(z) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n(n!)^2} \left\{ z^n - \frac{n(n-1)}{2(2n-1)} z^{n-2} \right. \]

\[ \left. + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{2.4(2n-1)(2n-3)} z^{n-4} - \cdots \right\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.3.37)

from which we can find that

\[ P_n(0) = \begin{cases} 0; & n \text{ odd} \\ (-1)^{n/2} \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots (n)}; & n \text{ even} \end{cases} \] \hspace{1cm} (6.3.38)

From this, or by setting \( z = \pm 1 \) in the expansion for \( \Phi \) and comparing coefficients of \( l^n \), we obtain

\[ P_n(1) - 1; \ P_n(-1) = (-1)^n \] \hspace{1cm} (6.3.39)

We see that each of these eigenfunctions is a polynomial in \( z \) of degree \( n \), those for even \( n \) having only even powers of \( z \) and those for odd \( n \) only odd powers. Conversely we can express each power of \( z \) in terms of a finite number of \( P_n \)'s, an odd power in terms of \( P_n \)'s for odd values of \( n \) equal to or less than the power of \( z \), an even power for all even \( n \)'s equal to or less than the power. These expansions may also be obtained by straightforward algebra:

\[ 1 = P_0(z); \ z = P_1(z); \ z^2 = \frac{3}{2} P_2(z) + \frac{1}{2} P_0(z); \ z^3 = \frac{5}{2} P_3(z) + \frac{3}{2} P_1(z) \]

\[ z^n = \frac{(2n+1)n(n-2) \cdots 2}{(2n+1)(2n-1) \cdots (n+1)} P_n(z) \]

\[ + \frac{(2n-3)\cdots 4}{(2n-3)(2n-5) \cdots (n+1)} P_{n-2}(z) \]

\[ \vdots \]

\[ \frac{1}{n+1} P_{n+1}(z); \ n = 4, 6, 8, \ldots \] \hspace{1cm} (6.3.40)

\[ z^n = \frac{(2n+1)(n-1)(n-3) \cdots 2}{(2n+1)(2n-1) \cdots (n+2)} P_n(z) \]

\[ + \frac{(2n-3)(n-1)(n-3) \cdots 4}{(2n-3)(2n-5) \cdots (n+2)} P_{n-2}(z) + \cdots + \frac{3}{n+2} P_n(z); \]

\[ n = 5, 7, 9, \ldots \]

Therefore any function, analytic in the region \( |z| < 1 \), which can thus be expanded in a convergent power series in \( z \) can be expanded in a convergent series of the function \( P_n(z) \). The generating function \( \Phi \) for the \( P_n \)'s is closely related to the kernel of the integral representation for \( P_n \), given in Eq. (5.3.26) as, of course, it must be.

It might be remarked, in passing, that the Legendre functions can be obtained in the following manner: We wish to represent any analytic function of \( z \) in the range \( |z| < 1 \); we can do this by means of a power series, but the powers of \( z \) are not orthogonal to integration from \(-1\).
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to +1 (though they are to integration in a circle around the origin); consequently we start with 1 and z (which are orthogonal), pick a combination of $z^2$ and 1 which is orthogonal to 1 (and to z), and so on, each function $\psi_n(z)$ being a linear combination of $z^n$, $z^{n-2}$, \ldots, which is orthogonal to $\psi_m$ ($m < n$) for integration from $-1$ to $+1$. The resulting $\psi_n$ will be proportional to the spherical harmonic $P_n(z)$ (see discussion in the table at end of this chapter).

The formula given on page 549, expressing $P_n(z)$ in terms of the $n$th derivative of $(z^2 - 1)$, can also be obtained from the generating function. The solution of the quadratic equation $y = z + \frac{1}{2}t(y^2 - 1)$ which approaches $z$ as $t \to 0$ is

$$y = \frac{1}{t}[1 - \sqrt{1 - 2zt + t^2}] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} = \Phi(t,z) = P_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n P_n(z)$$

But the solution of the quadratic equation which approaches $z$ as $t \to 0$ can be given by the Lagrange expansion [see Eq. (4.5.8)]

$$y = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{2^n n!} \frac{d^{n-1} (z^2 - 1)^n}{dz^{n-1}}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial z} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n (z^2 - 1)^n}{dz^n} = \Phi(t,z)$$

Equating coefficients of equal powers of $t$, we have

$$P_0(z) = 1; \quad P_n(z) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (z^2 - 1)^n \quad (6.3.41)$$

This last equation enables us to compute the normalization integral for the Legendre functions. Suppose first that $n > m$ in the integral

$$I_{mn} = \int_{-1}^{1} P_m(z) P_n(z) \, dz = \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{2^{m+n} m! n!} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^m}{dz^m} (1 - z^2)^m \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (1 - z^2)^n \, dz$$

We integrate by parts $m$ times, obtaining

$$I_{mn} = \frac{(-1)^{m+n-1}}{2^{m+n} m! n!} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}} (1 - z^2)^m \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} (1 - z^2)^n \, dz = \cdots$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{m+n} m! n!} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^m}{dz^m} (1 - z^2)^m \frac{d^{n-m}}{dz^{n-m}} (1 - z^2)^n \, dz$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n-m}(2m)!}{2^{m+n} m! n!} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^{n-m}}{dz^{n-m}} (1 - z^2)^n \, dz = 0; \quad n > m$$

If $n = m$, on the other hand, this last integral is

$$I_{nn} = \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - z^2)^n \, dz = \frac{2}{2n + 1} = E_n \quad (6.3.42)$$
Thus we have shown that these functions are mutually orthogonal (as, of course, they must be, since they are eigenfunctions), and we have computed the values of the normalizing constants $E_n$ [see Eq. (6.3.16)]. We can apply our general variational argument to show that the set is a complete one and that the series

$$S(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(\xi) \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2} \right) P_n(x)P_n(\xi) \right] d\xi \quad (6.3.43)$$

gives a good least-squares fit to an arbitrary, piecewise continuous function $f(x)$. We should expect, of course, that Gibbs' phenomenon would be observed next to each discontinuity but that we could integrate the series and be sure that such integrals would everywhere equal the corresponding integrals of the function $f$. (We might have trouble with differentials of the series, however.) For instance, as long as it is used for integration with continuous functions, the series in the square brackets in Eq. (6.3.13) can be considered to be equivalent to the delta function $\delta(x - \xi)$ in the range $-1 < (x, \xi) < 1$.

In addition to the delta function, another extremely useful discontinuous function may be represented by a series of Legendre polynomials

$$\frac{1}{z - \xi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2} \right) P_n(w) \left[ \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{P_n(w)}{z - w} dw \right] P_n(\xi)$$

If $z$ is not on the real axis between $-1$ and $+1$, the quantity in square brackets converges and may be computed by integration by parts:

$$(-1)^n \frac{2n+1}{2^n + 1} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \left( \frac{1}{z - w} \right) (1 - w^2)^n dw$$

$$= \left( \frac{2n+1}{2^n + 1} \right) \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{(1 - w^2)^n}{(z - w)^{n+1}} dw$$

$$= (2n + 1) Q_n(z)$$

Where $Q_n(z)$ [see Eq. (5.3.20)] is the Legendre function of the second kind. Consequently we obtain the useful series

$$\frac{1}{z - \xi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n + 1)Q_n(z)P_n(\xi) \quad (6.3.44)$$

This converges only when $|z| > 1$ and $|\xi| < 1$, but integrals of the series multiplied by analytic functions can be carried out over still wider ranges of the variables, as with the series for the delta function. It is
important, however, to remember that the function $Q_n(z)$ has a branch cut along the real axis of $z$, between $-1$ and $+1$.

This last equation has several interesting and useful consequences. In the first place the expansion for a function $f(z)$ which is analytic within and on an ellipse $C$ with foci at $\pm 1$ is

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n P_n(z)$$

where the alternate forms of the equation determining the coefficients are

$$a_n = \frac{2n + 1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(t)Q_n(t) \, dt = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)P_n(x) \, dx$$

$$= \frac{n + \frac{1}{2}}{2^{n+1}} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - x^2)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} f(x) \, dx$$

(6.3.45)

The first form of the integral is useful with functions analytic for large values of $|z|$, for the asymptotic form (5.3.30) of $Q_n$ may be used along a large ellipse for the contour $C$.

In the second place, by using the generating function for $P_n$, we find that

$$(1 + t^2 - 2tz)^{-1} \cosh^{-1} \left[ \frac{t - z}{\sqrt{z^2 - 1}} \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n Q_n(z)$$

(6.3.46)

which defines the generating function for $Q_n$ (though $Q_n$ is not an eigenfunction).

Many other useful sets of one-dimensional eigenfunctions can be worked out from suitable generating functions. Some of them will be listed in the table at the end of this chapter, and some will be the subjects of problems.

**Eigenfunctions in Several Dimensions.** So far we have been discussing eigenfunctions in one dimension, solutions of a Liouville equation in one independent variable satisfying boundary conditions of fairly general type at the two ends of the range. Partial differential equations in three or more variables give rise to eigenfunctions in more than one variable. These are solutions of a partial differential equation, with one of the coordinates separated off, leaving a separation constant behind. The solution of this equation must satisfy some simple boundary condition along some boundary line or surface (usually either homogeneous Dirichlet or Neumann conditions or a homogeneous combination). This is usually possible only for certain discrete values (eigenvalues) of the separation constant.

Many of the arguments of the Sturm-Liouville theory can be carried over to the multidimensional case. There is a lowest eigenvalue for
most forms of the equation, and the eigenfunction corresponding to this lowest value usually has no nodal lines (or surfaces) within the boundary. The eigenfunctions cannot be put in any simple, one-dimensional array, however, as was the case in one dimension. The difficulty is that there is more than one solution with one node (even when there is no degeneracy). One can place the solutions in some sort of a two-dimensional array, however, for it usually turns out that the nodes for a two-dimensional case fall into two families (see discussion on page 497), and an increase in the number of nodes of one kind always results in an increase in the corresponding eigenvalue.

In those cases where there is a lowest eigenvalue, where there is no upper bound to the eigenvalues, and where there is a variational equation equivalent to the differential equation for the eigenfunctions, the arguments set forth in the previous pages can be utilized to prove that these more general eigenfunctions are a complete, orthogonal set (or if there are degenerate cases, they can be made orthogonal) which can represent any piecewise continuous function inside the boundary in terms of a series.

When the partial differential equation for the eigenfunctions itself separates, these matters are easy to prove. Each eigenfunction is a simple product of eigenfunctions of the separated coordinates (except for degenerate cases, where the solutions may be a finite combination of products for each of the degenerate states). The orthogonality and completeness may be derived from the orthogonality and completeness of the one-dimensional factors.

For instance, we can consider the case of a uniform, flexible membrane, of mass $\rho$ per unit area, stretched under uniform tension $T$ per unit length between rigid supports. The equation of motion is the wave equation

$$\nabla^2 \Psi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2} = 0; \quad c^2 = \frac{T}{\rho},$$

where $\Psi$ is the displacement of the membrane from its equilibrium position. By requiring simple harmonic dependence on time, we can separate off the time factor: $\Psi = \psi(x,y)e^{-\omega t}$, where

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + k^2 \psi = 0; \quad k = \frac{\omega}{c} \tag{6.3.47}$$

which is a Helmholtz equation in two variables $x$ and $y$ (or, by transformation, $r$ and $\phi$, etc.) with separation constant $k^2$.

If the supports are rectangular ones, at $x = 0$ and $a$, $y = 0$ and $b$, the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are

$$\psi_{mn}(x,y) = \sin(\pi mx/a) \sin(\pi ny/b)$$

$$k_{mn}^2 = \pi^2[(m/a)^2 + (n/b)^2]; \quad m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots \tag{6.3.48}$$
Since the individual factors are mutually orthogonal, the products also are, and by the same reasoning as before, the $\psi_{mn}$'s are a complete set. The nodal lines (lines where $\psi = 0$ inside the boundary) are either perpendicular to the $x$ axis or else perpendicular to the $y$ axis. The number of the first equals $(m-1)$, and the number of the second is $(n-1)$. Therefore, although the arranging of the $k_{nm}$'s in order of size bears no simple relation to the sequence of numbers $m$ and $n$, nevertheless an increase in $m$ by 1 increases the nodes perpendicular to $x$ by 1 and increases the corresponding $k^2$, and an increase of $n$ by 1 increases the nodes perpendicular to $y$ and also increases $k^2$.

If $a = b$, we have a condition of degeneracy, for $k_{nn} = k_{nn}$, and there are at least two eigenfunctions for the same eigenvalue of $k$. In this case, we could use any linear combination of $\psi_{mn}$ and $\psi_{nm}$ for our eigenfunction. Since the $\psi_{mn}$'s are already mutually orthogonal, it is advisable to use them as they stand.

We can then expand any piecewise continuous function $f(x,y)$ in a series

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{mn} \frac{1}{ab} \int_{0}^{a} du \int_{0}^{b} dv f(u,v) \psi_{mn}(u,v) \psi_{mn}(x,y)$$

(6.3.49)

If $f$ is an analytic function of $x$ and of $y$ in the ranges $(0,a)$ and $(0,b)$, respectively, then the series will be uniformly convergent in this range; if $f$ has discontinuities, the series may exhibit Gibbs' phenomenon at the discontinuities, but the integral of the series will be a convergent series.

The analysis is rather more involved when the equation is not separable in coordinates suitable for the boundary. Unfortunately only two nonseparable cases have been solved in detail, one for a boundary which is an isosceles right triangle. This is probably too simple to bring out all the complexities; nevertheless it is worth some discussion (see Sec. 11.2).

To make the discussion specific, let us consider the membrane again, only this time we choose the boundary to be the three straight lines, from the origin to $(0,a)$, from $(0,a)$ to $(a,0)$, and from $(a,0)$ to the origin.
again. The equations are the same as before, and for simple harmonic time dependence, the Helmholtz equation (6.3.47) applies. A solution is the product \( \sin(\mu \pi x/a) \cdot \sin(\nu \pi y/a) \), which is zero along the \( x \) and \( y \) parts of the boundary but is not zero along the diagonal part. However, the combination

\[
\sin(\mu \pi x/a) \sin(\nu \pi y/a) \pm \sin(\mu \pi x/a) \sin(\nu \pi y/a)
\]

is zero along the diagonal if \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are integers (the + or - sign being used, depending on whether \( |\mu - \nu| \) is an even or an odd integer). The eigenfunctions for this case are therefore

\[
\psi_{mn}(x,y) = \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{a} (m + n)x \right] \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{a} ny \right] \\
(1)^m \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{a} (m + n)y \right] \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{a} mx \right] \quad (6.3.50)
\]

where \( m \) and \( n \) are positive integers. To show how this fits the boundary conditions along the diagonal, we rotate our axes by \( \pi/4 \), setting

\[x = (1/\sqrt{2})(\xi - \eta); \quad y = (1/\sqrt{2})(\xi + \eta); \quad \alpha = \sqrt{2} a\]

where the coordinates \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) are shown in Fig. 6.10. Performing a few trigonometric manipulations we obtain

\[
\psi_{mn} = \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{\alpha} (m + 2n)\xi \right] \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{\alpha} m\eta \right] - \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{\alpha} (m + 2n)\eta \right] \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{\alpha} m\xi \right] ; \\
m = 2, 4, \ldots \\
= \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{\alpha} (m + 2n)\eta \right] \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{\alpha} m\xi \right] - \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{\alpha} (m + 2n)\xi \right] \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{\alpha} m\eta \right] ; \\
m = 1, 3, \ldots
\]

which shows that \( \psi \) vanishes for \( \xi = \alpha/2 \), which corresponds to the diagonal boundary.

The eigenvalues for this case are

\[
k_{mn}^2 = \left( \frac{\alpha}{a} \right)^2 [(m + n)^2 + n^2] = \left( \frac{\pi}{a} \right)^2 [m^2 + 2mn + 2n^2] \quad (6.3.51)
\]

which have a lowest value \( (m = n = 1) \) and no upper bound. The eigenfunctions are mutually orthogonal, and they are solutions of the variational equation

\[
\delta \iint \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] \, dx \, dy = 0; \quad \iint \psi^2 \, dx \, dy = E_{mn} = \hbar \alpha
\]

Therefore the functions form a complete set. The interrelation between the values of \( k^2 \) and the number and disposition of the nodal lines is not obvious, however.

Nodal lines for some of the eigenfunctions are shown in Fig. 6.10.
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We notice that they do not fall into two mutually orthogonal families as do the ones for the rectangular boundary (and, indeed, for all separable cases). All we can say is that, if either \( m \) or \( n \) is increased, more nodes are introduced and \( k^2 \) is increased (which is, after all, the essential result of the Sturm Liouville analysis).

The expansion of a function \( f(x,y) \) in terms of these eigenfunctions has the same form as that given in Eq. (6.3.49), except that the integrals in the brackets are over the triangular area within the new boundaries instead of over the rectangular area and that the factor \( 4/a^2 \) in front of the integrals is changed to \( 8/a^2 \). The same remarks can be made concerning convergence as have been made several times before.

Separability of Separation Constants. The complications arising when no suitable separable coordinates exist for a given boundary are usually so severe that the set of eigenfunctions cannot be computed and the boundary-value problem cannot be solved. But even when separation can be achieved, certain complications, hitherto glossed over, can arise which make solution of the boundary-value problem quite difficult in practice. These difficulties arise in the cases, discussed on pages 516 to 518, where there is not complete separation of the separation constants.

When the separation constants separate [case A, given in Eq. (5.1.41)], the equations for the factors for \( \xi_z \) and \( \xi_\phi \) contain only one separation constant each and thus correspond to the simple form of the Liouville equation, which we have already discussed in detail. The boundary conditions for the factor \( X_z \) fix the eigenvalues of \( k_3 \), those for \( X_\phi \) the eigenvalues of \( k_\phi \), and these values can then be inserted, as known constants, into the equation for \( X_\theta \) to determine the eigenvalues of \( k_\theta \). The series of eigenfunctions to fit a boundary condition along the surface \( \xi_\phi = \text{constant} \) is then a simple double series over all eigenvalues of \( k_z \) and all eigenvalues of \( k_\phi \).

In case B, given in Eqs. (5.1.42), it is still possible to obtain the eigenvalues for one factor, \( X_\phi \), without knowing the values of the other separation constants.

But in the other cases the separation constants do not separate, and the setting up of a series of eigenfunctions to satisfy boundary conditions on a surface will involve a simultaneous solution for two eigenvalues for each term in the series. As an example of the complications which arise and also as an indication as to the method of solution, we consider the case of the vibrations of an elliptic membrane. We separate the Helmholtz equation \( \nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0 \) in the elliptic coordinates

\[
x = d \cos \phi \cosh \mu; \quad y = d \sin \phi \sinh \mu; \quad \psi = M(\mu) \Phi(\phi)
\]

obtaining

\[
(d^2M/d\mu^2) + [h^2 \cosh^2 \mu - b]M = 0; \quad (d^2\Phi/d\phi^2) + [b - h^2 \cos^2 \phi]\Phi = 0
\]
where \( h = kd \) and \( k \) is related to the vibration frequency \( \nu = \omega/2\pi \) (for use of the Helmholtz equation corresponds to an assumption that the motion is simple harmonic, with time factor \( e^{-\nu t} \)) by the relation \( k = \omega/c = 2\pi\nu/c \). The constant \( b \) is the separation constant, but of course, \( h = 2\pi\nu/c \) is also a separation constant, so both equations contain both constants. Referring to Sec. 5.2, we see that the second of these equations is the same as Eq. (5.2.67).

The boundary condition for \( \Phi \) is that it be periodic with \( \phi \), and the boundary condition for \( M \) is that it be finite and continuous at \( \mu = 0 \) and that it be zero at \( \mu = \mu_0 \), the ellipse corresponding to the boundary. We must simultaneously adjust \( b \) and \( h \) so that both sets of conditions are fulfilled.

To do this we solve the equation for \( \phi \), assuming that \( h \) is known. As shown on page 562, the periodic solutions of Mathieu’s equation are expressible as Fourier series. There are two sorts: one set, \( Sc_m(h, \cos \phi) \), even in \( \phi \), and the other set, \( So_m(h, \cos \phi) \), odd in \( \phi \); corresponding to the first set of eigenfunctions are the eigenvalues \( be_m(h) \) and to the second set are \( bo_m(h) \). These eigenvalues are “interleaved,” so that, for a given value of \( h \),

\[
be_0 < bo_1 < be_1 < \cdots < bo_m < be_m < bo_{m+1} < \cdots
\]

Therefore, if \( h \) were fixed arbitrarily, the sequence \( Sc, So \), forms a complete, orthogonal set of eigenfunctions.

But \( h \) is not fixed, so all we can say is that we have obtained a relationship between \( h \) and a sequence of values of \( b \) which will allow the boundary conditions on \( \Phi \) to be satisfied.

The solutions for \( M \) are those given in Eq. (5.3.90) or (5.3.91), and we must first decide whether \( Je \) or \( Ne \) fits the requirements of continuity at \( \mu = 0 \). Examination of the coordinates themselves shows that \( (\mu = 0, \phi = \alpha) \) and \( (\mu = 0, \phi = -\alpha) \) are really the same point \( (x = d \cos \alpha, y = 0) \), and a few minutes cogitation will show that, in order that \( \psi \) be continuous in value and slope across the line \( \mu = 0 \), when factor \( \Phi \) is even in \( \phi \), then factor \( M \) must have zero slope at \( \mu = 0 \); when factor \( \Phi \) is odd in \( \phi \), then \( M \) must go to zero at \( \mu = 0 \). Reference to page 635 shows that the proper solution to go with \( Sc_m(h, \cos \phi) \) is \( Je_m(h, \cos \phi) \) for the same value of \( h \) and of \( m \) (i.e., the same value of \( b \)) and the proper one to go with \( So_m \) is \( Je_m \) with corresponding \( h \) and \( m \).

The functions \( Ne, No \) are therefore not used in this problem.

We finally are supposed to set \( M = 0 \) at \( \mu = \mu_0 \). For any given value of \( b \), it is possible to adjust \( h \) so that this is obtained, but of course, \( b \) also depends on \( h \), so \( h \) and \( b \) must be determined simultaneously. In practice, we would compute a series of curves giving the sequence of values of \( \mu \) for which \( Je_m(h, \cosh \mu) \), for instance, went to zero for each different value of \( h \). These values could be called \( \mu_{en}^{\nu}(h) \), the subscript \( m \)
corresponding to that of $J_{\nu}$ and the subscript $n$ labeling which in the sequence of zero points we mean ($\mu_{n1}$ can be the smallest value, $\mu_{n2}$ next, and so on).

We thus have a twofold array of values of $\mu$, onefold for different values of $n$ and the other for different values of $\nu$. Each of these values is a function of $h$. We now invert the problem by solving for the value of $h$ to make each one of the roots $\mu_{mn}(h)$ equal to $\mu_0$, the coordinate of the boundary. The root of the equation $\mu_{mn}^*(h) = \mu_0$ will be called $k_{mn}$, and that of the equation $\mu_{mn}^0(h) = \mu_0$ will be called $h_{mn}$. From these values we can then compute the allowed values of frequency $\nu$ of free vibration of the elliptic membrane. Corresponding to the particular frequency $\nu^2_{mn}/2\pi = (c/2\pi\alpha)k_{mn}$ we shall have the two-dimensional eigenfunction $\alpha_{mn}(k_{mn}, \cos \phi)J_{\nu}(h_{mn}, \cos \mu_0)$, and corresponding to the eigenvalue $\omega^2_{mn}/2\pi = (c/2\pi\alpha)h_{mn}$ we have the other eigenfunction $\alpha_{mn}(h_{mn}, \cos \phi)J_{0}\nu(h_{mn}, \cosh \mu)$. The complete solution will thus be

$$\sum_{\eta=1}^{\infty} [A_{\nu\eta} \alpha_{\nu\eta}(k_{\nu\eta}, \cosh \mu_0) + B_{\nu\eta} \alpha_{\nu\eta}(h_{\nu\eta}, \cosh \mu)]$$

with the $A$'s, $B$'s, $\alpha$'s, and $\beta$'s determined by the initial displacement and velocity of the membrane.

It is apparent, of course, that the factors $\alpha_{\nu}$, $\alpha_{0}$ are mutually orthogonal if they all correspond to the same value of $h$. But the terms in the above series are each for a different value of $h$, so the factors $\alpha_{\nu}$ are not all mutually orthogonal, nor are the factors $\alpha_{0}$ (though all the $\alpha_{\nu}$'s are still orthogonal to all the $\alpha_{0}$'s). However, the general arguments which were outlined on page 727 show us that our present two-dimensional eigenfunctions are mutually orthogonal, so it must be that the functions $J_{\nu}(h_{\nu}, \cosh \mu)$ must be mutually orthogonal over the range $0 < \mu < \mu_0$ and likewise the functions $J_{0}\nu(h_{\nu}, \cosh \mu)$, for different values of $\eta$, whereas the functions $\alpha_{\nu}(h_{\nu}, \cosh \mu)$ must be mutually orthogonal for different values of $\nu$. Therefore all terms in the series are orthogonal to all others, after integrating over the area of the membrane, and the coefficients $A$, $B$ and phase angles $\alpha$, $\beta$ can be determined in the usual manner.

By this example we have shown that the eigenfunction technique of fitting boundary conditions can always be used when the equation separates in the coordinates suitable for the boundary, even though the separation constants may not be separated in the resulting ordinary equations. Such cases require a great deal more computation to obtain a solution than do the cases where one of the separated equations contains only one separation constant, but the calculations are straightforward, and no new principles need to be invoked.

Density of Eigenvalues. The rather haphazard distribution of eigenvalues exhibited by even the simple two-dimensional cases brings up a
question we have hitherto neglected: Is there anything we can say about the number of eigenvalues between \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda + \epsilon \)? This number is a discontinuous function of \( \lambda \) and \( \epsilon \) (since the eigenvalues are a discrete set), but we might try to compute the average number of eigenvalues between \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda + \epsilon \). Presumably this quantity would be a “smoothed-out” approximation to the actual number and would be a continuous function of \( \lambda \) and \( \epsilon \).

Such an approximate density function for eigenvalues can be obtained for the one-dimensional case by using the asymptotic formula following Eq. (6.3.27). We shall actually calculate the density of \( k_n \)'s, where \( k_n^2 = \lambda_n \). This is generally more useful, for if the equation is the wave equation, \( k \) is proportional to the allowed frequency of free vibration of the system. The asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues of \( k \) is

\[
k_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n} \sim (n\pi + \alpha)\sqrt{\int_a^b \frac{r}{p} \, dz}
\]

This formula means that the higher values of \( k \) are distributed uniformly along the real \( k \) axis. The asymptotic spacing between successive values is \( \pi/(\int \sqrt{r/p} \, dz) \), the number of eigenvalues less than \( k \) is

\[
n(k) \sim \frac{k}{\pi} \int_a^b \sqrt{\frac{r}{p}} \, dz
\]

and the average number of eigenvalues between \( k \) and \( k + dk \) is therefore

\[
dn \sim \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b \sqrt{\frac{r}{p}} \, dz \right] dk \tag{6.3.52}
\]

where the quantity in brackets can be called the average density of eigenvalues of \( k \), for large values of \( k \).

We notice that this density is proportional to an integral of a function \( \sqrt{r/p} \), integrated over the interval \( a \), \( b \). If \( r \) and \( p \) have the same dimensions (in which case \( 1/k \) has dimensions of length), then the integral of \( \sqrt{r/p} \) has the dimensions of a length. For the wave equation in one dimension, \( r \) and \( p \) are unity and the average density of eigenvalues is just \( 1/\pi \) times the distance from \( a \) to \( b \). The integrand \( \sqrt{r/p} \) is related to the scale factors of the curvilinear coordinates, so that a deviation of \( \sqrt{r/p} \) from unity is somehow related to the curvature of the coordinate under consideration. The integral \( \int \sqrt{r/p} \, dz \) can be called the effective interval length for the coordinate and the boundaries in question.

Turning now to the two-dimensional case we find here that the density of eigenvalues of \( k \) is not approximately independent of \( k \). For example, plotting the values given in Eq. (6.3.48), for a rectangular membrane, we see that the density seems to increase with increasing \( k \).
That this is correct can be shown by utilizing the particularly simple relationship between \( k \) and the integers \( m \) and \( n \). Equation (6.3.48) is analogous to the equation relating the distance from the origin to a point specified by the cartesian coordinates \( \pi m/a \) and \( \pi n/b \). These points, for integral values of \( m \) and \( n \), are plotted in Fig. 6.11 as the intersections of a rectangular grid. An allowed value of \( k \) corresponds to the distance of any one of these grid points to the origin.

We can then speak of “the density of allowed points in \( k \) space.” Since the spacing of the grid in the two directions is \( \pi/a \) and \( \pi/b \), the average density of points is \( ab/\pi^2 \), where \( ab = A \), the area enclosed in the boundaries. Consequently for this simple case the average number of eigenvalues of \( k \) less than a value \( k \) is

\[
n(k) \simeq \frac{A}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{\pi k^2}{4\pi} \right) = \frac{A}{4\pi} k^3
\]

where the quantity in parenthesis is the area between the lines \( x = k \), \( y = 0 \), and \( x = 0 \). The differential of this

\[
dn \simeq [Ak/2\pi] \, dk \quad (6.3.53)
\]

gives the density of eigenvalues of \( k \) for this simple case (the quantity in brackets).

We see that it is proportional to \( k \) (which is what we wanted to prove) and also is proportional to the area \( A \) inside the rectangluar boundary.

We can go further than this, however, for we note that the area covered by the dots, in Fig. 6.11, is not quite all of the first quadrant. Since the points for \( m = 0 \) or for \( n = 0 \) are missing (for the boundary condition \( \psi = 0 \)), we should remove half a grid strip adjacent to the two axes, and a more accurate formula would be

\[
n(k) \simeq \frac{ab}{\pi^2} [\pi k^2 - (k\pi/2a) - (k\pi/2b) - (A/4\pi) k^3 - (L/4\pi)k]
\]

or

\[
dn \simeq [(Ak/2\pi) - (L/4\pi)] \, dk \quad (6.3.54)
\]

where \( L = 2a + 2b \) is the perimeter of the boundary.

This second term in the expression for the density of eigenvalues depends on the boundary conditions. For instance, if the boundary conditions at the inner surface of the rectangle were that the normal gradient of \( \psi \) be zero instead of \( \psi \), then the expression for the eigenvalues of \( k \) would be the same but the points for \( m = 0 \) and \( n = 0 \) would now be allowed. Consequently the density function would be \([ (Ak/2\pi) + (L/4\pi) ] \).
It appears that the first term in the average density is independent of the precise kind of boundary conditions applied as long as some sort of condition is applied; it depends only on the area inside the boundary. The second term depends on the particular boundary condition imposed.

Other cases where exact solutions of the Helmholtz equation in two dimensions are known (for instance, the case of a circular membrane) can be worked out. It turns out that, if the shape of the boundary is changed but the area $A$ is not changed, the points representing allowed values of $k$ are moved around on the "$k$ plane" but the average density of points is not changed. In addition one can show that, if the boundary conditions are not changed as the boundary shape is varied, the correction term (in terms of $\alpha$, the perimeter of the boundary) is not changed in form. One can verify both of these statements by taking the case of the triangular membrane. Here the reduction to half the area seems to eliminate half of the points in the first quadrant. Detailed counting shows that, for the boundary condition $\psi = 0$, Eq. (6.3.54) holds, with $A = \frac{3a^2}{4}$ and $L = 2a + \sqrt{2a^2}$.

Equation (6.3.54) presumably holds for boundaries of any shape, for conditions $\psi = 0$ at the boundaries. It has been proved that the first term (which is the preponderating term for large $k$) is valid in general. The proof has not been extended to the second term, though there have not been found any contradictory cases among those which can be worked out in detail. In any case, if we are dealing with large values of $k$, we can neglect the second term in the density, using just the first term, which is known to be valid for all boundaries and all reasonable boundary conditions.

Thus we have shown that for the higher eigenfunctions the density of eigenvalues is independent of $k$ for one-dimensional cases, is proportional to $k$ for two-dimensional cases, and, by extension, is proportional to $k^2$ for three-dimensional cases. In each case the density is also proportional to the "size" of the space within the boundary; length for one dimension, area for two, and so on. If the equation is the Helmholtz equation, and if the interval is not curved, these "sizes" are the actual lengths, areas, etc., but if the coordinates are curved and the line or surface bounded by the boundary is curved, the "sizes" are effective lengths, areas, etc., being the integral of certain combinations of scale factors, similar to the integral $\int \sqrt{r/p} \, dz$ for the one-dimensional case.

Continuous Distribution of Eigenvalues. We see, from the discussion above, that the spacing between eigenvalues diminishes as the size of the boundaries increases. For instance, for the one-dimensional case, the average difference between successive eigenvalues, according to Eq. (6.3.52), is $\pi$ divided by the effective interval length $\int \sqrt{r/p} \, dz$. As this length goes to infinity, the separation between eigenvalues goes to zero until all values of $\lambda$ (or $k$) larger than the lowest value are eigenvalues.
In this limiting case we have a continuous distribution of eigenvalues, and our series representations turn into integral representations.

Our first example, on page 709, can be extended to show the transition. Suppose that the value of $a$, the distance between the two ends of the range of $x$, is increased indefinitely. The eigenfunction $\sin(n\pi x/a)$ for a given $n$ will have a longer and longer wavelength, and its normalization constant $E_n = \sqrt{a/2}$ will become larger and larger. The Fourier series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \left[ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^{a} f(\xi) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi \xi}{a}\right) d\xi \right]$$

will conserve its general form, but each individual term will reduce in size and (for a given finite value of $x$) will change less and less rapidly from $n$ to successive $n$ until, near the limit, each term is vanishingly small and the rate of change with $n$ of the coefficients in the brackets is vanishingly slow. In fact, in the limit, it is not advisable to use $n$ as the variable of summation, but $k = n\pi / a$, the square root of the separation constant $\lambda$. The spacing between allowed values of this variable becomes smaller and smaller, until eventually $k$ is a continuous variable and the summation over $n$ becomes an integral over $k$ from zero to infinity.

As $a$ is made ever larger, the average number of eigenvalues of $k$ between $k$ and $k + dk$, $(a/\pi) dk$, given by Eq. (6.3.52), becomes more and more nearly equal to the actual number, as more and more allowed values of $k$ are found in any finite segment $dk$. At the same time the successive terms in the sum, for the eigenfunctions for those eigenvalues within $dk$, differ less and less from each other (at least for finite values of $x$), so that we can finally represent the sum of all the terms between $n = ak/\pi$ and $n + (ak/\pi)$ by the expression

$$\left(\frac{a}{\pi} \frac{dk}{a}\right) \sin(akx) \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{a} f(\xi) \sin(k\xi) d\xi \right]$$

and when $a$ goes to the limit, the sum finally becomes the integral

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \sin(akx) \int_0^{\infty} f(\xi) \sin(k\xi) d\xi \ dk$$

which can be used to express any piecewise continuous function in the range $0 < x < \infty$ which goes to zero at the ends of the range. This is one form of the Fourier integral (see page 154). The more general form

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \ dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{-ik\xi} d\xi$$

(6.3.56)

can be obtained by using the more general boundary conditions that the functions be periodic in $x$ with period $a$. 
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But after all, it should not be necessary to obtain the properties of
eigenfunctions for continuous eigenvalues by a clumsy limiting process.
From the point of view of function space, we can express a vector \( \mathbf{F} \)
representing any function \( F \) in terms of its components \( F(x) \) along the
unit vectors \( \mathbf{e}(x) \) (corresponding to each value of \( x \) in the allowed range)
or in terms of its components \( f(k) \) along the alternative set of unit vectors
\( \mathbf{e}(k) \) (corresponding to each allowed value of \( k \)). Instead of having one
continuous set and one discrete set, we now have two continuous sets,
a more symmetric arrangement.

The eigenfunctions are still the projections of the vectors \( \mathbf{e}(k) \) on
the vectors \( \mathbf{e}(x) \), but since both sets of vectors are preferably unit
vectors, these eigenfunctions are now direction cosines and the whole
formalism gains considerably in symmetry. The eigenfunctions \( \psi(k,x) \)
are at the same time components of the vectors \( \mathbf{e}(k) \) on the vectors \( \mathbf{e}(x) \)
but also components of the vector \( \mathbf{e}(x) \) on the vectors \( \mathbf{e}(k) \). Extending
Eq. (6.3.17), the component \( F(x) \) of an arbitrary vector \( \mathbf{F} \) in the direction
given by \( \mathbf{e}(x) \) is related to the component \( f(k) \) of \( \mathbf{F} \) in the direction specified
by \( \mathbf{e}(k) \) by the equations

\[
F(x) = \int f(k) \psi(k,x) r(k) \, dk; \quad f(k) = \int F(x) \psi(k,x) r(x) \, dx \quad (6.3.57)
\]

where the function \( r(k) \) is related to the density of eigenvalues of \( k \)
along \( k \), just as \( r(x) \) is related to the density of eigenvalues along \( x \).

The range of integration for these integrals is over the allowed ranges
of \( k \) and \( x \), sometimes from 0 to \( \infty \), but more often from \(-\infty \) to \( \infty \).
Letting \( k \) go to \(-\infty \) does not mean that there is no lower bound to the
eigenvalues of \( \lambda \), for \( \lambda = k^2 \) and, as long as \( k \) is real, \( \lambda > 0 \). Furthermore
when we include negative values of \( k \), we can arrange to have \( \psi \) a complex
quantity (as \( e^{ix} \) in the example) if we use the complex conjugate \( \bar{\psi} \) in the
second integral.

The normalization and orthogonality properties of these eigenfunctions
are obtained by extending Eqs. (6.3.18):

\[
\begin{align*}
\int x^r \psi(k,x) \bar{\psi}(k,x) r(x) \, dx &= \delta(k - \kappa) \\
i \int \psi(k,x) \bar{\psi}(k,x) r(k) \, dk &= \delta(x - \xi) \quad (6.3.58)
\end{align*}
\]

both of the integrals corresponding to delta functions. The functions \( \psi \)
are direction cosines, since both \( \mathbf{e}(x) \) and \( \mathbf{e}(k) \) are unit vectors, so the
factors \( E_n \) are unity and do not explicitly appear in the formulas. As
always with expressions corresponding to delta functions, they are to be
used in integrals, not in differentials or by themselves. The most useful
form of the normalization integral corresponds to the usual definition
of the delta function

\[
\int_{-\Delta}^{\Delta} \delta(x - \xi) \, dx' = \begin{cases} 
0 & |x| > \Delta \\
1 & |x| < \Delta
\end{cases}
\]
In other words, in the limit $\Delta \to 0$

$$\int_{k_0 - \Delta}^{k_0 + \Delta} r(x) \, dx \int \psi(k;x)\overline{\psi(k;x)}r(x) \, dx = \begin{cases} 0; & |k - k_0| > \Delta \\ 1; & |k - k_0| < \Delta \end{cases} \quad (6.3.59)$$

with a similar equation, reversing $z$ and $k$, corresponding to $\delta(z - \zeta)$. Usually the limits of the second integral are first set finite but large ($0$ to $R$, or $-R$ to $R$, $R$ large) and then later extended to infinity, for ease in calculation.

As an example of this we consider the Bessel equation resulting from the separation of the Helmholtz equation in polar coordinates

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dR}{dr} \right) + \left( k^2 r - \frac{m^2}{r} \right) R = 0 \quad (6.3.60)$$

where $m$ is the separation constant coming from the $\phi$ factor and is an integer if $\phi$ can go from $0$ to $2\pi$ (in other words, if $\Phi$ is periodic in $\phi$).

If the boundary conditions for $R$ are that it be finite at the singular point $r = 0$ and that $R = 0$ at $r = a$, the eigenfunctions are the Bessel functions [see Eq. (5.3.63)]

$$\psi_n(r) = J_n(\alpha_n r/a); \quad J_n(\alpha_n) = 0, \quad k_n = (\alpha_n/a); \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad (6.3.61)$$

These functions are orthogonal (with density function $r$)

$$\int_0^a J_n\left(\frac{\alpha_n r}{a}\right) J_{l}\left(\frac{\alpha_l r}{a}\right) r \, dr = \begin{cases} 0; & l \neq n \\ -\frac{\alpha_n}{2} J_{n+1}(\alpha_n)J_{n-1}(\alpha_n); & l = n \end{cases}$$

so that we have a complete set of eigenfunctions ($n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) for each value of $m$.

If $a$ goes to infinity, the eigenvalues of $k$ are a continuous sequence from $k = 0$ to infinity. Therefore the function $J_n(kr)$ is proportional to an eigenfunction for a continuous $k$.

To normalize, we set $\psi(k,z) = aJ_n(kz)$ and determine $A$ by using Eq. (6.3.59). As mentioned previously, to simplify the calculations we make the upper limit of the second integral $R$ and let $R$ go to $\infty$ later. Using the asymptotic expression for the $J$'s and doing the calculations for $m > 0$, we have

$$A^2 \int_{k_0 - \Delta}^{k_0 + \Delta} \frac{dk}{k} \int_0^R \psi(kz)\overline{\psi(kz)} z \, dz$$

$$= A^2 \int_{k_0 - \Delta}^{k_0 + \Delta} \frac{dk}{k} \left[ \frac{kRJ_n(kR)J_{n-1}(kR) - kRJ_n(kR)J_{n-1}(kR)}{k^2 - \kappa^2} \right]$$

$$\sim A^2 \int_{k_0 - \Delta}^{k_0 + \Delta} \frac{dk}{k} \left[ \frac{2/\pi}{k^2 - \kappa^2} \sin \left[(k - \kappa)R\right] \right] R \to \infty \quad \begin{cases} A^2; & |k - k_0| < \Delta \\ 0; & |k - k_0| > \Delta \end{cases}$$
This final result also holds for \( m = 0 \), though some of the intermediate steps are different in form. Therefore the normalizing constant \( A \) is equal to unity, the normalized eigenfunction is just \( J_m(kz) \), and the expression corresponding to the Fourier integral formula (6.3.58) is just

\[
 f(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} J_m(kz)k \, dk \int_{0}^{\infty} f(\xi)J_m(k\xi) \, d\xi \tag{6.3.62}
\]

which is called the Fourier-Bessel integral.

**Eigenvalues for the Schroedinger Equation.** In a number of solutions of the Schroedinger equation, cases are encountered where the eigenvalues are discrete for a certain range of values and are continuous for the rest of the range. A consideration of the relationship between the Schroedinger equation [see Eq. (2.6.28)] and the Sturm-Liouville problem will indicate how these come about and will perhaps shed further light on the Sturm-Liouville results [see also the discussion of Eqs. (12.3.25) and (12.3.27)].

The Schroedinger equation in one dimension is

\[
 \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} [E - V(x)]\psi = 0 \tag{6.3.63}
\]

where \( m \) is the mass of the particle under consideration, \( E \) is its total energy in the state considered, \( V \) is the potential energy, and \( \hbar = h/2\pi \) is the modified Planck's constant. The probability that the particle is between \( x \) and \( x + dx \) is proportional to \( |\psi|^2 \, dx \), and the average "current density" of the particle is proportional to the imaginary part of \( \bar{\psi}(d\psi/dx) \) (that is, if \( \psi \) is a real function for \( x \) real, then the net current is zero).

According to classical mechanics the particle would be only where the particle energy \( E \) is larger than the potential energy \( V \); in such regions the greater the value of \( E - V \) (= kinetic energy), the greater the current density and the smaller the particle density. In fact the probable density of the particle would be proportional to \( (1/\text{velocity}) \propto 1/\sqrt{E - V} \), and the probable current density would be proportional to \( \sqrt{E - V} \). No energy \( E \) would be possible which would be less than \( V \) everywhere; all energies \( E \) would be possible for \( E \) larger than \( V \) somewhere. If a particle is in one minimum of potential separated from another minimum by a peak higher than \( E \), then the particle cannot go from one minimum to the other.

In contrast, the Schroedinger equation is more restrictive in regard to allowed values of energy but less restrictive on location of the particle, as the derivation of the equation (pages 243 and 314) has suggested. Let us take a case where \( V(x) \) has a minimum (we can place the minimum at \( x = 0 \) for convenience) and where the asymptotic value of \( V \) is larger
than this minimum and analyze the equation from the point of view of
the Sturm Liouville problem (see page 722).

When \( E \) is less than \( V \) everywhere, the solution of Eq. (6.3.63) is not
oscillatory; it behaves more like the real exponentials \( e^x \) and \( e^{-x} \), and no
combination of the two independent solutions will produce a solution
which is finite both at \(-\infty\) and at \(+\infty\). As indicated in Fig. 6.8, if the
solution goes to zero at \(-\infty\), it will go to infinity at \( x = +\infty \). Therefore
no energy is allowed which is less than \( V(x) \) everywhere along the
real axis of \( x \).

When \( E \) is less than \( V(-\infty) \) and \( V(+\infty) \) but larger than \( V(0) \), the
minimum value of \( V \), \( \psi \) still behaves like the real exponentials in those
regions where \( E < V \), curving away from the \( x \) axis, but where \( E > V \),
it curves toward the axis, behaving like a trigonometric function. Start-
ing \( \psi \) out at zero at \(-\infty \), it will rise exponentially until \( x \) reaches the
value where \( V(x) = E \); then for a time \( \psi \) will curve back toward the axis.
Beyond the second point where \( V = E \), it will again have an exponential
behavior. For some value of \( E \), larger than \( V(0) \), the curving back will
be just sufficient so that \( \psi \) will fit smoothly onto a function similar to
\( e^{-x} \) in the right-hand region, so that it curves upward just enough to
go to zero at \( x = +\infty \).

This value of \( E \) is the lowest eigenvalue of the energy. The corre-
sponding eigenfunction has a maximum value somewhere near \( x = 0 \),
in the region where \( E > V \). This is the region within which classical
physics would expect the particle to be confined. But the quantity \( |\psi|^2 \)
is not suddenly zero when \( V > E \); it drops down exponentially to zero
on both sides if \( E \) is an eigenvalue; so that wave mechanics predicts a
small but finite probability that the particle be in a region where potential
energy is larger than total energy (i.e., where kinetic energy is negative).

If \( E \) is increased further, the corresponding \( \psi \) again becomes infinite
at \(+\infty \) or \(-\infty \) until, at the next eigenvalue, \( \psi \) can again go to zero at
both ends of the range. This eigenfunction has a node somewhere in
the region where \( E > V \).

And so it goes, only a discrete set of energies is allowed, the values
determined by the requirement that \( \psi \) be finite, until we reach the energy
equal to the lowest asymptotic value of \( V \) [we assume, to avoid compli-
cated wording, that \( V(-\infty) < V(+\infty) \)]. Above this energy \( E \) is larger
than \( V \) from \(-\infty \) to some finite positive value of \( x \) and is oscillatory
over all this range of \( x \). Therefore neither solution of the differential
equation goes to infinity at \( x = -\infty \), and we can choose, for any value
of \( E \), the proper linear combination which will go to zero exponentially
at \(+\infty \). Thus any value of the energy above \( V(-\infty) \) is allowed.

Since the vanishing exponential solutions are real functions, for \( x \) real,
the eigenfunctions for the discrete energies [less than either \( V(-\infty) \) or
\( V(\infty) \)] are real everywhere (to be more exact, the phase angle of these
eigenfunctions is independent of \( x \) and might as well be set zero). Since they are real and their derivatives are real, the average current density [proportional to the imaginary part of \( \psi(d\psi/dx) \)] is zero. This is explained classically by saying that the particle reverses its direction of motion at the points where \( V \) rises above \( E \), so that at each point the particle is equally likely to be found going in either direction and the net current is zero.

This is still true for the continuous range of eigenvalues of \( E \) between \( V(-\infty) \) and \( V(+\infty) \), for the particle here comes from \( -\infty \), rebounds from the potential "hill," and returns to \( -\infty \).

When \( E \) is larger than both \( V(-\infty) \) and \( V(+\infty) \), both solutions of the equation are finite everywhere, so that it is possible to choose linear combinations at will. Some of these combinations will give nonzero values for the average current density, corresponding to the classic statement that, for \( E \) larger than \( V(-\infty) \) and \( V(+\infty) \), a particle can go from \( -\infty \) to \( +\infty \) without being reflected. This whole question will be discussed further in a later chapter.

**Discrete and Continuous Eigenvalues.** As an example of this sort of behavior we take the symmetric case of a potential function \( V = -V_0 \text{sech}^2(x/d) \) [see Eq. (12.3.22)]. This potential has a minimum \(-V_0 \) at \( x = 0 \) and rises asymptotically to zero at \( \pm \infty \). By suitable choice of scale factors and constants, the Schroedinger equation (6.3.63) comes to have the form

\[
\left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 \psi + (\lambda + Q \text{sech}^2 x) \psi = 0; \quad w = x/d
\]

(6.3.64)

Further transformations, such as letting \( \lambda = -K^2 \), \( z = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tanh w] = e^w/(e^w + e^{-w}) \), and \( \psi = \cosh^{-K} w F(z) \), result in the equation

\[
z(z - 1) \frac{d^2 F}{dz^2} + (K + 1)(2z - 1) \frac{dF}{dz} + [K(K + 1) - Q] F = 0
\]

which is just Eq. (5.2.42) for the hypergeometric function

\[
F(K + \frac{1}{2} + P, K + \frac{1}{2} - P| K + 1| z)
\]

where \( P = \sqrt{Q + \frac{1}{2}} \). There is a second solution, but it becomes infinite at \( z = 0 \). Therefore the solution which remains finite at \( z = -\infty \) is

\[
\psi = \cosh^{-K} w F(K + \frac{1}{2} + P, K + \frac{1}{2} - P| K + 1| \frac{e^w - e^{-w}}{e^w + e^{-w}})
\]

(6.3.65)

Examination of Eq. (5.2.49) shows that this function becomes infinite at \( z = 1 \) (\( w \to \infty \)) unless the quantity \( K + \frac{1}{2} - P \) is a negative integer, i.e., unless \( K = \sqrt{Q + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2} - n \), where \( n \) can be zero, or any positive integer less than \( \sqrt{Q + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \). The discrete eigenvalues of \( \lambda \), which is proportional to the energy, are therefore
\[ \lambda_n = \frac{2}{n + \frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{Q + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}}^2; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n < \sqrt{Q + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}} \]

(6.3.66)

For such values of \( \lambda \), the eigenfunction \( \psi \) is a polynomial in \( z \). We note that, as long as \( Q \) is positive (i.e., as long as there is a potential "valley"), there will be at least one discrete state \( (n = 0) \), though there may not be more than one if \( Q \) is small.

For positive values of the energy, \( K \) is imaginary, and we can set \( K = ik \), where \( \lambda = k^2 \). In this case the two independent solutions near \( z = 0 \) \((w \to -\infty)\) are

\[ [2 \cosh w]^\frac{1}{2} \left( P + \frac{1}{2} + ik, -P + \frac{1}{2} + ik \right) \left| l + ik \left| \frac{e^w}{e^w + e^{-w}} \right) \right. \]

which might be called \( \psi(k) \), and

\[ e^{-ikw} \left( P + \frac{1}{2}, -P + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left| l - ik \left| \frac{e^w}{e^w + e^{-w}} \right) \right. \]

which might be called \( \psi(-k) \). Thus we have two eigenfunctions for each value of \( \lambda \). For \( w \) very large negative, these two solutions reduce to \( e^{i\omega w} = e^{i\omega x \lambda} \) and \( e^{-i\omega w} = e^{-i\omega x \lambda} \), both of which are finite at \( w = -\infty \). One represents a wave in the positive direction (which has a correspondingly positive current density), and the other a wave in the negative direction (with negative current density). Similarly both solutions near \( w = +\infty \) are finite for all values of \( k \), so every positive value of the energy parameter \( \lambda \) is allowed.

In this case we have both discrete and continuous eigenvalues. Our expansion in terms of the eigenfunctions must include a series over the few discrete values (sum over \( n \) for \( n \) less than \( \sqrt{Q + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}} \)) and an integral over \( k \) from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\) (thus including both eigenfunctions for each positive eigenvalue of \( \lambda \)). To obtain the exact form of the sum and integral, with the proper values of the normalizing factors, would require more detailed analysis of the problem than is worth while here. It will be taken up again in Sec. 12.3.

**Differentiation and Integration as Operators.** This discussion of the Schrödinger equation (together with the treatment of factorization on page 729) may serve to remind us that it is occasionally useful to consider differentiation and multiplication by a constant (and also integration) as operators. We consider the vector \( \mathbf{f} \) to have components \( f(x) \), the vector \( \alpha \mathbf{f} \) to have components \( \alpha f(x) \), the vector \( \partial f \) to have components \( \partial f(x)/\partial x \), and the vector \( \int f(x) \, dw \) to have components

\[ \int_0^\infty f(w) \, dw \]

These operators can be added (i.e., they satisfy the distributive and
commutative laws for addition); the vector \([\varphi + \zeta] \mathbf{f} = [\zeta + \varphi] \mathbf{f}\) has components
\[
\frac{d}{dx} f(x) + \int_0^x f(w) \, dw = \int_0^x f(w) \, dw + \frac{d}{dx} f(x)
\]
for instance.

Both \(\varphi\) and \(\zeta\) commute with the scalar operator \(a\), but \(\varphi\) does not commute with \(\zeta\), for
\[
\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x f(w) \, dw = f(x) \text{ but } \int_0^x \frac{d}{dw} f(w) \, dw = f(x) - f(0)
\]
so that \(\varphi \zeta = 1\) but \(\zeta \varphi \neq 1\) (6.3.67)

where 1 is the unity operator. However if order of multiplication is watched, we can consider the integration operator \(\zeta\) to be the inverse of the differentiation operator \(\varphi\) and it can be written \(\varphi^{-1}\).

Both \(\varphi\) and \((\varphi)^{-1}\) may be iterated. The components of \(\varphi^n \mathbf{f}\) are \((d^n/dx^n) f(x)\), and the components of \(\varphi^{-n} \mathbf{f}\) are
\[
\int_0^x d\xi_1 \int_0^{\xi_1} \cdots \int_0^{\xi_{n-1}} d\xi_n f(\xi_n)
\]
The positive powers of \(\varphi\) operating on a constant vector \(A\) give zero, but the negative powers give a nonzero result. For instance, the components of \(\varphi^{-n} A\) are \(A(x^n/n!)\). By integration by parts we can see that the components of \(\varphi^{-n} \mathbf{f}\) are
\[
\int_0^x dw \int_0^w f(w) \, dw = \int_0^x (x - w) f(w) \, dw
\]
Continued application of this procedure shows that the components of \(\varphi^{-n} \mathbf{f}\) are
\[
\int_0^x (x - w)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} f(w) \, dw \quad (6.3.68)
\]

Series of operators can be handled (subject to the usual requirements of convergence) just as ordinary series. For instance, the components of the vector
\[
\left\{ \sum a_n \varphi^{-n} \right\} \mathbf{f} \quad \int_0^x \left[ \sum a_n \frac{(x - w)^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!} \right] f(w) \, dw
\]
provided the series of integrals converges. By this means we can determine the meaning of reciprocal expressions, such as \([\varphi^{-1}/(1 - \alpha \varphi^{-1})] \mathbf{f}\).

Going at this formally, we compute by expanding on a series of powers of \(\varphi^{-1}\). The components of the resulting vector, \([\varphi^{-1} + \alpha \varphi^{-2} + \alpha^2 \varphi^{-3} + \cdots] \mathbf{f}\), are
\[ \int_0^x \left[ 1 + \alpha \left( \frac{x - w}{11!} \right) + \alpha^2 \left( \frac{x - w}{21!} \right)^2 + \cdots \right] f(w) \, dw = e^{ax} \int_0^x e^{-aw} f(w) \, dw \]

(6.3.69)

a surprisingly compact result.

To see how this technique can be used and, incidentally, to validate somewhat this rather reckless manipulation of symbols, we compute the solution of the first-order differential equation

\[ \frac{dy}{dx} - \alpha y - f(x) \]

(6.3.70)

By usual means, we can find that the solution of this equation is

\[ y(x) = y(0)e^{\alpha x} + e^{-\alpha x} \int_0^x e^{\alpha w} f(w) \, dw \]

To solve Eq. (6.3.70) by symbolic means we note that the equation is equivalent to the operator equation \((\varphi - \alpha)y = f\), or if we had integrated first with respect to \(z\), the equation would be

\[ \varphi^{-1}\varphi y - \alpha \varphi^{-1} y = \varphi^{-1} f \]

Referring to Eq. (6.3.67) and dividing both sides by \((1 - \alpha \varphi^{-1})\), we find that \(y(x) - y(0)\) is just equal to the components of the vector \([\varphi^{-1} f/(1 - \alpha \varphi^{-1})]\), which by Eq. (6.3.69) is

\[ e^{ax} \int_0^x e^{-aw} f(w) \, dw \]

which checks with the solution obtained in the usual way.

We should note that \([\varphi^{-1}/(1 - \alpha \varphi^{-1})]\) is not necessarily equal to \([1/(\varphi - \alpha)]\). If we had assumed these were equal, we might have tried to solve Eq. (6.3.70) by assuming that \(y\) was equal to the components of \([\varphi - \alpha]^{-1} f\) and the result would not have included the necessary constant \(y(0)\).

This technique, sketched here, will be discussed in more detail later. All we wish to point out at present is that differential equations may be considered as representations of operational equations, with operators \(\varphi\) and \(\varphi^{-1}\) corresponding to differentiation and integration, and that such operational equations are amenable to a certain amount of algebraic manipulation as long as we follow known rules for commutation.

**The Eigenvalue Problem in Abstract Vector Space.** The question of discrete eigenvalues and allowed solutions has a much wider application than just to differential equations, as a reperusal of Sec. 2.6 will indicate and as the abstract vector space analogy suggests. In many cases in theoretical physics we have situations which can be represented by some sort of operator operating on a vector. In the case of elasticity it is a strain (or stress) operator operating on a usual three-vector to give the resulting displacement; in the case of the ordinary differential equa-
tion it is a combination of the operators \( \mathfrak{g} \) just defined, operating on the "vector" corresponding to the function \( \psi(x) \) [which can be considered as the component of the vector along the direction given by the unit vector \( \mathbf{e}(x) \)]; in the case of the Dirac equation the operator is a combination of operators which interchange the four components \( \psi_i(x) \cdot \psi_j(x) \) and of differential operators which act on the \( z \) dependence of the four \( \psi \)'s. In any of these cases we can talk about a vector \( \mathbf{F} \) and an operator \( \mathfrak{A} \) which, in general, changes \( \mathbf{F} \) into another vector \( \mathbf{E} \), as was discussed in Sec. 1.6.

The vector \( \mathbf{F} \) can be described in terms of its components along suitable coordinates \( x, y, z \) components for strain displacement, \( F(x) \) for each \( x \) for differential equations, different spin states for the Dirac equation, etc.). The operator must correspondingly be given by a matrix of components

\[
\mathbf{F} = \sum_n F_n \mathbf{e}_n; \quad \mathfrak{A} = \sum_{mn} e_{m,1} e_{n}^* \mathbf{e}_n
\]

(see Eq. 1.6.33)

\[
\mathfrak{A} \cdot \mathbf{F} = \sum_m \left[ \sum_n l_{1mn} F_n \right] \mathbf{e}_m = \mathbf{E}
\]

the matrix \( (A_{mn}) \) representing \( \mathfrak{A} \). When the axes of reference are rotated, the components of \( \mathbf{F} \) and of \( \mathfrak{A} \) are modified according to the usual rules of transformation given in Chap. 1.

The fiction of a discrete set of axes, represented by the unit vectors \( \mathbf{e}_n \), can be used even when the "subscripts" are continuously variable [as with \( \mathbf{e}(x) \)] and the scalar product has to be represented as an integral over \( x \), instead of a sum over the subscript \( n \). In the following it should not be difficult to make the necessary change from sum to integral when this is necessary. We should recollect the discussion following Eq. (6.3.7) in this connection.

For instance, corresponding to the operator equation \( \mathfrak{A} \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{E} \) is the differential equation \( \alpha_x F(x) = E(x) \), where \( \alpha_x \) is an ordinary differential operator of the sort

\[
\alpha_x = j(\alpha) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + y(\alpha) \frac{d}{dx} + h(\alpha)
\]

which we have been discussing in this chapter. Corresponding to the scalar product \( (G^* \cdot \mathfrak{A} \cdot F) \) we have the integral

\[
\int_a^b \bar{G}(x) \alpha_x F(x) \, dx
\]

(\( \bar{G} \) is the complex conjugate of \( G \)) and so on.

In nearly all cases of interest the operator \( \mathfrak{A} \) is Hermitian, which means that its Hermitian adjoint, \( \mathfrak{A}^* \), obtained by interchanging rows and columns in the matrix and then taking the complex conjugate, is equal to
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If itself. We worked out some of the consequences of this on pages 83 to 87. Let us see what it means for a differential operator having components corresponding to the continuum of values of $x$.

The Hermitian adjoint of an operator $\mathcal{A}$ is the operator $\mathcal{A}^*$, such that if

$$\mathcal{A} \cdot F = E \quad \text{then} \quad E^* = F^* \cdot \mathcal{A}^*$$

in other words

$$\mathcal{G}^* \cdot (\mathcal{A} \cdot F) = (\mathcal{G}^* \cdot \mathcal{A})^* \cdot F$$

When the operator is real, then the concept of Hermitian adjointness corresponds to the concept of adjointness for differential operators given on page 527. For instance, in integral form, Eq. (6.3.71) becomes

$$\int_a^b \bar{G}(x) \partial_x F(x) \, dx = \int_a^b \bar{G}(x) \left[ f \frac{d^2 F}{dx^2} + g \frac{dF}{dx} + h F \right] \, dx$$

But according to Eq. (5.2.10) this last integral is equal to

$$\int_a^b |\bar{\partial}_x G| F \, dx + |P(\bar{G}, F)|_a^b$$

where $\bar{\partial}_x$ is the adjoint differential operator given by

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} (fG) - \frac{d}{dx} (gG) + hG = \bar{\partial}_x G$$

and discussed on page 584. If both $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ satisfy suitable boundary conditions at $a$ and $b$, the bilinear concomitant $P(\bar{G}, F)$ is zero at $a$ and $b$ and we have

$$\int_a^b \bar{G}_x F \, dx = \int_a^b |\bar{\partial}_x G| F \, dx$$

which corresponds to Eq. (6.3.71), defining the adjoint of an operator $\partial_x$. This means that our use of the word adjoint in relation to a generalized operator $\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to our use of adjoint in relation to an ordinary differential operator $\partial_x$ if $\partial_x$ is real. If $\partial_x$ is not real, then its Hermitian adjoint is the complex conjugate of its adjoint, $\bar{\partial}_x^* = \bar{\partial}_x$. Consequently an ordinary differential operator which is self-adjoint, as defined on page 720, corresponds to a real Hermitian operator, which is correspondingly self-adjoint in the operator sense. (We have already seen that the Liouville equation is self-adjoint.) This will be discussed more fully in Sec. 7.5.

Whether it be a differential operator or a more general kind of operator, if $\mathcal{A}$ is Hermitian, then

$$(\mathcal{G}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot F) = (\mathcal{G}^* \cdot \mathcal{A}^* \cdot F)$$

This means that the quantity $(F^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot F)$ is a real quantity, no matter what the vector $F$, if $\mathcal{A}$ is Hermitian. In quantum mechanics this quantity would be called the average value of $\mathcal{A}$ in the state characterized
by the vector $\mathbf{F}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to a physical quantity (position, momentum, etc.), its average value should be real, of course.

In a great number of cases this average value $(\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathbf{F})$ is always positive (as well as being real) for all vectors $\mathbf{F}$. In such cases the operator is said to be positive definite as well as Hermitian.

Corresponding to each operator $\mathcal{A}$ is a sequence of eigenvectors $\mathbf{E}_n$, such that

$$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathbf{E}_n = a_n \mathbf{E}_n$$

where $a_n$ is the eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{E}_n$. From what we have said above, it is easy to prove that, if $\mathcal{A}$ is Hermitian and positive definite, then all its eigenvalues will be real and all of them will be greater than zero. As we have seen earlier, the eigenvalues may be a series of discrete values or a continuous range or a combination of both.

We have discussed eigenvectors several times already; our purpose here is to link up our earlier findings with the findings of the present section on eigenfunctions. For instance, in connection with Eq. (1.6.9), we showed that the eigenvectors for a specific operator were mutually orthogonal, which corresponds to the finding that eigenfunctions, for a given differential equation and boundary conditions, are mutually orthogonal. We can, of course, normalize our eigenvectors to obtain the mutually orthogonal set of unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_n$. There are as many of them as there are “dimensions” in the abstract vector space suitable for the operator $\mathcal{A}$. Consequently any vector in the same abstract space can be expressed in terms of its components along the principal axes for $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathbf{F} = \sum_n F_n \mathbf{e}_n; \quad F_n = (\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}_n)$$

This seemingly obvious statement corresponds to the fundamental expansion theorem for eigenfunctions. To show the generality of this theorem, proved earlier for differential operators, we shall here sketch the argument for a general operator $\mathcal{A}$. In order that the eigenvectors for a given operator $\mathcal{A}$ form a complete set, it is sufficient that:

1. $\mathcal{A}$ is self-adjoint (or Hermitian); i.e., for any vector $\mathbf{F}$ $(\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathbf{F})$ is real.
2. $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite; i.e., for any vector $\mathbf{F}$ $(\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathbf{F})$ is greater than zero.
3. That the equation for the eigenvectors

$$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathbf{E}_n = a_n \mathbf{E}_n \quad (6.3.73)$$

corresponds to some variational principle. Such a variational principle can be quite general. For instance, we can compute the scalar (real and positive) quantity

$$D(\mathbf{F}) = (\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathbf{F})/(\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{F}) \quad (6.3.74)$$
for an arbitrary vector $\mathbf{F}$. The variational requirement that $\mathbf{F}$ be the vector for which $D$ is a minimum gives the eigenvector $E_0$ for Eq. (6.3.74), and the value of $D$ for $\mathbf{F} = E_0$ is just the eigenvalue $\alpha_0$.

To prove this we consider the variation of $D$, as $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{F}^*$ are varied by arbitrary, small amounts $\delta\mathbf{F}$ and $\delta\mathbf{F}^*$, and require that $\delta D = 0$. Multiplying across by $(\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{F})$, we require that

$$D[(\delta\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{F}) + (\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \delta\mathbf{F})] = [(\delta\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{F}) + (\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \delta\mathbf{F})]$$

or

$$[\delta\mathbf{F}^* \cdot (\mathbf{F} - D\mathbf{F})] + [(\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{F} - D\mathbf{F}^*) \cdot \delta\mathbf{F}] = 0$$

Since $\delta\mathbf{F}$ and $\delta\mathbf{F}^*$ are arbitrary and independent variations, the variational requirement is thus equivalent to Eq. (6.3.73) and its conjugate, with $D$ equal to $\alpha$.

As we mentioned above, the vector which gives the minimum value of $D$ is $E_0$, and the corresponding value of $D$ is $\alpha_0$, the lowest eigenvalue (which is greater than zero, since $\mathbf{F}$ is positive definite).

The vector which gives a minimum value of $D$, subject to the additional requirement that it be orthogonal to $E_0$ is $E_1$, and the corresponding value of $D$ is $\alpha_1$, the next eigenvalue. To prove this statement we have to consider that the operator $\mathbf{F}$ can be dealt with formally as if it were an analytic function, e.g., that the operators $\mathbf{F}^{-1}$, $\sqrt{\mathbf{F}}$, etc., have the same meaning as do their algebraic counterparts. We have indicated that this is possible for differential and integral operators.

If this is so, we can show that the eigenvectors of any function $\delta(\mathbf{F})$ which can be expressed as a series of powers of $\mathbf{F}$ are the corresponding eigenvectors of $\mathbf{F}$, and the eigenvalues are the equivalent functions $H(\alpha_n)$ of the corresponding eigenvalue $\alpha_n$. From this it follows that the solutions of the variational problem $\delta B = 0$, where

$$B = [\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \delta(\mathbf{F}) \cdot \mathbf{F}] / [\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{F}]$$

are just the eigenvectors $E_{\infty}$, which are solutions of Eq. (6.3.73) if the related algebraic function $H(\alpha)$ is always real and positive when $\alpha$ is real and positive. The resulting stationary values of $B$ are the values $H(\alpha_n)$. (The proof of this statement can be left to the reader; it is, of course, true only if $\mathbf{F}$ is positive definite.)

The product $K = [\mathbf{F}^* \cdot (\mathbf{F} - \alpha_0) \cdot \mathbf{F}]$, where $\alpha_0$ is the lowest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{F}$, is never negative, no matter what vector $\mathbf{F}$ we choose, as a consideration of the variational equation will show. Nor is the product $J = [\mathbf{F}^* \cdot (\mathbf{F} - \alpha_1)(\mathbf{F} - \alpha_1) \mathbf{F}]$, where $\alpha_1$ is the next eigenvalue, for the minimum values of

$$J = \frac{\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot [\mathbf{F} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)]^T - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0 - \alpha_1)^2}{[\mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{F}]}$$

are for $\mathbf{F}$ equal to either $E_0$ or $E_1$. For these two vectors $J$ is zero, whereas $K$ is only zero for $\mathbf{F} = E_0$. Consequently the quantity $[J / K]$ is
never negative, and it is zero only when $F = F_1$. A rewording of this last sentence will show us that we have proved the statement, made above, which we wished to prove. Suppose we set up a vector $G = \sqrt{a_1 - a_0} \cdot F$ which is automatically orthogonal to the lowest eigenvector $E_0 (G^* \cdot E_0 = 0)$ but which is otherwise quite arbitrary. We then set up the variational ratio

$$D(G) = \frac{(G^* \cdot G)}{(G^* \cdot G)} = \frac{J}{K} + a_1$$

The sentence in italics shows that the minimum value of $D$ is for $G = \sqrt{a_1 - a_0} \cdot E_1$, and its value for that $G$ is $a_1$, which is what we set out to prove.

Since we have shown that the minimum value of $D$ for a vector orthogonal to $E_0$ is $a_1$, and the corresponding vector is $E_1$, we can extend the argument and arrive at the statement

$$D(G) \geq a_{s+1}$$

if $(G^* \cdot E_m) = 0$; for $m = 0, 1, \ldots, s$ (6.3.75)

which is equivalent to the statement preceding Eq. (6.3.21).

For the general case of an arbitrary operator, there may not be an infinite sequence of eigenvalues. If the vector space has only $n$ dimensions (i.e., if all vectors in the space can be expressed as a linear combination of only $n$ mutually orthogonal vectors), then there are just $n$ eigenvectors and $n$ eigenvalues (if there are degenerate states, some of the eigenvalues will be equal but the $n$ eigenvectors will still be mutually orthogonal), for as long as we can make up a vector orthogonal to the first $s$ eigenvectors, we can find still another eigenvector and eigenvalue. Only when $s = n$ will it be impossible to find another orthogonal vector, and at that point the sequence will stop. Therefore for vector spaces with a finite number of dimensions, the number of mutually orthogonal eigenvectors will equal the number of dimensions and this set of eigenvectors will be complete, for by definition any vector in this space can be expressed as a linear sum of this number of orthogonal vectors.

For vector spaces with an infinite number of dimensions the proof of completeness is not so simple [see comments following Eq. (6.3.7)]. To make it watertight we should prove that the sequence of eigenvalues tends to infinity as $n$ goes to infinity. But a proof of this theorem for the most general sort of positive-definite, Hermitian operator would carry us too far afield into the intricacies of modern algebra. We indicated that it is true for differential operators of the Laplace type on page 721. It is also true for quantum mechanical operators having an infinite number of possible states. If we assume that it is true in general, then our proof of completeness will follow the same lines as the proof given on pages 736 to 739. Because the arguments using abstract operators are "cleaner" than those for differential equations, we shall run through the proof again.
§6.3]

We wish to express an arbitrary vector $G$ in terms of the unit eigenvectors $e_m$, so we set up the finite sum

$$S_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} C_m e_m; \quad C_m = (e_m^* \cdot G)$$

(6.3.76)

where

$$A \cdot e_m = a_m e_m \quad \text{and} \quad (e_m^* \cdot e_n) = \delta_{mn}$$

The vector

$$J_n = G - S_n$$

is orthogonal to the first $n$ eigenvectors of $A$, since $(F_m^* \cdot J_n) = 0$ for $m \leq n$. Therefore by Eq. (6.3.75) we have that

$$D(J_n) = \frac{1}{(J_n^* \cdot J_n)} \geq a_n$$

or

$$(J_n^* \cdot J_n) \leq \frac{1}{a_n + 1} \left[ (G^* - \sum_{m=0}^{n} C_m e_m^*) A (G - \sum_{m=0}^{n} C_m e_m) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_n + 1} \left[ (G^* \cdot A \cdot G) - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m |C_m|^2 \right]$$

But the series which is the second term in the brackets is positive, being the sum of products of squares of quantities times eigenvalues (which are all positive). Therefore

$$(J_n^* \cdot J_n) < \frac{1}{a_n + 1} (G^* \cdot A \cdot G)$$

Since neither of the quantities in parentheses can be negative (nor can $a_n$, $a_n + 1$), since $(G^* \cdot A \cdot G)$ is independent of $n$, and since $a_n + 1 \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, therefore $(J_n^* \cdot J_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $J_n$ which is the difference between the arbitrary vector $G$ and the first $n$ terms of its series expansion in terms of the eigenvectors $e_m$, goes to zero as $n$ goes to infinity. Therefore the complete series $(n \rightarrow \infty)$ equals the vector $G$, and we have proved again that the $e_m$'s are a complete set of eigenvectors.

Similarly any operator (in the same abstract space) can be expressed in terms of its components along the principal axes for $A$. In particular, the operator $A$ itself has the particularly simple form

$$A = \sum_{n} a_n n^2 e_n^*$$

that is, $A_{mn} = a_n \delta_{mn}$

where $a_n$ is the eigenvalue of $A$ corresponding to the eigenvector $e_n$.

In other words, when expressed in terms of its own principal axes, the matrix for an operator is a diagonal matrix.

Other general properties, applicable alike to abstract vector operators and to ordinary differential operators, have been already discussed in
Sects. 1.6 and 2.6, and still others will be derived later. It should be apparent by now that the abstract vector representation has many advantages of simplicity, because of our familiarity with the simple geometrical analogue, which makes it invaluable as an alternative point of view for nearly all our problems.

Problems for Chapter 6

6.1 A net-point potential \( \varphi(m,n) \) satisfies the difference equation (6.2.6) and is to satisfy boundary conditions on the boundary lines \( n = 0, n = 5, m = 0, m = 5 \). Show that the solution satisfying the requirement that \( \varphi \) have the value \( \varphi_0 \) at the \( \nu \)th boundary point is given by

\[
\varphi(m,n) = \sum_{\nu} G(m,n|\nu) \varphi_\nu
\]

where \( G(m,n|\nu) \) is the solution of Eq. (6.2.6) which is zero at all boundary points except the \( \nu \)th and has unit value at the \( \nu \)th boundary point. Show that all the \( G \)'s can be obtained from the ones connected with the boundary points (0,1) and (0,2). Compute these two \( G \)'s, correct to three places of decimals, for each interior net point.

6.2 Show that a solution of the Poisson difference equation

\[
[\psi(m + 1, n) + \psi(m - 1, n) + \psi(m, n + 1) + \psi(m, n - 1) - 4\psi(m,n)] = F(m,n)
\]

with \( F(m,n) \) specified, and with \( \psi \) zero at all boundary points, is

\[
\psi(m,n) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} G(m,n|\mu,\nu)F(\mu,\nu)
\]

where \( G(m,n|\mu,\nu) \) is a solution of the Poisson difference equation for \( F(\mu,\nu) = 1 \), all other \( F \)’s = 0, and for \( G \) zero at all boundary points. What are the values of \( G \) for the \( 4 \times 4 \) net of Prob. 6.1? How can these results be combined with those of Prob. 6.1 to obtain a general solution of the Poisson difference equation satisfying general boundary conditions?

6.3 The differential equation is the simple parabolic one:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}
\]

and the boundaries are \( x = 0, x = \pi, \) and \( t = 0 \). For the boundary condition \( \psi = 0 \) at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = \pi \) show that the solution for \( t \geq 0 \) is

\[
\psi(x,t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} A_\nu \sin(\nu x) \exp[-\nu^2 t]
\]
where the $A$'s are chosen to fit the initial value of $\psi$ at $t = 0$. Now consider the net-point approximation to this equation, obtained by dividing the range $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ into $N$ equal parts with spacing $h = \pi / N$ and dividing $t$ into intervals of length $k = \pi / M$. Show that the corresponding solution of the equation

$$(1/h^2)[\psi(m+1, n) + \psi(m-1, n) - 2\psi(m, n)] = (1/k)[\psi(m, n+1) - \psi(m, n)]$$

is

$$\psi(0, n) = \psi(N, n) = 0; \quad n \geq 0$$

$$\psi(m, n) = \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} R_r \sin(vmh) \exp \left\{ n \ln \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{h^2} \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}hv) \right] \right\}$$

What happens to this solution if $k$ has been chosen larger than $h^2$? What limitation must be imposed on the size of $k$ in order that the solution be stable? Suppose the initial conditions are such that the coefficients $A_r$ in the exact solution are negligible for $r > r_{\text{max}}$. What can you say concerning the choice of $h$ and $k$ which will result in a net-point solution which is reasonably accurate (to 1 per cent. say) in the range $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ and which is not, on the other hand, so "fine-grained" ($N$ and $M$ too large) as to make the numerical computations too laborious?

6.4 The initial values of $\psi(\pi, t)$ of Prob. 6.3 is

$$\psi(x, 0) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \pi x; & (0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi) \\ \frac{1}{2} \pi (\pi - x); & (\frac{1}{2} \pi < x < \pi) \end{cases} = \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\sigma + 1)^2} \sin[(2\sigma + 1)x]$$

Compute values of $\psi(x, t)$ for $x = \frac{1}{2} \pi, \frac{1}{2} \pi; t = \frac{1}{2} \pi, \frac{1}{2} \pi$ for the exact solution. Then compute values of $\psi$, by use of the difference equation

$$\psi(m, n+1) = [1 - (2k/h^2)]\psi(m, n) + (k/h^2)[\psi(m+1, n) + \psi(m-1, n)]$$

starting at $n = 0$ and working upward (for increasing $n$) for the same initial conditions. Take $h = \frac{1}{2} \pi$ ($N = 4$) and make the computations for two choices of $k$: $k = \pi/4$ and $k = \pi/16$. Compare with the four exact values already computed.

6.5 Is the partial differential equation

$$(\partial^2 \psi / \partial x^2) - \rho^2 (\partial^2 \psi / \partial y^2) = 0$$

elliptic or hyperbolic? What are the equations for the characteristics? Sketch a few of them. Show that, if Cauchy conditions are applied at the boundary $y = y_0 > 0$, the solution for $y > y_0$ is

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \psi_0 \left( x + \ln \frac{y}{y_0} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_0 \left( x - \ln \frac{y}{y_0} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_0 \left( x + \ln \frac{y}{y_0} \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \psi_0 \left( x - \ln \frac{y}{y_0} \right)$$
where the value of $\psi$ at $y = y_0$ is $\psi_0(x)$ and where $\varphi_0(x) = \int v_0(x) \, dx$, $v_0(x)$ being the initial value of $\partial \psi / \partial y$ at $y = y_0$. Why does this solution fail at $y = 0$?

6.6 Build up a sequence of mutually orthogonal polynomials in $x$ for the range $-1 \leq x \leq 1$. Start with $y_0 = 1$, $y_1 = x$, . . . with $y_n$ a polynomial of degree $n$ such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} y_n(x) y_m(x) \, dx = 0; \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$$

Obtain the first four such polynomials. Show that, in general, those polynomials for even $n$'s have no odd powers of $x$, those for odd $n$ have no even powers. Show that the polynomials obtained are proportional to the Legendre polynomials $P_n(x)$. Is this process of building up a set of orthogonal polynomials a unique one? If not, what restrictions must be added to make the process unique? Is the resulting set of functions a complete set? How can you be sure?

6.7 Repeat the process of Prob. 6.6 for the range $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and the orthogonality requirement

$$\int_{0}^{1} y_n(x) y_m(x) x \, dx = 0; \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots, n \quad 1$$

Start again with $y_0 = 1$ and obtain the first four polynomials. Compare this with the set $\varphi_n(x) = J_0(\pi \alpha_n x)$, where $\alpha_n$ is the $n$th root of the equation $[\pi J_0'(\pi \alpha) / d\alpha] = 0$. Show that this is also a mutually orthogonal set of functions for the same range of $x$ and for the same density function $x$. For what problems would each be useful?

6.8 The Tchebycheff polynomials $T_n(x)$ are defined by the generating function

$$\frac{1 - t^2}{1 - 2tx + t^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(x) t^n$$

Obtain the first four polynomials and, by manipulation of the generating function, show that

$$T_1(x) - 2x T_0(x) = 0; \quad 2T_0(x) - 2x T_1(x) + T_2(x) = 0$$

$$T_{n+1}(x) - 2x T_n(x) + T_{n-1}(x) = 0; \quad n > 1$$

and consequently that $T_n(x) = \epsilon_n \cos [n \cos^{-1} x]$. Show that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} T_n(x) T_m(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} = \epsilon_n \delta_{mn}$$

6.9 The Jacobi polynomials are defined as

$$J_n(a,b|x) = F(a + n, -n; c | x)$$
Write out the first four polynomials and show that the set is complete (for what range?). By use of the contour integral obtained from Eq. (5.3.21) and subsequent use of Eq. (4.3.1) show that

\[
J_n(a, c|x) = \frac{x^{1-c}(1-x)^{-a} \Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left[ x^{n+c-1}(1-x)^{a+c-n-1} \right]
\]

Show that \( \frac{d}{dx} J_n(a, c|x) = - \frac{n(n + a)}{c} J_{n-1}(a + 2, c + 1 | x) \)

\[
xJ_n(a, c|x) = \frac{c - 1}{2n + a} \left[ J_n(a - 1, c - 1 | x) - J_{n+1}(a - 1, c - 1 | x) \right]
\]

and that

\[
\int_0^1 x^{n-1}(1-x)^{a-n} J_n(a, c|x) J_n(a, c|x) \, dx = \frac{n! \Gamma(c)^2 \Gamma(a + n + c - 1)}{(a + 2n) \Gamma(a + n) \Gamma(c + n)} \delta_{nn}
\]

Express \( P_n(x) \) and \( T_n(x) \) in terms of the \( J \)’s.

6.10 The radial function for the Helmholtz equation in spherical coordinates is \( j_n(kr) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi kr}} J_{n+1}(kr) \). Show that the eigenfunctions for a standing acoustical wave inside a rigid spherical shell are \( j_n(\pi \beta \omega a/a) \), where \( \beta_m \) is the \( m \)th root of the equation \( [\tau j_x(\pi \beta)]/d\beta = 0 \). Show that these form a complete, orthogonal set for the range \( 0 \leq r \leq a \). By letting \( a \to \infty \), show that

\[
f(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty j_n(zu) u^2 \, du \int_0^\infty f(v) j_n(uv) v^2 \, dv
\]

6.11 Show that

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-ut} L_n(t) \, dt = [\Gamma(a + n + 1)]^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{(z - 1)^n}{n!} \exp \left[ \frac{2xy - t^2(x^2 + y^2)}{1 - t} \right]
\]

6.12 Prove that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(x) H_n(u) \frac{t^n}{2^n n!} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \exp \left[ \frac{2xy - t^2(x^2 + y^2)}{1 - t} \right]
\]

---

**Table of Useful Eigenfunctions and Their Properties**

---

We choose a range of the variable \( z \) and a density function \( \tau(z) \) such that \( \tau(z) \), times any positive power of \( z \), integrated over the chosen range of \( z \), is finite. We then choose the lowest eigenfunction to be \( \psi_0(z) = 1 \). The next eigenfunction, \( \psi_1(z) \), is chosen to be that combination of 1 and \( z \) which is orthogonal to \( \psi_0 \) in the chosen range and for the chosen density \( \tau \). Then \( \psi_2(z) \) is that combination of \( z^2 \), \( z \), and 1 which is orthogonal to \( \psi_0 \).
and \( \psi \), and so on. We can thus set up a set of eigenfunctions by a purely mechanical procedure, called the Schmidt method, which will serve as a basis for expanding any piecewise continuous function of \( z \) in the chosen range. It is usually found that the eigenfunctions thus obtained are ones which also arise from the solution of some Liouville equation, with boundary conditions, or which are obtained from some generating function. Three useful cases will be summarized here, for three ranges of \( z \) and for different density functions \( \tau(z) \). See also the tables of Jacobi polynomials at the end of Chap. 12.

1. **Range** \(-1 \leq z \leq +1\); **Density Function** \((1 - z^2)^{\beta}\): **Gegenbauer polynomials**: \( T_\beta^n(z) \) (see page 748).

**Generating function:**

\[
\frac{2^\beta}{(1 + t^2 - 2tz)^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n T_\beta^n(z)
\]

**Special cases:**
- \( T_0^n(z) = P_n(z) \), Legendre polynomials (see Eq. 5.3.24)
- \((1 - z^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} T_{\beta-n}^n(z) = P_\beta^n(z)\), associated Legendre functions

\[
n T_\beta^{-1}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cosh[n \cosh^{-1} z], \text{ Tschebyscheff polynomials}
\]

\[
\sqrt{z^2 - 1} T_{\beta-1}^n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sinh[n \cosh^{-1} z], \text{ Tschebyscheff polynomials}
\]

\[
T_\beta^n(z) = \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2}) = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2\beta - 1) \text{ when } \beta = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

\[
T_\beta^n(z) = \frac{2^{n+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(\beta + \frac{3}{2}) = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2\beta + 1)z \text{ when } \beta = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

**Recurrence formulas,** relating these polynomials, obtained from the generating function

\[
\frac{d}{dz} T_\beta^n(z) = T_\beta^{n+1}(z);
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dz} [(z^2 - 1)^{\beta} T_\beta^n(z)] = (n + 1)(n + 2\beta)(z^2 - 1)^{\beta-1} T_{n+1}^{\beta-1}(z)
\]

\[
(2\beta + 2n + 1)z T_\beta^n(z) = (n + 1)T_\beta^n(z) + (2\beta + n) T_{n-1}^\beta(z)
\]

\[
(2\beta + 2n + 1) T_\beta^n(z) = \frac{d}{dz} [T_\beta^{n+1}(z) - T_\beta^{n-1}(z)] = T_\beta^{n+1}(z) - T_\beta^{n-1}(z)
\]

\[
(2\beta + 1) T_\beta^n(z) + 2z \frac{d}{dz} T_\beta^n(z) = T_\beta^{n+1}(z) + T_\beta^{n+1}(z)
\]

\[
(n + 2\beta + 1) T_\beta^n(z) = T_\beta^{n+1}(z) - z T_\beta^{n+1}(z)
\]

\[
n T_\beta^n(z) = z T_\beta^{n+1}(z) - T_\beta^{n+1}(z)
\]
\[(2\beta + 2n + 1)(z^2 - 1)T_n^{\beta+1}(z) = n(n + 1)T_n^\beta(z) - (n + 2\beta)(n + 2\beta + 1)T_{n-1}^\beta(z)\]

\[T_n^\beta(z) = \frac{2^\beta}{n!\sqrt{\pi}} (2\beta + 1)(2\beta + 3) \cdots (2\beta + 2n - 3)(2\beta + 2n - 1)\]
\[\cdot (2\beta + n + 1)(2\beta + 1n + 2) \cdots (2\beta + 2n - 1)(2\beta + 2n)\]
\[\cdot \frac{1}{(z^2 - 1)^{n+\beta}} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (z^2 - 1)^n\]

\[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} T_n^\beta(z) + 2(\beta + 1)z \frac{d}{dz} T_n^\beta(z) - n(n + 2\beta + 1)T_n^\beta(z) = 0\]

which is related to the hypergeometric equation, having three regular singularities. \(\beta = m\), an integer, results in associated Legendre polynomials,

\[T_n^m = \frac{P_n^{m-1}}{(1 - z^2)^{1/2}} dz = \frac{d^m}{dz^m} (P_n^{m-1})\]

\[T_0^0 = 1; \quad T_1^0 = 1; \quad T_2^0 = 3; \quad T_3^0 = 15; \quad \ldots\]

\[T_0^1 = \frac{1}{2}(3z^2 - 1); \quad T_1^1 = \frac{5}{2}(5z^2 - 1); \quad T_2^1 = \frac{15}{2}(7z^2 - 1); \quad \ldots\]

\[T_0^2 = \frac{1}{3}(35z^4 - 30z^2 + 3); \quad T_1^2 = \frac{6}{5}(21z^4 - 14z^2 + 1); \quad \ldots\]

\[T_n^m = \frac{(2n + 2m)!}{2^{n+m}n!(n + m)!} \left[ z^n - \frac{n(n-1)}{2(2n + 2m - 1)} z^{n-2} \right.\]
\[\left. + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{2.4(2n + 2m - 1)(2n + 2m - 3)} z^{n-4} \ldots \right]\]

see also Eqs. (6.3.37) and (6.3.40).

**Normalization integral:**

\[\int_{-1}^{1} (1 - z^2)^\beta T_n^\beta(z) T_m^\beta(z) \, dz = \delta_{mn} \frac{2\Gamma(n + 2\beta + 1)}{(2n + 2\beta + 1)\Gamma(n + 1)}\]

**Special values:**

\[T_n^\beta(z) = (-1)^n T_n^\beta(-z)\]

\[T_n^\beta(1) = \frac{\Gamma(n + 2\beta + 1)}{2\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}; \quad T_n^\beta(0) = 0; \quad n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots\]

\[T_n^\beta(0) = (-1)^m \frac{2\Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n)!}; \quad \text{when } n = 0, 2, 4, \ldots\]

**Relation with hypergeometric function:**

\[T_n^\beta(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n + 2\beta + 1)}{2\Gamma(n + 1)} \left[ -n, n + 2\beta + 1|1 + \beta| \frac{1 - z}{2} \right] - (n + 2\beta + 1) \left[ -n, n + 2\beta + 1|1 + \beta| \frac{1 + z}{2} \right] \]
Addition formula:

\[
T_\nu^m(\cos \vartheta \cos \vartheta_0 + \sin \vartheta \sin \vartheta_0 \cos \varphi) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(\beta + m)(n-m)!}{\Gamma(2\beta + n + m + 1)} [\sin \vartheta \sin \vartheta_0]^m .
\]

\[
\cdot T_{n-m}^{\beta+1}(\cos \vartheta) T_{m-n}^{\beta+1}(\cos \vartheta_0) T_{n-m}^{\beta+1}(\cos \varphi)
\]

II Range 0 \leq z < \infty; Density Function \(ze^{-z}\): Laguerre polynomials:

\[ L_n^a(z) \]

Generating function:

\[
e^{-t/t-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{\Gamma(n+a+1)} L_n^a(z)
\]

Special cases: \(L_0^a(z) = e^{-z}d^a/dz^a(ze^{-z})\)

\[ L_0^a(z) = \Gamma(a+1); \quad L_1^a(z) = \Gamma(a+2)((a+1)-z] \]

Recurrence formulas:

\[
\frac{d}{dz} L_n^a(z) = -L_{n-1}^{a+1}(z); \quad \text{except for } n = 0
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dz} [ze^{-z}L_n^a(z)] = (n + 1)ze^{-z}L_{n-1}^{a+1}(z)
\]

\[ L_n^a(z) - \frac{1}{n+a+1} L_{n+1}^a(z) \]

\[ zL_n^a(z) = (a + 2n + 1)L_n^a(z) - \frac{n+1}{a+n+1} L_{n+1}^a(z) - (a+n)L_{n-1}^a(z) \]

\[ z \frac{d}{dz} L_n^a(z) = (z-a)L_n^a(z) - (n+1)L_{n+1}^a(z) \]

\[ L_n^a(z) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)} e^z \frac{d^n}{dz^n} [ze^{a+1-z}] \]

\[ z \frac{d^2}{dz^2} L_n^a(z) + (a+1-z) \frac{d}{dz} L_n^a(z) + nL_n^a(z) = 0 \]

which is the confluent hypergeometric equation, with regular singular point at \(z = 0\) and an irregular point at \(\infty\).

\(a = m,\) an integer, results in associated Laguerre polynomials.

\[ L_n^m(z) = (-1)^m \frac{d^m}{dz^m} [L_{n+m}^m(z)] \]

\[ L_0^0 = 1; \quad L_0^1 = 1; \quad L_0^2 = 2; \quad L_0^3 = 6; \quad L_0^m = m!; \quad \ldots \]

\[ L_1^0 = 1 - z; \quad L_1^1 = 4 - 2z; \quad L_1^2 = 18 - 6z; \quad L_1^3 = 96 - 24z; \quad \ldots \]

\[ L_2^0 = 2 - 4z + z^2; \quad L_2^1 = 18 - 18z + 3z^2; \quad L_2^3 = 144 - 96z + 12z^2; \quad \ldots \]
\[ L_\eta = 6 - 18\eta + 9\eta^2 - \eta^3, \quad L_\lambda = 90 - 144\lambda + 48\lambda^2 - 4\lambda^3; \ldots \]

\[ L_n^m = \frac{(m+n)!^2}{n!m!} F(-n|m+1|\lambda) \]

where \( F \) is a confluent hypergeometric series with \( n+1 \) terms.

**Normalization integrals.**

\[
\int_0^\infty z^n e^{-z} L_n^\eta(z) L_n^\lambda(z) \, dz = \delta_{\eta\lambda} \frac{[\Gamma(n+1)]^2}{\Gamma(n+1)}
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty z^\nu e^{-z} L_n^\mu(z) L_n^\nu(z) \, dz = (-1)^{m+n} \Gamma(p+m-\mu+1) \Gamma(p+n-\nu+1) \cdot \mu! \nu! \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\Gamma(p+\sigma+1)}{\sigma!(m-\sigma)!(n-\sigma)!(\sigma+\mu-m)!(\sigma+\nu-n)!}
\]

where \( m, n, \mu, \nu \) are integers or zero and \( \sigma \) takes on all integral values larger than either \( m \) or \( n \) and smaller than either \( m \) or \( n \) (if these requirements cannot be met the integral is zero).

**Relation with confluent hypergeometric series for general values of \( \alpha \):**

\[
L_n^\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)} F(-n|\alpha+1|z)
\]

\[ = \frac{[\Gamma(n+1)]^2}{n!\Gamma(n+1)} e^{\Gamma(a+n+1|z-1)} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{n!} (-z)^n \quad \text{as} \quad z \to \infty
\]

**Addition formula and other equations:**

\[
L_n^\alpha(x+y) = e^y \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m \Gamma(n+a+1)}{m!} L_n^{a+m}(x)
\]

\[
(1+t)e^{-zt} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{t^n}{\Gamma(n+1)} L_n^0(x)
\]

\[
x^m = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{m!(a+m+1)}{(m-n)!(\Gamma(a+n+1)]^2} L_n^0(x)
\]

\[
e^{\alpha t} J_a(2\sqrt{t}) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{t^n}{[\Gamma(a+n+1)]^2} L_n^0(x)
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{\nu-1} J_a(\sqrt{t}u) L_n^{\lambda+\nu}(u) \, du
\]

\[ = 8(n+\nu+\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n+2\nu+1) \frac{(2z)^\nu}{(z^2+1)^{\nu+1}} T_n^{\nu} \left( \frac{z^2-1}{z^2+1} \right)
\]

where \( m \) and \( n \) are integers but \( a \) and \( \nu \) need not be integers.
III Range $-\infty < \varepsilon < \infty$; Density Function $e^{-\varepsilon^2}$: Hermite polynomials $H_n(z)$

Generating function: $e^{-\varepsilon^2 + 2z\varepsilon} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^n}{n!} H_n(z)$

Recurrence formulas:

\[
\frac{d}{dz} H_n(z) = 2n H_{n-1}(z)
\]

\[
z H_n(z) = n H_{n-1}(z) + \frac{1}{2} H_{n+1}(z)
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left[ e^{-\varepsilon^2} H_n(z) \right] = -2e^{-\varepsilon^2} H_{n+1}(z)
\]

\[
H_n(z) - (-1)^n e^{-\varepsilon^2} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} e^{-\varepsilon^2} = \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( z + it \varepsilon \right)^n e^{-t^2} dt
\]

\[
\frac{d^2}{dz^2} H_n(z) - 2z \frac{d}{dz} H_n(z) + 2n H_n(z) = 0
\]

which is the equation for the confluent hypergeometric functions

\[
F(-\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}|z^2|) \quad \text{and} \quad z F(-\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}|z^2|)
\]

\[
H_0 = 1; \quad H_1 = 2z; \quad H_2 = 4z^2 - 2
\]

\[
H_3 = 8z^3 - 12z; \quad H_4 = 16z^4 - 48z^2 + 12
\]

\[
H_n = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{(\frac{1}{2}n)!} F(-\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}|z^2|); \quad n = 0, 2, 4, \ldots
\]

\[
\lim_{z \to \infty} 2^zn^n
\]

\[
x^n = \sum_{z=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{2^m!(m-2z)!} H_{m-2z}(x)
\]

Normalization integral and other formulas:

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n(z) H_m(z) e^{-\varepsilon^2} dz = \delta_{nm} 2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}
\]

\[
H_{2n}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})} \left( L_n^{(1)}(x^2) \right); \quad H_{2n+1}(z) = \frac{2(-1)^n n!}{\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})} \left( L_n^{(1)}(x^2) \right)
\]

\[
[e^{-z^2 + 2z\sqrt{n}/(1-\varepsilon^2)}] \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon^2} - e^{-\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z^m}{2^m m!} \right) H_m(x) H_m(y)
\]

\[
\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} [(u^2 + v^2) \cos \varphi + 2uv \sin \varphi] \right\}
\]

\[
= \sec \left( \frac{1}{2} \varphi \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^m \tan^{(m)}(\frac{1}{2} \varphi)}{m!} e^{i(a-\eta)\varphi} H_m(u) H_m(iv)
\]
A variation in these polynomials, similar to the superscripts \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) in cases I and II, may be introduced by choosing the density function to be \( e^{-\beta z + \alpha z^2} \) instead of \( e^{-\alpha z} \). All this does, however, is to shift the center of the polynomials from \( z = 0 \) to \( z = a \). The new generating function is

\[
e^{-\beta z + \alpha z^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{n!} H_n(z) = e^{-2\alpha z} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_m}{m!} H_m(z)
\]

where

\[
H_n^\alpha(z) = H_n(z - a) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(-2\alpha)^{n-m}m!}{m!(n-m)!} H_m(z)
\]

\[
= 2^{-\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} H_m(z) \sqrt{2} H_{n-m}(-a \sqrt{2})
\]

or

\[
e^{-\beta(z-a)} H_n(z - a)
\]

\[
e^{\alpha z} e^{-\beta z + \alpha z^2} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{H_m(z) H_{n-m}(z-a)}{m!(n-m)!} F(n+1|n-m+1\mid \frac{\alpha}{2})
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{H_m(z) H_{m-n}(z-a)}{m!(m-n)!} F(m+1|m-n+1\mid \frac{\alpha}{2})
\]

The recurrence formulas are all the same, about the new origin. The normalization integral is

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\beta z + \alpha z^2} |H_n(z)|^2 \, dz = e^{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\alpha z} |H_n(z - a)|^2 \, dz = 2^n \sqrt{\pi \alpha^{2n}}
\]

With these three sets of eigenfunctions we have covered the various possibilities of singularities of the density function at the end points: The Gegenbauer polynomials correspond to a density having a branch point at both ends of the interval, the Laguerre polynomials to a density function with a branch point at one end and an essential singularity at the other, and the Hermite polynomials to a density function with essential singularities at both ends. The values of the independent variable at the two end points may be changed from the standard values given above by obvious transformations. For instance, for a range from \( z = -a \) to \( z = \infty \) with density function having branch points at both ends, we use the set of eigenfunctions \( T_{\beta,\alpha} e^{\alpha z^2} \), with density function \( r = 2^{\beta+1} |\omega^{\beta+1}(z + a)/z^{\beta+1}| \) and \( (z + 2\alpha)^{\beta+1} \), and so on.
The fundamental equation is the Schrödinger type

\[(d^2\Phi/dx^2) + [\lambda - V_\gamma(x)]\Phi = 0\]

where \(\lambda\) is the eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenfunction \(\Phi\) is required to be quadratically integrable over the range \(a \leq x \leq b\), where \(a\) and \(b\) are contiguous singular points of the equation. Parameter \(m\) may be continuously variable or may only have discrete values (in which case the scale is adjusted so that these values are integral values). This equation is sometimes equivalent to the following operator equations:

\[S_{n+1}^- S_{n+1}^+ \Phi_n(m|x) = (\lambda_n - a_{n+1}) \Phi_n(m|x)\]
\[S_{n}^+ S_{n}^- \Phi_n(m|x) = (\lambda_n - a_n) \Phi_n(m|x)\]

where
\[S_{n}^+ = [u_n(x) + (d/dx)]; \quad S_{n}^- = [u_n(x) - (d/dx)]\]

are mutually adjoint operators. When \(V_\gamma(x)\) is such that the factorization can be made, with \(a_m\) a function of \(m\) but not of \(x\); then the eigenvalues are independent of \(m\) and

when \(a_{m+1} > a_m; \quad \lambda_n = a_{n+1}; \quad n = m, m + 1, m + 2, \ldots\)

\[\Phi_n(m|x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{n+1} - a_n}} \left[ u_{m+1}(x) + \frac{d}{dx} \right] \Phi_n(m + 1|x)\]
\[\int_a^b \Phi_n(m|x) \Phi_{n'}(m|x) dx = \delta_{mn}\]

when \(a_{m+1} < a_m; \quad \lambda_n = a_n; \quad n = m, m - 1, m - 2, \ldots\)

\[\Phi_n(m|x) = \left[ \int_a^b \exp\left( -2 \int u_n dx \right) dx \right] e^{-\int u_n dx} \Phi_n(m - 1|x)\]
\[\Phi_n(m|x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_n - a_{m-1}}} [u_n(x) - (d/dx)] \Phi_n(m - 1|x)\]

where \(\Phi_n\) is again an orthonormal set.

The various sorts of functions \(V\) which will allow factorization can be obtained by determining those functions \(u_n\) which satisfy

\[u_{m+1}^2 - u_m^2 + \frac{d}{dx} u_{m+1}^2 + \frac{d}{dx} u_m = a_m - a_{m+1}\]

for which \(a_m\) is independent of \(x\). Then the corresponding function \(V\) for the original equation is

\[V_\gamma(x) = u_n^2(x) - \frac{d}{dx} u_m(x) + a_m = u_{m+1}^2(x) + \frac{d}{dx} u_{m+1}(x) + a_{m+1}\]
The trivially simple case is for $u_m$ to be independent of $x$; then $a_m = -u_m^2$ and $V_m = 0$, the eigenfunctions being the trigonometric functions. Other possibilities are

$$u_m = v(x) + mw(x)$$

where, in order that $a_m$ be independent of $x$ we must have that $v^2 + w^2 = \text{constant}; v' + mw = \text{constant}$.

$$u_m = (1/m)y(x) + mw(x)$$

where we must have $y = \text{constant}$ and $v^2 + w^2 = \text{constant}$. Any other choice of dependence on $m$ and $x$ does not allow $a_m$ to be independent of $x$.

Solving these equations for $v$, $w$, and $y$, for various values of the constants (including zero values), we obtain the following specific forms for $u_m(x)$, $a_m$, and $V_m(x)$, which include all the possibilities for the outlined method of factorization:

(A) $u_m = (m + c)b \cot [b(x + p)] + d \csc [b(x + p)]; a_m = b^2(m + c)^2$

$$V_m = \{b^2(m + c)/(m + c - 1) + d^2 + 2bd(m + c - 1/2) \cos [b(x + p)] \} \csc^2 [b(x + p)]$$

from which, by transformation of variables and by choice of values of the constants $b$, $c$, $d$, and $p$, one obtains the spherical harmonic functions and other eigenfunctions related to the hypergeometric function.

(B) $u_m = d e^{bx} - m - c; a_m = b^2(m + c)^2$

$$V_m = -d^2 e^{2bx} + 2bd(m + c + 1/2)e^{bx}$$

from which, by transformation, one obtains the Laguerre functions and other eigenfunctions related to the confluent hypergeometric function.

(C) $u_m = (m + c)(1/x) + 1/2bx; a_m = -2bm + 1/2b$

$$V_m = -(m + c)/(m + c + 1)(1/x)^2 - 1/2b^2x^2 + b(m - c)$$

also giving confluent hypergeometric functions.

(D) $u_m = bx + d; a_m = -2bm$

$$V_m = -(bx + d)^2 + b(2m + 1)$$

giving a generalization of the Hermite polynomials.

(E) $u_m = ma \cot [b(x + p)] + (q/m); a_m = b^2m^2 - (q^2/m^2)$

$$V_m = -m(m + 1)b^2 \csc^2 [b(x + p)] - 2bq \cot [b(x + p)]$$

related to the hypergeometric function [see Eq. (12.3.22)].

(F) $u_m = (m/x) + (q/m); a_m = -(q/m)^2$

$$V_m = -(q^2/x - m(m + 1)/x^2$$

resulting in Laguerre polynomials [see Eq. (12.3.38)].
Bibliography

References on types of partial differential equations, on types of boundary conditions and on difference equations:

Poeckels, F.: "Über die Partiellendifferentialgleichung $\nabla^2 u + k^2 u = 0$," B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1891.

Books giving fairly complete discussions of eigenfunctions, their properties and uses:

CHAPTER 7

Green's Functions

In the last chapter we began the study of the central problem of field theory, that of fitting the solution of a given partial differential equation to suitable boundary conditions. There we explored the technique of expansion in eigenfunctions, a method which can be used in a perfectly straightforward way whenever we can find a coordinate system, suitable to the boundaries, in which the given partial differential equation will separate. But the result usually comes out in terms of an infinite series, which often converges rather slowly, thus making it difficult to obtain a general insight into the over-all behavior of the solution, its peculiarities near edges, etc. For some aspects of problems it would be more desirable to have a closed function represent the solution, even if it were an integral representation involving closed functions. The Green's function technique is just such an approach.

The method is obvious enough physically. To obtain the field caused by a distributed source (or charge or heat generator or whatever it is that causes the field) we calculate the effects of each elementary portion of source and add them all. If \( G(r_0 | r) \) is the field at the observer's point \( r \) caused by a unit point source at the source point \( r_0 \), then the field at \( r \) caused by a source distribution \( \rho(r_0) \) is the integral of \( G \rho \) over the whole range of \( r_0 \) occupied by the source. The function \( G \) is called the Green's function.

Boundary conditions can also be satisfied in the same way. We compute the field at \( r \) for the boundary value (or normal gradient, depending on whether Dirichlet or Neumann conditions are pertinent) zero at every point on the surface except for \( r_s \) (which is on the surface). At \( r_s \), the boundary value has a delta function behavior, so that its integral over a small surface area near \( r_s \) is unity. This field at \( r \) (not on the boundary) we can call \( G(0, r_s) \); then the general solution, for an arbitrary choice of boundary values \( \psi_0(r_b) \) (or else gradients \( N_b \)) is equal to the integral of \( G \psi_0 \) (or else \( G N_b \) over the boundary surface. These functions \( G \) are also called Green's functions.

It is not particularly surprising that one can solve the inhomogeneous
equation for a field caused by a source distribution, by means of a product of the source density with a Green's function integrated over space, and that the solution of the homogeneous equation having specified values on a surface can be obtained in terms of a product of these values with another Green's function, integrated over the boundary surface. What is so useful and (perhaps) surprising is that these two functions are not different; they are essentially the same function. For each of the linear, partial differential equations of Chaps. 1 to 3, one can obtain a function which, when integrated over volume, represents a distributed source. When it (or its gradient) is integrated over a surface, it represents the field caused by specified boundary conditions on the surface.

Physically this means that boundary conditions on a surface can be thought of as being equivalent to source distributions on this surface. For the electrostatic case this is, perhaps, not a new concept. The boundary condition on a grounded conductor is that the potential be zero at its surface. Placing a surface dipole distribution just outside the conductor (a surface charge +σ just outside the conductor and another surface charge −σ just outside that) will result in values of the potential just outside the dipole layer which differ from zero by an amount proportional to the dipole density (to σ times the spacing between +σ and −σ). Nor is it so new in the case of the flow of an incompressible fluid. The boundary condition at a rigid surface is that the normal gradient of the velocity potential be zero at the surface. Placing a single layer of sources next to this rigid surface will result in values of normal gradient of the velocity potential which are proportional to the surface density of the source layer. As we shall see, the fact that boundary conditions can be satisfied by surface integrals of source functions makes the use of source (Green's) functions particularly useful.

It is desirable that we underscore this dualism between sources and boundary conditions by our choice of vocabulary. The equation for a field in the presence of sources is an inhomogeneous partial differential equation (e.g., the Poisson equation \( \nabla^2 \psi = -4\pi \rho \)). The inhomogeneous term, which does not contain \( \psi \), contains the source density \( \rho \). Conversely the equation for a field with no sources present is a homogeneous equation (e.g., the Laplace equation \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \)).

Analogously we can say (in fact we have said) that boundary conditions requiring that the field be zero at the surface are homogeneous boundary conditions (zero values are homogeneous Dirichlet condition; zero normal gradients are homogeneous Neumann conditions; the requirements that \( a\psi + b(\partial \psi / \partial n) \) be zero at the surface are homogeneous mixed conditions).

Conversely the requirements that \( \psi \) have specified values \( \psi_0 \) (not everywhere zero) at the surface are called inhomogeneous Dirichlet conditions; in this case the boundary values may be said to be "caused"
by a surface dipole layer of sources, corresponding to an inhomogeneous equation. Likewise the requirements that $\delta \psi / \delta n = N_0$ (not everywhere zero) on the surface are called inhomogeneous Neumann conditions, and the requirements that $a \psi + b (\delta \psi / \delta n) = F_0$ on the surface can be called inhomogeneous mixed conditions. When either equation or boundary conditions are inhomogeneous, sources may be said to be present; when both are homogeneous, no sources are present.

Of course there is another, more obvious, reason why both are given the same descriptive adjective. Solutions of homogeneous equations or for homogeneous boundary conditions may be multiplied by an arbitrary constant factor and still be solutions; solutions of inhomogeneous equations or for inhomogeneous boundary conditions may not be so modified.

The Green's function is therefore a solution for a case which is homogeneous everywhere except at one point. When the point is on the boundary, the Green's function may be used to satisfy inhomogeneous boundary conditions; when it is out in space, it may be used to satisfy the inhomogeneous equation. Thus, by our choice of vocabulary, we are able to make statements which hold both for boundary conditions and source distributions; we have made our words conform to our equations.

7.1 Source Points and Boundary Points

In the previous chapter we used the concepts of abstract vector space to "geometrize" our ideas of functions. A function $F(x,y,z)$ was considered as being a handy notation for writing down the components of the vector $\mathbf{F}$ along all the nondenumerably infinite directions, corresponding to all the points $(x,y,z)$ inside the boundaries. The delta function $\delta(r - r_0)$ represented a unit vector $\mathbf{e}(r_0)$ in the direction corresponding to the point $(x_0,y_0,z_0)$ (where $r = xi + yj + zk$; we should note that $r$ is a vector in three space whereas $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are vectors in abstract vector space).

Formulation in Abstract Vector Space. In Chap. 6 and Sec. 1.6 we discussed the transformation of coordinates from directions given by the unit vectors $\mathbf{e}(r)$ to those for unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_n$, which latter correspond to eigenfunction solutions $\psi_n$ of certain differential equations

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi_n) - \lambda_n \psi_n$$

The corresponding vectors $\mathbf{e}_n$ are eigenvectors for the abstract vector operator $\mathcal{L}$, corresponding to the differential operator $\mathcal{L}$, such that

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{e}_n) = \lambda_n \mathbf{e}_n \quad (7.1.1)$$

We showed that the new unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_n$ were mutually orthogonal and that the vector corresponding to the required solution, fitting the speci-
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The boundary conditions could be built up in a unique manner by summing the individual eigenvectors

\[ F = \Sigma A_\lambda e_\lambda \text{ or } F(x,y,z) = \Sigma A_\lambda \phi_\lambda(x,y,z) \]

Since the differential operators \( \mathcal{L} \) and the corresponding vector operators \( \mathcal{G} \) are linear, solutions may be added and series expansions are possible. A straightforward method of calculating the components \( A_\lambda \) was developed, which allowed our whole abstract picture to become a powerful, practical technique for solving boundary-value problems.

But it should be obvious, by now, that other useful resolutions for \( F \) are possible. Another possibility is demonstrated by a study of the inhomogeneous equation

\[ \mathcal{L}(F) = -4\pi \rho(x,y,z) \] (7.1.2)

To solve this equation by means of eigenfunctions we expand both \( \rho \) and \( F \) in eigenfunctions. If the vector corresponding to \( \rho \) is \( P = \Sigma B_\lambda e_\lambda \) and if we assume that \( F = \Sigma A_\lambda e_\lambda \), then the unknown coefficients \( A_\lambda \) may be determined by insertion in the equation

\[ \mathcal{G}(F) = -4\pi P; \quad \Sigma A_\lambda \phi_\lambda = -4\pi \Sigma B_\lambda e_\lambda \]

However, we could have resolved the inhomogeneous vector \( P \) in terms of the unit vectors \( e(x_0,y_0,z_0) \) instead of the \( e_\lambda \)’s,

\[ P = \sum_{x,y,z} \rho(x_0,y_0,z_0)e(x_0,y_0,z_0) \]

corresponding to the equation (which is one definition of the delta function)

\[ \rho(x,y,z) = \iint \rho(r_0) \delta(r - r_0) \, dx_0 \, dy_0 \, dz_0 \]

We then solve the simpler inhomogeneous equation

\[ \mathcal{G}(G) = -4\pi e(x_0,y_0,z_0) \] (7.1.3)

(if we can). The components of the solution \( G \) in the \((x,y,z)\) system are solutions of the simpler inhomogeneous differential equation

\[ \mathcal{L}(G) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \] (7.1.4)

The components \( G_\lambda \), obtained from the solution of (7.1.4), are functions, both of the coordinates \( x, y, z \) (the independent variables of the differential operator \( \mathcal{L} \)) and also of \( x_0, y_0, z_0 \) (the position of the delta function "source") corresponding to the unit vector \( e(x_0,y_0,z_0) \) chosen for Eq. (7.1.3). We shall show, at the end of Sec. 7.5, that the functions \( G(x,y,z|x_0,y_0,z_0) = G(r|r_0) \) for different values of \( x_0, y_0, z_0 \) are components, along the directions \( e(r) \), of an operator, rather than a vector.
This operator changes the vector \( \mathbf{P} \), for the inhomogeneous part, into vector \( \mathbf{F} \), the solution. Because of linearity we expect that a solution of

\[
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}) = -4\pi \sum_i \rho(\mathbf{r}_i) \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_i) \quad \text{is} \quad \mathbf{F} = \sum_i \rho(\mathbf{r}_i) \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_i) \quad (7.1.5)
\]

a sum of all the individual solutions for unit vectors on the right-hand side, multiplied each by the appropriate amplitude \( \rho(\mathbf{r}_i) \). Consequently, we should expect that a solution of the inhomogeneous differential equation (7.1.2) would be

\[
\mathbf{F}(x,y,z) = \iiint \mathbf{G}(x,y,z|x_0,y_0,z_0) \rho(x_0,y_0,z_0) \, dx_0 \, dy_0 \, dz_0 \quad (7.1.6)
\]

where \( \mathbf{G} \) is the solution of Eq. (7.1.4) and is called a Green's function. It is thus apparent that, in terms of abstract vectors, a solution by Green's functions is a representation in terms of the unit vectors \( \mathbf{e}(x,y,z) \) whereas a solution by eigenfunctions is a representation in terms of the unit vectors \( \mathbf{e}_n \). A much more complete discussion of this representation will be given at the end of this chapter.

Of course, it will take the rest of this chapter to outline how the unit solutions \( \mathbf{G} \) are determined, when the representation converges, and all the other precautionary details analogous to the ones we had to learn, in the last chapter, before we could use the eigenfunction technique with confidence.

**Boundary Conditions and Surface Charges.** We have not yet shown how the solution of an inhomogeneous equation (with homogeneous boundary conditions) will help us to solve a homogeneous equation with inhomogeneous boundary conditions. Perhaps a simple example will clarify the principle before we immerse ourselves in details. It will be shown later that a solution of the Poisson equation

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = -4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0)
\]

with homogeneous Dirichlet conditions (\( \psi = 0 \) on the surface \( S \) in Fig. 7.1) is a function which goes to infinity as \( 1/|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0| \) for \( \mathbf{r} \) near the source point \( \mathbf{r}_0 \). What we wish to point out here is that this same solution \( \psi \) can be used to build up a solution for an arbitrary charge distribution inside the surface \( S \) and also for an arbitrary set of Dirichlet boundary conditions on \( S \) (that is, for \( \psi = \psi_0 \) on the surface).

What is done is to replace the inhomogeneous boundary conditions on \( S \) by homogeneous conditions, together with a surface distribution of charge just inside the surface. Let us magnify up the situation near the surface, as shown in Fig. 7.2. The charge distribution to replace the inhomogeneous boundary conditions is a surface layer of density \( \sigma \), spaced a very small distance \( c \) out from the surface \( S \). We make \( c \) much smaller than the radius of curvature of the surface and also smaller than the distances over which \( \sigma \) varies appreciably. We have replaced the
inhomogeneous conditions by homogeneous conditions plus this charge layer, so we now require that the potential be zero at the surface. For distances of the order of \( \varepsilon \), the surface is plane (and may be taken as the \( y, z \) plane) and the charge density \( \sigma \) may be considered to be uniform.

![Figure 7.1](image.png)

**Fig. 7.1** Source point, observation point, and boundary surface for Green's function.

We thus have the situation of a uniform charge sheet of surface density \( \sigma/\varepsilon \) a distance \( \varepsilon \) in front of a grounded plane conductor at \( x = 0 \). From elementary electrostatics we remember that the normal gradient of the potential changes by an amount \( 4\pi\sigma/\varepsilon \) when going through such a sheet of charge. Because \( \varepsilon \) is so small, the gradient between charge and bound-

![Figure 7.2](image.png)

**Fig. 7.2** Potential of a source layer \( \sigma \) a small distance \( \varepsilon \) outside a grounded surface \( S \).

ary must be very much larger than the gradient outside the sheet; in fact we can neglect the latter with respect to the former.

Therefore, the gradient between \( x = -\varepsilon \) and \( x = 0 \) must equal \( -(4\pi\sigma/\varepsilon) \), and the potential in this region must be

\[
\psi = -(4\pi\sigma/\varepsilon)x; \quad -\varepsilon < x < 0
\]

and thus the potential just outside the charge sheet at \( x = -\varepsilon \) must be \( \psi \), which is \( (4\pi\sigma) \). Therefore, if we made this surface density \( \sigma \), which is
Source Points and Boundary Points

infinitesimally close to the grounded surface, equal to $\psi/4\pi$, then the potential just outside the charge sheet would be just $\psi$, the boundary value we wished to satisfy.

We have hence made plausible the idea that a solution of a homogeneous equation satisfying inhomogeneous boundary conditions is equivalent to a solution of an inhomogeneous equation satisfying homogeneous boundary conditions, with the inhomogeneous part being a surface layer of charge, proportional to the inhomogeneous boundary values and infinitesimally close to the boundary surface. Of course, we have not proved this equivalence; we have only made it plausible. Nor have we seen where the equivalence breaks down (it might be expected, for instance, that the equivalence breaks down between the charge layer and the surface). But having pointed out the goal, we should be able more easily to recognize progress toward it.

Incidentally, it should not be difficult to make plausible the satisfying of inhomogeneous Neumann conditions by a similar replacement with homogeneous conditions ($\partial \psi / \partial n$ zero on $\mathcal{S}$) plus a surface layer, on the surface, of surface density proportional to the specified boundary gradients. Thus we begin to see why solutions of inhomogeneous equations are related to solutions for inhomogeneous conditions and how surface layers can be substituted for boundary conditions.

A Simple Example. Before dealing with the problem in full generality we shall go into some detail with a simple example. We take the two-dimensional Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right) = -4\pi \rho(x,y) \tag{7.1.7}$$

inside the rectangular boundaries, $x = 0$, $x = a$, $y = 0$, $y = b$. First, we recapitulate the eigenfunction method of solving the case for $\rho = 0$ (homogeneous equation) for homogeneous boundary conditions ($\psi = 0$) on the three sides $x = 0$, $x = a$, $y = 0$, but with the inhomogeneous boundary conditions $\psi = \phi(x)$ along $y = b$. Equation (6.3.2) shows that this solution is

$$\psi(x,y) = \int_0^a \phi(x) G^0(x,y|x) \, dx$$

where

$$G^0(x,y|x) = \left[ \frac{2}{a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh (\pi ny/a)}{\sinh (\pi nb/a)} \sin \left( \frac{\pi nx}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi nx}{a} \right) \right] \tag{7.1.8}$$

The quantity $G^0$, in the brackets, is a function of the coordinates $x$ and $y$ and also of the position $\xi$ on the surface $y = b$. It may be called the Green's function for boundary conditions on the surface $y = b$. We multiply it by the specified boundary value for $\psi$ and integrate over the boundary to obtain the solution.
Green’s Functions

To show how this function is related to the solution of Poisson’s equation for a point charge (a point charge in two dimensions is a line charge in three dimensions), we next investigate two forms for the solution of the inhomogeneous equation [which, according to Eq. (7.1.4), should be the equation for the Green’s function for a point source at \( x_0, y_0 \)],

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = -4\pi \delta(x - x_0) \delta(y - y_0)
\]

(7.1.9)

with the homogeneous boundary conditions \( \psi = 0 \) on all four boundary lines.

Very close to the source \([R^2 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 \ll a^2, b^2]\) the solution must behave as though the boundaries were not present. The solution of Eq. (7.1.9) for boundaries at infinity is \( \psi = -2 \ln R = -\ln [(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2] \), so that one would expect the solution of Eq. (7.1.9) with finite boundaries to go to infinity as \(-2 \ln R \) as the distance \( R \) becomes very much smaller than the distance between the source point \( x_0, y_0 \) and the nearest boundary.

There are two ways of solving Eq. (7.1.9) [or Eq. (7.1.7) for that matter]. One, the simplest analytically and the nastiest computationally, is to expand \( \psi \) in a double Fourier series

\[
\psi = \sum_{m,n} A_{mn} \sin \left( \frac{\pi mx}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi ny}{b} \right)
\]

This series is not a solution of Laplace’s equation \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \), but we shall shortly show that it can be a solution of Poisson’s equation (7.1.7); in fact, to be a solution of (7.1.9), it must be a solution of Laplace’s equation except for one point, at \( (x_0, y_0) \). To solve (7.1.7) we expand \( \rho(x, y) \) in Fourier series

\[
\rho(x, y) = \sum_{m,n} P_{mn} \sin \left( \frac{\pi mx}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi ny}{b} \right)
\]

\[
P_{mn} = \frac{4}{ab} \int_0^a \int_0^b \sin \left( \frac{\pi mx}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi ny}{b} \right) \rho(x, y) dx dy
\]

and insert both series in Eq. (7.1.7). The coefficients \( A_{mn} \) can then be determined, and we finally obtain for our solution

\[
\psi(x, y) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m,n} \frac{P_{mn}}{(m/a)^2 + (n/b)^2} \sin \left( \frac{\pi mx}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi ny}{b} \right)
\]

\[
= \int_0^a d\xi \int_0^b d\eta G(x, y|\xi, \eta) \rho(\xi, \eta)
\]

(7.1.10)

where

\[
G(x, y|\xi, \eta) = \frac{16}{\pi ab} \sum_{m,n} \left[ \frac{\sin(m\pi x/a) \sin(n\pi y/b) \sin(n\pi \xi/a) \sin(n\pi \eta/b)}{(m/a)^2 + (n/b)^2} \right]
\]
is the Green’s function for the inhomogeneous equation. It is not difficult to see that \( G \) is the solution of Eq. (7.1.9) for homogeneous boundary conditions. It is the potential at point \( x, y \) of a unit point charge at \( \xi, \eta \). Its poor convergence arises from the fact that it must approach infinity logarithmically as \( (x, y) \) approaches \( (\xi, \eta) \) (as we mentioned a few pages ago and as we shall prove in the next section). For more reasonable distributions of \( \rho \) series (7.1.10) converges more rapidly.

One other property of \( G \), which will be generally true of all Green’s functions: *It is symmetric in interchange of \( (x, y) \) and \( (\xi, \eta) \).* In other words, the potential at \( (x, y) \) caused by a charge at \( (\xi, \eta) \) equals the potential at \( (\xi, \eta) \) caused by the same charge at \( (x, y) \), boundary conditions remaining equal. Exchange of source and observer does not change \( G \), a result sometimes called the *principle of reciprocity.*

**Relation between Volume and Surface Green’s Functions.** But series (7.1.10) is still far from the form of the quantity in brackets in Eq. (7.1.8). In the first place, the earlier quantity is a single series, whereas Eq. (7.1.10) is a double series. Let us try to solve Eq. (7.1.7) in terms of a single series. We set

\[
\psi(x, y) = \sum_m F_m(y) \sin \left( \frac{\pi m x}{a} \right); \quad \rho(x, y) = \sum_m \rho_m(y) \sin \left( \frac{\pi m x}{a} \right)
\]

(7.1.11)

where \( F_m \) is to be computed and

\[
\rho_m(y) = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a \rho(\xi, y) \sin \left( \frac{\pi m \xi}{a} \right) d\xi
\]

Inserting this in Eq. (7.1.7) we obtain an ordinary, inhomogeneous equation for \( F_m(y) \):

\[
\frac{d^2}{dy^2} F_m - \left( \frac{\pi m}{a} \right)^2 F_m = -4\pi \rho_m(y)
\]

Referring to Eq. (5.2.19) for the solution of such an equation, we note that two independent solutions of the homogeneous part are

\[
y_1 = \sinh(\pi my/a); \quad y_2 = \sinh[(\pi m/a)(b - y)]
\]

These are independent, for their Wronskian

\[
\Delta(y_1, y_2) = -\frac{\pi m}{a} \left\{ \sinh \left( \frac{\pi m y}{a} \right) \cosh \left[ \frac{\pi m}{a} (b - y) \right] \right. \\
+ \cosh \left( \frac{\pi m y}{a} \right) \sinh \left[ \frac{\pi m}{a} (b - y) \right] \left. \right\}
\]

\[
= -\frac{\pi m}{a} \sinh(\pi mb/a) \neq 0
\]

is a constant (as it must be). Inserting all this in Eq. (5.2.19) and
setting the limits of integration so that \( F_m \) is zero at \( y = 0 \) and \( y = b \), we have

\[
F_m(y) = -\frac{4a}{m \sinh(\pi mb/a)} \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{\pi my}{a} \right) \int_0^b \rho_m(\eta) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi m}{a} (b - \eta) \right) d\eta \right. \\
+ \left. \sinh \left( \frac{\pi m}{a} (b - y) \right) \right] \int_0^y \rho_m(\eta) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi my}{a} \right) d\eta = \int_0^b g_m(\eta|\rho_m(\eta) d\eta
\]

where

\[
g_m(\eta|\rho_m(\eta) = \frac{4a}{m \sinh(\pi mb/a)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\sinh(\pi my/a) \sinh[(\pi m/\alpha)(b - \eta)]; & \eta > y \\
\sinh(\pi m/a) \sinh[(\pi m/\alpha)(b - \eta)]; & \eta < y
\end{array} \right.
\]

The function \( g_m(\eta|\rho_m(\eta) \) goes to zero for either \( y \) or \( \eta \) either zero or \( b \), and it has a discontinuity in slope (see page 123) of an amount \((-4\pi)\) at \( y = \eta \).

Finally, inserting all these solutions back in Eq. (7.1.11), we have the simple form for the solution

\[
\psi(x, y) = \int_0^a d\xi \int_0^b d\eta G(x, y|\xi, \eta) \rho(\xi, \eta) \tag{7.1.12}
\]

where

\[
G(x, y|\xi, \eta) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{8 \sin \left( \frac{\pi mx}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi my}{a} \right)}{m \sinh(\pi mb/a)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\sinh(\pi my/a) \sinh[(\pi m/\alpha)(b - \eta)]; & \eta > y \\
\sinh(\pi m/a) \sinh[(\pi m/\alpha)(b - \eta)]; & \eta < y
\end{array} \right.
\]

Since this integral for \( \psi \) has the same form as the integral in Eq. (7.1.10), the \( G \) given here must equal the \( G \) given there. A simple (but tedious) process of expansion of \( g_m \) into a Fourier series in \( \eta \) will show that the two \( G \)'s are indeed identical.

This latest expression for \( G \), however, is best arranged to display the relation between the solution of an inhomogeneous equation with homogeneous boundary conditions and the solution, given in (7.1.8), of the homogeneous equation with inhomogeneous boundary conditions; for if the only charge inside the boundaries is a sheet of charge, of surface density \((1 + 4\pi \epsilon)\rho(\xi)\) a vanishingly small distance \( \epsilon \) away from the surface \( y = b \), then at \( \eta = b - \epsilon \), which is the only place where \( \rho \) differs from zero,

\[
G(x, y|\xi, b - \epsilon) \to \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{8 \pi \epsilon}{a} \sin \left( \frac{\pi mx}{a} \right) \sinh(\pi mb/a) \sinh[(\pi m/\alpha)(b - \eta)]; \quad y < b - \epsilon.
\]

Since the range \( b - \epsilon < y < b \) is to become vanishingly small, it will not enter our discussions, though we must remember that the slope of \( G \) suffers a discontinuity in this infinitesimal range, going to zero at \( y = b \). Inserting this value of \( G \) in Eq. (7.1.12) we can see that the potential
from a thin layer of charge, of surface density \((1.4\pi)\psi_0(x)\) an infinitesimal distance \(\epsilon\) from the grounded plate \(y = b\), is represented by exactly the same integral as is the potential arising when the surface \(y = b\) is given the inhomogeneous boundary condition \(\psi_0(x)\), given in (7.1.8).

**The General Solution.** We can arrive at the same equality of the two solutions by a somewhat different, and more generally useful, route. We notice that

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} G(x,y|\xi,\eta) \right]_{\nu<\eta, y=b} \rightarrow -4\pi \psi_0(x,y|\xi)
\]  

(7.1.13)

where \(G_0\) is defined in Eq. (7.1.8), so that we can rewrite Eq. (7.1.8) as follows:

\[
\psi(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S_0} \psi_0(S_0) \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0} G(x,y|x_0,y_0) \right] dS_0
\]  

(7.1.14)

where the quantity in square brackets is the gradient of \(G(x,y|x_0,y_0)\), in the \(x_0, y_0\) coordinates, normal to the boundary surface \(S_0\) (in this case \(S_0\) is \(y_0 = b\), so the gradient is the derivative with respect to \(y_0\) or \(\eta\)) with the coordinates \(x_0, y_0\) taken to be on this surface. The integration of this, times the specified boundary value \(\psi_0\), is taken over the surface (in this case the integration is over \(x_0\) or \(\xi\)).

This shorthand notation does bring out the relation between a Green's function for the inhomogeneous equation and the Green's function for inhomogeneous boundary conditions, but it also leaves several things unsaid. For instance, it neglects to point out the nature of the discontinuity in \(G\) produced by the limiting process of setting \(x_0, y_0\) on the surface \(S_0\) (since \(G\) has a discontinuity in slope at \(y = y_0 = \eta\), the gradient of \(G\) has a discontinuity in value, which discontinuity is brought directly to the surface when \(x_0, y_0\) is brought to the surface). There is no discontinuity in the resulting solution \(\psi\) within the region enclosed by the boundary surface, but there must be a discontinuity just at the boundary (as a matter of fact this discontinuity must be such that \(\psi\) is zero just beyond the surface and is \(\psi_0(S_0)\) just inside the surface, in the region enclosed by the boundary).

In future use of formula (7.1.14) we must be careful that, in obtaining the Green's function for boundary conditions from \(G(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0)\), we first let the "source point" \(x_0, y_0\) approach the surface \(S_0\) and only afterward allow the point \(x, y\) to approach the corresponding boundary surface \(S\) for the "observation point" space. Going to the limit in this order, we see, from Eqs. (7.1.13) and (7.1.8), that the limit, as \(\eta\) first approaches \(b\) and then \(y\) approaches \(\xi\), is

\[
\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} G(x,y|\xi,\eta) \rightarrow -\frac{8\pi}{a} \sum_{n} \sin \left( \frac{n\pi x}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{n\pi \xi}{a} \right) = -4\pi \delta(x - \xi)
\]
Therefore, the Green’s function for the surface (evaluated on the surface) is a delta function, corresponding to boundary conditions zero except at the point \( x = \xi \). This, of course, corresponds to our intuitive feeling as to the nature of the Green’s function.

To recapitulate: An alternative to the eigenfunction technique for solving boundary-value problems involves solving the inhomogeneous equation for homogeneous boundary conditions for a “point source” at some point \( r_0 \) inside the surface. The resulting function \( G(r|r_0) \), the Green’s function for the interior volume, is symmetric to interchange of \( r \) and \( r_0 \), has a discontinuity (in value or slope) at \( r = r_0 \), and satisfies homogeneous boundary conditions for \( r \) on the boundary surface \( S \) and for \( r_0 \) on the similar surface \( S_0 \). The solution of the general inhomogeneous equation then has the form given in Eq. (7.1.12), \( \psi(r) \) being obtained by integrating \( G \rho \) over the \( r_0 \) space inside \( S_0 \).

If the homogeneous boundary conditions satisfied by \( G \) are that \( G = 0 \) on \( S \) and \( S_0 \), then the solution for inhomogeneous boundary conditions \[ \psi = \psi(S) \text{ on } S \] has the form given in Eq. (7.1.14), where the gradient of \( G \) is in the \( r_0 \) coordinates, normal to \( S_0 \) for \( r_0 \) vanishingly close to \( S_0 \). Therefore, the point \( r_0 \) is now “on” \( S_0 \), and the integration, times \( \psi(S_0) \) is taken over the boundary surface.

If the homogeneous boundary conditions on \( G \) are Neumann conditions (normal gradient of \( G \) zero on \( S \)), then the solution for inhomogeneous conditions \[ \partial \psi/\partial n = \psi(S) \text{ on } S \] has the form

\[
\psi(x,y) = 4\pi \int_{S_0} N(S_0)G(x,y|S_0) \, dS_0 \tag{7.1.15}
\]

This will be proved later. In fact, the discussion of this section has not finished our “proof” of Eq. (7.1.14); it has just begun the investigation.

Green’s Functions and Generating Functions. Formulas (7.1.8) to (7.1.12) show that Green’s functions and eigenfunctions are closely related. Later in this chapter we shall obtain a general formula for the expansion of a Green’s function in eigenfunctions. It certainly is not difficult to see that such expansion formulas can prove to be a prolific source of generating functions for eigenfunctions [see Eq. (6.3.32)]. In the case discussed in this section, we obtain a generating function by integrating \( G_0(x,y|\xi) \), defined in Eq. (7.1.8), over \( \xi \) from zero to \( a \). Only the terms for \( n \) an odd integer give nonzero integrals, so that, by expanding the hyperbolic sine into its constituent exponentials, we have

\[
\int_{0}^{a} G_0(x,y|\xi) \, d\xi = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh[(2n + 1)(\pi y/a)]}{\sinh[(2n + 1)(\pi x/a)]} \frac{\sin[(2n + 1)(\pi x/a)]}{(2n + 1)} \\
= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma^{2n+1} \frac{\sin[(2n + 1)(\pi x/a)]}{2n + 1} \sinh[(2n + 1)(\pi b/a)], \quad \gamma = e^{\pi b/a} \tag{7.1.16}
\]
This relationship is not particularly useful, since $G_b$ is not given in closed form. It is easy to see, however, that, when it is possible to obtain a closed form for $G$, we can then produce generating functions for the related eigenfunctions.

### 7.2 Green’s Functions for Steady Wares

Before we deal with more complicated cases, it is advisable to simplify our notation by reverting to vector symbolism. The point $x, y, z$ in three dimensions is represented by the vector $\mathbf{r} = x \mathbf{i} + y \mathbf{j} + z \mathbf{k}$. The Green’s function $G(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{r}_0)$ depends on the position of two points: the observation point, where the field is measured, represented by $\mathbf{r}$ and given in terms of the observational coordinates $x, y, z$, and the source point, where the unit source is placed, represented by $\mathbf{r}_0$ and given in terms of the source coordinates $x_0, y_0, z_0$. The boundary surface, in the observational coordinates, is labeled $S$, in the source coordinates $S_0$. A Green’s function with observational point at $\mathbf{r}$ and with source on the boundary surface is labeled $G(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{r}_0)$. The element of volume in observer’s space is labeled $dv (= dx \, dy \, dz)$, and the axial vector representing an element of boundary surface is $dA$ for the observational coordinates, $dA_0$ for the source coordinates. These vectors both point outward, away from the volume inside the boundary.

The element of normal gradient of $\mathbf{G}$ at the surface, in the source coordinates, would then be written $\nabla_0 \cdot [G(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{r}_0)] \cdot dA_0$, where the zero subscript after grad indicates that the derivative is to be taken with respect to $x_0, y_0, z_0$. The delta function for three dimensions can be written $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0)$; it has the integral properties

$$\int \int \int \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \, dv = F(\mathbf{r}_0) \tag{7.2.1}$$

Finally the Laplacian in the source coordinates is $\nabla_0^2$, having a zero subscript, whereas in observer’s space it is simply $\nabla^2$.

**Green’s Theorem**. In order to obtain a fairly rigorous derivation of the properties of the Green’s function, we shall find it advantageous to use a variant of Gauss’ theorem. For any closed surface $S$, Gauss’ theorem given in Eq. (1.4.7), states that the normal outflow integral over the surface $S$ of any “reasonable” vector is equal to the integral of the divergence of this vector over the whole volume inside the surface $S$. By “reasonable,” we mean that the vector should not have discontinuities in value or slope at $S$ and that its divergence is integrable.

We next consider two “reasonable” scalar functions of position $\mathbf{r}$, $U(\mathbf{r})$ and $V(\mathbf{r})$. A vector may be constructed from them by using the gradient, $\nabla \, \text{grad} \, V$. Gauss’ theorem states that the normal outflow integral of this vector, over $S$, equals the integral of the divergence of
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\[ U \, \text{grad} \, V \text{ over the volume inside } S. \] Since

\[ \text{div}(U \, \text{grad} \, V) = (\text{grad} \, U) \cdot (\text{grad} \, V) + U \, \nabla^2 V \]

we have, in our agreed-upon notation (with \( dA \) pointing away from the enclosed region),

\[ \mathcal{S} \, (U \, \text{grad} \, V) \cdot dA = \iiint (\text{grad} \, U) \cdot \text{grad} \, V \, dv + \iiint U \, \nabla^2 V \, dv \]

But we can also obtain a similar equation for the other vector \( V \, \text{grad} \, U \).

Subtracting one from the other we obtain

\[ \mathcal{S} [U \, \text{grad} \, V - V \, \text{grad} \, U] \cdot dA = \iiint \left(U \, \nabla^2 V - V \, \nabla^2 U\right) \, dv \quad (7.2.2) \]

where the surface integral is of the outward normal component of the vector in brackets over the closed surface \( S \) and the volume integral is of the scalar quantity in brackets over the whole volume inside \( S \). The boundary surface may be finite in extent or infinite. For instance, it may be the surface of a finite sphere, with the interior of the sphere being the volume integrated over and the direction of \( dA \) pointing away from the center of the sphere. Or it may be the surface of this finite sphere, plus the infinite sphere, with the "inside" being the infinite volume between these spheres, the \( dA \) on the finite sphere pointing toward its center (away from the "inside"), and the \( dA \) on the infinite sphere pointing outward. Other special examples can be worked out from the same principles.

This relation between surface and volume integrals is called Green's theorem. As we have seen, it is a special case of Gauss' theorem. Its use in deriving the properties of the Green's function will shortly be apparent.

Green's Function for the Helmholtz Equation. For a beginning, let us study the Green's function for the Helmholtz operator

\[ \nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0 \quad (7.2.3) \]

for some boundary conditions on a closed surface \( S \). According to our hasty preview in the first section we should now prove the following properties of the Green's function.

1. The Green's function will be a symmetric function of two sets of coordinates, those of the observation point and those of the source point:

\[ G_s(r | r_0) = G_s(r_0 | r); \quad \text{reciprocity relation} \quad (7.2.4) \]

This function will satisfy some homogeneous boundary conditions on both \( S \) and \( S_0 \) and will have a discontinuity (of a sort to be determined) at \( r = r_0 \).

2. Employing this function, it is possible to obtain a solution of the inhomogeneous equation with the given homogeneous boundary conditions or else the solution of the homogeneous equation with inhomogene-
3. The solutions for inhomogeneous boundary conditions will have a discontinuity at the boundary. For instance, if \( \psi \) is specified on the surface (Dirichlet conditions), the solution will have the specified value \( \psi \) just inside the boundary and will be zero just outside. For Neumann conditions, where normal gradient is specified, the discontinuity is in the gradient.

The required Green's function is the solution of the inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation

\[
\nabla^2 G_\delta(r|\mathbf{r}_0) + k^2 G_\delta(r|\mathbf{r}_0) = -4\pi \delta(r - \mathbf{r}_0) \tag{7.2.5}
\]

for a unit point source at \( \mathbf{r}_0 \), which satisfies homogeneous boundary conditions (either zero value or zero normal gradient of \( G \)) on the boundary surface \( S \) (and also, in the source coordinates, on \( S_0 \)). The delta function is defined in Eq. (7.2.1) and is symmetric with respect to rotation about \( \mathbf{r}_0 \). More complex sources, such as dipoles, etc., may be handled by superposition of solutions for this simple source. Incidentally, \( \nabla^2 \) in (7.2.5) operates on the observational coordinates.

We now wish to show that the inhomogeneous equation

\[
\nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = -4\pi \rho(r) \tag{7.2.6}
\]

subject to arbitrary Dirichlet (or Neumann) conditions on the closed boundary surface \( S \) may be expressed in terms of \( G \). To do this we multiply Eq. (7.2.5) by \( \psi \) and Eq. (7.2.6) by \( G \) and subtract, exchanging \( r \) for \( \mathbf{r}_0 \) at the same time:

\[
G_\delta(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{r}_0) - \psi(\mathbf{r}_0) \nabla^2 G_\delta(r|\mathbf{r}_0) = 4\pi [\psi(\mathbf{r}_0) \delta(r - \mathbf{r}_0) - G_\delta(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \rho(\mathbf{r}_0)]
\]

Integrating this over all source coordinates, \( x_0, y_0, z_0 \) inside \( S_0 \), we obtain, because of the properties of the delta function and Eq. (7.2.4),

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} \iiint [G_\delta(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{r}_0) - \psi(\mathbf{r}_0) \nabla^2 G_\delta(r|\mathbf{r}_0)] \, d\mathbf{v}_0
\]

\[
+ \iiint \rho(\mathbf{r}_0) G_\delta(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \, d\mathbf{v}_0 = \begin{cases} \psi(r); & \text{if } r \text{ is inside } S \\ 0; & \text{if } r \text{ is outside } S \end{cases}
\]

It will be of interest to indicate what value the right-hand side has when the observation point is exactly on \( S \). This is to some extent a matter of convention, though once we decide on the convention, we must be consistent about it. At any rate, unless we state otherwise, we shall in the future assume that this discontinuous function is \( \psi(r) \) within and on \( S \) and is zero outside \( S \).
We now use Green's theorem (7.2.2) to simplify the left-hand side. According to our convention we measure the gradient pointing outward from the surface (or, if you like, the surface element $dA$ points away from the interior of the volume, where the field is to be evaluated), so that the surface integrals are the usual normal outflow integrals. The use of Eq. (7.2.2) gives

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \iint \{G_0(r|\tau_0^2) \text{ grad} \psi(\tau_0^2) - \psi(\tau_0^2) \text{ grad} \psi_0(\tau_0^2) \} \cdot dA_0$$

$$+ \iiint \rho(\tau_0)G_0(\tau|\tau_0) \, dv_0 = \begin{cases} \psi(r); & \text{r within and on } S \\ 0; & \text{r outside } S \end{cases}$$

(7.2.7)

from which we can obtain our solutions for either inhomogeneous equation or inhomogeneous boundary condition.

**Solution of the Inhomogeneous Equation.** For instance, for the inhomogeneous equation ($\rho \neq 0$), if the boundary condition is homogeneous Dirichlet ($\psi = 0$ at $S$), we choose $G$ also to be zero at both $S$ and $S_0$. Then the surface integral over $S_0$ is zero and

$$\psi(r) = \iiint \rho(\tau_0)G_0(\tau|\tau_0) \, dv_0$$

(7.2.8)

for $r$ inside or on $S$. This function automatically satisfies the homogeneous boundary conditions ($\psi = 0$ at $S$) and is a solution of Eq. (7.2.6).

If the boundary conditions are homogeneous Neumann (normal gradient of $\psi$ zero on $S$), then we adjust $G_0$ so that it satisfies the same conditions for both sets of coordinates, observational and source. Then again the surface integral is zero and Eq. (7.2.8) holds. Therefore (7.2.8) is the solution of the inhomogeneous equation (7.2.6) for homogeneous boundary conditions when $G_0$ satisfies the same conditions as does $\psi$.

As a matter of fact, this equation still holds if the boundary conditions are that $\psi$ on $S$ equals a function $f(\tau_0^2)$ times the normal gradient on $S$ as long as $G_0$ satisfies those same conditions. The most general homogeneous boundary conditions are

$$\psi(r) = f(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \psi; \quad G_0(\tau|\tau_0) - f(\tau_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G_0; \quad G_0(\tau|\tau_0) - f(\tau_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G_0$$

When $f = 0$, the conditions are homogeneous Dirichlet; when $1/f = 0$, they are homogeneous Neumann. No matter what the values of $f$, the surface integral of Eq. (7.2.7) will vanish and leave us Eq. (7.2.8) for the solution. Of course, not all conditions are allowed in physical problems. For instance, if there is a net charge inside $S$, we could not expect to have the normal gradient of $\psi$ be zero all over the boundary, so we would not expect $\partial G/\partial n$ to be zero on the boundary for the Laplace equation solution. Consideration of the physics involved usually indicates what is possible.
For inhomogeneous boundary conditions, if they are to be Dirichlet conditions \( \psi = \psi_0(r_e) \) on \( S_e \), we make \( G_k \) zero at \( S \) and also at \( S_e \). Then we obtain the solution we already discussed on page 801,

\[
\psi(r) = -(1/4\pi) \int S \psi_0(r_e) [\text{grad}_e G_k(r|r_e)] \cdot dA_e
\]  

(7.2.9)

for \( r \) inside and on \( S \), for inhomogeneous Dirichlet conditions on \( \psi \), if \( G_k \) is zero on \( S \) and \( S_e \). We note again that the vector \( dA_e \) points outward, away from the inside region where the field is measured, so that the gradient integrated is the outward normal gradient. Here we obtain our solution in terms of an integration over the boundary surface only; the inhomogeneous boundary conditions are satisfied by putting a layer of charge on the surface. For Dirichlet conditions this is a doublet layer, as evidenced by the use of \( \text{grad} G \).

If \( \psi \) is to satisfy the inhomogeneous Neumann boundary conditions that the normal outward gradient of \( \psi \) on \( S \) be equal to \( N(r) \), then we take the normal gradient to be zero for \( G_k \) on \( S \) and obtain the solution

\[
\psi(r) = +(1/4\pi) \int S G_k(r|r_e) N(r_e) \cdot dA_e
\]

(7.2.10)

when \( r \) is within or on \( S \), where \( N \) is the specified vector gradient on \( S \) and the normal gradient of \( G \) is zero at \( S \) and \( S_e \). In this case the surface charge to force the normal gradient to be \( N \) is just \( N/4\pi \), a single charge layer, not a doublet layer.

Finally, if the boundary conditions are the general inhomogeneous form

\[
(\delta/\delta n_0)\psi + f(r_e)\psi = F_0(r_e)
\]

(7.2.11)

then we require that \( G \) satisfy the corresponding homogeneous form

\[
(\delta/\delta n_0)G_k + f(r_e)G_k = 0
\]

where both normal gradients are outward and the solution for the homogeneous equation with requirement (7.2.11) is

\[
\psi(r) = \begin{cases} 
+(1/4\pi) \int S G_k(r|r_e) F_0(r_e) \cdot dA_e \\
- \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{F_0(r_e)}{f(r_e)} \text{grad}_e [G_k(r|r_e)] \cdot dA_e
\end{cases}
\]

(7.2.12)

Either form of the surface integral may be used. The first is more useful if \( f \) is small or zero (Neumann conditions); the second when \( 1/f \) is small or zero (Dirichlet conditions) but \( F/f \) is bounded.

The solutions given in Eqs. (7.2.9), (7.2.10), and (7.2.12) are for the homogeneous equation \( (\rho = 0 \text{ inside the boundary}) \). If we wish to solve the inhomogeneous equation (7.2.6) for inhomogeneous boundary conditions, we add a volume integral of the boundary of Eq. (7.2.8) to the appropriate surface integral, with the \( G \) in the volume integral satisfying the same homogeneous boundary conditions as the \( G \) in the surface integral.
General Properties of the Green's Function. We have now proved in a fairly rigorous manner most of the statements made in Sec. 7.1 and on page 802. The boundary conditions are satisfied by putting on the surface a distribution of dipoles (for Dirichlet conditions) or a simple charge distribution (for Neumann conditions) of an amount proportional to the required value or normal gradient of \( \psi \) times the normal gradient or value of the appropriate Green's function \( G \). We also note the discontinuity in the solution, the integral giving \( \psi \) on and inside \( S \), but being zero outside \( S \).

We have not as yet proved directly that \( G \) is a symmetric function of \( r \) and \( r_0 \), as stated in Eq. (7.2.4). We have that, as a function of \( r \), \( G \) satisfies Eq. (7.2.5) and that, for a source at \( r_1 \), it satisfies

\[
\nabla^2 G_k(r|\mathbf{r}_1) + k^2 G_k(r|\mathbf{r}_1) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_1)
\]

Multiplying (7.2.5) by \( G(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \) and this new equation by \( G(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \), subtracting, and using Green's theorem (7.2.2), we have

\[
- \oint [G(r|\mathbf{r}_1) \nabla G(r|\mathbf{r}_0) - G(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \nabla G(r|\mathbf{r}_1)] \cdot d\mathbf{A} = 4\pi \int [G(r|\mathbf{r}_1) \delta(r - \mathbf{r}_0) - G(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \delta(r - \mathbf{r}_1)] d\mathbf{v} = 4\pi [G(r_0|\mathbf{r}_1) - G(r_1|\mathbf{r}_0)]
\]

Since both \( G \)'s satisfy the same homogeneous boundary conditions, the surface integral vanishes and therefore we have the reciprocity condition \( G(r_0|\mathbf{r}_1) = G(r_1|\mathbf{r}_0) \) as long as both \( \mathbf{r}_0 \) and \( \mathbf{r}_1 \) are inside or on the surface.

But still more important, it remains to investigate the behavior of \( G(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \) for the observation point near the source point, where the magnitude of \( R = r - r_0 \) is small compared with the distance of either from the nearest point on the boundary surface. From the nature of Eq. (7.2.5) we see that, when \( R = \sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2 + (z-z_0)^2} \) is small compared with the distance to \( S \) or \( S_0 \), the function \( G \) is just a function of \( R \). In other words, the source is completely symmetrical, so that \( G \) cannot depend on the direction of \( R \), only on its magnitude.

We have also noted earlier that we should expect that \( G \) would have a singularity at \( R = 0 \).

To restate this in more mathematical language, we should expect that \( G(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \) could be separated into two parts: a part which is everywhere regular and continuous inside \( S \) and which depends on the boundary conditions imposed on \( G \) at \( S \) and a part which is regular and continuous inside \( S \) except at \( r = \mathbf{r}_0 \), which is a function of \( R \) alone, having a singularity at \( R = 0 \). This last part we can call \( g_k(R) \). Therefore we can say that, if observation point and/or source point are not infinitesimally close to \( S \),

\[
G_k(r|\mathbf{r}_0) \rightarrow g_k(R); \quad R = r - r_0 \quad (7.2.13)
\]

To find the behavior of \( g_k \) for \( R \) small, we integrate both sides of Eq. (7.2.5) over a small sphere of radius \( \varepsilon \) about \( \mathbf{r}_0 \) (the integration is in
observer's space, \(x, y, z\). This gives us
\[
\iint \nabla^2 G_k(r, r_0) \, dv + k^2 \iiint G_k(r, r_0) \, dv = -4\pi
\]
The integral on the right-hand side equals \(-4\pi\) because of the properties of the delta function and because the sphere integrated over includes the point \(r = r_0\).

We now make \(\epsilon\) small enough so that Eq. (7.2.13) holds and substitute \(g_\epsilon\) for \(G_k\) in the remaining integrals. Moreover we presume that the Laplacian of a singularity is more "singular" than the singularity itself, so that, in the limit, the first integral predominates. We therefore obtain
\[
\iiint \nabla^2 g_\epsilon(R) \, dv \to -4\pi \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0
\]
where the coordinates for integration over the spherical volume are \(R, \theta, \phi\) and the volume element is \(dv = R^2 \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi\).

Using Gauss' theorem (1.2.1) we have that the net outflow of \(\nabla\) over the surface of a sphere about \(r_0\) of radius \(\epsilon\) is equal to \(-4\pi\):
\[
\oint \nabla g_\epsilon \cdot d\mathbf{A} \to -4\pi
\]
Since \(g_\epsilon\) depends only on \(R\), the radial coordinate of the little sphere, \(\nabla g_\epsilon\) is everywhere in the radial direction, parallel to \(d\mathbf{A}\), and its magnitude is the same everywhere on the surface. Therefore the surface integral is equal, in the limit, to \(dg/dR\), at \(R = \epsilon\), times the area of the sphere, \(4\pi\epsilon^2\), and we arrive at the formula
\[
[(dg/dR)_{R=\epsilon}(4\pi\epsilon^2) \to -4\pi; \quad \epsilon \to 0
\]
or, what is the same thing,
\[
(d/dR)g_\epsilon(R) \to (1/R^2); \quad (R \to 0)
\]
Thus finally, we obtain \(g_\epsilon(R) \to (1/R)\), or
\[
G_k(r, r_0) \to (1/R) \quad \text{as} \quad R = |r - r_0| \to 0 \quad (7.2.14)
\]
when neither \(r\) nor \(r_0\) is very close to \(S\) or \(S_\epsilon\). Having obtained this, it is not hard to see that we were correct in discarding the volume integral of \(G\) above.

Thus we can say that, viewed as a function of the coordinates \((x, y, z)\) of the observation point, the Green's function is a regular continuous solution of the homogeneous equation \(\nabla^2 G + k^2 G = 0\), inside \(S\), except at the point \(r = r_0\), where it has a singularity as specified in Eq. (7.2.14). This singularity is a consequence of the presence of the unit point source, Eq. (7.2.5) being inhomogeneous only at this point. We note that the limiting form \(1/R\) is independent of \(k\), so that the result holds also for the Laplace equation.

Equation (7.2.14) holds for three dimensions. A similar discussion can be carried through for the two-dimensional case, in which it can be
shown that for two dimensions

\[ G(r|x_0) \rightarrow -2 \ln R; \quad R \rightarrow 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.2.15)

For the one-dimensional case, Eq. (7.2.5) becomes

\[ \left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) G + k^2 G = -4\pi \delta(x - x_0) \]

As indicated on page 800, we have here a discontinuity in slope, so that, when we integrate over \( x \), from \( x_0 - \epsilon \) to \( x_0 + \epsilon \), the integral of \( k^2 G \) goes to zero whereas the integral of the second derivative

\[ \int_{x_0 - \epsilon}^{x_0 + \epsilon} \left( \frac{dG}{dx^2} \right) dx = \left[ \frac{dG}{dx} \right]_{x_0 - \epsilon}^{x_0 + \epsilon} \rightarrow -4\pi; \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.2.16)

Therefore, for one dimension, the Green's function \( G \) has a discontinuity in slope equal to \(-4\pi \) at \( x = x_0 \).

Referring back to pages 123 and 206, we see that the Green's functions we mentioned earlier, for the Helmholtz equation, satisfied the requirements listed in Eq. (7.2.14), (7.2.15), or (7.2.16), depending on the number of dimensions involved. For instance, subsequent to Eq. (2.1.10), we showed that the Green's function for the string is \((2\pi/k)e^{i|x-x_0|}\), which satisfies Eq. (7.2.16). Likewise we know that the Green's function for a point source in three dimensions is \(e^{ikr}/R\).

**The Effect of Boundary Conditions.** Next we inquire how the boundary conditions affect the Green's function, so that we can see how to build up a usable function for each case of interest. Presumably the simplest case is one where the boundary is at infinity, for here its effects should be least. For three dimensions we wish a solution of \((\nabla^2 + k^2)G = 0\), except for \(r = r_0\), which goes to zero (and/or its slope is zero) at \(R \rightarrow \infty\) and which has a singularity \(1/R\) as \(R \rightarrow 0\). Two such solutions are available (plus any linear combination of the two):

\[ e^{ikr}/R, \ e^{-ikr}/R, \ \cos(kR)/R, \ etc.; \quad R = |r - r_0| \]

Obviously, even for a boundary at infinity, the boundary conditions must choose between these.

To make the choice we go back to the original, time-dependent solution of the wave equation, \(\nabla^2 \psi = (1/c^2)(\partial^2 \psi/\partial t^2)\). We obtained the Helmholtz equation by specifying a simple-harmonic dependence on time of the solution of the wave equation. On pages 125 and 754 we set this solution equal to \(\psi e^{-i\omega t} = \tilde{\psi} e^{-i\omega t}\), whence \(\psi\) is a solution of the Helmholtz equation. Consequently if \(G\) is to be used for a simple-harmonic solution of the wave equation, the complete solution is \(G e^{-i\omega t}\). Hence, if we wish to have waves go outward from the source point, we use

\[ G_h(r|x_0) = g_h(R) = e^{ikr}/R; \quad \text{boundary at infinity} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.2.17)
for then the complete solution is \((1/R)e^{k(R-r)}\), representing an outgoing wave. On the rare chance that we wish incoming waves, we should choose the \(e^{-ikR}/R\) function. For boundaries everywhere a finite distance from \(r_0\), the energy might not be completely dissipated at the surface. Some might be reflected directly back to the source, and we might have to use a combination of outgoing and incoming waves. In most cases, however, we use the outgoing wave solution given in Eq. (7.2.17).

Solutions of the Helmholtz equation are sometimes involved in solutions of the diffusion equation \(\nabla^2 \Psi = (1/c^2)(\partial \Psi / \partial t)\). Here, to obtain a diffusion outward of the material measured by \(\Psi\), we set \(\Psi = \psi e^{-ik_0t}\), whence \(\psi\) is again a solution of \(\Delta \psi = k_0^2 \psi = 0\). We usually wish \(\psi\) to be real everywhere; hence

\[ G_0(r|x_0) = \frac{\cos(kR)}{R} \]

so that a possible Green's function for the diffusion equation is

\[(1/R) \cos(kR)e^{-ik_0t}\]

suitable for outgoing diffusion, when the boundary is at infinity and when the diffusion "source" has an exponentially decaying dependence on time. Actually, as will be shown later, a quite different solution of the diffusion equation, suitable for much more "normal" sorts of "sources," is usually used for a Green's function for the diffusion equation.

In the case of two dimensions, the solutions of the Helmholtz equation symmetric about \(R = 0\) are solutions of the equation

\[ \frac{1}{R} \frac{d}{dR} \left( R \frac{d}{dR} \right) \Psi + k^2 \Psi = 0 \]

which is the equation for the Bessel functions of order zero [see Eq. (5.3.63)]. We need solutions which have a singularity at \(R = 0\); in fact we desire the singularity given in Eq. (7.2.15). The possible solutions are the Hankel functions [see Eq. (5.3.69)], in particular

\[ G_0(r|x_0) = \psi_b(R) = i\pi H_\nu^{(1)}(kR) \rightarrow -2 \ln(kR); \quad R \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \psi_b(R) \rightarrow -\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{ikR}} e^{ikR+i\nu\pi}; \quad R \rightarrow \infty \quad (7.2.18) \]
is the correct Green's function for two dimensions for boundaries at infinity for outgoing waves. If incoming waves are desired, the second Hankel function is used, or if a real function be required (as for special use for the diffusion equation), one can use \(\pi\) times the Neumann function \(N_\nu(kR)\) [see Eq. (5.3.75)].

Finally, for one dimension the Green's function for outgoing waves, extending to infinity in both directions, has already been given in Eq. (7.2.16). It is

\[ G_0(x|x_0) = \psi_b(R) = \frac{2n_i}{k} e^{ik|x-x_0|} \quad (7.2.19) \]
Method of Images. We now turn to the problem of obtaining Green’s functions for bounded domains. One method, the method of images, makes heavy use of the function $g_0(R)$ which has been obtained above; we consider it first. What is the physical effect of introducing boundaries? Without boundaries, $g_0(R)$ is the appropriate Green’s function. When a surface is introduced, in electrostatics, a potential is developed which is due to the induced charge on the bounding surface, which in turn is caused by the applied electric field $g_0(R)$. In acoustics, the effect of the boundary is to cause reflections which then must be added to the wave developed by the source to give the total pressure. We may therefore expect that

$$G_R(r|r_0) = g_0(R) + F_R(r|r_0) \quad (7.2.20)$$

where $F_R(r|r_0)$ represents the boundary effects. $F_R(r|r_0)$ cannot have a singularity within the region, so that, as $r \to r_0$, $G_R(r|r_0) \to g_0(R)$. The method of images, since it describes the reflected wave in acoustics or the induced charge in electrostatics, may be employed to determine $F_R(r|r_0)$.

Consider the simple case illustrated in Figure 7.3. A unit line charge is placed at $(x_0, y_0)$ in front of an infinitely conducting metal plane. The potential on the surface of the plane at $x = 0$ must be zero, so the Green’s function must satisfy Dirichlet boundary conditions. In the method of images, a line charge of opposite sign is introduced at the image point $(-x_0, y_0)$ as illustrated. The total potential for $x > 0$ is then

$$G_R(r|r_0) = -2 \ln(R/R_0); \quad x \geq 0 \quad (7.2.21)$$

On the equipotential plane $R = R_0$ and $G_0 = 0$, so that the boundary conditions are satisfied. Since $g_0(R) = -2 \ln R$, we see that $F_0 = 2 \ln R_0$. The only singularity exhibited in the region of physical interest ($x > 0$) occurs at the position of the charge, $R = 0$. The other singularity pres-
ent occurs at \( R_0 - 0 (x < 0) \), outside the region where the expression (7.2.21) no longer applies.

It is easy to generalize (7.2.21) so that \( G_k \) may be found as well. Introducing the image as before, we find

\[
G_k(r|x_0) = \pi i[H_\nu^0(kR) - H_\nu^0(kR_0)]
\]  

(7.2.22)

The reflection of the incident waves by the mirror, in this case requiring \( G \) to be zero, is given by \( H_\nu^0(kR_0) \). (From here on, in this section, we are going to omit the superscript (1), since we will always use the Hankel function of the first kind.)

If, on the other hand, the surface were rigid or if, in the Laplace case, it was desired to solve problems involving known charge distributions rather than potentials, the appropriate boundary conditions should be Neumann, \( \partial \psi / \partial n = 0 \). The method of images may be applied also here, the charge or source at the image is taken to be of the same sign (or phase) so that

\[
G_k(r|x_0) = -2 \ln(RR_0); \quad G_k(r|x_0) = \pi i[H_\nu(kR) + H_\nu(kR_0)]
\]

The boundary conditions are satisfied, as may be verified by evaluating the derivative with respect to \( x \) at \( x = 0 \).

As an illustration let us compute the effect of having a potential \( f(y) \) on the plane, rather than zero potential. Then from Eq. (7.2.9) we have

\[
\psi(x) = -(1/4\pi) \int \psi(r_0)(\partial G_\nu / \partial r_0) dS_0 = +(1/4\pi) \int \int f(y_0)(\partial G_\nu / \partial x_0)_{x=a} dy_0 dS_0
\]

Introducing Green’s function (7.2.21) and evaluating the derivative, we find

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{x}{\pi} \int \frac{f(y)}{x^2 + (y-y')^2} dy'
\]  

(7.2.23)

We have seen this formula before. It was derived by means of complex variable theory in Chap. 4 [Eq. (4.2.13)], the only requirement being that \( \psi \) satisfy the Laplace equation. It is of interest to check directly that the solution satisfies the boundary conditions.

As mentioned on page 501 this should yield a representation of the \( \delta \) function. For this purpose let us consider the function

\[
\Delta(x,\eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \frac{x}{x^2 + \eta^2} \right]
\]

We note that \( \int \Delta(x,\eta) d\eta = 1 \). To investigate its properties as \( x \rightarrow 0 \), \( \eta \rightarrow 0 \) rewrite \( \Delta(x,\eta) = (1/\pi x)[1 + (\eta/x)^2]^{-1} \). If we set \( \eta = 0 \); then as \( x \rightarrow 0 \), \( \Delta(x,0)(=1/\pi x) \) increases strongly. On the other hand, for \( \eta \neq 0 \), as \( x \rightarrow 0 \), \( \Delta(x,\eta)(=\eta/\pi x\eta^2) \) goes to zero. We see that \( \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} [\Delta(x,\eta)] \) is a
function whose integral is always unity, whose value at \( n = 0 \) increases toward infinity in the limit \( x \to 0 \), and whose value, for \( n \neq 0 \), goes to zero. Therefore

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} [\Delta(x,n)] = \delta(n)
\]

Hence in (7.2.23)

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} [\psi(x)] = \int \delta(y - y')f(y') \, dy' = f(y)
\]
as it should. The function \( \Delta(x,y) \) is particularly useful for the Laplace equation problem because it is a solution of the Laplace equation, being the real part of the analytic function \( 1/\pi z = 1/\pi(x + iy) \).

The image method may be applied to other geometries. For example, if the bounding surface is a circle, the image method may again be applied. The application of the Green’s function theory yields the Poisson integral formula (4.2.24). Further details will be relegated to the problems and to Chap. 10, for the image method for a circle will operate for only the Laplace equation.

Certain other symmetrically shaped boundaries permit the use of the image method. Generally speaking, however, these lead to infinite series with their usual limitations of usefulness. As an example consider the arrangement illustrated in Fig. 7.4 where a source is placed between two infinite planes \( x = 0 \), \( x = h \) upon which the Green’s function is required to satisfy the Neumann boundary conditions \( \partial \phi/\partial n = 0 \).

**Series of Images** We apply the image method in a stepwise fashion, by disregarding each boundary \( x = 0 \) and \( x = h \) in turn. Disregarding the \( x = h \) boundary, we introduce an image at \( x = -x_0 \), and disregarding \( x = 0 \), we introduce an image at \( x = 2h - x_0 \). These are labeled 1 and 2 in Fig. 7.5. However, although 1 and 0 together give rise to potentials which satisfy the Neumann conditions at \( x = 0 \), it is necessary to add the effect of source 2, which, of course, does not satisfy \( \partial \phi/\partial n = 0 \) at \( x = 0 \). To offset the effect of 2, an image (3) of 2 with respect to \( x = 0 \) is now introduced at \( x = 2h - x_0 \). Similarly to offset the effect of 1 at \( x = h \) a source 4 is introduced at \( x = (2h + x_0) \). But now it becomes necessary to offset the effect of 3 at \( x = h \); it is necessary to add an image (6) at \( (3h - x_0, y_0) \). The process is continued indefinitely, leading to an infinite number of images. This should occasion no surprise, since there are an infinite number of reflections for any ray started at the source. Each image corresponds to one of these reflections.
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Let us now write down the consequent Green's function. The sources are located at \((x_0 + 2nh, y_0)\) and at \((2mh - x_0, y_0)\), \(m, n\) integers. Therefore

\[
G_k = \pi i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ H_0(k \sqrt{(x - x_0 - 2nh)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}) 
+ H_0(k \sqrt{(x + x_0 - 2nh)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}) \right\}
\]

(where we mean the Hankel function of the first kind, though we have not bothered to write out the superscript). Writing this more succinctly

\[
G_k = \pi i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ H_0(k|x - r'_n|) + H_0(k|x - r''_n|) \right] \tag{7.2.24}
\]

where \(r'_n = a_x(2nh + x_0) + a_y y_0\); \(r''_n = a_x(2nh - x_0) + a_y y_0\)

Series (7.2.24) is useful when only the direct source and perhaps the first few reflections are important. This will occur whenever the observation point \(r\) is very close to the source point, that is \(r \to r_0\), because of the singularity of \(H_0(k|r - r'_n|)\). The remaining reflections form a background correction to the direct effect of the source. Unfortunately series (7.2.24) does not converge very rapidly, so computing the correction is a fairly tedious matter. To compute its order of magnitude let us examine the behavior of the individual terms as \(|n| \to \infty\). Then

\[
|r - r'_n| \xrightarrow{|n| \to \infty} 2|n|h - (x - x_0); \quad |r - r''_n| \xrightarrow{|n| \to \infty} 2|n|h - (x + x_0) \tag{7.2.25}
\]

Note that these approximations are valid only if

\[
2|n|h \gg \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2}.
\]

Clearly this asymptotic form is achieved more quickly, i.e., for smaller values of \(n\), the closer the observation point to the source. For large values of \(2nkh\) the Hankel functions may be replaced by their asymptotic form, so that

\[
Fig. 7.5 Sequence of images of source in Fig. 7.4.
\]
\[ H_0(k|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n|) + H_0(k|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n^*|) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{4}{i\pi k|\mathbf{n}|}} \left[ e^{ik(|\mathbf{n}|\hbar - \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)} + e^{ik(|\mathbf{n}|\hbar + \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)} \right] = \sqrt{\frac{4}{i\pi k|\mathbf{n}|\hbar}} e^{ik(\mathbf{n}\hbar - \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)} \cos(kx_0) \]

The higher terms in the series for \( G_k \), therefore, approach the series

\[ \Sigma = \frac{4}{\sqrt{i\pi k\hbar}} e^{-ik\mathbf{x}} \cos(kx_0) \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2ik\mathbf{n}\hbar}}{\sqrt{n}} \]

where \( N \) is chosen sufficiently large to ensure the validity of the approximations made so far. We estimate the infinite sum by replacing it by the corresponding integral

\[ \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2ik\mathbf{n}\hbar}}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2ik\mathbf{v}\hbar}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{v}}} dv \rightarrow \frac{e^{2ik\mathbf{N}\hbar}}{\sqrt{2k\hbar \sqrt{N}}} \]

The integral may also be evaluated exactly in terms of the Fresnel integrals. If

\[ C(u) = \int_{0}^{u} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} t^2 \right) dt; \quad S(u) = \int_{0}^{u} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} t^2 \right) dt \]

then

\[ \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2ik\mathbf{v}\hbar}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{v}}} dv = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4\hbar N}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - C \left( \frac{\sqrt{4k\hbar N}}{\pi} \right) + \frac{i}{2} - iS \left( \frac{\sqrt{4k\hbar N}}{\pi} \right) \right] \]

Employing the simpler expression (7.2.27), \( \Sigma \) becomes

\[ \Sigma \sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{k^2\hbar^2\pi N}} e^{-1i\mathbf{v}} e^{2ik\hbar\sqrt{N}-\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \cos(kx_0) \]

We thus see that, when \( k\hbar \) is much larger than unity, the whole of series (7.2.24) can be expressed in terms of the simple expression (7.2.29) with \( N = 1 \). For the wave equation, \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \) where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength, so that the simple expression may be used for the whole sum when \( \lambda \) is much smaller than \( \hbar \), the spacing between the plates. The only term not included in \( \Sigma \) is then the one for \( n = 0 \), the direct effect of the source on the observer. To repeat, when \( \hbar \gg \lambda \) and when \( |x - x_0| \ll \hbar \), then the value of \( \psi \) at the observation point \((x,y)\) equals the direct term \( \pi i H_0(k|x - x_0|) \) plus a small correction proportional to \( \Sigma \).

**Other Expansions.** In the event that either or both of these conditions are not satisfied, more drastic treatment must be applied. If \( \mathbf{r} \) is at some distance from the source and \( k\hbar \) is neither large nor small, the image expansion may be rearranged to obtain a more convergent
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series. This may be performed with the aid of the Poisson sum formula [Eq. (4.8.28)];

\[
\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(2\pi n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\tau) e^{i\tau r} \, d\tau
\]

where

\[
F(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau) e^{i\tau r} \, d\tau
\]  

(7.2.30)

To apply the Poisson sum formula to this problem, the Fourier transform of \( H_0(k|r - r_0|) \) is required. We shall show later on in this chapter (see page 823) that

\[
H_0(k|r - r_0|) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_y \left[ \frac{e^{iK_y(y - y_0)}}{k^2 - K_y^2} \right]
\]  

(7.2.31)

The integral is not completely defined without specifying the manner in which the pole at \( K = k \) is to be circumvented. This will be done in the course of the calculation.

We must now evaluate

\[
I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\tau r} \left[ H_0 \left[ k \sqrt{\left( x - x_0 - \frac{\tau}{\pi} h \right)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 \right] + H_0 \left[ k \sqrt{\left( x + x_0 - \frac{\tau}{\pi} h \right)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 \right] \right] \, d\tau
\]

Introducing (7.2.31) into the integrand, \( I \) becomes

\[
I = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_y \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \ e^{-i\tau r} \left\{ e^{iK_y(y - y_0)} \left[ \frac{K_y \left( x - x_0 - \frac{\tau}{\pi} h + K_y(y - y_0) \right)}{k^2 - K_y^2} \right] + \frac{K_y \left( x + x_0 - \frac{\tau}{\pi} h \right) + K_y(y - y_0)}{k^2 - K_y^2} \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{2i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_y e^{iK_y(y - y_0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_x e^{iK_x x_0} \cos(K_x x_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \ e^{-i\tau r} e^{-iK_x h/\tau}
\]

The \( \tau \) integral may be immediately performed in terms of the delta function (actually we are just using the Fourier integral theorem):

\[
I = \frac{4i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_y e^{iK_y(y - y_0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_x \frac{e^{iK_x x_0} \cos(K_x x_0) \delta(y - y_0)}{k^2 - K_y^2 - K_x^2}
\]

The \( K_x \) integral is easily evaluated by employing the \( \delta \) function property

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(z) f(z - a) \, dz = f(a)
\]

Then

\[
I = \frac{4i}{\hbar} e^{-i\pi x_0 h/\hbar} \cos \left( \frac{\pi y_0 h}{\hbar} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{iK_y(y - y_0)}}{k^2 - (\pi y_0^2/\hbar^2) - K_y^2} dK_y
\]
The final integral can be performed only after the path of integration on the $K_y$ plane is specified. The particular path $C$, illustrated in Fig. 7.6, is chosen so that $l$ satisfies the boundary condition that the point $x = x_o$, $y = y_o$ be a source only, rather than a sink or both source and sink.

![Diagram showing contour C for integration of Eq. (7.2.31).]

The evaluation of this integral by means of Cauchy's integral formula [Eq. (4.2.8)] is discussed in Chap. 4, page 415. We find that

$$I = \left( \frac{4\pi}{h} \right) e^{-ivz/h} \cos \left( \frac{\pi v y_o}{h} \right) \frac{e^{i(\nu y - \nu y_o)\sqrt{h^2 - (\nu v/h)^2}}}{\sqrt{h^2 - (\nu v/h)^2}}$$

The final expansion for the Green's function becomes

$$G_\nu(r|x_0) = \left( \frac{2\pi}{h} \right) \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iz\nu \lambda} \cos \left( \frac{\pi v y_o}{h} \right) \frac{e^{i(\nu y - \nu y_o)\sqrt{h^2 - (\nu v/h)^2}}}{\sqrt{h^2 - (\nu v/h)^2}}$$

$$= \left( \frac{2\pi}{h} \right) \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_s \cos \left( \frac{\pi v y_o}{h} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi v x_0}{h} \right) \frac{e^{i(\nu y - \nu y_o)\sqrt{h^2 - (\nu v/h)^2}}}{\sqrt{h^2 - (\nu v/h)^2}} \tag{7.2.32}$$

This result is particularly useful whenever $|y - y_o| > 1$, for as soon as $(\nu v/h) > k$, terms in the series decrease exponentially. The number of terms required to obtain an accurate value for the series is thus of the order of $hk/\pi = 2h/\lambda$. [Note that, when $\nu$ becomes large, the corresponding terms in (7.2.32) become independent of $k$.] We see that the expansion given above complements that for $G$. The expansion in images (7.2.24) is feasible if $hk \gg 1$; expansion (7.2.32) applies when $hk \ll 1$. The expansion in images is appropriate for short wavelengths and close to the source, for then the effects of the boundary are less important; expansion (7.2.32) is appropriate for long wavelengths and considerable distance from the source. Expansion (7.2.32) is a Fourier expansion quite similar to Eq. (7.1.12) and may be obtained more directly than by the roundabout manner via images and Poisson's sum.
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rule, which we have employed here. The importance of the derivation we have given lies in its exhibition of the connection between the two types of expansions.

Equation (7.2.32), unlike Eq. (7.2.29), is exactly equal to series (7.2.24), and it always converges. Unless \( kk/\pi = 2h/\lambda \) is of the order of unity, the series does not converge very rapidly and we must look around for means of improving its convergence. To this end we return to the parenthetic remark of the last paragraph, that the terms in the expansion (7.3.32) for \( v \) large are independent of \( k \). This suggests examination of \( G_k(r_1 r_0) \), the Green's function for the Laplace equation, which may often be exhibited in closed form. If we write

\[
G_k = G_0 + (G_k - G_0)
\]

then the expansion for \((G_k - G_0)\) will converge more rapidly than that for \(G_k\) alone.

If we had chosen Dirichlet conditions at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = h \), the corresponding static \( G_0 \) would come out in closed form; \( G_0(r_1 r_0) \) would then be the static potential for a unit charge at \( x_0, y_0 \) between two grounded plates. To bring out more of the difficulties, we have picked Neumann conditions, where \( G_0 \) corresponds to the steady flow of a fluid out of a unit source at \( x_0, y_0 \). But steady flow requires a sink (in this case at infinity) as well as a source; this has not been included. A slight modification is thus required to take the sink into account, as we shall proceed to show.

We start with the series

\[
\Gamma_0(r_1 r_0) = 4 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi x_0}{h} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi y_0}{h} \right) e^{-(\pi x_0/h)r_1} e^{-(\pi y_0/h)r_2} \tag{7.2.33}
\]

which is what Eq. (7.2.32) reduces to when \( k = 0 \) (except for the omission of the \( r = 0 \) term). By repeated use of the relation

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) e^{-r\phi} = - \ln (1 - e^{-\phi})
\]

we obtain

\[
\Gamma_0 = R(x + x_0 y - y_0) + R(x - x_0 y - y_0) \tag{7.2.34}
\]

where \( R(a|b) = - \ln [1 - 2e^{-r|b|/\lambda} \cos (\pi a/h) + e^{-2\pi r|b|/\lambda}] \)

It is not difficult to show (by computing \( \nabla^2 \Omega \) if necessary) that \( \Gamma_0 \) is a solution of the Poisson equation

\[
\nabla^2 \Omega_0 = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) - (1/h) \delta(y - y_0) \tag{7.2.35}
\]

which corresponds to a unit positive charge at \((x_0, y_0)\) and a unit negative charge spread uniformly along the line \( y = y_0 \) perpendicular to the two
boundary lines \( x = 0 \) and \( x = h \). Since the entire charge distribution averages to zero between the two boundary lines we may fit Neumann conditions without having the static solution approach infinite values at infinity. It may also be seen directly that \( \partial \Gamma / \partial x \) may equal zero for \( x = 0 \) and \( x = h \), by differentiating (7.2.33).

The final expression for \( G_k \) is therefore

\[
G_k(r|x_0) = R(x + x_0)[y - y_0] + R(x - x_0)[y - y_0] + \left( \frac{2 \pi i}{\hbar} \right) e^{i\lambda|y-y_0|} \\
+ 4 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \cos \left( \frac{\pi x x}{h} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi x x}{h} \right) \left( \frac{e^{-\sqrt{(\pi x/h)^2 - |y-y_0|^2}}}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - (k \hbar)^2}} \right) \\
- \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \right) e^{-(\pi x/h)|y-y_0|} \tag{7.2.36}
\]

This series converges quite rapidly. Other cases, where the static Green's function turns out to be a closed expression, may be worked out from the results of Chap. 10.

The method of images is restricted to boundaries which are composed of straight lines in two dimensions or planes in three dimensions. There is one exception to this rule. In the case of the Laplace equation (with Dirichlet conditions) the method of images may be applied to the circle in two dimensions and to the sphere in three dimensions. This limitation on the image method may be expected from an elementary knowledge of geometrical optics, for it is well known that the only mirror for which the image of a point source is itself a point is the plane mirror. Of course this does not mean that the image method cannot be applied to other shapes, rather that it can be applied only approximately. We therefore turn to a more general representation of Green's functions, by eigenfunctions.

**Expansion of Green's Function in Eigenfunctions.** The method of eigenfunctions discussed in Chap. 6 is limited only by the case with which the requisite eigenfunctions can be determined. Since precise solutions are available for only the separable coordinate systems, the expansion of Green's functions in eigenfunctions is practical for only these cases.

Let the eigenfunctions be \( \psi_n \) and the corresponding eigenvalues \( k_n^2 \), that is,

\[
\nabla^2 \psi_n + k_n^2 \psi_n = 0 \tag{7.2.37}
\]

Here \( n \) represents all the required indices defining the particular \( \psi_n \) under discussion. Moreover, as was shown in the preceding chapter, the functions \( \psi_n \) form an orthonormal set:

\[
\int \psi_n \psi_m \, dV = \delta_{nm} \tag{7.2.38}
\]
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where the region of integration \( E \) is bounded by the surface upon which \( \psi_n \) satisfies homogeneous boundary conditions. The Green’s function \( G_k(r|r_0) \) satisfies the same conditions. In addition it is assumed that the functions \( \psi_n \) form a complete set so that it is possible to expand \( G_k(r|r_0) \) in a series of \( \psi_n \):

\[
G_k(r|r_0) = \sum_n A_n \psi_n(r)
\]

Introducing this expansion into the partial differential equation satisfied by \( G_k \),

\[
\nabla^2 G_k + k^2 G_k = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0)
\]

we find that

\[
\sum_n A_n (k^2 - k_n^2) \psi_n(r) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0)
\]

Employing Eq. (7.2.38), we multiply both sides of the above equation by \( \psi_n(r) \) and integrate over the volume \( R \). Then

\[
A_n = \frac{4\pi \psi_n(r_0)}{k_n^2 - k^2}
\]

so that

\[
G_k(r|r_0) = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{\psi_n(r_0) \psi_n(r)}{k_n^2 - k^2}
\]  (7.2.39)

the desired expansion. An example of such an expansion was given in Eq. (7.1.10).

One unexpected feature of Eq. (7.2.39) is its unsymmetrical dependence on \( r \) and \( r_0 \) for complex \( \psi_n \) in face of the proof given earlier that \( G_k \) must depend symmetrically on these variables. This is, of course, not a real dilemma. The solution lies in recognizing that, since the scalar Helmholtz equation does not involve any complex numbers explicitly, \( \psi_n \) is also a solution of (7.2.38) and therefore will be included as one of the orthonormal set \( \psi_n \). We have here a simple case of a degeneracy, for to one eigenvalue \( k_n^2 \) there belong two eigenfunctions, \( \psi_n \) as well as \( \psi_n \). Thus included in the sum (7.2.39) there will be the term

\[
\frac{\psi_n(r_0) \psi_n(r)}{k_n^2 - k^2}
\]

and also the term

\[
\frac{\psi_n(r) \psi_n(r_0)}{k_n^2 - k^2}
\]

so that actually (7.2.39) is symmetric and real.

Another matter of interest is the behavior of \( G_k \) as \( k \to k_n \). We see that, as a function of \( k \), \( G_k \) is analytic except for simple poles at \( k = \pm k_n \), with residues \( \mp 2\pi \psi_n(r) \psi_n(r_0)/k_n \). Thus if it should happen that a Green’s function is known in a closed form, the eigenfunctions \( \psi_n \) and the eigenvalues \( k_n \) may be found by investigating \( G_k \) at its poles.

The singularities have a simple physical interpretation, for they are just the infinities which occur when a nondissipative vibrating system is driven at one of its resonant frequencies. To make this correspondence
more explicit, we recall that the partial differential equation satisfied by the velocity potential set up by a point source at \( r_0 \), with angular frequency \( \omega \), is

\[
\nabla^2 \psi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) e^{-i\omega t}
\]

But \( \psi = e^{-i\omega t} \tilde{G}_k \), \( k = \omega c \). Hence if \( k = k_n \), the system is being driven at one of its resonant frequencies and gives an infinite response if the system has no friction. There is one situation for which the response will not be infinite. This occurs if the source space-dependence is orthogonal to \( \tilde{\psi}_n \). For if \( \psi \) satisfies

\[
(\nabla^2 \psi + k_n^2 \psi) = -4\pi \rho
\]

then

\[
\psi = \int \rho G_k \, dV = 4\pi \sum_m \frac{\tilde{\psi}_m(r_0) \rho(r_0) \, dV_0}{k_m^2 - k_n^2} \psi_m(r) \quad (7.2.40)
\]

The \( n \)th term vanishes if \( \int \tilde{\psi}_n(r) \rho(r) \, dV = 0 \). Then the \( n \)th term in series (7.2.39) is missing, and \( k \) can equal \( k_n \) without \( G_k \) becoming infinite. The Green's function for such problems (we shall use here the term "modified Green's function") and the notation \( \Gamma_{k_n} \) satisfies

\[
(\nabla^2 + k_n^2) \Gamma_{k_n} = -4\pi [\delta(r - r_0) - \tilde{\psi}_n(r_0) \psi_n(r) - \tilde{\psi}_n(r) \psi_n(r_0)]
\]

Then

\[
\Gamma_{k_n} = 4\pi \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\tilde{\psi}_m(r_0) \psi_m(r)}{k_m^2 - k_n^2} \quad (7.2.41)
\]

where by \( m \neq n \) we mean that all terms for which \( k_m = \pm k_n \) are to be left out. We have already discussed one such case for the Green's function for the Laplace equation when the boundary conditions were homogeneous Neumann. In that case one of the eigenvalues was \( k = 0 \), corresponding to a constant for an eigenfunction. The \( k \) for the Laplace equation was also zero, and we found it advisable to use the modified Green's function \( \Gamma_0 \).

We shall now give some examples of the application of (7.2.39). These are fairly simple when completely enclosed regions are under discussion, for when the eigenfunctions and the corresponding eigenvalues are known, it is only necessary to normalize the \( \psi \)'s in order to be able to fill in the formula. An example of the expansion under these circumstances is given in Eq. (7.1.10).

**Expansions for the Infinite Domain.** We therefore turn to other types of regions, of which the simplest is unbounded and infinite. We showed earlier in this section [Eq. (7.2.18)] that the two-dimensional Green's function for this case, for a source (as opposed to a sink) is
\[ \pi H_0(k|r - r_0|) \]. A possible complete orthonormal set in which to expand this is furnished by the plane wave

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikr} \]

where \( \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r} = K_x x + K_y y \) where \( K_x \) and \( K_y \) may assume any numerical value. To obtain a complete set it is necessary, according to the Fourier integral theorem, to have the range in \( K \) extend from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\) following a route in the complex planes of \( K_x \) and \( K_y \) joining these two points.

![Contour C for integration of Eq. (7.2.42)](image)

Since \( K_x \) and \( K_y \) are continuous variables (see page 762 for the discrete-continuous transition), the sum in (7.2.39) must be replaced by an integral:

\[ q_0(R) = e^{i\pi H_0(kR)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_y \frac{e^{ikR}}{K_x - K_y^2} \]  

\[ (7.2.42) \]

This representation was utilized in Eq. (7.2.31) \( (K^2 = K_x^2 + K_y^2) \). Again it is important to note that the integral is undefined unless the path of integration about the poles of the integrand is specified. The \( K_y \) path of integration is given in Fig. 7.7. It is chosen so as to lead to an outgoing wave from the source point \( R = 0 \). The \( K_y \) integrations may then be performed to yield, for \( Y > 0 \),

\[ \pi H_0(kR) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dK_x e^{i\left(\frac{K_x^2 + \sqrt{K_x^2 - K_y^2}}{K_x^2 - K_y^2}\right)} \]

Let \( K_x = k \cos(\theta + \phi) \) where \( \phi = \tan^{-1}(Y/X) \)

\[ \pi H_0(kR) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik\cos \theta} d\theta \]

The contour of integration for \( \theta \) must, of course, be such as to yield a convergent integral. In view of the original limits, it must go from \(-i\infty\) to \(+i\infty\). Convergence is obtained by running the contour somewhat to the left of the imaginary axis in the upper half plane and to the
right of it in the lower half plane as is illustrated in Fig. 7.8. Our final result is the well-known integral representation for the Hankel function [see Eq. (5.3.69)]:

\[ H_\nu(k\rho) = \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \right) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} e^{ik\rho \cos \theta} \, d\theta \]  \tag{7.2.43}

**Polar Coordinates.** Equation (7.2.42) is the proper representation of the Green's function \( g_0(r) \) for a two-dimensional infinite region, to be employed in problems for which rectangular coordinates are most appropriate. Let us now apply the general formula (7.2.39) employing the eigenfunctions appropriate to polar coordinates. These are

\[ e^{i\nu\phi} J_\nu(kr) \]

One must also normalize. The normalization factor \( N_m \) for the radial dependence is obtained from the equation appropriate to continuous eigenvalues (in this case \( k \)):

\[ \lim_{\Delta k \to 0, R \to \infty} \left\{ N_m^2 \int_{k - \Delta k}^{k + \Delta k} \, dk' \int_0^R J_m(kr) J_m(k'r) r \, dr \right\} = 1 \]

The value of the indefinite integral over \( r \) is (see formulas at end of Chap. 11)

\[ \int J_m(kr) J_m(k'r) r \, dr = \frac{k' r J_m(kr) J_{m-1}(k'r) - k r J_m(kr) J_{m+1}(k'r)}{k^2 - k'^2} \]

Since \( R \) is large, the asymptotic behavior, \( J_m(x) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi x} \cos \left[ x - \frac{1}{2} (m + \frac{1}{2}) \right] \), given in Eq. (5.3.68) may be used. We find that \( N_m \) is independent of \( m \) and is equal to \( \sqrt{k} \). Hence the normalized eigenfunctions are

\[ \sqrt{k/2\pi} e^{i\nu\phi} J_\nu(kr) \]  \tag{7.2.44}

Substituting (7.2.44) into (7.2.39) yields

\[ 2\pi H_\nu(k\rho) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\nu(\phi - \phi_0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_m(Kr) J_m(Kr_0) \frac{K}{K^2 - k^2} K \, dK \]

where the contour of integration is still to be specified. Actual evaluation demonstrates that, for outgoing waves, the required contour is just the one illustrated in Fig. 7.7 with the poles at \( \pm k \). The above expansion
may be rewritten so as to involve only positive \( m \), as follows:

\[
i\pi H(\omega; R) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \cos(m(\phi - \phi_0)) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{J_m(Kr)J_m(Kr_0)}{K^2 - k^2} K \, dK
\]

(7.2.45)

It is possible to evaluate the \( K \) integral by methods of function theory. However, the procedure is just a difficult way to do a simple calculation. It is preferable to derive expansion (7.2.45) by another procedure, which may be extended to other coordinate systems and boundary surfaces.

A General Technique. The method is just that employed in Sec. 7.1 to establish a connection between the surface Green's function \( G(x,y|\xi) \) [Eq. (7.1.8)] and the volume Green's function [Eq. (7.1.10)]. We expand the volume Green's function in terms of a complete set of functions involving all but one of the coordinates (in the present case there are only two coordinates, \( r \) and \( \phi \)) with coefficients which are undetermined functions of the uninvolved coordinate. Thus let

\[
g_k(R) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) e^{i m(\phi - \phi_0)} p_m(r|r_0)
\]

(7.2.46)

Comparing with (7.2.45) we note that

\[
p_m(r|r_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{J_m(Kr)J_m(Kr_0)}{K^2 - k^2} K \, dK
\]

Introduce (7.2.46) into the equation for \( g_k(x|\xi) \):

\[
\nabla^2 g_k + k^2 g_k = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0)
\]

In polar coordinates this becomes

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 g_k}{\partial \phi^2} + k^2 g_k = - \frac{4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \delta(\phi - \phi_0)}{r}
\]

(7.2.47)

[The right-hand side of this equation involves the expression of the \( \delta \) function in polar coordinates. This expression must satisfy the requirement that \( \delta(r - r_0) \) must vanish unless \( r = r_0 \) and \( \phi = \phi_0 \) and must integrate to unity over all space, \( \int \delta(r - r_0) r \, dr \, d\phi = 1 \). It is easy to verify that these requirements are satisfied.]

Inserting expansion (7.2.46) into Eq. (7.2.47) one obtains

\[
\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) e^{i m(\phi - \phi_0)} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial p_m}{\partial r} \right) + \left( k^2 - \frac{m^2}{r^2} \right) p_m \right] = - \frac{4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \delta(\phi - \phi_0)}{r}
\]
Multiply both sides of this equation by $e^{inz}$ and integrate over $\phi$ from 0 to $2\pi$. The integration on the left-hand side involves the orthogonal properties of the set $e^{inz}$. We obtain

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dp_m}{dr} \right) + \left( k^2 - \frac{n^2}{r^2} \right) p_m = -\frac{4\pi \delta(r - r_0)}{r} \quad (7.2.18)$$

We see that $p_m(r|r_0)$ is a one-dimensional Green's function for a Sturm-Liouville operator $(d/dr)(d/dr) + \eta[k^2 - (m^2/r^2)]$ [see Eq. (6.3.12)]. The solution of the inhomogeneous linear second-order differential equation $\mathcal{L}(\psi) = v$ is given in Eq. (5.2.19) as

$$\psi = y_2 \int^z \frac{v y_1 dz}{\Delta(y_1, y_2)} + y_1 \int^z \frac{v y_2 dz}{\Delta(y_1, y_2)}$$

where $z$ is the independent variable, $y_1$ and $y_2$ are the two independent solutions of the homogeneous equation

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left( z \frac{dy}{dz} \right) + z \left( k^2 - \frac{n^2}{z^2} \right) y = 0$$

and $\Delta(y_1, y_2)$ is the Wronskian

$$\Delta(y_1, y_2) = \begin{vmatrix} y_1 & y'_1 \\ y_2 & y'_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

The function $v$ is the inhomogeneous term, in this case $-4\pi \delta(r - r_0)/r$.

The limits of integration in the expression for $\psi$ depend upon the particular choice of independent functions $y_1$ and $y_2$, and the boundary conditions on $p_m$. We shall take the limits (this is permitted since we have not chosen $y_1$ and $y_2$ to be less than $z (= r)$ in the first integral and greater than $z$ in the second. Thus

$$p_m = y_2(r) \int^r \frac{-4\pi \delta(u - r_0)}{r_0 \Delta(y_1, y_2)} y_1(u) du + y_1(r) \int_r^b \frac{-4\pi \delta(u - r_0) y_2(u)}{r_0 \Delta(y_1, y_2)} du$$

For $r < r_0$, the first integral vanishes, while for $r > r_0$, the second integral vanishes. Hence

$$p_m = \begin{cases} y_1(r) y_2(r_0); & r \leq r_0 \\ \frac{-4\pi}{r_0 \Delta(y_1, y_2)} \left( y_1(r) y_2(r_0); \ r \geq r_0 \right) \end{cases}$$

(7.2.19)

The Wronskian is evaluated at $r_0$. Boundary conditions determine which of the solutions of the homogeneous equation are to be employed. In the case under discussion the solutions are the Bessel functions $J_m(kr)$, $N_m(kr)$ or any linear combination. The boundary conditions are (1) $p_m$ is to be finite at $r = 0$, since the only singularity of $g_k$ occurs at $r = r_0$, and (2) the point $r = r_0$ must be a source, since $g_k(R)$ has been taken to be a diverging wave. Hence $y_1 = J_m(kr)$ and $y_2 = N_m(kr)$.  


Finally we must evaluate \( \Delta(y_1, y_2) \) at \( r = r_0 \). It is useful to use the relation Eq. (5.2.3), giving the space dependence of the Wronskian

\[
\Delta(z) = \Delta(z_0)[f(z_0)/f(z)]
\]

where the differential equation satisfied by \( y_1 \) (or \( y_2 \)) is

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left( f \frac{dy}{dz} \right) + qy = 0
\]

In the case under discussion \( f = r \), so that \( \Delta(y_1, y_2) = \text{constant}/r \). To determine the constant, one may employ the first terms of either the power series about the origin \( (r = 0) \) or the asymptotic series about \( r = \infty \), since the relation \( \Delta(y_1, y_2) = \text{constant}/r \) must be satisfied for each term of the power or asymptotic series for \( \Delta(y_1, y_2) \). To illustrate, we utilize

\[
J_m(kr) \rightarrow \sqrt{2/\pi kr} \cos \left[ kr - \frac{1}{2}\pi(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right] \quad \text{as} \quad kr \rightarrow \infty
\]

\[
H_m(kr) \rightarrow \sqrt{2\pi kr} e^{i(kr-\frac{1}{2}\pi(m+\frac{1}{2}))} \quad \text{as} \quad kr \rightarrow \infty
\]

The Wronskian is asymptotically

\[
\left( \frac{2i}{\pi kr} \right) \left\{ ik \cos \left[ kr - \frac{1}{2}\pi(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right] e^{i(kr-\frac{1}{2}\pi(m+\frac{1}{2}))} + k \sin \left[ kr - \frac{1}{2}\pi(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right] e^{i(kr-\frac{1}{2}\pi(m+\frac{1}{2}))} \right\}
\]

This equals \( 2i/\pi r \) so that \( \Delta(y_1, y_2) = 2i/\pi r \). Finally, collecting all our results,

\[
p_m = \frac{2\pi r^2}{i} \begin{cases} J_m(kr)H_n(kr_0); & r \leq r_0 \\ J_m(kr_0)H_n(kr); & r > r_0 \end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.2.50)

Note that \( p_m \) is the value of the integral occurring in (7.2.26). Introducing (7.2.50) into the expansion for \( g_0(k) = i\pi\Phi_0(kR) \), an expansion for \( H_0(kR) \) is obtained:

\[
H_0(kR) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im \phi - \phi_0} \begin{cases} J_m(kr)H_n(kr_0); & r \leq r_0 \\ J_m(kr_0)H_n(kr); & r \geq r_0 \end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.2.51)

We have given the derivation of (7.2.51) in detail because it will serve as the prototype for the calculation of expansions of other Green's functions. These expansions are of considerable use, as the following calculation will show. We shall derive the expansion of \( e^{i\phi} \) (a plane wave traveling from left to right) in polar coordinates and then the integral representation of the Bessel function \( J_m \) [described in Eq. (5.3.65)]. We note that \( H_0(kR) \) represents a wave traveling from the
source at \( r_0 \). To obtain a plane wave traveling from left to right it is necessary to place the source at \(-\infty\), i.e., let \( r_0 \to \infty \) and \( \phi_0 \to \pi \). Then

\[
R = \sqrt{r^2 - 2rr_0 \cos (\phi - \phi_0) + r_0^2} \to r_0 \left( 1 - \frac{r}{r_0} \cos \psi \right) = r_0 + \delta
\]

Hence

\[
\frac{H_0(kR)}{r_0 \to \infty \at \phi_0 = \pi} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k r_0}} \cos (m \phi) J_m(kr)
\]

or

\[
e^{i \phi} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \cos^m \cos (m \phi) J_m(kr)
\]

the desired expansion. This series has been given in Chap. 5 in another form [see Eq. (5.3.65)].

Finally, by employing the orthogonality properties of the set \( \cos (m \phi) \) it is possible to derive an integral representation for \( J_m(kr) \). Multiply both sides of Eq. (7.2.52) by \( \cos (\nu \phi) \), and integrate from 0 to \( \pi \). Then

\[
J_m(kr) = \frac{\delta}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{i \nu \cos \phi} \cos (m \phi) d\phi
\]

This relation was derived in another fashion in Chap. 5 [see Eq. (5.3.65)].

A General Formula. Let us now turn to the problem of deriving the expansion of the Green's function for any of the generalized coordinate systems for which the scalar Helmholtz equation is separable. We need to review some of the results of the discussion of separation (see pages 633 and et seq.). If \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \) and \( \xi_3 \) are three orthogonal, generalized coordinates, with scale factors \( h_1, h_2, \) and \( h_3 \), then the Laplacian is

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = \sum_{n=1}^{g} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \right)^2 \left( f_n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} \right)
\]

The quantities \( f_n \) are functions of \( \xi_n \) only (that is, \( f_1 \) is a function of \( \xi_1 \) only); \( S \) is the Stäckel determinant [Eq. (5.1.25)] whose elements \( \Phi_{nm} \) are functions of \( \xi_n \) only (that is, \( \Phi_{nm} \) is a function of \( \xi_1 \) only); \( M_n \) is the minor of \( S \) which multiplies \( \Phi_{n1} \) in the expansion of \( S \) [Eq. (5.1.26)]. \( M_1 \) is a function of \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \) but not of \( \xi_1 \). The scalar Helmholtz equation separates in coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) so that

\[
\frac{1}{f_n X_n} \frac{d}{d \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{d \psi}{d \xi_n} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{g} \Phi_{nm}(\xi_n) k_n^2 \psi = 0
\]
where \( k_1^2 = k^2 \) and \( k_2^2 \) and \( k_3^2 \) are two separation constants. The factors \( f_1 \) and the elements of \( S \) are given in the table at the end of Chap. 5. We shall also need the Robertson condition (5.1.32), \( h_1 h_3 = S f_1 f_3 f_3 \).

To see how we expand a Green's function in these general coordinates it is well to return to the derivation of Eq. (7.2.51), the expansion of a Green's function in polar coordinates. There the \( \phi \) factors turned out to be eigenfunctions, independent of the constant \( k \) in the Helmholtz equation. The \( r \) factors, on the other hand, depended on \( k \) and on the eigenvalues \( m \) of the \( \phi \) factors and for those and other reasons could not be made into eigenfunctions.

The function \( G \) was then expanded into an eigenfunction series in the \( \phi \) factors. The \( r \) factors for each term in the series then satisfied an inhomogeneous equation which could be solved in terms of the two solutions of the homogeneous equation, and the expansion was achieved.

We try the same procedure with the three general coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \). Of the three separation constants, \( k_1 = k, k_2, k_3 \), the first one, \( k \), is fixed in value by the Helmholtz equation which we are solving. The other two, \( k_2 \) and \( k_3 \), are available to become eigenvalues for a set of two-dimensional eigenfunctions, in terms of which we are to expand the Green's function \( G \). Usually the choice of which two of the three coordinate factors are to be eigenfunctions is an obvious one. In spherical coordinates, for instance, two of the three coordinates, \( \phi \) and \( \theta \), are angles, with finite range of values and simple boundary conditions (periodicity and finiteness) which may be imposed to obtain eigenfunctions. In other cases (such as the circular cylinder coordinates, \( r, \phi, z \)) only one of the coordinates (\( \phi \) for the circular cylinder) has a finite range of values, and one of the other two (\( z \), for instance) must provide a set of eigenfunctions for an infinite domain, having a continuous range of eigenvalues for one of the separation constants \( k_2 \) or \( k_3 \).

Suppose we find that the \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \) factors may be made into eigenfunctions, with corresponding pairs of eigenvalues for \( k_2 \) and \( k_3 \). We order these eigenfunctions in some manner, with respect to the allowed values of \( k_2 \) and \( k_3 \); for instance, the lowest value of \( k_2 \) may be labeled \( k_{21} \), the next \( k_{22} \), and the \( m \)th \( k_{2m} \), whereas the allowed values of \( k_3 \) are \( k_{30}, k_{31}, \ldots, k_{3n}, \ldots \); the eigenfunction corresponding to \( k_{3n} \) being \( X_m(\xi_3)X_n(\xi_2) \) though \( X_\cdot \) may also depend on \( n \) and \( \chi_1 \) on \( m \). To simplify the notation we label the pairs of integers \( (m, n) \) by a single letter, \( p \) or \( q \), and express the eigenfunction product by a single letter \( W \). Then the \( p \)th eigenfunction for the coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \) is \( W_p(\xi_2, \xi_3) \) with eigenvalues \( k_{2p}, k_{3p} \). In what follows we shall assume that both \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \) have finite ranges of values, so that both \( k_{2p} \) and \( k_{3p} \) have a discrete set of values and the eigenfunction expansion is a series over \( p \) (\( m \) and \( n \)). The extension to cases where one or both of the eigenvalue sequences is a continuum, so that the expansion is an integral (like a Fourier integral,
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instead of a Fourier series), is one which is not difficult in any particular case.

Therefore we assume the existence of a complete set of eigenfunctions \( W_\epsilon(\xi_2, \xi_3) \) (we chose \( \xi_5 \), and \( \xi_3 \) as examples, any pair for which the necessary conditions are satisfied) satisfying the orthonormal condition

\[
\int \int W_\epsilon(\xi_2, \xi_3) W_\rho(\xi_2, \xi_3) \rho(\xi_2, \xi_3) \, d\xi_2 \, d\xi_3 = \delta_{\epsilon\rho}
\]

(7.2.56)

where \( \rho \) is a weight function. (See page 781 for a discussion of weight functions in one dimension.) We shall assume that this set of eigenfunctions exists for arbitrary \( k \) for the range in \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_3 \) in the domain of interest. The function \( W_\epsilon \) may depend upon \( k \), of course. Then in analogy to (7.2.46), we write

\[
G_\epsilon(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}') = \sum_\epsilon X_\epsilon(\xi_1|\xi'_1) B_\epsilon(\xi_2, \xi_3) W_\epsilon(\xi_2, \xi_3)
\]

(7.2.57)

where the functions \( X_\epsilon \) and \( B_\epsilon \) are to be determined.

In generalized coordinates the equation determining \( G_\epsilon \) is

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{M_n}{S}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{\partial G}{\partial \xi_n} \right] + k_\epsilon^2 G = -\frac{4\pi}{h_1 h_2 h_3} \frac{\delta(\xi_1 - \xi'_1) \delta(\xi_2 - \xi'_2) \delta(\xi_3 - \xi'_3)}{h_1 h_2 h_3}
\]

(7.2.58)

Note that the representation chosen for \( \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \) as given by the coefficient of \(-4\pi\) is such as to vanish unless all three coordinates \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) equal the corresponding primed coordinates, respectively, and so that its integral over all of space is unity. We now proceed to introduce series (7.2.57) into Eq. (7.2.58). We require the result of applying \((\nabla^2 + k_\epsilon^2)\) to \( W_\epsilon(\xi_2, \xi_3) \). Only two of the terms in the sum in (7.2.58) involve derivatives of \( W_\epsilon \). Since \( W_\epsilon \) is a product of \( X_\epsilon(\xi_2) \) and \( X_\epsilon(\xi_3) \), solutions of Eqs. (7.2.55), it follows that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{M_n}{S}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{\partial W_\epsilon}{\partial \xi_n} \right] = -\sum_{\epsilon=1}^{3} \frac{M_\epsilon}{S} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{3} \Phi_{\epsilon n}(\xi_n) k_\epsilon^2 \right) W_\epsilon
\]

where the separation constants have been given the additional label \( q \) to indicate their correspondence to function \( W_\epsilon \).

The sum over \( n \) may be simplified by utilizing the properties of the determinant \( S \) [see Eq. (5.1.27)].

\[
\sum_{n} M_n \Phi_{\epsilon n} = S \Phi_{1\epsilon}
\]

Then

\[
\sum_{n=1,3} M_n \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left[ f_n \frac{\partial W_\epsilon}{\partial \xi_n} \right] = -k_\epsilon^2 W_\epsilon + \frac{M_1}{S} \left( \sum_{n} h_{1n} \Phi_{1n} \right) W_\epsilon
\]
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Hence, upon substitution of the series (7.2.57) into (7.2.58) one obtains

\[
\sum_{q} B_{q}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{3}) W_{q}(\xi_{2}, \xi_{1}) \frac{M_{1}}{S} \left\{ \frac{1}{f_{1}} \frac{d}{d\xi_{1}} \left[ f_{1} \frac{dX_{1q}}{d\xi_{1}} \right] + \sum_{m=1}^{3} k_{m}^{2} \Phi_{1m}(\xi_{1})X_{1q} \right\} = -4\pi \frac{\delta(\xi_{1} - \xi_{1}')}{h_{1}h_{2}} \frac{\delta(\xi_{2} - \xi_{3}')}{h_{2}} \frac{\delta(\xi_{2} - \xi_{2}')}{h_{3}}
\]

By employing the orthonormality condition of (7.2.56) and the Robertson condition (5.1.32) it is found that

\[
B_{q}(\xi_{1}', \xi_{3}) = \frac{\rho(\xi_{2}, \xi_{1}') W_{q}(\xi_{2}, \xi_{1}')}{M_{1}(\xi_{2}, \xi_{1}') f_{1}(\xi_{2}) f_{1}(\xi_{1}')}
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{f_{1}} \frac{d}{d\xi_{1}} \left[ f_{1} \frac{dX_{1q}}{d\xi_{1}} \right] + \sum_{m=1}^{3} k_{m}^{2} \Phi_{1m} = -\left( \frac{4\pi}{f_{1}} \right) \delta(\xi_{1} - \xi_{1}')
\]

Thus, as in the example in polar coordinates discussed above, \(X_{1q}\) is a one-dimensional Green's function. Following the procedure employed in solving (7.2.48), it is possible to express \(X_{1q}\) in terms of two independent solutions \((y_{1} \text{ and } y_{2})\) of the homogeneous equation

\[
\frac{1}{f_{1}} \frac{d}{d\xi_{1}} \left[ f_{1} \frac{dy}{d\xi_{1}} \right] + \sum_{m=1}^{3} k_{m}^{2} \Phi_{1m} y = 0
\]

We obtain

\[
X_{1q}(\xi_{1}|\xi_{1}') = -\frac{4\pi}{\Delta(y_{1}(\xi_{1})y_{2}(\xi_{1}'); \xi_{1} \leq \xi_{1}'; y_{1}(\xi_{1})y_{2}(\xi_{1}); \xi_{1} \geq \xi_{1}')}
\]

where \(\Delta\) is the Wronskian evaluated at \(\xi_{1}'\). As in the discussion on page 826, which solutions \(y_{1}\) and \(y_{2}\) are used depends upon the boundary conditions of the problem. The form of the result for the Wronskian is

\[
\Delta(y_{1}, y_{2}) = \text{constant}/f_{1}
\]

so that the factor \(\Delta(y_{1}, y_{2}) f_{1}\) is a constant.

The expansion for \(G_{q}(r|r')\) is then

\[
G_{q}(r|r') = -4\pi \left( \frac{h_{1}}{h_{2}h_{3}} \right) \rho(\xi_{2}, \xi_{1}') \sum_{k} W_{q}(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}') W_{q}(\xi_{2}, \xi_{3}) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot \frac{1}{\Delta(y_{1}(\xi_{1})y_{2}(\xi_{1}'); \xi_{1} \leq \xi_{1}'; y_{1}(\xi_{1})y_{2}(\xi_{1}); \xi_{1} \geq \xi_{1}')}
\]

where the scale factors \(h\) and the Wronskian \(\Delta\) are functions of the primed coordinates and where \(\rho\) is the density function defined in Eq. (7.2.56).

From the expansion it is generally possible, by the procedure employed in the polar coordinate case discussed above, to obtain plane wave
expansions and integral representations of the functions in the plane wave expansion. Finally, since it is now possible to express plane waves and Green's function in different coordinate systems, it becomes possible to express the solutions of the Helmholtz equation in one coordinate system in terms of those solutions appropriate to another solution.

**Green's Functions and Eigenfunctions.** The Green's function for the Sturm-Liouville problem (see page 719)

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[ p \frac{dG}{dx} \right] + [q + \lambda r] G = -4\pi \delta(x - x_0) \quad (7.2.64)$$

may be expressed in an infinite series (7.2.39) in the eigenfunctions of the homogeneous differential equation corresponding to (7.2.64):

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[ p \frac{d\Psi_n}{dx} \right] + [q + \lambda_n \tau] \Psi_n = 0 \quad (7.2.65)$$

with homogeneous boundary conditions at $x = a$ and $x = b$. These eigenfunctions may be arranged to form a complete orthonormal series so that

$$\int_a^b \Psi_n(x)\Psi_m(x)\tau \, dx = \delta_{nm} \quad (7.2.66)$$

The infinite series for $G_3(x|x_0)$ is

$$G_3(x|x_0) = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{\Psi_n(x)\Psi_n(x_0)}{\lambda_n - \lambda} \quad (7.2.67)$$

On the other hand, the function $G$ may be expressed in terms of two independent solutions $y_1$ and $y_2$ of (7.2.64) by the method following Eq. (7.2.49). One obtains

$$G_3(x|x_0) = -\frac{4\pi}{p(x_0)\Delta(y_1, y_2)} \begin{cases} \frac{y_1(x) y_2(x_0)}{\lambda_n - \lambda}; & x \leq x_0 \\ \frac{y_1(x) y_2(x_0)}{\lambda_n - \lambda}; & x \geq x_0 \end{cases} \quad (7.2.69)$$

This alternative expression may be employed by comparison with (7.2.67) to obtain information on the functions $\Psi_n$ and the corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_n$. It forms a very powerful method for investigation of the properties of eigenfunctions and indeed has been employed at times as the basis for the entire theory outlined in Chap. 6. Here we shall be content with the discussion of those results which seem of practical importance.

The central idea consists in noting that, as a function of $\lambda$, $G_3(x|x_0)$ has simple poles at $\lambda = \lambda_n$ with residues $-4\pi \Psi_n(x)\Psi_n(x_0)$. These very singularities must be present in the closed form (7.2.68). Hence by examining (7.2.68) it is (in principle) possible to obtain the eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ and, in addition, the corresponding eigenfunctions $\Psi_n$ already normalized.
Let us clarify the suggested procedure by means of a simple example. Let (7.2.65) be

$$(d\psi/dx^2) + \lambda \psi = 0$$

and the boundary conditions $\psi = 0$ at $x = a$, $x = b$ ($a < b$). Then the appropriate $y_1$ is $\sin\sqrt{\lambda} (x - a)$ and $y_2 = \sin\sqrt{\lambda} (x - b)$. The value of $\Delta (y_1, y_2)$ is

$$\sqrt{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (x - a) \cos \sqrt{\lambda} (x - b) - \sqrt{\lambda} \cos \sqrt{\lambda} (x - a) \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (x - b) = \sqrt{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (b - a)$$

Therefore

$$G_1(\psi|x_0) = \frac{-4\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (b - a)} \begin{cases} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (x - a) \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (x_0 - b); & x < x_0 \\ \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (x_0 - a) \sin \sqrt{\lambda} (x - b); & x \geq x_0 \end{cases}$$

The eigenvalues occur at the zeros of $\sin \sqrt{\lambda} (b - a)$, so that one obtains the familiar result $\sqrt{\lambda}_n = [n\pi/(b - a)]$. The residue here is

$$-[8\pi/(b - a)](1)^n \sin [n\pi(x - a)/(b - a)] \sin [n\pi(x_0 - b)/(b - a)],$$

or $-[8\pi/(b - a)] \sin [n\pi(b - x)/(b - a)] \sin [n\pi(b - x_0)/(b - a)]$. Hence $y_n(x) y_n(x_0)$ is $2/(b - a)] \sin [n\pi(b - x)/(b - a)] \sin [n\pi(b - x_0)/(b - a)]$ so that the normalized eigenfunction is

$$\psi_n = \frac{2}{\sqrt{b - a}} \sin \left[ \frac{n\pi(b - x)}{b - a} \right]$$

These eigenfunctions satisfy orthogonality condition (7.2.66) with $r = 1$ and form an orthonormal complete set. We thus see that, if any two solutions whose combination satisfies the proper boundary conditions (actually $y_1$ satisfies those which exist at one boundary point, $y_2$ at the other) can be obtained, and if the evaluation of the Wronskian can be obtained, then the normalized orthogonal eigenfunctions and eigenvalues may be found.

Comparing this method with the more usual methods discussed in Chap. 6, we find that the amount of labor is the same for all. However, the method just described also yields the normalization which often involves a difficult integral in the other methods.

We shall have occasion to employ this method for more complex functions in the problems for this chapter and for the determination of eigenfunctions and their normalizations which arise in problems of two and higher dimensions. We shall also encounter the same procedures under a somewhat different guise in Sec. 11.1.
7.3 Green’s Function for the Scalar Wave Equation

The Green’s function for the scalar Helmholtz equation, just discussed in Sec. 7.2, is particularly useful in solving inhomogeneous problems, i.e. problems which arise whenever sources are present within the volume or on the bounding surface. The Green’s function for the scalar wave equation must perform a similar function; thus it should be possible to solve the scalar wave equation, with sources present, in terms of a Green’s function. To obtain some notion as to the equation this function must satisfy let us consider a typical inhomogeneous problem. Let \( \psi \) satisfy

\[
\nabla^2 \psi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = -4\pi q(r,t)
\]

(7.3.1)

The function \( q(r,t) \) describes the source density, giving not only the distribution of sources in space but also the time dependence of the sources at each point in space. In addition to Eq. (7.3.1) it is necessary to state boundary and initial conditions in order to obtain a unique solution (7.3.1). The condition on the boundary surface may be either Dirichlet or Neumann or a linear combination of both. The conditions in time dimension must be Cauchy (see page 683, Chap. 6). Hence it is necessary to specify the value of \( \psi \) and \( (\partial \psi / \partial t) \) at \( t = t_0 \) for every point of the region under consideration. Let these values be \( \psi_0(r) \) and \( v_0(r) \), respectively.

Inspection of (7.3.1) suggests that the equation determining the Green’s function \( G(r,t|r_0,t_0) \) is

\[
\nabla^2 G - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial t^2} = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \delta(t - t_0)
\]

(7.3.2)

We see that the source is an impulse at \( t = t_0 \), located at \( r = r_0 \). \( G \) then gives the description of the effect of this impulse as it propagates away from \( r = r_0 \) in the course of time. As in the scalar Helmholtz case, \( G \) satisfies the homogeneous form of the boundary conditions satisfied by \( \psi \) on the boundary. For initial conditions, it seems reasonable to assume that \( G \) and \( \partial G / \partial t \) should be zero for \( t < t_0 \); that is if an impulse occurs at \( t_0 \), no effects of the impulse should be present at an earlier time.

It should not be thought that this cause-and-effect relation, employed here, is obvious. The unidirectionality of the flow of time is apparent for macroscopic events, but it is not clear that one can extrapolate this experience to microscopic phenomena. Indeed the equations of motion in mechanics and the Maxwell equations, both of which may lead to a wave equation, do not have any asymmetry in time. It may thus be possible, for microscopic events, for “effects” to propagate backward in
time; theories have been formulated in recent years which employ such solutions of the wave equation. It would take us too far afield, however, to discuss how such solutions can still lead to a cause-effect time relation for macroscopic events.

For the present we shall be primarily concerned with the initial conditions \( G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \) and \( \partial G / \partial t \) zero for \( t < t_0 \), though the existence of other possibilities should not be forgotten.

**The Reciprocity Relation.** The directionality in time imposed by the Cauchy conditions, as noted above, means that the generalization of the reciprocity relation \( G_0(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{r}_0) = G_0(\mathbf{r}_0 | \mathbf{r}) \) to include time is not \( G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) = G(\mathbf{r}_0, 0 | \mathbf{r}, t) \). Indeed if \( t > t_0 \), the second of these is zero. In order to obtain a reciprocity relation it is necessary to reverse the direction of the flow of time, so that the reciprocity relation becomes

\[
G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \neq G(\mathbf{r}_0, 0 | \mathbf{r}, t) \tag{7.3.3}
\]

To interpret (7.3.3) it is convenient to place \( t_0 = 0 \). Then \( G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) = G(\mathbf{r}_0, 0 | \mathbf{r}, -t) \). We see that the effect, at \( \mathbf{r} \) at a time \( t \) later than an impulse started at \( \mathbf{r}_0 \), equals the effect, at \( \mathbf{r}_0 \) at a time \( 0 \), of an impulse started at \( \mathbf{r} \) at a time \( -t \), that is \( t \) earlier.

To prove (7.3.3) let us write the equations satisfied by both of the Green’s functions:

\[
\nabla^2 G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0)}{\partial t^2} = -4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \delta(t - t_0)
\]

\[
\nabla^2 G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0)}{\partial t^2} = -4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \delta(t - t_0)
\]

Multiplying the first of these by \( G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) \) and the second by \( G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \), subtracting, and integrating over the region under investigation and over time \( t \) from \(-\infty \) to \( t' \) where \( t' > t_0 \) and \( t' > t_0 \), then

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt' \int dV \left\{ G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \nabla^2 G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) - G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) \nabla^2 G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \right\} = 4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r}_0, 0) \delta(t_0 - t_0) - G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \}
\tag{7.3.4}
\]

The left-hand side of the above equation may be transformed by use of Green’s theorem and by the identity

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) - G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \right]
\]

\[
= G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) - G(\mathbf{r}, -t | \mathbf{r}_0, -t_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G(\mathbf{r}, t | \mathbf{r}_0, 0)
\]
We obtain for the left-hand side
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{t^*} dt \int dS \cdot [G(r,t|r_0,t_0) \text{ grad } G(r,-t|r_1,-t_1) \\
- G(r,-t|r_1,-t_1) \text{ grad } G(r,t|r_0,t_0)] \\
+ \frac{1}{c^2} \int dV \left[ G(r,t|r_0,t_0) \frac{\partial G(r,-t|r_1,-t_1)}{\partial t} - G(r,-t|r_1,-t_1) \frac{\partial G(r,t|r_0,t_0)}{\partial t} \right]_{t_0=-\infty}^{t^*}
\]

The first of these integrals vanishes, for both Green's functions satisfy the same homogeneous boundary conditions on S. The second also vanishes, as we shall now see. At the lower limit both \(G(r,-\infty|r_0,t_0)\) and its time derivative vanish in virtue of the causality condition. At the time \(t = t^*\), \(G(r,-t^*|r_1,-t_1)\) and its time derivative vanish, since \(-t^*\) is earlier than \(-t_1\). Thus the left hand side of (7.3.4) is zero, yielding reciprocity theorem (7.3.3).

We shall demonstrate that it is possible to express the solution (including initial conditions) of the inhomogeneous problem for the scalar wave equation in terms of known inhomogeneities in the Green's function. We shall need Eq. (7.3.1):
\[
\nabla_0^2 \psi(r_0,t_0) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t_0^2} = -4\pi q(r_0,t_0)
\]
also
\[
\nabla^2 G(r,t|r_0,t_0) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 G(r,t|r_0,t_0)}{\partial t_0^2} = -4\pi \delta(r-r_0) \delta(t-t_0)
\]

This last equation may be obtained from (7.3.2) by the use of the reciprocity relation. As is usual, multiply the first equation by \(G\) and the second by \(\psi\) and subtract. Integrate over the volume of interest and over \(t_0\) from 0 to \(t^*\). By the symbol \(t^*\) we shall mean \(t + \epsilon\) where \(\epsilon\) is arbitrarily small. This limit is employed in order to avoid ending the integration exactly at the peak of a delta function. When employing the final formulas, it is important to keep in mind the fact that the limit is \(t^*\) rather than just \(t\). One obtains
\[
\int_0^{t^*} dt_0 \int dV_0 \left[ G \nabla_0^2 \psi - \psi \nabla_0^2 G + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial t_0} \psi - G \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t_0} \right) \right] \\
= 4\pi \left\{ \psi(r,t) - \int_0^{t^*} dt_0 \int dV_0 \omega_q(r_0,t_0) G \right\}
\]

Again employing Green's theorem, etc., we obtain
\[
\int_0^{t^*} dt_0 \int dS_0 \cdot (G \text{ grad}_0 \psi - \psi \text{ grad}_0 G) + \frac{1}{c^2} \int dV_0 \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial t_0} \psi - G \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t_0} \right]_{t_0=0}^{t^*} \\
= 4\pi \int_0^{t^*} dt_0 \int dV_0 \omega_q(r_0,t_0) G = 4\pi \psi(r,t)
\]
The integrand in the first integral is specified by boundary conditions. In the second integral, the integrand vanishes when \( t = t^+ \) is introduced by virtue of the initial conditions on \( G \). The remaining limit involves only initial conditions. Hence,

\[
4\pi \psi(r, t) = 4\pi \int_0^{t^+} \frac{dt_0}{t_0} \int dV \delta(x, t|t_0, t_0) g(x_0, t_0)
\]

\[
= \int_0^{t^+} \frac{dt_0}{t_0} \oint dS_0 \cdot (G \text{ grad}_0 \psi - \psi \text{ grad}_0 G)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^{t^+} \frac{dt_0}{t_0} \int dV_0 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial t_0} \right)_{t_0=0} \psi_0(x_0) - G_0 \psi_0(x_0) \right]
\]

(7.3.5)

where \( \psi_0(x_0) \) and \( v_0(x_0) \) are the initial values of \( \psi \) and \( \partial \psi / \partial t \).

Equation (7.3.5) gives the complete solution of the inhomogeneous problem including the satisfaction of initial conditions. The surface integrals, as in the Helmholtz case, must be carefully defined. As in that case we shall take a surface value to be the limit of the value of the function as the surface is approached from the interior.

The first two integrals on the right side of the above Eq. (7.3.5) are much the same sort as those appearing in the analogous equation for the case of the Helmholtz equation. The first represents the effect of sources; the second the effect of the boundary conditions on the space boundaries. The last term involves the initial conditions. We may interpret it by asking what sort of source \( q \) is needed in order to start the function \( \psi \) at \( t = 0 \) in the manner desired. We may expect that this will require an impulsive type force at a time \( t = 0^+ \). From (7.3.5) we can show that the source term required to duplicate the initial conditions is

\[
(1/c^2)[\psi_0(x_0) \delta'(t_0) + v_0(x_0) \delta(t_0)]
\]

where by \( \delta'(t_0) \) we mean the derivative of the \( \delta \) function. It has the property

\[
\int_a^b f(x) \delta'(x) \, dx = \begin{cases} -f'(0); & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ is within interval } (a, b) \\ 0; & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ is outside interval } (a, b) \end{cases}
\]

The physical significance of these terms may be understood. A term of type \( v_0 \delta(t_0) \) is required to represent an impulsive force, which gives each point of the medium an initial velocity \( v_0(x_0) \). To obtain an initial displacement, an impulse delivered at \( t_0 = 0 \) must be allowed to develop for a short time until the required displacement is achieved. At this time a second impulse is applied to reduce the velocity to zero but leave the displacement unchanged. It may be seen that the first term \( \psi(x_0, t_0) \delta'(t_0) \) has this form if it is written

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \psi(x_0, t_0) \left[ \frac{\delta(t_0 + \epsilon) - \delta(t_0 - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon} \right] \right)
\]
Form of the Green's Function. Knowledge of $G$ is necessary to make (7.3.5) usable. As in the case of the scalar Helmholtz equation we shall first find $G$ for the infinite domain. Let us call this function $g$. The method employed in the scalar Helmholtz case involves assessing the relative strength of the singularities in the functions $\nabla^2 g$ and $\partial^2 g/\partial t^2$ in the equation

$$\nabla^2 g - \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial t^2} \right) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \delta(t - t_0)$$

It may be argued that $\nabla^2 g$ is the more singular, since it involves the second derivative of a three-dimensional delta function $\delta(r - r') = \delta(x - x') \cdot \delta(y - y') \delta(z - z')$. Such an argument is not very satisfying. However, for the moment, let us assume it to be true. We shall return to the above equation later and derive the result we shall obtain in a more rigorous manner.

Integrating both sides of the equation over a small spherical volume surrounding the point $r = r_0$, that is, $R = 0$, and neglecting the time derivative term, one obtains as in the previous section

$$g \rightarrow \frac{\delta(t - t_0)}{R}$$

(7.3.6)

As before we now proceed to find a solution of the homogeneous equation satisfying this condition, for it is clear that $g$ satisfies the equation

$$\nabla^2 g - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial t^2} = 0; \quad R \text{ and } t - t_0 \text{ not equal to zero}$$

At $R = 0$ condition (7.3.6) must be employed. Since we are dealing with point sources in an infinite medium $g$ is a function of $R$ rather than of $r$ and $r_0$ separately. Hence

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( R^2 \frac{\partial g}{\partial R} \right) - \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial t^2} \right) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial^2 (gR)}{\partial R^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 (gR)}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

(7.3.7)

The solutions of this equation are

$$g = \frac{h[(R/c) - (t - t_0)] + k[(R/c) + (t - t_0)]}{R}$$

where $h$ and $k$ are any functions. Comparing with condition (7.3.6) we see that two possibilities (or any linear combination of these) occur, $\delta((R/c) - (t - t_0))/R$ or $\delta((R/c) + (t - t_0))/R$. The second of these must be eliminated, for it does not satisfy the condition imposed earlier, which requires that the effect of an impulse at a time $t_0$ be felt at a distance $R$ away at a time $t > t_0$. Therefore

$$g = \frac{\delta((R/c) - (t - t_0))}{R}; \quad R, t - t_0 > 0$$

(7.3.8)
§7.3]  
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representing a spherical shell about the source, expanding with a radial velocity $c$. 

We may now make an a posteriori check of our initial assumption, that the singularity of $\nabla_y \psi$ was greater than that for $\delta y/\partial y$. This is indicated by the presence of the $1/R$ factor, but to prove it requires a rather nice balancing of infinities. We therefore stop to put (7.3.8) on a more firm footing and only then return to discuss the deductions which follow from this formula. Using spherical coordinates for $\delta(R) = \delta(r - r_0)$ and defining 

$$\tau = t - t_0$$

it is immediately possible to retrace the steps leading to (7.3.7) and obtain the more general equation, valid also for $R$ and $\tau$ equal to zero, 

$$\frac{\partial^2(R\delta)}{\partial R^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2(R\delta)}{\partial \tau^2} = - \frac{2\delta(R)}{R} \delta(\tau)$$

The numerical factor 2 enters because the variable $R$ can never be negative. Hence $\int_0^\infty \delta(R) \, dR = \frac{1}{2}$. 

To proceed further it is desirable to employ the relation 

$$\delta(R)/R = -\delta'(R)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3.9) 

To demonstrate this multiply $\delta(R)/R$ by a differentiable function $f(R)$ and integrate over $R$. Let $f(R) = f(0) + f'(0)R + f''(0)(R^2/2!) + \cdots$. Then 

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(R)\delta(R)}{R} \, dR = f(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(R)}{R} \, dR + f'(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(R) \, dR$$

$$+ \frac{f''(0)}{2!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R\delta(R) \, dR + \cdots$$

The first of these terms is an integral over an odd function, so that it has a Cauchy principal value of zero; the second one gives $f'(0)$; the third and all higher terms give zero. Hence 

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(R)\delta(R)}{R} \, dR = f'(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(R)\delta(R) \, dR = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(R)\delta'(R) \, dR$$

This equation may also be derived more directly from the definition of derivative as follows: 

$$\delta'(R) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \frac{\delta(R + \epsilon) - \delta(R - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon} \right] = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\delta(R + \epsilon)}{R} - \frac{\delta(R - \epsilon)}{R} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{\delta(R)}{R}$$
Returning to the equation, we may now write
\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} (Rg) - \frac{1}{c^2 \partial \tau^2} (Rg) = 2\delta'(R) \delta(\tau) \]

It is clearly appropriate to introduce the variables
\[ \xi = R - cr; \quad \eta = R + cr \]

(7.3.10)

The meaning of \( \delta'(R) \delta(\tau) \) in the new variables must also be determined. To do this note that

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \, dR \, f(\tau, R) \delta'(R) \delta(\tau) = -\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} \right)_{\tau, \eta = 0} \]

This may be rewritten \(-[(\partial f/\partial \xi) + (\partial f/\partial \eta)]\eta = 0\). Hence under transformation (7.3.10)

\[ \delta'(R) \delta(\tau) = 2c[\delta'(\xi) \delta(\eta) + \delta'(\eta) \delta(\xi)] \]

(7.3.11)

The factor \( 2c \) is just the inverse of the change of volume element under the transformation from variables \((R, \tau)\) to \((\xi, \eta)\).

In the new variables \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) the equation satisfied by \( Rg \) becomes, therefore,

\[ \frac{\partial^2 (Rg)}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \eta = \delta'(\xi) \delta(\eta) + \delta'(\eta) \delta(\xi) \]

or

\[ Rg = c \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} d\eta \, \delta'(\xi) \delta(\eta) + c \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} d\xi \int_{0}^{\eta} d\eta \, \delta(\xi) \delta'(\eta) \]

The limits of integration have been chosen in such a fashion as to yield a solution satisfying the required initial conditions. Upon integration,

\[ Rg = c \delta(\xi)u(\eta) - c \delta(\eta)[1 - u(\xi)] \]

(7.3.12)

where \( u(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0; & \eta < 0; \\ 1; & \eta > 0 \end{cases} \); therefore \( 1 - u(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1; & \xi < 0 \\ 0; & \xi > 0 \end{cases} \)

The second term of (7.3.12) may be dropped; we can show that one or both of its factors are zero everywhere. The function \( \delta(\eta) \) differs from zero only when \( \eta = 0 \) (i.e., when \( cr = -R \)), but at that point \( \xi = 2R \) so that \( 1 - u(\xi) = 0 \). On the other hand, in the first term \( \delta(\xi) \) differs from zero when \( cr = R \), where \( \eta = 2R \) and \( u(\eta) = 1 \). Solving for \( g \) in (7.3.12), replacing \( \xi \) by \([R - c(t - t_0)]\) and \( u(\eta) \) by 1 (for \( R, t - t_0 > 1 \)), \( E_0 \). (7.3.12) becomes (7.3.8) as desired.

In order to obtain some concept as to the meaning of (7.3.8), consider the infinite-domain case with initial conditions \( \psi = \partial \psi/\partial t = 0 \) at \( t = 0 \). Then

\[ \psi(\tau, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{t} d\tau_0 \int_{V_0} dV_0 \left[ \frac{\delta[(R/c) - (t - t_0)]}{R} \right] q(\mathbf{x}_0, \tau_0); \quad t^+ = t + \epsilon \]

and finally,

\[ \psi(\tau, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{V} dV \left[ \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_0, t - R/c)}{R} \right] \]

(7.3.13)
We see that the effect at $\mathbf{r}$ at a time $t$ is "caused" by the value of the source function $q$ at $\mathbf{r}_0$ at a time $t - (1/c) |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0|$. This is just the statement that the velocity of propagation of the disturbance is $c$. When the velocity of propagation becomes infinite, the solution reduces to the familiar solution of the Poisson equation, a potential as it should, since the inhomogeneous scalar wave equation becomes the Poisson equation in this limit. As a consequence (7.3.13) is often referred to as the retarded potential solution.

**Field of a Moving Source.** As a simple example consider a point source traveling in an infinite medium with a velocity $\mathbf{v}$. Then $q = q_0 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0)$, where $q_0$ is related to the strength of the source. From (7.3.5)

$$
\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{q_0}{4\pi} \int_0^t dt_0 \int dV_0 \left\{ \frac{\delta((R/c) - (t - t_0)) \delta(\mathbf{r}_0 - \mathbf{v}t_0)}{R} \right\}
$$

$$
= \frac{q_0}{4\pi} \int_0^t dt_0 \left\{ \delta((1/c)||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0|| - (t - t_0)) \right\}
$$

Let

$$
p = (1/c)||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0|| + t_0
$$

Then

$$
dp = dt_0 \left[ \frac{1}{c||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0||} + 1 \right]
$$

so that

$$
\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{q_0}{4\pi} \int_{t_0}^{t + (1/c)||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0||} \frac{dp \delta(p - t)}{\left( (1/c)(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0) + ||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0|| \right)}
$$

The singularity of the $\delta$ function occurs when $p = t$. This must define a time $t_0$ such that a signal emitted by the particle at a time $t_0$ will arrive at $\mathbf{r}$ at a time $t$ (see Fig. 7.9). The time $(t - t_0)$ equals therefore the distance traveled, $||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0||$ divided by $c$, $t - t_0 = ||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0||/c$, which is just $p = t$. The quantity $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0 = \mathbf{r}_0$ is thus the distance of the source from the observation point $\mathbf{r}$, at a time $p/c$ earlier than time $t$. The time $t_0$, called the retarded time, is the solution of the equation $p = t$, giving the time and therefore the source position $\mathbf{v}t_0$ at the retarded time. Integrating over $p$

$$
\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{q_0}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{(1/c)(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0) + ||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0||} \right]_{p = t}
$$

Introducing $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t_0$, we have

$$
\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{q_0}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\rho - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \rho/c)} \quad (7.3.14)
$$
where \( \mathbf{q} \) is a vector drawn from the position of the source to the observation point at a time \( t_0 = t - \rho/c \). We have obtained this solution in Chap. 2 by another method, where it was shown that the factor \( 1 - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}/c^2) \) had to be introduced in order to take into account the fact that the source moves in the course of the integration, the factor being required for normalization of the source (see page 214).

**Two-dimensional Solution.** If the source distribution \( q \) is independent of \( z \), we have a problem in which the dependence on space is only two-dimensional; that is, \( \psi \) depends only upon \( z \) and \( y \). The “two-dimensional point source” for such a problem is a line source, a uniform source extending from \( z_0 = -\infty \) to \( z_0 = +\infty \) along a line parallel to the \( z \) axis passing through \((x_0,y,0)\). The Green's function for two-dimensional problems may be therefore found by integrating the three-dimensional point source from \( z_0 = -\infty \) to \( z_0 = +\infty \):

\[
g(\mathbf{q},0|z_0,t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta((R/c) - (t - t_0))}{R} \, dz_0
\]

where \( \mathbf{q} = xi + yj \) is the radius vector in the \( x, y \) plane. We may expect that \( q \) is a function of \( |\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}\| \approx P \) and of \( t - t_0 = \tau \). Indeed, the above equation may be rewritten

\[
g(P,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta((R/c) - \tau)}{R} \, d\xi
\]

where \( \xi = z_0 - z \) and where

\[
R^2 = \xi^2 + P^2; \quad d\xi/dR = R/\xi
\]

Hence

\[
g(P,\tau) = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\delta((R/c) - \tau)}{\sqrt{R^2 - \xi^2}} \, dR = \frac{2}{\sqrt{c^2 \tau^2 - P^2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \delta((R/c) - \tau) \, dR
\]

or finally

\[
g(P,\tau) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2c}{\sqrt{c^2 \tau^2 - P^2}}; & P < c\tau \\
0; & P > c\tau
\end{cases} \quad (7.3.15)
\]

We see, from Eq. (7.3.15), a striking difference between the two- and the three-dimensional cases. In three dimensions, the effect of an impulse, after a time \( \tau \) has elapsed, will be found concentrated on a sphere of radius \( R = c\tau \) whose center is at the source point. This is a virtue of the function \( \delta((R/c) - \tau) \) which occurs in (7.3.8). In two dimensions, the effect at a time \( \tau \) due to an impulsive source is spread over the entire region \( P < c\tau \). To be sure, there is a singularity at \( P = c\tau \), but this is very weak indeed when compared with the \( \delta \) function singularity in the three-dimensional case. The explanation of the difference may be readily seen by examining the line source in three dimensions. The effects, after a time \( \tau \) has elapsed, of each point source constituting the
line source will be found in a different region of the $xy$ plane. Thus we infer that an impulsive line source does not emit a cylindrical shell wave with the disturbance present only at the wave surface $P = cr$. Rather there is a "wake," which trails off behind this wave surface. This wake is characteristic of two-dimensional problems and is not encountered in either the three- or one-dimensional problems as we shall see. This has been mentioned in pages 145 and 687.

**One-dimensional Solutions.** The three-dimensional source distribution corresponding to a Green's function which depends only upon $(x - x_0)$ (not upon $y - y_0$ and $z - z_0$) is the plane source upon which point sources (or equivalent line sources) are uniformly distributed. Such Green's functions are useful for problems in which there is no space dependence upon $y$ or $z$. It may be obtained from (7.3.15) by integrating $g(P,r)$ over $y_0$ keeping $x$ and $x_0$ fixed. Let $\xi = x - x_0$, $\eta = y - y_0$; then

$$g(\xi,\tau) = 2c \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 + c^2 - \xi^2 - \eta^2}} \; d\eta; \quad \gamma = \sqrt{c^2 + c^2}; \quad |\xi| < cr$$

$$= 0; \quad |\xi| > cr$$

The integration over $\eta$ may be easily performed to yield $2\pi$, so that

$$g(\xi,\tau) = 2\pi \left[ 1 - \nu \left( \frac{|\xi|}{c} - \tau \right) \right] \quad (7.3.16)$$

Again we note that the effect of an impulse delivered at a time $t_0$, at the point $x_0$, for one-dimensional situations (or on the entire plane $x = x_0$ in three dimensions) is not concentrated at the point $|x - x_0| = \pm c(t - t_0)$ but rather exists throughout the region of extent $2c(t - t_0)$ with the source point $x_0$ at the mid-point.

**Initial Conditions.** To obtain a better grasp of the significance of the various expressions (7.3.8), (7.3.15), and (7.3.16), let us discuss the initial-value problem. Suppose that $v_0$ and $\psi_0$, the initial velocity and displacement, are known at every point in space; what are the velocity and displacement at a time $t$, assuming no sources present, that is $q = 0$? The solution of this problem may be obtained from (7.3.5):

$$\psi(r,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int dV_0 \left[ g_{q_0,0}(r_0) - \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial t_0} \right)_{t_0=0} \psi_0(r_0) \right] \quad (7.3.17)$$

The integration extends over all of space [we have also assumed that the surface integral in (7.3.5) vanishes at infinity].

Let us consider the one-dimensional case first, where the evaluation of (7.3.17) is simple and the interpretation of the result is straightforward. For one dimension, (7.3.17) becomes

$$\psi(x,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int dx_0 \left[ g_{q_0,0}(x_0) - \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_0} \right)_{x_0=0} \psi_0(x_0) \right]$$
where \( g \) is given by Eq. (7.3.16). The functions \( g_{n=0} \) and \( (\partial g/\partial t)_{n=0} \) have the values \( 2\pi \delta_{n=0} (|x|/c - t) \) and \( -2\pi \delta_{n=0} (|x|/c - t) \), respectively, where \( |x| = |x - x_0| \). The integration may be easily performed to yield

\[
\psi(x,t) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x-d}^{x+d} \phi_0(x') dx' + \psi_0(x - ct) + \psi_0(x + ct) \quad (7.3.18)
\]

This is the familiar d'Alembert solution of the initial problem in one dimension [see Eq. (11.1.58)]. It may be also obtained directly from the differential equation itself (see page 685).

From Eq. (7.3.18) we see that, if the medium, say a string, is given an initial displacement with no velocity, the initial pulse breaks up into two identical waves, one traveling in the positive \( x \) direction and the other in the negative \( x \) direction. The sum of the two waves at \( t = 0 \) adds up to the initial displacement \( \psi_0 \). For some time thereafter, while they partially overlap, the composite shape will be rather complicated, until they finally separate. This behavior is illustrated in Fig. 7.10 above. Note that there is no wake trailing off behind each wave. For two-dimensional problems we shall find that such a wake is developed.

The initial problem in two dimensions is solved by (7.3.17). Here it is convenient to place the origin at the point of observation. Then

\[
\psi(0,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 c^2} \int dS_0 \left( g_{n=0}(0) - \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \right)_{t=0} \psi_0(0) \right)
\]

where \( g \) is given by Eq. (7.3.15). Introducing polar coordinates and observing that \( \partial g/\partial \theta = -(\partial g/\partial t) \), then

---

**Fig. 7.10** Motions of plucked and struck strings. The solid lines give the shapes of the strings at successive times and the dotted lines give the shapes of the two “partial waves,” traveling in opposite directions, whose sum is the shape of the string.
\[ \psi(0,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi c} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_0 \int_0^t \rho_0 \, d\rho_0 \frac{\sqrt{\gamma t^2 - \rho_0^2}}{\rho_0^2} \psi_0(\rho_0) \right\} 
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_0 \int_0^t \rho_0 \, d\rho_0 \frac{\psi_0(\rho_0)}{\sqrt{\gamma t^2 - \rho_0^2}} \right] \] (7.3.19)

This equation shows that the value of \( \psi \) at a point depends upon the original values of \( \partial \psi / \partial t \) and \( \psi \) within a circle of radius \( ct \) about the observation point.

As in the discussion of the one-dimensional case, consider the initial condition \( \psi_0 = 0 \). Moreover, let \( \psi_0(\rho_0) = \delta(\rho_0 - \rho) \); that is, let the initial motion be an impulse delivered at point \( \rho \). Then

\[ \psi(0,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_0 \int_0^t \rho_0 \, d\rho_0 \frac{\delta(\rho - \rho_0)}{\sqrt{\gamma t^2 - \rho_0^2}} \right] 
= \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2\pi c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma t^2 - \rho^2}} \right] ; & \text{if } \rho < ct \\
0 ; & \text{if } \rho > ct
\end{cases} \]

Combining and differentiating result in

\[ \psi(0,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{u(ct - \rho)}{\sqrt{\gamma t^2 - \rho^2}} \right] 
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{ct \, u(ct - \rho)}{(c^2t^2 - \rho^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\delta(ct - \rho)}{\sqrt{c^2t^2 - \rho^2}} \right\} \]

Thus the signal at the origin is zero until \( ct = \rho \). At this time, a pulse (the second term in the above expression) arrives at the origin. This is, however, followed by a wake trailing off behind the pulse as described by the first term, which, for \( ct \gg \rho \), decreases with time as \( 1/c^2t^2 \). Contrast this to the results obtained for a similar pulse at \( x_0 \) in the one-dimensional case. There the signal will arrive at a point \( x \) at a time \( |x - x_0|/c \). It will be an exact duplicate of the original signal except for a reduction in amplitude by \( \frac{1}{2} \); there will be no wake. This difference between one- and two-dimensional cases is illustrated in Fig. 7.11.

The presence of a wake is characteristic of propagation in uniform media in two dimensions. In three as well as in one dimension, the shape of pulse remains unchanged in propagating away from its initial position. This is an immediate consequence of the form of the Green's function for three dimensions as given by Eq. (7.3.8). The presence of the \( \delta \) function prevents the formation of a wake. It does not necessarily guarantee that the pulse is unchanged in shape. In one-dimensional problems the shape of a pulse is preserved in which a given displacement (with zero velocity) is initially established. In three dimensions, the reverse is true. The shape of a pulse is maintained in which the initial displacement is zero and the velocity is given initially.
We may see this by deriving the analogue of (7.3.19) and (7.3.18). Introducing the three-dimensional Green's function into (7.3.17) and taking the origin at the observation point, we have

$$\psi(0,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int d\Omega_0 \int d\theta_0 \left[ r_0 \delta \left( \frac{r_0}{c} - t \right) v_0(r_0) - r_0 \delta' \left( \frac{r_0}{c} - t \right) \varphi_0(r_0) \right]$$

where $d\Omega_0$ is the element of solid angle of the sphere in the "subzero" coordinates (that is, $\sin \theta_0 \, d\theta_0 \, d\phi_0$). It is immediately clear that the

---

**Fig. 7.11** Comparison between the behavior of string and membrane. First sketches show initial shapes, the lower ones the shapes at successive instants later. One-quarter of the membrane has been cut away to show the shape of the membrane, with the "wake" following the initial sharp wave front.

Effects which occur at the observation point at a time $t$ have their origin in the conditions initially present on the surface of a sphere of radius $ct$ centered about the observation point. The integration over $r_0$ may now be performed. We need to write in the dependence of $\psi_0$ and $v_0$ on the coordinates $\theta_0$, $\phi_0$ on the spherical surface so that $\psi_0(r_0) = \psi_0(r_0, \theta_0, \phi_0)$. The first integral is evaluated by employing the properties of the $\delta$ function. We obtain $[e^{i\omega_0(\xi, \theta_0, \phi_0)}]$.

To evaluate the second term, one may integrate by parts or one may employ the following property of $\delta'$ (see page 837):
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\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\omega} \bar{f}(\omega') \delta(\omega' - \omega) \ d\omega' = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(\omega) \]

Thus the second term is \(-c^2 \{ \partial \{ \psi_0(ct, \theta_0, \phi_0) \} / \partial t \} \). Collecting these results one finally obtains

\[ \psi(0,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega_0 \left\{ \text{tr}_0 \left( ct, \theta_0, \phi_0 \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \psi_0(0t, ct, \theta_0, \phi_0) \right\} \right\} \quad (7.3.20) \]

The direct dependence of \( \psi \) on \( \theta_0 \) and the appearance of the derivative acting upon \( \psi_0 \) are in accordance with our introductory remarks. Equation (7.3.20) is known as Poisson’s solution.

**Huygens’ Principle.** The Green’s function for the infinite region may also be used to obtain the mathematical expression of *Huygens’ principle*. From an elementary point of view Huygens’ principle postulates that each point on a wave front acts as a point source emitting a spherical wave which travels with a velocity \( c \). The field at a given point some time later is then the sum of the fields of each of these point sources; the envelope of the wavelets from all the points is the next wave front.

To derive this principle, we turn to the general equation (7.3.5) and consider the situation where there are no sources (that is, \( q = 0 \) within a surface \( S \)) and, in addition, the initial values of \( \psi \) and \( \partial \psi / \partial t \) are zero. We see that the volume integral in Eq. (7.3.5), involving the initial condition, vanishes, so that all that is left is

\[ \psi(r,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^t \int d\Omega_0 \cdot \left( \frac{\delta(t_0 - t + R/c)}{R} \right) \]  

\[ \cdot \text{grad}_0 \psi \left( \frac{\delta(t_0 - t + R/c)}{R} \right) \]

where we have inserted Eq. (7.3.8) into what is left of (7.3.5). Integrating over \( t_0 \) is not too difficult for the first term:

\[ \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{R} \right) \delta \left( t_0 - t + \frac{R}{c} \right) \text{grad}_0 \psi(r_0, t_0) \ dt_0 = \left( \frac{1}{R} \right) \text{grad}_0 \psi \left( r_0, t - \frac{R}{c} \right) \]

The second term is not much more difficult as long as we watch our \( \delta \)'s and \( (\delta) \)'s. We have

\[ \int_0^t \psi \text{grad}_0 \left( \frac{\delta(t_0 - t + R/c)}{R} \right) \ dt_0 \]

\[ = \int_0^t \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( \frac{\delta(t_0 - t + R/c)}{R} \right) \text{grad}_0 R \ dt_0 \]

\[ = \int_0^t \psi(r_0, t_0) (R/R^2) \left[ -\delta \left( t_0 - t + \frac{R}{c} \right) + \frac{R}{c} \delta' \left( t_0 - t + \frac{R}{c} \right) \right] \ dt_0 \]

\[ = -\frac{R}{R^2} \left\{ \psi \left( r_0, t - \frac{R}{c} \right) \right\} + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi \right] \left( 0, t - \frac{R}{c} \right) \right\} \}_{t-\epsilon \to t} \]
Consequently the potential at a point \( r \) and time \( t \), inside a surface \( S \) containing no sources and having null initial values internally, is given entirely by the integral of surface values on \( S \):

\[
\psi(r,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint dS_0 \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{1}{R} \right) \text{grad}_0 \psi(r_0,t_0) + \left( \frac{R}{R^2} \right) \psi(r_0,t_0) \right.
\]

\[
- \left. \left( \frac{R}{cR^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} \psi(r_0,t_0) \right|_{t=t-(R/c)} \tag{7.3.21}
\]

If now part of the surface \( S_0 \) is along a wave front and the rest is at infinity or where \( \psi \) is zero, we can say that the field value \( \psi \) at \( (r,t) \) is "caused" by the field \( \psi \) in the wave front at a time \( t - (R/c) \) earlier. From another point of view, the effect of the wave front on the field in front of it at a later time is equivalent to that of a distribution of sources over the surface of the wave front: an ordinary surface charge proportional to the gradient of \( \psi \) normal to the wave front, a double layer proportional to \( \psi \) itself, and a curious single sheet, proportional to the time rate of change of \( \psi \) on the surface, which is strongest straight ahead of the surface but drops off proportional to the cosine of the angle between the normal to the surface and the direction of propagation (i.e., the direction of \( R \)).

In most cases (except for simple and trivial ones) the exact values of \( \psi \), grad \( \psi \), and \( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \) along a whole wave front are not known exactly. But in a great many cases of interest these quantities are approximately known, so that Eq. (7.3.21) may be used to compute approximate values of \( \psi \) at a later time. This will be discussed in detail in Sec. 11.4.

**Boundaries in the Finite Region.** We turn next to the effects of introducing boundaries upon which Green's functions must satisfy specific boundary conditions. The techniques which may be employed here are very similar to those which we discussed in the steady-state case in the preceding Sec. 7.2. As in that case, there are two methods: the method of images and the method of eigenfunctions. Let us discuss the image method first, in which we shall utilize our knowledge of the Green's function for the infinite domain. The only singularity which occurs is naturally at the source point at the time the impulse is initiated, so that generally

\[
G(r,t|\mathbf{x}_0,t_0) = \frac{\delta(t_0 - t - (R/c))}{T} + F(r,t|\mathbf{x}_0,t_0)
\]

where \( F \) is a solution of the homogeneous wave equation which is free of singularities in the region under discussion.

A simple example is useful here. In Fig. 7.12 the source is at \( Q \); it is initiated at \( t_0 \). An infinite rigid plane is at \( z = 0 \). To satisfy the boundary conditions on the plane we start an image pulse at \( I \), the image of \( Q \) at the same time \( t_0 \). To obtain the proper cancellation at \( z = 0 \), the
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effects of these must be added so that

\[ G(x,t|x_0,t_0) = \frac{\delta[t_0 - t + (R/c)]}{R} + \frac{\delta[t_0 - t + (R'/c)]}{R'} \]

It may be easily verified that \((\partial G/\partial x)_{x=0}\) is zero for all time \(t\). The effect of the second term is to give a reflection which occurs at \(x = 0\) at the correct time. This is the only reflection which takes place, and thus only one term in addition to the Green's function for the infinite domain is required. We note that in the case of the wave equation it is necessary to specify not only the position of the image but also the time \(t'\) at which the image pulse is started. Fortunately, in most problems this has a simple solution, in that all the images are started at the same time \(t'\) as the source pulse itself. For sufficiently regular geometries, it is possible to employ the image method much as in the case of the Helmholtz equation.

**Eigenfunction Expansions.** We utilize the Green's function for the Helmholtz equation. The expression \(G(t|x_0)e^{-i\omega t}\) is a solution of the wave equation with a simple-harmonic point source located at \(x_0\). We have, for \(\omega = kc\),

\[ \nabla^2 [G(x)e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)}] - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [G(x)e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)}] = -4\pi \delta(x - x_0)e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)} \]

By properly superposing these simple harmonic solutions it is possible to obtain the Green's function for a pulse at a point in space, corresponding to Eq. (7.3.2). For this purpose we employ the integral representation of the \(\delta\) function:

\[ \delta(t - t_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega(t-t_0)} d\omega \]

From linearity we expect that the Green's function for the pulse is related to the Helmholtz equation solution by the equation

\[ G(x,t|x_0,t_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x|r_0)e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)} d\omega \]  \hspace{1cm} (7.3.22)

where \(\omega = kc\) and \(G(x|r_0)e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)} = G(x|x_0)\). This relation will be derived in a more painstaking manner in Sec. 11.1. The simplicity of Eq. (7.3.22) is misleading. It may be recalled that for finite regions \(G(x)\) has singu-
larities whenever \( k = k_n \), where \( k_n \) is an eigenvalue of the scalar Helm-
holtz equation for a solution \( \psi_n \) satisfying the boundary condition satisfied
by \( G_k \). More explicitly, if the \( \psi_n \)'s are normalized, then from (7.2.39),
\[
G_k = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{\psi_n(r_0)\psi_n(r)}{k_n^2 - k^2}
\]
Thus integration (7.3.22) cannot proceed along the real axis of \( \omega \) (or \( k \))
but must avoid these singularities in some fashion. The contour choice is
dictated by the postulate of causality discussed earlier. To see this let us
introduce the expansion for \( G_k \) into integral (7.3.22):
\[
G(r,t|r_0,t_0) = 2c^2 \sum_n \psi_n(r_0)\psi_n(r) \int^\infty_{-\omega_n} \frac{e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)}}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2} d\omega; \quad \omega_n = ck_n
\]
The contour must be chosen so that \( G(r,t|r_0,t_0) = 0 \) when \( t < t_0 \). The
appropriate contour is shown in Fig. 7.13. It is parallel to the real
axis and just above it. When \( t > t_0 \), the contour may be closed
in the lower half plane by a semi-
circle of large \( (\to \infty) \) radius without changing the value of the in-
tegral. We may now employ the
Cauchy integral formula (4.2.9)
to obtain \( (2\pi/\omega_n) \sin [\omega_n(t-t_0)] \).
When \( t < t_0 \), the contour may be
closed by a semicircle in the upper half plane. Since there are no poles in
the upper half plane, the value of the integral for \( t < t_0 \) is zero. Hence
\[
G(r,t|r_0,t_0) = 4\pi c^2 \sum_n \frac{\sin [\omega_n(t-t_0)]}{\omega_n} u(t-t_0)\psi_n(r_0)\psi_n(r) \quad (7.3.23)
\]
where \( u(t-t_0) \) is the unit function vanishing for \( t < t_0 \) and equaling
unity for \( t > t_0 \).
Employing (7.3.23) we may now obtain an explicit evaluation of the initial-
and boundary-value problem as given in (7.3.5). Let us consider
each term in (7.3.5) separately. The first term \( \psi_1 \) gives the effect of
sources distributed throughout the volume.
\[
\psi_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^t dt_0 \int dV_0 G(r,t|r_0,t_0)q(r_0,t_0)
\]
\[
e = c^2 \sum_n \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_0^t dt_0 \int dV_0 \sin[\omega_n(t-t_0)] \hat{\psi}_n(r_0)\psi_n(r)\hat{q}(r_0,t_0)
\]
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Let
\[ \phi_n(r, t) = \psi_n(r)e^{-in\omega t} \]

Then
\[ \psi_1 = -c^2 \text{Im} \left\{ \sum_n \frac{\phi_n(r, t)}{\omega_n} \int_0^t dt_0 \int dV_0 q(r_0, t_0) \phi_n(r, t_0) \right\} \tag{7.3.24} \]

We see that the amplitude of excitation of the \( n \)th mode is proportional to the multiple integral in (7.3.24). The excited amplitude is large when the space dependence of \( q \) is very similar to that of \( \phi_n \) and if the time dependence is close to \( e^{-in\omega t} \), a result which agrees with expectations. In the case of exact resonance \( q \approx e^{-in\omega t} \) we note that \( \psi_1 \) increases linearly with time and no longer oscillates.

The second term in Eq. (7.3.5) gives the effect of sources distributed on the boundary. The results are rather similar to those obtained from the first term. The third term involves the satisfaction of initial conditions. We require \((\partial G/\partial t)|_{t=0} = G_k|_{t=0}\) before proceeding.

\[ G(r, t|r_0, 0) = 4\pi c^2 \sum_n \frac{\sin(\omega_n t)}{\omega_n} u(t) \psi_n(r_0) \psi_n(r) \tag{7.3.25} \]

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} G(r, t|r_0, t_0) \right]_{t_0=0} = -4\pi c^2 \sum_n \cos(\omega_n t) u(t) \psi_n(r_0) \psi_n(r) \tag{7.3.26} \]

where we have placed \([\sin(\omega_n t)/\omega_n] \delta(t) = 0\). The third term \( \psi_3 \) in (7.3.5) becomes

\[ \psi_3 = \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\sin(\omega_n t)}{\omega_n} u(t) \psi_n(r) \int \psi_n(r_0) v_0(r_0) \, dV_0 \right\} \]

\[ + \cos(\omega_n t) \psi_n(r) \int \psi_n(r_0) v_0(r_0) \, dV_0 \tag{7.3.27} \]

We may verify at once that \( \psi_3(t = 0) \) is just \( \psi_0 \) and \((\partial \psi_3/\partial t)|_{t=0} = v_0 \) as it should. This also shows that we could have obtained Eq. (7.3.27) directly without going through the intermediary of the Green’s function. On the other hand it verifies the validity of fundamental formula (7.3.5) for the case of finite regions.

\textbf{Transient Motion of Circular Membrane}. An example will be useful at this point to illustrate the sort of results one obtains for a time-dependent problem. A circular membrane of radius \( a \) under a tension \( T \) and of mass \( \mu \) per unit area is given an initial displacement in a small region about its center. The edges of the membrane are fixed, so that the boundary conditions are \( \psi(r) = 0 \) at \( r = a \). We shall represent the initial conditions by means of a \( \delta \) function:

\[ \psi_0(r) = A \delta(r); \quad v_0(r) = 0 \tag{7.3.28} \]
Here \( A \) is a constant. Introducing (7.3.28) into (7.3.27), the solution of the initial-value problem yields

\[
\psi(r, t) = 1 \sum_{n} \cos(\omega_d t) \psi_n(r) \overline{\psi}_n(0)
\]  

(7.3.29)

To proceed further it is necessary to obtain the eigenfunction \( \psi_n(r) \). This will be discussed in great detail in Sec. 11.2. For the present we note that the Helmholtz equation separates in polar coordinates \((r, \phi)\) and that a general solution, which is finite and single-valued for \( r < a \), is a sum of terms \( e^{\pm \imath \phi} J_m(kr) \), where \( J_m \) is the Bessel function of the first kind, of order \( m \).

It is now necessary to introduce the boundary conditions at \( r = a \). This leads to an equation determining \( k \):

\[
J_m(ka) = 0
\]

(7.3.30)

Let the values of \( k \) satisfying this equation be \( k_{mp} \), the subscript \( m \) indicating the order of the Bessel function, the letter \( p \) indicating a particular root of (7.3.30). For the purposes of this illustration it will suffice to employ the asymptotic form for \( J_m(ka) \) [Eq. (5.3.68)]:

\[
J_m(ka) - \frac{\gamma'}{\pi a} \cos \left( ka - \frac{2m + 1}{4} \pi \right)
\]

Thus \( J_m(ka) \) is zero whenever the argument of the cosine is an odd number of \( \pi / 2 \):

\[
k_{mp} a \approx \frac{1}{4} (2m + 1) \pi + \frac{1}{2} (2p + 1) \pi; \quad p \text{ integer}
\]

We may now return to expression (7.3.29), giving the response of the membrane to an impulse at \( r = 0 \), \( t = 0 \). The functions \( \psi_n \) are thus

\[
\psi_n = N_{mp} J_m(k_{mp} r) e^{\imath \phi n}
\]

where to each \( n \) we associate a particular couple \((m, p)\) and a particular sign of the exponential. The factor \( N_{mp} \) is chosen so that

\[
\int \psi_n \overline{\psi}_n \, dA = 1
\]

where the area of integration is the membrane. For (7.3.29) we require \( J_m(0) \). Since \( J_m(z) \to 0 \) as \( z \to 0 \), we have that \( J_m(0) = k_{mp} \) [see Eq. (5.3.63)].

Hence the summation (7.3.29) reduces to a sum over zero-order Bessel functions. The absence of any angular dependence is not surprising in view of the circular symmetry of the initiating pulse (7.3.28). The response at any subsequent time \( t \) and at a position \( r \) is given by

\[
\psi(r, t) = 1 \sum_{p} \cos(k_{0p} t) N_{0p}^2 J_0(k_{0p} r)
\]

(7.3.31)
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Equation (7.3.31) is exact. Note that the set \( N_{ep} \cos (k_{ep}ct) \) describes the free radial vibrations of the membrane. Generally the response to an initial impulse may be expressed in terms of a superposition of free vibrations, each mode vibrating with its own frequency. This is to be contrasted to the response of the system to a steady driving force of a given frequency. In that case, the response has the same frequency as the driving force and the space dependence involves a superposition of the \( \psi_n(r) \)'s, all of them vibrating with the frequency of the driving force.

Let us consider the response back at the starting point, \( r = 0 \). Then (7.3.31) becomes

\[
\psi(0, t) = A \sum_p \cos (k_{ep}ct) N_{ep}^2
\]

We introduce the approximate value of the zeros:

\[
\psi(0, t) \approx A \sum_p \cos \left[ \left( \frac{2p + 3}{4} \right) \frac{\pi c t}{a} \right] N_{ep}^2
\]

(7.3.32)

When will the original pulse refocus at \( r = 0 \)? On first consideration we might think this would occur when \( t = 2a/c \), the time for the pulse to go to the edge of the membrane and back. This is, however, not the case. As may be seen from the asymptotic behavior of \( J_0(z) \approx \sqrt{2/\pi z} \cdot \cos (z - \frac{1}{4} \pi) \), a phase change of \( \pi/4 \) occurs in passing from the region \( r \approx 0 \) to \( r \approx a \). This is characteristic of propagation in two dimensions. No such phase change occurs in either one or three dimensions.

Because of this phase shift, it is necessary for two traversals from the center out to the edge to occur before a final phase shift of \( \pi \) occurs and the pulse is refocused. Hence we may expect that, when \( \omega = \pm i \alpha \), the pulse will reform itself at \( r = 0 \). This may be readily verified by substitution in (7.3.32) for \( \psi(0, 4a) \approx -A \sum_p N_{ep}^2 \). (The initial pulse \( \psi(0, 0) = A \sum N_{ep}^2 \).) We should like to emphasize again that this phenomenon occurs only in two dimensions; in one and three dimensions it does not occur. The pulse from the center of a sphere of radius \( a \) re-forms at the center at a time \( t = 2a/c \).

There is one final point which also shows the striking difference in wave propagation in two as compared with one or three dimensions. In the latter the initial pulse re-forms exactly at the proper time. In two dimensions this is not so because of the wake developed as the wave progresses. This may be seen in the present instance as follows. Expression (7.3.32) is approximate, for the approximate values of the roots of the Bessel function \( J_0 \) were utilized. If the precise values of the
roots had been employed, there would have been no value of \( ct \) at which the phase \( (k \nu \tau) \) would be exactly the same for all \( p \). In other words, there would be no value of \( ct \) for which the free vibration initiated by the pulse would have all returned to their initial phase. Thus the free vibrations would never interfere in the proper fashion to re-form the initial situation exactly.

As another example of the construction of Green's function for the scalar wave equation let us derive expression (7.3.8), the infinite space Green's function, by direct utilization of the superposition method. In that case

\[
G_0(r_0) = e^{ikr}/R; \quad k = \omega/c
\]

so that

\[
g(R, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(kR - \omega\tau)} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(\omega/c - \nu) - i} d\omega
\]

It should be noted that we have carefully chosen the relative sign between the factor \( kR \) and \( \omega \) to be such that \( e^{i(kR - \omega\tau)}/R \) represents a wave diverging from the source as time progresses, i.e., as \( \tau \) increases. This is the manner in which we satisfy the causality principle. We now make use of the integral representation for the \( \delta \) function, Eq. (7.3.22), to obtain

\[
g(R, \tau) = \delta(R/c - \nu)/R
\]

**Klein–Gordon Equation.** The Green's function for the time-dependent Klein-Gordon equation satisfies the equation

\[
\nabla^2 G - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \tau^2} - \kappa^2 G = -4\pi \delta(t - \tau_0) \delta(r - r_0) \quad (7.3.33)
\]

It is easy to verify that the Green's function for the Klein-Gordon equation may be employed in much the same way as the Green's function for the scalar wave equation. For example, the reciprocity condition (7.3.3) and the general solution (7.3.5) apply as well here. There are, however, important physical differences between the two. These may be best illustrated by considering the Klein-Gordon Green's function for the infinite domain, thus obtaining the analogue of Eq. (7.3.8). The function \( g(r, \tau|r_0, \tau_0) \) may be obtained by superposition of the solutions obtained for a simple harmonic time dependence \( e^{-\omega(t-t_0)} \) rather than the impulsive one given by \( \delta(t - \tau_0) \). The necessary superposition is given by Eq. (7.3.22). The individual solutions may be then given by

\[
[\nabla^2 + (\omega/c)^2 - \kappa^2] g(R|\sqrt{\omega^2 - (\nu^2)} - (\nu^2)^2] = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0)
\]
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The solution of this equation is

$$g = \frac{\exp \left[ i \sqrt{(\omega/c)^2 - \kappa^2} R \right]}{R}; \quad R = |r - r_0|$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3.34)

In the limit $\omega/c \gg \kappa$ Eq. (7.3.34) becomes $g = e^{i\omega R}/R$ as it should. For the opposite case $\omega/c \ll \kappa$

$$g \xrightarrow{\omega/c \ll \kappa} e^{-\kappa R}$$

giving a characteristically "damped" space dependence. This is, of course, not related to any dissipation. From the one-dimensional mechanical analogue (Chap. 2, pages 138 et seq.), a string embedded in an elastic medium, we see that it is a consequence of the stiffness of the medium.

![Contour integral](image)

**Fig. 7.14** Contour for integral of Eq. (7.3.37) for $R > \kappa$.

Employing (7.3.22) we may now write as the solution of (7.3.33) valid for an infinite medium

$$g(r,t|\tau_0,\xi_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{\omega_0}^\infty \exp \left[ i \sqrt{(\omega/c)^2 - \kappa^2} R - \omega \tau \right] d\omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3.35)

where $\tau = t - \tau_0$. Function $g$ is a function of $R$ and $\tau$ only, as expected. We must now specify the path of integration. Before doing so it is convenient, for convergence reasons, to introduce the function $h(R,\tau)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial h(R,\tau)}{\partial R} = R g(r,t|\tau_0,\xi_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3.36)

Hence

$$h(R,\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ i \sqrt{(\omega/c)^2 - \kappa^2} R - \omega \tau \right] d\omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3.37)
The integrand has branch points at $\omega = \pm \omega_0$. The relation of the path of integration relative to these branch points is determined by the causality condition. We choose the path and branch line shown in Fig. 7.14. First note that $h = 0$ if $R > c\tau$, as the causality postulate would demand for this case. In the limit of large $\omega$ the exponent in (7.3.37) approaches $\omega((R/c) - \tau) = i\omega((R/c) - \tau)$. The path of integration may then be closed in the upper half of the $\omega$ plane without changing the value of the integral. Since the integrand has no singularities in the upper half plane, the integral is zero.

Now consider $h$ for $R < c\tau$. The contour is then deformed to the one shown in Fig. 7.15. It may now be reduced to a more familiar form.

We introduce a new variable $\theta$, such that

$$\tau = \sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2} \cosh \theta$$

and let $\omega = \omega_0 \cosh x$.

Then

$$h(R, \tau) = \frac{e^{c\tau}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp \left[ -i\omega_0 \sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2} \cosh (x - \theta) \right] dx$$

Finally let $(x - \theta) = i\xi$; then

$$h(R, \tau) = \frac{e^{c\tau}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp \left[ -i\omega_0 \sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2} \cos \xi \right] d\xi$$

This is just the integral representation of the Bessel function of zero order [see Eq. (5.3.65)] so that

$$h(R, \tau) = -cJ_0[\omega_0 \sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2}]$$. $R < c\tau$

Combining this with the expression for $c\tau < R$ we finally obtain

$$h(R, \tau) = -cJ_0[\omega_0 \sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2}] u[\tau - (R/c)]$$

The Green's function $g$ is then

$$g(R, \tau) = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial R} = \frac{\delta[\tau - (R/c)]}{R} \frac{e^{c\tau} J_0[\omega_0 \sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2}] - \frac{\omega_0}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2}} J_1[\omega_0 \sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2}] u[\tau - (R/c)]}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2}}$$

or

$$g(R, \tau) = \frac{\delta[\tau - (R/c)]}{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2}} J_1[\omega_0 \sqrt{\tau^2 - (R/c)^2}] \cdot u[\tau - (R/c)] \quad (7.3.38)$$
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We observe that in the limit \( \kappa \to 0 \), \( g(R, r) \) reduces to the Green's function for the scalar wave equation (7.3.8) as it must.

The effect of a pulse at a distance \( R \) from its position and at a time \( r \) later vanishes as long as \( R > cr \), that is as long as the wave initiated by the pulse has not had sufficient time to reach the observation point \( R \). At \( R = cr \), the original pulse arrives, diminished in amplitude, as it must be, by the geometrical factor \( 1/R \). It is then followed by a wake which is given by the second term in (7.3.38). This wake, for large values of the time, will decrease in amplitude by the factor \( [r^2 - (R/c)^2]^{-1} \). We may understand this phenomenon by noting that the phase velocity of a plane wave satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation is a function of \( \omega \):

\[
\frac{v}{c} = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{(\omega/c)^2 - k^2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{v}{c} = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - (kr)^2}}
\]

Since a pulse is made up of many frequencies combined, it is not surprising that the associated plane waves do not arrive at the observation point in the same relative phases as they had at the start. An equivalent description may be obtained from the mechanical realization of the Klein-Gordon equation, as given in Sec. 2.1.

7.4 Green's Function for Diffusion

The diffusion equation differs in many qualitative aspects from the scalar wave equation, and of course, the Green's functions will exhibit these differences. The most important single feature is the asymmetry of the diffusion equation with respect to the time variable. For example, if \( \psi(r, t) \) is a solution of the scalar wave equation, so is \( \psi(r, -t) \). However, if \( \psi(r, t) \) is a solution of the diffusion equation

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = \alpha^2 (\partial \psi / \partial t)
\]

the function \( \psi(r, -t) \) is not; it is a solution of a quite different equation

\[
\nabla^2 \psi(r, -t) = -\alpha^2 (\partial \psi / \partial t)
\]

Thus the equation carries with it a directionality in time; i.e., it differentiates between past and future. The scalar wave equation and indeed all equations which are applicable to microscopic (e.g., atomic) phenomena are symmetric in time. The directionality in time of the diffusion equation is a consequence of the fact that the field which does the diffusing represents the behavior of some average property of an ensemble of many particles. As can be inferred from the theorems of thermodynamics, irregularities in such averages, which may initially exist, will smooth out as time progresses. Looking to the future, entropy increases; looking to the past, entropy was smaller.
Causality and Reciprocity. As in the case of the scalar wave equation, it is possible to solve the various inhomogeneous problems and the initial-value problem in terms of a Green's function which satisfies homogeneous boundary conditions and a causality condition:

\[ G(r, t|r_0, t_0) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad t < t_0 \]  
(7.4.2)

The equation satisfied by \( G \) involves an impulsive point source:

\[ \nabla^2 G - a^2 \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} \right) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \delta(t - t_0) \]  
(7.4.3)

To interpret (7.4.3) let \( G \) be the temperature of a medium. Then the impulsive point source involves introducing a unit of heat at \( r_0 \) at a time \( t_0 \). \( G \) then gives the temperature at a future time for any other point of the medium and thus describes the manner in which heat diffuses away from its initial position.

The function \( G \) satisfies a reciprocity condition where, as in the scalar wave equation, a time reversal is involved by virtue of the time sequence (7.4.2) demanded by causality. We shall show that

\[ G(r, t|t_0, r) = G(r_0 - t_0|r, -t) \]  
(7.4.4)

The function \( G(r_0 - t_0|r, -t) \) gives the effect at \( r_0 \) at a time \( -t_0 \) of a heat source introduced into the medium at \( r \) at a time \( -t \). Since \( t_0 < t \), the time sequence is still properly ordered. Another interpretation may be obtained by considering the adjoint function \( \bar{G}(r, t|r_0, t_0) \) defined by the relation

\[ G(r, -t|r_0, -t_0) = \bar{G}(r, t|r_0, t_0) \]  
(7.4.5)

\( \bar{G} \) satisfies the time-reversed equation.

\[ \nabla^2 \bar{G} + a^2 \left( \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial t} \right) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \delta(t - t_0) \]

Condition (7.4.2) is replaced by \( \bar{G}(r, t|r_0, t_0) = 0 \) if \( t > t_0 \). In other words \( \bar{G} \) gives the development backward in time of a source placed at \( r_0 \) at a time \( t_0 \). The reciprocity condition now reads

\[ G(r, t|r_0, t_0) = \bar{G}(r_0 - t_0|r, t) \]  
(7.4.6)

Function \( G \) describes development as time increases, leading from the initial source to the final distribution. Function \( \bar{G} \) describes the same process in reverse time order, beginning with the final distribution and going backward in time to the initial source. The question of functions and their adjoints will be discussed later in this chapter.

The proof of (7.4.4) or (7.4.6) follows the pattern developed in the preceding section. The two equations to be considered are

\[ \nabla^2 G(r, t|r_0, t_0) - a^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(r, t|r_0, t_0) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \delta(t - t_0) \]

\[ \nabla^2 \bar{G}(r, -t|r_1, -t_1) + a^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{G}(r, -t|r_1, -t_1) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_1) \delta(t - t_1) \]
Multiply the first of these by $G(r, -t|\mathbf{r}_1, -t_1)$ and the second by $G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_0, t_0)$, subtract, and integrate over the region of interest and over $t$ from $-\infty$ to $t^*$. Then using Green's theorem one obtains

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t^*} dt \int \left\{ G(r, -t|\mathbf{r}_1, -t_1) \text{ grad}[G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_0, t_0)] - G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_0, t_0) \text{ grad}[G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_1, -t_1)] \right\} \cdot dS$$

$$-a^2 \int dV \int_{t_0}^{t^*} \left\{ G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_1, -t_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_0, t_0)] + G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_0, t_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_1, -t_1)] \right\} dt$$

$$= 4\pi \delta(t_1 - t_0)(\delta \psi(\mathbf{r}, t_1|\mathbf{r}_0, t_0) - \delta \psi(\mathbf{r}, t_1|\mathbf{r}_1, t_1))$$

The first of the integrals vanishes by virtue of the homogeneous boundary conditions satisfied by $G$. In the second, the time integration may be performed to obtain

$$[G(r, -t|\mathbf{r}_1, -t_1)G(r, t|\mathbf{r}_0, t_0)]_{t^* - t = 0}$$

At the lower limit the second of the two factors vanishes because of (7.4.2). At the upper limit the first factor vanishes again because of (7.4.2), and it is recognized that we have tacitly assumed in all of this that $t_1$ is within the region of integration.

The reciprocity condition now follows immediately. We may also obtain the equations satisfied by $G$ and $\bar{G}$ as functions of $t_0$. For example, from (7.4.6)

$$\nabla_0^2 G + a^2 (\partial G/\partial t_0) = -4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \delta(t - t_0)$$

$$\nabla_0^2 \bar{G} - a^2 (\partial \bar{G}/\partial t_0) = -4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \delta(t - t_0) \quad (7.4.7)$$

**Inhomogeneous Boundary Conditions.** We shall now obtain the solution of the inhomogeneous diffusion equation, with inhomogeneous boundary conditions and given initial conditions, in terms of $G$. The equation to be solved is

$$\nabla_0^2 \psi - a^2 (\partial \psi/\partial t_0) = -4\pi \rho(\mathbf{r}_0, t_0) \quad (7.4.8)$$

where $\rho$, the source function, is a known function of the space and time coordinates. Multiply this equation by $G$ and the first of Eqs. (7.4.7) by $\psi$; subtract the two equations; integrate over space and over time from $0$ to $t^*$:

$$\int_0^{t^*} dt_0 \int dV_0 \left[ \psi \nabla_0^2 G - G \nabla_0 \psi \right] + a^2 \int dV_0 \int_0^{t^*} dt_0 \left[ \psi \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial t_0} \right) + G \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t_0} \right) \right]$$

$$= 4\pi \int_0^{t^*} dt_0 \int dV_0 \rho G - 4\pi \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
We may apply Green's theorem to the first of these integrals. In the case of the second, the time integration may be performed. Note that \( G(r,t|\omega_0\beta_0) = 0 \). Finally

\[
\psi(r,t) = \int_0^t dt_0 \int dV_0 \rho(r_0,t_0) G(r,t|r_0,t_0) \\
+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^t dt_0 \int dS_0 \cdot [G \text{ grad}_0 \psi - \psi \text{ grad}_0 G] + \frac{a^2}{4\pi} \int dV_0 \psi G|_{r=a} \tag{7.4.9}
\]

\( G \) is chosen so as to satisfy homogeneous boundary conditions corresponding to the boundary conditions satisfied by \( \psi \). For example, if \( \psi \) satisfies homogeneous or inhomogeneous Dirichlet condition, \( G \) is chosen to satisfy homogeneous Dirichlet conditions. The first two terms of (7.4.9) represent the familiar effects of volume sources and boundary conditions, while the third term includes the effects of the initial value \( \psi_0 \) of \( \psi \). If the initial value of \( \partial \psi / \partial t \) should be given, it is necessary to consider the equation satisfied by \( \partial \psi / \partial t \) rather than that satisfied by \( \psi \). Let \( v = \partial \psi / \partial t \). Then from (7.4.8) we obtain

\[
\nabla^2 v - \sigma^2(\partial v / \partial t) = -4\pi(\partial \rho / \partial t)
\]

an equation of the same form as (7.4.8) and to which the same analysis may be applied. As a consequence, either type of initial condition may be discussed by means of (7.4.9). As we saw in Chap. 6, we should not specify \textit{both} initial value and slope for the diffusion equation.

**Green's Function for Infinite Domain.** We now go on to construct specific examples of Green's functions for this case. As usual the Green's function \( g(R,r), R = |r - r_0|, r = t - t_0 \) for the infinite medium is the first to be discussed. It is possible to derive the expression for one, two, or three dimensions simultaneously. Let \( g \) be a one-, two-, or three-dimensional Fourier integral:

\[
g(R,r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{iP \cdot R} \gamma(p,r) dV_p
\]

where \( n \) is 1, 2, or 3 depending on the number of dimensions and the dimensionality of the integration variable \( dV_p \) is the same. Since

\[
\nabla^2 \gamma - \sigma^2 \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{iP \cdot R} \left[ -p^2 \gamma - \sigma^2 \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t} \right) \right] dV_p
\]

and

\[
\delta(R) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{iP \cdot R} dV_p
\]

we finally obtain an equation for \( \gamma \):

\[
\alpha^2(\partial \gamma / \partial r) + p^2 \gamma - 4\pi \delta(r) \\
- (1/\pi r^2) e^{-\alpha^2 r^2} u^*(r)
\]
where we have picked that solution which conforms with the causality requirement. Hence

\[ g(R, \tau) = \left[ \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^{n/2} a^2} \right] u(r) \int e^{ip \cdot R - (p^2/2\sigma)\tau} dV_p \]

or

\[ g(R, \tau) = \left[ \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^{n/2} a^2} \right] u(r) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ip \cdot R - (p^2/2\sigma)\tau} dp_x \right] \cdots \]

By rearranging the exponent in the integral it becomes possible to evaluate each term of the product exactly. In the first term

\[ ip_x R_x - \left( \frac{p_x^2}{a^2} \right) \tau = -\left( \frac{p_x \sqrt{\tau}}{a} - \frac{i a R_x}{2 \sqrt{\tau}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{a^2 R_x^2}{4\tau} \right) = -\frac{\tau}{a^2} \xi^2 - \left( \frac{a^2 R_x^2}{4\tau} \right) \]

Hence the integral may be written

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left( \frac{a^2}{2}\xi^2 \right)} d\xi = a \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\tau}} e^{-\left( \frac{a^2 R_x^2}{4\tau} \right)} \]

and by an appropriate change of variable this equals

\[ e^{-\left( \frac{a^2 R_x^2}{4\tau} \right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left( \frac{a^2}{2}\xi^2 \right)} d\xi = a \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\tau}} e^{-\left( \frac{a^2 R_x^2}{4\tau} \right)} \]

Introducing this result into the expression for \( g \) we obtain

\[ g(R, \tau) = \left[ \frac{4\pi}{2\pi} \left( \frac{a}{2 \sqrt{\pi\tau}} \right)^n e^{-\left( \frac{a^2 R_x^2}{4\tau} \right)} u(r) \right] \]  

(7.4.10)

The function \( g \) satisfies an important integral property which is valid for all values of \( n \):

\[ \int g(R, \tau) dV = \frac{4\pi}{a^2} \tau > 0 \]  

(7.4.11)

This equation is an expression of the conservation of heat energy. At a time \( t_0 \) and at \( r_0 \), a source of heat is introduced. The heat diffuses out through the medium, but in such a fashion that the total heat energy is unchanged.

The function \( g(R, \tau) \) is plotted in Fig. 7.16 for one dimension, \( n = 1 \), for several values of \( \tau \). We note that the curve has a sharp maximum at \( R = 0 \), that the width of the curve increases with increasing \( \tau \). The quantity \( \sqrt{(4\pi/a^2)} \) is a measure of this width. At \( \tau = 0 \) there is zero width, since the heat has just been added and is all concentrated at \( R = 0 \). Since relation (7.4.11) still holds, we see that \( g(R, \tau) \rightarrow (4\pi/a^2) \delta(R) \), which is often employed as an example of a \( \delta \) function. As \( \tau \) changes from zero, the temperature immediately rises everywhere, the most pronounced rise occurring, of course, near \( R = 0 \), that is, for \( R < \sqrt{(4\pi/a^2)} \).
We shall later consider cases wherein inertia is properly accounted for so that there is a finite velocity of propagation.

Let us now introduce (7.4.10) into Eq. (7.4.9) giving $\psi(x,t)$ in terms of the Green's function and initial value, source distribution, and boundary conditions. Consider the initial-value problem. Let $\rho$ be zero and the volume of interest be the infinite domain, so that in the second term $G$ and its derivatives are zero. Then

$$\psi(x,t) = \frac{a^2}{4\pi} \int dV \psi_0(r_0) g(R,t)$$

(7.4.12)

In one dimension, this reduces to

$$\psi(x,t) = \frac{a}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a^2(x-x_0)^2/4t} \psi_0(x_0) \, dx_0$$

(7.4.12a)

Equation (7.4.12) has a simple interpretation in terms of initial source density. At $t_0 = 0$, each element of space was given an amount of heat equal to $\psi_0(x_0) \, dx_0$ for the one-dimensional case. The effect of this source at a later time is obtained by multiplying by the function $(a/2\sqrt{\pi t}) e^{-a^2(x-x_0)^2/4t}$, which describes how this heat diffuses away from $x_0$. The final $\psi$ at $x$ is obtained by a linear superposition of the effects at $x$ of each of the sources at different $x_0$'s.

**Finite Boundaries.** The proper $G$ for bounded domains may be obtained by the method of images or by the method of eigenfunctions. The method of images is completely similar to that already described for the scalar Helmholtz equation. As a simple case consider a semi-infinite bar starting at $x = 0$ and extending to $x = \infty$. We assume that the
temperature \( \psi \) is a function of \( x \) and \( t \) only. The temperature at the end of the bar is varied with a simple harmonic time dependence
\[
\psi(0,t) = T_0 \cos \omega t
\]
To employ Eq. (7.4.8) we require a Green's function whose value at the end of the bar is 0. This is provided by the method of images:
\[
G(x,t|x_0, t_0) = \frac{4\pi}{a^2} \left( \frac{a}{2} \sqrt{\pi (t - t_0)} \right) \left[ e^{-\alpha(x-x_0)^2/4(t-t_0)} - e^{-\alpha(x+x_0)^2/4(t-t_0)} \right] \quad (7.4.13)
\]
Green's function (7.4.13) is now introduced into (7.4.8). In order to obtain a steady-state solution we shift the "beginning of time" to \( t = -\infty \) at which time initial values are zero. In the absence of sources,
\[
\psi(x,t) = \frac{2\pi T_0}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \cos(\omega t) \ e^{-\alpha x^2/4(t-t_0)} \left[ \frac{dt_0}{(t-t_0)^{3/2}} \right]
\]
A more convenient integration variable is given by
\[
\xi = \left[ 4\alpha x^2/4(t-t_0) \right]^{1/2}
\]
Then
\[
\psi(x,t) = \frac{2\pi T_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty d\xi \ e^{-\alpha x^2/4\xi^2} \left[ \frac{2T_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right] \text{Re} \int_0^\infty d\xi \ e^{(\omega \xi - (\sqrt{\xi} + \sqrt{\omega}) t)} \left[ \frac{2T_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right] \text{Re} \int_0^\infty d\xi \ e^{-t(\sqrt{\xi} + \sqrt{\omega}) t}
\]
We can show that this integral is a constant, independent of \( \omega \). We set
\[
J(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty d\xi \ e^{-\alpha \xi/\xi^2}
\]
The integral \( J \) may be written in another form by the substitution \( \eta = \alpha^2/\xi \):
\[
J(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty d\eta \ e^{-\alpha \eta/\eta^2}
\]
Hence
\[
0 = \int_0^\infty d\xi \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{\xi^2} \right) e^{-\alpha \xi/\eta^2}
\]
By differentiating the first form for \( J \) we find
\[
J'(\alpha) = 2 \int_0^\infty d\xi \left[ (\alpha^2/\xi^2) \left( \frac{2\alpha}{\xi} \right) e^{-\alpha \xi/\eta^2} \right] = 4\alpha \int_0^\infty d\xi \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{\xi^2} \right) e^{-\alpha \xi/\eta^2} \right] = 0
\]
Therefore \( J \) is independent of \( \alpha \) and is equal to its value for \( \alpha = 0 \), which is just \( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \). Hence

\[
\psi(x,t) = \text{Re} \left[ T_0 e^{i\omega t - \sqrt{\omega/2} x} \right] = T_0 e^{-\sqrt{\omega/2} x} \cos(\omega t - \sqrt{\omega/2} x)
\]  (7.4.14)

This obviously satisfies the boundary conditions at \( x = 0 \). The function \( \psi(x,t) \) represents a temperature wave moving with a velocity \( \sqrt{2\omega/\alpha} \) but attenuating as \( x \) increases. The wave velocity is, as we see, dependent on the frequency of the temperature variation, being greater the higher the frequency and rising to infinity as \( \omega \) is increased indefinitely, an apparent paradox which we shall discuss shortly.

From the response to a harmonic variation of the boundary value of \( \psi \) one may obtain, by Fourier superposition, the response to any sort of time dependence of the boundary value.

Let

\[
\psi(0,t) = T(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Re} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega \right\}
\]

Then

\[
\psi(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Re} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T(\omega) e^{i\omega t - \sqrt{\omega/2} x} d\omega \right\}
\]  (7.4.15)

This expression may be employed in place of (7.4.9) for the semi-infinite one-dimensional case. The technique of obtaining the Fourier transforms is discussed in Sec. 11.1, and applications of the technique to diffusion problems are given in Sec. 12.1.

**Eigenfunction Solutions.** The Green's function may also be expanded in terms of eigenfunctions. Let \( u_n \) be a solution of a scalar Helmholtz equation in a region bounded by a surface \( S \), upon which \( u_n \) satisfies homogeneous boundary conditions. Then

\[
\nabla^2 u_n + k_n^2 u_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S_n} u_m u_n dV = \delta_{nm}
\]

Since the functions \( u_n \) form a complete set, we may expand \( G \) in terms of them; the coefficients of the expansion will be time-dependent of course. Let

\[
G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, t_0) = \sum_n C_n(t_0) u_n(\mathbf{r}) \bar{u}_n(\mathbf{r}_0)
\]

Introducing this expansion into Eq. (7.4.3) satisfied by \( G \) and noting that \( \sum u_n(\mathbf{r}) u_n(\mathbf{r}_0) = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \), one obtains a simple first-order differential equation for \( C_n \):

\[
\alpha^2 \frac{dC_n}{dt} + k_n^2 C_n - 4\pi \delta(t - t_0)
\]

or

\[
C_n = \left( \frac{4\pi}{\alpha^2} \right) e^{-(k_n^2\alpha^2)(t - t_0)} u(t - t_0)
\]

Hence

\[
G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_0, t_0) = \left( \frac{4\pi}{\alpha^2} \right) u(t - t_0) \sum_n e^{-(k_n^2\alpha^2)(t - t_0)} u_n(\mathbf{r}) \bar{u}_n(\mathbf{r}_0)
\]  (7.4.16)

This expression may now be utilized in Eq. (7.4.9) to solve problems involving source distributions, inhomogeneous boundary conditions, and
initial values. For example, suppose that there are no sources, and suppose that the boundary conditions are homogeneous. Choose eigenfunctions \( u_n \) which satisfy the same homogeneous boundary conditions. Then from (7.4.9)

\[
\psi(r, t) = \frac{a^2}{4\pi} \int dV_0 \psi_0 \psi_{1m=0} \\
\psi(r, t) = \sum_n e^{-(\lambda_n t + i\omega_n t)} u_n(r) \int \bar{u}_n(r_0) \psi_0(r_0) dV_0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad (7.4.17)
\]

At \( t = 0 \), (7.4.17) reduces to an expansion of \( \psi_0 \) in terms of \( u_n \). Indeed expression (7.4.17) is easily derived when the usual eigenfunction expansion is required to satisfy initial conditions. Let

\[
\psi(r, t) = \sum_n A_n e^{-(\lambda_n t + i\omega_n t)} u_n(r)
\]

Then placing \( t = 0 \), one obtains

\[
\psi_0(r) = \sum_n u_n(r) A_n
\]

from which expansion (7.4.17) follows.

**Maximum Velocity of Heat Transmission.** As we have mentioned in Sec. 2.4, the diffusion equation governing the transmission of heat in a gas is an approximation to the rather complicated motion of the gas molecules. One of the immediately obvious shortcomings of the diffusion approximation is its prediction that the temperature of a body will rise instantaneously everywhere (though not equally) if heat is introduced at some point in the body. For example, the point source function \( G(R, \tau) \), Eq. (7.4.10), differs from zero for all values of \( R \) just as soon as \( \tau \) is greater than zero. As such instantaneous propagation of heat is impossible, we must assume that the diffusion equation is correct only after a sufficiently long time has elapsed. This time depends naturally upon the velocity of propagation of the heat, which in turn depends upon the mean free path \( \lambda \) of the gas molecules. The velocity of propagation of a disturbance in a gas is, of course, the velocity of sound, \( c \). Once the time required for the temperature to get to the point in question is exceeded, we may presume that then the diffusion equations apply. The partial differential equation which includes this effect is

\[
\nabla^2 \psi - a^2 (\partial \psi / \partial t) + (1/c^2)(\partial^2 \psi / \partial t^2)
\]

(7.1.18)

We may also arrive at this equation from another point of view, by considering the change in the sound wave equation due to absorption. We shall encounter this equation when we consider the effects of resistive losses in the vibration of a string and also the propagation of electromagnetic waves in conducting media.

An appropriate Green's function for (7.4.18) satisfies

\[
\nabla^2 G - a^2 (\partial G / \partial t) - (1/c^2)(\partial^2 G / \partial t^2) = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \delta(t - \tau_0)
\]

(7.4.19)
We shall again assume the causality principle. The reciprocity condition is

\[ G(r,t|r_0,t_0) = G(r_0,t_0|r,t) \]

The analogue of Eq. (7.4.9) is

\[
\psi(r,t) = \int_0^t dt_0 \int dV_\sigma \langle \phi G \rangle + \frac{a^2}{4\pi} \int dV_\sigma \langle \phi G \rangle_{t=0} \]

\[
+ \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int dV_\sigma \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t_{0}} - \psi \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{0}} \right]_{x=0} \quad (7.4.20)
\]

We shall now develop the Green's function \( g(R,\tau) \), appropriate for Eq. (7.4.20), for the infinite, unbounded domain. Let

\[ g(R,\tau) = \int dV_\sigma e^{iR\gamma}(p,\tau) \quad (7.4.21) \]

Substituting this expression into (7.4.19), we obtain a differential equation determining \( \gamma(p,\tau) \):

\[
\left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + a^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + p^2 \gamma = \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^n} \delta(r) \right) \quad (7.4.22)
\]

where \( n = 1, 2, 3 \) according to the number of spatial dimensions involved. Note that \( \gamma \) is a function of \( p^2 \) and \( \tau \) only, so that the angular integrations over the direction of \( p \) required by (7.4.21) can be immediately performed. Particular paths of integration are chosen so that the required boundary conditions are satisfied:

\[ g(R,\tau) = \frac{2\pi}{iR} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iR\gamma(p,\tau)} p \, dp; \quad n = 3 \]

\[ = \pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iR\gamma(p,\tau)} p \, dp; \quad n = 2 \quad (7.4.23) \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iR\gamma(p,\tau)} p \, dp; \quad n = 1 \]

We note that the three-dimensional Green's function and the one-dimensional Green's function are connected. Let \( g_1(R,\tau) \) be the three-dimensional Green's function and \( g_1 \) the one-dimensional case. Then

\[ g_2(R,\tau) = -\frac{1}{2\pi R} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [g_1(R,\tau)] \quad (7.4.24) \]

where we have taken into account the difference in the \( \gamma \)'s as given by the right-hand side of Eq. (7.4.22).

To determine \( \gamma \), we must first consider the solutions of the homogeneous form of Eq. (7.4.22). These are

\[ e^{-i\omega \tau} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-i\omega r} \]
where $\omega^+$ and $\omega^-$ are solutions of $\omega^2 + \omega a_c c^2 - p^2 c^2 = 0$,

$$\omega^+ = \frac{1}{2}[-ia_c c^2 + \sqrt{4p^2 c^2 - a_c^2 c^4}] \quad \omega^- = \frac{1}{2}[-ia_c c^2 - \sqrt{4p^2 c^2 - a_c^2 c^4}]$$

The proper linear combination of these satisfying the condition that $\gamma(r) = 0$ for $r < 0$ is

$$\gamma(r) = \frac{4\pi c^2 i}{(2\pi)^n} \left( \frac{e^{-i\omega^+ r} - e^{-i\omega^- r}}{\omega^+ - \omega^-} \right) u(r)$$

The integral determining $g_1$ becomes

$$g_1(R,r) = 2\pi c^2 i u(r) \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-i\omega^+ r} - e^{-i\omega^- r}}{\omega^+ - \omega^-} \right) e^{ip \xi} dp$$

where as contour we take a line in the upper half plane of $p$ parallel to the real axis of $p$. The branch line is chosen to run from $p = a_c c^2/2$ to $p = -(a_c c^2/2)$ along the real axis. Let us just consider the integral involving $e^{-i\omega^+ r}$:

$$\left( \frac{1}{2\pi c^2} \right) e^{-i\omega^+ r} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp\{ipR - \sqrt{p^2 + (a_c c^2/4) c^2}\}}{\sqrt{p^2 + 1/4(a_c c^2)}} dp$$

When $R > cr$, the contour may be closed by a semicircle in the upper half plane. The integral is then zero, since there are no singularities within the contour. When $R < cr$, the contour is deformed so as to extend along the negative imaginary axis. We must then evaluate an integral which is very similar to the integral involved in the calculation of the Green's function for the Klein–Gordon equation. We obtain (see table of Laplace transforms at the end of Chap. 11)

$$- \left( \frac{\pi}{c} \right) e^{-ic\omega^+ r} J_{\frac{1}{2}}(a_c c \sqrt{R^2 - c^2 r^2}) u(c r - R)$$

Consider now the contribution coming from the integral involving $e^{-i\omega^- r}$:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi c} e^{ic\omega^- r} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp\{ipR + i\sqrt{p^2 + (a_c c^2/4) c^2}\}}{\sqrt{p^2 + 1/4(a_c c^2)}} dp$$

This integral is zero when $(R + cr) > 0$ (recall that in one dimension $R$ can be negative) but is not zero when $(R + cr) < 0$. Then we obtain

$$- \left( \frac{\pi}{c} \right) e^{-ic\omega^- r} J_{\frac{1}{2}}(a_c c \sqrt{R^2 - c^2 r^2}) [1 - u(R + cr)]$$

Combining these two expressions yields

$$g_1(R,r) = 2\pi c e^{ic\omega c} J_{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{a_c c}{2} \sqrt{R^2 - c^2 r^2}) u(c r - |R|) \quad (7.4.25)$$

The reader should be able to verify that this result tends to the correct limiting forms given by Eqs. (7.4.10) and (7.3.16) as $c \to \infty$ or as $a \to 0$, respectively.
We may now obtain the three-dimensional $g$ from the differential equation (7.4.24):

$$
g_3(R, r) = \frac{c}{R} e^{\frac{i}{c} R} \delta(\sigma R - R)
+ \frac{a^2 c R}{2 \sqrt{R^2 - r^2 z^2}} J_\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a^2 c}{r} \sqrt{R^2 - r^2} \right) u(\sigma R - R)
$$

(7.4.26)

We shall obtain the Green's function for two-dimensional problems by integrating $g_3(r, \tau)$ over the $z$ component of $R$ rather than by direct consideration of (7.4.23). Let $R^2 - \xi^2 = \rho^2$. Then

$$
g_2(R, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \ g_3(R, \tau)
$$

or

$$
g_2(R, \tau) = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{c^2 + \rho^2}} u(\sigma R - \rho) \left\{ 1 + 2 \sinh \left[ \frac{a^2 c}{4} \sqrt{c^2 + \rho^2} \right] \right\}
$$

(7.4.27)

Here we have employed the formula

$$
\int_0^\pi I_1(2z \sin \theta) d\theta = \frac{2 \sinh^2 z}{z}
$$

where $I_1(z) = -iJ_1(i \omega)$ (see tables at end of Chaps. 10 and 11).

The three-dimensional case exhibits the physical phenomena which occur as a consequence of the inclusion of a velocity of propagation into the diffusion equation or the inclusion of a dissipative term into the wave equation. Both terms in (7.4.26) vanish when $R > \sigma$, as is to be expected whenever effects propagate with a finite velocity. The first term is a reproduction of the initial pulse, reduced, however, by two factors. The first, $1/R$, is the geometrical factor which appeared in the solution of the simple wave equation. The second is the factor $e^{-\omega \xi}$ which tells us that this part of the wave, generated by the point source, decays with time as it moves through the medium. The second term in (7.4.26) constitutes the wake. For sufficiently long times $\sigma > R$, it is the term which yields the usual diffusion approximation.

These differences may be exhibited in another fashion. Let us solve the one-dimensional initial-value problem. From (7.4.20) we find

$$
\psi = \frac{a^2}{4\pi} \int dx \psi(x + ct) + \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int dx \left[ g_{\xi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial g_{\xi}}{\partial t} \right]_{t=0}
$$

Then

$$
\psi = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\omega \xi} \left[ \psi_0(x + ct) + \psi_0(x - ct) \right]
+ e^{-\omega \xi} \int_{x - ct}^{x + ct} \left( \frac{\omega}{4} I_\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \sqrt{c^2 t^2 - (x - t)^2} \right) \right) \psi_0(x_0) dx_0
+ \frac{1}{2c} e^{-\omega \xi} \int_{x - ct}^{x + ct} I_\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \sqrt{c^2 t^2 - (x - t)^2} \right) \psi_0(x_0) dx_0
$$

(7.4.28)
where \( \psi(x_0) \) and \( \rho_t(x_0) \) are the initial values of \( \psi \) and \( \partial \psi / \partial t \), respectively. Note that this becomes d’Alembert’s solution, Eq. (7.3.18), if \( a \to 0 \). The first term is the same as that given by d’Alembert except for the decay in time as given by \( e^{-\lambda t} \psi_0 \). The second term is new and represents the effect of diffusion. The third term reduces to the d’Alembert term when \( a \to 0 \).

### 7.5 Green’s Function in Abstract Operator Form

So far our discussion has been limited to a particular type of partial differential equation. The space operator has been \( \nabla^2 \), and the time operators have been absent or \( \partial / \partial t \) or \( \partial^2 / \partial t^2 \) in the Helmholtz, diffusion, and wave equations, respectively. In the present section we shall generalize these considerations so that they apply to any operator, permitting the application of the theory to any of the equations of physics as long as they are linear. Our plan will be to emphasize the important elements in the previous discussion and then to see how they are most appropriately generalized.

It is natural that we shall have to be somewhat abstract. For example, instead of writing out a specific form for the homogeneous equation to be considered, we shall state it in operator form:

\[
\mathcal{A} \psi = 0 \tag{7.5.1}
\]

where \( \mathcal{A} \) operates on the coordinates giving the dependence of \( \psi \). For example, in the diffusion equation \( \mathcal{A} = \nabla^2 - (a^2)(\partial / \partial t) \) and is a function of \( r \) and \( t \). Another linear type, the integral equation, is mentioned in Chap. 2 (see page 180) and will be discussed more fully in Chap. 8. Such an operator \( \mathcal{A} \) is

\[
\mathcal{A} = 1 - \int_a^b K(x,x_0) \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_0
\]

and the equation \( \mathcal{A} \psi = 0 \) reads

\[
\psi(x) - \int_a^b K(x,x_0) \psi(x_0) dx_0 = 0
\]

The variables may include more than just space and time dependence. In transport problems (Sec. 2.4) the distribution function \( f \) depends not only on \( r \) and \( t \) but also on the momentum \( p \) and energy \( E \).

In the same notation, the equation for the Green’s function \( G \) is

\[
\mathcal{A} G(x|x_0) = -4\pi \delta(x - x_0) \tag{7.5.2}
\]

where \( x \) is a generalized vector representing all the independent variables which are relevant; \( \mathcal{A} \) operates on \( x \). For the wave equation \( x = a_\alpha x \perp a_\beta \perp a_\delta \), where \( a_\alpha \), etc., are unit, mutually orthogonal vectors.
Then \( \delta(x - x_0) \) becomes the product of the \( \delta \) functions for each coordinate; e.g., for the wave equation
\[
\delta(x - x_0) \delta(y - y_0) \delta(z - z_0) \delta(t - t_0)
\]

**Generalization of Green's Theorem, Adjoint Operators.** The most important mathematical tool employed in the analysis of the preceding sections was Green's theorem; our first task will be to generalize it. In differential form Green's theorem states that
\[
u \nabla^2 \psi - v \nabla^2 \psi = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla \psi - v \nabla \psi)
\]
A generalization of this equation in terms of \( \vartheta \) which immediately suggests itself is
\[
u \nabla \psi - v \nabla \psi = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(u, \nu)
\]
(7.5.3)
where \( \mathbf{P} \) is a generalized vector in terms of the same unit vectors as that describing \( x \) while \( \nabla \) is the corresponding gradient operator. Hence \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} = \frac{\partial P_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial P_z}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial t} + \cdots \). For example, in the case of the wave equation, \( \vartheta = \nabla^2 (1/c^2)(\partial^2/\partial t^2) \), we find from Eq. (7.5.3) that
\[
u \left[ \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] \psi - \psi \left[ \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] u = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right]

- \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right]
\]
Here \( \mathbf{P} = u \nabla \psi - v \nabla \psi \), where \( \nabla \) is the general gradient operator.

Relation (7.5.3) is not satisfied by all operators \( \vartheta \). For example, in the case of the diffusion equation for one dimension, \( \vartheta = (\partial^2/\partial x^2) - \alpha^2(\partial/\partial t) \), we find
\[
u \left[ \nabla^2 - \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] \psi - \psi \left[ \nabla^2 - \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right] u = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right] - \alpha^2 \left[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right]
\]
The first pair of terms on the left side is in the proper form. However, the second pair cannot be written as the time derivative of a function of \( u \) and \( v \). We must therefore generalize Green's theorem beyond (7.5.3):
\[
u \nabla \psi - v \nabla \psi = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(u, \nu)
\]
(7.5.4)
where \( \tilde{\vartheta} \) is an operator which is called the adjoint of \( \vartheta \). When \( \tilde{\vartheta} = \vartheta \), that is, when (7.5.3) applies, the operator \( \vartheta \) is said to be self-adjoint. In the case of the diffusion equation, \( \tilde{\vartheta} = (\partial^2/\partial x^2) + \alpha^2(\partial/\partial t) \). Definition (7.5.4) is just a generalization of a definition of the adjoint operator employed in Chap. 5 (see page 526). In Eq. (5.2.10) \( \tilde{\vartheta} \) was defined by
\[
v(\vartheta)[u(y)] - y(\vartheta)v(y) = (t/\partial t)^t(v, y)
\]
where \( P(v, y) \) is the bilinear concomitant. This is the statement for one-dimensional problems of Eq. (7.5.1).
Looking back at the manner in which Green's theorem is utilized, we see that we shall be interested in the solutions of the equation

$$\ddot{\psi} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5.5)

and the corresponding Green's function for the adjoint operator

$$G(x|x_0) = -4\pi\delta(x - x_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5.6)

Equation (7.5.5) is known as the adjoint of (7.5.1), the equation involving $\ddot{\psi}$, while $\psi$ is referred to as the adjoint of $\psi$. In the case of the one-dimensional diffusion equation, $\ddot{\psi} = 0$ reads

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + a^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = 0$$

We see that $\psi$ satisfies the diffusion equation with the time variable reversed. Hence if $\psi(t)$ is a solution of (7.5.1), then $\psi(t) = \psi(-t)$ is a solution of (7.5.5).

Once the generalization of Green's theorem is available, it becomes possible to solve the inhomogeneous problem

$$\ddot{\psi} = -4\pi\rho(x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5.7)

with inhomogeneous boundary conditions. Since the adjoint operator $\ddot{\psi}$ is involved in Green's theorem (7.5.4), it is clear that we must compare (7.5.7) and (7.5.6). Multiply the latter by $\psi(x)$ and the former by $G(x|x_0)$, and subtract:

$$\ddot{G}(x|x_0)\psi(x) - \psi(x)\ddot{G}(x|x_0) = 4\pi\psi(x)\delta(x - x_0) - 4\pi\rho(x)\ddot{G}(x|x_0)$$

Employ (7.5.4), and integrate over a volume in $x$ space (which includes the entire range of each component of $x$ which is of physical interest). For example, in the case of the wave equation, it includes integration over time from 0 to $t^*$ and an integration over $x$, $y$, and $z$ coordinates within the surface upon which boundary conditions are to be satisfied. Then

$$\psi(x_0) = \int \rho(x)\ddot{G}(x|x_0) \, dv + (1/4\pi)\int \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}[\ddot{G}(x|x_0), \psi(x)] \, dv$$

or

$$\psi(x_0) = \int \rho(x)\ddot{G}(x|x_0) \, dv + (1/4\pi)\int \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}[\ddot{G}(x|x_0), \psi(x)] \, dS$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5.8)

where $\mathbf{n}$ is an outward-pointing unit vector orthogonal to the surface $S$ bounding the volume in $x$-space. In the scalar wave equation this term is

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{t^*} \int \mathbf{n} \cdot [\ddot{G} \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \ddot{G}] \, dS - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int \int \left[ \ddot{G} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial \ddot{G}}{\partial t} \right]_{t=0}^{t=t^*}$$

Effect of Boundary Conditions. To proceed any further it becomes necessary to consider the boundary conditions satisfied by $\psi$. Consider the case in which $\psi$ satisfies homogeneous boundary conditions on $S$:
that is, there are no sources of the field $\psi$ on the surface $S$. By the principle of superposition it must then be possible to obtain the solution as an integral over the volume source distribution $\rho(x)$ multiplied by the effect due to a source at $x$. This involves us in two considerations. In the first place, we must relate $G$ and $\tilde{G}$. As we shall see, this will lead to a generalized reciprocity condition. We shall postpone the proof of this theorem for a short time. In the second place, in order to obtain a solution of the proper form it is necessary for the surface term in (7.5.8) to vanish. The Green's function $\tilde{G}$ and $\psi$ must satisfy homogeneous boundary conditions which must be so correlated that

$$\oint \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{P} [\tilde{G}(x'|x_0), \psi(x')] dS = 0 \quad (7.5.9)$$

In the simplest case we have considered, the scalar Helmholtz equation, the surface term vanishes if the Green's function and the function $\psi$ satisfy the same homogeneous boundary condition. In the scalar wave equation, we employed initial values for $(\partial \psi/\partial t)$ and $\mathbf{\nu}$, the Cauchy conditions; for the Green's function we employed the causality condition (see page 83).

It is also possible (as we pointed out earlier, in Sec. 7.2) to determine the proper boundary conditions $\psi$ must satisfy. For example, in the Helmholtz equation, the surface term involves the values of $\psi$ and $\partial \psi/\partial n$. Placing both of them equal to zero is manifestly improper, for in that event the surface integral automatically vanishes, the boundary condition on $G$ is left arbitrary, and the solution of the inhomogeneous equation becomes nonunique. Since the solution is in fact unique, the initial assumption about the surface values of $\psi$ and $\partial \psi/\partial n$ is incorrect and we are led to relax the boundary conditions to be either homogeneous Dirichlet or homogeneous Neumann or some linear combination of the two. Then in order for the surface term to vanish, $\tilde{G}$ (in the case of the Helmholtz equation) must satisfy the same boundary condition as $\psi$. In a similar fashion, the examination of Eq. (7.5.9) will lead to a determination of the proper boundary conditions for $\psi$ and the corresponding ones for $\tilde{G}$.

Having determined in an implicit fashion the boundary conditions on $\psi$ and $\tilde{G}(x|x_0)$, we may now turn to the reciprocity condition. We compare the equations satisfied by $G$ and $\tilde{G}$:

$$\alpha G(x|x_0) = -4\pi \delta(x - x_0); \quad \alpha \tilde{G}(x|x_0) = -4\pi \delta(x - x_0)$$

Multiply the first of these by $\tilde{G}$ and the second by $G$, subtract, and integrate over the relevant volume in $x$ space. Employing the generalized Green's theorem (7.5.4), we obtain

$$4\pi [\tilde{G}(x_0|x_0) - G(x_0|x_0)] = \oint \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{P} [\tilde{G}(x'|x_0), G(x'|x_1)] dS$$
In order that the solution of the inhomogeneous source problem with homogeneous boundary conditions be expressible in terms of $G$ and not $\bar{G}$, it is necessary for a simple algebraic relation between them to exist, which in turn requires the surface term in the above equation to vanish. Comparing this surface term with (7.5.9), we see that $\bar{G}(x|x_0)$ satisfies the same conditions as $\psi$, a result which may be expected from our intuitive idea of $G$ and its relation to $\psi$.

We finally obtain

$$G(x|x_0) = \bar{G}(x_0|x)$$

(7.5.10)

In words, the left-hand side of the equation describes the effect of $x$ of a point source at $x_0$, the propagation being governed by the operator $\mathfrak{G}$ and the boundary conditions. On the right-hand side, the source is placed at $x$; the effect is measured at $x_0$, the propagation from $x$ to $x_0$ now being governed by $\mathfrak{G}$ and the corresponding boundary condition for $\bar{G}$. If $\bar{G}$ is not the same function as $G$, a directionality in $x$ space must exist, for reversing the direction of propagation changes the consequent observations. This irreversibility must be apparent in the operator $\mathfrak{G}$ or in the boundary conditions. For example, the operator $\mathfrak{G}$ for the diffusion equation $\nabla^2 - \alpha^2(\partial/\partial t)$ is not invariant against a change in the sense of time, i.e., against the substitution of $-t$ for $+t$. The operator for the wave equation $\mathfrak{G} = -\nabla^2 + (1/c^2)(\partial^2/\partial t^2)$ is self-adjoint ($\mathfrak{G}^* = -\mathfrak{G}$), so that a directionality, for example in the time coordinate, cannot arise from it. However, a directionality can perfectly well arise from the boundary conditions imposed. For example, the application of the causality condition imposes a definite asymmetry with respect to past and future. As a consequence, the reciprocity principle for the Green's function for the wave equation for this initial condition reads

$$G(x,t|x_0,t_0) = G(x_0,-t_0|x,-t)$$

so that

$$\bar{G}(x,t|x_0,t_0) = G(x,-t|x_0,-t_0)$$

(7.5.11)

We see that $\bar{G}$ describes the propagation from a source point $x_0$ to one at $x$ with, however, the sense of time reversed, so that the event at $t$ occurs at some time earlier than the impulse causing it at a time $t_0$ (note that $t < t_0$). For example, in the case of the Green's function for the infinite domain

$$\bar{G}(x,t|x_0,t_0) = (1/R)\delta[(R/c) + (t - t_0)]; \quad R = |x - x_0|$$

At a given $R$, an effect is felt at a time $t = t_0 - R/c$, that is, at a time $R/c$ earlier than the initiation of the motion at $t_0$. For this reason $\bar{G}$ is often referred to as the advanced potential while $G = (1/R)\delta[R/c - (t - t_0)]$ is the retarded potential. Both are solutions of the source problem with differing initial conditions.
Because of the effect of boundary conditions it is useful to generalize
the idea of adjoint. We introduce two terms: adjoint boundary condi-
tions and adjoint problem. An adjoint problem will be satisfied by \( \tilde{\psi} \)
if \( \tilde{\psi} \) is a solution of
\[
\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\psi} = 0
\]
and if it satisfies adjoint boundary conditions. We shall define the
latter by the requirement
\[
n \cdot P[\psi, \tilde{\psi}] = 0; \text{ on the boundary surface} \quad (7.5.12)
\]
Hence if \( \psi \) satisfies a certain boundary condition, \( \tilde{\psi} \) will satisfy a cor-
responding boundary condition which we shall call the adjoint boundary
condition. A problem is considered self-adjoint when \( \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \) and the
boundary conditions for \( \psi \) and \( \tilde{\psi} \) are the same. For self-adjoint problems
\( \tilde{G}(x|x_0) = G(x|x_0) \).

More on Adjoint Differential Operators. Let us now become more
definite and consider some operators and their adjoints. As a first
example consider the one-dimensional situation. Here we shall gen-
erally be interested in second-order operators, so that we may specialize
to
\[
\alpha v = p \frac{d^2v}{dz^2} + q \frac{dv}{dz} + rv \quad (7.5.13)
\]
The adjoint is [see Eqs. (5.2.10) et seq.]
\[
\tilde{\alpha} u = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} (pu) - \frac{d}{dz} (qu) + ru \quad (7.5.14)
\]
The bilinear concomitant \( P(u,v) \)
\[
P(u,v) = \left[ pu \frac{dv}{dz} - v \frac{d(pu)}{dz} + qur \right] \quad (7.5.15)
\]
Under what conditions will \( \alpha \) be self-adjoint? Upon placing \( \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \)
we find that \( dp/dz \) must equal \( q \). Under these circumstances,
\[
\alpha v = \frac{d}{dz} \left( p \frac{dv}{dz} \right) + rv
\]
The equation \( \alpha v = 0 \) is just the Sturm Liouville one discussed in Sec. 6.3.
We see that it corresponds to the only linear self-adjoint operator con-
taining at most second-order differential operators.
When \( dp/dz = q \), the bilinear concomitant \( P \) is
\[
P = p \left( u \frac{dv}{dz} - v \frac{du}{dz} \right)
\]
The requirement \( n \cdot P(\psi, \bar{\psi}) = 0 \) is just that \( 0 = p \left( \psi \frac{d\bar{\psi}}{dz} - \bar{\psi} \frac{d\psi}{dz} \right) \) at \( a \) and \( b \) are the points at which boundary conditions are satisfied. If \( p \) is finite at the end points, then possible boundary conditions are Dirichlet, \( \psi = 0 \) at \( a \) and \( b \); Neumann, \( d\psi/dz = 0 \) at \( a \) and \( b \); or mixed, \( d\psi/dz = \beta \psi \) at \( a \) and \( b \). All of these are self-adjoint boundary conditions, for \( \bar{\psi} \) must satisfy the same boundary conditions as \( \psi \). Periodic boundary conditions \( \psi(a) = \psi(b) \) and \( (d\psi/dz)_a = (d\psi/dz)_b \) are also self-adjoint. Another type of boundary condition occurs when \( p \) has a zero at either \( a \) or \( b \). In that event \( P \) is zero at the point only if the functions \( \psi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \) are bounded. Again this boundary condition is self-adjoint. We have, of course, considered these very same conditions in Chap. 6. For all of them, the Green’s function must be symmetric.

Expressions (7.5.13) to (7.5.15) may be generalized to include operators involving higher order differentials and more than one dimension. Consider first the operator
\[
\alpha_{a,b} v = p(\partial^n v/dx^n)
\]
Any one-dimensional operator is, of course, a linear combination of operators of type \( \alpha_{a,b} \). The adjoint is
\[
\bar{\alpha}_{a,b} u = (-1)^n(\partial^n/dx^n)(pu)
\]
The bilinear concomitant is
\[
P(u,v) = p u \left( \frac{d^{n-1}v}{dx^{n-1}} \right) - \left( \frac{d(pu)}{dx} \right) \left( \frac{d^{n-1}v}{dx^{n-1}} \right) + \left( \frac{d^2(pu)}{dx^2} \right) \left( \frac{d^{n-2}v}{dx^{n-2}} \right) - \cdots
\]
\[
(-1)^{n-1} \left( \frac{d^{n-1}(pu)}{dx^{n-1}} \right) v
\]
In several dimensions, the most general differential operator would be of the form
\[
\alpha = p(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1^n \partial x_2^n \cdots \partial x_k^n} : a + b + \cdots + k = n
\]
where \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) are generalized coordinates. The adjoint \( \bar{\alpha} \) is
\[
\bar{\alpha} = (-1)^n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1^n \partial x_2^n \cdots \partial x_k^n} \left[ p(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \right]
\]
The bilinear concomitant \( P(u,v) \) is

\[
P(u,v) = a_x \left[ p u \left( \frac{\partial^{n-1} u}{\partial x_1^{n-1} \partial x_1} \cdots \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_1} \right) - \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k} \right) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x_1^{n-k} \partial x_1} \left( \frac{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k} \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ (-1)^n a_x \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^{n-1} x_1}{\partial x_1^{n-1} \partial x_2} \cdots \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_1} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k} \right) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x_1^{n-k} \partial x_1} \left( \frac{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k} \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ \cdots + (-1)^n a_x \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^{n-1} (pu)}{\partial x_1^{n-1} \partial x_2} \cdots \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x_1} \right) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x_1^{n-k} \partial x_1} \right]
\]

(7.5.21)

where \( a_x \) is the unit vector corresponding to the coordinate \( x \).

As a simple example, consider the operator

\[
\partial v = p \partial_x^2 v + q \partial_y^2 v + 2f \partial_x v + \partial_y v + m \partial_y v
\]

Then

\[
\partial v = \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (qu)}{\partial y} + 2 \frac{\partial (ru)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial (u)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial (ru)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial (mu)}{\partial y}
\]

and

\[
P(u,v) = a_x \left[ pu \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x} + v + 2ru \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \frac{\partial (mu)}{\partial y} \right]
\]

Because of the symmetrical dependence upon \( x \) and \( y \) of the \( r \) term, it is possible to obtain another expression for \( P(u,v) \) [which may be obtained from general formula (7.5.21) by writing another alternate expression for \( P \) in which \( x_1 = y \) and \( x_2 = x \) and averaging with the above in which \( x_1 = x \) and \( x_2 = y \):]

\[
P(u,v) = a_x \left[ pu \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial (pu)}{\partial x} v + ru \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial (ru)}{\partial x} v + tuw \right]
\]

The conditions for the operator \( \partial \) being self-adjoint are found to be

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = t = 0; \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} - m = 0
\]

For consistency of these two equations we must also require that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( t - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( m - \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} \right)
\]
Then \( P(u, v) \) simplifies considerably to
\[
P(u, v) = a_x \left[ p \left( u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \left( u \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \right] \\
+ a_y \left[ q \left( u \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \left( u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \right]
\]

**Adjoint Integral Operators.** The definition of the adjoint operator given in (7.5.4) is not particularly appropriate for an integral operator (7.5.1), and an attempt to employ it without modification is not fruitful. We shall employ an integral definition which is in many ways weaker than (7.5.4) but nevertheless will leave most of our results unchanged. The particular choice we are about to make will remove all surface terms involving \( P \) from the result summarized in (7.5.8); Eq. (7.5.10), the reciprocity condition, will still hold. For integral operators we define the adjoint operator \( \bar{u} \) by the equation
\[
\int_a^b u \bar{d}v \, dx - \int_a^b v \bar{d}u \, dx = 0 \quad (7.5.22)
\]
where \( a \) and \( b \) are the limits of the region of interest.

This definition follows from the one-dimensional form of (7.5.4) by first integrating (7.5.4) from \( a \) to \( b \):
\[
\int_a^b [u \bar{d}v - v \bar{d}u] \, dx = [P(u, v)]_{x=a}^{x=b}
\]
We see that (7.5.22) follows if \([P(u, v)]_{x=a}^{x=b}\) is zero. We recall that this occurs for differential operators when the boundary conditions satisfied by \( u \) and \( v \) are homogeneous and are adjoint to each other as defined by Eq. (7.5.12). We now consider the consequence of definition (7.5.22).

For example let us examine the operator
\[
\bar{u}v = \int_a^b K(x, x_0) v(x_0) \, dx_0
\]
Then if \( \bar{u} \) is defined by
\[
\bar{u}u = \int_a^b \bar{K}(x, x_0) u(x_0) \, dx_0
\]
the defining equation (7.5.22) becomes
\[
\int_a^b dx \int_a^b dx_0 [v(x)K(x, x_0)u(x_0) - u(x)\bar{K}(x, x_0)v(x_0)] = 0
\]
Interchanging the variables of integration in the first integral this equation becomes
\[
\int_a^b dx \int_a^b dx_0 [v(x_0)u(x)[K(x_0, x) - \bar{K}(x, x_0)] = 0
\]
Since this is to hold for arbitrary \( u \) and \( v \) we define \( \bar{K} \) as follows:
\[
K(x_0, x) = \bar{K}(x, x_0) \quad (7.5.23)
\]
which is reminiscent of (7.5.10) where a similar statement is made for the Green's function. As we shall see in the following chapter, $K$ is very often a Green's function or related to one, so that the correspondence appearing here is not too surprising. From (7.5.23) we may now write

$$\overline{\alpha} w = \int_a^b K(x_0, x)v(x) \, dx$$

The condition for $\overline{\alpha} - \alpha$, that is, for the operators to be self-adjoint, is

$$K(x_0, x) = K(x, x_0)$$

(7.5.24)

that is, the function $K$ is to be symmetric in the two variables $x_0$ and $x$.

Another type of integral operator involves an indefinite integral

$$\alpha w = \int_a^b K(x, x_0)v(x) \, dx$$

We may reduce this operator to one involving definite limits by introducing the unit function so that

$$\alpha w = \int_a^b u(x - x_0)K(x, x_0)v(x_0) \, dx_0$$

or if we write

$$M(x, x_0) = u(x - x_0)K(x, x_0)$$

Then the adjoint operator $\overline{\alpha}$ involves

$$M(x, x_0) = M(x_0, x) = u(x_0 - x)K(x_0, x)$$

Hence $\overline{\alpha} \omega = \int_a^b u(x_0 - x)K(x_0, x)\omega(x_0) \, dx_0 = \int_a^b K(x_0, x)\omega(x) \, dx_0$

For $\alpha$ to be self-adjoint $M(x, x_0) = M(x_0, x)$ or

$$u(x - x_0)K(x, x_0) = u(x_0 - x)K(x_0, x)$$

This equation can never be satisfied, since $u(x - x_0)$ is zero whenever $u(x_0 - x)$ is unity and vice versa. Hence $\alpha$ for indefinite integrals is not self-adjoint.

Generalization of these definitions to more than one dimension does not involve any new principles and we shall therefore relegate their discussion to the problems at the end of the chapter.

**Generalization to Abstract Vector Space.** As with the treatment of eigenfunctions, it is both useful and instructive to extend our discussion now to the representation of the results of this chapter in the abstract symbolism of vector space and vector operators. This symbolism was first treated in Sec. 1.6 and was used extensively in Secs. 2.6 and 6.3. There we showed that any vector $\mathbf{F}$ in abstract space could be expressed in terms of its components with respect to a mutually orthogonal set of eigenvectors:

$$\mathbf{F} = \sum_n F_n \mathbf{e}_n; \quad F_n = \mathbf{F}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}_n$$
for a denumerable infinity of eigenvectors $e_n$; or

$$F = \int F(x)e(x) \, dx; \quad F(x) = F^\ast \cdot e(x)$$

for the nondenumerable set $e(x)$ (incidentally $x$ here stands for one or more coordinates, such as $x, y, t$, and the integration is understood to be carried out over the range of these variables inside the boundary). Vectors are represented by a one-dimensional array of components, $F_x$ or $F(x)$.

In addition, we dealt with operators $\mathcal{A}$, generalizations in abstract vector space of the differential operators discussed in the first part of this section. Here the representation must be a two-dimensional matrix of components:

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{mn} e_m A_{mn} e_n^\ast = \int dx \int e(x) A(x|x_0) e^\ast(x_0) \, dx_0 \quad (7.5.25)$$

where we could have used $r, r_0$ instead of $x, x_0$ and $dV$ instead of $dx$ to emphasize that more than one dimension may be involved. If the matrix $A_{mn}$ is a diagonal one ($A_{mn} = a_n \delta_{mn}$), the unit vectors $e_n$ are then eigenvectors for $\mathcal{A}$, satisfying the equation $\mathcal{A} \cdot e_n = a_n e_n$; if $A_{mn}$ is not a diagonal matrix, the set $e_n$ is an eigenvector set for some other operator, not $\mathcal{A}$.

Corresponding to the operator $\mathcal{A}$ operating on the vector $F$ is the differential operator $\partial_x$, operating on the function $F(x)$:

$$\mathcal{A} \cdot F = \int \partial_x F(r)e(r) \, dV = \int dV \int \partial_x A(r|x_0) F(r_0)e(r) \, dV_0$$

where $\partial_x$ is the differential (or integral) operator discussed in the first part of this section. The second form illustrates the fact that any operator may be expressed in integral form. This is most easily seen, for differential operators, by the use of the delta function and its derivatives. For instance, if $\partial_x = g(x) (d/dx) + r(x)$, the matrix for $\mathcal{A}$ in terms of the $x'$ is

$$A(x|x_0) = -g(x) \delta'(x_0 - x) + r(x) \delta(x_0 - x)$$

for then (see page 837)

$$\partial_x F(x) = \int A(x|x_0) F(x_0) \, dx_0 = g(x) (d/dx) F(x) + r(x) F(x) \quad (7.5.26)$$

Higher derivatives may be expressed in terms of higher “derivatives” of the delta function; it is not difficult to see that all operators dealt with so far in this section may be expressed in terms of an equivalent $A(x|x_0)$, involving delta functions and their derivatives, functions of $x$ and $x_0$, and, occasionally, the step function $u(x_0 - x)$ (see page 840).

To generalize the Green’s function procedure we must first find the operator generalization of Green’s theorem, then find the generalization
of the delta function corresponding to the unit source on the right-hand side of the inhomogeneous equation, and finally find the actual solution of the generalized operator equation.

We have already generalized Green's theorem by Eq. (7.5.22). This at the same time defines what is meant by an adjoint operator and what is needed for adjoint boundary conditions and also is the basis for the reciprocity relation. All these matters must now be translated to vector-operator language.

**Adjoint, Conjugate, and Hermitian Operators.** The equation which is equivalent to Green's theorem is Eq. (7.5.22). Written in slightly different form it is

$$\int [\bar{u}(x)A(x,v(x)) - v(x)\bar{A}(x,u(x))] \, dx = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5.27)

This is somewhat like the equation defining the Hermitian adjoint of an operator [see Eq. (1.6.34) *et supra* and also Eq. (6.3.72)]

$$U^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot V - (\mathcal{A}^* \cdot U)^* \cdot V = 0$$

which becomes

$$\int \{ [\bar{u}(x)A(x,v(x)) - v(x)\bar{A}(x,u(x))] \} \, dx = 0$$

or

$$\int dx \bar{u}(x)A(x,v(x)) - v(x)\bar{A}(x,u(x)) \, dx = 0$$

in terms of components along the eigenvectors $e(x)$ if $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ are the corresponding components of the vectors $U, V$. Since the components of a Hermitian adjoint $A^*(x|x_0)$ are the complex conjugates of the components of $\mathcal{A}$ itself with row and column reversed, $\bar{A}(x_0|x)$, this last integral automatically is zero.

But Eq. (7.5.27) is not exactly this, and we have signified the difference by using the tilde sign $\tilde{A}$ instead of the star $A^*$. We must go back to the definition of the adjoint differential operator to find the nature of this difference. What becomes apparent then is that, if $A(x|x_0)$ are the components of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ along the $e(x)$ axes, then the components of $\mathcal{A}$ are $A(x_0|x)$ whereas the components of $\mathcal{A}^*$ are $\bar{A}(x_0|x)$. In one case we reverse the rows and columns ($x$ and $x_0$), and in the other case we both reverse rows and columns and also take the complex conjugate.

To show that the ordinary adjoint of an operator corresponds to reversal of $x$ and $x_0$ in the $e(x)$ components, we can take the case given in Eq. (7.5.27) for the differential operator $g(d/dx) + r$. Here the components turned out to be

$$A(x|x_0) = -g(x)\delta'(x_0 - x) + r(x)\delta(x_0 - x)$$

Simple reversal of $x$ and $x_0$ results in

$$\bar{A}(x|x_0) = A(x_0|x) = -g(x_0)\delta'(x - x_0) + r(x_0)\delta(x - x_0)$$
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which corresponds to the differential operator

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{\alpha} F'(x) = \int \tilde{A}(x_1, x_2) F(x_1) dx_1 = -\frac{d}{dx_2} (qF) + r(x) F(x)$$

which is the adjoint operator as defined in Eqs. (7.5.14).

We can now sum up our conventions regarding Hermitian adjoint, adjoint, and conjugate. The components of $F^*$, the vector conjugate to $F$, are the complex conjugates of the components of $F$:

$$F = \sum_n F_n e_n - \int F(x) e(x) dx; \quad F^* = \sum_n e^*_n F_n = \int e^*(x) \tilde{F} (x) dx \quad (7.5.28)$$

(we can also call $F^*$ adjoint to $F$). The components of $\mathcal{A}$, the operator adjoint to $\mathcal{A}$, involve the inversion of row and column; the components of $\mathcal{A}$, the conjugate to $\mathcal{A}$, are just the complex conjugates of those of $\mathcal{A}$, whereas the Hermitian adjoint $\mathcal{A}^*$ has elements which are reversed, rows and columns, and also are complex conjugates:

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{nm} e_{nm} A_{mn} e^*_m; \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_n e^*_n \tilde{A}_n e_m^* \quad (7.5.29)$$

$$* \quad \mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A} , \quad \mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A}^*$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ is Hermitian, $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A}$, but $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}$ unless all the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are real. If $\mathcal{A}$ is real, $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A}$ but $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}$ unless $\mathcal{A}$ is Hermitian, and so on.

We note that the property of being Hermitian is invariant under a rotation of axes in vector space whereas the property of being real (or of being self-adjoint) is not an invariant. For instance, the matrix given by the components of $\mathcal{A}$ along $a_1$ and $a_2$

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 + i \\ 1 - i & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is Hermitian but is not real or self-adjoint. This matrix has eigenvector $e_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} [a_1 - a_2/(1 - i)]$ with eigenvalue 0 and also eigenvector $e_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} [a_2 + a_1/(1 + i)]$ with eigenvalue 2. With respect to these new axes $\mathcal{A}$, of course, is diagonal:

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is still Hermitian but is also self-adjoint and real.

Green’s Function and Green’s Operator. The analogue of the inhomogeneous equation $\delta \psi (r) = -\alpha \varphi (r)$ is thus the operator equation $\mathcal{A} \cdot F = -\alpha \mathcal{P}$, where $F$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are abstract vectors and $\mathcal{A}$ is one of the operators we have been describing. What is the analogue of the equa-
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\[ G(r|\tau_0) = -4\pi \delta(r - \tau_0) \] for the Green's function. We have seen earlier that the components of the idempotent

\[ \mathbf{\Omega} = -4\pi \mathbf{3} \]

along the \( e(r) \) axes is just the delta function \( \delta(r - \tau_0) \), so the analogue of the right-hand side of the Green's function equation could be \(-4\pi \mathbf{3}\).

This would make the Green's function also analogous to an abstract vector \( \mathbf{P} \) rather than an abstract vector, for if the right-hand side of the equation is to be an operator, so must the left-hand side. The result is not surprising, for if the analogue of the source-density function \( \rho \) is the abstract vector \( \mathbf{P} \), the analogue of \( G \) must be an operator which transforms \( \mathbf{P} \) into the solution \( \mathbf{F} \). Therefore the analogue of the inhomogeneous equation

\[ \mathbf{\Omega} G(r|\tau_0) = -4\pi \delta(r - \tau_0) \]

\[ \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{F} = -4\pi \mathbf{3} \]

or, in components along \( e(r) \),

\[ fA(r|\tau') G(r'|\tau_0) dV' = -4\pi \delta(r - \tau_0) \]

which is equivalent to the differential equation for \( G \). Thus the generalization of the Green's function is the Green's operator \( \mathbf{\Omega} \).

Looking at Eq. (7.5.31), we quickly see that the proper form for the Green's operator is

\[ \mathbf{\Omega} = -4\pi \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \]

In other words, the Green's function is the \( e(r) \) component representation of \((-4\pi)\) times the inverse of the operator \( \mathbf{\Omega} \), corresponding to the homogeneous equation. The solution of the general inhomogeneous equation \( \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{F} = -4\pi \mathbf{P} \) is then

\[ \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{P} = -4\pi \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{P} \]

a very simple and obvious answer when expressed in these general terms. The process of multiplying by a Green's function and integrating is equivalent to multiplying by an inverse operator; \( \int G(x_1, \tau_0) \rho(x_0) dx_0 \) are the components of vector \(-4\pi \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{P} \).

Reciprocity Relation. We have seen that the generalization of Green's theorem led to the condition

\[ \int[\bar{u} \partial \bar{u} - \bar{u} \partial u] dV = 0 \]

where \( u \) and \( v \) satisfy "self-adjoint" boundary conditions on the boundary surface. The operator \( \bar{\mathbf{\Omega}} \) is the operator adjoint to \( \mathbf{\Omega} \) (if \( \mathbf{\Omega} \) is Hermitian, it is also the complex conjugate of \( \mathbf{\Omega} \)). Its Green's function is \( \mathbf{\bar{\Omega}} \), where

\[ \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{\bar{\Omega}} = -4\pi \mathbf{3} \]. But, by taking the transpose of Eq. (7.5.31), we obtain
\( \mathcal{G} \cdot \mathcal{A} = -4\pi \mathcal{G} \); consequently \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \). In other words the Green's operator for the adjoint operator \( \mathcal{A} \) is the adjoint of the Green's operator \( \mathcal{G} \):

\[
\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_0|\mathbf{r})
\]  

(7.5.34)

which is the generalization of the reciprocity theorem (7.5.10). In the language of vector space this result is somewhat of a tautology. If we are convinced that the generalization of Green's function is an operator, then (7.5.34) is just the definition of adjoint; on the other hand Eq. (7.5.34) might be taken as a further piece of evidence that \( \mathcal{G} \) really is a perfectly well-behaved operator.

We now see why the discussion at the beginning of this section was not complete and could not be complete at that point. We had to understand the concept of "adjointness" for differential (or integral) operators, for Green's functions, and for boundary conditions before we could see that (7.5.27) really could contain all the essentials of the boundary conditions and properties of differential operators to enable us to determine Green's operators. And we had to explore the behavior of actual Green's functions before we could understand their relation to operators.

**Expansion of Green's Operator for Hermitian Case.** It is sometimes useful to expand operators and vectors in terms of some other set of eigenvectors than the nonenumerably infinite set \( \mathbf{e}(r) \). For instance, if the operator \( \mathcal{A} \) were equal to \( \mathcal{A} = \lambda \mathcal{I} \), where \( \lambda \) is a multiplicative constant, one might be tempted to expand the quantities involved in terms of the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}_n \) of the equation

\[
\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = \lambda_n \mathbf{e}_n; \quad \mathbf{e}_n^* \cdot \mathbf{e}_m = \delta_{nm}
\]

where the operator equation has inherent in it the boundary conditions as well as the differential operator. For instance, the idemfactor \( \mathcal{I} \) is \( \Sigma \mathbf{e}_n \mathbf{e}_n^* \), and we could express the Green's operator in terms of its matrix components with respect to these axes:

\[
\mathcal{G} = \sum_{m,n} \mathbf{e}_n \mathcal{G}_{mn} \mathbf{e}_m^*
\]  

(7.5.35)

If \( \mathcal{A} \) is a Hermitian operator (and if the boundary conditions are Hermitian), the eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) are all real and the adjoint operator is the conjugate of \( \mathcal{G} \). The transformation functions, coming from the relation between \( \mathbf{e}_n \) and \( \mathbf{e}(r) \),

\[
\mathbf{e}_n = \int \psi_n(r) \mathbf{e}(r) \, dV; \quad \mathbf{e}(r) = \sum_n \psi_n(r) \mathbf{e}_n
\]  

(7.5.36)

are the complex conjugates of the \( \psi \)'s for the adjoint operator \( \mathcal{G} \). If \( \mathcal{A} \) is self-adjoint, either the \( \psi \)'s are real functions of \( r(t,\phi,\phi) \), or if they are complex, their complex conjugates are also part of the set of eigenfunc-
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7.33. Definitions, so that, if we wished (and if the boundary conditions allowed), we could make all the \( \psi \)'s real (for instance, for the angle \( \phi \) we can use \( e^{i m \phi} \) with \( m \) positive or negative or else we can use \( \cos m \phi \) and \( \sin m \phi \)).

To solve the inhomogeneous equation \([\mathcal{L} - \lambda] \cdot \mathbf{F} = -4\pi \mathbf{S}\), we have first to solve the operator equation

\[
[\mathcal{L} - \lambda] \cdot \mathbf{\Theta} = -4\pi \mathbf{S}
\]

(7.5.37)

Then operating by both sides of the equation on the vector \( \mathbf{P} \), corresponding to the density function, we see that the solution of \([\mathcal{L} - \lambda] \cdot \mathbf{F} = -4\pi \mathbf{P} \) is \( \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{\Theta} \cdot \mathbf{P} \).

We know that \( \mathbf{\Theta} \) is equal to \( -4\pi [\mathcal{L} - \lambda]^{-1} \), but this formal solution is not of much use. It would be more fruitful to obtain an expansion of \( \mathbf{\Theta} \) in terms of the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}_n \). Setting (7.5.35) into Eq. (7.5.37) we have that the matrix components for \( \mathbf{\Theta} \) are

\[
G_{mn} = \frac{4\pi \delta_{mn}}{\lambda - \lambda_m}; \quad \mathbf{\Theta} = \sum_n \left( \frac{4\pi}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \right) \mathbf{e}_n^* \mathbf{e}_n
\]

(7.5.38)

The Green's function is the matrix component of \( \mathbf{\Theta} \) in terms of the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}) \):

\[
G(\mathbf{r}_0 | \mathbf{r}_0) = \mathbf{e}^*(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{\Theta} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}_0) = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda - \lambda_n} \sum_n \frac{\psi_n(\mathbf{r}) \psi_n(\mathbf{r}_0)}{\lambda - \lambda_n}
\]

(7.5.39)

The adjoint to \( G \) is \( G(\mathbf{r}_0 | \mathbf{r}) \), which is the complex conjugate of \( G(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{r}_0) \). This, of course, is a consequence of the fact that, if \( \mathcal{L} \) is Hermitian, then \( G \) is also [see Eq. (7.5.20)]. If \( \mathcal{L} \) is also self-adjoint, so that its elements in this expansion are all real, then \( G \) is also self-adjoint and \( G(\mathbf{r} | \mathbf{r}_0) = G(\mathbf{r}_0 | \mathbf{r}) \). In this case all \( \psi \)'s are real, or if there are complex \( \psi \)'s, their conjugates are also eigenfunctions, so the sum of (7.5.39) is symmetric.

Therefore, in general, for a Hermitian operator, the Green's function at \( \mathbf{r} \) for a source at \( \mathbf{r}_0 \) is the complex conjugate of the Green's function at \( \mathbf{r}_0 \) for a source at \( \mathbf{r} \), whereas for a self-adjoint operator (with self-adjoint boundary conditions), source and observation point may be interchanged without changing \( G \). This is the dual generalization of the reciprocity relation.

**Non-Hermitian Operators: Biorthogonal Functions.** At times we are forced to consider differential equations or boundary conditions which do not correspond to Hermitian operator equations. In this case the operator \( \mathcal{L}^* \) differs from the operator \( \mathcal{L} \) and the eigenvectors must also differ.

We define the two sets by the usual equations

\[
\mathcal{L}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}_m = \lambda_m \mathbf{e}_m; \quad \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathbf{f}_m = \mu_m \mathbf{f}_m
\]

(7.5.40)

where \( \mathbf{e}_m \) and \( \mathbf{f}_m \) have their conjugate vectors \( \mathbf{e}_m^* \) and \( \mathbf{f}_m^* \), of course.
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The eigenvectors $\lambda_n$ for $\Psi$ may, in principle, be obtained if we know the effect of $\Psi$ on some standard set of mutually orthogonal unit vectors $a_n$ [which may, of course, be $e(x)$]:

$$\Psi = \sum_{m,n} a_n^* L_{mn} a_m; \quad \Psi^* = \sum_{m,n} a_n^* \bar{L}_{mn} a_m^* \quad (7.5.41)$$

from Eq. (1.6.34). As shown in Sec. 1.6, Eqs. (7.5.40) correspond to the set of simultaneous equations

$$\sum_n L_{mn} (a_n^* \cdot e_r) = \lambda_n (a_n^* \cdot e_r); \quad \sum_n \bar{L}_{mn} (a_n^* \cdot f_r) = \mu_n (a_n^* \cdot f_r)$$

which are solved to obtain the eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ and $\mu_n$, by finding the roots of the equations obtained by setting the determinant of the coefficients of $(a_n^* \cdot e_r)$ and $(a_n^* \cdot f_r)$ equal to zero.

$$|L_{mn} - \lambda_n \delta_{mn}| = 0; \quad |ar{L}_{mn} - \mu_n \delta_{mn}| = 0 \quad (7.5.42)$$

The set of roots $\lambda_n$ is closely related to the set of roots $\mu_n$, as will be apparent by taking the conjugate of the first of Eqs. (7.5.40),

$$|\Psi \cdot e_r|^2 = e_r^* \cdot \Psi^* \cdot \bar{\lambda_n} e_r^*$$

which becomes

$$\sum_n (e_r^* \cdot a_m) \bar{L}_{mn} = \bar{\lambda_n} (e_r^* \cdot a_n)$$

with secular determinant

$$|L_{mn} - \bar{\lambda_n} \delta_{mn}| = 0$$

which is identical with the second one of Eq. (7.5.42). Consequently the set of roots $\mu_n$ is identical with the set of roots $\lambda_n$, and we can arrange the subscripts so that $\mu_n = \bar{\lambda_n}$. This does not mean that $e_m = f_m$ or $e_n^* = f_n$, however.

When $\Psi$ is not Hermitian, the set of eigenvectors $e_n$ is not mutually orthogonal but the vectors $f_m$ have orthogonal relationships with the $e$'s; for

$$[f_m^* \cdot \Psi \cdot e_n] = \lambda_n [f_m^* \cdot e_n] = [(\Psi^* \cdot f_m)^* \cdot e_n] = \lambda_n [f_m^* \cdot e_n]$$

so that

$$\lambda_n - \bar{\lambda_n} (f_m^* \cdot e_n) = 0$$

Consequently the scalar products $(f_n^* \cdot e_m)$ are zero except for $m = n$.

The expansion of any vector $F$ is then

$$F = \sum F_n e_n; \quad \text{where } F_n = (f_n^* \cdot F) \quad (7.5.43)$$

The dual set of eigenvectors $e_n$ and $f_n$ are called a biorthogonal set of eigenvectors. Their representatives in terms of $e(x)$,

$$\psi_n(r) = e_n^* (r) \cdot e_n; \quad \bar{\phi}_n(r) = f_n^* \cdot e(r)$$

are said to form a biorthogonal set of eigenfunctions.
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The idemfactor is then \( \Sigma e_n f_n^* \) and the expansion of the Green's operator is

\[
\mathcal{G} = \sum_{n,m} e_n G_{mn} f_m^* = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{e_n f_n^*}{\lambda - \lambda_n}
\]

and the corresponding Green's function is

\[
G(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0) = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{\psi_n(\mathbf{r})\psi_n(\mathbf{r}_0)}{\lambda - \lambda_n}
\]  \( (7.5.11) \)

This function is symmetrical only when \( \phi_n = \psi_n \) (as it is for some cases).

In Sec. 11.1 [Eqs. (11.1.21) to seq.] we consider the case of a flexible string with homogeneous boundary conditions which depend on the frequency (the slope of \( \psi \) at the boundary depends on the velocity of \( \psi \) as well as its value). These boundary conditions are not self-adjoint, and the corresponding operator is non-Hermitian, so that biorthogonal eigenfunctions must be used. We solve the problem for the given conditions and also solve the problem for adjoint boundary conditions, the complex conjugate of Eq. (11.1.22). It turns out, in this case, that \( \phi_n = \psi_n \), so that the series given in Eq. (11.2.25) corresponds to Eq. (7.5.44).

Problems for Chapter 7

7.1 A circular conducting disk of radius \( a \), at a constant potential \( V \), is set flush in a plane conductor of infinite extent (coincident with the plane \( z = 0 \)) held at zero potential. Show that the Green's function suitable for this problem is

\[
[(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2]^{-1} - [(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z + z_0)^2]^{-1}
\]

Show that the potential at the point \((x,y,z)\) caused by this combination of conductors is

\[
\psi(r,\vartheta) = \frac{2V}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^a y \, dy [r^2 + y^2 - 2ry \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi]^{-1}
\]

where \( r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \) and \( \tan \vartheta = (1/2) \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \). Find the charge density on the disk and on the infinite conductor in the form of definite integrals. Find the value of \( \psi \) for \( r \) large compared with \( a \), for \( r \) small compared with \( a \).

7.2 Suppose the boundary condition on the plane \( z = 0 \) is that \( \partial \psi / \partial z = V \) on the disk of radius \( a \), is zero elsewhere on the plane. Show
that the Green’s function is

\[
[(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2]^{-1} + [(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z + z_0)^2]^{-1}
\]

Compute the potential \( \psi \) on the surface of the disk and its gradient for \( r \gg a \).

7.3 Suppose that the disk of Probs. 7.1 and 7.2 were oscillating normal to its plane with a velocity \( V e^{-i\omega t} \) radiating sound into the region \( z > 0 \). Show that the proper Green’s function is \( (e^{ikr}/R) + (e^{ikr'}/R') \), where \( k = \omega/c \), and

\[
R^2 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2
\]

\[
(R')^2 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z + z_0)^2
\]

Show that, when \( r^2 + y^2 + z^2 \gg a^2 \), the asymptotic expression for \( \psi \) is

\[
\psi \rightarrow (V a^2/r)e^{ikr/y}(J_1(ka \sin \theta)/ku \sin \theta)
\]

Use this result to discuss the Fraunhofer diffraction of waves from a circular orifice.

7.4 The inner surface of a sphere of radius \( a \) is kept at potential \( \psi_0(\theta, \varphi) \), where \( \theta \) and \( \varphi \) are the angle coordinates of a spherical system concentric with the sphere. Show that the Green’s function appropriate for this problem is

\[
(x^2 + r_0^2 - 2rr_0 \cos \theta)^{-1} - (\sigma r_0/a)^2 + a^2 - 2rr_0 \cos \theta)^{-1}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the angle between the radius vector to the observation point and \( r_0 \) the radius vector to the source point \( [\cos \varphi = \cos \delta \cos \varphi_0 + \sin \varphi_0 \cos (\varphi - \varphi_0)] \). Show that the interior potential is

\[
\psi(r, \theta, \varphi) = \frac{a}{4\pi} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^2 \right] \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_0 \int_0^{\pi} \psi_0(\theta_0, \varphi_0) \sin \theta_0 d\theta_0
\]

Find a series expansion of \( \psi \) in terms of powers of \( r/a \) useful for points near the origin.

7.5 Show that the Green’s function in spherical coordinates for the Laplace equation is

\[
\frac{1}{R} = \sum_{n=m} \frac{(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} \cos[m(\varphi - \varphi_0)] P_n^m(\cos \theta) P_n^m(\cos \varphi_0) \left\{ \frac{(r^2/r_0^2)^{m+1}}{r \leq r_0} ; \right. \left. \frac{(r_0^2/r^2)^{m+1}}{r \geq r_0} \right\}
\]

and that for the Helmholtz equation is

\[
\frac{e^{ikr}}{R} = ik \sum_{n,m} \frac{(2n+1)(n-m)!}{(n+m)!} \cos[m(\varphi - \varphi_0)] P_n^m(\cos \theta) \cdot
\]

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{j}_n(kr)h_n(kr_0) & r \leq r_0 \\
\tilde{j}_n(kr_0)h_n(kr) & r \geq r_0
\end{array} \right.
\]
where \( j_n \) and \( h_n \) are the spherical Bessel functions (see Prob. 5.20 and the tables at the end of Chap. 11).

7.6 A solution of the Helmholtz equation, originally of the form

\[
\psi_0(r) = \sum L_m \cos(m \varphi + \alpha_m) P_r^m(\cos \theta) j_n(kr)
\]

extending throughout all space, is perturbed by the presence of a sphere of radius \( a \) with center at the origin, at the surface of which \( \psi \) must now satisfy the boundary condition

\[-(\partial \psi / \partial r) = \eta \psi; \quad r = a\]

Show that the new \( \psi \) solution of the equation \( \nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0 \) outside the sphere, satisfying the above boundary condition at \( r = a \) and the condition at \( r \to \infty \) that \( \psi \) must equal \( \psi_0 \) plus an outgoing wave, is a solution of the following integral equation:

\[
\psi(r) = \psi_0(r) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int G(r, r_0) \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r_0} G(r_0, r_0) - \eta G(r_0, r_0) \right] dr_0; \quad r \geq a
\]

where \( G \) is the second series of Prob. 7.5 and the integration is over the surface of the sphere.

7.7 A wire of radius \( b \) is immersed in an oil bath of infinite volume. The heat-diffusion coefficient of both oil and wire is \( a \). Both are originally at zero temperature. A pulse of current is sent through the wire, heating it momentarily up to temperature \( T_0 \). Show that the temperature a distance \( r \) from the wire axis a time \( t \) later is

\[
T = \frac{T_0}{2a^2t} e^{-r^2/4at} \int_0^b \int_0^r e^{-y^2/4a} J_0 \left( \frac{iyr}{2a} \right) y dy dt
\]

By use of the series expansion and asymptotic expression for \( J_n \), compute \( T \) for the two limiting cases, one where \( 2a^3t/r \) is much smaller than \( b \), the other where it is much larger.

7.8 Determine the one-dimensional Green's function \( G_k(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0) \) for the Bessel differential operator

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dG_k}{dr} \right) + k^2 r G_k = -\delta(r - r_0); \quad r \leq a
\]

where \( G_k(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0) = 0 \). Show that \( G_k \) is singular whenever \( k = k_r \), where \( J'_0(k_r a) = 0 \). From the behavior of \( G_k \) at this singularity determine the normalization integral

\[
\int_0^a r J_0^2(k_r r) dr
\]

7.9 Show that, in cylindrical coordinates,

\[
\frac{e^{ikr}}{ik} = \sum_{m} (2 - \delta_{m0}) \cos(m \varphi - \varphi_0) \int_0^\infty J_m(\lambda \rho) J_m(\lambda \rho_0) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} - i \lambda \rho} \lambda d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}}
\]
7.10 Let \( u = E_x \) and \( v = -E_y \), where \( E \) is a two-dimensional electric field. Show that the equations satisfied by \( u \) and \( v \) may be summarized as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u \\
\omega
\end{pmatrix} = 0
\]

Define a Green's dyadic

\[
\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix}
\]

satisfying the equation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\
\phi \end{pmatrix} = -4\pi \delta(x - x_0) \delta(y - y_0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Show that

\[
\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
\end{pmatrix} G
\]

where \( G \) is the Green's function for the two-dimensional Laplace equation. Discuss the meaning of \( \mathcal{G} \), and obtain the solution in terms of \( \mathcal{G} \) of the inhomogeneous form of the equations for \( u \) and \( v \).

7.11 Let \( \psi \) satisfy the following equation:

\[
(\frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2}) + k^2 \psi = 0; \quad 0 \leq x \leq l
\]

and the boundary condition \( \psi(0) = 0 \) and \( \psi(l) = f \psi'(l) \) where \( f \) is a complex constant. Show that the eigenfunctions are \( \sin(k_n x) \) where \( \tan(k_n) = \frac{k}{k_n} \). Show that the adjoint solution satisfies the same equation as \( \psi \) but with the boundary conditions \( \psi(0) = 0, \psi(l) = f \psi'(l) \). Show that \( \varphi_n = \psi_n \). Show that

\[
\int_0^l \varphi_n \psi_n \, dx = \int_0^l \psi_n \varphi_n \, dx = 0; \quad n \neq m
\]

Discuss the normalization for \( \varphi_n \), and verify by examining the Green's function for the problem, which may be obtained in closed form.

7.12 A self-adjoint operator \( \mathcal{L} \) may be broken up into two self-adjoint parts \( \mathcal{L}_r \) and \( \mathcal{L}_s \) where \( \mathcal{L}_r \) operates on variable \( r \) only and \( \mathcal{L}_s \) operates on \( \rho \) only:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_r + \mathcal{L}_s
\]

Let the orthogonal and normalized eigenfunctions of \( \mathcal{L}_r \) be \( \varphi_n(\rho) \):

\[
\varphi_n(\rho) = \lambda_n \varphi_n(\rho)
\]

Show that the Green's function \( G_n(r, \rho | r_0, \rho_0) \) which satisfies the equation

\[
| \mathcal{L}(r, \rho) - \lambda_n | G_n = -\delta(r - r_0) \delta(\rho - \rho_0)
\]
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is given by

\[ G_\lambda = \sum \nu \beta_{\lambda \nu}(r, r_0) \varphi_\nu(r) \varphi_\nu(r_0) \]

where

\[ [\mathcal{L} - (\lambda - \lambda_\nu)] \beta_{\lambda \nu} = -\delta(r - r_0) \]

7.13 If

\[ (\mathcal{L} - \lambda) G_\lambda = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \]

Show that

\[ G_\lambda(r, r_0) = G_0(r, r_0) - \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \right) \int G_\lambda(r, r_1) G_0(r_1, r_0) \, dV_1 \]

and

\[ G_\lambda(r, r_0) = G_\lambda(r, r_0) + \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \right) \int G_\lambda(r, r_1) G_\lambda(r_1, r_0) \, dV_1 \]

7.14 If \( G \) is the Green's function for the scalar Helmholtz equation for the semi-infinite region \( x > 0 \), satisfying mixed boundary conditions

\[ \partial \psi / \partial x = f \psi; \quad \text{at} \ x = 0 \]

show that

\[ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + k^2 \right) G = \left( \frac{3}{a} + P \right) \left( \frac{e^{ikx}}{R} - \frac{e^{ikx'}}{R'} \right) \]

where \( R \) is \( |r - r_0| \) and \( R' = |r + r_0| \). By integration show that

\[ G = (e^{ikR}/R) + T \]

Determine \( T \).
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General Properties. The Green's function \( G_\lambda(r, r_0) \) satisfies the equation

\[ \mathcal{L}(G) - \lambda G = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \]

with certain homogeneous boundary conditions on the boundary surface \( S \). Its adjoint [see Eq. (7.5.1)] \( G_\lambda(r, r_0) \) satisfies the equation

\[ \mathcal{L}(\tilde{G}) - \lambda \tilde{G} = -4\pi \delta(r - r_0) \]

satisfying adjoint boundary conditions [see Eq. (7.5.9)] on the boundary surface \( S \). The reciprocity principle is that

\[ G_\lambda(r, r_0) = \tilde{G}_\lambda(r_0, r) \]

If \( \mathcal{L} \) is Hermitian (if its adjoint equals its conjugate), then \( G_\lambda \) is also Hermitian. In this case the eigenvalues \( \lambda \), for \( \mathcal{L} \),

\[ \mathcal{L} \psi_\lambda - \lambda \psi_\lambda = 0 \]
are real, the set of eigenfunctions $\psi_n$ is mutually orthogonal, and
\[ G_n(r|\mathbf{r}_0) = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{\psi_n(\mathbf{r})}{N_n(\lambda - \lambda_n)} \]
where $N_n = \int |\psi_n|^2 \, d\mathbf{v}$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is not Hermitian, then the eigenfunctions $\Phi_n$ of the Hermitian conjugate equation
\[ \mathcal{L}^* \Phi_n - \mu_n \Phi_n = 0; \quad \mathbf{r}^* = \mathbf{r}; \quad \mu_n = \lambda_n \]
may not equal the eigenfunctions $\psi_n$, and neither set may be mutually orthogonal. The double set $\psi, \Phi$ then constitutes a biorthogonal set, however, and
\[ G_n(r|\mathbf{r}_0) = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{\bar{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{r}_0)\psi_n(\mathbf{r})}{N_n(\lambda - \lambda_n)} \]
and the adjoint $\bar{G}$ is not necessarily equal to the conjugate $\bar{G}$ [see Eq. (7.5.41); $N_n = \int \bar{\Phi}_n \psi_n \, d\mathbf{n}$].

Green’s Function for the Helmholtz Equation. $G$ is a solution of
\[ \nabla^2 G_n(r|\mathbf{r}_0) + k^2 G_n(r|\mathbf{r}_0) = -4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) \]
satisfying homogeneous boundary conditions on some surface $S$. Then the reciprocity relation is $G_n(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0) = G_n(\mathbf{r}_0|\mathbf{r})$, since the equation is self-adjoint. If $\psi$ is a solution of $(\nabla^2 + k^2)\psi = -4\pi \rho$, having value $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ on the surface $S$ and having outward-pointing normal gradient $N_\psi(\mathbf{r}) = (\partial \psi/\partial n)_S$ on $S$, then within and on $S$
\[ \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \int_\mathcal{S} \rho(\mathbf{r}_0) G_n(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0) \, d\mathbf{v}_0 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \left[ G_n(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0^1) N_\psi(\mathbf{r}_0^1) - \psi(\mathbf{r}_0^1) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0} G_n(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0^1) \right] \, dA_0 \]
where the first integral is over the volume enclosed by $S$ and the second is a normal outflow integral over all of $S$. The normal gradients are taken in the outward direction, away from the interior where $\psi$ is measured.

If the surface $S$ is at infinity and if outgoing waves are specified [causality condition, Eq. (7.2.17)], then $G$ takes on the simple form $g_\lambda(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0)$ for the infinite domain:
\[ g_\lambda(r|\mathbf{r}_0) = e^{ikr}/R; \quad 3 \text{ dimensions}; \]
\[ R^2 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2 \]
\[ = i\pi H_0^0(kP); \quad 2 \text{ dimensions}; \quad P^2 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 \]
\[ = \left( \frac{2\pi i}{k} \right) e^{ik|x - x_0|}; \quad 1 \text{ dimension} \]
The Green’s function for the Poisson equation $\nabla^2 \psi = -4\pi \rho$ is $G_\lambda(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_0)$, for $\lambda = 0$. The corresponding forms for the infinite domain are
\[ g_\lambda(r|\mathbf{r}_0) = (1, k); \quad 3 \text{ dimensions}; \]
\[ = -2 \ln R; \quad 2 \text{ dimensions} \]
When a surface $S$ coincides, all or in part, with one of the set of separable coordinate surfaces discussed in Sec. 3.1, we can expand $G$ in series of separated solutions. Suppose the boundary conditions (finiteness, periodicity, or homogeneous conditions on the boundary) are such that two of the factors can be eigenfunctions, say the $\xi_1$ and $\xi_3$ factors. The $\xi_1$ factor must also satisfy homogeneous conditions at the surface, which we assume corresponds to the surfaces $\xi_1 = a$, $\xi_3 = b$, $b > a$. The coordinates have scale factors $h_1$, $h_3$, $h_3$; a Stäckel determinant $S$ with elements $\Phi_{m,n}(\xi_m)$; and minors $M_m = \partial S / \partial \Phi_{m1}$ [see Eqs. (5.1.25) et seq.]. The Helmholtz equation

$$
\sum_n \frac{M_n}{Sf_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \left( f_n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_n} \right) + k_n^2 \psi = 0; \quad k_1^2 = k^2
$$

separates into $\psi = X_1(\xi_1)X_2(\xi_2)X_3(\xi_3)$, where

$$
\frac{1}{f_m} \frac{d}{d \xi_m} \left( f_m \frac{d X_m}{d \xi_m} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} k_n^2 \Phi_{mn} X_m = 0
$$

and eigenfunction solutions satisfying appropriate boundary conditions are chosen for $X_3$ and $X_2$:

$$
W_\nu(\xi_2, \xi_3) = \delta_{\nu, \mu} X_\mu(\xi_3); \quad \nu, \mu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
$$

These are orthogonal with respect to a density function $\rho$ (often $\rho = h_3 h_3$) so that

$$
\int \int W_i W_j \rho(\xi_2, \xi_3) \, d\xi_2 \, d\xi_3 = \begin{cases} 
0; & p \neq q \\
N_q; & p = q
\end{cases}
$$

and the $W$'s constitute a complete set for the coordinates $\xi_2$, $\xi_3$ within the surface $S$.

Two independent solutions, $y_1(\xi_1)$ and $y_2(\xi_1)$, are chosen for the $\xi_1$ factor, each corresponding to the separation constants of $W_\nu$ and arranged so that $y_1$ satisfies the required boundary condition at $\xi_1 = a$ and $y_2$ the required condition at $\xi_1 = b(b > a)$. Then

$$
G_3(r | r') = -\frac{4\pi h_3}{h_3 h_3} \sum_q \rho(\xi_1, \xi_2) X_q W_\nu(\xi_2, \xi_3) W(\xi_3, \xi_3)
$$

$$
\cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ \Delta \end{vmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y_{1\nu}(\xi_1) y_{2\nu}(\xi_1); \quad \xi_1 < \xi_1' \\ y_{1\nu}(\xi_1) y_{2\nu}(\xi_1); \quad \xi_1 > \xi_1' \end{array} \right\}
$$

where the scale factors have functions of the primed coordinates and where $\Delta$ is the Wronskian for the two $\xi'_1$ solutions:

$$
\Delta = \Delta(y_{1\nu}, y_{2\nu}) = y_{1\nu} y_{2\nu}' - y_{1\nu}' y_{2\nu} = (\text{constant}/f_1), \quad \text{a function of } \xi_1'
$$
Expansion of the Green's function for the infinite domain, of the sort
generalized above, is given for two-dimensional polar coordinates in
Eqs. (7.2.51) and (11.2.23), for rectangular coordinates in Eq. (11.2.11),
for parabolic coordinates in Eq. (11.2.67), and for elliptic coordinates in
Eq. (11.2.93). The expansions for three-dimensional systems for rect-
angular coordinates are in Eq. (11.3.10), for spherical coordinates in
Eq. (11.3.44), and for spheroidal coordinates in Eq. (11.3.91). Similar
expansions for vector solutions are given in Eqs. (13.3.15) and (13.3.70).

Green's Function for the Wave Equation. \( G \) is a solution of
\[
\nabla^2 G(r,t|x_0,t_0) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G(r,t|x_0,t_0) = -4 \pi \delta(x - x_0) \delta(t - t_0)
\]
satisfying homogeneous boundary conditions on surface \( S \) and obeying
the "causality" requirement that \( G \) and \( \partial G/\partial t \) vanish everywhere for \( t < t_0 \).
The reciprocity relation is then
\[
G(x,t|x_0,t_0) = G(x_0,t_0|x,-t)
\]
If \( \psi(r,t) \) is a solution of \( \nabla^2 \psi - (1/c^2)(\partial^2 \psi/\partial t^2) = -4 \pi \rho(r,t) \) having value
\( \psi_e(r') \) and outward normal gradient \( N_e(r') \) on the surface \( S \) and having
initial value \( \psi_0(x) \) and initial time derivative \( \psi_0(t) = \partial \psi \partial t \) within \( S \) at
\( t = 0 \), then for \( t > 0 \) and within and on \( S \)
\[
\psi(r,t) = \int_{t}^{t_{+}} dt_0 \int dV_0 G(r,t|x_0,t_0)\phi(x_0,t_0)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{t}^{t_{+}} d\nu \int dA_0 \left[ \frac{G(r,t|x_0,t_0)}{\partial \nu_0} - \psi_e(r_0) \right] - \frac{1}{4 \pi c^2} \int dV_0 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu_0} \right)_{\phi=0} - G_{t-n}(r_0) \right]; \quad \epsilon \to 0^+
\]
The closed forms for the Green's function for the infinite domain are
\[
g(r,t|x_0,t_0) = (1/R) \delta(R/c) - (t - t_0)); \quad \text{for 3 dimensions};
\]
\[
R^2 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2
\]
\[
= \sqrt{x^2(t - t_0)^2 - l^2} u((t - t_0) - (P/c)); \quad \text{for 2 dimensions};
\]
\[
l^2 = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2
\]
\[
= 2 c u((t - t_0) \cdot (x - x_0/c)); \quad \text{for 1 dimension}
\]
where \( u(x) = 0; \quad x < 0; \quad u(x) = 1; \quad x > 0; \quad \delta(x) = u'(x) \)
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x)f(x + a) \, dx = f(a); \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta'(x)f(x + a) \, dx = -f'(a)
\]
The Green's function for the wave equation is related to the Green's
function for the Helmholtz equation by the Fourier integral relationship
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\[ G(r,t|t_0,t_0) = \frac{(c/2\pi)}{\delta_t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_0(r,r_0)e^{-\omega_0(t-t_0)} \, dk \]

\[ = 4\pi c^2 u(t-t_0) \sum_n \left( \frac{1}{\omega_n} \right) \Psi_n(r_0) \psi(r) \sin[\omega_n(t-t_0)] \]

where \( \psi_n \) is the eigenfunction solution of \( \nabla^2 \psi + k_n^2 \psi = 0 \) within \( S \) and where \( \omega_n = k_n c \). The contour for the integration over \( k \) is just above the real axis.

**Green’s Function for the Diffusion Equation.** \( G \) is a solution of

\[ \nabla^2 G_0(r,t|t_0,t_0) - \alpha^2 (\partial^2 / \partial t^2) G_0(r,t|t_0,t_0) = -4\pi \delta(r-r_0) \delta(t-t_0) \]

satisfying homogeneous boundary conditions on surface \( S \) and obeying the causality requirement that \( G \) be zero for \( t < t_0 \). The adjoint function \( \tilde{G}_0(r,t|t_0,t_0) \) satisfies the adjoint equation

\[ \nabla^2 \tilde{G} + \alpha^2 (\partial \tilde{G} / \partial t) = -4\pi \delta(r-r_0) \delta(t-t_0) \]

The reciprocity relationship is

\[ G_0(r,t|t_0,t_0) = \tilde{G}_0(r,t_0|t,t_0) = G_0(r_0-t_0|t,t_0) \]

If \( \psi(r) \) is a solution of \( \nabla^2 \psi - \alpha^2 (\partial \psi / \partial t) = -4\pi p(r) \), having value \( \phi(r,t) \) and outward normal gradient \( N_n(r,t) = \partial \psi / \partial n \) on surface \( S \) and having initial value \( \psi_0(r) \) within \( S \) at \( t = 0 \), then for \( t > 0 \) within and on \( S \)

\[ \psi(r,t) = \int_0^{t-\epsilon} dt \int dV_0 p(r_0,t_0) G(r,t|t_0,t_0) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{t+\epsilon} dt \int dA_0 \left[ G(r,t|t_0,t_0) N_n(r_0,t_0) - \psi_0(r_0,t_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0} G(r,t|t_0,t_0) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi} \int dV_0 \psi_0(r_0) G(r,t|t_0,0) ; \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \]

The form of the Green’s function for the infinite domain, for \( n \) dimensions, is

\[ g_n(r,t|t_0,t_0) = \frac{4\pi}{a^2} \left( \frac{a}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \right)^n e^{-a^2 \rho^2 / 4t} u(r) \]

where \( a = t - t_0 \) and \( \rho = |r-r_0| \). The Green’s function for the diffusion equation is related to the eigenfunctions \( \psi_n \) for the related Helmholtz equation, \( (\nabla^2 + k_n^2) \psi_n = 0 \) for the domain within \( S \), by the equation

\[ G_n(r,t|t_0,t_0) = \frac{4\pi}{a^2} u(t-t_0) \sum_n \frac{e^{-a^2 \rho^2 / 4t}}{N_n} \tilde{\psi}_n(r_0) \psi_n(r) \]

where \( \int \tilde{\psi}_n \psi_m dV = \delta_{nm} N_n \).
The literature on Green's functions and their applications is rather spotty. Satisfactory accounts of various aspects of the theory:

CHAPTER 8

Integral Equations

In the preceding chapters, we have relied mainly upon the differential equation to describe the propagation of a field $\psi$. Boundary conditions have to be specified in addition, for the differential equation describes only the local behavior of $\psi$, relating $\psi$ at a point $r$ to $\psi$ at $r + dr$. Starting from a given point $r$, the differential equation permits the construction of the many possible solutions in a stepwise fashion. The boundary conditions are then invoked to choose the solution which is appropriate to the physical situation of interest.

Inasmuch as the boundary values are a determining feature, it would be useful to formulate the determining equation for $\psi$ in such a manner as to include the boundary conditions explicitly. Such a formulation must relate $\psi(r)$ not only to the values of $\psi$ at neighboring points but to its values at all points in the region including the boundary points. The integral equation is an equation of this form. Since it contains the boundary conditions, it represents the entire physics of the problem in a very compact form and, as we shall see in many instances, a more convenient form than the more conventional differential equation.

This is not the only reason for studying integral equations. We have already seen, for example, in the discussion of diffusion and transport phenomena, that there are many situations which cannot be represented in terms of differential equations. In other words, there are problems in which the behavior of $\psi$ at $r$ depends on the values of $\psi$ at some distance from $r$, not just on the values at neighboring points.

In the first section of this chapter we shall display some of the integral equations which arise in physics and shall discuss their classification into various types, each having different properties and techniques for solution. Then after a discussion of general mathematical properties of these types, we shall devote the rest of this chapter to discussing techniques of solution.

8.1 Integral Equations of Physics, Their Classification

We consider first an example from transport theory. Here, as the consequence of a collision, a particle which was originally traveling in a
given direction with a certain energy, as specified by its momentum $p_0$, may acquire a new momentum $p$ which is very different both in direction and in size from the original $p_0$. More explicitly, let $P(p|p_0) \, dp \, dt$ be the probability that a particle having a momentum $p_0$ be scattered into momentum between $p$ and $p + dp$ in a time $dt$. If the original distribution function $f(r,p_0,t) \, dp_0$ gives the relative number of particles having a momentum between $p_0$ and $p_0 + dp_0$ at a point $r$, then the collisions which occur in a time $dt$ contribute the following to $f(r,p,t)$:

$$[[P(p|p_0) \, f(r,p_0,t) \, dp_0] \, dt$$

We see immediately that the value of $f(r,p,t)$ at $p$ is related to all the values of $f(r,p_0,t)$ for all values of $p_0$ consistent with conservation of momentum and energy. To complete the picture let us obtain the complete equation for $f$ by considering the other changes in $f(r,p,t)$ in a time $dt$. The above term gives the number of particles scattered into the volume of configuration space at $r$ and $p$. A number of particles leave this region because of scattering or because of their complete disappearance by absorption. Let the probability per unit time for scattering or absorption out of $p$ be $P_T(p)$. If there is no absorption, $P_T(p) = [[P(p_0|p) \, dp_0]$. The number of particles leaving in a time $dt$ is

$$P_T(p) \, f(r,p,t) \, dt$$

Finally, even if there are no collisions, a change in $f$ occurs simply because the particles are moving. The particles at $r$ were, at a time $dt$ earlier, at $r - (p/m) \, dt$. Thus

$$f(r, p, t + dt) = f[r - (p/m) \, dt, p, t] = P_T(p) \, f(r,p,t) \, dt$$

$$+ [[P(p|p_0) \, f(r,p_0,t) \, dp_0] \, dt$$

The equation states that the particles at $r$ at a time $t + dt$ consist (1) of those which arrived there as a consequence of their motion (2) less those which scattered or absorbed out of the momentum range $dp$ (3) plus those which were scattered into the range $dp$ by collision.

By expansion we finally obtain a differential-integral equation (see Secs. 2.4 and 12.2):

$$\frac{df}{dt} = -\left(\frac{p}{m} \cdot \nabla\right) f - P_T f + \int P(p|p_0) f(r,p_0,t) \, dp_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.1)

Under steady-state conditions, $f$ is independent of $t$ and

$$\left(\frac{p}{m} \cdot \nabla\right) f - P_T f + \int P(p|p_0) f(r,p_0) \, dp_0; \quad \text{steady state} \hspace{1cm} (8.1.2)$$

We emphasize again the dependence of $f$ on the complete functional dependence of $f$ on $p$ rather than just on the relation of $f$ for neighboring
values of $p$. In Sec. 2.4 the probabilities $P_T$ and $P$ are directly related to the cross sections and the above equation is converted by direct integration from a differential-integral equation into an integral equation. We shall again discuss transport equations in Chap. 12.

**Example from Acoustics.** It should not be thought that this type of equation occurs only for transport problems, where the collision process is a rather obvious source of discontinuous changes in the momentum $p$. We may, for example, consider a case in acoustics. As we shall see, differential-integral equations arise whenever two systems with distributed mass or other relevant parameters are coupled. For example, consider the vibrations of a membrane set in a rigid plate as illustrated in Fig. 8.1. The vibrations of the membrane give rise to sound waves which in turn react back upon the membrane, influencing its vibration, etc. Suppose that the displacement of the membrane is given by $\psi(y,z)$; the corresponding velocity in the $x$ direction is $\partial \psi / \partial t = -i\omega \psi$, assuming simple harmonic time dependence. The resulting velocity potential in the medium to the right is, from Eq. (7.2.10),

$$\varphi(x,y,z) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int G_k(x,y,z;x_0,y_0,z_0)\psi(x_0,y_0,z_0)\,dS_0$$

where $G_k$ is a Green's function satisfying the condition

$$\left(\frac{\partial G_k}{\partial n}\right) = 0; \quad \text{at } x = 0$$

where $k = \omega/c$, $c$ — velocity of propagation of sound and where $v_n$ is the normal component of the velocity, i.e., the velocity in the negative $x$ direction. Hence $v_n = i\omega \psi$. The function $G_k$ is provided by the method of images (see page 812):

$$G_k(x,y,z;x_0,y_0,z_0) = \frac{(e^{i\kappa x}/R) + (e^{i\kappa x'}/R')}{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2 + (z-z_0)^2}$$

$$R^2 = (x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2 + (z-z_0)^2$$

$$R'^2 = (x+x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2 + (z-z_0)^2$$

The sound thus generated in the region $x > 0$ gives rise to a pressure which now becomes a forcing term in equation of vibration of the membrane. The pressure is related to the velocity potential by the equation

$$p = \rho_0(\partial \varphi / \partial t) = -i\omega \rho_0 \varphi$$

where $\rho_0$ is the mean density of the medium in which the sound propagates. The equation of motion of the membrane is
\[ \nabla^2 \psi + \kappa^2 \psi = -(p/T); \quad \kappa = \omega/V; \quad V = \sqrt{T/\mu} \]

where \( T = \text{tension}; \ \mu = \text{mass/area} \)

Substituting for \( p \) we obtain

\[ \nabla^2 \psi + \kappa^2 \psi = \frac{\omega^2 \rho_0}{2\pi} \int \exp[ik \sqrt{(y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2}] \frac{\psi(y_0, z_0) \ dS_0}{\sqrt{(y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.3)

In this equation we again see that the behavior of \( \psi \) depends not only upon the value of \( \psi \) at a point on the membrane and neighboring points but also on its values at every point on the membrane in the manner dictated by the integral on the right. The above equation is a differential-integral equation, but by employing the Green's function for the membrane it may be reduced to an integral equation.

It should be clear from the above example that an integral equation will result when an impulse at one point in a medium may be transmitted to a point some distance away through another medium coupled to the first. The equation for describing the vibrations of the first medium will contain a term arising from the propagation in the second medium. This term will involve the values of \( \psi \) at all points at which the two media are in contact; the integral in the case discussed above is just such a term. Radiation problems, in which the reactive effects of the emitted radiation back on the source cannot be neglected, will naturally lead to integral equations. Solution of the integral equation will give rise to precise evaluation of radiation resistance or, more general, radiation impedance. We shall consider such problems in more detail in Chaps. 11 and 13.

**An Example from Wave Mechanics.** As a final example let us turn to quantum mechanics. The Schrödinger equation must be written as an integral equation whenever the potential energy is velocity dependent. Let the potential energy \( V \) be

\[ V(r, \hbar \nabla /i) \]

where we have already replaced the momentum operator by \((\hbar /i)\nabla\).

The Schrödinger equation in differential form is

\[ \nabla^2 \psi + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \left| E - V[r, (\hbar /i)\nabla] \right| \psi = 0 \]

If the dependence of \( V \) on \( \nabla \) is not that of a simple polynomial, this equation is not one of finite order. To obtain the equivalent integral equation, introduce the Fourier transform of \( \psi \):

\[ \psi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi \hbar)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(p) e^{i(p \cdot x)} dp \]
Substituting in the Schrödinger equation, multiplying through by 
\[ \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} e^{-i\omega \hbar \mathbf{r}}, \] and integrating on \( \mathbf{r} \) yields
\[ \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) \phi(q) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(p) V(p - q, p) \, dp = E \phi(q) \quad (8.1.4) \]
\[ V(p - q, p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i k (p - q) \cdot \mathbf{r} / \hbar} V(\mathbf{r}, p) \, dV \]

This integral equation determining \( \phi(q) \) was given earlier in Sec. 2.6. The meaning of the integral can be most easily seen if we consider a scattering problem in which the scattering is caused by the potential \( V \). If a plane wave of amplitude \( \phi(p) \) is incident upon the region where the potential exists, then it is scattered. In other words, some of the incident wave is diverted into other directions, possibly with loss of momenta.

- We now ask for the contribution from a variety of plane waves with different momenta, to a given momentum \( q \) upon scattering by \( V \). This is given by the integral term. Here is a correspondence with transport phenomena, discussed earlier in this chapter, which may be employed to obtain a graphic understanding of quantum mechanics.

We have devoted some space to discussing problems which require integral operators. We have also pointed out that even these problems which are expressible in terms of a differential equation may be reformulated in terms of an integral equation. Several examples of this sort will now be given.

**Boundary Conditions and Integral Equations.** The integral equation formulation is of particular advantage in the case of boundary-value problems associated with partial differential equations. In the example which follows, a second-order partial differential equation in two dimensions will be restated as an integral equation in only one dimension. A reduction in the dimensionality is, of course, extremely worth while from the point of view of obtaining both exact and approximate solutions. Consider the Helmholtz equation
\[ \nabla^2 \psi + k^2 \psi = 0 \]

Place a barrier along the negative \( x \) axis as shown in Fig. 8.2. A plane wave \( e^{i k x} \) traveling in the \( k \) direction is incident upon the barrier. We shall be interested in the effect of the barrier on this wave in the case that the solution \( \psi \) satisfies the boundary condition \( \partial \psi / \partial y = 0 \) on the barrier. This solution must satisfy the following conditions at large distance from the origin. In the lower half plane, \( y < 0 \),
\[ \psi \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} 2 \cos(k_y y) e^{i k x} + \text{a diverging cylindrical wave} \quad (8.1.5) \]

In the upper half plane, \( y > 0 \), there will be no reflected wave, so that
\[ \psi \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} e^{i k x} + \text{a diverging cylindrical wave} \quad (8.1.6) \]
Because of the asymmetry of these boundary conditions we shall have to treat \( \psi \) for \( y > 0 \) and \( y < 0 \) somewhat differently, so that it will be necessary to verify that \( \psi \) and \( (\partial \psi / \partial y) \) are continuous at the interface of these two regions, i.e., for \( y = 0, x > 0 \). From the theory of Green's functions given in Chap. 7 we have the general equation for \( y \leq 0 \):

\[
\psi(r) = 2 \cos(k_{av}y) e^{ik_{av}x} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint G_k(r | r_0) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n_o} - \psi \frac{\partial G_k(r | r_0)}{\partial n_o} \right) dS_o
\]

The path of integration is shown in Fig. 8.2. The proper function \( G_k \) is chosen by the requirement that for large \( r \) the proper boundary conditions be satisfied. It is clear that \( G_k \) must be a diverging source, in which event the integral around the large semicircle vanishes. The integral along the \( x \) axis is then simplified by choosing \( \delta G_k(r | x_0, y_0) / \delta y_0 = 0 \) at \( y_0 = 0 \). Then

\[
\psi(r) = 2 \cos(k_{av}y) e^{ik_{av}x} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty G_k(r | x_0, 0) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_0} \bigg|_{y_0 = 0} \right) dx_0; \quad y < 0 \quad (8.1.7)
\]

\[
G_k(r | x_0) = \pi i \left( H_0(kR) + H_1(kR') \right)
\]

\[
R - \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}; \quad R' = \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y + y_0)^2}
\]

In the region \( y > 0 \) employing the same \( G_k \) one finds

\[
\psi(r) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty G_k(r | x_0, 0) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_0} \bigg|_{y_0 = 0} \right) dx_0; \quad y > 0 \quad (8.1.8)
\]

The boundary condition (8.1.6) for the region \( y > 0 \) is clearly satisfied.

It is now necessary to introduce continuity conditions. At \( y = 0 \), the function \( \psi(x_0, 0) \) computed from (8.1.7) and from (8.1.8) should agree. Hence, for \( x > 0 \),

\[
2 \alpha e^{ik_{av}x} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty G_k(x, 0 | x_0, 0) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_0} \bigg|_{y_0 = 0} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_0} \bigg|_{y_0 = 0} \right) \right] dx_0
\]
Moreover the slopes must be continuous; i.e.,

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_0} \right)_{y_0=0} - \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_0} \right)_{y_0=0^-}.
\]

Hence

\[2e^{i k x} = - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty G_k(x,0|x_0,0) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_0} \right)_{y_0=0} \, dx_0; \quad x > 0 \]
or

\[2e^{i k x} = - i \int_0^\infty H_k^0(|x - x_0|) \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_0} \right)_{y_0=0} \, dx_0 \quad \text{(8.1.9)}\]

This is an integral equation for \( \partial \psi / \partial y_0 \) \( y_0=0 \). Once \( \partial \psi / \partial y_0 \) \( y_0=0 \) is known it can be substituted into (8.1.7) and (8.1.8) to obtain the diffracted as well as reflected waves. One needs another equation for \( x < 0 \).

Note that the integral equation is one-dimensional. It includes all the boundary conditions. Other boundary conditions on the barrier or on the aperture (i.e., other than continuity on the aperture) would have led to another integral equation.

**Equations for Eigenfunctions.** Another type of integral equation may be obtained from the Schrödinger equation:

\[
\left[ \nabla^2 + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (E - V) \right] \psi = 0
\]

or

\[\left[ \nabla^2 + k^2 - U \right] \psi = 0 \quad \text{(8.1.10)}\]

Rewriting (8.1.10) as \( (\nabla^2 + k^2) \psi = U \psi \), we see that a solution of (8.1.10) is given by

\[
\psi(r) = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int G_i(r | r_0) U(r_0) \psi(r_0) \, dV_0 \quad \text{(8.1.11)}
\]

where \( G_i \) has been chosen so as to ensure satisfaction of boundary conditions for \( \psi \). Equation (8.1.11) is an integral equation for \( \psi \). It differs from (8.1.9) in that \( \psi \) appears both within and outside the integral.

To obtain a better understanding of (8.1.11) it is convenient to turn to a one-dimensional example. We consider the Sturm-Liouville problem discussed in Sec. 6.3. The unknown function \( \psi \) satisfies

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left[ p \frac{d\psi}{dz} \right] + [q(z) + \lambda r(z)] \psi = 0 \quad \text{(8.1.12)}
\]

To perform the reduction from Eq. (8.1.10) to Eq. (8.1.11) here, the Green’s function is introduced, which satisfies

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left[ p \frac{dG(z | z_0)}{dz} \right] + qG(z | z_0) = -\delta(z - z_0) \quad \text{(8.1.13)}
\]

We now must add the boundary conditions to be satisfied by \( G \) and \( \psi \). For definiteness one assumes that \( \psi \) and \( \psi_0 \) are known, i.e., Dirichlet
conditions on \( \psi \). The corresponding conditions on \( G \) are \( G(0|z_0) = 0 = G(l|z_0) \). Then, transposing \((1)\psi\) in (8.1.12) to the right-hand side of the equation and considering it to be an inhomogeneous term, one finds

\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int_0^l G(z|z_0) r(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 + \left[ \psi(0) p(0) \frac{dG(z_0|z)}{dz_0} \right]_{z_0=0} - \left[ \psi(l) p(l) \frac{dG(z_0|z)}{dz_0} \right]_{z_0=l} \tag{8.1.11}
\]

This is an integral equation for \( \psi \). The boundary conditions on \( \psi \) enter explicitly, indicating again how the integral equation includes all the pertinent data on the problem. There are no additional conditions on \( \psi \) to be satisfied. If the boundary conditions satisfied by \( \psi \) are homogeneous Dirichlet, \( \psi(0) = 0, \psi(l) = 0 \), then the integral equation for \( \psi \) becomes

\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int_0^l G(z|z_0) r(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \tag{8.1.15}
\]

**Eigenfunctions and Their Integral Equations.** Let us illustrate this last equation by giving the integral equation satisfied by the classical orthogonal functions:

(a) \[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + \lambda \psi = 0; \quad \psi(0) = 0
\]

\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int_0^l G(z|z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]

\[
G(z|z_0) = \begin{cases} 
 z(l-z_0); & z < z_0 \\
 l & z_0(l-z); & z > z_0
\end{cases}
\]

Solution: \[ \sin(n\pi z/l); \quad \lambda = (n\pi/l)^2; \quad n \text{ integer} \]

(b) \[
\frac{d}{dz} \left[ (1-z^2) \frac{d \psi}{dz} \right] + \lambda \psi = 0; \quad \psi \text{ finite at } z = 1
\]

\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(z|z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{z_0}^1 \psi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]

\[
G(z|z_0) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} 
 \ln [(1+z)/(1-z_0)]; & z < z_0 \\
 \ln [(1+z_0)/(1-z)]; & z > z_0
\end{cases}
\]

Solution: \[ \text{Legendre polynomials } P_n(z); \quad \lambda = (n(n+1)); \quad n \text{ integer} \]

(c) \[
\frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z \frac{d \psi}{dz} \right] + \left[ \lambda - \frac{n^2}{z^2} \right] \psi = 0; \quad \psi \text{ finite at } z = 0, \infty
\]

\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int_0^\infty G(z|z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0; \quad G(z|z_0) = \begin{cases} 
 \frac{1}{2n} \left( \frac{z}{z_0} \right)^n; & z < z_0 \\
 \frac{1}{2n(2n-1)} \left( \frac{z}{z_0} \right)^n; & z > z_0
\end{cases}
\]

Solution: \[ Bessel functions J_n(\sqrt{\lambda z}) \]
Integral Equations

\[(d) \quad \frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + \left[ \beta^2 - \alpha \cdot z \cdot \psi \right] = 0; \quad \psi(\infty), \psi(-\infty) \text{ finite} \]

or

\[\frac{d^3 \psi}{dz^3} + \left[ \lambda - \alpha - \alpha \cdot z \cdot \psi \right] = 0; \quad \lambda = \beta^2 + \alpha \]

\[\psi(z) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(z|z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \]

\[G(z|z_0) = \sqrt{\alpha \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\alpha \cdot z} \, dz \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \cdot z} \, dz \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\alpha \cdot z} \, dz \]

\[z < z_0 \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \cdot z} \, dz \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\alpha \cdot z} \, dz \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \cdot z} \, dz \quad z > z_0 \]

Solution: Hermite functions \(e^{-\alpha \cdot z} H_n(\sqrt{\alpha \cdot z})\); \(\lambda = 2(n + 1) \alpha; \quad n \text{ integer} \)

\[(e) \quad \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z^2 \frac{d \psi}{dz} \right] + \left[ -\beta^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{z} \right] \psi = 0; \quad \psi(0), \psi(\infty) \text{ finite} \]

We may identify \(\lambda\) with either \(2\alpha\) or \(\alpha^2 - \beta^2\). In the first case,

\[\psi(z) = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} G(z|z_0) \cdot z \cdot \psi(z_0) \, dz_0; \quad \lambda = 2\alpha; \quad G(z|z_0) = \frac{e^{-2\beta \cdot z}}{2\beta \cdot z_0} \]

Solutions: \(e^{-2\beta \cdot z} L_n(2\beta \cdot z)\) where \(L_n(2\beta \cdot z)\) are the Laguerre polynomials; \(\alpha/\beta = n; \quad n \text{ integer} \)

In the second case an equivalent integral equation is

\[(f) \quad \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z^2 \frac{d \psi}{dz} \right] + \left[ \lambda - \alpha^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{z} \right] \psi = 0; \quad \lambda = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 \]

\[\psi(z) = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} G(z|z_0) \cdot z \cdot \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \]

\[G(z|z_0) = \frac{e^{-2\beta \cdot z}}{2\beta \cdot z_0} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\alpha \cdot z} \, dz \quad z < z_0 \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \cdot z} \, dz \quad z > z_0 \end{array} \right. \]

Types of Integral Equations; Fredholm Equations. We may now proceed to classify the integral equations already discussed and to generalize somewhat. Turning to Eq. (8.1.14), we see that it may be written

\[\psi(z) = \lambda \int_{a}^{b} K(z|z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 + \phi(z) \quad (8.1.16) \]

where in that case \(K(z|z_0) = r(z_0)G(z|z_0)\) and \(\phi(z)\) is a known function; \(a\) and \(b\) are the fixed points at which \(\psi\) satisfies boundary conditions.

An integral equation for \(\psi\) of the form of (8.1.16) is called an inhomogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind. The quantity \(K(z|z_0)\) is called the
kernel of the integral equation. A kernel is symmetric if $K(x|z_0) = K(z_0|x)$. In (8.1.14) the kernel is not symmetric if $r(z_0) \neq 1$.

A homogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind may be obtained by omitting $\varphi(x)$:

$$\psi(z) = \lambda \int_{z_0}^{z} K(x|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.17)

Equation (8.1.15) and the cases (a) to (e) tabulated immediately below it are examples of homogeneous Fredholm equations of the second kind. In cases (a), (b), (d), the kernel is symmetric. Cases (c) and (f) and the kernel in (8.1.14) are examples of a polar kernel:

$$K(x|z_0) = G(x|z_0) r(z_0); \quad \text{where } G(z|z_0) = G(z_0|z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.18)

The kernels in every one of the cases are definite in the region of interest, i.e., in the range $0 \leq z \leq l$ for case (a), $0 \leq z < \infty$ for case (e), etc. A positive definite kernel satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{z_0}^{b} dz_0 \int_{z_0}^{b} dz [K(x|z_0)\psi(z)\psi(z_0)] > 0$$

where $K$ is presumed real and $\psi$ is arbitrary. For a negative definite kernel the above integral is always less than zero. In either case the kernel is definite. In the event that neither inequality is true for an arbitrary $\psi$, the kernel is said to be indefinite.

Equation (8.1.9) is an example of a Fredholm equation of the first kind which has the general form

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.19)

Here $\psi$ is the unknown function, $\varphi$ is known.

Volterra Equations. Fredholm integral equations (8.1.16), (8.1.17), and (8.1.19) involve definite integrals. When the limits are variable, the corresponding equations are Volterra equations. An inhomogeneous Volterra equation of the second kind is, corresponding to (8.1.16),

$$\psi(z) = \int_{a}^{z} K(x|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0 + \varphi(z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.20)

In the homogeneous variety $\varphi = 0$. A Volterra equation of the first kind, corresponding to (8.1.19), is

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{a}^{z} K(x|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.21)

The Volterra equation, when convenient, may be considered as a special case of the corresponding Fredholm equation where the kernel employed in the Fredholm equation is

$$M(x|z_0) = \begin{cases} K(x|z_0); & z_0 < z \\ 0; & z_0 > z \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1.22)
In the preceding chapter, we have met Green's functions which satisfy Eq. (8.1.22). It will be recalled that, in discussing time dependent problems, it turned out that \( u(t, x; t_0, x_0) = 0 \) for \( t < t_0 \), as a consequence of the principle of causality, which requires that an event at a time \( t_0 \) cannot cause any effects at a time \( t \) earlier than \( t_0 \). We may expect that an integral equation involving \( G \) as the kernel will be of the Volterra type.

To illustrate the origin of the Volterra equation, consider the motion of a simple harmonic oscillator:

\[
\left( \frac{d^2 \phi}{dt^2} \right) + \omega^2 \phi = 0
\]

Define a Green's function \( G(t|t_0) \) for the impulse function \( \delta(t - t_0) \) by

\[
d^2 G(t|t_0)/dt_0^2 \rightarrow -\delta(t - t_0) \quad \text{and} \quad G(t|t_0) \rightarrow 0; \quad \text{if} \quad t < t_0
\]

Multiplying the first of these equations by \( G \) and the second by \( \psi \), subtracting the two, and integrating on \( t_0 \) from \( t_0 = 0 \) to \( t_0 = t^+ \) (where \( t^+ \) signifies taking the limit of the integral as \( t_0 \to t \) from the side for which \( t_0 > t \)), we have

\[
\int_0^{t^+} dt_0 \left\{ G(t|t_0) \frac{d^2 \phi}{dt_0^2} - \frac{dG(t|t_0)}{dt_0^2} \psi \right\} + \omega^2 \int_0^{t^+} G(t|t_0) \psi(t_0) dt_0 = \psi(t)
\]

or

\[
\psi_0 \left[ \frac{dG(t|t_0)}{dt_0} \right]_{t_0=0} - G(t|0) \psi_0 + \omega^2 \int_0^{t} G(t|t_0) \psi(t_0) dt_0 = \psi(t) \quad (8.1.23)
\]

where \( \psi_0 \) and \( \psi_0 \) are the initial values of the displacement \( \psi \) and the velocity \( d\psi/dt \). Equation (8.1.23) is an inhomogeneous Volterra equation of the second kind. The initial conditions on \( \psi \) are explicitly contained in it.

From the example it is clear that Volterra equations will result whenever there is a preferred direction for the independent variable; in the above example this is one of increasing time. Another case of a similar kind occurs in transport theory whenever collisions are made with massive scattering centers. Then the energy of the scattered particles cannot be greater than their energy prior to the scattering. As a consequence there is a degradation in energy giving a preferred direction to the energy variable.

As an example of this sort of equation consider a beam of X rays traversing a material in the direction of increasing \( x \). We shall neglect the change in direction in scattering, presuming that the X rays all propagate directly forward after scattering. In passing through a thickness \( dx \), the number of X rays of a given wavelength are depleted by absorption and scattering out of that wavelength range and are increased by scattering from those X rays whose energy (energy \( \propto 1/\lambda \)) is greater (in other words, whose wavelength is shorter). Hence if
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\[ f(\lambda, x) \, d\lambda \] is the relative number of X rays with wavelength between \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda + d\lambda \), then

\[ \frac{\partial f(\lambda, x)}{\partial x} = -\mu f(\lambda, x) + \int_0^\lambda P(\lambda | \lambda_0) f(\lambda_0, x) \, d\lambda_0 \]

where \( \mu \) represents the absorption coefficient and \( P(\lambda | \lambda_0) \, d\lambda \) is the probability, per unit thickness, that an X ray having a wavelength \( \lambda_0 \) is scattered into a wavelength region \( d\lambda \) at \( \lambda \). This is an integral-differential equation; to reduce it to a pure integral equation let

\[ f(\lambda, x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \psi(\lambda, p) \, dp \]

Then \( \psi(\lambda, p) \) satisfies the homogeneous Volterra equation of the second kind:

\[ (\mu - p) \psi(\lambda, p) = \int_0^\lambda P(\lambda | \lambda_0) \psi(\lambda_0, p) \, d\lambda_0 \]

\section*{8.2 General Properties of Integral Equations}

In discussing the general properties of integral equations it will be convenient to utilize the results obtained for operator equations in abstract vector space. As we shall now see, the Fredholm integral equation is just a transcription of an operator equation to ordinary space. Consider the inhomogeneous equation in vector space:

\[ \mathbb{X} \cdot \mathbf{e} - \lambda \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{f} \quad (8.2.1) \]

Since the Green's function which occurs so frequently in the formulation of integral equations is intimately connected with the inverse operator (see page 882), it will be profitable to rewrite (8.2.1) as

\[ \mathbf{e} = \lambda \mathbb{X}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{g} = \mathbb{X}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{f} \quad (8.2.2) \]

To transcribe this equation to ordinary space let us expand the vectors in terms of the eigenvectors of the operator \( \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{e}(z_0) \). Let

\[ \mathbf{e} = \int \mathbf{e}(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \]

\[ \mathbf{g} = \int \mathbf{c}(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \]

\[ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{e}(z_0) = \int \mathbf{e}(z_1) K(z_1 | z_0) \, dz_1 \]

\[ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{e} = \int \mathbf{e}(z_0) \, dz_0 K(z_0 | z_1) \psi(z_1) \, dz_1 \quad (8.2.3) \]

Introducing these definitions into (8.2.2) yields an inhomogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind:

\[ \psi(z_0) = \lambda \int K(z_0 | z_1) \psi(z_1) \, dz_1 + \varphi(z_0) \quad (8.2.4) \]

The limits of integration are included in the definition of \( K \).
The integral equation is thus often equivalent to a differential equation "handled in reverse." Instead of the differential operator, equivalent to \( \mathcal{A} \), which is studied, it is the integral operator, symbolized by \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \), which is examined. The Fredholm equation of the first kind

\[-\varphi(x_0) = \int K(x_0|x_1) \psi(x_1) \, dx_1\]

is equivalent to the operator equation

\[-g = \mathcal{A}^{-1} \cdot e\]

which is to be solved for \( e \) (or \( \psi \), in coordinate components). The homogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind

\[\psi(x_0) = \int K(x_0|x_1) \psi(x_1) \, dx_1\]

is equivalent to \( e = \mathcal{A}^{-1} \cdot e \), and the inhomogeneous form (8.2.4) is equivalent to Eq. (8.2.2).

The kernel \( K(x_0|x_1) \) enters into all three forms of the Fredholm equation; it is represented by the operator \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \). Thus it behooves us to examine the properties of \( K \) and to deduce from it the properties of the related operator \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \). Not all operators have an inverse; if there is an operator \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \), representing a kernel \( K \) of an integration, there may not be an operator \( \mathcal{A} \), corresponding to a differential operation (for example, if \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \cdot f = 0 \) for some \( f \), there is no unique inverse). It is useful to see what types of kernels correspond to operators which do have an inverse \( \mathcal{A} \) and, if they do, whether the differential operator related to \( \mathcal{A} \) is self-adjoint, and so on.

The homogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind [Eq. (8.2.4) with \( \varphi = 0 \), if \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \) has an inverse, is equivalent to the eigenvalue equation \( \mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda e \) or its differential representation; therefore we should expect \( \lambda \) to take on a sequence of allowed values \( \lambda = \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots \) \((\lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n)\) with corresponding eigenfunction solutions \( \psi_n \). The solutions of the inhomogeneous equation may be expected to be related to the Green's function solutions of \( \mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda e + f \) discussed in Chap. 7. Although we may not wish to transform the integral equation into a differential one before solving, we shall nevertheless wish to keep in mind the reciprocal relationship symbolized by the operators \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \) and \( \mathcal{A} \) as we study the properties of the various kernels encountered in integral equations.

**Kernels of Integral Equations.** An integral equation is more or less amenable to solution depending on two properties of its kernel: symmetry and the property which is symbolized by saying that the corresponding operator \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \) has an inverse \( \mathcal{A} \). A symmetric kernel corresponds to an operator which is self-adjoint. If there is an \( \mathcal{A} \), then

\[\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}\]

(8.2.6)

when \( K(x_0|x_1) = K(x_1|x_0) \).
A kernel is called definite if \( \int f(x_0) \, dx_0 \int K(z_0|x_0)f(x_0) \, dx_1 \) is either always positive for any \( f \) or else always negative (either of which implies that the integral is real). This corresponds to the operator statement that, for any \( e \), either
\[
(e^* \cdot \mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot e) > 0; \quad \text{positive definite}
\]
\[
(e^* \cdot \mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot e) < 0; \quad \text{negative definite}
\]

(8.2.7)

In either case we can be sure that the operator \( \mathbb{K} \), inverse to \( \mathbb{A}^{-1} \), exists. (We note that, if \( \mathbb{A}^{-1} \) is definite, then \( \mathbb{A} \) is definite and both are Hermitian.) Unfortunately not all kernels are definite; we can often find a function, corresponding to an abstract vector \( e \), such that \( (e^* \cdot \mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot e) = 0 \), in which case the inverse \( \mathbb{K} \) is not unique. In some cases \( (e^* \cdot \mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot e) \geq 0 \) or \( (e^* \cdot \mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot e) \leq 0 \), the zero value being included. Such kernels and operators are called semidefinite (though the term might be misleading, for a semidefinite kernel is not much better than any other indefinite kernel; in this case "a miss is as good as a mile").

Some integral equations have a nonsymmetric and/or a nondefinite kernel in their original form; it is useful to know whether we can modify the equation to produce one with a symmetric, definite kernel.

A polar kernel [see Eq. (8.1.18)] has the form
\[
K(x|x_0) = r(x_0)G(x|x_0)
\]
where \( G \) is symmetric in \( x \) and \( x_0 \). This may be transformed into a symmetric kernel by changing the dependent variable, letting \( \psi(z) = \varphi(z)/\sqrt{r(z)} \). For example, if \( \psi = \varphi/\sqrt{r} \) is inserted into the homogeneous equation of the second kind,
\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int G(z|z_0)\varphi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]
becomes
\[
\varphi(z) = \lambda \int \sqrt{r(z)} \, G(z|z_0) \sqrt{r(z_0) \varphi(z_0) \, dz_0}
\]
The new kernel \( \sqrt{r(z)} \, G(z|z_0) \sqrt{r(z_0)} \) is symmetric. In spite of the direct reduction given here, it is nevertheless useful to introduce an operator equation related to the polar one
\[
\mathbb{A} \cdot e = \lambda \mathbb{A} \cdot e
\]
(8.2.8)
where \( \mathbb{A} \) is symmetric. The analogue to the transformation from \( \psi \) to \( \varphi \) may be followed here. Let
\[
f = \sqrt{\mathbb{A}} \cdot e
\]
then
\[
f = \lambda \left( \sqrt{\mathbb{B}} \cdot \mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{B}} \right) \cdot f
\]
(8.2.9)
Actually the polar equation is a very special case of the more general form (8.2.8). To see this, transform \( e = \lambda \mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{B}} \cdot e \) to ordinary space. Then
\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int M(z|z_0)\varphi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]
where
\[
M(z|z_0) = \int K(z|x_0)L(x|z_0) \, dx_1
\]
and where
\[
\mathfrak{A}^{-1} \cdot e(z) = \int K(z|x_0) e(x_0) \, dx_0; \quad \mathfrak{B} \cdot e(z) = \int L(z|x_0) e(x_0) \, dx_0.
\]

The special case of the polar kernel occurs when
\[
L(z_1|x_0) = \delta(z_1 - z_0) r(x_0)
\]
where \(\delta\) is the Dirac \(\delta\) function. Computing the integral form yields
\[
M(z|x_0) = K(z|x_0) r(x_0)
\]

Transformation to Definite Kernels. We wish, if possible, to transform our equation to one with a symmetric, definite kernel. For convenience we can choose the sign of \(K\) so that the kernel is positive definite. By making \(K\) definite, we have automatically limited our problem to one with real kernels and the operators in abstract vector space to Hermitian operators. Moreover, an eigenvector will then exist for which \(\mathfrak{A} \cdot e = 0\). Before starting the discussion it is important to list those operators which may, by some transformation, be reduced to an operator of the above type. Generally speaking these transformed operators will be semidefinite rather than definite, for if a vector \(e\) exists such that \(\mathfrak{A} \cdot e = 0\), then \(e\) operated upon by the transformed vector will also be zero.

Any Hermitian real operator may be transformed into a definite operator by iteration. Let
\[
\mathfrak{A} \cdot e = \lambda e
\]
Operating on this equation with \(\mathfrak{A}\), that is, iterating, we obtain
\[
\mathfrak{A}^2 \cdot e = \lambda^2 e
\]
The operator \(\mathfrak{A}^2\) is at least semidefinite, for
\[
e^* \cdot \mathfrak{A}^2 \cdot e = (\mathfrak{A} \cdot e)^* \cdot (\mathfrak{A} \cdot e) \geq 0
\]
The kernel corresponding to \(\mathfrak{A}^2\) is
\[
K_2(z|x_0) = \int K(z|x_1) K(x_1|x_0) \, dx_1
\]
and
\[
\psi(x) = \lambda^2 \int K_2(z|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0; \quad \text{if} \quad \psi(x) = \lambda \int K(z|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]
As a first corollary of this theorem, we note that a skew-Hermitian operator defined by \(\mathfrak{A}^* = -\mathfrak{A}\) may also be transformed into a definite operator. A skew-Hermitian operator corresponds to an "antisymmetric" kernel defined by
\[
K(z|x_0) = -K(x_0|z)
\]
if \(K\) is real; if \(K\) is complex, its real part changes sign, its imaginary part does not change, when \(x\) and \(x_0\) are interchanged. To prove the corollary, note that a skew-Hermitian operator \(\mathfrak{A}\) may be written as
\[
\mathfrak{A} = i\mathfrak{B}
\]
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§8.2] where $\mathcal{B}$ is Hermitian. Then $\mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda e$ becomes

$$\mathcal{B} \cdot e = -i\lambda e$$

Operating on this equation with $\mathcal{B}$, one obtains

$$\mathcal{B}^2 \cdot e = (-\lambda^2)e$$

so that $\mathcal{B}^2$ is at least semidefinite.

A second corollary is that a polar integral equation may be reduced to an integral equation in which the kernel is real, symmetric, and definite. Corresponding to this corollary, we can show that, if in the equation

$$\mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda \mathcal{B} \cdot e$$

where either $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$ (but not both) is not definite (though $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are either Hermitian or skew-Hermitian), then an eigenvalue equation for $e$ can be found in which all the operators are definite. Let us prove this theorem for the case $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ Hermitian, $\mathcal{B}$ positive definite, $\mathcal{A}$ indefinite. If $\mathcal{B}$ is positive definite, an inverse of $\mathcal{B}$ exists. Then

$$(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{A}) \cdot e = \lambda e$$

$$(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathcal{A}) \cdot e = \lambda^2 e$$

or

$$(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathcal{A}) \cdot e = \lambda^2 \mathcal{B} \cdot e$$

The new operator $(\mathcal{B}^{-1} \mathcal{A})$ is at least semidefinite.

It is characteristic of the above reductions that the final kernel or operator is independent of $\lambda$. A reduction to a Hermitian operator may be made for any operator, but for most cases the final operator will be dependent on $\lambda$. To see this, consider

$$(\mathcal{A} - \lambda) \cdot e = 0$$

Operate on this equation with $(\mathcal{A}^* - \lambda)$ to obtain

$$(\mathcal{A}^* - \lambda)(\mathcal{A} - \lambda) \cdot e = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad [\lambda \mathcal{A}^* + \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{A}] \cdot e = |\lambda|^2 e$$

The operator $\lambda \mathcal{A}^* + \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}^* \mathcal{A}$ is, of course, Hermitian, and from its derivation it is also definite. However, its use in place of the simpler equation $\mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda e$ would be difficult because of the presence of the $\lambda$ and $\lambda$ in the operator itself. We shall therefore not pursue this particular avenue any further. Later on in this section we shall discuss alternative and more practical procedures.

To summarize, the following types of eigenvalue problems may be transformed into problems having an operator which is at least semidefinite and independent of the eigenvalue $\lambda$. If $\mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda e$, then the transformation is possible if $\mathcal{A}$ is Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. If $\mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda \mathcal{B} \cdot e$, then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ may be either Hermitian or skew-Hermitian but one of the two must be definite.
Properties of the Symmetric, Definite Kernel. We turn now to a real, positive definite, symmetric kernel. The corresponding operator is Hermitian. From Sec. 6.3, we may extract the following theorems. The homogeneous equation \( \mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda e \) has nonzero solutions for only particular values of \( \lambda, \lambda_n \), the eigenvalues. The corresponding eigenvectors \( e_n \) form a mutually orthogonal set of vectors, possibly finite in number, which we are permitted to normalize:

\[
e_n^* \cdot e_m = \delta_{mn} \tag{8.2.13}
\]

We assume here that there are no degenerate eigenvalues. The eigenvalues are real and may be arranged in an ascending sequence. There is a smallest eigenvalue \( \lambda_0 \) (greater than zero, if \( \mathcal{A} \) is positive definite); the next largest is \( \lambda_1 \), etc. These results may be based on a variational principle for \( \lambda \):

\[
\lambda = \min |e^* \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot e / (e^* \cdot e)| \tag{8.2.14}
\]

A second variational principle, based on \( e = \lambda \mathcal{A}^{-1} \cdot e \), is

\[
\lambda = \min [ (e^* \cdot e) / (e^* \cdot \mathcal{A}^{-1} \cdot e)] \tag{8.2.15}
\]

The inhomogeneous problem corresponding to the inhomogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind has solutions for all values of \( \lambda \). It may be solved by application of the inverse of \( \mathcal{A} - \lambda \): If

\[
\mathcal{A} \cdot e = \lambda e + f
\]

then

\[
e = (\mathcal{A} - \lambda)^{-1} f = \mathcal{G}_\lambda \cdot f \tag{8.2.16}
\]

where \( \mathcal{G}_\lambda \) is the Hermitian Green’s operator [see Eq. (7.5.35)].

We now consider some properties of \( \mathcal{G}_\lambda \). First consider its relation to \( \mathcal{A}^{-1} \). From (8.2.16), it immediately follows that

\[
\mathcal{G}_\lambda = \mathcal{A}^{-1}
\]

the operator corresponding to the kernel \( K \). Moreover,

\[
\mathcal{G}_\lambda = (\mathcal{A} - \lambda)^{-1} = \mathcal{A}^{-1} (1 - \mathcal{A}^{-1})^{-1}
\]

or

\[
\mathcal{G}_\lambda = \sum_{n=0} \mathcal{A}^{-(n+1)} \lambda^n \tag{8.2.17}
\]

if the series so represented converges. Corresponding to Eq. (8.2.16), we have the general relation obtained from (8.2.17) that

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n \mathcal{G}_\lambda}{\partial \lambda^n} \right]_{\lambda = 0} = \mathcal{A}^{-(n+1)}
\]

It is also true that \( \mathcal{G}_\lambda \) may be expanded in terms of the eigenvector \( e_m \), corresponding to the “inverse” equation, \( \mathcal{A} - \lambda \cdot e = 0 \):

\[
\mathcal{G}_\lambda = \sum_n \frac{e_m \cdot e_n^*}{\lambda_m - \lambda} \tag{8.2.18}
\]
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An expansion for \( \Phi^{-1} \) may be obtained by placing \( \lambda = 0 \):

\[
\Phi^{-1} = \Phi_0 = \sum_{n} \frac{e_n e_n^*}{\lambda_n} \tag{8.2.19}
\]

The corresponding expansion for \( \Phi^{-p} \) is

\[
\Phi^{-p} = \sum_{n} \frac{e_n e_n^*}{\lambda_n^p} \tag{8.2.20}
\]

Turning back to the expansion for \( \Theta_\lambda \) (8.2.18) we note that, as a function of \( \lambda \), \( \Theta_\lambda \) is singular at \( \lambda = \lambda_n \), that is, at each of the eigenvalues of \( \Phi \). This is a perfectly general property, independent of the Hermitian or of the definite nature of \( \Phi \); for if \( \Theta_\lambda \cdot e_n = \lambda_n e_n \), then

\[
\Theta_\lambda \cdot e_n = (\Phi - \lambda)^{-1} \cdot e_n = (\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1} e_n
\]

We see that, as \( \lambda \to \lambda_n \), \( \Theta_\lambda \cdot e_n \to \infty \).

The specific nature of the singularities with respect to \( \lambda \) may be most easily expressed by considering the \( \Theta \)-term [we have also referred to this sum as \textit{expansion factor} in Chaps. 1 and 3, see Eq. (1.6.3)] of \( \Theta_\lambda \). From Eq. (8.2.18), it equals

\[
|\Theta_\lambda| = \sum_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda} \tag{8.2.21}
\]

We note that \( |\Theta_\lambda| \) is a meromorphic function of \( \lambda \). It has simple poles at \( \lambda = \lambda_n \), each with residue \(-1\). The scalar \( |\Theta_\lambda| \) may be expressed in terms of \( |\Phi^{-p}| \) from Eq. (8.2.17)

\[
|\Theta_\lambda| = \sum_n \lambda_n |\Phi^{-p}| \tag{8.2.22}
\]

where from (8.2.20)

\[
|\Phi^{-p}| = \sum_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n^p} \tag{8.2.23}
\]

Let us now paraphrase these results in terms of the theory of integral equations. The eigenvalue equation, with \( K \) a \textit{real, symmetric, positive-definite} kernel, the homogeneous equation of the second kind,

\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int K(z)z_0 \psi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]

has \textit{nondense} solutions for only special values of \( \lambda, \lambda_m \). Corresponding to these, there are particular \( \psi \)'s, \( \psi_m \), the eigenfunctions. These eigenfunctions form an orthonormal set, possibly finite in number:

\[
\int \psi_m(z) \overline{\psi_n(z)} \, dz = \delta_{mn} \tag{8.2.23}
\]

The set \( \{ \lambda_m \} \) forms a monotonically increasing sequence with a lower bound, \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) if \( K \) is positive definite). A variational principal for \( \lambda \),
obtained from Eq. (8.2.15), is

\[ \lambda = \min \left\{ \frac{\int \psi(z) \psi(z) \, dz}{\int \phi(z) K(z|z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz \, dz_0} \right\} \]  

(8.2.24)

**Kernels and Green's Functions for the Inhomogeneous Equation.**

We turn next to the inhomogeneous equation

\[ \psi(z) = \lambda \int K(z|z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 + \chi(z) \]  

(8.2.25)

This is to be solved in terms of a Green's function. We shall choose one to correspond to the \( \Theta \) of (8.2.16). In abstract vector space Eq. (8.2.25) reads

\[ e = \lambda \mathbb{A}^{-1} \cdot e + q \]

The solution for \( e \) is obtained as follows:

\[ (1 - \lambda \mathbb{A}^{-1}) \cdot e = q \quad \text{or} \quad (\mathbb{A} - \lambda) \cdot e = \mathbb{A} \cdot q \]

Hence

\[ e = [(\mathbb{A} - \lambda)^{-1}] \cdot q; \quad \Theta = (\mathbb{A} - \lambda)^{-1} \]

This solution is not convenient, inasmuch as it involves the product of two operators. However, a little rearrangement of factors circumvents this difficulty. Write

\[ e = [(\mathbb{A} - \lambda)^{-1}] \cdot q + \lambda \Theta \cdot q \]

so that

\[ e = q + \lambda \Theta \cdot q \]  

(8.2.26)

This is the solution of Eq. (8.2.25) in terms of \( \Theta \). Expressed in ordinary space it reads

\[ \psi(z) = \chi(z) + \lambda \int G_\lambda(z|z_0) \chi(z_0) \, dz_0 \]  

(8.2.27)

The Green's function given here is referred to, in the theory of integral equations, as the **solving kernel**.

To obtain Eq. (8.2.27) directly without the intermediary of vector space it is necessary to formulate the integral equation for \( G_\lambda \). This may be obtained from the defining equation (8.2.16) for \( \Theta \), as follows:

\[ (\mathbb{A} - \lambda) \Theta = \Theta \]

or

\[ \mathbb{A}^{-1} (\mathbb{A} - \lambda) \Theta = \mathbb{A}^{-1} \Theta = \Theta - \lambda \mathbb{A}^{-1} \Theta \]

or

\[ \Theta = \mathbb{A}^{-1} + \lambda \mathbb{A}^{-1} \Theta \]  

(8.2.28)

Translated into ordinary space this becomes an integral equation for \( G_\lambda \):

\[ G_\lambda(z|z_0) - K(z|z_0) + \lambda \int K(z|z_1) G_\lambda(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1 \]  

(8.2.29)

From this integral equation it is apparent that

\[ G_\lambda(z|z_0) = K(z|z_0) \]

Combining Eqs. (8.2.28) and the integral equation for \( \psi \), (8.2.25), it is possible, by employing the symmetry of \( G_\lambda \) and \( K \), to derive solution
(8.2.27). However, it should be emphasized that this symmetry involves the possibility of interchanging limits of integration. This is, of course, contained in the definition of symmetry.

It will be useful, for later discussion, to obtain an integral equation for \( K \) in terms of \( G_k \). Again turning to vector space, note that

\[
(\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} = \mathfrak{I} - \lambda^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda^{-1} = \mathfrak{I} - \lambda \mathfrak{A}^{-1}
\]

In ordinary space this is

\[
K(z|z_0) = G_k(z|z_0) - \lambda \int G_k(z|z_1)K(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1 \quad (8.2.30)
\]

The difference between this integral equation and the one for \( G_k \), Eq. (8.2.29), is only apparent. In Eq. (8.2.30) let \( z \) and \( z_0 \) be interchanged, so that

\[
K(z_0|z) = G_k(z_0|z) - \lambda \int G_k(z_0|z_1)K(z_1|z) \, dz_1
\]

Employing the symmetry properties of \( K \) and \( G_k \), we are led to Eq. (8.2.29) from (8.2.30). This relation between \( K \) and \( G_k \) led Volterra to introduce the term reciprocal functions to describe \( K \) and \( -G_k \).

Expansions corresponding to Eqs. (8.2.18) and (8.2.19) for \( \mathfrak{I}_k \) and \( \mathfrak{I}^{-1} \) may be obtained for \( G_k \) and \( K \) (see discussion on page 908):

\[
G_k(z|z_0) = \sum \frac{\psi_m(z)\psi_m(z_0)}{\lambda_m - \lambda} \quad (8.2.31)
\]

and

\[
K(z|z_0) = \sum \frac{\psi_m(z)\psi_m(z_0)}{\lambda_m} \quad (8.2.32)
\]

To give the analogues for Eqs. (8.2.17), (8.2.20), and (8.2.22), it is first necessary to obtain the transcription of \( \mathfrak{I}^{-p} \) to ordinary space. Corresponding to the expression \( \mathfrak{I}^{-p} \cdot \mathbf{e} \) we have

\[
\int K_p(z|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]

where it now becomes necessary to find \( K_p(z|z_0) \). Consider first \( \mathfrak{I}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{e} \).

In ordinary space this is written as

\[
\int K(z|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]

The effect of \( \mathfrak{I}^{-2} \) on \( \mathbf{e} \) may be obtained as \( \mathfrak{I}^{-1} \cdot (\mathfrak{I}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{e}) \) or

\[
\int \int K(z|z_1)K(z_1|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \, dz_1
\]

Hence

\[
K_2(z|z_0) = \int K(z|z_1)K(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1
\]

The effect of \( \mathfrak{I}^{-3} \) on \( \mathbf{e} \) may be obtained by operating with \( \mathfrak{I}^{-1} \) on \( \mathfrak{I}^{-2} \cdot \mathbf{e} \); hence

\[
K_3(z|z_0) = \int K(z|z_1)K_2(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1
\]

It is clear from the general relation \( \mathfrak{I}^{-(r+s)} = \mathfrak{I}^{-r} \mathfrak{I}^{-s} \) and

\[
K_p(z|z_0) = \int K_p(z|z_1)K_2(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1 = \int K_2(z|z_1)K_p(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1 \quad (8.2.33)
\]
We may now write the equivalents of Eqs. (8.2.17), (8.2.20), and (8.2.22). The analogue of (8.2.17) is

$$G^*_i(z|z_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{n+1}(z|z_0)\lambda^n \quad (8.2.34)$$

The expansion for $K^*_i$ is the analogue of that for $K^*_i$ as given in (8.2.20):

$$K^*_i(z|z_0) = \sum_{m} \frac{\psi_m(z)\psi_m(z_0)}{\lambda^2} \quad (8.2.35)$$

The statements as to the scalar values of $G^*_i$ and $K^*_i$ may be converted into statements about $G^*_i$ and $K^*_i$ once the equivalent process to that of obtaining the scalar value $|\Theta|$ is known. This consists of placing $z = z_0$ in the kernel, i.e., obtaining the diagonal element of the matrix representing $G^*_i$, and then integrating over $z$, the equivalent of summing over these diagonal elements. Hence

$$\int G^*_i(z|z) \, dz = \sum_{m} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \quad (8.2.36)$$

while

$$\int K^*_i(z|z) \, dz = \sum_{m} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} = C^*_i \quad (8.2.37)$$

and

$$\int G_i(z|z) \, dz = \sum_{n} C_{n+1}\lambda^n \quad (8.2.38)$$

**Semidefinite and Indefinite Kernels.** In many cases the kernels are not definite and the corresponding operators not Hermitian, so that the discussion above does not apply. Even after iteration, for some cases, the final operator is only semidefinite rather than definite, so that the above theorems are still not appropriate.

What may be said about kernels which are not definite? First of all it is no longer necessarily true that the eigenvalues are real. The eigenvalues again are in some cases finite in number. In the case of the Volterra equation, for example, there are no eigenvalues, no solutions of the homogeneous equation (see page 920). As an example consider the following simple, nondefinite kernel and the corresponding Fredholm integral equation:

$$\psi(z) = \lambda \int_0^1 (z - 2z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0$$

It is clear that $\psi(z)$ is a linear function of $z$:

$$\psi(z) = az + \beta$$
The constants may be determined by introduction of the linear function into the integral equation
\[
\alpha z + \beta = \lambda \int_0^1 (z - 2z_0)(a\alpha_0 + \beta) \, dz_0
\]
Equating like powers of \( z \), one obtains
\[
\alpha = \lambda (\frac{3}{2}a + \beta); \quad \beta = 2\lambda (-\frac{3}{2}a - \frac{1}{2}b)
\]
These are a pair of homogeneous linear simultaneous equations for \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). For a nonzero solution the determinant of the coefficients must vanish. Hence
\[
\begin{vmatrix}
1 - \frac{1}{2}a & -\lambda \\
\frac{3}{2}a & 1 + \lambda
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]
There are two roots:
\[
\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(-3 + i \sqrt{15}); \quad \lambda_2 = \bar{\lambda}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(3 + i \sqrt{15})
\]
The corresponding solutions are
\[
\psi_1 = z - \frac{1}{2} + (1/\lambda_1); \quad \psi_2 = z - \frac{1}{2} + (1/\lambda_2)
\]
In this example there are only two eigenvalues and there are only two solutions; the two eigenvalues are complex conjugates.

Because the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions may be finite in number, it may be, of course, no longer possible to expand an arbitrary function in terms of these eigenfunctions. The statement of completeness must therefore be made only with respect to a certain class of functions. For example, the eigenfunctions for the problem considered just above can be employed to express any linear function of \( z \). More important, the eigenfunctions are sufficiently complete to permit the expansion of the kernel \( K(z,z_0) \) and the Green's function \( G_\lambda(z,z_0) \). Hence it still remains possible to solve this inhomogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind in terms of its eigenfunctions.

The necessary formalism for this situation has already been considered in Chap. 7. The eigenvalue problem in abstract vector space is
\[
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{e} = \lambda \mathbf{e} \tag{8.2.39}
\]
We define the Hermitian adjoint eigenvalue problem [see Eq. (7.5.10)]
\[
\mathbf{A}^* \cdot \mathbf{f} = \bar{\lambda} \mathbf{f} \tag{8.2.40}
\]
The solutions of (8.2.39) are orthogonal to the solution of (8.2.40), so that we may set
\[
\mathbf{f}_m \cdot \mathbf{e}_n = \delta_{nm} \tag{8.2.41}
\]
This relation may be employed to evaluate the coefficients in the expansion of those vectors which may be expressed in terms of \( \mathbf{e}_n \) [see Eq. (7.5.43)].
Integral Equations

\[ g = \sum_n g_n e_n; \quad g_n = (f_n^* \cdot g) \]  
(8.2.42)

Expansions of the Green's operator are also available:

\[ \delta_k = \sum_m \frac{e_m f_m^*}{\lambda_m - \lambda} \]  
(8.2.43)

where

\[ \delta_k = (\mathfrak{A} - \lambda)^{-1} \]

The Spur of an operator, when expanded in terms of \( e_m \) and \( f_n^* \), is defined by

\[ \text{Spur} = \sum_m f_m^* \cdot \mathfrak{A} \cdot e_m \]  
(8.2.44)

The expansion factors (Spurs) \( |\text{Spur}| \), \( |\text{Spur}^{-1}| \), \( |\delta_k| \) and the expansion of \( |\delta_k| \) in terms of \( |\text{Spur}^{-1}| \) are given in Eqs. (8.2.10) to (8.2.22), respectively. If there are only a finite number of eigenvalues, relations must exist between the Spurs of various powers of \( |\mathfrak{A}^{-1}| \). If there are, say, \( q \) eigenvalues, it is possible to express these eigenvalues in terms of the Spurs of the first \( q \) powers of \( |\mathfrak{A}^{-1}| \). Hence \( |\mathfrak{A}^{-q+1}| \) may be expressed in terms of the scalars \( |\mathfrak{A}^{-1}|, |\mathfrak{A}^{-2}|, \ldots, |\mathfrak{A}^{-q}| \).

The variational problem satisfied by the eigenvector solutions of Eqs. (8.2.39) and (8.2.40) is

\[ \lambda = \text{stationary value of } (f^* \cdot \mathfrak{A} \cdot e)/(f^* \cdot e) \]  
(8.2.45)

By varying \( f^* \) in the above equation, we find [see Eq. (6.3.71)] that \( \delta \lambda = 0 \) yields Eq. (8.2.39), while by varying \( e \), we find that \( \delta \lambda = 0 \) yields Eq. (8.2.40) for \( f \). Equation (8.2.45) is similar to (8.2.14). A variational problem similar to (8.2.15):

\[ \lambda = \text{stationary value of } (f^* \cdot e)/(f^* \cdot \mathfrak{A}^{-1} \cdot e) \]  
(8.2.46)

The case of the skew-Hermitian operator (corresponding to an antisymmetric real kernel) deserves special attention. In that case \( \mathfrak{A}^* = -\mathfrak{A} \). Moreover, if

\[ \mathfrak{A} \cdot e = \lambda e \]

then

\[ (\mathfrak{A}^* \mathfrak{A}) \cdot e = -\lambda^2 e \]

From the fact that \( \mathfrak{A}^* \mathfrak{A} \) is definite, that is, \( (e^* \cdot \mathfrak{A}^* \mathfrak{A} \cdot e) \geq 0 \), it follows that \( -\lambda^2 \geq 0 \) or that \( \lambda \) is a pure imaginary. A second point of interest concerns the solutions of the adjoint eigenvalue problem. Whenever \( \mathfrak{A} \) is skew-Hermitian, it follows that \( e_n = f_n^* \); for if

\[ \mathfrak{A} \cdot e_n = \lambda_n e_n \]

then

\[ \mathfrak{A}^* \cdot e_n = -\mathfrak{A} \cdot e_n = -\lambda_n e_n \]

Turning to orthogonality relation (8.2.11), we see that the \( e_n \) vectors for skew-Hermitian operators are mutually orthogonal, as in the case of solu-
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tions of eigenvalue problems involving Hermitian operators. The expansion of the Green’s operator can be carried through for the latter case, and hence the formulas for positive definite operators may be taken over bodily.

Kernel not Real or Definite. Let us now consider the application of Exps. (8.2.29) to (8.2.46) to integral equations. Because of the greater complication of the present case we shall illustrate some of the results with the specific integral equation with the kernel \( z - 2s_0 \) discussed above.

The eigenvalue equation with a kernel which is not real, positive definite,

\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int K(z|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.2.47)

has nonzero solutions for only special values of \( \lambda \); call them \( \lambda_m \). Corresponding to these values \( \lambda_m \) there are corresponding \( \psi \)'s, \( \psi_n \), the eigenfunctions. The \( \lambda_n \)'s are not necessarily infinite in number; they are not generally real. The eigenfunction set, besides being not necessarily complete, is not mutually orthogonal. For this reason, we must introduce the Hermitian adjoint problem [corresponding to (8.2.40)]

\[
\psi(z) = \bar{\lambda} \int K^*(z_0|z)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.2.48)

As noted earlier, the eigenvalues of the adjoint problem are complex conjugates of those of (8.2.47). Moreover,

\[
\int \varphi_p \varphi_q \, dz = \delta_{pq}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.2.49)

where \( \varphi_p \) and \( \varphi_q \) are individual eigenfunctions.

The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues for the kernel \( z - 2s_0 \) are given above (page 916). The solutions \( \varphi_p \) satisfy the adjoint problem:

\[
\varphi(z) = \mu \int_0^1 (z_0 - 2z)\varphi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]

(This kernel \( K^* \) was obtained by exchanging \( z \) and \( z_0 \) in \( K \) and taking the complex conjugate of the result.)

Again note that \( \varphi(z) \) is linear in \( z \), so that \( \varphi = az + b \). Then

\[
-(a + 2b)\mu = a; \quad (\frac{3}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b)\mu = b
\]

Hence

\[
\mu + 1 \quad 2\mu \quad \frac{1}{2}\mu - 1 = 0
\]

This equation, determining \( \mu \), is precisely the same as that determining \( \lambda \). Indeed, we may place

\[
\mu_1 = \bar{\lambda}_1; \quad \mu_2 = \bar{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_1
\]

However, \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_1 \) are not complex conjugates of each other, for

\[
\varphi_1 = z - (\frac{3}{2}) - (1/2\lambda_1); \quad \varphi_2 = z - (\frac{3}{2}) - (1/2\bar{\lambda}_2)
\]
which is to be compared with the earlier expressions for \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \). It is now very easy to show that, in agreement with Eq. (8.2.49),

\[
\int_0^1 \varphi_0 \varphi_2 \, dz = 0
\]

The functions \( \varphi_0 \) and \( \varphi_1 \) have not as yet been normalized in the manner determined by (8.2.49). The normalization integral is

\[
\int_0^1 \varphi_0 \varphi_1 \, dz = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2\lambda_1^2}
\]

and similarly for the second pair, \( \varphi_2 \) and \( \varphi_3 \).

Let us now return to the general discussion, to the Green's function, and the solution of the inhomogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind. The solution of the inhomogeneous equation may again be expressed in terms of the Green's function. As in (8.2.27):

\[
\psi(z) = \chi(z) + \lambda \int \chi(z_0) G_\lambda(z|z_0) \, dz_0
\]

The integral equations for \( G_\lambda \) are again (8.2.28) and (8.2.30). The expansion for \( G_\lambda(z|z_0) \) may be obtained from (8.2.43):

\[
G_\lambda(z|z_0) = \sum \frac{\psi_\infty(x) \varphi_m(x)}{\lambda_m - \lambda}
\]

where, of course, \( \psi_\infty \) and \( \varphi_\infty \) are normalized according to Eq. (8.2.49). In the examples we have been examining,

\[
G_\lambda(z|z_0) = \left( \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2\lambda_1^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\psi_1(z) \varphi_1(z_0)}{\lambda_1} + \left( \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2\lambda_2^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\psi_2(z) \varphi_2(z_0)}{\lambda_2 - \lambda}
\]

where the normalization has been written in explicitly. Since \( \psi_1 = \psi_2 \), \( \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \), and \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \), \( G_\lambda \) is real when \( \lambda \) is real. However, we note that \( G_\lambda(z|z_0) \neq G_\lambda(z_0|z) \), so that the reciprocity principle is not satisfied. The reader will find no difficulty in proving that (8.2.27) is indeed a solution for arbitrary \( \chi \) when the kernel is \( z - 2z_0 \) and the above Green's function is employed.

**Volterra Integral Equation.** The Volterra integral equation [see Eqs. (8.1.21) et seq.], furnishes us with an example of a kernel with no eigenvalues. This statement holds for any Volterra equation as long as the kernel is bounded. The Volterra integral equation may be cast in the Fredholm form by introducing the kernel \( M(z|z_0) \) [Eq. (8.1.22)]:

\[
M(z|z_0) = \begin{cases} K(z|z_0); & z_0 < z \\ 0; & z_0 > z \end{cases}
\]

We prove the statement by considering the integral

\[
\psi(z) = \lambda \int_0^1 M(z|z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]
which has the Fredholm form. Assuming $K$ to be bounded, we note that
the absence of eigenvalues will be indicated by proving that the series

$$G_\lambda(z|z_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{n+1}(z|z_0)\lambda^n; \quad M_n = \text{n}th \text{ iteration of } M$$

converges for every finite value of $\lambda$. If an eigenvalue in the finite $\lambda$
plane existed, it would be necessary for $G_\lambda(z|z_0)$ to be singular at this
value of $\lambda$. \[\text{Compare Eqs. (8.2.34) and (8.2.31).}\]

To examine this
series it is necessary to evaluate the iterates of $M$. For example,

$$M_2(z|z_0) = \int_0^l M(z|z_1)M(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1,$$

For $z > z_0$ the integration may be broken up into ranges $0$ to $z_0$, $z_0$ to $z$,
and $z$ to $l$. In the first the integral vanishes, for here $z_1 < z_0$ and
$M(z_1|z_0) = 0$ under these circumstances. The integral from $z$ to $l$ also
vanishes, for here $M(z|z_1)$ is zero. Hence

$$M_2(z|z_0) = \int_{z_1}^z K(z|z_1)K(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1; \quad z > z_0$$

For $z < z_0$ a similar argument shows that

$$M_2(z|z_0) = 0; \quad z < z_0$$

Similarly

$$M_3(z|z_0) = \int_0^l M_2(z|z_1)M(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1;$$

$$M_3(z|z_0) = \begin{cases} 
\int_{z_1}^z M_2(z|z_1)K(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1; & z > z_0 \\
0; & z < z_0
\end{cases}$$

Let us now introduce the assumption that $M$ is bounded. Let $|K| \leq m$. Then

$$|M| \leq m$$

$$|M_1| \leq m^2|z - z_0|$$

$$|M_2| \leq \frac{m^3|z - z_0|^3}{2!}$$

$$|M_3| \leq \frac{m^4|z - z_0|^4}{(n - 1)!}$$

Hence

$$G_\lambda(z|z_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n M_{n+1}(z|z_0) \leq m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n m^n|z - z_0|^n}{n!} = m e^{\lambda|z - z_0|}$$

This function, as a function of $\lambda$, has no singularities for finite values of $\lambda$,
proving the theorem that a Volterra equation has no eigenvalues as long
as its kernel is bounded.

**Singular Kernels.** The nature of the singularities of a kernel has a
strong effect upon the distribution of eigenvalues of the Fredholm equa-
tion of the second kind. A kernel is said to be singular if (1) it has dis-
continuities or (2) it has a singularity within the range of integration or
(3) the limits of integration extend to infinity. Singularities of type (3) are transformed into type (1) by a change of variable. For example, if the limits of integration are zero and infinity, we may transform to an integral with finite limits by means of the substitution \( \xi = 1/(z + 1) \), but this brings in a pole to the finite part of the \( \xi \) plane.

Integral equations involving the Green's functions for the Helmholtz and Laplace equation commonly involve all these singularity types. For example, the integral equation arising in the discussion of diffraction by a straight edge, (8.1.9), involves a kernel \( H^0_0[k|x - x_0|] \) which has a logarithmic singularity at \( x = x_0 \). Moreover, the limits of integration extend to infinity. The kernels of the integral equations listed below, (8.1.15), all have discontinuities in slope.

Kernels which are quadratically integrable may be reduced to a bounded kernel by iteration. For example, if \( K(z|z_0) \) has a finite number of discontinuities, the first iterate \( K_2(z|z_0) \) has none. This result is essentially a consequence of the theorem that an integral of an integrable function is continuous. Let us illustrate this theorem. Suppose that

\[
K(z|z_0) = \begin{cases} 
 k(z|z_0); & \text{for } z < z_0 \\
 h(z|z_0); & \text{for } z > z_0
\end{cases}
\]

where \( k(z|z_0) \) and \( h(z|z_0) \) are continuous but do not necessarily have equal values at \( z = z_0 \). The iterated kernel \( K_2(z|z_0) \) is given by the integral

\[
K_2(z|z_0) = \int_a^z K(z|z_1)K(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1
\]

Introducing the definition of \( K \) we can evaluate \( K_2 \):

\[
K_2(z|z_0) = \int_a^z h(z|z_1)k(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1 + \int_a^z h(z|z_1)h(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1
\]

\[
+ \int_a^b k(z|z_1)h(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1; \quad z \geq z_0
\]

\[
= \int_a^z h(z|z_1)k(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1 + \int_a^b h(z|z_1)k(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1
\]

\[
+ \int_a^b k(z|z_1)h(z_1|z_0) \, dz_1; \quad z \leq z_0
\]

The function \( K_2(z|z_0) \) is continuous for \( z \neq z_0 \), for by placing \( z = z_0 \), we find that the expressions valid for \( z \geq z_0 \) and \( z \leq z_0 \) join on continuously.

A singular kernel of the type

\[
K(z|z_0) = H(z|z_0)/|z - z_0|^\alpha; \quad |H(z|z_0)| \leq M \quad (8.2.51)
\]

may be reduced to a nonsingular one by a sufficient number of iterations if \( \alpha < 1 \), that is, if the kernel is quadratically integrable. Let us compute a few of these:

\[
K_2(z|z_0) = \int_a^b \frac{H(z|z_1)H(z_1|z_0)}{|z - z_1|^\alpha|z_1 - z_0|^\alpha} \, dz_1
\]
$|$K(z, \frac{z_0}{z})$| \leq M^2 \int_0^b \frac{dz_1}{|z - \frac{z_0}{z_1}|^{2\alpha - 1}}
\leq \frac{M^2}{|z - \frac{z_0}{z}|^{2\alpha - 1}} \int_0^b \frac{d\xi}{[1 - \frac{\xi}{z}]^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{M^2}{|z - \frac{z_0}{z}|^{2\alpha - 1}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\xi}{[1 - \xi]^{\alpha}}
$ where $\beta = (b - z_0)/(z - z_0)$ and $\gamma = (a - z_0)/(z_0 - z_0)$. The value of the final integral may be easily expressed in terms of beta functions. These are finite as long as $\alpha$ is not a positive integer or zero. We assume this to be true. Then

$|$K(z, \frac{z_0}{z})$| \leq \frac{C_0 M^2}{|z - \frac{z_0}{z}|^{2\alpha - 1}}
$ where $C_0$ is the value of the integral.

It is clear that $K_2$ is bounded as long as $2\alpha - 1 \leq 0$, that is, as long as $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. If this condition is not satisfied, we may continue the iterative process. However, as we shall now see, the iterative process will yield a bounded kernel only if $\alpha < 1$. The basis of the proof lies in the fact that

$|$K_2(z, \frac{z_0}{z})$| \leq \int_a^b |K(z, \frac{z_1}{z})||K_{n-1}(\frac{z_1}{z_0})| dz_1
$ Hence

$|$K_2(z, \frac{z_0}{z})$| \leq \int_a^b |K(z, \frac{z_1}{z})||K_2(z, \frac{z_1}{z_0})| dz_1
\leq C_0 M^2 \int_a^b \frac{dz_1}{|z - \frac{z_1}{z}|^{2\alpha - 1}}
\leq \frac{C_0 M^2}{|z - \frac{z_0}{z}|^{2\alpha - 1}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\xi}{[1 - \xi]^{\alpha}}
$ so that

$|$K_2(z, \frac{z_0}{z})$| \leq C_1 M^2/|z - \frac{z_0}{z}|^{2\alpha}
$ where $C_1$ is a new constant. Generally

$K_n(z, \frac{z_0}{z}) \leq C M^n/|z - \frac{z_0}{z}|^{\alpha(n - 1)}$ \hspace{1cm} (8.2.52)

The $n$th iterate will be bounded if $n\alpha - (n - 1) < 0$, that is, if

$\alpha < (n - 1/n)$ \hspace{1cm} (8.2.53)

Hence for a given $\alpha < 1$ an $n$ can be found such that $K_n$ is bounded.

The Green's function for the Laplace equation gives rise to kernels whose singularities are very much like the ones just being considered, that is, similar to (8.2.51). The three-dimensional Green's function is proportional to

$[\frac{1}{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2}]^{-4}$ \hspace{1cm} (8.2.54)

The two-dimensional Green's function is proportional to the logarithm
of the similar two-dimensional quantity. By considering the more
singular kernel
\[(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2\]\[\]^{1/4}
the two- and three-dimensional cases may be considered together. Con-
sider the three-dimensional case.

Let
\[|A(r|\tau_0)| \leq M/|r - r_0|\]
Then
\[K_3(r|\tau_0) \leq M^2 \int \frac{dx_1 \, dy_1 \, dz_1}{\sqrt{[(x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2 + (z - z_1)^2][(x_1 - x_0)^2 + (y_1 - y_0)^2 + (z_1 - z_0)^2]}}\]
We may reduce this case to that of (8.2.51) by employing the inequality
\[(x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2 + (z_1 - z_1)^2 \geq 3[(x - x_1)^2(y - y_1)^2(x - z_1)^2]\]
Hence
\[K_3(r|\tau_0) \leq \frac{M^2}{3} \left[ \int \frac{dx_1}{(x - x_1)(x_1 - x_0)} \int \frac{dy_1}{(y - y_1)(y_1 - y_0)} \int \frac{dz_1}{(z - z_1)(z_1 - z_0)} \right]\]
\[\leq (CM^2/3)[(x - x_0)(y - y_0)(z - z_0)]^{1/4}\]
Therefore \(K_3\) is bounded. A similar proof holds for the two-dimensional
case.

Green’s functions appropriate to the Helmholtz equation do not
have just the branch point or pole type of singularity as in Eq. (8.2.54)
but contain an essential singularity as |r - r_0| \(\rightarrow\) \(\infty\) [see integral equation
(8.1.9)]. Kernels of this type cannot be made nonsingular by iteration.
We shall call such kernels intrinsically singular.

The major difference between an intrinsically singular kernel and a
bounded kernel, which is of interest to us, is the nature of the eigenvalue
spectrum associated with the homogeneous Fredholm equation of the
second kind. It may be shown that for bounded kernels the eigenvalues
are denumerable even if there are an infinite number of eigenvalues.
This is not necessarily true for intrinsically singular kernels. In that
case the eigenvalue spectrum may be continuous; i.e., for a range of
\(\lambda\), all values of \(\lambda\) have nonzero solutions \(\phi_\lambda\).

We may understand this difference as follows. If \(K_3\) is quadratically
integrate, then there exist meaningful expansions of \(K_3\) in terms of a
denumerable set of eigenfunctions, expansions which converge in the
mean (see page 739). For example, it is possible to expand such a kernel
in a double Fourier series. This is, however, not possible for a kernel
which is not quadratically integrable. Generally speaking, in addition
to a Fourier series, a Fourier integral over a properly chosen path of
integration (avoiding the singularity) is required to represent a function which is not quadratically integrable. Indeed we have seen in our discussions of Green's functions that eigenfunction expansions for Green's functions (for both Laplace and Helmholtz equations) in the infinite domain must involve Fourier-type integrals, corresponding to the fact that these Green's functions are not quadratically integrable.

We shall close this section with an example of an integral equation with an intrinsically singular kernel and a continuous eigenvalue spectrum. The equation is

\[ \psi(z) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|z-z_0|} \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \]  

(8.2.55)

The singularity of the kernel occurs at the infinite limits. This may be reduced to a differential equation by differentiating twice. Then

\[ (d^2 \psi/dz^2) + (2\lambda - 1) \psi = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \psi_\lambda = A e^{\sqrt{1-2\lambda} z} + B e^{-\sqrt{1-2\lambda} z} \]

However, the integral in (8.2.55) exists only if \( \text{Re}[\sqrt{1-2\lambda}] < 1 \). All values of \( \lambda \) which satisfy this restriction are eigenvalues of (8.2.55). All corresponding \( \psi_\lambda \) are eigenfunction solutions. The eigenvalue spectrum is clearly continuous. Another example is given by case (c) following (8.1.15).

8.3 Solution of Fredholm Equations of the First Kind

We shall limit ourselves to a discussion of those cases in which an exact solution may be obtained. Approximate techniques will be discussed in Chap. 9. It should be emphasized that approximate methods for the solution of physical problems are most conveniently based on an integral equation formulation. Thus although we shall not be able to solve a great many integral equations exactly, the approximate treatment of such equations will occupy a special position and will be discussed in detail in Chap. 9.

The general methods to be described here are similar to those employed in the solution of differential equations discussed in Chap. 5. The principal feature of the procedure is the expansion of the unknown function in terms of a complete set of functions. This expansion would be given in the form of a sum or integral over the set, with undetermined coefficients. Upon introduction of the expansion into the differential equation a relation among the unknown coefficients could be determined. In other words, the differential equation is transformed into an equation or set of equations determining the unknown coefficients. The complete set is chosen, if possible, so that the new equations are readily solvable. For example, if the expansion is a power series \( \psi = \sum a_n z^n \), the transformed equation is a difference equation for the coefficients \( a_n \). For
certain types discussed in Chap. 5, this difference equation involved only two different values of \( n \) and could be easily solved.

**Series Solutions for Fredholm Equations.** We shall now apply this method to Fredholm integral equations of the first kind, for which it is particularly appropriate. This integral equation, as given in Eq. (8.1.10), is

\[
\varphi(z) = \int_a^b K(z|x) \psi(x) \, dx_0
\]

(8.3.1)

Following the suggestion above, let

\[
\psi(z) = \sum_n a_n y_n(z) w(z)
\]

(8.3.2)

where the \( y_n \)'s form a complete set in the interval \((a,b)\); \( w(z) \) is a density function which may be chosen so as to approximate \( \psi(z) \) and therefore to improve the convergence of the series (8.3.2). Then

\[
\varphi(z) = \sum_n a_n \int_a^b K(z|x) y_n(x) w(x) \, dx_0
\]

(8.3.3)

\[
\varphi(z) = \sum_n a_n h_n(z); \quad h_n(z) = \int_a^b K(z|x) y_n(x) w(x) \, dx_0
\]

The \( h_n \)'s are known functions.

The solution of the integral equation is thus reduced to finding the \( a_n \)'s from the known properties of \( \varphi \) and \( h_n \). This is particularly simple in two cases. If the \( h_n \)'s are just proportional to powers of \( z \), the series in (8.3.3) is just a power series, so that the unknown coefficients may be determined by comparison with the power series for \( \varphi \); or second, the \( h_n \)'s may form an orthogonal set:

\[
\int_a^b h_n(z) h_m(z) \rho(z) \, dz = N_n \delta_{nm}
\]

Then the coefficients \( a_n \) may be determined by quadrature:

\[
a_n = \frac{1}{N_n} \int_a^b h_n(z) \varphi(z) \rho(z) \, dz
\]

As we shall see later, there is an important class of cases in which one or the other of these two special circumstances will occur. Unfortunately the \( h_n \)'s are more usually neither powers of \( z \) nor an orthogonal set, in which event it is necessary to reorganize an expansion of \( \varphi \), in some series of complete functions, into a series in \( h_n \). More explicitly, let \( \varphi \) be expanded in terms of a complete set \( \chi_\xi \):

\[
\varphi = \sum_\xi f_\xi \chi_\xi(z)
\]

(8.3.4)
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Then it becomes necessary to express \( \chi_\varphi \) in terms of \( h_n \):

\[
\chi_\varphi = \sum_n \alpha_{q_n} h_n \tag{8.3.5}
\]

Substituting in Eq. (8.3.4) we obtain

\[
\varphi = \sum_{q,n} f_q \alpha_{q_n} h_n = \sum_n \left( \sum_q f_q \alpha_{q_n} \right) h_n
\]

Comparing with (8.3.3) yields

\[
a_n = \sum_q f_q \alpha_{q_n} \tag{8.3.6}
\]

In this fashion the integral equation is solved once the coefficients \( \alpha_{q_n} \) in (8.3.5) are evaluated.

Determining the Coefficients. Three methods for this evaluation will be discussed. In the first it is assumed that the \( \chi_\varphi \)'s are an arbitrary set of functions not related to \( h_n \) in any particular fashion. In the second the \( \chi_\varphi \)'s are constructed from the \( h_n \) by the method of orthogonalization; i.e., linear combinations of the \( h_n \)'s are found which are mutually orthogonal and complete. Then \( \varphi \) may be readily expanded in terms of \( \chi_\varphi \), the coefficients being evaluated by integration.

For the first method we expand the functions \( h_n \) in Eq. (8.3.5) in terms of \( \chi_\varphi \):

\[
h_n = \sum_p h_{np} \chi_p
\]

Then Eq. (8.3.5) becomes

\[
\chi_\varphi = \sum_{n,p} \alpha_{q_n} h_{np} \chi_p
\]

Hence

\[
\sum_n \alpha_{q_n} h_{np} = \delta_{\varphi p} \tag{8.3.7}
\]

The set of Eqs. (8.3.7) must now be solved for \( \alpha_{q_n} \). Let the determinant consisting of elements \( h_{np} \) be called \( H \):

\[
H = \begin{vmatrix}
h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} & \cdots \\
h_{10} & h_{11} & h_{12} & \cdots \\
h_{20} & h_{21} & h_{22} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
\end{vmatrix}
\tag{8.3.8}
\]

Let the minor corresponding to \( h_{np} \) be \( M_{np} \). Since \( \sum_n M_{nq} h_{np} = H \delta_{qp} \), we have

\[
\alpha_{q_n} = M_{nq}/H \tag{8.3.9}
\]
It is clear from the result that this method will be practical only when each $h_n$ is a combination of just a few of the functions $x_p$, for then it would be an easy matter to evaluate the determinant and its minors.

**Orthogonalization.** The Schmidt method was mentioned in the table after Chapter 6, and will now be discussed at greater length. The problem of interest is as follows: Given a complete set of nonorthogonal functions $h_n$, to form by linear combination of these functions a new set $x_n$ which is complete and orthogonal. The Schmidt procedure involves building the $x_n$'s up in a stepwise fashion. The function $x_0$ is taken to be equal to $h_0$. The function $x_1$ is taken to be a linear combination of $h_0$ and $h_1$ adjusted to be orthogonal to $x_0$; $x_2$ a linear combination of $h_n$, $h_1$, $h_2$ orthogonal to $x_1$ and $x_0$.

It is possible to develop a recurrence relation expressing $x_n$ in terms of $h_n$ and $x_p$, $p < q$. From the method of formation, we see that $h_n$ must be a linear combination of $x_p$, $p \leq q$. Employing the orthogonality condition
\[ \int_a^b \bar{x}_p x_\rho \, dz = \delta_{\rho q} N_q \quad N_q = \int_a^b |x_\rho|^2 \, dz \]
the function $h_n$ is given by the sum
\[ h_n = \sum_{p=0}^q \int_a^b \frac{\bar{x}_p h_\rho}{N_p} \, dz \, x_p \]
where $\rho$ is a density factor. Solving for $x_n$ one finds
\[ x_n = \frac{N_q}{\int_a^b \bar{x}_n h_\rho \, dz} \left( h_n - \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \frac{\int_a^b \bar{x}_n h_\rho \, dz}{N_p} \, x_p \right) \]

We may now choose the normalization of $x_n$ so that
\[ N_q = \int_a^b \bar{x}_n h_\rho \, dz \quad (8.3.10) \]
The expression for $x_n$ becomes
\[ x_n = h_n - \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \frac{\int_a^b \bar{x}_n h_\rho \, dz}{N_p} \, x_p \quad (8.3.11) \]
and
\[ N_q = \int_a^b |x_\rho|^2 \, dz - \sum_{p=0}^{q-1} \frac{\left( \int_a^b \bar{x}_n h_\rho \, dz \right)^2}{N_p} \quad (8.3.12) \]
In more detail:

\[ x_0 = h_0; \quad N_0 = \int_a^b [h_0]^2 \rho \, dx \]
\[ x_1 = h_1 - \left( \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz / N_0 \right) x_0 \]
\[ x_2 = h_2 - \left( \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz / N_1 \right) x_1 - \left( \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz / N_0 \right) x_0; \quad \text{etc.} \]
\[ N_1 = \int_a^b [h_1]^2 \rho \, dz - \frac{\left( \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz \right)^2}{N_0} \]
\[ N_2 = \int_a^b [h_2]^2 \rho \, dz - \frac{\left( \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz \right)^2}{N_1} - \frac{\left( \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz \right)^2}{N_0}; \quad \text{etc.} \]

As an example let us orthogonalize the power series \( z^n \) in the interval \((-1,1)\); \( \rho = 1 \). This should lead to the Legendre functions. We shall show that it does so by computing \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), which should be proportional to \( z \) and \( 3z^2 - 1 \), respectively. The integrals required for \( x_1 \) are

\[ N_0 = 2; \quad \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz = \int_{-1}^1 z \, dz = 0 \]

From this it follows that

\[ x_1 = z; \quad N_1 = \frac{2}{3} \]

For \( x_2 \), the additional integrals needed are

\[ \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz = \int_{-1}^1 z^2 \, dz = 0; \quad \int_a^b \bar{x} \rho \, dz = \int_{-1}^1 z^2 \, dz = \frac{8}{3} \]

Hence

\[ x_2 = z^2 - \frac{8}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = z^2 - \frac{8}{9} \]

which is indeed proportional to \( P_2 \). The normalization integral is

\[ N_2 = \frac{3}{8} - \frac{8}{2} = \frac{9}{8} \]

By continuing this procedure the various Legendre polynomials are developed, together with their normalization integrals. In the table at the end of Chap. 6, other orthogonal polynomials are shown to result when other intervals of integration and other weight factors are employed. For example, the Hermite polynomials correspond to an integration region \((-\infty, \infty)\) for a weight factor \( e^{-z^2} \), while the Laguerre polynomials \( L_n \) correspond to an integration region \((0, \infty)\) for a weight factor \( z^ne^{-z} \).

The Schmidt method, however, is not sufficient for the discussion at hand, for it does not give the coefficients \( \alpha_q \) in Eq. (8.3.5) directly. We shall now obtain explicit formulas for \( \alpha_q \). From Eq. (8.3.5) and from the procedure for obtaining the \( x' \)s,

\[ x_q = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_q h_n \]
Since the $\chi$'s are orthogonal, we have

$$\int_a^b \tilde{k}_p \chi_q \, dz = 0; \quad \text{if } p \neq q$$

The orthogonality condition is met for all $p$ and $q$ if, for $p < q$, one requires

$$\int_a^b \tilde{k}_p \chi_q \, dz = 0; \quad p < q \quad (8.3.13)$$

Condition (8.3.13) may now be employed to determine $\alpha_{qn}$, for upon substituting the expansion for $\chi_q$ in (8.3.13) one obtains

$$\sum_{n=0}^{q} \alpha_{qn} d_{np} = 0; \quad \text{if } p < q$$

where

$$d_{np} = \int_a^b \tilde{k}_p \chi_q \, dz$$

For $p = q$, the sum is equal to $N_q$. The coefficients $\alpha_{qn}$ may now be expressed in terms of the minors of the elements $d_{np}$ in the determinant of these elements.

Consider the determinants $D_q$:

$$D_0 = d_{00} \quad D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} d_{00} & d_{01} \\ d_{10} & d_{11} \end{vmatrix} \quad D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} d_{00} & d_{01} & d_{02} \\ d_{10} & d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{20} & d_{21} & d_{22} \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{etc.} \quad (8.3.14)$$

It appears that $\alpha_{qn}$ is proportional to the minor $M_{np}$ of the element $d_{np}$ in $D_q$. This is a consequence of the condition satisfied by $M_{np}$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{q} M_{np} d_{np} = \delta_{pq} D_q; \quad p \leq q$$

Since we wish the coefficient of $h_q$ in the expression for $\chi_q$ to be unity, we shall set $\alpha_{qn} = M_{np}/M_{qq}$, and the expansion for $\chi_q$ now reads

$$\chi_q = \sum_{n=0}^{q} h_n \frac{M_{nq}}{M_{qq}} \quad (8.3.15)$$

This series is just another representation of the Schmidt formula, Eq. (8.3.11), but one which gives the coefficients of the expansion of $\chi_q$ explicitly.

As an example let us consider the Legendre functions again; i.e., let $\chi_q = P_q$, $h_n = z^n$, $\rho = 1$, and the region of integration $(-1,1)$. For
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Now we need to look at $D_2$:

$$D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & \frac{8}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{8}{3} & 0 \\ \frac{8}{3} & 0 & \frac{8}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now from (8.3.15), $P_2 \sim (M_{00}/M_{33}) + z(M_{13}/M_{33}) + z^2 = -\frac{1}{3} + z^2$, which is indeed just proportional to $P_2$.

It is also possible to express the normalization integrals in terms of the determinants $D_q$. The integral

$$N_q = \int_a^b \tilde{h}_q \chi_{q\rho} \, dz = \sum_{n=0}^q \left( \frac{M_{nn}}{M_{qq}} \right) \int_a^b \tilde{h}_n \chi_{q\rho} \, dz$$

From Eq. (8.3.13) $N_q$ becomes

$$N_q = \int_a^b \tilde{h}_q \chi_{q\rho} \, dz = \left( \frac{1}{M_{qq}} \right) \sum_{p=0}^q M_{pq} \int_a^b \tilde{h}_q \chi_{q\rho} \, dz$$

or

$$N_q = \left( \frac{1}{M_{qq}} \right) \sum_{p=0}^q M_{pq} \delta_{pq}$$

This last sum is just the value of the determinant $D_q$, while $M_{qq}$ equals $D_{q-1}$. Hence

$$N_q = D_q / D_{q-1}$$

In the example discussed just above

$$D_2 = \frac{32}{15}; \quad D_1 = \frac{4}{3}; \quad N_2 = \frac{8}{5}$$

**Biorthogonal Series.** We return to the original series (8.3.3) in which $\varphi$ is expanded in a set of known but nonorthogonal functions $h_n$:

$$\varphi(z) = \sum a_n h_n(z)$$

The $a_n$'s may be evaluated if it is practical to find a set of functions $w_n$, which satisfy the condition:

$$\int w_n(z) \tilde{h}_n(z) \, dz = N_{n} \delta_{nm}$$

Then the coefficients $a_n$ may be determined by quadratures:

$$a_n = \left( \frac{1}{N_n} \right) \int w_n \varphi(z) \, dz$$

In the above we have not specified the limits of integration or, more generally, the path of integration in the complex plane of $z$. All that is necessary is that the region of validity of (8.3.18) should include as a subdomain the region in which the integral equation is to hold.

A direct and frontal attack on the problem of determining the $w_n(z)$ is not usually fruitful. Instead we shall discuss a method which is
practical whenever the successive $h_n$'s start off with increasingly greater powers of $z$ (such as the Bessel functions):

$$h_n(z) = \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} A_{pn} z^p; \quad A_{nn} = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.3.19)

$A_{nn}$ may always be placed equal to 1, though historically this has not always been done. Hence $h_n$ is regular at the origin. We shall now show that in this case the functions $w_n$ are obtained from the expansion

$$\frac{1}{t-z} = \sum_n w_n(t) h_n(z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.3.20)

To prove this, evaluate

$$\oint \frac{h_n(t)}{t-z} \, dt = \sum_n \left[ \oint w_n(t) h_n(t) \, dt \right] h_n(z)$$

around any closed contour surrounding the point $z$ and the origin in a region in which $h_n(z)$ is analytic. Then according to Cauchy's integral formula the left-hand side of the above equation is just $2\pi i h_n(z)$. Comparing with the right side we see that is possible only if

$$\oint w_n(t) h_n(t) \, dt = 2\pi i \delta_{nq}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.3.21)

Relation (8.3.21) does not depend upon the assumed behavior of $h_n$ as given in (8.3.19). It is general and applies as long as $h_n$ is analytic in the region enclosed by the contour. This is a limitation only in the event that $h_n$ has an essential singularity at a point $z$, and in that event the expansion of $\psi$ in terms of $h_n$ must be carefully examined.

The determination of the functions $w$ depends upon being able to carry out expansion (8.3.20). In the event that (8.3.19) holds, however, it is possible to give a general algorithm. Take the $q$th derivative of (8.3.20) with respect to $z$, and then place $z = 0$. We find

$$\frac{q^!}{t^{q+1}} = \sum_n w_n(t) \left. \frac{d^q}{dz^q} [h_n(z)] \right|_{z=0}$$

Introducing assumption (8.3.19), we find that

$$\left. \frac{d^q}{dz^q} [h_n(z)] \right|_{z=0} = \begin{cases} q! A_{qn} & q \geq n \\ 0 & q < n \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{t^{q+1}} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_n(t) A_{qn}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.3.22)
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These equations consist of a set of recurrence relations which may be solved in turn to obtain \( w_n(t) \). This is most conveniently done by rewriting Eq. (8.3.22) as follows:

\[
    w_q = \frac{1}{t^{q+1}} - \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} a_n(t) A_{qn}.
\]

First let \( q = 0 \). Then

\[
    w_0(t) = \frac{1}{t}
\]

Let \( q = 1 \). Then

\[
    w_1(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} - A_{10} w_0 = \frac{1}{t^2} - \left(A_{10}/t\right)
\]

We give here the first four \( w_n \)'s obtained in this fashion.

\[
\begin{align*}
    w_0 &= \frac{1}{t}; \quad w_1 = \frac{t}{t^2} - \frac{A_{10}}{t} \\
    w_2 &= \frac{1}{t^3} - \frac{A_{21}}{t^2} + \frac{A_{31} A_{10} - A_{20}}{t} \\
    w_3 &= \frac{1}{t^4} - \frac{A_{39}}{t^3} + \frac{A_{32} A_{21} - A_{31}}{t^2} - \frac{A_{20} + A_{31} A_{10} + A_{35} A_{40} - A_{35} A_{21} A_{10}}{t}
\end{align*}
\]

(8.3.23)

From these the general formula may be induced.

It is clear that the \( w_n \)'s are polynomials in \( 1/t \), that the maximum power of \( 1/t \) present in \( w_n \) is \( n+1 \).

Let us now return to the original question of obtaining an expansion of an arbitrary function \( \phi \) in terms of \( h_n \). This now reduces to the evaluation of integral (8.3.18). The contour has now been chosen to be about the origin. If we write

\[
    w_n = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \binom{n}{p} h_p \phi^{(p)}(z)
\]

(8.3.24)

then Eq. (8.3.18) becomes

\[
    a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint w_n(z) \phi(z) \, dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{p=0}^{n} \binom{n}{p} \oint \frac{\phi(z)}{z^{p+1}} \, dz
\]

From Cauchy's integral formula we know that

\[
    \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{\phi(z)}{z^{p+1}} \, dz = \frac{\phi^{(p)}(0)}{p!}
\]

where \( \phi^{(p)}(0) \) is the \( p \)th derivative of \( \phi \) with respect to its argument, taken at the origin of the argument. Hence

\[
    a_n = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{b_n}{p!} \phi^{(p)}(0)
\]

(8.3.25)
This is the expression determining \( a_n \). It is clear that, in the event that \( h_n \) satisfies condition (8.3.19) (series commencing with the \( n \)th power of \( z \)), it is possible to carry through the determination of \( a_n \) without at any time becoming involved in infinite processes. However, if condition (8.3.19) is not satisfied, the determination of \( w_n(t) \) is no longer so simple. The method of orthogonalization discussed earlier is then more efficient. Actually it is possible to set up an analogue of the Schmidt procedure.

We conclude the discussion of this method with an example. Suppose that the functions \( h_n \) are \( J_n(z) \), the Bessel functions. Then we require the value of the coefficients in the series

\[
\varphi(z) = \Sigma a_n J_n(z)
\]

This type of series is called a Neumann series. The corresponding biorthogonal functions are called the Neumann polynomials \( O_n(t) \). The relation between \( J_n \) and \( O_n \) is given by

\[
\frac{1}{t - z} = \sum_{n} \epsilon_n O_n(t) J_n(z); \quad \epsilon_n = \begin{cases} 1; & n = 0 \\ 2; & n \neq 0 \end{cases}
\]

The functions \( O_n \) are not exactly equal to \( w_n \), since \( J_n \) does not begin as \( z^n \) but rather as \( z^n/2^n n! \), and definition (8.3.20) does not involve \( \epsilon_n \). However, these are not differences in principle but rather in detail; one must simply solve Eq. (8.3.22) without assuming \( J_{2n} \equiv 1 \). The Neumann polynomials are given by

\[
\epsilon_n O_n(t) = \frac{2^n n!}{t^{n+1}} \left[ 1 + \frac{t^2}{n(2n - 2)} + \cdots + \frac{t^{2n}}{(2n - 2)(2n - 4)} + \cdots \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{n(n - 1) \cdots (n - m + 1)}{(m!(t/2)^{m+1})} \right]; \quad n \neq 0
\]

(8.3.26)

The first few are

\[
O_0(t) = \frac{1}{t}; \quad O_1(t) = \frac{1}{t^3}; \quad O_2(t) = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{4}{t^3}
\]

\[
O_3(t) = \frac{3}{t^4} + \frac{24}{t^6}; \quad O_4(t) = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{16}{t^3} + \frac{192}{t^5}
\]

(8.3.27)

The coefficients \( a_n \) may be determined just as in Eq. (8.3.25)

\[
a_n = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \left( \frac{2^n}{n + s} \right) \left( \frac{n + s}{2} \right)^n \varphi^{(n)}(0); \quad n \neq 0
\]

\[
a_0 = \varphi(0); \quad n = 0
\]

(8.3.28)
Here the prime on the summation signifies that only odd or even \( n \) is to be taken in the sum if \( n \) is odd or even, respectively. The coefficients \( a_n \) are the binomial expansion coefficients (see glossary in the Appendix).

**Integral Equations of the First Kind and Generating Functions.** We shall now illustrate the general discussion given above by several examples. Consider first the class for which \( h_n = z^n \). This occurs whenever the kernel of the integral equation is also the generating function for a set of orthogonal polynomials.

According to page 786, the generating function for the Hermite polynomials \( H_n(z_0) \) may be written as

\[
e^{-z_0^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z_0 t} H_n(z_0) z_0^n}{n!}
\]

This expansion may be used to solve the integral equation

\[
\varphi(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-(z-z_0)^2} \varphi(z_0) \, dz_0
\]

(8.3.29)

A problem of this type could occur in heat-conduction problems in which the unknown is the necessary initial source distribution required to give a certain temperature distribution. In (8.3.29) let

\[
\psi(z_0) = \Sigma a_n H_n(z_0)
\]

Employing the expansion of the kernel and the normalization of the Hermite functions (page 786),

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_n^2 e^{-x^2} \, dx = 2^n (n!) \sqrt{\pi}
\]

Equation (8.3.20) reduces to

\[
\varphi(z) = \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{n} a_n 2^n z^n
\]

Hence

\[
a_n = \varphi^{(n)}(0) / [2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}]; \quad \text{where } \varphi^{(n)}(0) = [d^n \varphi / dz^n]_{z=0}
\]

The solution \( \psi(z) \) is then

\[
\psi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n} \frac{\varphi^{(n)}(0)}{2^n n!} H_n(z)
\]

(8.3.30)

Once an integral equation of the first kind has been solved for a given kernel, one may find further solvable examples by applying operators on \( z \) to both sides of, say, (8.3.29); the solution remains as (8.3.30). In the example under discussion it is clear that by differentiating the generating function further kernels expandable in terms of Hermite functions and power series may be obtained. Employing the relation

\[
H_n(z) = (-)^n e^{z^2} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} e^{-z^2}
\]
we have
\[ e^{-(z-z_0)^2}H_p(z - z_0) = (-1)^p \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z^2} H_{p+s}(z_0)}{s!} z^s \]

Hence the equation
\[ \varphi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(z-z_0)^2} H_p(z - z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \quad (8.3.31) \]

has the solution
\[ \psi(z) = \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \alpha_q H_q(z) + \left( \frac{(-1)^p}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^{(0)}(0)}{2^s s!} H_{p+s}(z) \right) \quad (8.3.32) \]

Here the coefficients \( \alpha_q, \ 0 \leq q \leq p - 1 \) are arbitrary, for they cannot be determined from integral equation (8.3.31) inasmuch as \( H_q \) is orthogonal to \( e^{-(z-z_0)^2} H_p(z - z_0) \).

Finally we may take a linear combination of these various kernels to obtain a new kernel:
\[ K(z|z_0) = e^{-(z-z_0)^2} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \alpha_p H_p(z - z_0) \quad (8.3.33) \]

Clearly any kernel which is a function of \( (z - z_0) \) may be expanded in such a series. Solving the corresponding integral equation of the first kind would therefore be a solution for any integral equation involving such a kernel. Later on in this chapter we shall find that a method involving Fourier integrals may also be applied to these kernels.

We now write (8.3.33) as a power series in \( z \):
\[ e^{-(z-z_0)^2} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \alpha_p H_p(z - z_0) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^p}{p!} \left[ \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \alpha_q e^{-q^2} H_{p+q}(z_0) \right] \]

Introducing this expansion into the integral equation one obtains
\[ \varphi^{(0)}(0) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \alpha_p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-z^2} H_{p+q}(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 \]

Let
\[ \psi(z) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} C_q H_q(z) \]

Then
\[ \varphi^{(s)}(0) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \alpha_p C_{p+s}; \quad \text{for each } s \quad (8.3.34) \]

Equations (8.3.34) are a set of equations determining \( C_p \). We write down a few of these equations
\[ \varphi(0) = C_0 - \alpha_1 C_1 + \alpha_2 C_2 - \alpha_3 C_3 + \alpha_4 C_4 \cdots \]
\[ \varphi^{(1)}(0) = C_1 - \alpha_1 C_2 + \alpha_2 C_3 - \alpha_3 C_4 \cdots \]
\[ \varphi^{(2)}(0) = C_2 - \alpha_1 C_3 + \alpha_2 C_4 \cdots \]

where \( \alpha_0 \) has been put equal to unity.
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The solution to these equations may be obtained by an iterative scheme. We only present the results here. The answer is a linear combination of \( \varphi^{(0)}(0) \):

\[
U_p = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varphi^{(p+s)}(0) T_s
\]  

(8.3.35)

The coefficients \( T_s \) are

\[
T_s = \sum (-1)^{r_1+r_2+\cdots} \frac{(r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + \cdots)!}{(r_1)!(r_2)!(r_3)!} (a_1)^{r_1}(a_2)^{r_2}(a_3)^{r_3} \cdots
\]

where all combinations are taken so that

\[
r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + 4r_4 + \cdots = s
\]

(8.3.36)

We write out the first few \( T_s \):

\[
T_0 = 1; \quad T_1 = a_1; \quad T_2 = a_1^2 - a_2; \quad T_3 = a_1^3 - 2a_1a_2 + a_3
\]

\[
T_4 = a_1^4 - 3a_1a_2^2 + a_2^3 + 2a_1a_3 - a_4 \quad (8.3.37)
\]

\[
T_5 = a_1^5 - 4a_1^2a_2 + 3a_2^3a_3 + 3a_1^2a_3^2 - 2a_1a_4a_2 + a_5
\]

In a similar manner one may solve integral equations involving the generating functions for other orthogonal polynomials. The pertinent details are listed below for Laguerre polynomials:

Generating function:

\[
\frac{J_\alpha(2 \sqrt{z} \omega)}{(z \omega)^{1/2}} = e^{-z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L^{(\omega)}_n(z)}{\Gamma(n + 1 + \omega)} \frac{z^n}{n!}
\]

Normalization:

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-z} [L^{(\omega)}_n(z)]^2 dz = \frac{[\Gamma(n + a + 1)]^2}{n!}
\]

Then the solution of

\[
\varphi(z) = \int_0^z \frac{J_\alpha(2 \sqrt{z} \omega)}{(z \omega)^{1/2}} \varphi(\omega) d\omega; \quad 0 \leq z \leq \infty
\]

(8.3.38)

is

\[
\varphi(z) = e^{-z \omega} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^{(\alpha)}(0)L^{(\omega)}_n(z)}{\Gamma(n + a + 1)}; \quad \chi(z) = e^z \varphi(z)
\]

(8.3.39)

We shall also mention one other expansion from which a solvable integral equation may be obtained. There is, based upon the more common generating function for \( L^{(\alpha)}_n \) (see page 784),

\[
(z \omega)^{n+1} e^{-(\omega/z)} = e^{-z\omega+n+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L^{(\omega)}_n(z)}{\Gamma(n + a + 1)} (1 - z)^n
\]
Employing this function as the kernel, the solution to the corresponding integral equation of the first kind is

$$
\psi = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{[\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)]^2} \varphi^{(n)}(1)L_n^{(\alpha)}(z) \quad (8.3.40)
$$

**Use of Gegenbauer Polynomials.** The generating functions for the Legendre polynomials and more generally for the Gegenbauer functions also yield integral equations of the first kind which may be solved. For example, the following kernel:

$$
K(z|z_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2zz_0 + z^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n P_n(z_0)
$$

The integral equation reads

$$
\varphi(z) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\varphi(z_0)}{\sqrt{1 - 2zz_0 + z^2}} \, dz_0; \quad -1 \leq z \leq 1 \quad (8.3.41)
$$

Introducing the expansion for $K$ and writing $\psi = \sum a_n P_n$, one obtains

$$
\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2n}{2n + 1} z^n; \quad \text{employing } \int_{-1}^{1} [P_n(z)]^2 \, dz = \frac{2}{2n + 1}
$$

Hence

$$
a_n = \frac{2n + 1}{2} \left[ \frac{\varphi^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(z) \frac{2n + 1}{2} \left[ \frac{\varphi^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \right]
$$

Similar results may be obtained for any Gegenbauer function $T_n^{(v)}(z)$, for the corresponding generating function is (see table at end of Chap. 6).

$$
(1 - 2zz_0 + z^2)^{-v+1} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{v} \Gamma(v + \frac{1}{2})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n^{(v)}(z_0) z^n \quad (8.3.42)
$$

The normalization integral is

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} (1 - z^2)[T_n^{(v)}(z)]^2 \, dz = \frac{2\Gamma(2v + n + 1)}{(2v + 2n + 1)n!} \quad (8.3.43)
$$

Employing the generating function as a kernel and restricting the range of $z$ from $-1$ to $1$, the solution of the integral equation of the first kind, (8.3.41), is

$$
\psi = \frac{2^v \Gamma(v + \frac{1}{2})(1 - z^2)^{v-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2v + 2n + 1}{\Gamma(2v + n + 1)} \varphi^{(n)}(0) T_n^{(v)}(z) \quad (8.3.44)
$$
By giving appropriate values to \( \nu \) various particular cases of importance are obtained: for \( \nu = 0 \) the Legendre polynomials, for \( \nu = \text{integer} \) the associated Legendre function. For \( \nu = \frac{1}{2} \)

\[
\frac{1}{1 - 2zz_0 + z^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{2x}^n(z_0) z^n
\]

Here \( T_n \) are the Tchebyscheff polynomials. The normalization condition is

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} [T_n^2(1 - z^2)] \, dx = 1
\]

The solution of the integral equation

\[
\phi(z) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\psi(z_0)}{1 - 2zz_0 + z^2} \, dz_0
\]

is given by

\[
\psi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (1 - z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^{(n)}(0)}{n!} T_n^2(z)
\] (8.3.45)

In all the cases discussed above other solvable integral equations may be obtained by integrating or differentiating both sides with respect to \( z \).

We have so far considered generating functions in which the associated functions form an orthogonal set. This favorable situation does not always prevail. It would thus be of interest to see how the attack on the problem then proceeds. Consider, for example, the integral equation formed when the kernel is the generating function for the Bessel functions:

\[
\phi(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{iz \cos u} \psi(u) \, du; \quad 0 \leq z \leq \infty
\] (8.3.46)

An integral equation of this kind occurs in two-dimensional wave propagation in which the amplitude of a wave is specified along a half plane. The first step in solving (8.3.46) consists in expanding both the kernel and \( \psi(u) \) in a Fourier series in \( \cos(nu) \):

\[
\psi = \sum_{n} \cos(nu) \; e^{iz \cos u} = \sum_{n} \cos(nu) \#(nu)
\]

Then

\[
\phi(z) = \pi \sum_{n} a_n \cos(nu)
\] (8.3.47)

The coefficients \( a_n \) may be directly expressed in terms of the value of \( \phi \) and its derivatives at \( z = 0 \), as given in Eq. (8.3.28).

**Integral Equations of the First Kind and Green's Functions.** If the kernel should happen to be a Green's function, the solution may be easily obtained. This is a consequence of the fact that any Green's
function may be expanded in an eigenfunction series [Eq. (7.2.39)]. For a symmetrical \( G \):

\[
G_a(x|x_0) = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{\tilde{x}_n(x_0)x_n(x)}{k_n^2 - k^2}
\]

(8.3.48)

where the functions \( x_n \) form an orthogonal and normalized set in region \( a \leq x \leq b \). The solution of the problem

\[
\varphi(x) = \int_a^b G(x|x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]

may then be easily obtained. Introduce the expansion for \( \psi \):

\[
\psi(x) = \sum_n a_n x_n(x)
\]

Then

\[
\varphi(x) = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{a_n}{k_n^2 - k^2} x_n(x)
\]

The coefficients \( a_n \) may now be obtained by quadratures:

\[
a_n = \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \right) (k_n^2 - k^2) \int_a^b \tilde{x}_n(x)\varphi(x) \, dx
\]

(8.3.49)

A similar procedure may be carried out with Green's functions in two and three dimensions for which the equation satisfied by \( G_b \) is separable, for suppose that

\[
G_b(r|x_0) = 4\pi \sum_n \frac{\tilde{x}_n(r)x_n(x_0)}{k_n^2 - k^2}
\]

(8.3.50)

The functions occurring in the sum are functions of two or three variables. However, assuming separability, each \( x_n \) may be written as a product of functions each of which depend on only one variable; moreover each of these forms an orthonormal set in each variable:

\[
x_n(r) = X_{n1}(x_1)X_{n2}(x_2)X_{n3}(x_3); \quad \int X_{n1}X_{m1}\rho(x_1) \, dx_1 = \delta_{nm}
\]

If then two of the variables are given specific values, say \( x_2 \) and \( x_3 \) for both the \( r \) and \( r_0 \) coordinates, expansion (8.3.50) for \( G_b \) is of the form

\[
K(x|x_0) = \sum_k \alpha_k \tilde{X}_{k1}(x_0)X_{k1}(x)
\]

(8.3.51)

An integral equation of the first kind with \( K(x|x_0) \) as kernel is readily solvable. The solution is

\[
\psi(x) = \rho(x) \sum_k \left[ \frac{\int \tilde{X}_{k1}(x_0)\varphi(x_0)\rho(x_0) \, dx_0}{\alpha_k} \right] X_{k1}(x)
\]

(8.3.52)
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Many kernels of type (8.3.51) may be formed from one Green’s function by taking different values for \( x_2 \) and \( x_3 \) and finally by taking linear combinations of these.

We shall now illustrate these remarks. A Green’s function for the two-dimensional Laplace equation is proportional to \( \ln R \), where \( R = \sqrt{r^2 - 2rr_0 \cos(\phi - \phi_0) + r_0^2} = |r - r_0| \). Employing the general theory for expansion of Green’s functions as given in the preceding chapter (see also Chap. 10), one finds that in polar coordinates

\[
\ln R - \ln r - \sum_{n=1}^{w} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^n \cos[n(\phi - \phi_0)]; \quad r > r_0 \quad (8.3.53)
\]

Let us now take the special values \( r = r_0 = 1 \). Then the expansion becomes

\[
\ln \sqrt{2(1 - \cos(\phi - \phi_0))} = \ln |2 \sin \left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2} \right)|
= - \sum_{n=1}^{w} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \cos[n(\phi - \phi_0)]
\]

or

\[
\ln |2 \sin \left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2} \right)| = - \sum_{n=1}^{w} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \left[ \cos(n\phi) \cos(n\phi_0) + \sin(n\phi) \sin(n\phi_0) \right]
\quad (8.3.54)
\]

An integral equation of the first kind with \( K(\phi|\phi_0) = \ln |2 \sin \left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2} \right)| \) where \( 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi \) may then be readily solved.

We can construct another kernel with a simple bilinear expansion of type (8.3.51) by addition:

\[
\ln |2 \sin \left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2} \right)| + \ln |2 \sin \left( \frac{\phi + \phi_0}{2} \right)| = \ln |2(\cos \phi - \cos \phi_0)|
\]

Then

\[
\ln |2 |\cos \phi - \cos \phi_0|| = - \sum_{n=1}^{w} \left( \frac{2}{n} \right) \cos(n\phi) \cos(n\phi_0) \quad (8.3.55)
\]

Hence the integral equation

\[
\psi(\phi) = \int_{0}^{\tau} \ln |2 |\cos \phi - \cos \phi_0|| \psi(\phi_0) \ d\phi_0 \quad (8.3.56)
\]

has the solution

\[
\psi(\phi) = a_0 - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n} n \left[ \int_{0}^{\tau} \psi(\phi_0) \cos(n\phi_0) \ d\phi_0 \right] \cos(n\phi) \quad (8.3.57)
\]

where \( a_0 \) is arbitrary.
Further useful kernels may be obtained by differentiating the kernels we have just discussed. Differentiating \( \ln |2 \sin (\phi - \phi_0)/2| \) yields

\[
\frac{1}{2} \cot \left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{2} \right) = \sum_n \left[ \sin(n\phi) \cos(n\phi_0) - \cos(n\phi) \sin(n\phi_0) \right]
\]

Differentiating \( \ln[2 |\cos \phi - \cos \phi_0|] \) yields

\[
\frac{1}{\cos \phi_0 - \cos \phi} = -\sum_n \frac{\sin(n\phi)}{\sin(n\phi)} \cos(n\phi_0)
\]

The integral equation employing this function as a kernel may be transformed into another of some interest in hydrodynamics. The integral equation is

\[
\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\psi(x_0)}{\cos \phi_0 - \cos \phi} \, dx_0
\]

Let \( \cos \phi = x \), \( \Phi(x) = \chi(x) \), \( -[\psi(x_0)/\sin \phi_0] = \Psi(x_0) \). Then

\[
\chi(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\Psi(x_0)}{x - x_0} \, dx_0 \quad (8.3.58)
\]

The manipulations by which we developed a number of kernels from the Green's function \( \Psi(x) \) may, of course, be repeated for other Green's functions. The example we have chosen is particularly simple. Indeed the expansions we have listed may be obtained directly rather than from a prior knowledge of a Green's function expansion, and in principle the direct procedure is always possible. However, in the following chapters (Chaps. 10 et seq.) we shall list many Green's function expansions for separable coordinate systems and thus shall have automatically classified a number of integral equations of the first kind which are solvable by means of an eigenfunction expansion.

**Transforms and Integral Equations of the First Kind.** In the relation

\[
\Psi(z) = \int K(z|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]

\( \Psi(z) \) is often called the transform of \( \psi(z) \). The solution of the integral equation in which \( \psi \) is expressed in terms of \( \Psi \) is called an inversion of the transform. Transforms in which the relation between \( \Psi \) and \( \psi \) are particularly simple have been investigated in great detail. We shall consider some examples here.

The most familiar and the most important case is that of the Fourier transform (see Sec. 4.7):

\[
\Psi(k) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \psi(x) \, dx \quad (8.3.59)
\]

The inversion is given by

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} \Psi(k) \, dk \quad (8.3.60)
\]
so that the integral equation (8.3.59) for \( \psi \) with the kernel \( K(k|x) = e^{i k x} \) has its solution (8.3.60). We refer the reader to Sec. 4.7 for a discussion of the conditions under which the inversion is possible.

It is possible to generalize the Fourier transform to a wide class of functions. As was shown in Chap. 6, the Fourier transform follows the completeness relation for a set of eigenfunctions with a continuous eigenvalue spectrum. Let us first recall the orthogonality and normalization condition appropriate to the continuous spectrum. If \( k \) is the eigenvalue, then

\[
\int \psi(k|x) \varphi(k^0|x) \, dx = \delta(k - k_0) \tag{8.3.61}
\]

where the region of integration extends out to infinity in at least one direction and \( \delta \) is the Dirac delta function. An arbitrary function \( \psi \) may be expanded in terms of \( \varphi \) subject only to the requirement of the existence of the integral:

\[
\psi(x) = \int \Psi(k) \varphi(k|x) \, dk \tag{8.3.62}
\]

To obtain \( \Psi(k) \) multiply through both sides of the above equation by \( \varphi(k^0|x) \) and integrate over \( x \):

\[
\int \psi(k^0|x) \psi(x) \, dx = \int \int \Psi(k) \varphi(k^0|x) \varphi(k|x) \, dk \, dx
\]

Blithely interchanging integrals (a procedure which must be justified in each particular case) and employing Eq. (8.3.61), one obtains

\[
\int \varphi(k^0|x) \psi(x) \, dx = \int \Psi(k) \delta(k - k_0) \, dk
\]

Hence

\[
\Psi(k) = \int \varphi(k^0|x) \psi(x) \, dx \tag{8.3.63}
\]

We see that, if \( \Psi(k) \) is called the transform of \( \psi(x) \) by the function \( \varphi \), then \( \psi \) is the transform of \( \Psi \) by the function \( \varphi \). In the Fourier case, discussed just above, \( \varphi(k|x) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \exp(ikx) \), and the Fourier integral relations (8.3.59) and (8.3.60) are just special cases of Eqs. (8.3.63) and (8.3.62), respectively.

It is thus clear that any function of two variables which may be normalized according to (8.3.61) may be employed to set up a transform and its inversion. As a consequence those integral equations of the first kind which employ such functions as kernels may be solved by inversion.

An example of a transform of this kind, known as the Hankel transform, may be obtained from the orthonormal properties of the Bessel function \( J_m(kr) \) where \( m \) is arbitrary. In Chap. 7 [see also Eq. (6.3.62)] it was found that

\[
\sqrt{k k^0} \int_0^\infty J_m(kr) J_m(k^0 r) \, dr = \delta(k - k^0)
\]

Hence in the above discussion we can place

\[\varphi(k^0|x) = \sqrt{k} J_m(kr)\]
Following custom, we define the Hankel transform without the \( \sqrt{k} \) factor. Thus

\[
\Psi(k) = \int_0^\infty J_m(kr)\psi(r) r \, dr
\]  

(8.3.64)

The inversion is given by

\[
\psi(r) = \int_0^\infty J_m(kr)\Psi(k) k \, dk
\]  

(8.3.65)

We may combine Eqs. (8.3.61) and (8.3.65) to obtain

\[
\psi(r) = \int_0^\infty k \, dk \int_0^\infty \rho \, d\rho [J_m(kr)J_m(k\rho)\psi(\rho)]
\]

The solution of integral equation (8.3.64) is thus given by Eq. (8.3.65).

A number of transforms which are closely related to the Fourier transform (see also Sec. 4.7) are of great importance in the treatment of some integral equations. The Laplace transform, which we shall later apply to the Volterra equation, is

\[
\Psi(p) = \int_0^\infty e^{-pz}\psi(z) \, dz
\]  

(8.3.66)

where \( \Psi \) is the transform of \( \psi \). The inversion is

\[
\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{pz}\Psi(p) \, dp
\]  

(8.3.67)

The Mellin transform is defined by

\[
\Psi(s) = \int_0^\infty z^{-s}\psi(z) \, dz
\]  

(8.3.68)

Its inversion is given by

\[
\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} z^s \Psi(s) \, ds
\]  

(8.3.69)

Both Eqs. (8.3.67) and (8.3.69) may be regarded as solutions of the integral equations of the first kind given by (8.3.66) and (8.3.68), respectively.

Finally we mention a pair of transforms obtained from function theory which are essentially derived from the Cauchy integral formula. These are called Hilbert transforms. From Eq. (4.2.18) we have that, if

\[
\Psi(z) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right) P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(z_0)}{z_0 - z} \, dz_0
\]  

(8.3.70)

then

\[
\psi(z) = -\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right) P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(z_0)}{z_0 - z} \, dz_0
\]  

(8.3.71)

Here \( P \) means principal part of the integral. Equation (8.3.71) is a solution of integral equation (8.3.70) for \( \psi(z_0) \).

From (4.2.28) a similar pair are obtained:

\[
\Psi(\phi) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right) P \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ 1 + \cot \left( \frac{\phi_0 - \phi}{2} \right) \right] \psi(\phi_0) \, d\phi_0
\]

\[
\psi(\phi) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right) P \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ 1 - \cot \left( \frac{\phi_0 - \phi}{2} \right) \right] \Psi(\phi_0) \, d\phi_0
\]  

(8.3.72)
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We have already discussed this particular kernel in the section on Green's functions, following Eq. (8.3.57) where a solution was found in terms of a Fourier series. This connection is not too surprising, since (4.2.28) is based on a Green's function type relation (4.2.25).

In this subsection we have emphasized the relation of the transform to integral equations of the first kind. Later in this chapter we shall employ the transform to change integral equations into forms which are more amenable for solution.

Differential Equations and Integral Equations of the First Kind. We have now seen how to solve a good many sorts of equations of the first kind. Many more can be devised by operating on both sides of some operator, either differential or integral (or both). For example, suppose we know the solution $\psi$ of the equation

$$\varphi(z) = \int K(z|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0$$

where $K$ and $\varphi$ are known. We can then find the solution of

$$\chi(z) = \int \mathcal{L}_d[K(z|z_0)]\psi(z_0) \, dz_0$$

(8.3.73)

provided we can solve the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_d[\psi(z)] = \chi(z)$$

(8.3.74)

to determine $\varphi$ from $\chi$. Vice versa, if we know the solution of the equation with $\chi$, we can obtain the solution for $\varphi$. Thus, from a solution of a simple integral equation we can go on to solve more complex ones if we can solve the equation obtaining $\varphi$ from $\chi$. If the operator changing $K$ into $\mathcal{L}(K)$ is a differential operator, then the equation which must be solved to obtain $\varphi$ is a differential equation. Thus we can solve an integral equation if we can solve a differential equation, or vice versa, we can solve a differential equation if we can solve an integral equation.

For example, let $K(z|z_0) = e^{-az}$ so that

$$\varphi(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-az}\psi(z_0) \, dz_0$$

This is just the Laplace transform; the solution $\psi$ is given by the inversion equation (8.3.67). A rather general class of kernels may be obtained from this:

$$\mathcal{K}(z|z_0) = \sum_n \psi_n(z_0) e^{-az}$$

or

$$\mathcal{K}(z|z_0) = \sum_n (-1)^n g_n(z) \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (e^{-az}) = \mathcal{L}_d[K(z|z_0)]$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_n (-1)^n g_n(z) \frac{d^n}{dz^n}$$

(8.3.75)
Equation (8.3.74) is in this case a differential equation for \( \varphi \), an equation discussed at some length in Chap. 5:

\[
\sum_{n} (-1)^{n} a_n \frac{d^n \varphi}{dz^n} = \chi(z)
\]

The solution of this differential equation may not be easy. It is necessary, for most available techniques, that the sum be finite; in fact, unless the differential equation is of second or first order, it is not likely to be solvable.

Another example is furnished by the Hermite functions. Let \( K(z|z_0) = e^{-|z-z_0|^2} \). If \( \mathcal{L} = \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} a_n \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \), then

\[
\mathcal{L}[K(z|z_0)] = \sum_{n} a_n e^{-|z-z_0|^2} H_n(z - z_0)
\]

which allows a very wide range of choice of kernel for the new integral equation. If the \( a_n \)'s are constants, the kernel \( \mathcal{L}(K) \) is a general function of \( (z - z_0) \) in the range \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\). If the \( a_n \)'s are functions of \( z \), the kernel is even more general. The corresponding differential equation

\[
\sum_{n} (-1)^{n} a_n \left( \frac{d^n \varphi}{dz^n} \right) = \chi(z)
\]

is particularly easy to solve if the \( a_n \)'s are all constants; consequently we have here a means of solving any integral equation of the first kind, having a kernel which is a function of \( (z - z_0) \) alone and having limits \(-\infty\) and \(+\infty\).

There is another associated differential equation which may be obtained from Eq. (8.3.73) in a manner closely analogous to the method in which integral representations for differential equations are obtained (see Sec. 5.3). Suppose that

\[
\mathcal{L}K(z|z_0) = \mathfrak{M}zK(z|z_0)
\]

where the subscript indicates the variable on which the operators act. For example, for the \( \mathcal{L} \) in Eq. (8.3.75)

\[
\mathfrak{M}z = \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} x_n^2 g_n \left( - \frac{d}{dz_0} \right)
\]

(For further examples see Sec. 5.3.) We then introduce the adjoint operator \( \mathfrak{M}^* \) defined by

\[
\mathfrak{M}^*[\varphi] - \varphi \mathfrak{M}[u] = (d/dz)P[u, u]
\]
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Employing these relations in Eq. (8.3.73) we obtain

\[ \chi(z) + P[K(z|a), \psi(a)] - P[K(z|b), \psi(b)] = \int_a^b K(z|x_0) \mathfrak{R}[\psi(x_0)] \, dx_0 \]

According to our assumption this integral equation may be solved for \( \mathfrak{R}[\psi] \) so that

\[ \mathfrak{R}[\psi(z)] = r(z) \]  

(8.3.76)

This may be a simpler differential equation to solve than (8.3.74). Methods and examples for obtaining \( \mathfrak{R} \) from \( \mathfrak{M} \) are given in Sec. 5.3, and indeed many examples of \( \mathcal{L} \).

The operator \( \mathcal{L} \) does not have to be restricted to differential operators. For example, the operation involved may be to take a transform of both sides, and problem (8.3.73) would then be the problem of inverting the transform. This powerful method deserves a section to itself, however, and we shall take it up in Sec. 8.4.

The Moment Problem. The \( n \)th moment \( M_n \) of a function (or more commonly in physics, a distribution) \( \psi \) is defined by

\[ M_n = \int_a^b z^n \psi(z_0) \rho(z_0) \, dz_0 \]  

(8.3.77)

where \( \rho(z) \) is a weighting function. In many cases, particularly in transport phenomena (see Secs. 2.4 and 12.2), it is possible to evaluate the series of moments \( M_n \); then \( \psi \) is required.

Before discussing the methods that are available, let us first note that it is possible, by means of a linear change in variable, to shift the limits of integration. This will have the effect of changing the definition of \( \psi \) and in shuffling some of the moments. For example, let \( \xi_0 = (z_0 - a)/(b - a) \). Then

\[ \hat{M}_n = \frac{2\pi}{b - a} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ (b - a) \xi_0 + a \right] z^n \psi(\xi_0) \rho(\xi_0) \, d\xi_0 \]

Let \( \psi(z_0) = \chi(\xi_0) \) and \( \rho(z_0) = \omega(\xi_0) \) and

\[ \mu_n = \int_0^{2\pi} \chi(\xi_0) \omega(\xi_0) \, d\xi_0 \]

Then

\[ \mu_n = \left( \frac{2\pi}{b - a} \right)^{n+1} \int_a^b (z_0 - a)^n \psi(z_0) \rho(z_0) \, dz_0 \]

Hence

\[ \mu_n = \left( \frac{2\pi}{b - a} \right)^{n+1} \sum_{\xi = 0}^{n} \binom{n}{\xi} (-a)^{-\xi} M_\xi \]  

(8.3.78)

It is therefore clear that, as long as the transformation is linear, it is possible to choose the limits of integration \( a \) and \( b \) in (8.3.77) without becoming involved in any infinite processes. The limits which are commonly employed are \((-\infty, \infty)\), \((0, \infty)\), and \((-1, 1)\).

The principal technique available for the solution of the moment problem involves relating the moments to the coefficients of the expan-
sion of \( \psi \) in polynomials orthogonal in the region \((a,b)\), with the weight
factor \(\rho\). For example, suppose that the region of integration is \((-\infty,\infty)\)
and the weight factor \(e^{-x^2}\). [One may, of course, factor \(e^{-x^2}\) from \(\psi(z_0)\)
and define a new unknown function \(\tilde{\psi}(z_0) = e^{-x^2}\psi(z_0)\).] The correspon-
ding orthogonal polynomials are \(H_n(z_0)\). If we now expand \(\psi(z_0)\) as follows:

\[
\psi(z_0) = \sum a_n H_n(z_0); \quad a_n = \frac{1}{n! 2^n \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(z_0) H_n(z_0) e^{-z_0^2} dz_0
\]

Since the \(H_n\)’s are polynomials, the integral in \(a_n\) may be expressed
directly in terms of \(M_n\). For example, \(H_0(z_0) = 1\), so that

\[
a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(z_0) e^{-z_0^2} dz_0 = \frac{M_0}{\pi}
\]

More generally

\[
H_n = (2x)^n - \binom{n}{2} \frac{1}{1!} (2x)^{n-2} + \binom{n}{4} \frac{1}{2!} (2x)^{n-4} + \cdots
\]

\[
= \sum \frac{(-1)^k n!}{(k!)(n-2k)!} (2x)^{n-2k}
\]

where the last term in the expansion is a constant or the first power of \(x\)
according as \(n\) is even or odd. Then

\[
a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum \frac{(-1)^k}{(k!)(n-2k)!} \left( \frac{M_{n-2k}}{2^{2k}} \right) \tag{8.3.79}
\]

From (8.3.79), the function \(\psi\) may be readily evaluated.

There is another way to view the moment problem which is more general
in principle though not necessarily more advantageous in practice.
We may show that this problem is equivalent to an integral equation
of the first kind. For example, return to the illustration above. Multiply
both sides of the corresponding equation (8.3.77) by \(e^{-\rho(2x)^n/n!}\)

\[
\left[ \frac{(2x)^n}{n!} \right] e^{-x^2} M_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(2x)^n}{n!} \right] e^{-\rho(x^2+n\varphi)} \psi(z_0) dz_0
\]

Now by summing over \(n\) on both sides one obtains

\[
e^{-\rho} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(2x)^n}{n!} \right] M_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\rho x^2} \psi(z_0) dz_0 \tag{8.3.80}
\]

Assuming that the series on the left converges, the moment problem has
now been reduced to an integral equation of the first kind. Of course,
its may be solved by an expansion of \(\psi\) in the Hermite polynomials.
However, there are many approximate ways (see Chap. 9) of solving
integral equations which may be more practical than (8.3.79) in many
cases.
Similar analyses may be set up for the other intervals of integration. For the interval \((0, \infty)\), the weight factor \(e^{-\mu}\) and the Laguerre polynomials are appropriate. In the region \((-1, 1)\), for \(\rho = 1\), the proper polynomials are the Legendre polynomials. If \(\rho = \sqrt{1 - z_0^2}\), the proper polynomials are the Tchebyscheff polynomials. It is quite important to note that the expansion of \(\psi\) in terms of the orthogonal polynomials depends on the weight factor \(\rho\); indeed convergence may be quite different for different weight factors. However, as indicated above, by removing a factor from \(\psi(z_0)\) and designating the remainder as the unknown function, it is possible arbitrarily to change the weight factor. Let us call this factor \(\omega(z)\), so that

\[M_n = \int_a^b x_0^n \rho(z_0) \omega(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0\]

where \(\psi = \omega \varphi\). The most appropriate choice for \(\omega\), that is, the one which will yield the most rapid convergence, is a function which is as close to the unknown \(\psi\) as is possible. Of course if it is exactly \(\psi\), there is only one term in the polynomial expansion for \(\psi\), namely \(\varphi = 1\). It is clearly advantageous to employ whatever information is available to make as close a guess to \(\psi\) as is possible and then to use this guess for \(\omega\).

**Recapitulation.** We have investigated those solutions of Fredholm integral equations of the first kind which are obtained by expanding the unknown function in a complete set. The most general kernels for which solutions could be exactly obtained are characterized by an expansion of the form

\[K(x|z_0) = \sum_n a_n \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(z_0)\]

where both \(\varphi_n\) and \(\varphi_n\) form complete and orthogonal sets. Orthogonality is not in principle essential but in practice of great convenience. However, procedures which may be adopted when one of the sets is not orthogonal have been discussed.

It might be pointed out that Volterra equations of the first kind may be solved by some of the methods outlined in this section, though in general the procedure is more difficult and the full machinery of the Schmidt method or the biorthogonal series must be used. Luckily many kernels of Volterra equations are of the form \(v(x - z_0)\), a case which is discussed in some detail in Sec. 8.5.

8.4 Solution of Integral Equations of the Second Kind

The methods which may be employed to solve the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind may also be classified according to the manner in which the kernel may be expanded. This classification is,
of course, very similar to that given in Sec. 8.3, though the manipulation of the equation is quite different. It is thus advisable to approach the classification in a different manner from that used in Sec. 8.3, though, as we shall see, the same kinds of kernels will be discussed here as formerly. As in the previous section, we confine ourselves to methods of exact solution; approximate solutions will receive their full attention in Chap. 9. Indeed one method of series solution, called the Fredholm solution, is so important to the study of perturbation theory that its exposition will be put off till the next chapter, though it could just as well have been inserted here.

The equation under consideration is

$$ \psi(z) = \lambda \int_a^b K(z; z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0; \quad a \leq z \leq b \quad (8.4.1) $$

Solutions to this problem exist for only special values of $\lambda$, labeled $\lambda_n$, with corresponding solutions $\psi_n$. If the kernel $K$ is symmetric and nonsingular, the eigenvalues are real, the eigenvalue spectrum is discrete. If it is symmetric but singular, part of the spectrum may be continuous; if it is not symmetric, the eigenvalues are not necessarily real.

We may expand $K(z; z_0)$ in a complete set $h_n(z)$; the coefficients will be functions of $z_0$, $g_n(z_0)$:

$$ K(z; z_0) = \sum_n h_n(z) g_n(z_0) \quad (8.4.2) $$

Then

$$ \psi(z) = \lambda \sum_n h_n(z) \int_a^b g_n(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0 $$

This suggests that we place

$$ \psi(z) = \sum_n A_n h_n(z) \quad (8.4.3) $$

(It should be emphasized that this expansion will prove meaningful in the following development only if it converges.) Hence

$$ A_n = \lambda \int_a^b g_n(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0; \quad \text{for each } n \quad (8.4.4) $$

$$ A_n = \lambda \sum_p A_p \int_a^b g_n(z_0) h_p(z_0) \, dz_0 $$

If we let

$$ \int_a^b g_n(z_0) h_p(z_0) \, dz_0 = \alpha_{pn} $$

Then

$$ A_n = \lambda \sum_p A_p \alpha_{pn} $$

or

$$ \sum_p A_p (\lambda \alpha_{pn} - \delta_{pn}) = 0 \quad (8.4.5) $$
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This is a set of linear homogenous simultaneous equations for \( A_p \). Nonzero solutions for \( A_p \) exist only if the determinant of the coefficients vanishes:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\lambda\alpha_{00} - 1 & \lambda\alpha_{10} & \lambda\alpha_{20} & \cdots \\
\lambda\alpha_{01} & \lambda\alpha_{11} - 1 & \lambda\alpha_{21} & \cdots \\
\lambda\alpha_{02} & \lambda\alpha_{12} & \lambda\alpha_{22} - 1 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

or more succinctly:

\[
|\lambda\alpha_{pn} - \delta_{pn}| = 0 \quad (8.4.6)
\]

This determinantal equation may be solved for the possible values of \( \lambda \) while Eq. (8.4.5) yields the corresponding values of \( A_p \) and therefore by virtue of (8.4.3), determine \( \psi \). For the purpose of making a connection with the problem of solving differential equations, note that (8.4.5) forms a set of recursion relations for \( A_p \) much like those which occur when a power series or any other complete set of functions is substituted in a differential equation.

There is no "royal road" to the discovery of the "best" type of expansion for the kernel \( K \); there is no infallible rule which tells which expansion will make the solution easiest. Indeed it is usually true that several alternative expansions are possible for each type of kernel, and often each must be tried in order to discover the most useful one for the purpose at hand. Accordingly our classification of methods of solution will be according to types of expansions rather than according to kernels, according to the nature of the coefficients \( \alpha_{pn} \) which result when one has decided on a set of \( g \)'s and \( h \)'s for a given \( K \). Often expansions of different classes may be applied to a given \( K \), though usually one expansion appears more appropriate. We shall now review the more useful classes of expansions of \( K \), giving examples of the kinds of kernels for which the expansion is appropriate and some details as to techniques of solution.

\textbf{Expansions of the First Class.} Our classification will be dependent upon the properties of the matrix \( \alpha_{pn} \) and therefore upon the relation of the set \( g_n \) to \( h_p \). For example, the \textit{first class} is the one in which \( \alpha_{pn} \) is diagonal; that is, \( \alpha_{pn} = \alpha_n \delta_{pn} \). This means that \( g_n \) is a set which is orthogonal to all \( h_p \) except for the \( p = n \) term. The eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) are then

\[
\lambda_n = 1/\alpha_n \quad (8.4.7)
\]

with

\[
\psi_n = h_n
\]
One may verify this solution directly by substitution in the integral equation (8.1.1).

Green's function kernels furnish examples of the diagonal type of expansion. In the preceding section, from the expansion for \( \ln R \) we obtained Eq. (8.3.51):

\[
K(\varphi|\varphi_0) = \ln|2 \sin(\varphi - \varphi_0)/2| = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \cos(n\varphi) \cos(n\varphi_0) + \sin(n\varphi) \sin(n\varphi_0) \right]
\]

In the region \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi \), the eigenfunctions of the corresponding integral equations are \( \cos(n\varphi) \), and \( \sin(n\varphi) \); both have eigenvalues \( \lambda_n = -n/\pi \).

A second example is furnished by combining \( \ln|2 \sin(\varphi - \varphi_0)/2| \) and \( \ln|2 \sin(\varphi + \varphi_0)/2| \) to obtain (8.3.55):

\[
K(\varphi|\varphi_0) = \ln|2|\cos \varphi - \cos \varphi_0|| = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\varphi}{n} \right) \cos(n\varphi) \cos(n\varphi_0)
\]

In the region \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi \), the corresponding integral equation has eigenfunctions \( \cos(n\varphi) \) and eigenvalues \( \lambda_n = -(n, \pi) \).

By considering the kernel obtained by differentiating this last \( K(\varphi|\varphi_0) \) [Eq. (8.3.55)], we shall examine a case which illustrates an essential difference between an equation of the first kind and one of the second kind. After differentiation the kernel becomes

\[
\frac{1}{\cos \varphi - \cos \varphi_0} = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\varphi)}{\sin \varphi} \cos(n\varphi_0)
\]

We note that, although \( \sin(n\varphi) \) and \( \cos(n\varphi) \) form complete sets, they are not mutually orthogonal in the region \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi \). In the solution of the equation of the first kind, this does not create a difficulty, since the inhomogeneous term in the equation does not bear any explicit relation to \( \varphi \) whereas this is not at all true for equations of the second kind.

**Expansions of the Second Class.** As the second class of expansions we consider those for which

\[
\alpha_{pn} = 0; \quad \text{if } p < n; \quad \text{type } a
\]

or

\[
\alpha_{pn} = 0; \quad \text{if } p > n; \quad \text{type } b \quad (8.4.8)
\]

We shall call such expansions **semidiagonal**. The function \( g_n \) may be expanded in terms of \( h_p \) as follows:
\[ g_n(z) = \sum_{p=n}^\infty \alpha_p h_p(z); \quad \text{type a} \] 
\[ g_n(z) = \sum_{p=0}^n \alpha_p h_p(z); \quad \text{type b} \] 

The recursion relation between \( A_p \) becomes for case a

\[ A_n = \lambda \sum_{p=n}^n A_p \alpha_p \]

This relation includes as a special case (when only two \( \alpha_p \) exist for a given \( n \)) the two-term recursion relations which are of such fundamental importance in differential equations.

The determinantal equations determining \( \lambda \) now take on a particularly simple form. For case a:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\lambda \alpha_{20} - 1 & \lambda \alpha_{10} & \lambda \alpha_{00} & \lambda \alpha_{30} & \cdots \\
0 & \lambda \alpha_{11} - 1 & \lambda \alpha_{21} & \lambda \alpha_{41} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \lambda \alpha_{22} - 1 & \lambda \alpha_{32} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \alpha_{33} - 1 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

The expansion of the determinant is just \( (\lambda \alpha_{20} - 1)(\lambda \alpha_{11} - 1) \cdots \), so that the eigenvalues are \( \lambda_n = 1/\alpha_{nn} \). The corresponding eigenfunctions involve \( h_p, p \leq n \). Hence

\[ \psi_0 = h_0; \quad \psi_1 = h_1 + [\lambda_1 \alpha_{11}/(1 - \lambda_1 \alpha_{20})]h_0; \quad \text{etc.} \]

These are obtained from the recursion relations for \( A_p \).

It is instructive to consider a special case of type a in which \( \alpha_p \) differs from zero only for \( p = n \) and \( p = n + 1 \). Hence the recursion relation becomes

\[ A_n(\lambda \alpha_{nn} - 1) + A_{n+1} \lambda \alpha_{n+1,n} = 0 \]

This is a two-term recursion formula and can be easily solved:

\[ A_p = A_0 \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{j+1} \left[ \frac{\lambda \alpha_{jj} - 1}{\lambda \alpha_{j+1,j}} \right] \]

We see that choosing \( \lambda = \lambda_n = 1/\alpha_{nn} \) results in \( A_p = 0 \) if \( p > n \); in other words the series for \( \psi_n \) in terms of \( h_p \) breaks off at \( p = n \). However, what prevents any \( \lambda \) from being employed in evaluating \( A_p \) and therefore obtaining solutions with a continuous spectrum for \( \lambda \)? The only limiting
condition is the convergence of the series for \( \psi \). Only those values of \( \lambda \) for which the series for \( \psi \) does converge are possible. The situation here is completely similar to that which obtains in differential equations. For example, in solving the Hermite differential equation by power series, a two-term recursion formula results. Convergent power series cannot be obtained without picking special values corresponding to the special values of \( \lambda \) in the case under discussion (see 768). Coming back then to the integral equation, it is important to realize that in addition to the solutions \( \lambda_n = 1/\sigma_{nn} \), there may also be solutions for which the spectrum for \( \lambda \) is continuous.

Consider type \( b \) next. The recursion relation is

\[
A_n = \lambda \sum_{p=0}^{n} A_p \alpha_{pn}
\]

The determinantal equation is now

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\lambda \alpha_{00} - 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
\lambda \alpha_{01} & \lambda \alpha_{11} - 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
\lambda \alpha_{02} & \lambda \alpha_{12} & \lambda \alpha_{22} - 1 & 0 & \cdots \\
\lambda \alpha_{03} & \lambda \alpha_{13} & \lambda \alpha_{23} & \lambda \alpha_{33} - 1 & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

As in type \( a \), the eigenvalues are \( \lambda_n = 1/\sigma_{nn} \). The corresponding eigenfunctions are not finite combinations of \( b_n \) as they were in case \( a \). For example, for \( \psi_0 \) we have the determining equations

\[
A_n(1 - \lambda \sigma_{nn}) = \lambda \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} A_p \alpha_{pn}
\]

Hence

\[
A_1 = [(\lambda \sigma_{01})/(1 - \lambda \sigma_{11})]; \quad A_2(1 - \lambda \sigma_{22}) = \lambda \sigma_{11} \alpha_{12} + \lambda \sigma_{12} \alpha_{02}
\]

or

\[
A_n = \frac{\lambda \sigma_{0n}}{1 - \lambda \sigma_{nn}} \left[ \alpha_{n1} + \alpha_{0n} \right]
\]

and so on. The words of caution concerning the values of \( \lambda \), added after the discussion of type \( a \), apply here as well.

Examples of kernels of the semidiagonal type are furnished by generating functions. Consider

\[
K(z|z_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2zz_0 + z_0^2}} = \sum_n P_n(z)z_0^n
\]
The independent variable ranges from $-1$ to 1. Upon substituting $\psi = \Sigma A_p P_p(z)$, the integral equation becomes

$$A_n = \lambda \sum_p A_p \int_{-1}^1 z^p P_p(z_0) \, dz_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.4.10)

The integral vanishes as soon as $p > n$, so that the kernel is semidiagonal and of type $b$. The eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{\int_{-1}^1 z^n P_n(z_0) \, dz_0}$$

The integral may be evaluated by noting that $z^n_0$ may be expressed as a linear combination of $P_n$, $p \leq n$ and that only the term in $P_n$ will survive after the integration. Hence in expressing $z^n_0$ in terms of $P_p$ only the $z^n_0$ term in $P_n$ need be considered. Now

$$P_n = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n(n!)^2} \left[ z^n - \cdots \right]$$

Accordingly

$$z^n = \frac{2^n(n!)^2}{(2n)!} \left[ P_n + \cdots \right]$$

Finally

$$\lambda_n = \frac{(2n + 1)!}{2^{n+1}(n!)^2} = \frac{2^n \Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})}{n \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}$$

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{4}; \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{3}{4}; \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{15}{4}; \ldots$$

The coefficients $A_n$ for each particular $\lambda$ may be obtained directly from recursion relations (8.4.10). By interchanging the roles of $z$ and $P_n$ in the above discussion, we may obtain a semi-infinite kernel of type $u$. The kernel is now

$$K(z_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2z_0^2 + z^2}} = \sum_n P_n(z_0) z^n$$

Then

$$\psi = \lambda \int_{-1}^1 K(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0$$

becomes

$$\psi = \int_{-1}^1 \sum_n z^n \int_{-1}^1 P_n \psi \, dz_0$$

Let

$$\psi = \sum_n A_n z^n$$

Then

$$A_n = \sum_p A_p \int_{-1}^1 P_n z^p \, dz$$

Since $\int_{-1}^1 P_n z^p \, dz = 0$ if $p < n$, we may write

$$A_n = \sum_{p=n}^\infty A_p \alpha_{pn} \quad \alpha_{pn} = \int_{-1}^1 P_n z^p \, dz = \frac{p!}{2^n(p-n)!} \frac{\Gamma(p-n+1/2)}{\Gamma(p+n+3/2)}$$
The eigenvalues are given by $\lambda_n = 1/\alpha_{nn}$ and are identical with those of the problem discussed immediately above. The eigenfunctions are, however, more easily obtained in this case.

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_0 &= 1 \\
\psi_1 &= z \\
\psi_2 &= z^2 + \frac{(\alpha_{20}/\alpha_{22})}{1 - (\alpha_{20}/\alpha_{22})}; \text{ etc.}
\end{align*}
\]

where the $\alpha$'s are given above.

**Expansions of the Third Class.** We now turn to a third class of expansions which we shall call *finite*. These are defined by the condition

\[\alpha_{nn} = 0; \text{ if either } p \text{ or } n \text{ is bigger than } r \quad (8.4.11)\]

For this class, the determinantal equation (8.4.6) evolves a finite determinant of $r$ rows and columns. Upon expansion, the determinant becomes a polynomial of $r$th degree. The equation thus has $r$ roots which may be determined by standard methods. Of course both diagonal and semi-diagonal kernels may be finite.

In the simplest example of this class, $K(z|z_0)$ is a factorable function:

\[K(z|z_0) = h(z)g(z_0)\]

The integral equation is

\[\psi(z) = \lambda h(z) \int g(z_0) \psi(z_0) \, dz_0\]

Since the integral is just a constant, we see immediately that $\psi(z) = h(z)$. Hence

\[\lambda = 1/\int g(z_0) h(z_0) \, dz_0\]

This result may also be obtained from the determinantal equation (8.4.6), for in this case only $\alpha_{00}$ is different from zero. Accordingly (8.4.6) becomes

\[\lambda \alpha_{00} - I = 0; \quad \lambda = 1/\alpha_{00}\]

in agreement with the result just obtained directly from the integral equation.

Solutions may also be explicitly obtained if only two terms occur in the expansion of $K$. We give these results for reference. The determinantal equation

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
(\lambda \alpha_{00} - 1) & \lambda \alpha_{10} \\
\lambda \alpha_{01} & (\lambda \alpha_{11} - 1)
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

has two solutions:

\[\lambda_1 = \frac{(\alpha_{00} + \alpha_{11}) \pm \sqrt{(\alpha_{00} - \alpha_{11})^2 + 4\alpha_{10}\alpha_{01}}}{2(\alpha_{00}\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{10}\alpha_{01})} \quad (8.4.12)\]
where \( \lambda_+ \) refers to the solution with the square root added to \( \alpha_{00} + \alpha_{11} \). The corresponding eigenfunctions are

\[
\psi_+ = h_0 + (1 - \lambda_+ \alpha_{00})/(\lambda_+ \alpha_{10}) h_1 \\
\psi = h_0 + (1 - \lambda_- \alpha_{00})/(\lambda_- \alpha_{10}) h_1
\]

(8.4.13)

**Other Classes.** Finally we turn to the case for which the choice of \( h_n \) has been so unfortunate as to yield an expansion for \( K \) which does not fall into any of the classes, diagonal, semidiagonal, or finite, discussed above. It is then generally impossible to obtain an explicit expression for the eigenvalue \( \lambda \), and one must have recourse to approximate or numerical techniques. These will be discussed in Chap. 9. There is, however, one situation for which the equation for \( \lambda \) is sufficiently simple to warrant discussion. Suppose that

\[
\alpha_{pn} = 0; \text{ if } p \neq n - 1, n, n + 1
\]

(8.4.11)

In other words, the expansion of \( g_n \) in terms of \( h_n \) involves but three terms. Assuming the \( h_n \)'s to be normalized, this expansion would be

\[
g_n = \alpha_{n-1,n} h_{n-1} + \alpha_{n,n} h_n + \alpha_{n+1,n} h_{n+1}
\]

The determinantal equation (8.4.6) becomes

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
(\lambda \alpha_{00} - 1) & \lambda \alpha_{10} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
\lambda \alpha_{01} & (\lambda \alpha_{11} - 1) & \lambda \alpha_{21} & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & \lambda \alpha_{12} & (\lambda \alpha_{22} - 1) & \lambda \alpha_{32} & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \lambda \alpha_{23} & (\lambda \alpha_{33} - 1) & \lambda \alpha_{43} & 0 & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\]

The recursion relation connecting the unknown coefficients \( A_n \) in the expansion of \( \psi \), as given by (8.4.5), is

\[
\lambda \alpha_{n-1,n} A_{n-1} + (\lambda \alpha_{nn} - 1) A_n + \lambda \alpha_{n+1,n} A_{n+1} = 0
\]

(8.4.15)

This is a three-term recursion formula. We have discussed the solution of such difference equations in Chap. 5, page 505, where a similar problem turned up in the solution of the Mathieu differential equation.

The solution proceeds by introducing the new dependent variable

\[
G_n = A_n / A_{n-1}; \quad G_0 = \infty
\]

Then

\[
\lambda \alpha_{n-1,n} + (\lambda \alpha_{nn} - 1) G_n + \lambda \alpha_{n+1,n} G_{n+1} = 0
\]

To simplify the notation, let

\[
\alpha_{n,n} \alpha_{n-1,n} = -p_n; \quad \alpha_{n+1,n} / \alpha_{n-1,n} = -q_n \quad \lambda = \mu; \quad 1 / \alpha_{n-1,n} = -r_n
\]
Then (8.4.15) may be written

\[-1 + (p_n - \mu r_n)G_n + q_n G_n G_{n+1} = 0 \] 

(8.4.16)

Solving this equation for \(G_n\) in terms of \(G_{n+1}\), we obtain

\[G_n = 1/(p_n - \mu r_n + q_n G_{n+1})\]

By introducing into this expression the corresponding expression for \(G_{n+1}\), one finds

\[G_n = \frac{1}{p_n - \mu r_n + q_n \left[ \frac{1}{p_{n+1} - \mu r_{n+1} + q_{n+1} G_{n+2}} \right]}\]

Continuing this process, a continued fraction is obtained for \(G_n\):

\[G_n = \frac{1}{p_n - \mu r_n + q_n \left[ \frac{1}{p_{n+1} - \mu r_{n+1} + q_{n+1} \left[ \frac{1}{p_{n+2} - \mu r_{n+2} + q_{n+2}} \right]} \right]}\]

For \(G_1\):

\[G_1 = \frac{1}{p_1 - \mu r_1 + q_1 \left[ \frac{1}{p_2 - \mu r_2 + q_2} \right]}\]

(8.4.17)

We may also solve (8.4.16) for \(G_{n+1}\):

\[G_{n+1} = -\frac{(p_n - \mu r_n)}{q_n} + \frac{i}{q_n G_n}\]

From this a finite continued fraction is obtained:

\[G_{n+1} = -\frac{(p_n - \mu r_n)}{q_n} + \frac{i}{q_n \left[ \frac{1}{q_{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{q_{n-2} \left[ \cdots \left[ \frac{1}{q_1 (p_0 - \mu)} \right] \cdots \right]} \right]} \right]}\]

(8.4.18)

For \(n = 0\), (8.4.18) becomes

\[G_1 = -(p_0 - \mu r_0)/q_0\]

Equating this expression and (8.4.17) yields an equation determining \(\mu = 1/\lambda:\)

\[-\frac{p_0 - \mu r_0}{q_0} = \frac{1}{p_1 - \mu r_1 + q_1 \left[ \frac{1}{p_2 - \mu r_2 + q_2} \right]} \cdots \]

(8.4.19)
The numerical methods which must be employed to solve this equation are described in Chap. 5, page 566, and need not be reviewed here. In any event an expansion of a kernel of type (8.4.14) may be reduced to solving Eq. (8.4.19). Once $\mu$ is determined, the corresponding $G_{n+1}$ may be evaluated from (8.4.18), and consequently the $A_{n}$'s may be computed from $G_{n} - \frac{A_{n}}{A_{n-1}}$.

**Inhomogeneous Fredholm Integral Equation of the Second Kind.**

The solution of the inhomogeneous equation of the second kind has been considered in Sec. 8.2. It is instructive to rederive the result obtained there by direct considerations. The equation is

$$\psi = \lambda \int_{a}^{b} K(z|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dz_0 + \chi(x) \tag{8.4.20}$$

Let

$$\psi = \sum_{n} A_{n} \psi_{n}$$

where

$$\psi_{n} = \lambda_{n} \int_{a}^{b} K(z|x_0) \psi_{n}(x_0) \, dz_0$$

Moreover, $K$ may be expanded in a series of orthonormal eigenfunctions:

$$K(z|x_0) = \sum_{n} (1/\lambda_{n}) \psi_{n}(z) \varphi_{n}(x_0)$$

where

$$\int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n} \, d\sigma = \delta_{nm}$$

Then in (8.4.20)

$$\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} A_{n} \psi_{n} = \lambda \sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_{n} A_{n}}{\lambda_{m}} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n} \psi_{m} \, dz_0 + \chi = \sum_{n} \left( \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{m}} \right) \psi_{n} + \chi$$

or

$$\sum_{n} A_{n} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{m}} \right) \psi_{n} = \chi$$

Hence

$$A_{n} = \left( \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{m}} \right) \int_{a}^{b} \chi \varphi_{n} \, dz$$

and

$$\psi = \sum_{n} \psi_{n} \left( \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{m} - \lambda} \right) \int_{a}^{b} \chi \varphi_{n} \, dz \tag{8.4.21}$$

Solution (8.4.21) implies the availability of the solutions $\psi_{n}$ in at least the number required to attain convergence in the series over $n$. When these are not available, it is sometimes convenient to rewrite integral equation (8.4.20) as an integral equation of the first kind. We may then apply the techniques of Sec. 8.3. Equation (8.4.20) may be written

$$\chi(x) = \int_{a}^{b} \left[ \delta(z - x_0) - \lambda K(z|x_0) \psi(x_0) \right] \, dz_0$$

where $\delta(z - x_0)$ is the Dirac $\delta$ function. This is an equation of the first kind.
kind with the kernel \([\delta(x - z_0) - \lambda K(x|z_0)]\). From solution (8.4.21) it is apparent that those values of \(\lambda\) for which \(\psi\), considered as a function of \(\lambda\), has a pole are the eigenvalues \(\lambda_n\).

### 8.5 Fourier Transforms and Integral Equations

In Sec. 5.3 we investigated a technique of transforming from one differential equation to another, at times simpler than the first. Instead of trying to solve the specified differential equation directly, we looked at the equation for the corresponding Fourier (or Laplace), Mellin, or Gauss transform. In the case that one of the equations, for a transform, was simpler than the original equation, we then obtained an integral representation for the solution of the original equation, a procedure which we found was quite powerful.

The same technique can, at times, lighten our work in solving integral equations. We determine the integral equation governing a transform of the original unknown; if this is simpler than the original equation, we have then obtained an integral representation of our original unknown.

Of course this procedure is but a special case of the techniques of Sec. 8.3, of expansion of the unknown in terms of a complete set of eigenfunctions. If the eigenvalue has a continuous range of allowed values, then the expansion is an integral over the continuous allowed range of the eigenvalue, an integral representation instead of a series expansion. For example, the coefficient of the expansion of \(\psi(x)\) in terms of the eigenfunctions \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx}\) over the continuous range \(-\infty < k < \infty\) is just the Fourier transform of \(\psi\).

This technique is particularly valuable when the transformed integral equation reduces to just an algebraic equation, which occurs whenever the transformed kernel turns out to be diagonal. But a few examples will illustrate the point better than further general discussion. At first we shall not worry too much about fine points (such as whether the transform exists!); we shall return to these after the salient features of the technique are exemplified.

**The Fourier Transform and Kernels of Form \(v(x - x_0)\).** In the first place the range of values appropriate for Fourier transforms are the complete range \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\), so our investigation will first center on equations of the form (Fredholm equation of the second kind over \(-\infty < x < \infty\))

\[
\psi(x) = \varphi(x) + \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \quad (8.5.1)
\]

We now take the transform of both sides. Assuming that the transforms exist (we shall be more careful later; the present discussion will be
simplified in order to avoid clouding the central idea with details), we find

$$
\Psi(k) = \Phi(k) + \lambda \delta \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x|x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right]
$$

To evaluate the Fourier transform of the integral

$$
\mathcal{F} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x|x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \, dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x|x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0
$$

we express $\psi(x_0)$ in terms of $\Psi(k_0)$ by means of the inversion formula

$$
\psi(x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik_0x}\Psi(k_0) \, dk_0
$$

We may then write

$$
\mathcal{F} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x|x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(k|k_0)\Psi(k_0) \, dk_0
$$

where

$$
W(k|k_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ e^{-ik_0x}w(x|x_0)e^{ikx} \right] \tag{8.5.2}
$$

The transformed integral equation is

$$
\Psi(k) = \Phi(k) + \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(k|k_0)\Psi(k_0) \, dk_0 \tag{8.5.3}
$$

This transformation is of value if the new kernel is simpler than the old. This is often the case, for a rather complex function can often be represented as the Fourier integral of a comparatively simple functional form.

It is apparent from Eq. (8.5.3) that a form of $W(k|k_0)$ for which this integral equation is immediately solvable is

$$
W(k|k_0) = \sqrt{2\pi} V(k)\delta(k - k_0) \tag{8.5.4}
$$

where $\delta$ is the Dirac $\delta$ function. Then Eq. (8.5.3) becomes

$$
\Psi(k) = \Phi(k) + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k)\Psi(k)
$$

This is now a simple algebraic equation for $\Psi(k)$:

$$
\Psi(k) = \Phi(k)/[1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k)] \tag{8.5.5}
$$

We may now obtain $\psi(x)$ by employing the Fourier inversion formula.

The requirement that the transformed kernel be diagonal (i.e., be proportional to a delta function in $k - k_0$) imposes a certain restriction on the form of the original kernel $w(x|x_0)$. If we can learn to recognize kernels satisfying this restriction, we shall be able to recognize which integral equations are amenable to treatment by the Fourier transform. Equation (8.5.2) for the transformed kernel is a double Fourier trans-
form, applied to both coordinates $x$ and $x_0$; its inversion is

$$w(x|x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iux_0} W(k|k_0) e^{ikx} dk \, dk_0$$

Introducing the special form (8.5.4) into this, we obtain

$$w(x|x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(k) e^{-ikx} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx_0} \delta(x - k_0) \, dk_0$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(k) e^{ik(x-x_0)} \, dk = \nu(x - x_0)$$  (8.5.6)

if

$$\nu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(k) e^{-ikx} \, dk$$

where $\nu$ is the Fourier transform of $V$. Consequently, any Fredholm equation of the second kind (for the variable range $-\infty$ to $\infty$) may be simplified by use of the Fourier transform if the kernel is a function of the difference $(x - x_0)$, as stated in Eq. (8.5.6).

The Hankel Transform. If the range of the variables is from zero to infinity, we might try the Hankel transform [see Eq. (6.3.62)]:

$$3\mathcal{C}(f) = F(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) J_0(kx) x \, dx$$  (8.5.7)

with its inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} F(k) J_0(kx) k \, dk = 3\mathcal{C}(F)$$  (8.5.8)

If we apply the Hankel transform to the equation

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(x) + \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} w(x|x_0) \varphi(x_0) x_0 \, dx_0; \quad 0 \leq x < \infty$$

then

$$\Psi(k) = \Phi(k) + \lambda 3\mathcal{C} \left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} w(x|x_0) \varphi(x_0) x_0 \, dx_0 \right]$$

$$\varphi(k) = \nu(k) + \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} W(k|k_0) \nu(k_0) \, dk_0$$

where

$$W(k|k_0) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x \, dx \int_{0}^{\infty} x_0 \, dx_0 \left[ J_0(kx) w(x|x_0) J_0(k_0 x_0) \right]$$  (8.5.9)

The inversion of this equation gives

$$w(x|x_0) = \int_{0}^{\infty} k \, dk \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa \, dk_0 \left[ J_0(kx) W(k|k_0) J_0(k_0 x_0) \right]$$

As before, for this transform to be of decided aid to us, the transformed kernel should be diagonal:

$$W(k|k_0) = \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) V(k) \delta(k - k_0)$$
which results in a relationship limiting the form of the original kernel \( w \).

\[
w(x|x_0) = \int_0^\infty J_0(kx) V(k) J_0(kx_0) k \, dk \tag{8.5.10}
\]

This restriction on \( w \) cannot be expressed in so simple a form as was the analogue for the Fourier transform, so it is harder to recognize in a particular kernel. A couple of examples might be of interest:

(a) \( V(k) = e^{-ak} \); \( w(x|x_0) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \right)^{1/4} e^{-a^2 + x^2 + x_0^2} I_0 \left( \frac{x x_0}{2a^2} \right) \)

where \( I_0 \) is a Bessel function of imaginary argument.

(b) \( V(k) = e^{-ak} \); \( w(x|x_0) = (1/\pi \sqrt{xx_0}) Q_1 \left( \frac{x^2 + x_0^2 + a^2}{2xx_0} \right) \)

where \( Q_1 \) is the second solution of the Legendre equation [see Eq. (5.3.20)].

Turning to more general considerations, we note that the \( w(x|x_0) \) satisfying Eq. (8.5.10) is symmetric; i.e.,

\[
w(x|x_0) = w(x_0|x)
\]

To obtain more definite information we expand \( J_0(kx) \) in a power series:

\[
w(x|x_0) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{(m!)^2} \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{2m} \int_0^\infty k^{2m+1} V(k) J_0(kx_0) \, dk
\]

Now \( V(k) \) is the Hankel transform of \( v(x) \):

\[
v(x_0) = \int_0^\infty k V(k) J_0(kx_0) \, dk
\]

We may evaluate the more general integral in terms of \( v(x) \) by noting that

\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{d^2 x_0} + \frac{1}{x_0} \frac{d}{dx_0} \right] v = -\int_0^\infty k^2 V(k) J_0(kx_0) \, dk
\]

Hence

\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{d^2 x_0} + \frac{1}{x_0} \frac{d}{dx_0} \right]^m v = \int_0^\infty k^{2m+1} V(k) J_0(kx_0) \, dk
\]

and

\[
w(x|x_0) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{(m!)^2} \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{2m} \left[ \frac{d^2}{d^2 x_0} + \frac{1}{x_0} \frac{d}{dx_0} \right]^m v(x_0) \tag{8.5.11}
\]

We may therefore verify whether a given kernel is of the type whose Hankel transform is diagonal by seeing if \( w \) is symmetric in \( x \) and \( x_0 \) and if, upon expansion in a power series in \( x \), the coefficients follow the form (8.5.11).

The Kernel \( v(x - x_0) \) in the Infinite Domain. The Fourier transform of the solution of the Fredholm equation of the second kind (8.5.1)
with \( v(x|z_0) = v(x - z_0) \), as given by Eq. (3.5.5), may be obtained more directly by the falting theorem [Eq. (4.8.25)],

\[
\Psi \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x - z_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right] = \sqrt{2\pi} \, V(k) \psi(k)
\]

The Fourier transform of Eq. (8.5.1) is then

\[
\Psi(k) = \Psi(k) + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \psi(k)
\]

which may be solved for \( \psi(k) \) to obtain Eq. (8.5.5). This treatment is possible only if there is a region of the complex plane of \( k \) in which Eq. (8.5.12) holds.

In Sec. 4.8 we saw that the integrals representing Fourier transforms of most functions do not converge over the whole complex plane of \( k \). In most cases the transform is represented by the integral only in a band of the \( k \) plane parallel to the real \( k \) axis, extended from \( -\infty \) to \( +\infty \) with respect to the real part of \( k \) but bounded on the upper or lower side, or both, with respect to the imaginary part of \( k \). Within these bands the transform is analytic everywhere; the presence of a singularity sets the upper or lower bound of the band. Consequently we must take care, when we deal with an equation relating the Fourier transforms of several functions, that their bands of analyticity overlap.

As we have also seen in Sec. 4.8, the Fourier transform of a function \( \psi(x) \) may have two different forms in two different bands of the \( k \) plane. For example, if the integral \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x)e^{-\pi x} \, dx \) converges only for \( \tau \geq \tau_0 \), and if the integral \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x)e^{-\pi x} \, dx \) converges only for \( \tau \leq \tau_0 \), then we found we could express \( \psi \) as [see Eq. (4.8.19)]

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_+(k)e^{-i\pi x} \, dk + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_-(k)e^{-i\pi x} \, dk \right\}
\]

where \( \Phi_+ \) is analytic throughout the region \( \text{Im} \, k > \tau_0' \) and \( \Phi_- \) is analytic throughout the band \( \text{Im} \, k < \tau_1' \). In such a case it is desirable to have the band in which transform \( V \) is analytic overlap the region where both \( \Phi_+ \) and \( \Phi_- \) are analytic, so it is possible to extend Eq. (8.5.12) by analytic continuation.

Likewise the Fourier transform of the unknown \( \psi \) may have to be split into two functions: one, \( \Psi_+ \), analytic throughout the band \( \text{Im} \, k > \tau_0'' \) and the other, \( \Psi_- \), analytic for \( \text{Im} \, k < \tau_1'' \). What we have said in the preceding paragraph is that, if the transform \( V(k) \) is analytic throughout the band

\[
\tau_1''' < \text{Im} \, k < \tau_0'''
\]

then it is necessary that

\[
\tau_1'' < \tau_1' \text{ and } \tau_0''' > \tau_0' \text{ and } \tau_0''
\]
Under these conditions we may now apply inversion formula (8.5.13) to the entire integral equation, obtaining
\[
\int_{-\infty - i\tau_0}^{\infty - i\tau_0} \Psi_+(k)e^{-ikx} dk + \int_{-\infty - i\tau_0}^{\infty - i\tau_0} \Psi_-(k)e^{-ikx} dk = \int_{-\infty + i\tau_1}^{\infty + i\tau_1} \Phi_+(k)e^{-ikx} dk + \\
\int_{-\infty - i\tau_1}^{\infty - i\tau_1} \Phi_-(x)e^{-ikx} dk + \int_{-\infty - i\tau_0}^{\infty - i\tau_0} \Psi_+(x)[\sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(x)]e^{-ikx} dk + \\
\int_{-\infty - i\tau_1}^{\infty - i\tau_1} \Psi_-(x)[\sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(x)]e^{-ikx} dk
\]
or
\[
\int_{-\infty - i\tau_0}^{\infty - i\tau_0} \left[(1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V)\Psi_+ - \Phi_+\right]e^{-ikx} dk + \\
\int_{-\infty - i\tau_0}^{\infty - i\tau_0} \left[(1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V)\Psi_- - \Phi_-\right]e^{-ikx} dk = 0 \quad (8.5.15)
\]
Here \(\tau_0\) is greater than the maximum of \(r_0, r_0''\) but is less than \(r_0''\) while \(\tau_1\) is less than the minimum of \(r_1, r_1''\) but greater than \(r_1''\). Then the conditions of the theorem following Eq. (4.8.20) are met, and we may conclude that
\[
[(1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V)\Psi_+ - \Phi_+] + [(1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V)\Psi_- - \Phi_-] = 0
\]
Returning to this theorem, we note that not only is the sum of the two integrands equal to zero but each integrand separately must be analytic over the whole strip of analyticity. Thus integrand \([(1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V)\Psi_+ - \Phi_+]\) must be equal to some function \(S_+(x)\) which is analytic in the strip \(\tau_0 \leq \text{Im} \ k \leq \tau_1\) and goes to zero for \(|\text{Re} \ k| \to \infty\) sufficiently rapidly for the integrals to converge. In order that the sum of the integrands be zero, we must then have \([(1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V)\Psi_- - \Phi_-] = S_-(x) = -S_+(x)\). Consequently the equivalent of Eq. (8.5.15) is the pair of equations
\[
\Psi_+(x) = \frac{\Phi_+(x) + S_+(x)}{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(x)}; \quad \Psi_-(x) = \frac{\Phi_-(x) + S_-(x)}{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(x)} \quad (8.5.16)
\]
where \(S_+ = -S_-\) is analytic throughout the strip \(\tau_0 \leq \text{Im} \ k \leq \tau_1\). These reduce to Eq. (8.5.5) when \(r_0\) and \(r_1\) can be placed equal to zero, so that \(\Phi_+ = \Phi\) (in which case \(S_+\) must equal \(S_-\) and therefore both must be zero). We can now apply inversion formula (8.5.13) to obtain our solution
\[
\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+i\tau_1} \frac{\Phi_+e^{-ikx}}{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V} dk + \int_{-\infty}^{-i\tau_1} \frac{\Phi_-e^{-ikx}}{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V} dk + \\
\int \frac{S_+e^{-ikx}}{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V} dk \right\} \quad (8.5.17)
\]
The first two integrals are equivalent to the steady-state solution (particular solution) of an inhomogeneous differential equation, and the last
integral, which is along a closed contour within the strip of analyticity, is equivalent to the transient solution (complementary function) which remains when \( \varphi = 0 \).

The Homogeneous Equation. Let us first examine the complementary function, the solution of the homogeneous equation

\[
\psi(x) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x - x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \tag{8.5.18}
\]

The solution is, from (8.5.17),

\[
\psi_0(x) = \oint \frac{S_+ (k) e^{ikx}}{1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda V(k)}} \, dk \tag{8.5.19}
\]

where \( V(k) \) is the Fourier transform of \( v(x) \) and where the contour is a closed one within the strip of analyticity of \( V(k) \), \( r''_{1} < \text{Im} \, k < r''_{o} \), with \( S \) analytic everywhere within this strip. The integrand is thus zero unless \( |1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda V(k)}| \) has zeros or branch points within the strip.

Such behavior is not surprising, for we learned, in Sec. 8.2, that non-zero solutions of the homogeneous equation occur only for certain values of \( \lambda \), the eigenvalues. In the present case, as a matter of fact, continuous bands of values of \( \lambda \) are allowed because the infinite range of the integration makes this type of integral equation a singular case [see Eq. (8.2.55)]. All values of \( \lambda \) are allowed which cause one or more zeros of \( (1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda V}) \) to occur within the strip of analyticity. For most of the values of \( \lambda \) which do result in non-zero solutions these zeros of \( (1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda V}) \) will be simple ones (going to zero linearly with \( k - k_0 \)), so that the singularities of the integrand of Eq. (8.5.19) will usually be simple poles. Suppose that the poles within the strip are at \( k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_n \) (each of these are functions of \( \lambda \)), and suppose that the residue of the factor \( S_+ / (1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda V}) \) at \( k \) is \( A \sqrt{2\pi} \); then

\[
\psi_0(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} A_r e^{-ik_r x} \tag{8.5.20}
\]

(There may be, for some special values of \( \lambda \), zeros of \( 1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda V} \) of a higher order than the first, in which case terms of the sort \( B_r e^{ik_r x} \) will occur in the expression for \( \psi_0 \).) Actually the constants \( A_r \) are not yet determined, for \( S_+ \) is so far just any analytic function of \( k \). Their values are determined by initial or boundary conditions, just as with the usual complementary function for a differential equation. In some cases, ratios between some of the coefficients must be chosen so that the combination is a solution of the original integral equation; this can be done easily by substituting (8.5.20) into (8.5.18).

It might be instructive to verify that \( A_r e^{-ik_r x} \) is a solution of the homogeneous equation (8.5.18), by direct substitution.
\[
A e^{-ik_0 x} = \lambda A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(x - x_0) e^{-ik_0 x_0} \, dx_0
= \lambda A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(y) e^{-ik_0 (x-y)} \, dy
= A \sqrt{2\pi \lambda} V(k_x) e^{-ik_0 x}
\]
\[
1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda} V(k_x) = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.5.21)

if we remember that \(V(k)\) is the Fourier transform of \(v(x)\). The last equation is, of course, satisfied; \(k_x\) was originally defined as being a root of this equation.

An Example. Consider the integral equation
\[
\psi(x) = A e^{lx} + \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-l(x-x_0)} \psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.5.22)

We first consider the solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation
\[
\chi(x) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-l(x-x_0)} \chi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.5.23)

[as given by Eq. (8.5.20)]. For this purpose we need to find the zeros of
\[
1 - \lambda \sqrt{2\pi} V, \quad \text{where} \quad V \text{ is the transform of } e^{-lx}.
\]

This may be easily obtained:
\[
V(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} \, dk, \quad \text{or} \quad V(k) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{1 + k^2}
\]

where \(V(k)\) is analytic for the region \(|\text{Im } k| < 1\). We then obtain
\[
1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda} V = \frac{[k^2 - (2\lambda - 1)]}{1 + k^2}
\]

The zeros occur at \(k = \pm k_0\) where \(k_0 = \sqrt{2\lambda - 1}\). These zeros are simple. Hence the solutions of the homogeneous equation are
\[
\chi = e^{l x_0 x}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8.5.24)

These are solutions only if \(\lambda k_0\) is within the domain of regularity of \(V\), \(|\text{Im } k_0| < 1\), which corresponds to the requirement that \(\text{Re } \lambda < 2\).

These solutions may be verified directly by substitution in the original integral equation for \(x\) or by reducing the latter to a differential equation by differentiating the entire equation twice. We then obtain
\[
(d^2/\chi / dx^2) + k_0^2 \chi = 0
\]

We consider next the solution of the inhomogeneous equation as given by the first two terms of Eq. (8.5.17). For this purpose, \(\Phi_+\) and \(\Phi_-\) are required:
\[
\Phi_+ = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ax} \, dx = -\frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi} (a + ik)}; \quad \text{Im } k > \alpha
\]
\[
\Phi_- = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-ax} \, dx = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi} (ik - \alpha)}; \quad \text{Im } k < -\alpha
\]
The solution (8.5.17) is valid for only \( \alpha < 1 \). Then

\[
\psi(x) = A \left\{ - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + k^2)e^{i\zeta x}}{(k^2 - k_0^2)(k - i\alpha)} \, dk 
+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{(1 + k^2)e^{i\zeta x}}{(k^2 - k_0^2)(k + i\alpha)} \, dk \right\} \tag{8.5.25}
\]

where we may add any solution of the homogeneous equation, i.e., any linear combination of the two solutions given in Eq. (8.5.24). The integration limits satisfy the conditions \( \zeta'' < 1, \, \zeta'' > -1 \) as shown in Fig. 8.3. Consider two cases, \( x > 0 \), and \( x < 0 \). In the first case we can add on a semicircle in the lower half plane to the contour of each integral and so obtain a closed contour. The integrals may then be evaluated by an application of Cauchy’s integral formula. The second integral vanishes since all the singularities of its integrand occur outside the contour. The first term may be readily evaluated to yield

\[
\psi_+ = A \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 + k_0^2} e^{i\alpha x} + \frac{k_0^2 + 1}{2k_0(k_0 - i\alpha)} + \frac{(1 + k_0^2)e^{i\alpha x}}{2k_0(k_0 + i\alpha)} \right\} = A \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 + k_0^2} e^{i\alpha x} + \frac{k_0^2 + 1}{k_0 \sqrt{\alpha^2 + k_0^2}} \cos \left[ k_0 x - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{k_0} \right) \right] \right\}; \quad x > 0
\]

For \( x < 0 \), the semicircle now runs in the upper half plane, so that only the second integral contributes:

\[
\psi_- = A \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 + k_0^2} e^{i\alpha x} + \frac{k_0^2 + 1}{k_0 \sqrt{\alpha^2 + k_0^2}} \cos \left[ k_0 x + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{k_0} \right) \right] \right\}; \quad x < 0
\]

We may combine these two forms into

\[
\psi = A \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 + k_0^2} e^{i\alpha x} + \frac{k_0^2 + 1}{k_0 \sqrt{\alpha^2 + k_0^2}} \cos \left[ k_0 |x| - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{k_0} \right) \right] \right\} \tag{8.5.26}
\]
Function $\psi$ is clearly continuous at $x = 0$, having the value $A$ there; but it has a discontinuity in the first derivative. The discontinuity at $x = 0$ cannot be modified by adding solutions of the homogeneous equation. These can only modify the value of $\psi$ at $x = 0$. We note that the particular solution integrals of (8.5.25) bring in a certain amount of the complementary function $\chi$ enough to make $\psi(0)$ equal $A$.

The above analysis may be carried through as long as $V(k)$ is analytic in a strip including the real axis of $k$. The only possible obstacles are the evaluation of the transforms $\Phi_+$, $\Phi_-$, and $V$.

**Branch Points.** There is no great difficulty in extending these results to cases in which $V(k)$ has branch points present in the strip between the real axis of $k$. However, it is essential that a strip exists in which $V(k)$ and $\Phi_+$ are analytic, similarly for $V(k)$ and $\Phi_-$. If these conditions are satisfied it is only necessary to introduce branch lines and to make certain that in carrying out the details we do not integrate along contours which cross branch lines. This may cause some hardship in the evaluation of $\psi(x)$ from $\Phi_+$ and $\Phi_-$, since it is no longer possible to perform the entire inversion by means of the Cauchy integral formula. One always remains with integrals along the branch lines which may or may not be readily expressible in terms of elementary functions.

In this connection, it is important to remember that the Fourier transform contains information on the asymptotic behavior of $\psi(x)$. From the results on page 462 we know that

$$
\Psi_+(k) \xrightarrow{e \to \infty} -\frac{\psi_+(0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}(-ik)}; \quad k = \sigma + \mu \tau
$$

or

$$
-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}}k\Psi_+(k) \to \psi_+(0)
$$

In the example discussed above

$$
\Psi_+(k) \xrightarrow{e \to \infty} -(A/ik\sqrt{2\pi})
$$

Hence $\psi(0) = A$, in agreement with the result obtained from the complete solution (8.5.26).

**The Kernel $v(x + x_0)$ in the Infinite Domain.** The duality theorem may be applied here also. We may again represent $\phi(x)$ and $v(x)$ through inversion formula (8.5.13). The representation of

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x + x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0
$$

is obtained by changing the variable of integration from $x_0$ to $-\xi_0$ and then applying the duality theorem. We find that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x + x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty+i\tau_0}^{\infty+i\tau_0} \psi(-k)[\sqrt{2\pi}V(k)]e^{-ikx} \, dk
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty+i\tau_0}^{\infty+i\tau_0} \psi_+(-k)[\sqrt{2\pi}V(k)]e^{-ikx} \, dk
$$
Here the integrand of the first term, as well as \( \Phi_+(k) \) and \( \Psi_+(k) \), is presumed analytic for \( \text{Im } k > \tau_0 \) while the second integrand, \( \Phi_- \) and \( \Psi_- \), are analytic for \( \text{Im } k < \tau_0 \). Note that, if \( \Phi_+(k) \) is analytic for \( \text{Im } k > \tau_0^\prime \), then \( \Phi_+(-k) \) is analytic for \( \text{Im } k < \tau_0^\prime \). The equation corresponding to (8.5.15) is therefore

\[
\Psi_+(k) - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \Psi_-(k) - \Phi_+(k) + \Psi_-(k) - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \Psi_+(k) - \Phi_-(k) = 0
\]

Each term enclosed by the braces is analytic in a strip parallel to the real axis, as in (8.5.16). Dropping the terms \( S_+ \) and \( S_- \), which occurred in Eq. (8.5.16) and which we later realized involved solutions of the homogeneous equation, we may obtain particular solutions. Hence

\[
\Psi_+(k) - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \Psi_-(k) = \Phi_+(k)
\]

\[
\Psi_-(k) - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \Psi_+(k) = \Phi_-(k)
\]

In the second of these equations we replace \( k \) by \( -k \). This can be done only if \( \Phi_-(k) \) and \( V(-k) \) are analytic in the region where \( \Phi_+(k) \) and \( V(k) \) are both analytic. The second equation now reads

\[
\Psi_-(k) - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(-k) \Psi_+(k) = \Phi_-(k)
\]

We may then solve for \( \Psi_+(k) \):

\[
\Psi_+(k) = \frac{\Phi_-(k) + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \Phi_-(k)}{1 - 2\pi \lambda V(k) V(-k)} \quad (8.5.27)
\]

Similarly

\[
\Psi_-(k) = \frac{\Phi_-(k) + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \Phi_+(k)}{1 - 2\pi \lambda V(k) V(-k)} \quad (8.5.28)
\]

Formula (8.5.17) obtained for the kernel \( \psi(x - x_0) \) is now replaced by

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty + \imath k}^{\infty + \imath k} \left[ \Phi_+(k) + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \Phi_-(k) \right] e^{-\imath \alpha x} dk
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty + \imath k}^{\infty + \imath k} \left[ \Phi_-(k) + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) \Phi_+(k) \right] e^{-\imath \alpha x} dk \quad (8.5.29)
\]

The integrand of the first integral is analytic for \( \text{Im } k > \tau_0 \); the second for \( \text{Im } k < \tau_1 \).

The solution of the homogeneous equation may be obtained from (8.5.29) by replacing \( \Phi_+ \) by \( S_+ \), \( \Phi_- \) by \( S_- \) and finally by placing \( S_- = -S_+ \) so that

\[
\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \left[ S_+(k) - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k) S_-(k) \right] e^{-\imath \alpha x} dk
\]

where the contour is within the region in which \( V(k) \) and \( V(-k) \) are
analytic. By reversing the direction of integration on the second term \( \psi \) may be written as

\[
\psi = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int S_+(k) \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(-k)e^{2\pi i} k}{1 - 2\pi \lambda^2 V(k)V(-k)} \right] e^{-ikx} \, dk; \tag{8.5.30}
\]

Since \( S_+ \) and \( 1 + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(-k) \) are both analytic in the region within the contour, we may replace their product by one analytic function of \( k \). Hence the homogeneous solutions are given by

\[
\psi = \sum_{r,s} A_r e^{\alpha^r e^{ikx}} \tag{8.5.31}
\]

where it has been assumed that

\[
\frac{1}{1 - 2\pi \lambda^2 V(k)V(-k)} = \frac{b_s}{(k - k_r)^s} + \frac{b_{s-1}}{(k - k_r)^{s-1}} + \ldots
\]

The coefficients \( A_r \) are to be determined by initial or boundary conditions, though ratios between some of them must be adjusted to be consistent with the original integral equation.

**An Example.** To illustrate, consider the integral equation

\[
\psi(x) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\alpha x + i\beta x} \psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]

From the results obtained in the discussion of integral equation (8.5.22) we have

\[
V(k) = \sqrt{2k}/(1 + k^2); \quad \text{Im} k < 1
\]

\[
\Phi_+ = -1/\sqrt{2\pi} (\alpha + i\beta); \quad \text{Im} k > \alpha
\]

\[
\Phi_- = A/\sqrt{2\pi} (i\beta - \alpha); \quad \text{Im} k < -\alpha
\]

Consider the solutions of the homogeneous equations first. We look then at the roots of \( 1 - 2\pi \lambda^2 V(k)V(-k) = 0 \) which for this case are given by

\[
k = \pm k_0; \quad k_0 = \sqrt{2\lambda - 1}
\]

\[
k = \pm ik_1; \quad k_1 = \sqrt{2\lambda + 1}
\]

From (8.5.31) we may write the solution of the homogeneous equation as

\[
\psi = a_1 e^{-\alpha x} + a_2 e^{\alpha x} + b_1 e^{-kx} + b_2 e^{kx}
\]

It is now necessary to evaluate the ratios between coefficients of this expression by direct substitution in the integral equation. One obtains

\[
\psi(x) = \lambda \sqrt{2\pi} \left[ a_1 V(k_0)e^{ax} + a_2 V(-k_0)e^{-ax}ight]
\]

\[
+ b_1 V(-ik_1)e^{ix} + b_2 V(ik_1)e^{-ix}
\]

Equating coefficients of like exponentials yields the independent pair of simultaneous equations

\[
a_1 - \lambda \sqrt{2\pi} V(-k_0) a_2 = 0; \quad \lambda \sqrt{2\pi} V(k_0) a_1 - a_2 = 0
\]
and
\[ b_{1} - \lambda \sqrt{2\pi} V(ik_{1}) b_{2} = 0; \quad \lambda \sqrt{2\pi} V(-ik_{1}) b_{1} - b_{1} = 0 \]

The requirement for nonzero solutions, that the determinant of these equations vanish, leads to the equation employed above to determine \( k_{0} \) and \( k_{1} \) and is therefore automatically satisfied.

The ratio of the coefficients may now be found. For the \( k_{0} \) solutions it is
\[ a_{0}/a_{1} = \lambda \sqrt{2\pi} V(k_{0}) = 1 \]

Hence \( \psi = \cos k_{0}x \) is a solution of the homogeneous equation. Similarly \( \cosh k_{0}x \) is another independent solution.

Turning now to the inhomogeneous equation (8.5.20), we see that there is no essential difficulty in evaluating the integrals by applying Cauchy’s integral formula with a procedure completely analogous to that employed in example (8.5.22).

**Applications of the Laplace Transform.** As may be predicted from the above discussion, the Laplace transform may be most gainfully employed for integral equations whose kernels permit the application of the familiar theorem for the Laplace transformation:

\[ \mathcal{L} \left[ \int_{x_{0}}^{x} v(x - x_{0}) f(x_{0}) \, dx_{0} \right] = V(p)F(p) \]

where \( V(p) \) and \( F(p) \) are the Laplace transforms of \( v(x) \) and \( f(x) \), respectively. This suggests that we should consider Volterra integral equations

\[ f(x) = \varphi(x) + \int_{0}^{x} v(x - x_{0}) f(x_{0}) \, dx_{0}; \quad x > 0 \quad (8.5.32) \]

Examples of Volterra equations arising from the solution of vibration problems and from energy absorption of X rays in matter have been discussed on pages 905 to 907.

For the present let us consider the general situation. Take the Laplace transform of both sides of (8.5.32). Assume that the transform of \( \varphi \) is analytic for \( \text{Re} \, p > \gamma_{0} \) and that the region of analyticity of the transform of \( v \) has at least a strip, parallel to the imaginary axis of \( p \), in common with the band for \( \varphi \). In that strip,

\[ F(p) = \Phi(p) + V(p)F(p) \]

Solving for \( F(p) \), we have
\[ F(p) = \Phi(p)/[1 - V(p)] \quad (8.5.33) \]

Hence a particular solution of Eq. (8.5.32) is obtained by inverting the Laplace transform (Eq. (4.8.22))

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty + \epsilon}^{i\infty + \epsilon} \left[ \frac{\Phi(p)}{1 - V(p)} \right] e^{px} \, dp; \quad \text{Re} \, x > 0 \quad (8.5.34) \]
There are no nonzero solutions of the homogeneous Volterra equation, so that formula (8.5.34) gives the unique solution of Eq. (8.5.33).

As an example of this, we shall consider the Volterra integral equation resulting from the differential equation

\[(d^3\psi/dt^3) + k^2\psi = 0\]

initial conditions at \(t = 0\), \(\psi = \psi_0\), \(\partial\psi/\partial t = v_0\). The equivalent integral equation is given in Eq. (8.1.23):

\[\psi(t) = \psi_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\partial G(t,t_0)}{\partial t_0} \, dt_0 - v_0 G(t,0) + k^2 \int_{t_0}^{t} G(t,t_0) \psi(t_0) \, dt_0\]

The Green's function \(G\) is determined by

\[\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = -\delta(t - t_0); \quad G(t,t_0) = 0; \quad t < t_0\]

The equations may be readily solved:

\[G(t,t_0) = (t - t_0)u(t - t_0)\]

where \(u(t)\) is the unit function (see page 840). Substituting this result for \(G\), the integral equation becomes

\[\psi(t) = \psi_0 + v_0 t + k^2 \int_{t_0}^{t} (t_0 - t) \cdot \psi(t_0) \, dt_0; \quad t > 0\]

This is now in proper form to apply the preceding discussion:

\[\begin{align*}
\varphi(t) &= \psi_0 + v_0 t; \quad \varphi(p) = (\psi_0/p) + (v_0/p^2); \quad \text{Re} \, p > 0 \\
v(t - t_0) &= k^2(t - t_0); \quad V(p) = -k^2/p^2; \quad \text{Re} \, p > 0
\end{align*}\]

Substituting in solution (8.5.34) one obtains

\[\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} e^{\pi i + \pi} \left[ \frac{v_0 + p\psi_0}{p^2 + k^2} \right] e^{\pi i / 2} \, dp; \quad \tau_0 > 0\]

We may evaluate this integral by adding on an infinite semicircle, as indicated in Fig. 8.4, and then applying Cauchy's integral formula. We, of course, obtain the familiar result

\[\psi = \psi_0 \cos(kt) + (v_0/k) \sin(kt)\]

Volterra Integral Equation, Limits \((x, \infty)\). The Laplace transform may also be applied to integral equations of the following form:

\[\psi(x) = \varphi(x) + \int_{x}^{\infty} v(x - x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0\]  (8.5.35)
Integral equations of this type occur in transport problems where \( x \) may be the energy after a collision and \( x_0 \) the energy before collision (see Secs. 2.4 and 12.2). For collisions with fixed systems with no internal degree of freedom, \( x_0 \geq x \); that is, the collisions always result in a loss of energy of the incident particle.

To solve Eq. (8.5.35) by application of the Laplace transform it is necessary to develop a faltung theorem for the form

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x - x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]

We start from the faltung theorem for Fourier transforms:

\[
\mathcal{F} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x - x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right\} = \sqrt{2\pi} G_f(k) \Phi_f(k)
\]

Now let \( g(x) = v_-(x) \), that is, equal \( v(x) \) for \( x < 0 \) and equal zero for \( x > 0 \); similarly let \( \phi(x) = \psi_+(x) \), that is, \( \psi(x) \) for \( x > 0 \) and zero for \( x < 0 \). Then the above equation becomes

\[
\mathcal{F} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x - x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right\} = \sqrt{2\pi} [V_-(k)]_f \psi_+(k)_f
\]

To convert the Fourier into a Laplace transform, we recall that \( F_k(p) = \sqrt{2\pi} F^+(ip)_f \). Hence

\[
\mathcal{L} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x - x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right\} = \sqrt{2\pi} [V_-(ip)]_f \psi_+(p)_f
\]

We can express \( [\sqrt{2\pi} V_-(ip)]_f \) in terms of a Laplace transform:

\[
[\sqrt{2\pi} V_-(ip)]_f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x)e^{-px} \, dx; \quad [\sqrt{2\pi} V_-(ip)]_f = \int_{0}^{\infty} v(-x)e^{px} \, dx
\]

If we therefore let \( v(-x) = w(x) \), then

\[
[V_-(ip)]_f = W_i(-p)
\]

Finally

\[
\mathcal{L} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x - x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \right\} = W_i(-p)\psi_i(p)
\] (8.5.36)

We may now return to integral equation (8.5.35). Taking the Laplace transform of both sides (we shall dispense with subscript \( l \) from here on, since we shall be dealing with Laplace transforms only), one obtains

\[
\psi_i(p) = \Phi_i(p) + W(-p)\psi_i(p)
\]

or

\[
\psi_i(p) = \frac{\Phi_i(p)}{1 - W_i(-p)}
\] (8.5.37)

Finally

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty + i \infty}^{\infty + i \infty} \left[ \frac{\Phi_i(p)}{1 - W_i(-p)} \right] e^{px} \, dp
\] (8.5.38)
is a particular solution of integral equation (8.5.35). It should be emphasized that, for solution (8.5.37) or (8.5.38) to be meaningful, it is necessary for the regions of analyticity of \( W(-p) \) and \( \Phi(p) \) to overlap. As has been mentioned before, if this occurs for only certain range of the parameters in either \( \Phi \) or \( W \), it may be possible to extend the range by analytic continuation.

As an example for this case let

\[
\phi(x) = C; \quad \psi(x) = Ae^{mx}; \quad A, \alpha \text{ real and positive}
\]

so that (8.4.35) is

\[
\phi(x) = C + \int_x^\infty e^{m(x-x_0)} \psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]

We now apply the Laplace transform [though before we carry through the analysis we should point out that for this particular \( \psi(x) \), the integral equation may be reduced to a first-order differential equation which can be easily solved]. To fill in formula (8.5.38), \( \Phi(p) \) and \( W(-p) \) are required:

\[
\Phi(p) = C/p; \quad \Re p > 0
\]

\[
W(-p) = \int_0^\infty e^{p(-x)} \, dx = A \int_0^\infty e^{(p-\alpha)x} \, dx
\]

\[
= \frac{A}{\alpha - p}; \quad \Re p < \alpha
\]

Note that \( W(-p) \) and \( \Phi(p) \) are analytic in a common strip only if \( \alpha > 0 \). Equation (8.5.38) becomes

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\tau_0}^{i\tau_0} \left\{ \frac{C(p-\alpha)}{p[p-(\alpha-A)]} \right\} e^{px} \, dp
\]

where \( 0 < \tau_0 < \alpha \). We again close the contour by adding a semicircle extending around the left-hand half plane of \( p \) as in Fig. 8.4 above.

Then the integral for \( \psi \) may be evaluated by the Cauchy integral formula, there being simple poles at \( p = 0 \) and \( p = \alpha - A \) (if \( \tau_0 \) is taken greater than \( \alpha - A \)). This arbitrariness concerning the residue at \( \alpha - A \), which may be included or not as desired, corresponds to the arbitrariness of the complementary function, a solution of the homogeneous equation, which in this case is proportional to the difference of two particular solutions of the inhomogeneous linear equation. We obtain

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{C\alpha}{\alpha - A} - \frac{CA}{\alpha - A} e^{(\alpha-A)x}
\]

The first term is a particular solution which represents the "steady state" induced by the "source" term \( C \) if \( \alpha < A \). The second term indicates that the solution of the homogeneous equation, obtained by placing \( C = 0 \), is proportional to \( e^{(\alpha-A)x} \). We note the homogeneous term again filling the familiar role of the transient.
**Integral Equations**

Mellin Transform. We commence this subsection by recalling the definition and inversion formula as given in Chap. 4 and Prob. (4.48). The Mellin transform of \( f(x) \) is given by

\[
F(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1} \, dx
\]

If this should not exist, then it is often possible to introduce the "half-plane" transforms equivalent to those introduced in Fourier transform theory:

\[
F_- (s) = \int_0^1 f(x)x^{s-1} \, dx; \quad F_+ (s) = \int_1^\infty f(x)x^{s-1} \, dx \tag{8.5.39}
\]

\( F_- \) exists for \( \text{Re} \, s > \sigma_0 \) whereas \( F_+ \) exists for \( \text{Re} \, s < \sigma_1 \). In the event that \( F(s) \) does not exist, \( \sigma_0 > \sigma_1 \), while the opposite inequality holds if \( f \) does exist.

The inversion formula may accordingly be written

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{-i\sigma_0 + \epsilon i}^{i\sigma_1 + \epsilon i} \left[ F_-(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right] ds + \int_{-i\sigma_1 - \epsilon i}^{-i\sigma_0 + \epsilon i} \left[ F_+(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right] ds \right\} \tag{8.5.40}
\]

where \( \sigma_0 > \sigma_0 \) and \( \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 \). The faltung theorem is

\[
\mathfrak{M} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi(x_0) \psi \left( \frac{x_0}{x_0} \right) \left( \frac{dx_0}{x_0} \right) \right\} = V(s) \Psi(s) \tag{8.5.41}
\]

suggesting that the Mellin transform may be gainfully employed in solving integral equations of the following type:

\[
\psi(x) = \varphi(x) + \int_0^\infty v \left( \frac{z}{x_0} \right) \psi(x_0) \left( \frac{dx_0}{x_0} \right) \tag{8.5.42}
\]

The analysis leading to the solution of this equation is so completely similar to the corresponding Fourier integral treatment [leading to solution (8.5.17)] that we give only the results here:

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{-i\sigma_0 + \epsilon i}^{i\sigma_1 + \epsilon i} \left[ \frac{\Phi_-(s)}{1 - \frac{1}{x^s}} \right] ds + \int_{-i\sigma_1 - \epsilon i}^{-i\sigma_0 + \epsilon i} \left[ \frac{\Phi_+(s)}{1 - \frac{1}{x^s}} \right] ds \right\} + \oint \left[ \frac{S}{1 - \frac{1}{x^s}} \frac{ds}{x^s} \right] \tag{8.5.43}
\]

where the contour integral on \( S \) is within the region \( \sigma_0 < \text{Re} \, p < \sigma_1 \), within which \( S \) must be analytic. The solution \( \chi \) of the homogeneous counterpart of (8.5.42) is given just by the contour integral. If the zeros of \( 1 - V \) occur at \( s_r \), and if these zeros are of order \( r \), then

\[
\chi = 2B_\nu (\ln x)^{r-1} x^{-s}
\]

where \( B_\nu \) are arbitrary constants.
As an example of the use of the Mellin transform, we set
\[ \varphi(x) = Ax^{-s}; \quad y(x/z_0) = C e^{-x z_0}, \]
so that integral equation (8.5.42) becomes
\[ \psi(x) = Ax^{-s} + C \int_0^z e^{-x/z_0} \psi(x_0) \left( \frac{dx_0}{x_0} \right) \]

The necessary Mellin transforms are
\[ \Phi(s) = A [\Gamma(s)/\alpha^s]; \quad \Re s > 0 \]
\[ \Psi(s) = C \Gamma(s); \quad \Re s > 0 \]

We have not made any decomposition of \( \Phi \) into \( \Phi_+ \) and \( \Phi_- \), since the regions of regularity of \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) are identical.

Consider first the solutions of the homogeneous equation. Following the recipe given by Eq. (8.5.44) we discover that
\[ x = \sum_r B_r x^{-s_r} \]
where \( s_r \) are the roots of the equation
\[ \Gamma(s_r) = 1/C \]
The zeros of \( \Gamma(s) - (1/C) \) are of order 1, there are an infinite number of solutions.

The inhomogeneous equation has the particular solution
\[ \psi(x) = A \int_{-i=+s_0}^{i=+s_0} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(s)}{1 - C \Gamma(s)} \right] \frac{ds}{(ax)^s}; \quad s_0 > 0 \]
This integral may be evaluated by use of the Cauchy integral formula. For \( ax > 1 \), the contour is closed by a semicircle in the right-hand half plane. In that event, the only singularity of the integrand occurs at \( s_0 \) for which \( 1 - C \Gamma(s_0) = 0 \). Then
\[ \psi(x) = \frac{A}{C(ax)^s} \psi(s_0); \quad ax > 1 \]
where \( \psi(s_0) \) is the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function at \( s_0 \).
For \( ax < 0 \) the singularities occur at all the negative roots of \( 1 - C \Gamma(s) = 0 \), so that
\[ \psi(x) = -\frac{A}{C} \sum_{s=1}^\infty \frac{1}{(ax)^s} \psi(s_0); \quad ax < 1 \]
[Note that these results for \( \psi \) are not solutions of the homogeneous equation as given in Eq. (8.5.45).] The series for \( \psi \) in the range \( (ax) < 1 \)
converges very well, since \( s \) is a sequence of negative numbers whose absolute value increases with \( r \).

**The Method of Wiener and Hopf.** It is possible to extend the class of integral equations which can be solved through the use of Fourier transforms so as to include the following type:

\[
\psi(x) = \lambda \int_0^\infty v(x - x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]

(8.5.48)

as well as its inhomogeneous counterparts of both the first and second kind. It is important to realize that the above equation is presumed to hold for all real values of \( x \), both positive and negative. To make this point more obvious in the writing of the integral equation, let us introduce the functions \( \psi_+ \) and \( \psi_- \) with the usual definitions:

\[
\psi_+(x) = \psi(x); \quad x > 0 \\
\psi_-(x) = \psi(x); \quad x < 0
\]

Then Eq. (8.4.48) is

\[
\psi_+(x) + \psi_-(x) = \lambda \int_0^\infty v(x - x_0)\psi_+(x_0) \, dx_0
\]

\[= \lambda \int_{-\infty}^\infty v(x - x_0)\psi_+(x_0) \, dx_0 \quad (8.5.49)\]

In other words, it is possible to express both \( \psi_- \) and \( \psi_+ \) in terms of \( \psi_+ \):

\[
\psi_-(x) = \lambda \int_0^\infty v(x - x_0)\psi_+(x_0) \, dx_0; \quad x < 0 \\
\psi_+(x) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^0 v(x - x_0)\psi_+(x_0) \, dx_0; \quad x > 0 
\]

(8.5.50)

Integral equations of this type, called the Wiener-Hopf type, occur whenever we are dealing with boundary value problems where the boundaries are semi-infinite rather than infinite, the latter giving rise to integral equations discussed earlier in this section. The problem of diffraction of waves by a half plane furnishes us with an example; others will be considered in Chaps. 11 and 12.

In our discussion of the Wiener-Hopf solution, we shall concentrate on the formal technique employed and the conditions under which these manipulations are valid, concluding with illustrations. We shall do this without detailed, rigorous proofs; most of the elements of the proofs have been discussed in Chap. 4. The additional few required are performed established in the course of solving the problem.

As in the discussion given for the problems treated earlier in this section, we assume that the Fourier transform \( v(x) \to V(k) \) is regular in the region

\[-\tau_1 < \text{Im} \, k < \tau_0\]
a condition employed in Eq. (8.5.14). This corresponds to the asymptotic dependence of \( v(x) \) given by

\[
v(\omega) \xrightarrow{\omega \to \infty} c^{-\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad v(\omega) \xrightarrow{\omega \to -\infty} e^{\omega}
\]

We look for solutions of Eq. (8.5.48) which behave like \( e^{\omega} \) for \( x \to \infty \) (where \( \mu < -\tau_0 \)). This condition in parentheses is necessary for the convergence of the integral in the integral equation. We may determine the asymptotic dependence of \( \psi_- \) directly from Eq. (8.5.50).

\[
\psi_- \xrightarrow{x \to -\infty} \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{i(x-x_0)} \varphi_+(x_0) dx_0 = \lambda e^{\omega} \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega} \varphi_+(x_0) dx_0 \right]
\]

Consequently it is necessary that \( \mu < \tau_0 \) and that \( \psi_- \) behave like \( e^{\omega} \) for \( x \to -\infty \). The band of regularity of \( \psi_+ \) is therefore for \( \text{Im } k > \mu \), while for \( \psi_- \) the band is for \( \text{Im } k < \tau_0 \). These are illustrated in Fig. 8.5, where it is seen that there is a strip \( \mu < \text{Im } k < \tau_0 \) wherein all the relevant transforms, \( V, \psi_+, \) and \( \psi_- \), are regular. This result is, as we shall see, fundamental in the Wiener-Hopf technique.

The transform of expression (8.5.49) may now be taken, employing the faltung theorem:

\[
\psi_+ + \psi_- = \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V \psi_+ \quad \text{or} \quad \psi_+(1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V) + \psi_- = 0 \quad (8.5.51)
\]

It is clear that some added information must be brought to bear on this equation before \( \psi_+ \) and \( \psi_- \) can be independently determined. This is provided by the method of factorization as applied to the function \( (1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V) \). This quantity is regular in the strip \( -\tau_1 < \text{Im } k < \tau_0 \). We now seek to break it up into factors \( \mathcal{T}_+ \) and \( \mathcal{T}_- \) such that

\[
1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V = \mathcal{T}_+(k) / \mathcal{T}_-(k) \quad (8.5.52)
\]
These factors are to be regular and free of zeros in the half planes \( \text{Im} \, k > \mu \) and \( \text{Im} \, k < \tau_0 \), respectively. It is usual in addition to require that \( \mathcal{T}_+ \) and \( \mathcal{T}_- \) have algebraic growth, as compared with exponential growth. That this is possible is shown by Wiener and Hopf in their original memoir.

In any given problem this factorization must be carried out explicitly. Assuming Eq. (8.5.52), we may rewrite the equation for \( \Psi_+ \) and \( \Psi_- \) (8.5.51), as follows:

\[
\Psi_+ \mathcal{T}_+ = -\Psi_- \mathcal{T}_- 
\]

The left-hand side of this equation is regular in the region \( \text{Im} \, k > \mu \), while the left-hand side is regular for \( \text{Im} \, k < \tau_0 \). Since they have a common region of regularity \( \tau_0 > \text{Im} \, k > \mu \), in which they are equal, we may assert that \(-\Psi_- \mathcal{T}_-\) is the analytic continuation of \( \Psi_+ \mathcal{T}_+\) in the lower half plane. Hence \( \Psi_+ \mathcal{T}_+ \) is regular throughout the entire complex plane and is therefore an entire function which we shall call \( P(k) \).

This whole discussion, together with Eq. (8.5.52), is, of course, not definite enough to determine the form of \( \Psi_+ \mathcal{T}_+ \); this reveals itself through its behavior for large \( k \). Note that \( \mathcal{T}_+ \) has already been chosen to have algebraic growth; i.e. it behaves like a polynomial for large \( k \). The behavior of \( \Psi_+ \) for large \( k \) is determined by the behavior of \( \psi_+(x) \) as \( x \to 0^+ \). The condition that \( \psi_+(x) \) be integrable at the origin, necessary for the existence of \( \Psi_+ \), leads to the asymptotic dependence

\[
\Psi_+(k) \underset{|k| \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
\]

We therefore observe that \( P(k) \) is a polynomial of degree less than \( \mathcal{T}_+ \) (since \( P/\mathcal{T}_+ \) approaches zero for large \( |k| \)). This fixes the form of \( P(k) \); the undetermined constants may be obtained by substitution into the original equation (8.5.48).

Equation (8.5.53) may now be solved for \( \Psi_+ \) and \( \Psi_- \):

\[
\Psi_+ = P(k)/\mathcal{T}_+(k); \quad \Psi_- = -P(k)/\mathcal{T}_-(k) 
\]

The inversion formula is

\[
\psi_+(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{P(k)}{\mathcal{T}_+(k)} \right] e^{ikx} \, dk; \quad \mu < \tau < \tau_0 
\]

From \( \psi_+ \) one may determine \( \psi_- \) by substitution in (8.5.50) or more directly

\[
\psi_-(x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{P(k)}{\mathcal{T}_-(k)} \right] e^{ikx} \, dk; \quad \mu < \tau < \tau_0 
\]

This completes the solution of the Wiener-Hopf integral equation (8.5.48). We shall now illustrate this discussion with some examples.
Illustrations of the Method. A very simple example is furnished by the integral equation

$$\psi(x) = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-ixx} \psi(x) \, dx$$

The transform of $e^{-ixl}$, $V(k)$ is

$$V(k) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi} \left(1 + k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}; \quad \tau_0 = \tau_1 = 1$$

The quantity which must be factored into $T_+$ and $T_-$ of Eq. (8.5.52) is

$$1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V = \left[\frac{k^2 - (2\lambda - 1)}{k^2 + 1}\right] \left[\frac{1}{k + i}\right]$$

The factorization may be performed by inspection:

$$1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V = \frac{k^2 - (2\lambda - 1)}{k + i}; \quad T_+ = (k + i)$$

so that

$$T_+ = (k^2 - (2\lambda - 1))/(k + i)$$

The first of these, $T_+$, is clearly regular and free of zeros for $\text{Im} \, k > \mu$, where $\mu$ is less than $\tau_0 = 1$, while $T_-$ is regular and free of zeros for $\text{Im} \, k < \tau_0$, as long as $\text{Re} \, \lambda > 0$. Hence

$$P(k) = \psi_+(k)(k^2 - (2\lambda - 1))/(k + i) = -(k - i)\psi_-(k)$$

The function $P(k)$ is determined from the condition that it must be regular in the finite complex plane of $k$, while $\psi_+(k) \to 0$ as $|k| \to \infty$. From this it follows that $P(k)$ for this example must be a constant $C$. It cannot increase as rapidly as $k$, for this would imply $\psi_+(k) \to 1$. It cannot decrease more rapidly than a constant, for this would imply a singularity (pole or branch point) in the finite complex plane. We may now solve for $\psi_+$ and $\psi_-:

$$\psi_+ = C(k + i)/(k^2 - (2\lambda - 1)); \quad \psi_- = -C/(k - i)$$

and

$$\psi_+ = C \int \frac{1}{k + i} \left[\frac{1}{k^2 - (2\lambda - 1)}\right] e^{-ix} \, dx$$

Since, in this expression, $x > 0$, we may close the contour with a semicircle in the lower half plane. Employing Cauchy’s formula one obtains

$$\psi_+ = -\frac{C}{4\pi i} \left\{ e^{-i\sqrt{2\lambda - 1} i} + e^{i\sqrt{2\lambda - 1} i} \right\}$$

$$= D \left[\cos(\sqrt{2\lambda - 1} x) + \sin(\sqrt{2\lambda - 1} x)/(\sqrt{2\lambda - 1})\right]; \quad x > 0$$

(8.5.57)

where $D$ is a new constant. In the same manner $\psi_-$ may be evaluated:

$$\psi_- = De^x; \quad x < 0$$

(8.5.58)
It was, of course, not necessary to employ the Wiener-Hopf technique to solve this integral equation. One may easily verify from the integral equation directly that, for \( x > 0 \), \( \psi = \psi_+ \) satisfies the differential equation
\[
\psi'' + (2\lambda - 1)\psi = 0; \quad x > 0
\]
For \( x < 0 \), \( \psi = \psi_- \) is given by
\[
\psi_- = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-|x-x_0|} \psi_+(x_0) \, dx_0 \quad \text{or} \quad \psi_- = \lambda e^x \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \psi_+(x_0) \, dx_0
\]
This is obviously in the form given by (8.5.58). The solution given in Eqs. (8.5.57) and (8.5.58) is that one which is continuous and has a continuous slope at \( x = 0 \).

This factorization is not so mysterious as it might seem. In the first place it is not necessarily unique, since the requirements on \( P = \psi_+ \, T_+ = -\psi_- \, T_- \) and on the asymptotic form of \( \Psi \) are not completely rigid. It turns out, however, that the interrelations between \( P \) and the \( T \)'s and the \( \psi \)'s are such that the final solutions come out the same, no matter which choice is made. In many cases the factorization is unique. It is in the example just given. For instance, we might have tried
\[
T_+ = [(k^2 - (2\lambda - 1))/(k + i)](k - \beta); \quad T_- = (k - i)(k - \beta)
\]
If \( T_+ \) is to have no zeros in the range \( \text{Im} \, k > \mu < 1 \), we must have \( \text{Im} \, \beta < \mu < 1 \); but in this case \( T_- \) would be zero at \( k = \beta \), which would be in the region where \( T_- \) is not supposed to have zeros. Or we might try
\[
T_+ = [(k^2 - 2\lambda + 1)/(k + i)](k - \beta); \quad T_- = (k - i)/(k - \beta)
\]
but this would put a pole in an undesirable region of the \( k \) plane. Consequently the only choice which keeps the zeros and poles of \( T_+ \) below \( i \) and those for \( T_- \) above \( i \) is the one given, if we are to restrict our choice to functions which go to infinity with a finite power of \( k \) as \( |k| \to \infty \).

As a second example, we turn to a problem considered by A. E. Heins:
\[
\psi(x) = \lambda \int_0^\infty \frac{\psi(x_0) \, dx_0}{\cosh[\frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)]}
\]
The Fourier transform of \( \text{sech}[\frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)] \) may be readily obtained by contour integration.

The function to be factored is
\[
1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V = 1 - \frac{\lambda \pi}{\cosh(\pi k)} = \frac{\cosh(\pi k) - \lambda \pi}{\cosh(\pi k)}
\]
Let \( \cos(\pi \alpha) = \lambda \pi \) where \( |\alpha| < \frac{1}{2} \); then
\[
\cosh(\pi k) - \cos(\pi \alpha) = 2 \sin[\frac{1}{2}\pi(\alpha + ik)] \sin[\frac{1}{2}\pi(\alpha - ik)]
\]
These factors may in turn be expressed directly in terms of their zeros by means of their infinite product representations [Eq. (4.3.8)] or equivalently in terms of \( \Gamma \) functions from the relation [Eq. (4.5.33)]

\[
\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1 - \zeta) - \pi \csc(\pi \zeta)
\]

so that

\[
\sin\left[ \pi \left( \frac{\alpha + ik}{2} \right) \right] = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}k\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2}k\right)}
\]

and similarly

\[
\sin\left[ \pi \left( \frac{\alpha - ik}{2} \right) \right] = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2}k\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}k\right)}
\]

Also

\[
\cosh(\pi k) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2}k\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}k\right)}
\]

Consequently

\[
[1 - \sqrt{2\pi \lambda W}] = \frac{2\pi \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - ik\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + ik\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha + ik}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha - ik}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha + ik}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha - ik}{2}\right)}
\]

From this we can write down, with some arbitrariness,

\[
T_+ = \frac{\pi \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - ik\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha + ik}{2}\right)e^{\chi(k)}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha - ik}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha + ik}{2}\right)}
\]

\[
T_- = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha + ik}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha - ik}{2}\right)e^{\chi(k)}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + ik\right)}
\]

The function \( \chi(k) \) is determined by the requirement that \( T_+ \) and \( T_- \) be of algebraic growth for large values of \( k \). To examine the behavior for large \( k \) we employ Stirling's theorem:

\[
\ln(\Gamma(z)) \xrightarrow{z \to \infty} [z - \frac{1}{2}] \ln z - z + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi)
\]

Then

\[
\ln(T_+) \xrightarrow{|k| \to \infty} \chi - ik \ln 2 + \ln(i k) + \cdots
\]

In order that \( T_+ \) behave like a polynomial for large \( |k| \), we must choose \( \chi = ik \ln 2 \), in which case we have

\[
T_+ \xrightarrow{|k| \to \infty} ik
\]

Of course this holds only where \( T_+ \) is regular.

We may now determine \( P(k) \). Since \( \Psi_+ \xrightarrow{|k| \to \infty} 0 \), it follows from the regularity of \( P(k) = -\Psi_- T_- = \Psi_+ T_+ \) that \( P(k) \) is a constant which we shall call \( C \), which thus determines function \( \Psi_+ \):

\[
\Psi_+ = \frac{C}{T_+} \frac{\cosh(\pi k)}{\cosh(\pi k) - \cos(\pi \alpha)}
\]
The latter form will prove to be more useful in the present problem. The function \( \psi_+(x) \) is
\[
\psi_+(x) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} \frac{\cosh(\pi k)}{\cosh(\pi k) - \cos(\pi \alpha)} \frac{dk}{T_-.}
\]
For \( x > 0 \), we may close the contour in the lower half plane where \( T_- \) is regular. The poles of the integrand occur then only at the zeros of \( \cosh(\pi k) - \cos(\pi \alpha) \) which are at \( -ik = -2n + \alpha, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) Therefore
\[
\psi_+(x) = C' \left[ \cot(\pi \alpha) \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{e^{-(2n+\alpha)x}}{\Gamma(-2n + \alpha) i} - \frac{e^{-(2n-\alpha)x}}{\Gamma(-2n - \alpha) i} \right\}
\]
where \( C' \) is a new constant. Substituting for \( T_- \), \( \psi_+ \) becomes
\[
\psi_+ = C' \left[ \cot(\pi \alpha) \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + 2n)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha + n)} \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2n+\alpha)}{n!} \right\}
\]
This may be reduced to a linear combination of hypergeometric functions of \( e^{2x} \) by use of the duplication formula for the gamma function
\[
\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2x) = 2^{2x-1} \Gamma(x) \Gamma(x + \frac{1}{2})
\]
Hence
\[
\psi_+ = C'' \left[ \cot(\pi \alpha) \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha + n)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha + n)} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \pi x}}{n!} - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha + n)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha + n)} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \pi x}}{n!} \right\}
\]
where \( C'' \) is still another constant. In terms of the hypergeometric function
\[
\psi_+ = C'' \left[ \cot(\pi \alpha) \right] \cdot \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \frac{e^{-\alpha \pi x}}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha + n)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha + n)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha + n)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha + n)} \right\}
\]
This may in turn be expressed in terms of \( Q_{\alpha-4} \), \( Q_{\alpha-4} \) the second solution of the Legendre differential equation. We have
\[
Q_{\alpha-4}(e^x) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha)}{2^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(1 + \alpha)} e^{-\pi(1+\alpha)F(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha)1 + \alpha |e^{-2x}|}
\]
§6.5  Fourier transforms

Using the duplication formula for gamma functions,

$$\psi_+ = C^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\cot(\pi \alpha)}{\pi} \right] e^{\lambda \psi} \left[ Q_{\alpha-4}(e^\lambda) - Q_{\alpha-4}(e^{-\lambda}) \right]$$  (8.5.59)

Finally from the relation between Legendre functions of the first and second kind,

$$P_\beta = \left[ \frac{\tan(\pi \beta)}{\pi} \right] [Q_\beta - Q_{\beta-1}]$$

we have

$$\psi_+ = -C^{\infty} e^{\lambda \psi} \psi_{\alpha-4}(e^\lambda); \quad x > 0$$  (8.5.60)

We have thus been able to express the solution of the integral equation for $x > 0$ in terms of hypergeometric functions or Legendre functions which when combined with connection formulas (see tables at the end of Chap. 5) are sufficient for the representation of $\psi$ for all values of $x$.

The Milne Problem. From the preceding examples, it should be apparent that the factorization of the expression $[1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V]$ is the only step in the Wiener-Hopf procedure which may offer an essential difficulty. In the above problems, this factorization was performed by inspection (after some juggling!). The problem we are to discuss now involves a kernel whose Fourier transform $V$ has a branch point, making factorization by inspection well nigh impossible. We shall now see how this difficulty can be surmounted in a manner which is completely general but consequently cumbersome. The problem, the Milne problem, arises in the passage of radiation (or any other velocity-independent set of particles such as slow neutrons) through a nonabsorbing homogeneous medium in which the radiation is scattered isotropically (see Sec. 2.4). The medium is taken to be semi-infinite in extent, and we consider the situation in which the distribution function $f$ [see Eqs. (8.1.9)] is independent of $(x,y)$, as well as of $|p|$, the momentum.

Under these assumptions, Eq. (8.1.2) becomes

$$v \cos \theta \left( \frac{df}{d\sigma} \right) = -P_r f + P_T \int f(z,\theta) \left( \frac{d\sigma}{4\pi} \right)$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between the direction of motion of the particle and the $z$ axis. We may perform the integration over the spherical angle $\varphi_0$. In addition, it is convenient to introduce the variables

$$\xi = \frac{z}{v \cos \theta}; \quad \mu = \cos \sigma$$

Note that $P_r = N\sigma$ where $N$ equals the (number of atoms/volume) while $\sigma$ is the total scattering cross section. The equation is then [see Eq. (2.4.16)]

$$\mu \left[ \frac{\partial f(\xi,\mu)}{\partial \xi} \right] = -f(\xi,\mu) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} f(\xi,\mu \mu_0) d\mu_0$$  (8.5.61)
To convert this equation into an integral equation we consider it as a first-order equation in $\xi$ and integrate accordingly. The “solution” is

$$f(\xi,\mu) = A e^{-it/\mu} + \left( \frac{1}{2\mu} \right) \int_0^1 e^{-i(t-t_0)/\mu} d\xi_0 \int_{-1}^1 f(\xi_0,\mu_0) d\mu_0$$

The constant of integration in the second term and the constant coefficient $A$ are set by the boundary conditions. Consider the two angular regions $0 < \mu < 1$ and $-1 < \mu < 0$ (the first for particles going to the right, the second for particles going to the left). Let us call the corresponding $f_a$, $f_b$ and $f_1$; that is,

$$f_a = 0; \quad f_a = f; \quad 0 < \mu < 1$$
$$f_b = f; \quad f_b = 0; \quad -1 < \mu < 0$$

Then the boundary conditions are that

$$f_a(0) = I_0(\mu), \quad f_b(\infty) = 0 \quad \text{for all finite } \xi$$ (8.5.62)

Here $I_0$ is the distribution function for the incident beam of particles.

These boundary conditions are met by the following expressions [see Eq. (2.4.19)]:

$$f_a = I_0 e^{-i\tau/\mu} + \left( \frac{1}{2\mu} \right) \int_0^1 e^{-i(t-t_0)/\mu} d\xi_0 \int_{-1}^1 f(\xi_0,\mu_0) d\mu_0$$
$$f_b = \left( \frac{1}{2|\mu|} \right) \int_{-1}^1 e^{-i(t-t_0)/\mu} d\xi_0 \int_{-1}^1 f(\xi_0,\mu_0) d\mu_0$$ (8.5.63)

To reduce this to a single integral equation, it is useful to change the dependent variable form $f$ to

$$\rho(\xi) = \int_{-1}^1 f(\xi,\mu) d\mu = \int_{-1}^1 (f_a + f_b) d\mu$$ (8.5.64)

Introducing ($f_a$ and $f_b$) from (8.5.63) into (8.5.64) yields

$$\rho(\xi) = \int_0^1 I_0(\mu)e^{-i\tau/\mu} d\mu + \int_0^1 \frac{d\mu}{2\mu} \int_0^\infty e^{-i(t-t_0)/\mu} \rho(\xi_0) d\xi_0$$

Interchanging $\mu$ and $\xi_0$ integrations yields [see Eq. (2.1.20)]

$$\nu(\xi) = \int_0^1 I_0(\mu)e^{-i\tau/\mu} d\mu + \int_0^\infty \nu(\xi - \xi_0) \rho(\xi_0) d\xi_0$$ (8.5.65)

$$\nu(\xi - \xi_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 e^{-i(t-t_0)/\mu} \left( \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \right)$$

We recognize Eq. (8.5.65) as an inhomogeneous equation of the Wiener-Hopf type. The Milne equation is obtained by dropping the source term to obtain the homogeneous equation

$$\rho(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \nu(\xi - \xi_0) \rho(\xi_0) d\xi_0$$ (8.5.66)
The comparatively trivial change in the Wiener-Hopf procedure which must be included for inhomogeneous equations will be discussed later. The solutions of Eq. (8.5.66) will give the asymptotic (\(\zeta\) large) form of the solutions of the inhomogeneous equation.

Once \(\rho\) is known, the complete distribution may be obtained by integration of expression (8.5.63). Of particular interest is the emergent distribution at the lower surface, \(\zeta = 0\). Here

\[
f_\mu(0) = \frac{1}{2|\mu|} \int_0^\infty e^{\mu a} \sigma(t) \, dt \quad \text{or} \quad f_\mu(0) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2|\mu|} R_+ \left( \frac{i}{|\mu|} \right)
\]

where \(R_+(k)\) is the Fourier transform of \(\rho_+ (\tau)\). This result is particularly interesting, since it shows that one need not invert the Fourier transform solution of Eq. (8.5.66) in order to obtain the emergent distribution at the surface of the material.

We now return to (8.5.66) and consider its solution via the Wiener-Hopf method. The transform of \(v\) is

\[
V(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{\tan^{-1} k}{k} \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{i}{2ik} \ln \left( \frac{1 + ik}{1 - ik} \right)
\]

so that the function \(T\) which is to be factored into \(T_+ / T_-\) is:

\[
T = (1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V) = \frac{|k - \tan^{-1} k|}{k}
\]  
(8.5.67)

\(T\) is analytic in the strip \(|\Im k| < 1\). However, it has branch points at \(k = \pm i\), making it impossible to use the simple inspection technique discussed in the two preceding examples.

**A General Method for Factorization.** The method to be discussed is based on the theorem that any function \(f(k)\) analytic in a strip \(|\Im k| < \alpha\) is the sum of two functions, one analytic for \(\Im k > -\alpha\), the other for \(\Im k < \alpha\). It will be recognized that this is just a special case of the derivation leading to the Laurent expansion [Eq. (4.3.4) and discussion following]. The procedure adopted there is to apply Cauchy’s integral formula to the boundary of the region in which \(f(k)\) is analytic, in that case a circular annulus (for which the strip is a special case in which the center of the annulus is placed at infinity). The Cauchy integral breaks up into two integrals: one along the outer circle in a positive direction, the other about the inner circle in the negative direction (the two circles corresponding to the two lines bounding the strip). The function represented by the integral along the outer circle is analytic within the outer circle, *i.e.*, within the annulus and also the inner circle, while the function represented by the integral along the inner circle is analytic outside the inner circle. Placing the center of the annulus at \(k = -i \alpha\), we have
\[ q(k) = q_-(k) - q_+(k) \]

where
\[
q_-(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q(\eta)}{\eta - k - i\beta} d\eta,
\]
\[
q_+(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q(\eta)}{\eta - k + i\beta} d\eta
\]

\[ \beta < \alpha \quad (8.5.68) \]

The component \( q_- \) is analytic for \( \text{Im} \, k < \beta \), while \( q_+ \) is analytic for \( \text{Im} \, k > -\beta \), where \( \beta < \alpha \), \( \alpha \) being the limit of convergence of the transform \( V \) of the kernel.

We are now ready to employ Eqs. (8.5.68) for the purpose of writing \( T = T_+/T_- \) as required in Eq. (8.5.32). This desideratum is equivalent to the breaking up of \( \ln T \) into \( \ln T_+ - \ln T_- \), which may be done with the aid of Eq. (8.5.68) if \( \ln T \) is free of singularities in the strip. Since \( T \) is analytic there, difficulties occur only where \( T \) has zeros, say at \( k_r \). Moreover, to ensure convergence of the integrals in (8.5.68) we should require that \( q(\eta) \to 0 \) as \( |\eta| \to \infty \) within the strip. If \( q(k) \) is to be the logarithm of \( T \), then \( T \) must go to unity at \( |k| \to \infty \).

Function \( q \) cannot be the logarithm of just \( T \); we must introduce enough factors to cancel out the zeros of \( T \) inside the region of analyticity \( |\text{Im} \, k| < \alpha \) of \( V \) and then bring the whole argument of the logarithm to unity for \( |\text{Real} \, k| \to \infty \). We have said that the zeros of \( \hat{T} \) inside the region of analyticity are at \( k_r \) \( (r = 1, 2, \ldots, N) \). The ratio \( T/|k - k_r| \) therefore has no zeros in the strip [sometimes the zeros are of higher order, in which case \( |k - k_r| \) must enter with a higher power]. This ratio, however, will not go to unity at \( |k| \to \infty \); we need to multiply it by some polynomial in \( k \) (which has no zeros in the strip). If, for example, \((T/|k - k_r|) \cdot (k^\alpha/C) \) as \( |k| \to \infty \), we could multiply by \( C(k^2 + \alpha^2)^{3/2} \), taking
\[
q = \ln \left[ C^{-1} \frac{T(k)(k + \alpha)^{3/2}}{\prod_{r=1}^{N} |k - k_r|} \right] \quad (8.5.69)
\]

The reasons for using the factor \( (k^2 + \alpha^2)^{3/2} \) are fairly obvious. We cannot have any zeros of this factor inside the range of analyticity of \( T(\text{Im} \, k) < \alpha \), so we put them just at the edge of the strip. We want them in pairs, one at the top and another at the bottom, so that \( f_1 \) can have all of one and \( f_2 \) all of the other. The constant \( C \) is chosen just to make the quantity in the brackets go to unity at \( |k| \to \infty \).

Writing \( q = -q_+ + q_- \), after computing Eqs. (8.5.68), we have
\[
T = \left[ \Pi(k - k_r)/C(k^2 + \alpha^2)^{3/2} e^{-\alpha} \right] \quad (8.5.70)
\]

We may now factor \( T \) into \( T_+ \) and \( T_- \) by inspection:

\[
T_+ = \frac{C(k + i\alpha)^{3/2}}{e^{-\alpha}}, \quad T_- = \frac{C(k - i\alpha)^{3/2}}{e^{-\alpha}} \quad (8.5.70)
\]
Thus the necessary factorization is formally accomplished and a general procedure is available for the Wiener-Hopf method (if we can calculate the \( q^s \)’s).

**Milne Problem, Continued.** In the Milne problem, \( T \) is given by Eq. (8.5.67) as \( 1 \ (\tan^{-1} k/h) \). The only zero of this function occurs at \( k = 0 \), where the zero is of double order. Hence

\[
q = \ln \left( \frac{k^2 + 1}{k^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^{-1} k}{k} \right); \quad \alpha = 1
\]

so that

\[
q_+ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \left( \frac{\eta^2 + 1}{\eta^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^{-1} \eta}{\eta} \right) \frac{d\eta}{\eta - k}; \quad \beta < 1 \quad (8.5.71)
\]

while \( q_- \) involves the same integrand with limits \( -\infty + \beta i, -\infty + \beta i \). As a final step we must determine \( P(k) = \Psi_+ T_+ = -\Psi_- T_- \) where, according to (8.5.70),

\[
T_+ = k^2 e^{-\sigma_1}/(k + i); \quad T_- = (k - i)e^{-\sigma_-} \quad (8.5.72)
\]

From the convergence of the integrals for \( q_+ \) and \( q_- \) it follows that both are bounded for large \( k \) in their respective regions of regularity. Hence \( e^{-\sigma_\pm} \) approaches a constant, so that \( T_+ \to k \). Again since \( \Psi_+(k) \to 0 \), and since \( P \) is an entire function, \( P \) must equal a constant, say \( A \). Therefore the Fourier transform of \( \rho_+ \) is

\[
R_+ = \frac{A}{\Psi_+} = \left[ \frac{A(k + i)}{k^2} \right] e^{s+i\omega} \quad (8.5.73)
\]

where \( q_+ \) is given by Eq. (8.5.71).

To obtain the angular distribution it is now necessary (according to (8.5.67)) to obtain \( R_+(\omega/|\mu|) \). The chief difficulty here is in the evaluation of the integral \( q_+ \). We shall reduce it to a form suitable for numerical evaluation. For this purpose, we may take \( \beta = 0 \) and integrate along the real axis of \( \eta \) (as long as \( k \neq 0 \)). Then

\[
q_+(k) = \frac{k}{\pi i} \int_0^{\infty} \ln \left( \frac{\eta^2 + 1}{\eta^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^{-1} \eta}{\eta} \right) \frac{d\eta}{(\eta^2 - k^2)} \quad (8.5.74)
\]

which is of suitable form for \( k \neq 0 \).

To determine the asymptotic form of \( \rho(\gamma) \), it is necessary to have the power series expansion of \( R_+ \) in powers of \( k \). We evaluate \( q_+(0) \) and then higher terms from Eq. (8.5.74). Integral (8.5.71) for \( k = 0 \) may be evaluated by considering a contour consisting of the real axis except for a small semicircle about the point \( \eta = 0 \) (below it). The integrals along the real axis add up to zero because of the oddness of the integrand.
The integral about the circle gives

\[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \left( \frac{\eta^2 + 1}{\eta^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^{-1} \eta}{\eta} \right) \right) \] at \( \eta = 0 \)

which is \( \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2} \) so that

\[ q_+(0) = - \ln \sqrt{3} \]

To obtain the next term we employ Eq. (8.5.74) where we make the integrand regular at \( \eta = 0 \):

\[ q_+(k) = \frac{k}{\pi i} \int \ln \left( \frac{3(\eta^2 + 1)}{\eta^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^{-1} \eta}{\eta} \right) \right) \frac{d\eta}{\eta^2 - k^2} \]

Consequently:

\[ q'_+(0) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int \ln \left( \frac{3(\eta^2 + 1)}{\eta^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^{-1} \eta}{\eta} \right) \right) \frac{d\eta}{\eta^2} \]  

(8.5.75)

Returning to \( R_+ \) we see that its power series expansion is

\[ R_+ \xrightarrow{k \to 0} \frac{A}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{(k + i \xi)}{k^2} \left[ 1 + kq'_+(0) \right] \xrightarrow{A = \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}} \left[ \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{1 + iq'_+(0)}{i} \right] \]

Hence

\[ \rho_+(\xi) \xrightarrow{\xi \to 0} \text{constant} \left[ 1 + iq'_+(0) + \xi \right] \]

The constant \( 1 + iq'_+(0) \) may be evaluated by integrating Eq. (8.5.75) by parts. We obtain \( 1 + iq'_+(0) \approx 0.7104 \ldots \) This equation and its solution will be discussed in more detail in Sec. 12.2.

**Inhomogeneous Wiener-Hopf Equation.** The equation

\[ \psi(x) = \varphi(x) + \lambda \int_0^\infty v(x - x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \]  

(8.5.76)

may be solved by the same technique employed for the homogeneous case. Again take Fourier transforms:

\[ \Psi_+ + \Psi_- = \Phi_+ + \Phi_- + \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V \Psi_+ \]  

(8.5.77)

Introducing the factorization \( (1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V) = (T_+ / T_-) \), this equation may be written

\[ \Psi_+ T_+ + \Psi_- (\Psi_- - \Phi_-) - T_- \Psi_+ = 0 \]  

(8.5.78)

The first two terms are in the desired form in that they are analytic in overlapping half planes. The third term is not and must therefore be broken up into a sum of two terms, one analytic in the upper half plane, the other analytic in the lower. It is, of course, necessary that there be a strip in which both \( T_- \) and \( T_+ \) are regular. If this is so, we may employ
the separation given by Eq. (8.5.68) where \( q \) is now \( T_+ \Phi_+ \). We therefore write
\[
T_+ \Phi_+ = q_- - q_+ \]
Equation (8.5.78) may now be written
\[
\Psi_+ T_+ + q_+ - q_- + T_-(\Phi_- - \Psi_-) = P \tag{8.5.79}
\]
The left-hand side of this equation is analytic in the upper half plane, the right-hand side in the lower half plane. There is a common strip of regularity, so that, as in the case of the homogeneous equation, the right-hand side is the analytic continuation of the left into the lower half plane. The function so defined, \( P \), is regular everywhere in the finite plane and is therefore an entire function. As in the case of the homogeneous equation, the character of \( P \) is determined by the asymptotic behavior of one of its defining expressions. Once \( P \) is determined,
\[
\Psi_+ = (P - q_+)/T_+ \tag{8.5.80}
\]
which now may be introduced into the inversion formula to obtain \( \psi_+(x) \).

If the equation is of the first kind rather than of the second kind, i.e.,
\[
0 = \varphi(x) + \lambda \int_0^\infty v(x - x_0)\psi(x_0) \, dx_0
\]
the solution may be expressed in the form (8.5.79) and (8.5.80) if \( T_+ \) and \( T_- \) are now defined by
\[
-\lambda \sqrt{2\pi} V - T_+ / T_-
\]
This completes our general discussion of integral equations. We shall apply many of the techniques touched on here, in later chapters. It must have been noticeable throughout this chapter that the subject of integral equations is not at all so well organized as the subject of ordinary differential equations. There are no rules, so simple as the rules for finding singular points, which enable us to classify kernels of an integral equation and to recognize easily what representation, integral or series, for the unknown function will lead most easily to the solution. This situation is in part due to the fact that integral equations generally represent a much more complex physical and mathematical situation. Only rarely is an integral equation equivalent to a second-order differential equation. More often it corresponds to a differential equation of infinite order.

However, there are some cases for which we may obtain a straightforward solution. For example, kernels of the form \( v(x - x_0) \) may be discussed with the air of the Fourier transform. For many cases, however, suitable algorithms for general solution have not been discovered. In spite of this, the integral equation formulation of a problem will prove
to be useful, for as we shall see in the next chapter, it forms the basis for the development of many of the approximate solutions to the equations of physics.

**Table of Integral Equations and Their Solutions**

**Types of Equations.** A Fredholm equation involves integrals between fixed limits; a Volterra equation involves one variable limit; an equation of the first kind has the unknown function \( \psi \) only inside the integral; an equation of the second kind has \( \psi \) outside the integral as well:

**Fredholm equation of the first kind:**

\[
\varphi(z) = \int_a^b K(z|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0; \quad \varphi \text{ and } K \text{ known}
\]

**Fredholm equation of the second kind:**

\[
\psi(z) = \varphi(z) + \lambda \int_a^b K(z|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0; \quad \varphi \text{ and } K \text{ known}
\]

When \( \varphi = 0 \) this equation is homogeneous.

Volterra equation of the first kind:

\[
\varphi(z) = \int_a^z K(z|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0; \quad \varphi \text{ and } K \text{ known}
\]

Volterra equation of the second kind:

\[
\psi(z) = \varphi(z) + \int_a^z K(z|z_0)\psi(z_0) \, dz_0; \quad \varphi \text{ and } K \text{ known}
\]

There are no nonzero solutions of the homogeneous equation, for \( \varphi = 0 \). The function \( K(z|z_0) \) is called the kernel of the equation.

**Types of Kernels.** A symmetric kernel satisfies the equation \( K(z|z_0) = K(z_0|z) \). A polar kernel is of the form \( r(z_0)G(z|z_0) \), where \( G \) is symmetric. A Fredholm equation with symmetric kernel is self-adjoint; the similar Volterra equation is not. A definite kernel is one for which either

\[
\int f(z) \, dz K(z|z_0)f(z_0) \, dz_0 > 0; \quad \text{positive definite}
\]
\[
\int f(z) \, dz K(z|z_0)f(z_0) \, dz_0 < 0; \quad \text{negative definite}
\]

for any function \( f \) finite in the range of integration appropriate for the equation using \( K \). A Hermitian kernel is one for which \( K(z_0|z) = \overline{K(z|z_0)} \); a skew-Hermitian one has \( K(z_0|z) = -\overline{K(z|z_0)} \). Both of these can be converted into definite or semidefinite (kernels for which \( \leq \) or \( \geq \) is substituted for \( > \) or \( < \) in the above definitions) kernels by iteration.
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[see Eqs. (8.2.10) et seq.]. An equation with a polar kernel may be transformed into one with a symmetric kernel [see Eq. (8.2.8)].

A real, positive-definite, symmetric kernel in a Fredholm equation has the following properties: The homogeneous equation of the second kind has nonzero solutions for a sequence of eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) of \( \lambda \) (0 < \( \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \cdots \)); the corresponding solutions \( \varphi_n(z) \) are eigenfunctions, constituting an orthogonal set for \( a \leq x \leq b \) (see page 913). They satisfy the variational principle that

\[
\delta \left\{ \frac{\int \varphi(z) \psi(z) \, dz}{\int \int \varphi(z) K(z|x_0) \psi(x_0) \, dx_0 \, dz_0} \right\} = 0
\]

[see Eq. (6.3.19) for the analogous equation for a differential equation]. The stationary values of the quantity in braces are the eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) [see Eq. (8.2.24)].

A singular kernel has discontinuities or singularities within the range of integration, or else the limits of integration extend to infinity. Some of these kernels may be reduced to nonsingular kernels by iteration; those which cannot are called intrinsically singular (see page 924). Homogeneous Fredholm equations with intrinsically singular kernels may have a nondegenerate infinity of eigenvalues; all values of \( \lambda \) within certain ranges may be allowed.

**Green’s Function for the Inhomogeneous Equation.** The inhomogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind may be solved in terms of the Green’s function \( G_1(z|z_0) \), a solution of

\[
G_1(z|z_0) = K(z|z_0) + \lambda \int K(z|x_1) G_1(x_1|z_0) \, dx_1
\]

The solution is

\[
\psi(z) = \varphi(z) + \lambda \int \varphi(x) G_1(x|z_0) \, dx
\]

The Green’s function \( G_1 \) may be expanded in various series involving the eigenfunction solutions \( \varphi_n \) of the homogeneous equation, the eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \), or the iterated kernel \( K_n \):

\[
G_1(z|z_0) = \sum_{m} \frac{\varphi_m(z) \varphi_m(z_0)}{\lambda_m - \lambda} ; \quad \varphi_m \text{ normalized to unity}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{n+1}(z|z_0) \lambda^n
\]

\[
K(z|z_0) = K_1(z|z_0) = G_0(z|z_0)
\]

\[
K_{n+1}(z|z_0) = \int K_n(x|z_0) K(x_1|z_0) \, dx_1 = \sum_{m} \frac{\varphi_m(z) \varphi_m(z_0)}{(\lambda_m)^{n+1}}
\]
The Spur of the Green’s function is

\[ \int G_n(z|z) \, dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \lambda^n \]

\[ C_n = \int K_n(z|z) \, dz = \sum_{m} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_m} \right)^n \]

**Solutions of Fredholm Equations of the First Kind.** This equation is usually solved by expanding \( \varphi, K, \) and the unknown \( \psi \) in some series and equating coefficients. The type of series used depends on the type of kernel \( K. \)

\( a. \) \( K \) is a generating function for some set of eigenfunctions \( \chi_n \) appropriate for the limits of integration,

\[ K(z|z_0) = \sum_{n} b_n \lambda_n \chi_n(z_0) \]

assuming \( \psi = \sum_{n} a_n \chi_n \) we have \( \varphi(z) = \sum_{n} b_n \lambda_n z \) and the unknown coefficients \( a_n \) may be determined by comparison with the series expansion of \( \varphi. \)

\( b. \) \( K \) is a Green’s function expressible in appropriate eigenfunctions \( \chi_n, \)

\[ K(z|z_0) = \sum_{n} b_n \chi_n(z) \chi_n(z_0) \]

assuming \( \psi = \sum_{n} a_n \chi_n \) we have \( a_n = \frac{1}{b_n} \int \varphi(z) \chi_n(z) \, dz \) which evaluates the coefficients \( a_n. \)

If neither of these possibilities can be exploited, the coefficients \( a_n \) may be found by the Schmidt method [see Eqs. (8.3.10) et seq.] or by biorthogonal functions [see Eqs. (8.3.19) et seq.] or by numerical methods (see Chap. 9).

For infinite range of integration, where the kernel is singular, one can sometimes use the fact that the Fredholm equation of the first kind defines an integral transform relation between the known \( \varphi \) and the unknown \( \psi, \) through the kernel \( K: \)

\( c. K(z|z_0) = e^{iz_0}, \) integration \(-\infty\) to \( \infty, \) Fourier transform.

Solution:

\[ \psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(k) e^{-ik} \, dk \quad \text{[Eq. (8.3.59)]} \]

\( d. K = e^{-z_0}, \) integration \(0\) to \( \infty, \) Laplace transform.

Solution:

\[ \psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty + i\epsilon}^{\infty + i\epsilon} \varphi(p) e^{-pz} \, dp \quad \text{[Eq. (8.3.60)]} \]

\( e. K = J_m(z_0 z) \), integration \(0\) to \( \infty, \) Hankel transform.

Solution:

\[ \psi(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} J_m(zr) \varphi(r) r \, dr \quad \text{[Eq. (8.3.64)]} \]
f. \( K = (z_0)^{-1} \), integration 0 to \( \infty \), Mellin transform.

Solution:
\[
\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\Phi(p)}{V(p)} e^{pz} \, dp
\]  
[Eq. (8.5.34)]

where
\[
V(p) = \int_0^\infty v(x) e^{-px} \, dx; \quad \Phi(p) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(x) e^{-px} \, dx
\]

Solutions of Volterra Equations of the First Kind. Types \( a \) and \( h \) are not appropriate here; usually the Schmidt method of orthogonalization or the biorthogonal series must be resorted to. For a kernel of the form \( K = v(z - z_0) \) the Laplace transform [Eqs. (4.8.30) et seq.] may be utilized:

a. \( K = v(z - z_0) \), integration 0 to \( z \).

Solution:
\[
\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \left[ \Phi(p) \right] e^{pz} \, dp; \quad \text{Re} \, z > 0
\]  
[Eq. (8.5.34)]

where
\[
v(x) = \int_0^\infty v(x) e^{-px} \, dx; \quad \Phi(p) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(x) e^{-px} \, dx
\]

b. \( K = v(z - z_0) \), integration \( z \) to \( \infty \).

Solution:
\[
\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\infty}^{-i\infty} \left[ \Phi(p) \right] e^{pz} \, dp
\]

where
\[
v(-p) = \int_0^\infty v(-x) e^{px} \, dx
\]

Solutions of Fredholm Equations of the Second Kind. The kernel may be expressed in terms of an appropriate, orthonormal set of eigenfunctions \( \chi_n \); \( K(z|z_0) = \sum g_n(z_0)\chi_n(z) \). Then assuming \( \psi = \sum_\lambda \alpha_n \chi_n \), the homogeneous equation reduces to the set of simultaneous equations

\[
\sum_n \alpha_n[\alpha_{nn} - (1/\lambda)\delta_{nn}] = 0; \quad \alpha_{nn} = \int \chi_n(z_0)g_n(z_0) \, dz_0
\]

The secular determinant \( |\alpha_{nn} - (1/\lambda)\delta_{nn}| \) must be zero. Roots of this equation give the eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \), and the corresponding series for \( \psi \) are the eigenfunctions. Several cases simplify:

a. \( K \) a Green's function, then \( g_n \) is proportional to \( \chi_n \), \( \alpha_{nn} = \alpha_n\delta_{nn} \).

b. \( K \) a generating function or other form making the secular determinant \emph{semidiagonal} [see Eq. (8.4.8)].

c. \( K \) such as to make the secular determinant \emph{finite} [see Eq. (8.4.11)].

The inhomogeneous equation is then solved in terms of a series of the eigenfunctions \( \psi_n \) of the homogeneous equation and of the biorthogonal set \( \varphi_n \) solutions of the adjoint equation (if \( K \) is symmetric, \( \varphi_n = \psi_n \)). Then \( K(z|z_0) = \sum (1/\lambda_n)\psi_n(z)\varphi_n(z_0) \), and the particular integral is
\[ \psi(z) = \sum_n \left[ \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda} \int \psi(z) \psi_n(x) \, dx \right] \psi_n(z) \]

where \( \psi(z) \) is the inhomogeneous term in the integral equation.

For infinite range of integration a continuum of eigenvalues of \( \lambda \) is allowed, the secular determinant becomes an integral, and integral transform techniques must be used:

d. \( K = \nu(z - z_0) \), integration \(-\infty \) to \( \infty \), Fourier transform. If both transforms \( \Phi(k) \) and \( V(k) \) are regular for \( \text{Im } k = \tau, -\infty \leq \text{Re } k \leq \infty \), then the solution is

\[
\psi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi(k) e^{-ikz} \, dk}{1 - \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda V(k)}
\]

If separate transforms must be established for \( z < 0 \) and for \( z > 0 \), then Eq. (8.5.17) holds.

e. \( K = \nu(z - z_0) \), integration \( 0 \) to \( \infty \), Wiener-Hopf type. For solution see Eqs. (8.5.55), (8.5.56), and (8.5.76).
f. \( K = \nu(z + z_0) \), integration \(-\infty \) to \( \infty \), Fourier transform. For solution see Eq. (8.5.29).
g. \( K = (1/z_0) \nu(z/z_0) \), integration \( 0 \) to \( \infty \), Mellin transform. For solution see Eq. (8.5.43).

**Solutions of Volterra Equation of the Second Kind.** There are no nonzero solutions of the homogeneous equation. In the cases \( K = \nu(z - z_0) \) integration \( 0 \) to \( z \) or \( z \) to \( \infty \), the Laplace transform can be invoked, as with the Volterra equation of the first kind. Solutions of the inhomogeneous equation of the second kind are given in Eqs. (8.5.34) and (8.5.38).
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Conformality of singularities, 515
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Contact transformation, 288
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for Schroedinger equation, 1630
for stress-energy tensor, 310, 343
Continuous distribution of eigenvalues, 762–766
for Schroedinger equation, 768
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smooth, 362
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Contravariant tensor, 46, 55
Contravariant vector, 30, 44
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behavior of power series on, 385
hypergeometric series, 388
of Feenberg formula, 1015
of iteration-perturbation method, 1005, 1020
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in the mean, 458
of perturbation theory, for boundary changes, 1056
special case, 1062
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radius of, 376
and analytic continuation, 377
determination of, 386
of variation-iteration method, 1028, 1142
Converging waves, 146
Coordinate geometry, 504
Coordinate operator, 235
eigenvectors for, 235
and momentum operator, 238, 241
Coordinate systems, and Laplace equation, bipolar, 1210
cartesian, 1175, 1256
circular cylinder, 1250
elliptic, 1195
parabolic, 1207
polar, 1183
separable, 494–523, 647–648
table, 655–666
and wave equation, elliptic, 1407
parabolic, 1386
polar, 1371
rectangular, 1364
spherical, 1462
spheroidal, 1902, 1912
Coordinate transformations, 4, 24
Coordinates, curvilinear (see Curvilinear coordinates)
Huygens, 1737
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right- and left-handed, 10, 23
spherical (see Spherical coordinates)
spheroidal, 514, 661
(See also Oblate spheroidal coordinates; Prolate spheroidal coordinates)
Correspondence principle, 250
Cosine Fourier transforms, 458
Coulomb wave functions, 550, 553, 631, 1664
asymptotic behavior, 632, 1664
and confluent hypergeometric function, 632
and energy levels of hydrogenic atoms, 632, 1664
in momentum space, 1679
for parabolic coordinates, 1667
and Rutherford scattering, 1669
second solution, 633
and Stark effect, 1676
Coupled oscillator, 76, 1718
Covariant derivative, 48
Covariant tensor, 45, 55
Covariant vector, 31, 44
Cross section, 178, 1545, 1681
absorption, 181, 1488, 1886
differential, 1066, 1706
extinction, 1488
momentum transfer, 188, 193
scattering, 178, 1066, 1681
total, 181
and forward scattering, 1069, 1546
transport, 188
Curl, 39, 50
in orthogonal coordinates, 42
in tensor notation, 50
Current density, 203
Current loop, radiation from, 1881
Currents, fields due to, 1788, 1791,
1798, 1803
forces on, 203, 205
induced, 1887
radiation from, 1875
Curvature of coordinate lines, 26, 47
Curvilinear coordinates, 21-31
stress for elastic waves in, 1841
table of properties, 115
transverse components of vector field
in, 1764
transverse and longitudinal waves in,
1840
(See also Orthogonal coordinates)
Cutoff frequency, 1442
Cylinders, confocal, and separation of
variables, 519
Cylinders, concentric, field in, 1183
conducting, scattering by, 1863
in electrostatic field, 1184
elliptic in uniform field, 1199
and line source, 1189
potential between two, 1210
scattering by, 1376, 1862
with slit, 1387, 1392
(See also Cylindrical Bessel functions)
Cylindrical coordinates and separation
of variables, 514

D
D’Alembertian, 98, 208
D’Alembert’s solution of wave equation, 844
Dalitz integral, 1083
Definite kernel, 900, 910, 962
transformation to, 910
Deflection admittance, 1367
Deformation of contours, 366
Degeneracy, 79, 725, 1476, 1673
and Stark effect, 1676
and variational principle, 737
Del, 7, 31-44
Table of relations, 114-115
Delta function, Dirac, 122, 249, 313
derivative of, 837, 839
expansion in eigenfunctions, 719, 729
in generalized coordinates, 830
and normalization of eigenfunctions,
764
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Kronecker, 23
Density, of eigenvalues, 759-762
continuous distribution, 762
for Schrödinger equation, 767-768
Lagrangian (see Lagrange density)
particle, 1614
Density function for eigenfunctions, 728,
781
for Gegenbauer polynomials, 782
for Hermite polynomials, 786
for Laguerre polynomials, 784
for Legendre functions, associated, 782
for Tchebycheff polynomials, 782
Derivatives of analytic function, 374
and Cauchy’s integral formula, 375
Determinant, secular (see Secular deter-
iminant)
Diagonal kernels, 954, 961
Diagonal matrix, 777
Dielectric constant, 221
boundary conditions on surface of, 217
Difference equations, first-order linear, 693
Green’s function for (see Green’s func-
tion)
for hyperbolic equation, 703
for Laplace equation, 695
for parabolic equation, 705, 778
for Poisson equation, 695
and recursion formulas, 540
Differential equations, ordinary, 162-675
adjoint, 526, 871
Bessel, 5, 553, 619, 1021
spherical, 622, 1465, 1477
classification of, 534
confluent hypergeometric functions,
551, 604
coulomb, 550, 553, 631, 1664
functional series and, 570-577
Gegenbauer, 547, 590, 782
homogeneous, 493
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  by knife edge, 1386, 1406
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  of heat, 173, 1588–1592, 1601–1606
  of light, 180
  of liquids through porous solids, 172
  of neutrons (see Neutron diffusion)
  of particles (see Particle diffusion)
  and transient heating, 1585
  of fluids, 173
(See also Distribution functions)

Diffusion equation, 137, 171–200, 1584–1606
  and age equation, 1606
  Green’s function for (see Green’s function)
  image method, 862, 1588
  inhomogeneous equation, 859
  Lagrange density for, 313
  for nonuniform scattering, 189
  Green’s function for, 191
  and parabolic equations, 173

Diffusion equation, for particles, 1592–1604
  reciprocity principle for, 858
  variational principle for, 313–314
  vector, 163, 1812
(See also Distribution functions)

Dilation, 68

Dilationless stretch, 69

Dipole, 1276
  electric, induced, 1886
  and induced currents, 1887
  radiation from, 1868, 1878, 1880
  function, dyadic, 1335
  magnetic, induced, 1886
  and induced currents, 1887
  radiation from, 1868, 1870, 1880
  source, 1470

Dirac equation, 260–265, 335–337
  angular momentum, total, 263
  charge and current density, 261, 337
  energy density, 336
  Euler equation, 336
  field intensity, 336
  field momentum density, 336
  Lagrange density, 335
  under Lorentz transformation, 262
  plane-wave solution, 264
  and spin operators, 299
  stress-energy tensor, 336
  table, 346

Dirac delta function (see Delta function)

Direction cosines, 29

Directional derivative, 32
  in tensor notation, 51

Dirichlet boundary conditions, 495, 679, 706, 807, 875
  and boundary perturbations, 1043, 1060
  and elliptic equations, 696, 703
  and Green’s functions, 807
  and hyperbolic equation, 686, 704
  images for, 813
  variational principle involving, 1113, 1119

Disk, scattering from, 1521
  vibrating, acoustic impedance of, 1512
  radiation from, 1512

Dispersion of electromagnetic waves in duet, 1820
  and Klein-Gordon equation, 140

Displacement field, 201

Dissipative systems, 296–309
  impedance for, 299–302
  variational principle for, 298
  and variational principle for diffusion equation, 313
Index

Distribution functions, 174 200, 1606–1638
age theory, 197, 1638
boundary conditions, 185
continuity equation, 176
diffuse emission and reflection, 1618
diffusion, of light, 180–188, 1617–1628
diffusion approximation, 181
Milne equation, 182, 187, 1624–1628
of neutrons (see diffusion of light, above)
with energy loss on collision, 196, 1630
equation for, 1697, 1617
and equation of state of gas, 176
and external forces, 194
for forward scattering, 1610, 1748, 1750
kinetic energy, average, 175
mean free path, 178
moments of, 1614
and nonuniform scattering, 188
steady-state, 1613
variational method for, 1628
Divergence, 54

tensor notation for, 50
Divergence condition in electromagnetism, 326
Diverging waves, 146, 1065
Double periodic functions, 427
Drift due to force field, 195
Driving-point impedance, 130, 1845
Ducts, electromagnetic waves in, 1819–1838
attenuation in, 1828–1832
change in duct size, 1834
constriction in, 1834
dispersion of, 1820
excitation of resonator by, 1856
Green’s function for, 1829
impedance effective for, 1822 1823, 1833
reflection, from end of, 1832
from wire across, 1838
transverse electric waves, 1819
transverse magnetic waves, 1822
wave generation in, 1826
sound waves in, 1349–1357, 1440–1462
attenuation in, 1441
and conformal mapping, 1448
constriction in, 1443
elastic, 1439
elbow bend in, 1447
integral equation for, 1516
iris diaphragm in, 1443, 1514
lined, 1522
radiation from termination of, 1455, 1529–1537
variational principle for, 1128, 1517
Ducts, sound waves in, with varying
cross section, 1352
viscous flow through, 1791 1795
nonstationary, 1848
Duplication formula for gamma functions, 424
Dyamics, 54–73
admittance, 325, 334
dipole function, 1886
Gauss’ theorem for, 66
Green’s function, 1769
impedance, 285, 325, 333, 1815
operators, 72
principal axes of, 50
scattering, 1897
Stokes’ theorem for, 66
strain, 67
stress, 70
symmetric, 58
and eigenvalue problem, 50
and tensors, 54
unitary, 62
as vector operators, 55
Dynamics, classical (see Classical mechanics)

E

Effective area, 1520
Effective range of interaction, 1091
Effective width, 1379
Eigenfunctions, 706–778
in abstract vector space, 716
asymptotic formulas for, 739–743
and boundary conditions, 699, 708, 711–716
completeness of, 708, 726–729, 738–759
for continuous distribution of eigenvalues, 762
degeneracy of, 70, 726, 1376, 1673
and density function, 726, 731 736
and Dirac delta functions, 719, 729
equation for, 78, 718
integral, 902
and factorization method, 729–736
table, 788
and Fourier series, 707, 743, 745
and generating functions, 748
Green’s function in, expansion of,
820–831, 849, 864, 892
and image method, 817
for Laplace equations for coordinates,
bipolar, 1210
bipshercial, 1391
cartesian, 1175
circular cylinder, 1259, 1262
eclipseal, 1306
electric, 1199
Index

Eigenfunctions, for Laplace equations for coordinates, oblate spheroidal, 1262, 1263
paraboloid, 1297, 1297
polar, 1183
prolate spheroidal, 1288
spherical, 1270
nonorthogonal, 1034–1038, 1345, 1366
normalization of, 728, 764, 833
orthogonality, 60, 718, 727, 766, 764
orthonormal, 729
series of, 726
comparison with Fourier series, 743, 745
Gibbs' phenomenon, 748
in several dimensions, 753
types (see Coulomb; Fourier; Gegenbauer; Hermite; Jacobi; Laguerre; Legendre; Tschebyscheff)
and variational principle, 736
for wave equation, for elliptic coordinates, 1408
and Laplace transforms, 1347
for parabolic coordinates, 1400
for polar coordinates, 1372
for rectangular coordinates, 1365, 1494
for spherical coordinates, 1467
for spheroidal coordinates, 1562
Eigenvalues, 78
in abstract vector space, 771
asymptotic formulas, 741
and degeneracy, 762
density of, 762
distribution of, 724, 724
continuous, 762
for dyad, symmetric, 59
for eigenvalues, 717, 775
for elliptic membrane, 757
equation, 78, 718, 912
Fredeen method for, 1010, 1018
Fredholm method for, 1018, 1026
for harmonic oscillator, 246
for hydrogenic atoms, 632
for isosceles right triangle, 755
iteration-perturbation method for, 1001, 1010, 1162
lower bounds for, 1144, 1146, 1152
lowest, 721, 724, 775
for Mathieu equation, 538
continued fraction for, 564, 567
instability of, 560
reality of, 728
for rectangular membrane, 754
and Sturm-Liouville problem, 721, 734
variation-iteration method for, 1026, 1032, 1137-1146
Eigenvalues, variation principle for, 737, 1188–1193
for higher, 1153
vectors, 1772
for circular cylinder coordinates, 1797
completeness of, 1777
expansion of Green's function, 1777
for rectangular coordinates, 1773
for spherical coordinates, 1800
table, 1808–1901
for spheroidal coordinates, 1801, 1895
WKBJ approximation for, 1099, 1101
Eigenvectors, 78
completeness, 718, 777
for coordinate operator, 235
and eigenfunctions, 717
Green's operator, expansion of, 883
for harmonic oscillator, 246
for Hermitian operators, 774
for momentum operator, 239
normalization, 236
operator equation for, 78, 718, 771
and transformation function, 236
for unit-shift operator, 123
variational principle, 774
Elastically braced string, 138
forced motion of, 110
wave impedance, 111
(see also Klein-Gordon equation)
Elastic components, 73
Elastic deformation, 66, 73
and strain, 68
and stress, 70
and stress-strain relations, 71
Elastic media, table, 315
variational principle for, 322
Elastic waves, 1459
Elastic waves, 142–151
along bar, 1830
eLONGATIONAL, 1842
forced motion of, 1814
Green's function for, 1783
impedance for, 151
intensity of, 151
longitudinal, 142
plane, 323, 1813
impedance dyadic for, 325
reflection of, 1814, 1818
shear, 143, 147
strain and stress of, 149
transverse, 143, 147
vector, 147
Elasticity, static, 1786
biharmonic equation, 1786
compatibility equation, 1787
distortion of a sphere, 1897
Index

Elasticity, static, equation for, 1791
stress in sphere, 1916
stress function, 1786
Electric dipole, induced, 1886
radiation from, 1808
energy of, 1880
static, 1276–1283
from vibrating current, 1875
Electric field, 210
(See also Electromagnetic field)
Electric multiple, radiation from, 1809
and currents, 1878
energy of, 1880
static, 1276–1283
Electric waves, transverse (see Transverse electric waves)
Electromagnetic field, 200 202, 326 335
admittance dyadic for, 334
and Ampere circuit law, 204
angular-momentum density of, 331
boundary conditions for, 217
canonical momentum of, 328
curvature for, four-vector, 208
divergence condition for, 326
energy of, 215, 328
Faraday’s law, 205
field momentum density, 330
gauge, 207, 332
Hamilton’s canonical equation for, 328
Lagrange density for, 327
and Lorentz transformation, 205
and Maxwell equations, 201
of moving charge, 212, 811
potentials for, four-vector, 208, 326
vector for, 203, 205
wave equation for, 245
Poynting vector, 215, 331
stress-energy tensor, 216, 328
symmetrization of, 339
tables of properties, 271, 316
variational principle for, 327
Electromagnetic plane waves, 334, 1812
admittance dyadic for, 333
reflection of, from plane, 1813
scattering of, by cylinder, 1862
by sphere, 1886
by strip, 1428
Electromagnetic radiation (see Radiation)
Electromagnetic resonators (see Resonators)
Electromagnetic spherical waves, 1864
1867
Green’s expansion in, 1874
table, 1808–1901
Electromagnetic waves, 205, 1812–1901
effects of finite conductivity, 1828, 1830, 1851
Electromagnetic waves, generation of, 1826, 1868, 1879
Green’s function for, 1824, 1850, 1872, 1874
impedance and, 1814, 1822, 1835, 1862, 1868
long-wavelength approximation, 1862, 1877, 1881–1889
multipoles and, 1807–1817
in rectangular coordinates, 1773, 1810, 1821, 1849
in resonators, 1849, 1859, 1870
and retardation, 206
scattering of, 1862, 1852, 1886
and spherical coordinates, 1865, 1880
and spheroidal coordinates, 1891, 1892
transverse, 206, 1819–1833
Electrostatics, 200–202
of charged disks, 1266
of charged spherical caps, 1269
of charged wire in sphere, 1275
and complex variables, 352–356, 379, 413, 1218
and potential, between circular cylinders, 1210
for elbow bend, 1250
for ellipsoid, 1306
of line sources, 1231–1243
circular array, 1234
and cylinder, 1189
between cylinders, concentric, 1242
linear array of, 1236
between parallel plates, 1241
inside rectangular prism, 1243
two-dimensional array, 1248
for parallel plate condenser, 1211
for plane, 812
for prisms, 1243
for prolate spheroid, 1285
for rectangle, 1251
for slotted cylinder, 1192, 1296
between sphere and plane, 1299
for sphere, 1265
between spheres, off-center, 1272
for spherical shell with hole, 1283
between spheroid and sphere, 1280
between strip, and elliptic cylinder, 1196
and semi-infinite plane, 1208
for torus, 1304
between two planes, 1176
between wire, and elliptic cylinder, 1206
and plane, 1182
variational principles for, 1108
Ellipses, waves inside, 757, 1110
Ellipsoidal coordinates, 511
  degenerate forms of, 513
  and Lame's equation, 1305
  and Laplace equation, 1304, 1308
  and separability, 511
  table, 663
Ellipsoidal harmonics, 1306, 1309
integral equation for, 1309
Elliptic coordinates, and Laplace equation, 1195–1206
  and electrostatic field between strip and elliptic cylinder, 1196
  and elliptic cylinder in uniform field, 1199
  and flow past elliptic cylinder, 1199
  and fluid flow through slit, 1199
  Green's functions for, 1202
  and wave equation, 1197–1199
  diffraction through slit and, 1430
  Green's function for, 1191
  and Mathieu functions, 1198
  plane-wave expansion in, 1422
  radial functions for, 1410
  radiation from strip and, 1423, 1425
  scattering from strip and, 1428
  and separation of coordinates, 502, 514
  table, 657
waves inside ellipse, 1419
Elliptic equations, 688
  and Cauchy conditions, 689, 702
  and complex variables, 688
  difference equation for, 695
  and Dirichlet conditions, 696, 703
  and Neumann conditions, 698, 703
  normal form of, 698
Elliptic functions, 492, 333
  and line sources, two-dimensional array of, 1228
  and Mathieu functions, 1418
  and method of images, 1240
  and potential inside rectangle, 1251
  table of properties, 496, 490
Elliptic integrals and Mathieu functions, 1414
Ellipsoidal waves, 1842
Emission, diffuse, 1618, 1621
Energy, radiated by multipoles, 1880
  relativistic, 97
  uncertainty principle for, 241
Energy density for fields, for string, 126, 301
  for vector field, 310
Energy levels, for Coulomb potential, 632, 1603
  for harmonic oscillator, one-dimensional, 216, 1641
  three-dimensional, 1662
  for hydrogenic atoms, 1663
  variational principle for, 1114
(See also Eigenvalues)
Energy loss, on collision (see Collision) in duct, 1830
  in resonator, 1851
  in scattering, by cylinder, 1864
  by sphere, 1885
Ensemble of scatterers, 1494
  air bubbles in water, 1498
  Entire function (see Integral functions)
  Equation, of continuity (see Continuity) of motion, Hamilton's, 283
    Heisenberg, 87
    Lagrange, 281
  of physics (see Integral equations; Partial differential equations of
    physics)
  of state, 176
Essential singularity, 380, 481
Euler angles, 28, 62
Euler equation, 276–280, 318, 342
Euler transform as kernel for integral representations, 585
  bilinear covariant for, 585
  and hypergeometric function, 588
  and Legendre functions, 595
  modulation factor for, 587
Euler's algorithm, 395, 399
  and application to hypergeometric series, 397
  generalization of, 396
  Euler-Mascheroni constant, 492
  Exchange charge density, 1741
  Exchange scattering, 1742
  Excitation of resonator, by current, 1853
    by wave guide, 1855
  Expansion factor for dyadic, 55, 60
(See also Spur)
  Expansions, of kernels, finite, 950
    simple, 68
  Expansive friction, 139
  Expectation values, 232, 238, 1639
  Exponential born, 3354
  Exponential integral, 444
  generalized, 1647
  Exponential potential in quantum mechanics, 1670
    Born approximation for, 1695
    scattering from, 1697
Index

Exponential potential in quantum mechanics, variation-iteration method for energy levels for, 1700

variational calculation of energy levels for, 1696

variational method for scattering from, 1702

Extinction cross section, 1488

Extrapolation method in variation-iteration method, 1143

Field momentum density, for Klein-Gordon equation, 317, 315

for Schrödinger equation, 315, 344

for string, 303, 340

in tables, 341–345

Fields, 1
dyadic, 64

(See also Dyadics)
electric, 291

electromagnetic (see Electromagnetic field)
general properties, 319–321

irrotational, 18

magnetic, 202, 1791, 1798, 1803

scalar, 4–8, 301–318, 1175 et seq.
singularities of, 20

variational principles for, 301–337

vector, 8 21, 315–337, 1762 et seq.
vorticity of, 41

Fission and diffusion, 1585–1004

Flexible string (see String)

Euler's theorem, 567

Flow, of energy (see Field intensity)
lines of, 12

of liquid through porous solid, 172

of mass, 172

resistance, 1198

(See also Compressible flow; Fluid flow; Heat flow; Irrotational flow; Viscosity; Viscous flow)

Flow function, 13, 1180, 1220

and complex variables, 356

Fluid flow, 151 171, 307–313

and analytic functions, 1219, 1227

Bernoulli's equation, 161

boundary conditions, 156

continuity equation for, 153

past cylinders, circular, 1185

elliptic, 1199

two, 1212

in ducts, 1257, 1201

forces due to, 1221

incompressible (see Incompressible fluid flow)

irrotational, 153

kinetic energy of, 307

Laplace equation for, 155, 307

Maclaurin number, 166

through orifice, circular, 1294

potential energy of, 308

shock waves and, 108

through slit, 1195, 1197, 1756

source and sink for, 153

past sphere, 1266

past sphereoid, oblate, 1293

subsonic and supersonic, 155

variational principle for, 307

velocity potential for, 307

Fokker-Planck equation, 443, 449

Fokker-Planck function, 443

Fokker-Planck kernel, 443

Fokker-Planck equation, 443, 449

Fokker-Planck function, 443

Fokker-Planck kernel, 443

Factorization of Sturm-Liouville equation, 729–736

and Gegenbauer polynomials, 731

and harmonic oscillator, 724, 729

and recurrence relations, 735

tabulation of method and results, 788

in Weyl-Hörmander method, 679

general method for, 987

illustration, 981, 982, 1526, 1536

Faltung theorem for Fourier transforms, 464, 483

and kernels of form \( e^{\xi} x, \) 364, 972

for Laplace transforms, 468, 484

for Mellin transforms, 470, 485

Faraday's law of electric induction, 295

Feenberg perturbation formulae, 1010–1018

application to Mathieu equation, 1017

convergence of, 1015

with nonorthogonal functions, 1035

table, 1103

Field impedance, 1394

of charge, moving, 212, 841

due to currents, 1788, 1791, 1798, 1803

to dipoles, 1276, 1868, 1878

Field intensity, 320

for diffusion equation, 344

for Dirac equation, 430, 449

for elastic media, 323, 325, 345

for electromagnetic field, 215, 331, 346

(See also Poynting vector)

for fluid, 300, 312, 343

for Klein-Gordon equation, 317, 345

for Schrödinger equation, 316, 344

for string, 128, 305, 343

in tables, 341–345

Field momentum density, 320

for diffusion equation, 344

for Dirac equation, 336, 346

for elastic media, 323, 325, 345

for electromagnetic field, 330, 346

for fluid, 312, 343
Fluid flow, viscous, 160, 1185
equation for, 160
stresses in, 158
vorticity, 153, 1185
Fluids, incompressible (see Incompressible fluids)
Flux, particle, 1014
Force, on charge and currents, 205
due to fluid flow, 1221
on flexible string, 120–122
Forced motion, of elastically braced string, 140
and Fourier transform, 131, 1333
of torsional waves in rod, 1844
of vibrating string, 120
Form factor, 1691, 1742
Forward scattering, distribution function for, 1610, 1748, 1750
and total cross section, 1969, 1546
Four-vector potentials, 206, 326
Four-vectors (see Vectors)
Fourier integral, 453
and quantum mechanics, 241
and transients, 131, 1333
(See also Fourier transforms)
Fourier integral theorem, 453, 458
and continuous eigenvalue distribution, 763
Fourier inversion formula, 453
Fourier series, completeness of, 571
and eigenfunction series, 743, 745
and Fourier transform, 454
Gibbs' phenomenon for, 745
and Green's functions, 710
and Mathieu's functions, 564, 573
and vibrating string, 135
Fourier transforms, 452–471
analytic properties, 459
asymptotic behavior, 462
and cosine transforms, 455
faltung theorem for, 464, 483, 964, 972
and forced vibrations, 1333
and Fourier series, 454
and Green's functions, 1361
and integral equations, 942, 960–992
and integral theorem, Fourier, 483, 488
and Laplace transform, 467
and Mellin transform, 469
and Parseval's theorem, 456
and Poisson sum formula, 466
and sine transform, 456
tabulation, 483
Fourier-Bessel integral, 766
Fractions, continued, 557
Fraunhofer diffraction, 887
Fredholm equation, of first kind, 904,
925–949, 992, 994
and differential equations, 945

difference equations, 945
Fredholm equation, of first kind, and
generating functions, 935
and Green's functions, 939
and moment problem, 947
series solution of, 926
and transforms, 942
Wiener–Hopf solution of, 990
of second kind, 949–960, 992, 995
classification of, 961
and Fourier transforms, 960–992
and generating functions, 984
and Green's functions, 982
and Hankel transforms, 962
homogeneous, 966
inhomogeneous, 959, 969, 972, 960
Fredholm perturbation method, 1018–
1026
applied to Mathieu's equation, 1025
modified formulas for, 1033
with nonorthogonal functions, 1086
Fredholm series for scattering, 1078
for penetration throughout potential barrier, 1081
Free path, mean, 178
Free states in quantum mechanics, 1630
for many-particle problems, 1728
Frequency, 125
resonant (see Resonant frequencies)
Frequency cutoff, 1442
Fresnel diffraction from knife edge, 1386
Fresnel integrals, 816, 1386
Friction, expansive, 150
of vibrating string, 137, 1335
Functional series for differential equations, 570–577
completeness of, 571
and integral representations, 576
and recurrence relations, 571

G

Gamma functions, 419–425
analytic continuation, 304
and beta functions, 425
contour integral for, 420
derivatives of, 422
duplication formula for, 425
infinite product for, 421
integral representation of, 394
recurrence relation of, 419
singularities of, 420
Stirling's approximations, 424, 443
table of properties, 486
Gauge, 267, 210–212, 1875
Gauss' theorem, 37
for dyadics, 66
Gegenbauer functions, 547–549, 600–604
addition formula, 784
Gegenbauer functions, and Bessel functions, 621
density function for, 782
eigenvalues and, 733
factorization of equation for, 731, 734
and finiteness boundary condition, 715
functions expressible as, 782
generating function, 602
and hypergeometric function, 601, 783
and integral equations, 938
joining formulas, 602
and Mathieu functions, 635
second solution of equation for, 693
and spheroidal functions, 573, 643
table of properties, 782
and Tchebycheff polynomials, 604
Gegenbauer polynomials, 782
Generating functions, 748–751, 935
for Bessel functions, 620
for Gegenbauer polynomials, 602, 782
for Hermite polynomials, 786
for Laguerre polynomials, 784
for Legendre functions of second kind, 753
for Legendre polynomials, 597, 748–751
and semidiagonal kernels, 936, 964
and trigonometric functions, 1321
Generation of electromagnetic waves in
duct, 1826
input impedance for, 1897
Geometrical optics and short-wave-
lengths approximation, 1106
Gibbs' phenomenon, 745
Gradient, 31, 44, 115
Green's function, 791–895
in abstract vector space (see Green’s
functions)
for boundaries, 701, 710, 797, 799, 801,
810
dependence on wave number, 821, 832
for difference equation, 700
for boundary, 701, 710
and random flight, 702
reciprocity of, 701
for diffusion equation, 811, 857 869,
1587, 1593, 1597
for age, 199
eigenfunction expansion for, 864
image method for, 863
for infinite domain, 860
for inhomogeneous problem, solution of, 860
for nonuniform scattering, 191
table of properties, 894
discontinuities in, 800, 801, 805
eigenfunction expansion for, 812, 822, 892
Green's function, and generating func-
tions, 862
for Helmholtz equation, scalar, 803–
833, 887, 888
boundary conditions for, 810
for circle, 1372
in circular cylindrical coordinates, 888, 1520
for duct, 1515
eigenfunction expansion for, 820–
832, 892
in elliptic coordinates, 1421
and Fourier transforms, 1361, 1550
and image method, 812–816
for infinite domain, 822
and inhomogeneous problem, 805–
807
and Laplace transform, 1343
in parabolic coordinates, 1405
for parallel planes, 814
and plane-wave expansions, 827
in polar coordinates, 823, 827, 1372
in rectangular coordinates, 823,
1365, 1366, 1434
in spherical coordinates, 887, 1466,
1469
in spheroidal coordinates, prolate, 1507
table of properties, 891
with variable boundary admit-
tance, 1367
for vibrating string, 125, 833,
1343, 1346
(See also wave equation, scalar, below)
vector, 1769, 1777
for elastic waves, 1783
for electromagnetic waves, in duct, 1825
in resonator, 1850
expansion in eigenfunctions, 1777
for infinite domain, 1778
and inhomogeneous problem, 1770
longitudinal, 1779
spherical cavity, 1873
in spherical coordinates, 1874
transverse, 1779
and image method, 812–817, 863
for infinite domain, 822
and inhomogeneous problems, 805
integral equation for, 890, 914
integral representation for, 415, 892
for Klein-Gordon equation, 130, 854
for Laplace equation, 799, 887, 1175,
1179, 1188
scalar, 798, 800
for bipolar coordinates, 1213
Index

Green's function, for Laplace equation, 1301
  for spherical coordinates, 1175, 1179, 1256
    within rectangular enclosure, 1258
  for circular cylinder coordinates, 1263
for elliptic coordinates, 1202
  by image method, 812-816
  for infinite domain, 822
  for parabolic coordinates, 1208, 1209
  and Poisson integral formula, 814
  for polar coordinates, 1188
  for spherical coordinates, 1273
  for spheroidal coordinates, oblate, 1295
  prolate, 1291
for static string, 123
toroidal, 1304
table of properties, 891
vector, 1788
  in polar coordinates, 1798
  in spherical coordinates, 1801
  and plane waves, 827
  and reciprocity, 701, 790, 804, 838, 854, 858, 866, 872, 882, 890
  for Schrödinger equation, two-particle, 1711, 1730
  singular nature of, 808-810
for Sturm-Liouville equation, 832
  symmetry of (see reciprocity, above)
table of properties, 890-894
for telegraphist's equation, 866
for wave equation, scalar, 834-857
  boundary conditions for, 834
  eigenfunction expansion of, 849
  image method for, 848
  for infinite region, 838-846
    one dimension, 843
    three dimensions, 836
    two dimensions, 842
  initial conditions for, 834
  reciprocity and, 834
table of properties, 893
(See also Helmholtz equation, scalar, above)
vector, 1789
(See also Helmholtz equation, vector, above)
Green's functions, in abstract vector space, 878-886
  and Green's operator, 881
  and Hermitian operators, 883
  and non-Hermitian operators, 884
  and reciprocity, 882
Green's functions, and integral equation, 939, 952
  for inhomogeneous integral equation, 993
Green's operator, 881, 912
  expansion of, 883, 886
Green's theorem, 863
  generalization of, 870
  vector, 1767
Grid potential, 1234

II
Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 292
  and angle and action variables, 294
  and Schrödinger equation, 1106
Hamiltonian, and energy, 282
  and Hamilton's canonical equation, 283
  and quantum theory, 242-244, 250-251, 253-254, 258
  time-dependent, 254-255
Hamiltonian density, 304, 319, 342
  for diffusion equation, 344
  for Dirac equation, 336, 346
  for elastic field, anisotropic, 325, 345
  isotropic, 322, 345
  for electromagnetic field, 328, 345
  for fluids, compressible, 312, 343
  for Klein-Gordon equation, 317, 344
  for Proca equation, 221
  for Schrödinger equation, 345, 346
  for string, 304, 345
  table, 343-346
Hamilton's canonical equation, 283
  and impedance, 284
Hamilton's canonical equations for fields, 319
  for electromagnetic field, 328
  for Schrödinger equation, 315
  for string, 304, 342
  for vector fields, 310
Hamilton's principle, 280
  and Lagrange equation of motion, 281
Hankel functions, of first kind, 623
  asymptotic formula, 622, 630, 631
  and Bessel functions of first kind, 624
  and Green's functions, 823
  expansion of, in eigenfunctions, 827
    in plane waves, 823
  integral representation of, 623
  spherical, 622
(See also Bessel functions; Neumann functions)
  of second kind, 624
  asymptotic formula for, 630, 631
  and Bessel functions of first kind, 624
  integral representation of, 624
(See also Bessel functions; Neumann functions)
Hankel transforms, 944, 962, 994
Harmonic oscillator, classical, two-dimensional, 292
quantum, coupled, 1718
one-dimensional, 244–247, 1640–1644
eigenfunctions for, 246
energy levels of, 245
factorization of equation, 729
in momentum space, 1650
perturbation of, 1649
three-dimensional, 1662
in electric field, 1677
momentum wave functions for, 1679
Harmonics, ellipsoidal, 1306
sectoral, 1264
spherical, 1264, 1463
complex, 1463
Ferrer's, 1286
Hilbert's, 1286
tesseral, 1264
toroidal, 1322, 1329
vector, spherical, table, 1808
spiral, 1264, 1322, 1463
vector, table, 1900
Heat flow, 173
and analytic functions, 1219, 1226, 1227
in bar, long, 1257
in cylinder, circular, 1190, 1259
D-shaped, 1234
elliptic, 1204
diffusion constant for, 173
in rectangular prism, 1178, 1258
in sound propagation, 268
in sphere, 1604
transient, 1585, 1590
Heating, radiative, 1588
Heisenberg equation of motion, 87
Helium, liquid, 1716
Helium atom, 1734
Helmholtz equation, scalar, 125, 306, 1360–1582
Green's function for (see Green's function)
Lagrange density for, 306
separability, 101–104, 646–648
table of separable coordinates, 656–666
for string, vibrating, 125
variational method for, 1112–1114, 1132
for radiation problems, 1136
for scattering, 1134
(See also Wave equation, scalar)
vector (see Vector Helmholtz equation)
Helmholtz resonator, scattering from, 1490
Hermite functions, 786
factorization of equation for, 789
and harmonic oscillator, 246, 1640
integral equation for, 964
and integral equations, 935, 948
and Mathieu functions, 1408
and Weber functions, 1416
Hermitian adjoint, 83, 772
Hermitian kernel, 992
Hermitian operators, 83, 772, 880
eigenvalues of, reality, 728, 773
eigenvectors for, 774
positive definite, 774
variational principle and, 1109
Hilbert transforms, 372, 944
Hill's determinant, 560
Hole in infinite plane, diffraction by, 1520
Homogeneous boundary conditions, 679
and eigenfunctions, 713
Homogeneous differential equation, 408
Homogeneous integral equation, 949–950
and Fourier transforms, 966, 978
and Mellin transforms, 976
and Volterra equation, 920
Horn, exponential, 1304
Huygens' principle, 847
Hydrogen atom, 270, 632, 1663, 1738
Hylleraas coordinates, 1737
Hyperbolic coordinate system and Laplace equation, 1206
Hyperbolic equations, 681
and Cauchy boundary conditions, 683, 704
difference equation for, 696, 703
and Dirichlet boundary conditions, 686, 704
and Neumann boundary conditions, 686, 704
normal form of, 682
solution of, 685
Hyperbolic functions, 1320
Hypergeometric function, confluent (see Confluent hypergeometric function)
Hypergeometric functions, 541–547, 587–593
analytic continuation, 545, 582, 591
confluent form of, 551
equation for, 542
and Euler transform, 588
functions expressible by, 544
Gegenbauer polynomials, 547, 601, 769
Jacobi polynomials, 780
Legendre, 544, 548, 565, 598, 601
Tschebyscheff, 544
generalized, 473
integral representations of, 580, 588, 592, 593, 608
Hypergeometric functions, integral representations of, for second solution, 592
joining equation for, 546, 581, 591
series for, 388, 542
on circle of convergence, 388
and Euler's algorithm, 397
table of properties, 668-671

I

i, definition of, 73, 350
Idemfactor, 87
Image space in scattering, 1383
Images, 812-816
and analytic functions, 371
and bipolar coordinates, 1180
and boundary conditions, 696
for concentric cylinders, 1242
for cylinder, 1233
for diffusion equation, 852, 1587, 1593
for Dirichlet conditions, 812
and eigenfunctions, 817
and harmonic functions, 1240
and Green's function, 812-816, 848
for Neumann conditions, 813
for parabolic coordinates, 1208
for parallel plates, 811-816, 1240
periodic distribution of, 1240
and Poisson integral formulae, 373, 814
and polar coordinates, 1189
for rectangular prism, 1243
series of, 814
for sphere, 1276, 1317
Impedance, acoustic, 310, 1349, 1367
for Helmholtz resonator, 1492
analogous for sound waves in tube, 1355
and analytic functions, 372
boundary, 1366, 1814, 1817
and reflection from lined duct, 1522
and scattering from sphere, 1489
characteristic, 294
for dissipative systems, 299
driving point, 130
for waves on bar, 1845
dyadic, 285, 333
for elastic media, 325
for vector waves, 1815
effective, hole in Helmholtz resonator, 1492
for klystron, 1692
for membrane in circular duct, 1453
for piston in sphere, 1483
for rectangular duct, with constriction, 1447
with elbow bend, 1451
for string, 128
Impedance, effective, for transverse wave, 1822, 1833
electric, 1822, 1833
magnetic, 1822, 1833
for vibrating sphere, 1480
field, 1394
and Hamilton's canonical equations, 281
input, 285
for electromagnetic waves in duct, 1547
mechanical, 128
of medium, 151
for multipole radiation, 1868, 1869
principal values of, 285
radiation, 899
and reactivity, 390
and resistance, 390
and resonant frequencies, 287
transfer, 285
transverse mechanical, 129
of tube, 1460
wave, 128, 111, 220, 310
Impulse function, 1338
for circle, wave inside, 1373
and Green's functions, 1342
for string, with elastic support, 1343
with nonrigid support, 1346
for tube, sound waves in, 1351
Incident plane, 1813
Incoherent scattering, 1496
Incompressible fluid flow, 153, 154
and Bernoulli's equation, 161
and Laplace equation, 155
source for, 153, 161
(See also Fluid flow)
Incompressible viscous flow, 153
(See also Incompressible fluid flow)
Indefinite kernel, 905, 916
Independent solutions of differential equations, 524
Index of refraction, 1815
and scattering from sphere, 1496
Indicial equation for differential equations, 527
Inductance, analogous, for sound waves in tube, 1356
Induction, magnetic, 292
Inelastic scattering, 1710
Inequalities, Bessel, 82
Schwarz, 82
in variation-iteration method, 1139
Inertia of orifice, 1295
and effective mass, 1295
 Infinite product, for gamma functions, 421
for integral functions, 384
Inhomogeneous boundary conditions, 679
differential equations, 493
solution of, 529
Index

Inhomogeneous equations, variational principle for, 111

Inhomogeneous Fredholm integral equation, 959, 963
and Fourier transforms, 965
and Laplace transforms, 972
and Mellin transforms, 977
and Wiener-Hopf equation, 990

Inhomogeneous partial differential equations, 792
solution of, 806, 836, 859

Inhomogeneous vector Helmholtz equation, 1776

Initial-value problems for scalar wave equation, 813-847
D'Alembert's solution of, 841
Poisson's solution of, 817
for telegraphy equation, 868
for two dimensions, 845
Input impedance, 285
Integral, net circulation, 18
net outward, 16, 54
Integral equations, 890-906
in abstract vector space, 907
adjoint, 910
for distribution functions, 1017
for diffuse emission and reflection, 182, 1621
and Milne equation, 182, 985-990, 994, 1024
for uniform scattering, 182
for eigenfunctions, 903
for ellipsoidal harmonics, 1309
and Fourier transforms, 942, 960-992
Fredholm, of first kind, 943, 925
of second kind, 942, 925
general properties of, 907-926
and Laplace transform, 942, 972
for Mathieu equation, 631
and Mellin transform, 942, 976
Milne, 182, 985-990, 1017, 1624
for moment problem, 917
for propagation in ducts, 1516, 1522
for radiation, 1540
from circular duct, 1530
for scattering, 1056, 1072, 1254
for amplitude, scattered, 977
and Born approximations, 1073
for boundary perturbations, 1070
Fredholm series for, 1078
for Schrödinger equation, 1071
table of properties, 992
for variational principle for, 912, 1120
Volterra, 905, 920, 972
Wiener-Hopf method for, 978-992

Integral functions, 382, 451
infinite product for, 385

Integral representation for solutions of differential equations, 577-646
and adjoint, 583
for Bessel functions, 649, 690
spherical, 622
and Euler transform, 585
and functional series, 574
for Hankel functions, 623, 624
for hypergeometric function, 579, 588, 592, 604, 609
confluent, 605, 672
kernel for, 582
Legendre function, 595, 597
for Mathieu functions, 633
modulation factor for, 582
and power series, 578
and separated wave equation, 637
for spherical functions, 1505
table, 660, 672
of Laplace equation, in coordinates,
circular cylinder, 1202
parabolic, 1207
spherical, 1270
spheroidal, oblate, 1295
prolate, 1288
and Legendre functions, 1275
of vector wave equation, 1805
of wave equation, 148
in spherical coordinates, 1467
in spheroidal coordinates, 1505

Integrals, evaluation by calculus of residues, 408
involving branch points, 410, 472
involving residue, 16

Integration in complex plane, 362
and calculus of residues, 468
and Cauchy's integral formula, 367
and Cauchy's theorem, 363
Integration factor and adjoints, 527
Intensity, field (see Field intensity) of sound wave, 1374

Internal heating, transient, of slab, 1590
Invariance, 4, 37, 45, 55, 95
in classical mechanics, 290
gauge, 207, 210-212, 1875
Lorenz (see Lorentz invariance)

Inverse-cube law of force in quantum mechanics, 1665

Inverse function, 301
inversion of series, 411
Inversion operator, uniqueness of, 1110
Inversion, in conformal mapping, 451
and inversion circle, 152
with respect to sphere, 1317
and parity, 1723
of series, 411

Inversion formula, Fourier, 453
Laplace, 488
Index

Iris in duct, approximations for, 1517
of circular cross section, 1514
rectangular cross section, 1443
integral equation for, 1516
transmission factor, 1515
variational principle for, 1516
Irregular singular point, 534
equation with one, 537
and one regular, 550
and two regular, 555
Irrotational fields, 18
Irrotational flow, 153
(See also Fluid flow; Incompressible fluid flow)
Isosceles right-triangle membrane, 756
Isotropic surfaces, 5
Isotropic elastic media, 322, 345
Isotropic scattering, integral equation for
distribution function, 1617
Iterate, 1138
Iterated kernels, 915
Iteration-perturbation (I-P) method, 1001–1010
in abstract vector space, 1018
convergence of, 1065, 1020, 1026
for Mathieu equation, 1008
modified, 1033
with nonorthogonal functions, 1035
for scattering (see Born approximation)
tabulation of formulas, 1162
and variational method, 1119
Iterations, method of minimized, 1155
three-term recursion formula for, 1157

J
Jacobi elliptic functions, 429
Jacobi polynomials, 780
normalization, 781
recurrence formula, 781, 1755
table of formulas, 1754
and two-particle Schrodinger equation, 1731
Joining formula, 577
for associated Legendre functions, 602
for confluent hypergeometric function, 608
for Gegenbauer functions, 602
for hypergeometric function, 546, 581, 590, 670

K
Kernels, of integral equations, 905, 912
classification of, 951–956
definite, 909, 910, 912
negative, 905, 909
positive, 905, 909
Kernels, of integral equations, factorable, 956
of form, \( v(x - x_2) \), 936, 960, 962, 966
and falling theorem, 964
and Fourier transforms, 960, 963–972
and Laplace transform, 972–975
and Wiener-Hopf method, 978–992
\( v(x + x_2) \), 969
\( v(x/\sqrt{x}) \), 976
indeterminate, 905, 916
iterated, 915
polar, 905, 909, 911
reciprocal, 915
semidefinite, 909, 916
semidiagonal, 952
singular, 921
intrinsically, 924
solving, 914
symmetric, 908, 912
table of types, 962
for integral representations, 582
and Euler transform, 585
and functions of \( x \), 644
and Laplace transform, 584
and solutions of wave equation, 636–638
Kinetic energy, average, 175
in fluid flow, 307
of string, 126
Kinetic potential, 280
Kirchhoff approximation, 1073
convergence of, 1074

Klein Gordon equation, 136, 316, 1343
density for, canonical momentum, 317
current and charge, 318
field momentum, 317
Lagrange, 316
dispersion and, 140
Green's function for, 139, 854, 1343
Hamiltonian, 317
impulse function for, 1343
and Proca equation, 221
reciprocity principle for, 854
and relativistic quantum theory, 256
Klystron electromagnetic resonator, 1859
effective impedance of, 1862
resonance frequencies of, 1862
Knife edge, Fresnel diffraction by, 1386, 1406
Kronecker delta function, 23

L
Lagrange density, 276, 318
for diffusion equation, 313, 344
for Dirac equation, 335, 346
Index

Lagrange density, for elastic media, anisotropic, 395, 345
  isotropic, 322, 345
  for electromagnetic field, 327, 346
  and Euler equation, 277, 342
  for fluid flow, 307, 343
  and invariance, 638
  for Klein-Gordon equation, 316, 344
  for Laplace equation, 307
  for Schroedinger equation, 314
  for string, 363, 343
  table, 341–346
  and variational integral, 276, 341
  for vector fields, 318
  for wave equation, 304, 308, 333
Lagrange function, 229, 280
  for charged particle, 294
  and conjugate momenta, 229
  and conjugate variables, 229
  for dissipative systems, 288
  and Hamilton's principle, 280
  and Lagrange equation of motion, 281
  and momentum, 281
  for relativistic particle, 297
Lagrange multipliers, 278
Lagrange-Euler equation, 276–280, 318, 342
Legendre polynomials, 784
  associated, 784
  and Coulomb potential, 1664, 1668
  factorization method and, 789
  integral equation for, 904
  and integral equations, 907
  table of properties, 784
  and three-dimensional oscillator, 1662
Lame equation, 648
  and ellipsoidal harmonics, 1306
  and Laplace equation, 1305
Laplace equation, 7, 1173–1530
  and bipolar coordinates, 1210
  in bipherical coordinates, 523, 1298
  cartesian coordinates and (see Cartesian coordinates)
  in cartesian coordinates, 709, 1175, 1256
  in circular cylinder coordinates, 1259
  and complex variables, 1215, 1252
  and conformal mapping, 1175, 1216, 1243–1252
  difference equation for, 695
  Dirichlet boundary conditions for, 703
  in ellipsoidal coordinates, 1304
  in elliptic coordinates, 1195
  Green's function for (see Green's function)
  and hyperbolic coordinates, 1209
  and incompressible fluid flow, 155
Laplace equation, Lagrange density for, 307
  maxima and minima, 7, 703
  and Neumann boundary conditions, 703
  in parabolic coordinates, 1207, 1296
  in polar coordinates, 1182
  separability of, in two dimensions, 490
  and conformal mapping, 490
  in three dimensions, 518
  and confocal cyclides, 519
  and modulation factor, 518
  in spherical coordinates (see Spherical coordinates)
  in spheroidal coordinates, oblate, 1292
  prolate, 1281
  in three dimensions, 1282–1309
  in toroidal coordinates, 522, 1301
  in two dimensions, 1173–1252
  variational method for, 1108
  vector (see Vector Laplace equation)
  viscous flow, 1185
Laplace transform, 467
  and diffusion, 1596–1602
  and distribution function, 1624
  falling theorem for, 468, 484
  and forced vibration, 1339
  and integral equations, 944, 994
  inversion formula for, 468
  as kernel for integral representations, 584, 605
  and confluent hypergeometric equation, 605
  and Mathieu functions, 634
  and nonorthogonal eigenfunctions, 1348
  table, 1579
  of properties, 484
  and Volterra equation, 972
  (See also Fourier transform)
Laplacian, 6
  in curvilinear coordinates, 115–117, 338
  of vector, in curvilinear coordinates, 115 117
  in tensor notation, 51
  Laurent series, 378
  and isolated singularities, 380
  and multipoles in electrostatics, 379
Legendre equation, 594
  solution, of first kind, 545, 595
  of second kind, 545, 597
Wronskian, 599
Legendre functions, 515
  addition theorem for, 1274
  associated, 518
  addition formula for, 784
  density function for, 782
  derivative formula for, 601
eigenvalues and, 733
Index

Legendre functions, associated, factoriza-
tion of equation for, 731, 734, 789
and Ferrer's spherical harmonic, 1286
and finiteness boundary condition, 715
and Gegenbauer functions, 782
of half integral order, 1302
and Holub's spherical harmonic, 1286
and hypergeometric function, 601
joining formula for, 602
and Laplace equation, in bispherical
coordinates, 1299
in spherical coordinates, 1294
in spheroidal coordinates, oblate, 1962
prolate, 1285
normalization of, 783
polynomials for, 601
recurrence formulas, 735, 782
and second solution, 603
table, of formulas, 1325–1330
of values, 783
and tesseral polynomials, 549
and Tchebyscheff polynomials, 604
asymptotic behavior, 596
generalized, 548
and harmonics, 1264
as a hypergeometric function, 595
of imaginary argument, 1292, 1328
integral representation for, 595, 596, 1275
and Laplace equation, 1264
and Legendre functions of second kind, 599
and Legendre polynomials, 597
and multipoles, 1276
recurrence relations, 600
of second kind, 546, 652
asymptotic behavior of, 508
generating function for, 753
and hypergeometric function, 598
integral representation of, 507
and Legendre functions, 599
and Legendre polynomials, 752
recurrence relations for, 600
table, of formulas, 1327–1328
of values, 1521, 1525
Wronskian for, 599
Wronskian, 599
table of formulas, 1325–1330
tables of values of, 1920–1922, 1927
Legendre polynomials, 549, 748
completeness of, 572
derivative formula for, 601
formula for, 750, 751
and Gegenbauer polynomials, 782
generating function for, 597, 748
Legendre polynomials, integral equation
for, 903
and Legendre functions of second kind, 752
normalization, 751
and orthogonalization of powers, 780
powers, expansion of, 759
recurrence formulas, 749, 782
spheroidal function, expansion of, in,
573, 1503
tables of formulas, 783, 1326–1327
values, special, 750
Levels, virtual, 1074, 1658, 1686
Lift, 1228–1231
and analytic functions, 1228
and circulation about circular cylinder, 1228
in flow, past elliptic cylinder, 1202
past strip, 1230
Light, diffusion of, 180
Line, transmission, 218
Line integral, 17
(See also Integration in complex plane)
Linear differential equation, 402
Line sources, field due to distribution of,
1231
circular array, 1234
linear array, 1235
two-dimensional array, 1238
Lined duct, reflection in, 1522
Lines of flow, 12
Liouville's equation, (see Sturm-Liouville
equation)
Liouville's theorem, 381
Long-wavelength approximation, 1085
convergence of, 1091
for radiation, 1375, 1877
for scattering, 1380, 1430, 1484, 1862, 1864
for Schrödinger equation, 1088, 1066
effective range, 1091
and scattering length, 1067, 1080
Longitudinal component of vector field,
1761, 1763
Longitudinal current, 211
Longitudinal electromagnetic waves, 206, 211
Longitudinal circuit's dynamics, 1770
equation satisfied by, 1782
expansion for, 1782
Longitudinal vector eigenfunctions, for
circular cylinder coordinates, 1797
for rectangular coordinates, 1774
for spherical coordinates, 1800, 1808, 1865
Longitudinal waves, elastic, 142, 1813
in curvilinear coordinates, 1840
impedance, 151
Longitudinal waves, elastic, reflection of, 1814
in sphere, 1873
stress and strain for, 149, 1813
Lorentz invariance, 90
of D’Alembertian, 208
for electromagnetic field, 208
and Klein-Gordon equation, 256
of Lagrange density, 338
in quantum mechanics, 256
Lorentz transformation, 94–100
and current four-vector, 208
and Dirac equation, 262
of electric fields, 210
and electromagnetic field, 208
and gauge transformation, 210
and Klein-Gordon equation, 256
of magnetic fields, 210
and potential four-vector, 208
and relativistic particle motion, 297
of spinors, 102

M
Mach angle, 163, 169
Mach line, 166
and shock waves, 168
Mach number, 165
Maggi transformation, 1552
Magnetic dipole, induced, 1886
radiation from, 1868, 1879
energy of, 1880
Magnetic fields, 202
and currents, 203, 1798, 1803
under Lorentz transformation, 210
and permeability, 262
from rotating charged sphere, 1805
and vector Laplace equation, 1791
and vector potential, 208
Magnetic force, 1181
Magnetic induction, 202
Magnetic multipole, radiation from,
1869, 1879
energy of, 1880
Magnetic potential, 1181, 1190, 1204,
1205
and conformal mapping, 1218
from currents, 1206
outside of cylinders, two, 1214
of line sources, 1231, 1233
for linear array of, 1238
of wire in slot, 1236
Magnetic quadrupole, radiation from,
1879
energy of, 1880
Magnetic wave, transverse (see Transverse magnetic waves)
Magnetostatics (see Magnetic fields; Magnetic potential)
Magnitude of complex number, 350
Many-particle problems in quantum mechanics, 1709–1709
Mapping, conformal (see Conformal mapping)
Mass, effective, of circular orifice, 1295
of rectangular duct with constriction, 1447
Mass flow, 172
Mathieu functions, 556-568, 633–642,
1406–1421
approximations for, 1112–1119
Fredholm perturbation, 1017
Fredholm, 1025
iteration-perturbation, 1008
variation-iteration, 1031
WKG, 1111
and continued fractions, 557, 565
eigenvalues for, 557
and elliptic functions, 1418
even, 563–565
and Fourier series, expansion in, 557
and Hermite functions, 1417
and Hill’s determinant, 560
integral equation for, 634
normalization of, 564
odd, 563–565
periodic, 562, 573
product expansion of, 639
radial, of first kind, 655, 640, 1410
approximations for, 1414
asymptotic behavior, 1410
Bessel function, expansion in, 635
product expansion of, 640
table of formulas, 1568–1573
value and slope of, 640
and wave equation, 1410
of second kind, 567, 635, 640, 1410
approximations for, 1414
asymptotic behavior, 1411
Neumann function, expansion in,
635
product expansion of, 640
table of formulas, 1568–1573
value and slope of, 640
Wronsian, 640
of third kind, 642
and recursion formulas, 557
table, of formulas, 673, 1568–1573
of values, 1933–1937
and wave equation, 1408–1432
Wronsian, 568
Matrices and operators, 772
diagonal, 777
Matrix elements, 1648
Matrix sum rule, 1742
Mean free path, 178
and scattering cross section, 178
Measurement in quantum mechanics, 231
and operators, 231
and probabilities, 232
Mechanical impedance, 125
Mechanics (see Classical mechanics; Quantum mechanics)
Mellin transform, 469
faltung theorem for, 470, 485
and Fourier transform, 469
and integral equations, 944, 976, 995
as kernel for integral representations, 585
table, 485
Membrane, vibrations of, in duct, 1452
elliptic, 757
isosceles right triangle, 755
rectangular, 754
in rigid plate, 898
variational principle for, 343, 1114
Meromorphic functions, 382, 481
expansion in partial fractions, 583
Mihne equation, 182, 187, 985, 1617
solution, by variational method, 1628
by Wiener-Hopf method, 985–990, 1624–1625
Minimax, 440
Mixed tensor, 46, 55
Modulation factor, for integral representations, 589
for Euler transform, 587
for hypergeometric equation, 588
in separation of variables, 518
Modulus, compressibility, 162
e of elliptic function, 433
Moment of inertia, 56
Moments of distribution function, 1614
Momentum, 281
angular (see Angular momentum)
average, 175
conjugate, 229
density of, for field (see Field momentum density)
four-vector, 97
and Hamiltonian, 283
operator, 80, 231
and coordinate operator, 241
wave, 306
for flexible string, 306
for Schrödinger equation, 316
for sound wave, 312
Momentum density, canonical, 304, 320, 342
for diffusion equation, 313, 344
for Dirac equation, 346
for elastic media, 345
Momentum density, canonical, for electromagnetic field, 328, 346
for fluid flow, 306, 343
for Klein-Gordon equation, 317, 345
for Schrödinger equation, 314, 344
for string, 304, 343
table, 341–346
for vector fields, 320
Momentum space in quantum mechanics, 80, 239, 1077, 1638, 1649, 1678
and coordinate space, 241
and Coulomb wave functions, 1679
and Fourier integral theorem, 241
and harmonic oscillator, 1650, 1679
and Schrödinger equation, 243
transformation function for, 239
Momentum transfer cross section, 188, 193
Multinomial theorem, 412
Multiply connected regions, 363
Cauchy's theorem for, 365
Multipoles, 1276–1283
radiation from, 1809, 1877–1880
Multivalued functions, 398–408
and analytic continuation, 391
and branch lines, 399
and branch points, 391, 399
N
Natural boundary of function, 389
Neumann boundary conditions, 496, 706, 875
and boundary perturbations, 1039, 1060
and elliptic equations, 698, 703
and Green's functions, 807
and hyperbolic equations, 686
images for, 813
and parabolic equations, 705
variational principle involving, 1113, 1132
Neumann factor, 744
Neumann functions, 625–631
asymptotic formula for, 625
for large order, 630, 681
and confluent hypergeometric function, 625
and Hankel functions, 625
and Mathieu function of second kind, radial, 635, 1411
series for, 627, 1322
spherical, 622, 1465
asymptotic behavior, 1465
series, 1466
and spheroidal functions, radial, 1507
Neumann functions, spherical, table, of properties, 1573–1576 of values, 1926
(See also Bessel functions, spherical) table, of properties, 1222–1225, 1563–1565 of values, 1924
(See also Bessel functions; Hankel functions)
Neumann polynomials, 934
Neumann series, 934
Neutron diffusion, 173, 1505–1604, 1613–1624
of burst, 1602
and delayed neutrons, 1595
diffusion constant, 173
and slowing down, 1599
(See also Age theory; Milne equation)
Non-Hermitian operators, 884
Nonorthogonal eigenfunctions, 1345, 1366
perturbation method in terms of, 1034–1038
Nonpositive definite operators, 909, 916–921
variation-iteration method for, 1140–1155
Normal acoustic impedance, 311
Normal coordinates, 76
Normal impedance (see Boundary impedance)
Normal force, for elliptic equation, 688
for hyperbolic equation, 682
for parabolic equation, 691
Normal modes for weighted string, 134
(See also Eigenfunctions; Eigenvectors)
Normalization, 728
for continuous eigenvalue spectrum, 240, 764
for eigenfunctions, 728, 764
for eigenvectors, 236
evaluation of, 1055
for Gegenbauer polynomials, 783
for Hermite polynomials, 786
for Legendre polynomials, 785
of Mathieu functions, 564, 1409
table of values, 1036–1037

O
Oblate spheroidal coordinates, 514, 662
and flow through circular orifice, 1294
and flow past oblate spheroid, 1295
and Laplace equation, 1262
Green’s function for, 1295
integral representation of solutions, 1295
and Legendre functions of imaginary argument, 1292
Oblate spheroidal coordinates, and separation, 514, 662
and wave equation, 1512
Oscillations in quantum theory, 70
Operator, 76–80, 82–90, 769–778, 879–886
adjoint, 528, 583, 870, 874, 880
to differential, 874
Hermitian, 772, 880, 1109
to integral, 877
self–, 871, 1112
angular-momentum, 89
average value of, 232
commutation rules for, 238
commuting, 79
conjugate, 880
doordinate, 80, 235
do and definition of v, 350
differentiation as, 770
for Dirac equation, 259
dyadic, 55
equation for eigenvalues, 79, 718, 774–778, 1018
functions of, 233, 775
Green’s, 881, 883, 886, 912
Hermitian, 83, 772, 880
integral, 969
integration as, 770
inverse, 1110
and matrices, 772
and measurement in quantum mechanics, 231
momentum, 80, 231, 239, 1638, 1640
non-Hermitian, 884
nonpositive definite, 1149
positive definite, 144
projection, 112, 1010
quantum, 76–80, 82–90
rotation, 91
as quaternion, 75
in spinor form, 105
skew-Hermitian, 910, 918
spin, 88
Pauli, 104
spur of, 913, 916, 1023, 1144
time dependence of, 85, 249
transformation of, 85
unitary, 132
unitary, 84
variations of principles involving, 1108–1112
and weighted string, equation for, 131
limit to continuous string, 134
Ordinary points for differential equation, 530
Orifice, flow through, 1294
effective mass of, 1295
inertia of, 1295
Partial differential equations, parabolic, 694
  of physics, 110-273
  age equation, 197
  for compressible flow, linear approximation, 160
  for diffusion, 137, 173
  of light, 183
  for nonuniform scattering, 188
Dirac equation, 260
elastic medium, waves in, 142
elastically braced string, 138
electromagnetic field, 204, 205
electrostatics, 202
fluid flow, 156
Helmholtz equation, 125, 306
inhomogeneous, 762
  solution of, 806
Klein-Gordon equation, 138
Laplace equation, 156, 202
Maxwell equations, 204
Poisson equation, 262
Poisson equation, 221
Schroedinger equation, 242
Stokes-Navier equation, 160
string, waves on, 124
table, 271-272
transmission line, 218
viscous flow, 160
wave equation, scalar, 124
  vector, 142, 265
Partial fraction expansion, for meromorphic functions, 383
  for tan z, 384
Particle density, 1614
Particle diffusion, 173-200, 1592-1638
  and age equation, 197, 1599-1603, 1635-1638
  of burst, 1594
  continuity equation, 1614
  and density, 1614
  diffusion approximation for, 183, 1592-1596, 1603
  and distribution function, 174, 1606-1638
  emission of, diffuson, 1622
  forces, effect of external, 194
  for forward scattering, 1610, 1748, 1750
  integral equation for, 1617, 1632
  for isotropic scattering, 1617-1630
  Milne equation for, 187, 985, 1618, 1624-1630
  for nonuniform scattering, 189
  phase space and, 174
  reflection and, diffuse, 1619
  scattering and, diffuse, 1620
  slowing down by collisions and, 196, 1599-1603, 1631-1638

P
Pepperitz equation, 539
(See also Regular singular points, differential equation with three)
Parabolic cylinder functions, 553
(See also Parabolic coordinates, and wave equation; Weber functions)
Parabolic coordinates, 501, 515, 658, 660
  and Coulomb potential, 1067
  and Rutherford scattering, 1669
  and Laplace equation, in three dimensions, 1296
  and Bessel functions of imaginary argument, 1296
  Green's function in, 1298
  integral representation of, 1298
  in two dimensions, 1267
  Green's function in, 1298
  and wave equation, 1308-1407
  and diffraction by knife edge, 1406
eigenfunctions for interior problems, 1400
  Green's function in 1405
  plane-wave expansion, 1405
  and separation of variables, 501, 515
  and Weber functions, 1403
Parabolic equations, 173, 681
  boundary conditions for, 705, 706
difference equation for, 765, 778
  normal form of, 691
Paraboloidal coordinates and separation, 514, 664
Parity and inversion for quantum mechanics, 1723
Parseval's theorem, 456
Partial differential equations, elliptic, 688
  hyperbolic, 681
Index

Particle diffusion, steady-state, 1613
uniform space distribution and, 1608, 1632

variational method for, 1628

Particle flux, 1014

Particle wavelength, 225

Particles in electromagnetic field, 254
Pauli spin operators, 104
Penetration of potential barrier, 1075, 1659

Born approximation for, 1081
Fredholm series for, 1081

WKBJ approximation for, 1089

Periodic boundary conditions and eigenfunctions, 713

Periodic functions, 425

quotient, 127, 128

table of properties, 486–490

Permeability, 202

Perturbation, of boundary conditions, 1069–1062

eexample, 1047, 1367

secular determinant for, 1040, 1045

summary, 1046

table, 1106

for approximate Dirichlet conditions, 1043–1046

for approximate Neumann conditions, 1039–1043

(See also Impedance, boundary of boundary shape, 1052–1064

convergence, 1056

for Dirichlet conditions, 1066–1062

examples, 1062, 1387, 1392, 1435, 1443, 1447, 1472, 1490

for Neumann conditions, 1053–1055

resonant frequencies, 1054, 1439

secular equation for, 1054


Perturbation methods, in abstract vector space, 1018

Feenberg, 1010–1018

convergence, 1015

and Mathieu equation, 1017

Fredholm, 1019–1026

and Mathieu equation, 1025

modified, 1033

iteration, 1001–1010

convergence, 1005, 1020, 1026

for degenerate systems, 1673

and harmonic oscillator, 1647

and Mathieu equation, 1608

matrix element for, 1648

modified, 1033

with nonorthogonal functions, 1034

 secular, 1011

table of formulas, 1102–1164

Perturbation methods, in abstract vector space, variation-iteration, 1026–1032

and Mathieu equation, 1031

WKBJ (see WKBJ method) for scattering, 1064–1106

Born approximation, 1073, 1688

convergence of, and virtual levels, 1074

higher, 1076, 1602

examples, 1075, 1086, 1082, 1690

Fredholm, 1078

Krechhoff, 1073

long-wavelength, 1085–1091

convergence, 1091

for Helmholtz equation, 1085–1087

for Schrodinger equation, 1083–1091

short-wavelength, 1092–1105

barrier penetration, 1099

for Helmholtz equation, 1537–1544, 1551–1555

for phase shifts, 1101

WKBJ (see Scattering, short-wavelength approximation for)

Perturbations, surface, 909, 1038–1064

volume, 1060–1068

Phase of complex number, 350

Phase angles (see Phase shift)

Phase shift, 1068, 1072, 1081

Born approximation for, 1072, 1692

variational principle for, 1123–1128, 1160, 1701–1705

WKBJ approximation for, 1102

Phase space, 173

Photons, 223

energy of, 224

momentum of, 225

polarization, 267, 341

Planck's constant, 224

and uncertainty principle, 227

Plane of incidence, 1813

Plane wave, 145

for Dirac equation, 264

in elasticity, 323, 1813

reflection of, from plane, 4, 1818

stress-energy tensor for, 324

electromagnetic, 334, 1812

reflection of, from plane, 1813

in spherical coordinates, 1806

stress-energy tensor, 335

wave impedance, 325

expansion in elliptic coordinates, 1422

and Green's functions, 828

and integral representations, 828

in parabolic coordinates, 1405

in polar coordinates, 828, 1377
Index

Plane wave, of sound, 311
  stress-energy tensor for, 312
in spherical coordinates, 1466
in spherical coordinates, 1508
for two-particle Schrödinger equation, 1732
Poisson brackets, 229, 280
and quantum mechanics, 230
Poisson equation, 8, 58, 1173–1330
  boundary conditions for, 703
  difference equation and, 695
  for electrostatics, 202
  and Green’s function, 708
  for string, 121
  vector, 1791
  (See also Laplace equation)
Poisson integral formulas, 573, 814
Poisson’s ratio, 72, 1844
Poisson’s solution of initial-value problem, 847
Poisson’s sum formula, 467, 483
Polar coordinates, 512, 656
  and Laplace equation, 1183–1195
  and concentric cylinders, held for, 1184
  and cylinders in fields, 1184
  Green’s function for, 1188
  and internal heating, 1190
  and slotted cylinder, 1192
  and separability, 502
  and vector Laplace equation, 1705
  and static elasticity, 1706
  and viscous flow in ducts, 1795
and wave equation, 1371–1397
  Green’s function for, 825, 1372
  impulse function, 1373
  membrane, circular, 1372
  plane-wave expansion, 828, 1377
  radiation from circular boundary, 1373
  scattering, from cylinder, 1376
  from knife edge, 1383
  from slotted cylinder, 1387
  (See also Circular cylinder coordinates)
  Poincaré kernel, 965, 966, 911, 962
  Poles, 380, 460
  for gamma function, 420
Polygamma functions, 422, 122
Polygen, mapping of, 445, 1243
bounded by circular arcs, 451
(See also Schwarz-Christoffel transformation)
Polynomials, orthogonal, 781, 787
  and factorization, 730, 788
  Gegenbauer, 782
  (See also Gegenbauer functions)
  and generating functions, 748
Polynomials, orthogonal, Hermite, 786
  Jacobi (see Jacobi polynomials)
  Laguerre (see Laguerre polynomials)
  Legendre, 1325–1380
  (See also Legendre functions)
  and orthogonalization, 781, 928–935
tesseral, 540
Tchebyscheff, 782
  (See also Tchebyscheff functions)
Porous solid, flow of liquid through, 172
Position operator, 80
Positive definite kernel, 905, 906, 902
Positive definite operator, 774
Potential, advanced, 206, 673
  barrier, penetration of (see Penetration of potential barrier)
  electrostatic (see Electrostatics)
  energy, in fluid flow, 308
  of string, 126
  four-vector, 208, 326
  function, 14
  kinetic, 280
  retarded, 206, 840, 873
  scalar (see Scalar potential)
  surface, 13
  vector (see Vector potential)
  velocity (see Velocity potential)
Power series, 380
(See also Taylor series)
Poynting’s vector, 215, 331
Pressure, 156, 176, 1185
Principal axes of dyadic, 59
Principal extensions, 67
Principal stress, 71
Principal value of integral, 368n, 473
Probability in quantum theory, 80, 222
Poisson equation, 221, 271, 1891
Product of vectors, 10
Projection operator, 112, 1019
Prolate spheroidal coordinates, 514, 661
  and Laplace equation, 1284–1292
  Green’s function for, 1291
  integral representation of solutions, 1288
  potential, of prolate spheroid, 1286
  in plane, 1287
  of spheroid inside sphere, 1280
  uniform field, spheroid in, 1286
  and Schrödinger equation for electron in diatomic molecule, 646
  and separability, 514
  and wave equation, scalar, 1502–1511
  Green’s function for, 1507
  plane-wave expansion in, 1508
  solution in, 1502
  vector, 1801, 1802
Propagation vector, 1452
Propogation vector, 1452
Proper lengths, 65
Index

Q

Q of electromagnetic resonator, 1851–1852, 1871
Quadrics, confocal, and ellipsoidal coordinates, 511
and separability, 511
Quadripoles, electric, 1276
radiation from, 1869, 1876, 1880
Quantum mechanics, 225–265
Angular momentum in, 1661, 1721
average values in, 232, 1639
and central fields (see Central fields in quantum mechanics)
and classical mechanics, 222, 252
commutation rules, 238
Correspondence principle, 250
De Broglie’s relations, 225
Exponential potential in (see Exponential potential in quantum mechanics)
free states in, 1650, 1728
independent variables in, 233
Lorentz invariance in, 256
many-particle problems in, 1719–1745
measurement in, 231–232
momentum space in (see Momentum space in quantum mechanics)
operators, 78, 231
Planck’s constant, 224
Poison brackets and, 230
probability in, 80, 232
rotation operators, 91
Schroedinger equation and, 242
time-dependent, 251
time in, 251
transformation function, 236
two-particle problems in (see Two-particle problems in quantum mechanics)
uncertainty principle, 80, 88, 227
variation-iteration in (see Variation-iteration method)
(See also Schroedinger equation)
Quantum theory, abstract vector space and, 75, 231 et seq.
observables in, 79
rotation operator in, 91
transformation function in (see Transformation function)
uncertainty principle in (see Uncertainty principle)

R

Radial Schroedinger equation, 1661
for coulomb potential, 1663
for exponential potential, 1670
integral equation for, 1072
for inverse-cube law of force, 1665
long-wavelength approximation, 1088–1092
for oscillator, three-dimensional, 1663
scattering and, 1062, 1072, 1681
soluble cases, class of, 1670
variation-iteration method, 1658
variational method, for energy, 1117, 1686
for phase shift, 1123
WKBJ methods, 1101
(See also Central fields in quantum mechanics)

Radiation, electromagnetic, from current loop, 1881
from currents, 1875
from half-wave antenna, 1880
from multipoles, 1867
energy of, 1880
for long wavelengths, 1877
from strip carrying current, 1427
of sound, from circular boundary, 1373
from dipole source, 1479
from disk, vibrating, 1512
from duct termination, 1455, 1529
in long-wavelength limit, 1375, 1478
from many sources, 1480
from piston in sphere, 1481
reactance and, 1424, 1427
resistance and, 1395, 1424, 1427
in short-wavelength limit, 1375, 1475, 1537
from sphere, 1477
from strip, vibrating, 1423
Radiation impedance, 859
Radiation resistance, 866, 1305, 1424, 1427
Radiative heating, 1588
Radius of convergence for Taylor series, 377
and analytic continuation, 377
(See also Circle of convergence)
Ramsauer effect, 1684
Random flight and Green’s function, 702
Rayleigh-Ritz method, 1117
and secular determinant, 1118
Index

Rayleigh scattering, 1884
Resonance, 300
radiation, 895, 1424, 1427
and resistance, 373
(See also Impedance)
Real and imaginary parts of analytic functions, 371
and Hilbert transforms, 372
and images, 370
and impedances, 372
Reciprocal functions, 915
Reciprocity principle in abstract vector space, 883
and causality, 858
for difference equation, 701
for diffusion equation, 858
generalized, 572, 890
for Helmholtz equation, 804, 808
for Klein-Gordon equation, 864
for Laplace equation, 709
for scalar wave equation, 834
for scattering, 1131
for vector wave equation, 1769
Rectangular coordinates, 501, 513, 656
and wave equation, 1364, 1434
and Green's function for interior problems, 1365, 1434
and separation of variables, 501, 513
and strip inside rectangular enclosure, 1435
and vector waves, 1773, 1823
and electromagnetic resonators, 1849
(See also Cartesian coordinates)
Rectangular ducts, 1440, 1819
acoustic transients in, 1141
constriction in, 1443
effective impedance for, 1447
with elbow bend, 1448
electromagnetic waves in, 1819
Green's function for, 1823
Recurrence formulas, for Bessel functions, 619, 1322
spherical, 622, 1574
for confluent hypergeometric functions, 671
for gamma functions, 419
for Gegenbauer polynomials, 782
for Hermite polynomials, 766
for hypergeometric functions, 668
for Jacobi polynomials, 1755
for Laguerre polynomials, 784
for Legendre functions, 735, 782, 1395
for Legendre polynomials, 600, 749, 782, 1326
for polygamma functions, 423
for semicircular functions, 1756
for spherical harmonics, complex, 1662
Recurrence formulas, for Weber functions, 1566
Recursion formulas and differential equations, 539, 568
and difference equation, 540
three-term, 585, 587
two-term, 542, 570
Reflection, diffuse, 1619
of elastic wave, 1814, 1818
of electromagnetic wave, from end of duct, 1832
from plane, 1813
from strip, 1427
from wire across duct, 1838
for Schrödinger equation, 1071, 1075, 1094, 1055, 1660
Schwarz, 363
for sound waves in ducts, 1443
with constriction, 1443
with elbow bend, 1447
with iris diaphragm, 1514
lined, 1522
with termination, 1529
variational principle for, 1128, 1517
Reflection coefficient, 130, 1066, 1071, 1489
Born approximation for, 1081
Fredholm series for, 1081
WKB approximation for, 1100
Refraction, index of, 1815
and Snell's law, 1617
Region, multiply connected, 303
Regular singular points, 532
differential equation, with one, 536, 667
and one irregular, 550, 555
and Bessel equation, 553
and confluent hypergeometric function, 552
and Coulomb wave function, 550, 553
table of formulas, 671–673
with three, 537–549
and hypergeometric functions, 541
and Pappatacs equation, 539
standard form, 540
table of formulas, 667–671
with two, 536, 671
and one irregular, 555
and Mathieu's equation, 556
and indicial equation, 534
Relativistic energy, 97
Relativistic particle, Lagrange function for, 297
Relativity (see Lorentz transformation)
Relaxation oscillations and conductivity, 208
Reproduction rate, 1596
Index

Residues, 408, 481
calculus of, 408–419
Resistance, 360
flow, 1188
radiation, 899, 1305, 1424, 1427
and reactance, 373
(See also Impedance)
Resonance effects in scattering, 1302, 1490, 1684
Resonant frequencies, 287
variational principle for, 1112
(See also Eigenvectors: Resonators)
Resonators, acoustic, cylindrical with
slot, 1302
Helmholtz, 1490
rectangular room with strip, 1435
sphere, 1468
with hole, 1490
vibrating string in, 1472
electromagnetic, 1849
energy loss in, 1851, 1871
excitation, by current, 1853
by wave guide, 1855
Green's function for, 1850
klystron, 1859
Q of, 1851, 1871
rectangular parallelepiped, 1849
spherical cavity, 1870
containing small object, 1887
variational method for, 1895
Retarded potential, 206, 840, 873
Reynolds number, 1795
Riemann surfaces, 401
Right-handed coordinate system, 9, 23
Robertson condition and separability, 510
Rotation operator, 33
in quantum theory, 91
quaternion, 75
rigid, 61
spinor, 105
of spinors, 102
Rotational coordinates and separability, 646
Rutherford scattering, 1669, 1691
S
Saddle point, 440
(See also Steepest descents, method of)
Saloon and random flight, 702
Scalar, 46, 65
(See also Invariants)
Scalar fields, 4
general properties, 302–318
table, 343–345
variational principle, 302–318
Scalar potential, 53, 144, 1763
wave equation for, 206
Scalar product of vectors, 10
Scalar waves (see Wave equation, scalar)
Scale factors, 24, 504
and concentration points, 504
Scattering, Born approximation for, 1073, 1076, 1688, 1692, 1738
boundary conditions for, 1065
from central fields, 1681
Born approximation for, 1073, 1688
exponential potential for, 1687, 1695, 1702
long-wavelength approximation, 1068, 1686
phase shifts and, 1688, 1072, 1681
Ramanauer effects for, 1684
resonance effects for, 1684
of shielded atom, 1682
variation-iteration method for, 1704
variational method for, 1123, 1130, 1160, 1701, 1704
WKBJ method for, 1110
cross-section, 178, 1066, 1545, 1883
differential, 1066
and forward scattering, 1066, 1547
dyadic, 1897
of electromagnetic waves, by cylinder, 1862
by small object, 1887
by sphere, 1882
variational principle for, 1898
forward, distribution function for, 1610, 1748, 1750
Frederholm series for, 1078
higher Born approximations for, 1076, 1693
integral equation for (see Integral equations)
Kirchhoff approximation for, 1073
length, 1087, 1089
long-wavelength approximation for, 1685–1692, 1686
nonuniform, 188
perturbation methods, 1064–1106
Rayleigh, 1884
for Schrödinger equation, 1681
elastic and inelastic scattering, 1740
form factor and, 1691, 1742
particle exchange in, 1732
two-body problem in, 1738
Rutherford, 1669, 1691
variation-iteration method, 1704
variational method for, 1123, 1130, 1135, 1160, 1168, 1701, 1704
from shielded atom (see Atom)
short-wavelength approximation for, 1102, 1105, 1380, 1484, 1551
Scattering, of slow particles, 1686
of sound, by air bubbles in water, 1498
coherent, 1496
by cylinder, 1376
and effective width, 1379
long-wavelength limit, 1380
shadow, 1380
short-wavelength limit, 1380
with slit, 1387
by disk, 1522
by ensemble, 1194
by Hedinula resonator, 1490
incoherent, 1496
by parabolic boundaries, 1402
by sphere, 1483
with complex index of refraction, 1486
with impedance boundary condition, 1489
short-wavelength limit, 1485, 1551
by strips, 1428, 1540
variational principle for, 1541
variational method for (see Variational methods)
variational principle for, 1130, 1135, 1160, 1168, 1544, 1701, 1704
WKB method, 1102
Scattering amplitude, 1065, 1069, 1070, 1167
integral equation for, 1077
variational principle for, 1131, 1135, 1168, 1701, 1704
Schmidt method, 781–782, 928–929
tabulation, 781
Schrödinger equation, 1638–1745
angular momentum, 1661, 1721
central fields and, 1661–1681
charge density for, 1630
current density for, 1630
degenerate systems in, 1673
eigenvalue distribution of, 766–768
electron in diatomic molecule, 646
Frenkel series for, 1011
Friedel series for, 1018
general perturbations of, 1001, 1038, 1647
and geometrical optics, 1106
and Hamilton-Jacobi equation, 1106
integral equation for, 214, 1071, 1077
and WKBJ method, 1094
inversion and parity, 1723
Lagrangian density for, 314
long-wavelength approximation, 1088
many-particle problems in, 1709
in momentum space, 239, 1077, 1638, 1678
Schrödinger equation, in parabolic coordinates, 654, 1607
penetration of barriers and, 1099, 1650
radial (see Radial Schrödinger equation)
reflection and transmission, 1075, 1081, 1094, 1655
scattering for (see Scattering)
separability of, 494, 513, 656, 664
for short-range forces, 1614
stationary states in, 1639
stress-energy tensor for, 314
table of properties, 314
time-dependent, 251
time-dependent perturbations and, 251, 1645
two-particle problems, 1709
variation-iteration method for, 1026–1032, 1137–1146, 1165, 1098
variational method for, 1111, 1123, 1131, 1135, 1160, 1168, 1170, 1095, 1734
variational principle for, 314, 344
WKBJ method, 1062–1106
Schwarz inequality, 82
Schwarz reflection, 393
Schwarz-Christoffel transformation, 445, 446, 1243
examples, 447–451, 1241–1251
Secular determinant, 59, 1011, 1034
for boundary-condition perturbations, 1040, 1045
for boundary-shape perturbations, 1051
and variational method, 1118
for volume perturbations, 1011, 1034
Self-adjoint operators, 871, 1112
and symmetric kernel, 908
Semiinfinite functions, 1736
Semidefinite kernels, 909, 916
Semiinfinite kernels, 954
and generating functions, 954
Separability, of Helmholtz and Schrödinger equations, 405–522
and boundary conditions, 495
and confluent hypergeometries, 514
for coordinate systems, 497
Robertson condition for, 510
and separation constants, 498, 757
Stackel determinant and, 510
table of results and coordinate systems, 635–664
of Laplace equation in three dimensions, 518
and confluent hypergeometries, 519
and rotational coordinates, 646
tabulation, 655
of separation constant, 516, 757
of vector Helmholtz equation, 1704
Index

Separation constant, 498
and boundary conditions, 506
and separability of, 516, 757
Series, confluent hypergeometric, 552, 554, 617
eigenfunction, 726, 743, 745, 748
Fourier (see Fourier series)
hypergeometric (see Hypergeometric functions)
and integral representations, 578
inversion of, 111
Laurent (see Laurent series)
power, 386
(See also Taylor series)
and solution of differential equations, 530-576
summation, 413
Taylor series (see Taylor series)
Shadow, 1380, 1552
Shape change in waves, 145, 687, 843-847
Shear modulus, 71
pure, 69
simple, 68
wave (see Transverse waves)
Shearing stress, 71
Shielded atom (see Atom)
Shock front, 170
Shock waves, 168
Short-range forces, 1644
Short-wavelength limit, for radiation, 1375, 1479, 1537
for scattering, 1102, 1105, 1380, 118, 511
and Maggi transformation, 1532
for Schrödinger equation, 1602-1106
and geometrical optic, 1196
(See also WKB method)
Sine Fourier transform, 455
Sine z, infinite product for, 385
table of values, 1413-1014
Single-valued functions, 361
Singular kernel, 921, 938
intrinsically, 924, 993
Singular points for differential equations, 516
confluence of, 515
irregular, 532
regular, 532
Singularities of functions of complex variable, 358, 480
and branch points, 400
and classification of functions, 381
and conformal mapping, 361
essential, 380, 181
and inverse function, 361
isolated, 380
pole, 386
Sink for fluid motion, 153
Skew-Hermitian operator, 910, 918
Skin depth, 1831
Effective in scattering, 1604
Slit, diffraction by, 1430
fluid flow through, 1196, 1197, 1795
Slow particles, scattering of, 1686
Slow moving down, effect of, 196, 1509, 1603, 1631-1638
Small object inside hollow conducting sphere, scattering by, 1887
Snell's law, 1817
Solving kernel, 914
Sound waves and heat flow, 268
(See also Compressional waves in fluid; Ducts, sound waves in; Radiation of sound; Scattering of sound)
Source, for fluid motion, 153
for incompressible fluids, 157
moving, 212, 841
strength of, 17
Source point, 16
Sphere, charged rotating, 1803
elastic waves on, 1572
compressional, 1573
torsional, 1872
electromagnetic waves inside, 1870
Green's function for, 1872
heating of, 1064
hollow flexible, vibrations of, 1469
radiation from, 1477
radiation from piston in, 1481
scattering by (see Scattering) vibrating inside string, 1472
Spherical Bessel functions (see Bessel functions)
Spherical coordinates, 514, 658
and elastic waves on sphere, 1872
and Laplace equation, 1264-1284
and charged spherical cap, 1269
and charged wire in sphere, 1275
and dielectric sphere in uniform field, 1296
and flow past sphere, 1766
Green's function for, 1273
and hole, spherical shell with, 1283
integral representation of solutions of, 1270
magnetic field from current loop, 1207
and multipoles, 1276-1283
and off-center spheres, field of, 1272
and potential of sphere, 1265
vector, 1790
and elastic distortion of sphere, 1807-1816
and field, of charged rotating sphere, 1803
of wire loop, 1845
Spherical coordinates, and Laplace equation. Vector. Green’s function for, 1801
vector eigenfunctions for, 1799
and viscous flow about sphere, 1804
and scalar wave equation, 1462–1502
Green’s function in, 1466, 1469
and Helmholtz resonator, 1490
and plane-wave expansion, 1466
and radiation, from piston in sphere, 1481
from sphere, 1477
and scattering, 1493–1494
by Helmholtz resonator, 1490
by sphere, 1483
with complex refraction index, 1484
with impedance boundary conditions, 1489
short-wavelength approximation, 1551
vibration, of hollow flexible sphere, 1469
inside sphere, 1408
of string inside sphere, 1472
and vector wave equation, 1865
and dipoles and multipoles, 1867
Green’s function in, 1874
and longitudinal waves, 1865
and plane-wave expansion, 1866
radiation in, 1867, 1875
and resonator, 1870
and scattering, 1882
Spherical harmonics, 1264, 1463
addition theorem for, 1274
complex, 1463
Perrin’s, 1286
Hobson’s, 1286
table of formulas, 1325, 1327
vector, table of formulas, 1868
Spherical waves, converging, 146
diverging, 146, 1065
electromagnetic, 1865
Spheroidal coordinates, 514, 661
(See also Oblate spheroidal coordinates;
Prolate spheroidal coordinates)
Spheroidal wave functions, 642, 1503–1511
approximate formulas for, 1504
asymptotic behavior of, 1505
and Gegenbauer polynomials, 643, 1503
integral representations for, 1508
normalization of, 1508
product expansion of, 1509
radial, 643, 1505–1511
and spherical Bessel functions, 643, 1505
Spheroidal wave functions, table of formulas, 1576
Spin operator, 80, 104, 259
under Lorentz transformation, 262
Pauli, 104
Spinors, 100–107
and four-vectors, 101
Lorentz transformation of, 102
space rotation of, 102
Spur, 55, 66, 913, 916, 994, 1023
Stäckel determinant and separability, 509
tables, 656
Stark effect, 1676
Standard form of differential equation of second order, table, 667
Standing-wave ratio, 130
States of system, 76, 78
bound, 1650
in quantum mechanics, 231
stationary, 1639
Static elasticity (see Elasticity)
Steepest descents, method of, 437, 478
for Bessel functions, 627
expressions for, 441, 442
for gamma functions, 442
and short-wavelength limit, 1540
Step function, unit, 123, 115, 840
Stokes’ law, 1807
Stokes’ phenomena, 437, 742
and confluent hypergeometric function.
609, 611, 614
Stokes’ theorem, 43
for dyadics, 66
Straight edge, diffraction by, 1383–1387
Strain, 67
for elastic waves, 149
homogeneous, 68
stress relation, 71
Stream function, 156, 1219
(See also Flow function)
Strength of source, 17
Stress, 70
and biharmonic equation, 1786
in cylindrical coordinates, 1841
circular, 1796
for elastic waves, 149, 1813
in fluids, 158
in spherical coordinates, 1805
principal, 71
shearing, 71
on sphere, 1808, 1810
strain relation, 71
strain, 306
Stress-energy tensor, 98, 305, 319, 342
and conservation theorems, 305
for Dirac equation, 336
for elastic media, 322, 325
for electromagnetic field, 216, 328
Index

Stress energy tensor, and field intensity, 320
and field momentum density, 321
for Klein-Gordon equation, 317
for Schrödinger equation, 316
for sound waves, 312–314
for string, 305
symmetrization of, 338
for vector fields, 319
and wave momentum, 306
Stress function, 1786
compatibility equation, 1787
String, elastically braced, 138
(See also Klein-Gordon equation)
flexible, 120–141, 302–306, 1335–1349
static, 120–123
Green’s function for, 123
vibrating, 121–142, 302–306, 1335–1350
with elastic support, 1343
energy of, 126, 305
energy flow in, 127, 305
equation of, 124, 125, 304
forced motion of, 129
and Fourier series, 135
with friction, 137, 1335, 1341
Green’s function for, 125, 1336
impedance, effective, 128
Lagrange density for, 302
momentum density, canonical, 304
with movable supports, 1359
with nonrigid supports, 1344, 1359
reflection coefficient for, 130
in sphere, 1472
standing-wave ratio, 130
stress-energy tensor, 306
transient response, 131, 1333–1343
variational principle for, 302, 343
wave momentum, 306
Sturm–Liouville equation, eigenvalues of, 721–724
factorization of, 729, 788–790
Green’s function for, 832
normalization of, 728
orthogonality of, 797
oscillation theorems for, 721, 724
self-adjoint, 720
variational principle for, 736
Subsonic flow, 165
Sum rule, matrix, 1/42
Summation of series, 413
Supersonic flow, 161, 165–171
Supporting curve, 677
Supports of string, movable, 1359
nonrigid, 1344
Surface change and boundary conditions, 795, 807
Surface-charge density, 218
Surface tension, transient, of slab, 1555
Surface integral, 16
Surface perturbations, 999, 1038, 1064
(See also Perturbation of boundary conditions)
Surface waves for two-particle Schrödinger equation, 1712, 1728
Surfaces, equipotential, 14
isotinic, 5
Klein, 401
Susceptance, 300
matrix, 1370
Symmetric dynamic, 58
eigenvalue problem for, 50
Symmetric kernel, 908, 912, 952
and self-adjoint operators, 906
Symmetrization of stress-energy tensor, 338

T
Tan z, expansion in partial fractions, 384
tables of values, 1913–1917
Tangential solution of vector Helmholtz equation, 1766
(Thom z)/2, properties of, 405
Taylor series, 375
analytic continuation of, 377
radius of convergence, 376–377
(See also Power series)
Telegrapher’s equation, 865
Green’s function for, 866
initial value problem, 868
Tension, 70
Tensors, 44–54
and dyadics, 54
electromagnetic field, 206
types, 46, 55
Index

Tensors, vector operators as, 50–51
(See also Strain; Stress; Stress-energy
tensor)
Tetradics, 72
Ticen functions, 430–433
and Mathieu functions, 1417
table of properties, 489
Three-particle problem in quantum me-
chanics (see Two-particle problems in
gum mechanics)
Time, as parameter, 247, 218
proper, 93
in quantum mechanics, 247–254
uncertainty principle for, 247
Time dependence of operators, 85, 219
Time-dependent Hamiltonian, 251
Time-dependent Schrödinger equation,
251
Toroidal coordinates, 522, 666
and Laplace equation, 1301
Green's function for, 1304
and separability, 529
Toroidal harmonics, 1302, 1329
table of properties, 1329
of values, 1923
Toroidal admittance, 1846
Toroidal waves, along bar, 1841
forced motion of, 1814
on sphere, 1872
Total cross section, 181
and forward scattering, 1069, 1540
Traction on surface of cylinder, 1841
of sphere, 1808, 1810, 1872
Transfer impedance, 285
Transformation, canonical, 287
conformal (see Conformal mapping)
and conjugate variables, 287
Lorentz (see Lorentz transformation)
Masri, 1552
of operators, 85
Schwarz-Christoffel, 446–451, 1244
1251
unitary, 86
Transformation function in quantum
theory, 236–239
equation for, 238, 239
for vibrating string, 135
Transforms, generalization of, 643
and integral equation, 942, 960
(See also Euler transforms; Fourier
transforms; Hankel transforms;
Hilbert transforms; Laplace trans-
form; Mellin transform)
Transient heating of slab, 1585, 1590
Transient membrane motion, 831
Transients, 1333–1343
in ducts, 1141
and Fourier integrals, 131, 1333
Transients, for vibrating string, 131
(See also Initial-value problems)
Transmission (see Reflection)
Transmission line, 218
characteristic impedance for, 220
Transport cross section, 188
Transport equation, 187, 189, 1607, 1632,
1635
(See also Distribution function; Par-
ticle diffusion)
Transverse components of vector field,
1761–1763
curvilinear coordinate, 1764
Transverse eigenfunctions, vector, for
circular cylindrical coordinates,
1797
for rectangular coordinates, 1771
for spherical coordinates, 1801, 1806
Transverse elastic waves, 113, 117, 1813
curvilinear coordinates, 1814
impedance of medium, 151
reflection of, 1814
stresses caused by, 149, 1813
Transverse electric waves in duct, 1819
attenuation of, 1831
dispersion of, 1820
effective impedance for, 1822, 1833
Q of, 1852
Transverse electromagnetic waves, 206,
211, 1812, 1819
Transverse Green's dyadic, 1779, 1875
expansion for, 1782
Transverse magnetic waves in duct, 1822
attenuation of, 1831
effective impedance for, 1823, 1833
Q of, 1852
Trial functions, 1107, 1117
Trigonometric functions, 1320
table of properties, 1913
Triode, 1234
Triple product, scalar, 11
Tschebyscheff functions, 544, 549
g and Gegenbauer functions, 604, 782
and Legendre functions, associated, 604
table of properties, 780–783
Tubes (see Duets)
Two-particle problems in quantum me-
chanics, 1700–1715
one-dimensional case, 1709–1719
and coupled harmonic oscillator,
1718
Green's functions for, 1711
and partly bound states, 1713
three-dimensional case, 1720–1745
and angular momentum, 1720–1723
bound, free, and surface states for,
1728, 1734
Green's function for, 1730
Index

Two-particle problems in quantum mechanics, three-dimensional case, Hylleraas coordinates for, 1737
parity and, 1723
particle exchange and, 1742
scattering in, 1738
inelastic, 1740
symmetrization of wave function, 1724

U

Uncertainty principle in quantum theory, 80, 88, 226
for conjugate variables, 230
for energy and time, 247
for harmonic oscillator, 246
Uniform field, circular cylinder in, 1184
dielectric sphere in, 1286
elliptic cylinder in, 1199
oblate spheroid in, 1286
prolate spheroid in, 1286
sphere in, 1206
two cylinders in, 1211
two spheres in, 1300
Unit cell for doubly periodic functions, 427
Unitary operator, 132
Unitary operator, 123, 840
integral representation, 415
Unitary dyadic, 61
Unitary operator, 84

V

Variables, complex (see Complex variable)
conjugate, 287
quantum, average value, 232, 238
commutation rules, 238
conjugate, 229
independent, 233
Variation iteration (V I) method, 1096
1092, 1127–1146, 1149–1152, 1165
for circular membrane, 1149
convergence of, 1028, 1110
extrapolation method for, 1113
inequalities for eigenvalues for, 1139, 1150
for lower bounds for eigenvalues, 1141, 1152
Mathieu equation, application, 1031
minimized iterations and, 1155
with nonorthogonal functions, 1637
in quantum mechanics, 1659
for exponential potential, 1700
for scattering, 1754
for Schrodinger equation (see Schrodinger equation)
Variational integral, 276, 342
and Euler equations, 277
and Lagrange density, 276
Variational methods, for distribution functions, 1628
for ducts, 1612
for transmission coefficient, 1128
for eigenvalues, 1106–1138
for bound states, 1114, 1117
for exponential potential, 1696
for helium atom, 1734
for boundary perturbations, 1131
1134, 1166
for electromagnetic resonators, 1895
for Helmholtz equation, 1112
for Hermitian operators, 1109
for higher eigenvalues, 1153
for integral equations, 912, 914, 993, 1120
with linear parameters, 1116
and secular determinant, 1118
for lowest eigenvalue, 737
with nonlinear parameters, 1117
and perturbation theory, 1119
Rayleigh–Ritz method, 1117
for electrotestatics, 1108
for Helmholtz equation (see Helmholtz equation)
for inhomogeneous equations, 1111
for Laplace equation, 1108
for radiation problems, 1136
for scattering, 1123, 1130
amplitude, 1131, 1133, 1168, 1701, 1704
of electromagnetic waves, 1898
for exponential potential, 1702
for phase shifts, 1123–1128, 1160, 1701
in quantum mechanics, 1123–1131
1135, 1168, 1170, 1695, 1701, 1704
for transmission coefficient, 1128, 1157
for Schrodinger equation (see Schrodinger equation)
for sound, 1541
for hole in infinite plate, 1521
for strip, 1549
for two-particle problems, 1731
Variational parameters, 1107, 1116–1118
linear, 1116
and secular determinant, 1118
nonlinear, 1117
Variational principles, 275–346
and auxiliary condition, 278
for diffusion equation, 315
for Dirac equation, 335
for distribution functions, 1698
for elastic media, 322, 325
for electromagnetic field, 327
Index

Variational principles, for Helmholtz equations, 306, 1112
for integral equations, 912, 914, 935, 1120
for Klein-Gordon equation, 316
for Laplace equation, 307
for Schrödinger equations, 314, 344
for Sturm-Liouville equation, 736
tabulation, 341-346
for vector field, 318
for vibrating string, 302, 343
Vector diffusion equation, 165, 1812
and nonstationary viscous flow, 1848
Vector eigenfunctions (see Eigenfunctions, vector)
Vector field, variational principles for, 318
angular-momentum density, 321
Euler equation, 318
field intensity, 320
field momentum density, 321
stress-energy tensor, 319
symmetrization, 339
tabulation, 341-343
Vector Green's theorem, 1767
Vector harmonics, spherical, table, 1908
zonal, table, 1900
Vector Helmholtz equation, 1762-1784, 1812-1891
in cylindrical coordinate, 1823
diadic waves in, 1549-1555
eigenfunctions for, 1772
Green's dyadic for, 1793, 1777, 1874
longitudinal and transverse, 1779
inhomogeneous solution of, 1770
plane wave, reflection of, 1812
radiation, 1427, 1867, 1875-1882
in rectangular coordinates, 1773, 1840
and resonators, electromagnetic, 1849-1862, 1870-1872, 1867
and scattering, 1862-1864, 1882-1887, 1898
separability of, 1764
in spherical coordinates, 1864-1891
in spheroidal coordinates, 1802
tangential solution, 1766
variational principle, 1805, 1868
(See also Electromagnetic waves)
Vector Laplace equation, 1784
and biharmonic equation, 1786
currents, fields due to, 1791
Green's dyadic for, 1798
Green's function for (see Green's function)
for incompressible viscous flow, 1792
in polar coordinates, 1795
in spherical coordinates, 1799
two-dimensional, 1703
Vector operator, dyadic as, 55
Vector Poisson equation, 1791
for incompressible viscous flow, 1792
Vector potential, 53
for elasticity, 144, 147
for electromagnetic fields, 205, 1763
for fluid motion, 162
for magnetic fields, 203, 1763, 1791, 1798, 1803
Vector space, complex, 81
(See also Abstract vector space)
Vector wave equation, 206, 1762-1784, 1789-1791, 1812-1881
boundary conditions for, 1760, 1768, 1814
dyadic impedance for, 1815
Green's functions for, 1789
integral representation for, 148
(See also Elastic waves; Electromagnetic waves; Vector Helmholtz equation)
Vectors, 8
axial, 10, 29, 42, 46
and complex numbers, 351
contravariant, 30, 44
covariant, 30, 44
cross product of, 10
four-, 96
for currents, 208
for momentum, 97
potential, 208, 326
pseudo, 209
rotation of, dyadic, 55
scalar product, 10
triple, 11
and spinors, 101
sum of gradient and curl, 52
transformation, 29
Velocity amplitude, 128
Velocity density for Dirac equation, 261
Velocity potential, 153, 307
and compressible flow, 167
and Laplace equation, 155
and wave equation, 162
(See also Fluid flow)
Velocity wave, dispersion of, 140, 1820
for elastic waves, 142, 143
for electromagnetic waves, 206
for Klein-Gordon equation, 140
for sound waves, 163
for waves on string, 124
Vibration (see Helmholtz equation; Membrane; String; Vector Helmholtz equation; Vector wave equation; Wave equation)
Virtual levels, 1637, 1685
and convergence of perturbation method for scattering, 1074
Index

Viscosity, 159–163
coefficient of, 159, 160
and expansion friction, 159
and Reynolds number, 1705
and vector diffusion equation, 163
and wave equation, 160
Viscous flow, three-dimensional, 1792
through duct, circular, 1795
nonstationary, 1848
rectangular, 1794
past sphere, 1806
and Stokes’ law, 1807
between spheres, concentric, 1805
two-dimensional, 1186
and Cauchy-Riemann conditions, 1186
between cylinders, two, 1225, 1233
between planes, parallel, 1186
tilted, 1187
through slit, 1197, 1795
Volterra integral equation, 905, 907, 920,
972, 992, 995
and Laplace transform, 972
Volume element, 27
Volume perturbation, 1000
(See also Perturbation methods; Variational methods)
Vortex line, 19, 1231
Vorticity vector, 41, 155, 1185–1188
(See also Viscous flow)

W

Wave equation, 98
for elastic wave, 142
for electromagnetic waves, 206
spherical, 1331–1353
and Cauchy conditions, 683, 704
difference equation for, 695, 703
and Dirichlet conditions, 687, 704
and elliptic coordinates, 1407
Green’s function for, 834–857
and hyperbolic equations, 685
impedance for, 310
initial-value problems, solution of,
843–847
and parabolic coordinates, 1398
and polar coordinates, 1371
and rectangular coordinates, 1364,
1434
retarded solution of, 206, 840, 873
and spherical coordinates, 1462
and spherical waves, 1502, 1512
variational principle, 306, 308
(See also Helmholtz equation; Membrane; String)
for sound waves, 162
for string, 124, 140
Wave equation, for transmission line,
219
vector (See Vector wave equation)
Wave front, 145
Wave function, adjoint, 1129, 1134, 1135
Wave generation of electromagnetic
waves in duct, 1826
Wave guide (see Ducts)
Wave impedance, 128, 141, 220, 310
Wave momentum, 306
(See also Field momentum density)
Wave stress, 306
Wave velocity (see Velocity, wave)
Wavelength for particle wave, 225
Wavelength limit, long-, for radiation,
1373, 1478
for scattering, 1085, 1380, 1474
short-, for radiation, 1375, 1478, 1537
for scattering, 1092, 1380, 1474, 1551
(See also WKBJ method)
Waves, in several dimensions, 686
shape change of, 145, 687, 844–847
short, 168
in three dimensions, 144
(See also Elastic waves; Electromagnetic waves; Longitudinal waves; Plane waves; Sound waves; specific transverse waves)
Weber functions, 1403
and Fresnel integrals, 1405
and harmonic oscillator, 1641
and Hermite polynomials, 1405
table of properties, 1565
Weierstrass elliptic functions, 429
Weighted string, 131–134
Whittaker functions, 612, 672
(See also Confluent hypergeometric
function of third kind)
Width, absorption, 1864
effective, 1379
scattering, 1864
total, 1864
Wiener-Hopf method, 978
factorization and, 979, 987
illustrations, 981–990
inhomogeneous form of, 990
Milne equation and, 182, 985, 1626
reflection in lined duct, 1522
and termination of duct, 1529
WKBJ method, 1092–1106
for bound systems, 1098
connection formulas, 1096–1098
extension by Imai, 1102
and integral equations, 1094
for Mathieu functions, 1114
for penetration through potential barriers, 1099
for radial equations, 1101
Index

WKBJ method, and turning points, classical, 1033
  closely spaced, 1103
  widely spaced, 1095
World line, 93
Wronskian, 524
  for Bessel functions, 1564
    spherical, 1484
    for confluent hypergeometric function, 613
  evaluation of, 827
  for Legendre functions, 599, 1328
  for Mathieu functions, radial, 640,
    1571, 1572
  for spheroidal functions, 1578
  for toroidal harmonics, 1330
  for Weber functions, 1567

Young's modulus, 72, 1843
Yukawa potential, Born approximation
  for scattering, 1082, 1707

Z

Zeros, of Bessel functions, 1565, 1576
  of elliptic functions, 428
Zonal harmonics, 1264
  table, of properties, 1326
  of values, 1020
Zonal vector harmonics, table of properties, 1900